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## Foreword

Every five years since 1965, the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences (CBMS) has sponsored a national survey of undergraduate mathematical and statistical sciences in the nation's two- and four-year colleges and universities. The 2015 CBMS survey, conducted with NSF support, is the eleventh report in this series of now fifty years of data. The CBMS surveys study two-year college mathematics programs, and the undergraduate programs of mathematics departments and statistics departments at four-year colleges and universities. Three different instruments are sent to a stratified random sample of these three populations, and this report presents the estimates computed using the responses to these questionnaires.

This report is organized as follows.

- Chapter 1 gives an overview of the results of the 2015 CBMS survey; tables in this chapter are designated with the label S, for "summary". The tables in this chapter are presented in more detail (e.g. four-year college data is broken down up level of department) in later chapters.
- Chapter 2 reports on the special projects of the 2015 survey; tables in this chapter are designated with the label SP, for "special project". The special projects in 2015 for two- and four-year institutions are the mathematical education of pre-college mathematics/statistics teachers, practices in distance learning courses, academic resources available to undergraduates, and trends in dual enrollments. Special projects for four-year departments include interdisciplinary courses in four-year mathematics departments, requirements in the national majors in mathematics and statistics in four-year departments, availability of upper level classes in four-year mathematics departments and statistics, estimates of post-graduation plans of graduates of four-year mathematics departments and statistics departments, assessment in four-year mathematics departments and statistics departments, divisional graduation credit for advanced placement courses in four-year mathematics and statistics departments, pedagogy and making changes at four-year mathematics and statistics
departments, statistics majors and minors at fouryear mathematics departments, profiles of other full-time faculty at four-year mathematics and statistics departments.
- Chapter 3 focuses on course enrollments and the numbers of undergraduate degrees awarded by mathematics and statistics departments at fouryear colleges and universities, including data on who is teaching courses; tables in this chapter are labeled by E , for "enrollment".
- Chapter 4 concerns the demographics of faculty in mathematics and statistics departments of four-year colleges and universities; tables in this chapter are labeled by F, for "faculty". As explained in this chapter, these data were obtained from the Annual Survey, conducted by the American Mathematical Society.
- Chapter 5 studies courses taught primarily to beginning students in mathematics and statistics departments at four-year colleges and universities; tables in this chapter are labeled by FY, for "first year".
- Chapter 6 focuses on enrollments, course offerings, and instructional practices at two-year colleges; tables in this chapter are labeled with TYE, for "two-year enrollment".
- Chapter 7 presents faculty demographics and special topics at two-year colleges; tables in this chapter are labeled with TYF, for "two-year faculty".

Other important information is included in appendices:

- Appendix I contains the enrollments (both with, and without, distance learning enrollments) for each individual course listed on the four-year mathematics and statistics department questionnaires, along with past enrollments (with distance learning enrollments included). Standard errors for the 2015 course enrollments are also included.
- Appendix II contains details about the survey procedure.
- Appendix III gives the list of responders to the 2015 survey.
- Appendices IV, V, VI, and VII give the actual questionnaires used in the 2015 CBMS survey. The instruments themselves can be useful in interpreting the results of the survey.
- Appendix VIII gives the standard errors for each of the tables. It is important to remember that the survey is based on a sample, and the numbers provided in the tables are estimates that are subject to sampling error.

Throughout this report, we often include the standard error (SE) with the estimate, e.g. " $52 \%$ (SE 2.2)", meaning that the estimate is $52 \%$ and the standard error in this estimate is 2.2. Data from the 2015 survey are compared to similar data from earlier CBMS surveys. The change in an estimate from the estimate in a previous survey is often expressed both as percentage change, and as the number of SEs this change represents (e.g. "grew by about 13\% (2 SEs)" means that, if $\mathrm{X}(2015)$ is the estimate in 2015 and $X(2010)$ is the estimate in 2010, then ( $\mathrm{X}(2015)$ $\mathrm{X}(2010)) / \mathrm{X}(2010)=.13$ and $(\mathrm{X}(2015)-\mathrm{X}(2010)) /$ $\operatorname{SE}(X(2015))=2)$.

Throughout this report, enrollments do not include dual enrollments, unless indicated by table caption. Depending upon the caption on the table, enrollments may, or may not, include distance learning enrollments. One can use Appendix I to find enrollments of courses at four-year departments for fall 2015 with, or without, distance learning enrollments included (this is not the case for CBMS surveys previous to the 2010 survey, as past appendices give enrollments only with distance learning enrollments included). One can use the tables in Chapter 6 to find enrollments of courses at two-year departments for fall 2015 with, or without, distance learning enrollments included, depending upon the caption. In the text of this report, whether the enrollments cited include, or do not include, distance learning enrollments is generally determined by the comparable historical data available.

This report refers to earlier CBMS reports (called CBMS2010, CBMS2005, etc.). This report, and the preceding nine CBMS reports (beginning with the 1970 report), are available online at: http://www. ams.org/profession/data/cbms-survey/cbms-survey. Other references can be found in the bibliography at the end of the report.

## Chapter 1

## Summary of CBMS2015 Report

In the text that follows, the standard error (SE) in many of the estimates is provided along with the estimate (e.g. " $52 \%$ (SE 2.2)"); the standard errors for all CBMS2015 tables can be found in Appendix VIII. The change in an estimate from the estimate in a previous survey is often expressed both as a percentage change and as the number of SEs that change represents (e.g. "grew by about 13\% (2 SEs)").

## Highlights of Chapter 1

## A. Enrollments

- Between fall 2000 and fall 2015, four-year college and university enrollments grew by about $46 \%$, while estimated enrollments in those institutions' mathematics and statistics departments combined grew by about 38\%. See Table S.1.
- Between fall 2000 and fall 2015, public two-year college enrollments grew by about $9 \%$, while enrollments in those institutions' mathematics programs (excluding computer science courses) grew by about $38 \%$. See Table S. 1.
- Between fall 2010 and fall 2015, four-year college and university enrollments grew by about $1 \%$, while enrollments in those institutions' mathematics and statistics departments combined grew by about 13\% (2 SEs). Estimated fall 2015 enrollments increased over fall 2010 in each of the major course categories at four-year mathematics and statistics departments combined, except in lower-level and upper-level computer science enrollments in mathematics departments, which together declined about $23 \%$; however, each of the computer science enrollment categories was above the estimate obtained in fall 2005. See Tables S. 1 and S.2.
- Between fall 2010 and fall 2015, public two-year college enrollments decreased by about $14 \%$. Enrollments in these institutions' mathematics and statistics programs decreased by about $5 \%$ (1 SE, excluding dual enrollment). This decrease in mathematics and statistics programs enrollments changed the trend observed over the past CBMS surveys: from 2000 to 2005 enrollments increased by $22 \%$; from 2005 to 2010 enrollments increased by 19\%; but from 2010 to 2015 enrollments decreased by 5\%. See Tables S. 1 and S. 2 and TYE. 2 in Chapter 6 (which includes dual enrollments).
- Between fall 2010 and fall 2015, the estimated total enrollments in mathematics departments at four-year institutions increased by $12 \%$ (1.8 SEs), and the estimated total enrollments in statistics departments increased by $32 \%$ ( 9 SEs). (See Table S.2.)
- Between fall 2010 and fall 2015, the most significant changes in estimated enrollments at four-year mathematical sciences departments were the increases in enrollments in statistics courses, particularly in upper-level statistics courses. In statistics departments, the estimated enrollments in introductory-level statistics courses were up 16\% (4.3 SEs) from fall 2010 to fall 2015, and the estimated enrollments in upper-level statistics courses were up 85\% (11.5 SEs). In mathematics departments, the estimated enrollments in intro-ductory-level statistics courses were up 10\% (1.1 SEs) from fall 2010 to fall 2015, the estimated enrollments in upper-level statistics courses were up 88\% (4.7 SEs), and estimated total enrollments in all statistics courses combined in mathematics departments were up $19 \%$ (2.1 SEs). See Table S.2.
- Between fall 2010 and fall 2015, in mathematics departments at four-year colleges and universities, estimated enrollments in precollege level mathematics courses increased by $21 \%$ (1.7 SEs), in introductory level mathematics courses increased by $16 \%$ ( 1.7 SEs ), in calculus-level courses increased by $8 \%$ ( 0.95 SEs ), and in advanced-level courses increased by $3 \%$ ( 0.3 SEs). Total enrollments in mathematics courses increased $12 \%$ (1.7 SEs). Larger and more significant increases in enrollments from fall 2000 to fall 2015 were observed. See Table S. 2 .
- In public two-year colleges, the overall mathematics enrollment decrease of 5\% from 2010 to 2015 included a $32 \%$ ( 6 SEs ) decrease in precollege-level courses. This decrease was balanced with increases of $21 \%$ ( 2 SEs ) in introductory-level (including Precalculus) mathematics courses, 10\% (1 SE) in calculus-level mathematics (mainstream and non-mainstream), and 104\% (2 SEs) in elementary/ introductory statistics and probability courses. See Tables S. 2 and TYE. 4 in Chapter 6.
- Computer science enrollments in mathematics departments of four-year colleges and universities, which dropped by $54 \%$ from 2000 to 2005,
increased 35\% from 2005 to 2010, and dropped $12 \%(0.8 \mathrm{SE})$ from 2010 to 2015 . See Table S.2.


## B. Bachelors degrees granted

- The estimated total number of mathematical sciences bachelors degrees granted through the nation's four-year mathematics and statistics departments in the 2014-15 academic year was 26,234, up from 21,377 in 2009-10 (a $23 \%$ (1.9 SE) increase over 2009-10). This estimate reverses a declining trend observed over the CBMS surveys from 1985-2010; the CBMS 1985 estimate was 27,928 . When bachelors degrees in computer science awarded by mathematics departments are removed from the total number of bachelors degrees awarded, the total number, 22,266 degrees, is larger than any estimated number of degrees awarded observed in the CBMS surveys from 1985-2010; the CBMS 1985 estimate was 19,237 degrees awarded in 1984-5. See Table S.3.
- The number of degrees in computer science awarded by mathematics departments in 2014-15 was estimated at 3,968 , the largest estimate since the 1990 CBMS survey, when the estimated number of degrees in computer science awarded in mathematical science departments was 5,075. See Table S.3.
- The number of mathematics/statistics education bachelors degrees granted through four-year mathematics and statistics departments decreased by 20\% (2.1 SEs) between 2009-10 and 2014-15, and decreased by about $42 \%$ when compared with 1999-2000 (when it was the highest estimated number in the last five CBMS studies). See Table S. 3.
- The percentage of bachelors degrees awarded to women through U.S. mathematics and statistics departments in 2014-15 was estimated at 42\%, about comparable to estimates in recent CBMS surveys: $43 \%$ in 1999-2000, $40 \%$ in 2004-5, and $43 \%$ in 2009-10. When degrees in computer science awarded by mathematics department are excluded, then the estimated percentage of degrees awarded to women through U.S. mathematics and statistics departments was $43 \%$ in 2014-15; it was $47 \%$ in 1999-2000, 43\% in 2004-5, and 45\% in 2009-10. See Table S. 3 .


## C. Appointment type of instructors of undergraduate mathematics and statistics sections

- The estimated percentage of sections of calculuslevel courses taught in four-year colleges and universities by tenured or tenure-eligible faculty decreased from $61 \%$ in fall 2005 , to $59 \%$ in fall 2010 , to $52 \%$ (SE 2.2) in fall 2015, and the percentage taught by other full-time faculty increased from $15 \%$ in fall

2010 to $24 \%$ (SE 1.6) in fall 2015. Further data on the appointment type of the instructor, broken down by the type of class and the format of the class, are given for calculus classes, introductory statistics classes, and computer science classes. See Tables S.4-S.8.

- In public two-year colleges, the percentage of mathematics and statistics sections taught by full-time faculty increased by ten points to $64 \%$ (SE 4) in fall 2015 compared with fall 2010. In Mainstream Calculus I and II courses, full-time faculty taught $84 \%$ (SE 2) of sections with an average section size of 26 (SE 1) students. In Non-Mainstream Calculus I and II full-time faculty taught $71 \%$ (SE 10) of all sections with an average section size of 26 (SE 1) students. Eighty percent ( $80 \%$ with SE 5) of Elementary/Introductory Statistics and Probability courses were taught by full-time faculty and had average section size of 26 (SE 5) students. See Tables S.4-S. 7 .


## D. Pedagogical methods used in teaching undergraduate mathematics and statistics courses

- In public two-year colleges in fall 2015, Mainstream Calculus I courses had common department exams in $88 \%$ (SE 3) of sections and used homework management systems in $37 \%$ (SE 4) of sections. Slightly lower percentages were reported in Mainstream Calculus II. Non-Mainstream Calculus I reported 9\% (with SE 4) using common department exams and 66\% (SE 13) of sections using homework management systems. Elementary Statistics courses used common department exams in 39\% (SE 14) of sections and homework management systems in 55\% (SE 12) of sections. See Tables S.9, S.10, S. 11 and Table TYE. 10 in Chapter 6.
- The 2015 CBMS survey of four-year mathematics departments and statistics departments concentrated on pedagogy in teaching Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisite), for nonmajors. Methods of teaching Introductory Statistics in mathematics and statistics departments can be compared using the 2015 survey data, which showed both greater use of real data and more sophisticated technology in courses taught in statistics departments. See Table S. 12 and Figures S.12.1 and S.12.2.


## E. The number of faculty

- The estimated total size of mathematics faculties (including both full-time and part-time faculty) in four-year colleges and universities increased almost $7 \%$ from fall 2010 to fall 2015; most of this growth was due to the increased number of part-time faculty. The estimated number of fulltime mathematics faculty in fall 2015 was slightly
larger than the fall 2010 estimate, but the 2010 estimate was within 1 SE of the 2015 estimate. From 2000 to 2015, the estimated number of fulltime mathematics faculty in four-year departments grew by $14 \%$, while mathematics departments' estimated total course enrollments grew by $36 \%$ (by $42 \%$ when computer science enrollments are removed) (see Table S.2). In doctoral-level statistics departments, the estimated total number of fulltime plus part-time statistics faculty, as well as the estimated number of full-time statistics faculty, both increased $23 \%$ (almost 5 SEs) from 2010, and both were up about $50 \%$ from 2000. The estimated doctoral-level statistics department enrollments have almost doubled since 2000 (Table S.2). See Table S. 13 and Figures S.13.1 and S.13.3.
- The estimated total number of full-time mathematics and statistics faculty (permanent, continuing and other) in two-year colleges was 9,800 (SE 894) in fall 2015. This represented a $10 \%$ decrease of fulltime mathematics and statistics faculty from 2010 to 2015. During this time, the institutional enrollment in two-year colleges decreased by $14 \%$ and mathematics and statistics enrollment decreased by $5 \%$. See Tables S.13, Tables TYE. 1 and TYE. 2 in Chapter 6, and Table TYF. 1 in Chapter 7.
- The estimated number of part-time faculty in mathematics departments in four-year colleges and universities ended a trend of slow decline that was observed over the last two CBMS surveys, and, in fall 2015, increased $27 \%$ (more than 5 SEs over the 2010 estimate). The estimated number of parttime faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments increased $22 \%$ ( 1.2 SEs ) over the 2010 estimate. See Table S. 13 and Figures S.13.2, S.13.3, and S.13.5.
- In fall 2015, the estimated number of part-time mathematics faculty in two-year college mathematics programs was 20,247 and represented $67 \%$ of the total number of mathematics faculty, when those paid by third parties ( 2,359 persons) such as school districts are included (See Table TYF. 1 in Chapter 7). When third party payees are omitted, part-time mathematics faculty numbered 17,888 (SE 1,908) and represented $65 \%$ of the total number of mathematics faculty in 2015. In fall 2010, part-time faculty represented $68 \%$ of the total number of mathematics faculty at two-year colleges. See Table S. 13 and Figure S.13.4.
- The estimated number of tenured plus tenureeligible mathematics faculty in four-year colleges and universities decreased from fall 2010 to fall 2015, as it had from 2005 to 2010, creating a loss of almost 2,000 tenured or tenure-eligible positions over the past 10 years, eliminating gains that had been made prior to 2000. Estimated numbers of
other full-time mathematics faculty increased $22 \%$ ( 6 SEs) from fall 2010 to fall 2015; this category of mathematics faculty has more than doubled since 2000. The estimated number of tenured plus tenure-eligible faculty in doctoral and masters-level statistics departments combined increased from fall 2010 to fall 2015, as it did from 2005 to 2010, but not significantly. The estimated number of other full-time faculty in statistics departments increased by $47 \%$ ( 5.9 SEs) from fall 2010 to fall 2015, and, in fall 2015 , is more than 2.5 times the estimated number in 2000. See Table S. 15.
- There were 8,314 (SE 840) full-time permanent mathematics faculty in public two-year college mathematics programs in the United States in fall 2015, compared with 9,790 in 2010 , a $15 \%$ decrease ( 1,476 faculty). In fall 2015, there were 1,487 continuing and other full-time faculty ( 1,221 continuing with 268 SE and 266 Other with 73 SE ). Continuing faculty and other faculty together represented a $37 \%$ increase from 2010. See Table S. 14 and Table TYF. 1 in Chapter 7.


## F. Gender and ethnicity in mathematical sciences faculty

- In fall 2015 , in four-year college and university mathematics departments, women comprised $31 \%$ of all full-time faculty, $22 \%$ of all tenured faculty, and $36 \%$ of all tenure-eligible faculty; each of these percentages is up one or two percentage points from 2010. In statistics departments, in fall 2015, women were $27 \%$ of all full-time faculty, $20 \%$ of tenured faculty, and $35 \%$ of tenure-eligible faculty, and all of these percentages, except for the percentage of tenure-eligible faculty, are larger than in 2010. In public two-year college mathematics programs, in fall 2015 , women comprised $52 \%$ of the full-time faculty positions (up two percentage points from 2010), and $54 \%$ of the full-time faculty of age less than 40 was female (the same as in 2010). See Table S. 15 and Figure S.15.1.
- Very little change in the distribution of ethnicities of mathematics and statistics departments faculty in four-year colleges and universities occurred between fall 2015 and fall 2010. In mathematics departments, the estimated percentage of fulltime White male faculty dropped from $56 \%$ to $53 \%$ (with a corresponding one percentage point gain in the percentage of White full-time female faculty). Statistics departments (masters-level and doctoral-level combined) showed White male fulltime faculty dropping from an estimated $49 \%$ in fall 2010 to $45 \%$ in fall 2015, and the percentage of Asian full-time faculty increasing from $28 \%$ to $33 \%$ over that time interval. The estimated percentages of Black and Hispanic faculty remain small in
both mathematics and statistics departments. See Tables S. 18 and S. 19.
- Tables for distribution of ethnicities, percentage of women and faculty under the age of 40 in mathematics programs at two-year colleges can be found in Chapter 7, Tables TYF.10-13. In fall 2015, 23\% (2 SEs) of the full-time permanent faculty in mathematics programs were from ethnic minorities, a total of 1,876 (SE 289) faculty. This is an increase of $7 \%$ compared with 2010 and an increase of 310 persons. The majority of the ethnic groups represented were Asian/Pacific Islander, Black (non-Hispanic), and Mexican American/Puerto Rican/other Hispanic. Women comprised 52\% (8 SEs) and $54 \%$ (3 SEs) of Black or African American and White faculty respectively, and $37 \%$ ( 10 SEs) of Mexican American/Puerto Rican/other Hispanic. Percentage of full-time permanent minority faculty under the age of 40 was $26 \%$ (SE 3) or 2,045 persons.


## G. Age distribution and changes in the mathematical sciences faculty due to deaths and retirements

- Table S. 16 shows that the estimated percentage of tenured and tenure-eligible mathematics faculty 65 and older increased from $8 \%$ in 2005 , to $12 \%$ in 2010 , to $13 \%$ in 2015 , and the estimated average age of both tenured men and of tenured women mathematics faculty increased; the estimated average age of both tenure-eligible men and of tenure-eligible women decreased over the same period. In statistics departments (Table S.17), in 2015, the estimated average age of tenured men increased over 2010, but the estimated average age of both tenured, and of tenure-eligible, women decreased from 2010. However, Table S. 20 shows that the number of deaths and retirements in 2015 was up over 2010 for both mathematics and statistics departments, and is the largest total number in the past four CBMS surveys. See Tables S.16, S.17, and S. 20 .
- The age distribution and average age of public two-year college mathematics faculty is given in Table S. 16 and Table TYF. 16 in Chapter 7. The average age of full-time permanent faculty of 47.7 years (SE 0.5), up one year compared with 2010. It was estimated that 612 (SE 132) faculty were no longer part of the faculty in 2015-2016, compared to 459 were no longer part of the faculty in 2010-2011. Reasons for these departures were not surveyed in 2015. See Table TYF. 3 in Chapter 7.


## An overview of enrollments (Tables S. 1 and S.2)

Table S. 1 gives an overall historical view of enrollments in courses taught in mathematics and
statistics departments of four-year U.S. colleges and universities, and in mathematics programs of public two-year colleges. The table also presents estimates of institutional enrollments, so that one can compare changes in estimated mathematical sciences course enrollments with overall changes in institutional enrollments. The table presents combined enrollments (including distance learning enrollments, but not dual enrollments) in four-year mathematics and statistics departments in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015 for mathematics, statistics, and computer science courses, with the fall 2015 estimated enrollment broken down into mathematics department enrollment and statistics department enrollment; the enrollments for mathematics programs in two-year colleges are also presented. This enrollment data in mathematical science courses was obtained from the CBMS surveys from those years. The total enrollment in four-year colleges and universities, and in two-year colleges, came from the National Center for Educational Statistics (NCES) and are based on data that post-secondary education institutions must submit to the Integrated Post-Secondary Educational Data System (IPEDS). The estimates are for full-time and part-time students in public and private four-year colleges and universities, and full-time and part-time students in public two-year colleges. Most national data cited in this report are drawn from the NCES publication Digest of Education Statistics: 2016, which is available at https://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/ d16/tables/dt16_303.70.asp?current=yes.

We note that many of the NCES reports contain projections that are updated every two years, and, in updates, projections are replaced by actual enrollments. Therefore, enrollments from NCES data for a given year in CBMS Table S. 1 may change in Table S. 1 in subsequent CBMS reports, as we replace NCES projected enrollments with NCES actual enrollments. The NCES numbers in Table S. 1 in this report are all actual enrollments.

From Table S. 1 (which includes distance learning enrollments, but not dual enrollments) we see that between fall 2010 and fall 2015, enrollments in mathematical sciences courses at four-year colleges and universities grew at an estimated rate of $13 \%$, while the growth rate in total undergraduate enrollments in that period was $1 \%$. Taking a longer view, between fall 2000 and fall 2015, four-year college and university enrollments grew by about $46 \%$, while enrollments in those institutions' mathematics and statistics departments grew by an estimated $38 \%$. The mathematical sciences course enrollment growth in four-year departments observed in both the CBMS2010 and 2015 surveys has helped to reverse the decline in four-year mathematical sciences course enrollments, compared to general institutional enrollments, which had been noted in earlier CBMS survey reports; for

TABLE S. 1 Enrollment in (1000s) in undergraduate mathematics, statistics, and computer science courses taught in mathematics departments and statistics departments of four-year colleges and universities, and in mathematics programs of two-year colleges. Also NCES data on total fall enrollments in two-year colleges and four-year colleges and universities in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015. NCES data include both public and private four-year colleges and universities, and include only public two-year colleges. Enrollments include distance-learning enrollments but not dual enrollments.

|  | Four-Year College \& University Mathematics \& Statistics Departments |  |  |  |  |  | Two-Year College Mathematics Programs ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall |  |  |  | 2015 by Dept |  | Fall |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | Math | Stat | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 |
| Mathematics | 1614 | 1607 | 1971 | 2213 | 2213 | -- | 1273 | 1580 | 1887 | 1639 |
| Statistics | 245 | 260 | 371 | 457 | 313 | 144 | 74 | 117 | 137 | 280 |
| Computer Science | 124 | 59 | $77^{1}$ | $68{ }^{1}$ | 68 | -- ${ }^{1}$ | 392 | -- ${ }^{1}$ | -- ${ }^{1}$ | --1 |
| Total | 1984 | 1925 | 2419 | 2738 | 2594 | 144 | 1386 | 1697 | 2024 | 1918 |
| NCES Total Fall Undergraduate Enrollments ${ }^{2}$ | 7207 | 8476 | 10399 | 10546 |  |  | 5697 | 6184 | 7218 | 6216 |

${ }^{1}$ Computer science totals in two-year colleges before 1995 included estimates of computer science courses taught outside of the mathematics program. In 1995 and 2000, only those computer science courses taught in the mathematics program were included. Starting in 2005, no computer science courses were included in the two-year mathematics survey, and starting in 2010, no computer science courses were included in the statistics survey.
${ }^{2}$ Data for 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015 are from Table 303.70 of the NCES publication Digest of Education Statistics: 2016. The full report has not been released, but selected tables are available. These data were downloaded in June 2017 from https://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d16/tables/dt16_303.70.asp?current=yes.
${ }^{3}$ Starting in 2005, data on mathematics, statistics, and computer science enrollments in two-year colleges include only public two-year colleges.
example, the estimated mathematical science enrollment in four-year departments in CBMS2005 was actually less than the estimate in 1990, despite an estimated $22 \%$ growth in institutional enrollments [CBMS2005, Table S.1, p. 3]. A particularly disturbing trend noted in the 2005 CBMS report was that enrollments in mathematics and statistics four-year departments had actually declined from fall 2000 to fall 2005, while enrollments in four-year colleges and universities rose by 18\% (by Table S .1 in this report). so that over the last ten years, mathematical sciences enrollments have been "catching-up" to the growth in institutional enrollments observed over the last fifteen years. Figure S.1.1 displays the growth in enrollments in mathematical sciences courses taught in mathematics and statistics departments of four-year colleges and universities, and in two-year colleges, in fall 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.

At public two-year college mathematics programs, CBMS survey data present a roughly $46 \%$ growth in the mathematics and statistics enrollments in the mathematics departments and programs of the nation's public TYCs in the period 2000 to 2010,
followed by a $5 \%$ decrease from 2010 to 2015. NCES data in Table S. 1 show that total enrollments in the nation's public two-year colleges (TYCs) increased by about $27 \%$ between fall 2000 and fall 2010 , and then decreased by $14 \%$ from 2010 to 2015 . It should be noted that Table S. 1 does not include dual enrollments. Figure S.1.1 presents a graphical display of increases in mathematical sciences course enrollments in two-year college from 1990 to 1995 and 2000 to 2010, and the decreases from 1995 to 2000 and 2010 to 2015. Additional information and discussion about trends in enrollments in mathematics courses and programs at two-year colleges can be found in Chapter 6, Tables TYE. 1 and TYE. 2 (includes dual enrollment). It should also be noted that the sample frame in 2005 and following years includes only public two-year colleges.

Table S. 2 begins the process of breaking the total mathematical sciences course enrollment down into its component parts. Among four-year college and university mathematics departments, the enrollment course categories used were precollege-level courses, introductory-level courses, calculus-level courses,


FIGURE S.1.1 Combined enrollment (in 1000s) in undergraduate mathematics, statistics, and computer science courses at four-year colleges and universities within mathematics departments and statistics departments, and within mathematics programs of two-year colleges: Fall 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015. Data beginning in 2005 include only public two-year colleges.


#### Abstract

Note: Before 1995, two-year enrollment totals included computer science enrollments taught outside of the mathematics program. In 1995 and 2000, only computer science courses taught within the mathematics program of two-year colleges were counted. Starting in 2005, no computer science courses were included in the CBMS survey of two-year mathematics programs, and starting in 2010, no computer science data were included in the survey of statistics departments.


and advanced-level courses. In the 2010 and 2015 CBMS surveys the precollege courses (e.g. arithmetic, pre-algebra, elementary algebra, intermediate algebra) were treated as one block, and not itemized, as they had been in previous CBMS surveys. The intermedi-ate-level course list was essentially unchanged in 2015 from the previous 2010 CBMS survey, and included courses in liberal arts mathematics, mathematics for K-8 mathematics teachers, and a cluster of courses with names such as College Algebra, Precalculus, and Trigonometry. The calculus-level courses listed in the 2015 survey included, as in previous CBMS surveys, linear algebra, differential equations, discrete mathematics, and various calculus courses; from the individual course enrollments, which are included in Appendix I, we see that calculus courses accounted for $76 \%$ of the non-distance learning enrollments in calculus-level courses. We note that Tables S. 1 and S. 2 include distance learning enrollments; Appendix I contains enrollments in four-year mathematics and statistics department courses both with, and without, distance learning enrollments. Statistics course enrollments, offered in either mathematics or statistics departments, were broken into introducto-ry-level and upper-level enrollments, and computer science course enrollments were broken into three levels; some changes were made in the list and titles
of the statistics courses in the 2015 survey, and changes made to the list of computer science courses were based on the course recommendations in the Association for Computer Machinery report, Computer Science Curricular 2013, available at http://www.acm. org/education/CS2013-final-report.pdf. Beginning in 2010, enrollments in computer science courses offered through statistics departments were not gathered in the CBMS survey, but they were gathered, as was done previously, from mathematics departments at four-year institutions in 2015.

Table S. 2 also shows enrollments and trends in various course categories in public two-year college mathematics programs and discussed in the bullets above and in Chapter 6. Direct comparisons between courses-categories in two-year and four-year departments are problematic because the course-categories (which can be seen by looking at the actual questionnaires that are reproduced in Appendix IV) sometimes contain different courses (e.g. linear algebra and differential equations are not calculus-level courses in the two-year college instrument).

In four-year college and university mathematics departments, the estimated total of all enrollments in courses taught in mathematics departments rose from $2,310,000$ in fall 2010 to $2,594,000$ (SE 157,000 ) in fall 2015 , according to Table S.2. All cate-

TABLE S. 2 Total enrollment (in 1000s), including distance-learning enrollment, by course level in undergraduate mathematics, statistics, and computer science courses taught in mathematics and statistics departments at four-year colleges and universities, and in mathematics programs at two-year colleges in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.


Note: Round-off may make column totals seem inaccurate.
${ }^{1}$ Beginning in 1995, computer science enrollment included only courses taught in mathematics programs. Beginning in 2005,
computer science courses were no longer included in the two-year college survey. Beginning in 2010, computer science courses
were no longer included in the statistics survey.
gories of courses, except lower-level and upper-level computer science courses, showed increased estimated enrollments in fall 2015 over fall 2010, and all categories of courses, except computer science courses, had estimated enrollments in fall 2015 that were larger than those in fall 2000. Enrollments in courses in mathematics (excluding statistics and computer science) increased by $12 \%$ (1.7 SEs) from fall 2010 to fall 2015 . Figure S.2.1 presents a bar graph showing the estimated enrollments in mathematics courses, broken down by course-category, from 1990-2015. The mathematics course-category, for four-year mathematics departments, that had the largest estimated enrollment growth from fall 2010 to fall 2015 was the category precollege-level courses, which increased $21 \%$ (1.7 SEs), from an estimated enrollment of roughly 209,000 in 2010 to an estimated enrollment of 253,000 (with SE 26,000 ) in 2015 . The next largest growth in estimated enrollment in fall 2015 over fall 2010 occurred in introductory-level courses, up $16 \%$ ( 1.7 SEs ), followed by an $8 \%$ ( 1 SE ) growth in enrollment in calculus-level courses (which rose $37 \%$ in 2015 over 2005), and only a $3 \%$ ( 0.3 SEs) increase in enrollment in advanced-level mathematics courses (which rose $38 \%$ in 2015 over 2005). In the 2010 CBMS survey data, the advanced-level courses showed the largest growth from 2005 to 2010, while the precollege-level courses showed the smallest growth, so it appears that at least some of the varia-
tion in enrollments in mathematics courses that we see from 2010 to 2015 may be explained by standard error, though the general trend seems to be increasing enrollments. The estimated total number of enrollments in mathematics courses in four-year college and university mathematics departments increased by about $37 \%$ (4.3 SEs) over the fifteen-year period of 2000-2015.

Table S. 2 shows that the total enrollment in all mathematics and statistics courses taught in public two-year mathematics programs increased by $38 \%$ over the fifteen-year period of 2000-2015 (excluding dual enrollment). This fifteen-year period included a steady increase from 2000 to 2010, followed by a $5 \%$ decrease, from 2010 to 2015 . The estimated total of enrollments in courses taught in mathematics departments decreased from 2,024,000 in fall 2010 to $1,918,000$ (SE 115,000 ) in fall 2015 . Despite the decrease in fall 2015, the total course enrollments in public two-year college mathematics programs were approximately $43 \%$ of the total mathematics and statistics enrollments of all the combined mathematical sciences programs (i.e. of the two-year mathematics programs and four-year mathematics departments combined, but not including statistics departments).

Mathematics programs at public two-year colleges also had uneven enrollment growth in categories of courses and individual courses. Notable changes occurred within Precollege-level courses with a


FIGURE S.2.1 Enrollments (in 1000s) in undergraduate mathematics courses in mathematics departments of four-year colleges and universities by level of course in fall of 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.
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FIGURE S.2.2 Enrollments (in 1000s) in undergraduate mathematics courses in two-year college mathematics programs by level of course in the fall of 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.


FIGURE S.2.3 Enrollments (in 1000s) in statistics courses in two-year college mathematics programs, and in mathematics departments and in statistics departments of four-year colleges and universities in fall 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.
decrease in estimated enrollment from 1,150,000 in fall 2010 to 782,000 (SE 65,000) in fall 2015 of $32 \%$, following a $19 \%$ increase from 2005 to 2010. Within Precollege courses in fall 2015, enrollments in Arithmetic and Basic Mathematics decreased 52\% (5 SEs) between 2010 and 2015, decreased 44\% (6 SEs) in Pre-algebra, decreased 35\% (6 SEs) in Elementary Algebra and decreased 13\% (2 SEs) in Intermediate Algebra.

The largest growth in enrollments at public two-year colleges from fall 2010 to fall 2015 occurred in elementary statistics and probability courses, up 104\% in 2015 to 280,000 students (SE 60,000), compared with $16 \%$ growth from fall 2005 to fall 2010. The next largest enrollment growth occurred in the category
of introductory-level mathematics (including College Algebra, Trigonometry, and Precalculus/Elementary Functions), up 21\% in 2015 to 445,000 students (SE 39,000 ) over 2010, compared with a $15 \%$ increase from fall 2005 to fall 2010. Within Precalculus-level courses in fall 2015, enrollments in College Algebra increased 27\% (2 SEs) between 2010 and 2015, increased 28\% (1 SE) in College Algebra \& Trigonometry (combined), and increased 35\% (2 SEs) in Precalculus/Elementary Functions/Analytic Geometry. A 10\% enrollment increase occurred in the category of Calculus-level (Mainstream and Non-Mainstream) courses from fall 2010 to fall 2015 to 152,000 students (SE 15,000), after a $28 \%$ increase in fall 2010 over fall 2005. Also

TABLE S. 3 Combined total of all bachelors degrees in mathematics and statistics departments at four-year colleges and universities between July 1 and June 30 in 1994-95, 1999-2000, 2004-2005, 2009-10 and 2014-15 by selected majors and gender.

| Major | 94-95 | 99-00 | 04-05 | 09-10 | 14-15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics (except as reported below) | 12456 | 10759 | 12316 | 12468 | 12794 |
| Mathematics Education | 4829 | 4991 | 3369 | 3614 | 2880 |
| Statistics (except Actuarial Science) | 1031 | 502 | 527 | 856 | 1509 |
| Actuarial Mathematics | 620 | 425 | 499 | 849 | 2354 |
| All Joint Majors (combined) ${ }^{1}$ | -- | -- | -- | 1222 | 1821 |
| Joint Mathematics \& Computer Science | 453 | 876 | 719 | -- | -- |
| Joint Mathematics \& Statistics | 188 | 196 | 203 | -- | -- |
| Joint Math/Stat \& Business or Economics | na | na | 214 | -- | -- |
| Other (includes Operations Research prior to 2010) ${ }^{2}$ | 577 | 1550 | 985 | 231 | 907 |
| Total Mathematics, Statistics \& Joint degrees | 20154 | 19299 | 18833 | 19241 | 22266 |
| Number of women | 9061 | 9017 | 8192 | 8692 | 9643 |
| Computer Science degrees | 2741 | 3315 | 2603 | 2137 | 3968 |
| Number of women | 532 | 808 | 465 | 394 | 1302 |
| Total degrees | 22895 | 22614 | 21437 | 21377 | 26234 |
| Number of women | 9593 | 9825 | 8656 | 9086 | 10946 |

Note: Round-off may make column totals seem inaccurate.
${ }^{1}$ Beginning in 2010, the survey asked for the total number of all joint majors.
${ }^{2}$ Prior to 2010, Operations Research was a separate category. Beginning in 2010, Operations Research is included in Other Mathematics.



FIGURE S.3.1 Number of bachelors degrees in mathematics and statistics, and in computer science, granted through mathematics and statistics departments in academic years 1994-1995, 1999-2000, 2004-2005, 2009-2010 and 2014-2015.


FIGURE S.3.2 Number of bachelors degrees awarded by mathematics and statistics departments (combined) at four-year colleges and universities between July 1 and June 30 in 1999-2000, 20042005, 2009-2010 and 2014-2015.
see Tables TYE.3, TYE.3.1, TYE.3.2, TYE. 4 and discussions in Chapter 6.

Between 2010 and 2015 the nation's undergraduate statistics courses continued a trend of long-term enrollment growth in courses taught in mathematics departments of four-year and two-year colleges, as well as in statistics departments of four-year institutions; Figure S.2.3 displays the growth in both lower and upper-level statistics course enrollments for two-year colleges, four-year mathematics departments, and four-year statistics departments from 1990-2015. By Table S.2, of the estimated 627,000 enrollments in Elementary/Introductory Statistics at four and two-year departments, $45 \%$ occurred at two-year mathematics programs, 40\% at four-year mathematics programs, and $15 \%$ at statistics departments.

Table S. 2 shows that the estimated total enrollments in statistics departments were 144,000 (SE 4,000 ) in fall 2015 and 108,000 in fall 2010, a $33 \%$ ( 9 SEs ) increase over fall 2010. In fall 2015, the estimated total enrollments in statistics courses offered in mathematics departments were 313,000 (SE 24,000), and, hence, four-year mathematics departments were responsible for slightly more than two-thirds of the estimated total statistics enrollments (at lower and upper-levels combined) in four-year mathematics and statistics departments combined.

Statistics enrollments showed large gains in both mathematics and statistics four-year departments, particularly in upper-level courses, from fall 2010 to fall 2015. In mathematics departments, Table S. 2 shows that the estimated introductory statistics enrollments in fall 2015 were 253,000 enrollments, up $10 \%$ ( 1.1 SEs ) from fall 2010 , and the estimated upper-level statistics enrollments were up 88\% (4.7 SEs). In statistics departments, the estimated introductory statistics enrollments in fall 2015 were up $16 \%$ (4.3 SEs) over fall 2010, and upper-level statistics enrollments were up 85\% (11.5 SEs). The 2010 CBMS survey showed large gains from 2005 to 2010 in introductory statistics enrollments, and modest gains in upper-level enrollments; perhaps the increased interest in beginning statistics courses has generated interest in the upper-level statistics courses.

Statistics and Probability course enrollment experienced growth at two-year colleges between 2010 and 2015. For the first time, the CBMS survey estimated enrollments in Elementary Statistics classes taught in two-year colleges slightly exceeded the enrollments in Introductory Statistics taught in mathematics departments (not including statistics departments) of four-year colleges and universities. Statistics and Probability enrollments in courses taught in mathematics programs at two-year colleges were up 104\% in 2015 over 2010 (280,000 students, SE 60,000),
compared with an increase of $17 \%$ in 2010 over 2005, and they nearly quadrupled from 2000 to 2015. When the number of students taking introductory statistics in four-year colleges' mathematics and statistics departments is combined, 347,000 students were enrolled in fall 2015 in four-year institutions, compared to 280,000 students at two-year colleges enrolled in Elementary Statistics. Enrollments in Elementary Statistics courses at two-year colleges were eighty-one percent (81\%) of the enrollments in introductory level statistics courses at four-year mathematics and statistics departments combined.

Computer science enrollments have been declining within mathematics departments at four-year and two-year institutions, as well as in statistics departments; in fall 2015, enrollments in computer science were estimated to contribute 68,000 enrollments toward the $2,594,000$ total enrollments in fouryear mathematics department. Estimated computer science enrollments in four-year mathematics departments, declined by a little more than $50 \%$ from fall 2000 to fall 2005, were up 35\% from fall 2005 to fall 2010, and in fall 2015 declined to about half of the fall 2000 estimate. The CBMS surveys ceased collecting computer science enrollments in two-year college mathematics programs with the 2005 survey, and in statistics departments of four-year institutions with the 2010 survey. Although well below the levels of the previous decade, enrollments in computer science courses offered in mathematics departments are still a significant source of mathematical sciences enrollments, particularly in bachelors-level departments, where they are primarily offered. Although the CBMS 2015 survey showed enrollments in computer science courses offered in mathematics departments were down, we will see later in this chapter that the estimated number of undergraduate computer science degrees awarded by mathematics departments in 2014-15 increased over the estimated number awarded 2009-10.

Tables with finer breakdowns of enrollments in four-year mathematics and statistics departments (including breakdown by the level (bachelors, masters, doctoral) of the department) are found in Chapters 3 and 5, and individual course enrollments are presented in Appendix I. Additional details on mathematics and statistics course enrollments in two-year colleges are found in Chapter 6.

## Academic year enrollments

CBMS surveys follow the NCES pattern and focus on only fall enrollments. However, CBMS surveys also have asked four-year mathematics and statistics departments to provide the enrollment for the previous 2014-15 academic year, and for the fall term 2014. Using this data, the ratio of full-year enrollment
to fall enrollment can be estimated. In 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015 these ratios in four-year mathematics departments were, respectively, 2,2 , 1.85 (SE 0.03), 1.75 (SE 0.03), 1.8 (SE 0.04), 1.74 (SE 0.11); in fall 2015, in statistics departments the ratio was 1.92 (SE 0.03). As noted in the CBMS 2005 survey, this decline in the ratio is likely due both to the decline in the quarter system (as shown in Table S. 3 of CBMS2005 - this data was not gathered in 2010 or 2015), and to the fact that fall semesters tend to have larger enrollments than spring semesters. However, some courses may have larger enrollments in the winter/spring term than in the fall term, and the 2015 CBMS survey asked four-year mathematics departments to provide Calculus II winter/spring 2015 enrollments; not including distance-learning enrollments, in Calculus II, four-year mathematics departments had an estimated 125,126 (SE 10,654) enrollments in fall 2015, and 147,056 (SE 14,312) enrollments in winter/spring 2015.

## Bachelors degrees in the mathematical sciences (Table S.3)

Table S. 3 presents the total number of bachelors degrees awarded through the nation's four-year mathematics and statistics departments (combined) in the academic years 1994-95, 1999-2000, 2004-5, 2009-10, and 2014-15. The survey instructions specify that double majors should be included in the count of degrees awarded. The degrees awarded are categorized as degrees in mathematics, mathematics education, statistics, computer science, actuarial mathematics, joint majors, or "other". Surveys of four-year mathematics departments conducted before 2010 contained the additional option of a major in operations research, and the numbers of operations research majors from those previous years have been added to the "other" category in Table S.3; furthermore, prior surveys broke down the category of joint majors into different subcategories, while the 2010 and 2015 surveys considered all joint majors as one category. Beginning in 2010 computer science degrees are counted only in mathematics departments. Table E.1.A in Chapter 3 gives the estimated numbers of bachelors degrees awarded by mathematics departments, and Table E.l.B gives the estimated numbers of bachelors degrees awarded by statistics departments; both tables give further breakdowns of the degrees awarded, including by the level (bachelors, masters, or doctoral) of the department awarding the undergraduate degree.

Table S .3 shows that the estimated total number of mathematical sciences bachelors degrees granted through the nation's four-year mathematics and statistics departments in the 2014-15 academic year was 26,234 degrees (SE 2,587), up from 21,377
degrees in 2009-10 (a 23\% and 1.9 SEs increase over 2009-10), and above the estimated 21,437 degrees awarded in 2004-5. The six previous CBMS surveys (see Table S .3 for the surveys of 1995, 2000, 2005, and 2010, and Table SE. 4 in CBMS2000 p. 14 for 1985 and 1990) reported a declining trend in the total number of bachelors degrees awarded by 4-year mathematics and statistics departments combined, and, that over the 25 years, 1985-2010, the estimated number of degrees awarded in the previous academic year had decreased by 13\% (see Figure S.3.1 and CBMS2000 Table SE. 4 p. 14). The 2015 estimate, while higher than any of the estimates in the last five CBMS surveys, is below the 1985 estimate of 27,928 (which included an estimated 8,691 degrees in computer science awarded by mathematical sciences departments), and, if the apparent increase is not due to statistical error, the CBMS2015 data indicate a reversal in the declining trend in the number of bachelors degrees awarded the previous academic year. An increase in the number of degrees awarded in 2014-15 might have been fueled by the increases in estimated enrollments observed in the CBMS surveys of 2010 and 2015. In the past CBMS survey reports cited above, the declining number of bachelors degrees in computer science awarded by mathematics departments was cited as the major reason for the decline in the estimated number of bachelors degrees awarded, for, when computer science degrees were removed from the count, the estimated number of degrees awarded by mathematics and statistics departments appeared relatively constant: 19,237 in 1984-85 (the first-year computer science degrees were tabulated), 19,380 degrees in 1989-90 and 19,241 degrees in 2009-10 (see Table S. 3 and SE. 4 in CBMS2000). However, first, the number of computer science degrees awarded by mathematics departments over the preceding academic year, 2014-2015, is the largest number recorded in the last five CBMS surveys (see Table S.3), and it is the largest number since the 1990 survey, which estimated that 5,075 degrees in computer science were awarded by mathematical sciences departments in 1989-90 (see Figures S.3.1 and S.3.2, and Table SE. 4 in CBMS2000 p. 14). Second, when we remove the estimated 3,968 computer science degrees from the estimated CBMS2015 total number of bachelors degrees awarded, the estimated total is 22,266 degrees awarded in 2014-15, larger than any estimated number of degrees awarded (with computer science degrees removed) reported in the CBMS surveys from 1985-2010. The standard error in this 2015 CBMS survey estimate of 22,266 degrees awarded in mathematics, statistics, actuarial mathematics, joint degrees, and "other" combined, in 2014-5, is about 2,008 degrees.

Table S. 3 and Figure S.3.2 show the breakdown of bachelors degrees awarded into the different cate-
gories of majors, over the last four CBMS surveys. The estimated number of bachelors degrees in mathematics education has been declining; the 2014-15 estimate is 42\% (6 SEs) less than the 1999-2000 estimate, and is the smallest estimate over the five surveys in Table S.3. The estimated number of bachelors degrees awarded in statistics has increased 76\% (6.7 SEs) since 2009-10, and the estimated number of bachelors degrees awarded in actuarial mathematics has increased even more, more than doubling since 2009-10. The number of bachelors degrees awarded in computer science, while small, and mainly confined to bachelors-level mathematics departments, is still a significant number; e.g. in 2014-15 it was about the same as the sum of bachelors degrees awarded in statistics and degrees awarded in actuarial mathematics in mathematics and statistics departments combined.

The 2014-2015 Taulbee Survey, an annual survey of U.S. and Canadian doctoral-level computer science, computer engineering, and information departments, published by the Computing Research Association, in its Table B. 1 reports that 13,514 undergraduate degrees in computer science were awarded by U.S. doctorallevel computer science departments in 2014-15 (compared with 7,836 undergraduate degrees in 200910); 17,401 computer science degrees were awarded by U.S. doctoral-level computer science departments when degrees in computer engineering and information are added (compared with 11,204 in 2009-10). Table B. 2 of that report shows that of the 14,834 undergraduate degrees in computer science that were awarded by U.S. and Canadian doctoral-level departments of computer science, computer engineering and information in 2014-15, and for whom the gender is known, $15.7 \%$ of the degree recipients were women (16.3\% when computer engineering and information systems degrees are added) [Computing Research Association, Taulbee Survey Report, 2014-15, is available at: http://cra.org/resources/taulbee/]. The Taulbee statistics on bachelors degrees awarded by only U.S. doctoral-level computer science departments can be compared to CBMS data on computer science bachelors degrees awarded by mathematics departments. The 3,868 degrees in computer science awarded by mathematics departments in 2014-15 represent 29\% of the 13,514 undergraduate degrees in computer science awarded by U.S. doctoral-level computer science departments in that same time period, so are a significant contribution to the nation's computer scientists. Moreover, women comprised 33\% of the computer science bachelors degrees awarded from mathematics departments in 2014-15, as opposed to about $16 \%$ of bachelors degrees awarded to women as reported for doctoral-level computer science, engineering and information departments in 2014-15. The Taulbee survey also reports big gains in enroll-
ments in computer science courses, that were not observed in the CBMS 2015 data. When, in Chapter 3, Table E.l.A, the computer science degrees produced by mathematics departments are broken down by the level of department awarding the degree, it will be evident that, in 2014-15, the computer science degrees given in mathematics departments were awarded most frequently by the bachelors-level mathematics departments.

The CBMS 2015 survey defined a "joint major" as "a student who completes a single major in your department that integrates courses from mathematics and some other program or department, and typically requires fewer credit hours than is the sum of the credit hours required by the separate majors". "Double majors", students who complete two separate majors, were counted in the CBMS survey according to the category of mathematics or statistics major they complete. The CBMS 2010 and 2015 surveys grouped all joint mathematics majors into one category of "joint majors", rather than breaking them down into possible kinds of joint majors, which had been the CBMS survey practice before 2010. In 2014-2015, the estimated number of degrees awarded in the category of joint majors was up about 50\% from 2009-10. The category of degrees in "other" was small in 201415, but almost four times higher than the number of degrees awarded in 2009-10; one can only speculate about what "other" might include - possibly operations research or some other kind of degree in statistics.

Table S. 3 also shows that the percentage of bachelors degrees awarded to women through U.S. mathematic and statistics departments combined has remained relatively constant; it was estimated at 43\% in 1999-2000, 40\% in 2004-5, 43\% in 2009-10, and $42 \%$ in 2014-15. When degrees in computer science degrees awarded by mathematics department are excluded, then the estimated percentage of bachelors degrees awarded to women through U.S. mathematics and statistics departments was $47 \%$ in 1990-2000, $44 \%$ in 2004-5, 45\% in 2009-10, and $43 \%$ in 201415. Tables E.1.A and E.1.B in Chapter 3 show that these percentages vary across levels of mathematics and statistics departments.

NCES also provides data on the numbers of bachelors degrees awarded; these data come from the IPEDS data submitted by a university office, while the CBMS survey data and the Annual Survey data come from the department chairs. The NCES, Annual survey, and the CBMS estimates of number of degrees awarded are not identical. Unlike the Annual survey and CBMS data, the NCES data do not always include double majors or mathematics education majors, and the NCES data may not include computer science majors given in a mathematics department in the totals of mathematics degrees awarded. NCES data is census data, while Annual Survey and CBMS data

TABLE S. 4 Percentage of fall 2015 sections (excluding distance-learning sections) in courses of various types taught in mathematics and statistics departments of colleges and universities by various types of instructors, and percentage of sections taught by full-time and part-time faculty in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges in fall 2015, with data for fall 2010 from CBMS2010 Table S.5, p. 15, and data for fall 2005 from CBMS2005 Table S.6, p. 15. Also total enrollments (in 1000s).

|  | Percentage of sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four-Year Colleges \& Universities | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tenured/ } \\ \text { tenure-eligible } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Other full-time \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Part-time } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Graduate teaching assistants \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unknown } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Total enrollment in 1000s |
| Mathematics Department courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Precollege level 2015 | nc | nc | nc | nc | nc | 244 |
| Precollege level 2010 | 18 | 20 | 44 | 9 | 9 | 201 |
| Precollege level 2005 | 9 | 25 | 46 | 14 | 5 | 199 |
| Introductory level 2015 | nc | nc | nc | nc | nc | 954 |
| Introductory level 2010 | 32 | 22 | 27 | 8 | 10 | 834 |
| Introductory level 2005 | 31 | 25 | 28 | 10 | 6 | 695 |
| Calculus level 2015 | 52 | 24 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 790 |
| Calculus level 2010 | 59 | 15 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 743 |
| Calculus level 2005 | 61 | 17 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 583 |
| Upper level 2015 | 70 |  |  |  | 30 | 154 |
| Upper level 2010 | 78* |  |  |  | 23* | 150 |
| Statistics courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Introductory level 2015 | 41 | 21 | 25 | 4 | 8 | 235 |
| Introductory level 2010 | 48 | 14 | 22 | 4 | 12 | 218 |
| Introductory level 2005 | 49 | 16 | 28 | 3 | 3 | 145 |
| Upper level 2015 sections | 53 |  |  |  | 47 | 60 |
| Upper level 2010 sections | 77* |  |  |  | 23* | 32 |
| Computer Science courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower level 2015 | 46 | 20 | 14 | 0 | 21 | 44 |
| Lower level 2010 | 50 | 17 | 29 | 1 | 3 | 52 |
| Lower level 2005 | 63 | 12 | 17 | 1 | 8 | 43 |
| Statistics Department Courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Introductory level 2015 | 14 | 25 | 10 | 31 | 20 | 90 |
| Introductory level 2010 | 33 | 17 | 12 | 15 | 23 | 77 |
| Introductory level 2005 | 25 | 21 | 13 | 20 | 21 | 53 |
| Upper level 2015 | 55 |  |  |  | 45 | 50 |
| Upper level 2010 | 79* |  |  |  | 21* | 27 |
| Two-Year College Mathematics Programs | Full-time ${ }^{2}$ |  | Part-time |  |  |  |
| All 2015 sections | 64 |  | 36 |  |  | 1693 |
| All 2010 sections | 54 |  | 46 |  |  | 1836 |
| All 2005 sections | 56 |  | 44 |  |  | 1616 |
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FIGURE S.4.1 Percentage of sections in calculus-level mathematics courses in mathematics departments at four-year colleges and universities by type of instructor in fall 2015. Deficits from $100 \%$ represent unknown instructors.
are estimates based upon a stratified random sample. The data on number of bachelors degrees awarded from these three reports is compared and discussed in Chapter 3.

## Appointment type of instructors in undergraduate mathematics and statistics sections (Tables S. 4 through S.8)

CBMS2015 Tables S. 4 through S. 8 provide information about who is teaching undergraduate mathematics and statistics sections in four-year and two-year colleges and universities. For the CBMS 2015 survey, faculty at four-year institutions were broken into four categories: tenured and tenure-eligible (TTE), other full-time faculty (OFT) who are full-time but not TTE, part-time (PT) faculty, and graduate teaching assistants (GTAs); in the statistics survey, the category of OFT faculty was broken down by whether the instructor had a doctorate. A course was to be reported as being taught by a GTA if and only if the GTA was the "instructor of record" for the course. GTAs who ran discussion or recitation sections as part of a lecture/recitation course were not included in this category. For two-year colleges, full-time faculty were broken into three categories: full-time permanent faculty (usually tenured), full-time continuing faculty (usually non-tenured), and other temporary full-time faculty. A fourth category includes part-time faculty. Tables S.4-S. 8 are broken down further, by courses and by the level of the department, in tables in Chapters 3, 5, 6, and 7 .

In CBMS surveys of four-year departments, prior to 2010 the TTE category was labeled "tenured/
tenure-eligible" on the survey questionnaire, and in the 2010 survey the word "permanent" was an added description, and the instructions for the questionnaire told departments at institutions that did not recognize tenure (estimated at 7.9\% (SE 2.5) of all four-year mathematics departments in the CBMS 2015 survey) to place permanent faculty in the TTE category. In the 2010 survey, the label "permanent" was added to the description of the TTE category on the questionnaire, and this change may have led some respondents to add to the TTE category other instructors that should have been classified as OFT instructors, namely those instructors at institutions that do recognize tenure, who have teaching positions that are regarded as permanent, although these faculty do not have tenure and are not eligible for tenure. The survey instructions did not define "permanent" beyond the situation where the institution does not recognize tenure, and it seems quite possible that some departments interpreted "permanent faculty" to have this additional meaning, and some of the data in 2010 suggested that this was the case. Hence, the word "permanent" was deleted from the TTE description on the 2015 instrument (returning to the description used in 2005 and previously), and this change may explain some of the decrease in the estimated numbers of TTE faculty (and increase in OFT faculty) in Tables S.4-S. 8 in four-year departments observed from 2010 to 2015.

The 2015 CBMS survey followed the practice established in the 2005 survey of presenting findings in terms of percentages of "sections" offered in four-year institutions (in CBMS2000 and earlier, the data were presented in terms of percentages of enrollments). In

TABLE S. 5 Percentage of fall 2015 sections in Mainstream Calculus I and II (not including distance-learning and dual enrollment sections) taught by various kinds of instructors in mathematics departments at four-year colleges and universities by size of sections with fall 2005 and 2010 data from CBMS2010 Table S.6, p. 18. Percentage of sections taught by full-time and part-time faculty in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges in fall 2015, 2010, and 2005. Also total enrollments (in 1000s) and average section sizes.

|  | Percentage of sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four-Year Colleges \& Universities | Tenured/ tenure-eligible ${ }^{1}$ \% | Other full-time \% | Part-time \% | Graduate teaching assistants \% | Unknown \% | Enrollment in 1000s | Average section size |
| Mainstream Calculus I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lecture with separate recitation | 39 | 33 | 15 | 5 | 9 | 145 | 63 |
| Sections that meet as a class | 57 | 18 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 108 | 27 |
| Other sections | 26 | 38 | 15 | 21 | 0 | 2 | 22 |
| Course total 2015 | 50 | 24 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 255 | 40 |
| Course total 2010 | 53 | 18 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 234 | 35 |
| Course total 2005 | 63 | 17 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 201 | 32 |
| Mainstream Calculus II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lecture with separate recitation | 49 | 34 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 72 | 61 |
| Sections that meet as a class | 56 | 22 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 52 | 26 |
| Other sections | 58 | 17 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 23 |
| Course total 2015 | 54 | 26 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 125 | 39 |
| Course total 2010 | 59 | 14 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 128 | 36 |
| Course total 2005 | 66 | 15 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 85 | 33 |
| Total Mainstream Calculus I \& II 2015 | 51 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 381 | 40 |
| Total Mainstream Calculus I \& II 2010 | 55 | 16 | 14 | 7 | 8 | 362 | 35 |
| Total Mainstream Calculus I \& II 2005 | 64 | 16 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 286 | 32 |
| Two-Year Colleges | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Full-time }{ }^{2} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Part-time } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Mainstream Calculus I 2015 | 82 |  | 18 |  |  | 62 | 26 |
| Mainstream Calculus I 2010 | 90 |  | 10 |  |  | 63 | 20 |
| Mainstream Calculus I 2005 | 88 |  | 12 |  |  | 49 | 22 |
| Mainstream Calculus II 2015 | 88 |  | 12 |  |  | 32 | 26 |
| Mainstream Calculus II 2010 | 86 |  | 14 |  |  | 29 | 24 |
| Mainstream Calculus II 2005 | 87 |  | 13 |  |  | 19 | 18 |
| Total Mainstream Calculus I \& II 2015 | 84 |  | 16 |  |  | 94 | 26 |
| Total Mainstream Calculus I \& II 2010 | 89 |  | 11 |  |  | 93 | 21 |
| Total Mainstream Calculus I \& II 2005 | 87 |  | 13 |  |  | 68 | 21 |
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FIGURE S.5.1 Percentage of sections in Mainstream Calculus I taught by tenured/tenure-eligible, other fulltime, part-time, and graduate teaching assistants in mathematics departments at four-year colleges and universities by type of sections in fall 2015. Deficits from 100\% represent unknown instructors.
analyzing the 2010 survey data, it seemed that the notion of "section" varied somewhat among different departments, particularly for lower-level classes that were taught with a laboratory component. A further, and possibly related, problem experienced in the 2015 surveys was the inconsistent numbers of faculty and sections reported by some departments; this problem had occurred in past surveys, and was resolved by creating the category of "unknown" instructors. The 2015 survey defined more clearly what constitutes a "section", and provided a place to enter enrollments that were not taught in a lecture/recitation or an individual section format. Further, the 2015 survey collected data on the appointment type of the instructor for only calculus-level mathematics classes, introductory statistics classes, and computer science classes; no data on the appointment type of the instructor in precollege or introductory-level mathematics classes was collected. In advanced-level mathematics and statistics classes, the survey gathered the number of sections with a TTE instructor, and listed the rest as "other".

Table S. 4 gives a macroscopic view of the faculty who taught calculus-level, introductory statistics, and computer-science courses in mathematics and statistics departments of four-year colleges and universities, and all courses combined in the mathematics programs at two-year colleges in the fall of 2015, as well as comparison data from CBMS2005 and 2010. Estimated fall 2015 total enrollments (without distance learning enrollments) for each of these course categories are also given. In Chapter 3, Tables E. 5 and E. 6 break down some of the data on four-year
departments in Table S. 4 by the level (bachelors, masters, doctoral) of the mathematics and statistics department, revealing important trends in the data. Table S .4 shows a general pattern of decreasing percentages of sections taught by TTE faculty, and increasing percentages taught by OFT and PT faculty. As one example, the estimated percentage of sections of calculus-level courses taught in four-year mathematics departments by TTE faculty decreased from $61 \%$ in fall 2005 , to $59 \%$ in fall 2010 , to $52 \%$ (SE 2) in fall 2015 , and the percentage taught by OFT faculty increased from $15 \%$ in fall 2010 to $24 \%$ (SE 2) in fall 2015. Figure S.4.1 shows the percentages of sections of calculus-level courses taught by each category of faculty in fall 2015. It is interesting to note that the percentage of sections of introductory-level statistics taught by TTE four-year mathematics faculty, in fall 2015, was estimated at $41 \%$ (SE 2), while the percentage of sections of introductory-level statistics taught by TTE faculty in statistics departments statistics was estimated at 14\% (SE 1); moreover, Table S. 4 data estimate that, in fall 2015, 31\% (SE 2) of introductory-level statistics sections in statistics departments were taught by GTAs, while only $7 \%$ (SE 1) of calculus-level mathematics sections were taught by GTAs. Differences in the appointment type of instructors in introductory-level statistics taught in four-year mathematics departments and statistics departments are partially due to the fact that, in fall 2015, introductory-level statistics course enrollment in mathematics departments occurred primarily in the bachelors-level departments.

TABLE S. 6 Percentage of sections in Non-Mainstream Calculus I and II, III, etc. taught by various kinds of instructors in mathematics departments at four-year colleges and universities by size of sections, and percentage of sections taught by full-time and part-time faculty in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges in fall 2015. Also total enrollments (in 1000s) and average section sizes. Distance-learning and dual enrollment sections are not included. (Data in parentheses show percentage of sections in 2005 and 2010.) Comparable table in CBMS2010 is Table S.7, p. 20.

|  | Percentage of sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four-Year Colleges \& Universities | Tenured/ tenure-eligible ${ }^{1}$ \% | Other full-time \% | Parttime \% | Graduate teaching assistants \% | Unknown \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Enroll- } \\ \text { ment } \\ \text { in } 1000 \text { s } \end{gathered}$ | Average section size |
| Non-Mainstream Calculus I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lecture with separate recitation | 29 | 47 | 17 | 2 | 6 | 30 | 84 |
| Sections that meet as a class | 28 | 24 | 20 | 20 | 8 | 60 | 34 |
| Other sections | 0 | 56 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 2 | 61 |
| Course total 2015 <br> (2005, 2010) | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ (35,31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ (23,24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (21,23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (13,12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (9,11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \\ (108,99) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ (37,42) \end{gathered}$ |
| Non-Mainstream Calculus II, III, etc. ${ }^{2}$ <br> Course total 2015 $(2005,2010)$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ (33,34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (26,15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ (23,17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (17,11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (1,22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (10,22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ (46,29) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Non-Mnstrm Calculus I \& II, III, etc. $(2005,2010)$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ (35,31) \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ (21,21) \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (13,12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (8,14) \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 106 \\ (118,121) \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ (38,39) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Two-Year Colleges | $\begin{gathered} \text { Full-time }{ }^{3} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Part- } \\ \text { time } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Mainstream Calculus I $(2005,2010)$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ (73,75) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ (27,25) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ (20,19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (23,21) \end{gathered}$ |
| Non-Mainstream Calculus II | 100 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(21,27)$ |
| Total Non-Mnstrm Calculus I \& II $(2005,2010)$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ (72,73) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ (28,27) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ (21,21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (23,21) \end{gathered}$ |

[^2]TABLE S. 7 Percentage of sections in introductory probability and statistics courses taught by various types of instructors in mathematics departments at four-year colleges and universities by size of sections, and percentage of sections taught by fulltime and part-time faculty in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges in fall 2015; comparable data for (2005, 2010) when available. Also total enrollments (in 1000s) and average section sizes. Distance-learning and dual enrollments are not included. (Data in parentheses show percentage of sections in 2005 and 2010.) Comparable table in CBMS2010 is Table S.8, p. 21.

|  | Percentage of sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four-Year Colleges \& Universities Mathematics Departments | Tenured/ tenure-eligible ${ }^{1}$ \% | Other full-time \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Part-time } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Graduate teaching assistants \% | Unknown \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Enroll- } \\ & \text { ment } \\ & \text { in 1000s } \end{aligned}$ | Average section size |
| Introductory Statistics (F1) ${ }^{3}$ (no calculus prerequisite) ${ }^{2}$ <br> Lecture with separate recitation Sections that meet as a class Other sections | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \\ & 38 \\ & 29 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 22 \\ & 63 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ 28 \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 4 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ 8 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ 146 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ 29 \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Course total (F1) } \\ (2005,2010) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ (51,46) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 23 \\ (16,15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 26 \\ (27,24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \\ (3,4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (4,12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 188 \\ (122,174) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 32 \\ (31,31) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Introductory Statistics (F2) (calculus prerequisite) (not for majors) <br> Lecture with separate recitation <br> Sections that meet as a class <br> Other sections | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ 64 \\ 100 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 13 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ 15 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 24 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & 29 \\ & 33 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Course total (F2) (2010) | 63 (61) | 12 (16) | 18 (10) | 2 (7) | 5 (6) | 34 (23) | 33 (24) |
| Statistics for Pre-service Teachers (F3,F4) Course total (F3, F4) | 39 | 10 | 11 | 42 | 0 | 1 | 16 |
| Other intoductory level Statistics courses (F5) <br> Course total (F5) | 33 | 22 | 34 | 0 | 10 | 11 | 33 |
| Total All Intro. Statistics courses Course total (F1+F2+F3+F4+F5) | 41 | 21 | 25 | 4 | 8 | 235 | 32 |
| Two-Year Colleges | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Full-time }{ }^{4} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Part-time } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total All Introductory Probability and Statistics Courses $(2005,2010)$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ (65,61) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (35,39) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 247 \\ (101,114) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (26,28) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
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FIGURE S.7.1 Percentage of sections in Introductory Statistics (no Calculus prerequisite) taught by tenured/tenureeligible, other full-time, part-time, and graduate teaching assistants in mathematics departments at four-year colleges and universities by type of sections in fall 2015. Deficits from $100 \%$ represent unknown instructors.

Calculus courses are important for the mathematics major, as well as for many other STEM majors, and hence CBMS surveys have paid particular attention to calculus courses. The 2015 survey made the same simplifying assumptions about calculus courses that were made in recent CBMS surveys. First, the CBMS survey divided all calculus courses into two pieces: "Mainstream Calculus" and "Non-Mainstream Calculus". "Mainstream Calculus" consists of the calculus courses that are prerequisites for upperlevel mathematics courses, as well as courses required in the physical sciences and in engineering, while "Non-Mainstream Calculus" is all of the other calculus courses (often with titles such as "Calculus for Business and Social Science" or "Calculus for the Life Sciences").

Table S. 5 presents the estimated percentages of sections taught by faculty of the various appointment types, for Mainstream Calculus I and II, in fall 2015, and includes the comparable data from 2010, for courses offered in four-year mathematics departments, and in public two-year college mathematics programs. Table S. 6 provides this same data for Non-Mainstream Calculus. Table S. 7 provides the data for introductory statistics courses, broken down by course, offered in four-year and two-year mathematics departments, and in statistics departments, and Table S. 8 provides data for statistics courses for non-majors/minors offered in statistics departments. Tables S.5-S. 8 also present total (non-distance
learning) enrollments and average section size. Data on computer science courses is provided in Chapter 3, Tables E. 7 and E.8. Further detail on the appointment type of sections of courses taken by beginning students at four-year colleges and universities is given in Chapter 5, Tables FY.1, FY.2, FY.3, and FY.4.

In public two-year colleges, the percentage of mathematics and statistics sections taught by full-time faculty increased by ten points to $64 \%$ ( 4 SEs ) in fall 2015 compared with fall 2010. Chapter 6, Table TYE. 9 presents the number of sections and percentage of sections in specific courses taught on campus (excluding distance learning and dual enrollment) by part-time faculty in public two-year colleges in fall 2015.

There has been some concern in previous CBMS studies, as well as in studies made by the American Mathematical Society [LM], about the apparently growing use of part-time instructors in four-year mathematics departments. When faculty demographics are discussed later in this chapter, we will note that from fall 2010 to 2015 the number of part-time faculty in four-year mathematics departments increased $27 \%$, and increased $22 \%$ in statistics departments (see Table S.13). No clear pattern on the changing use of PT faculty in 2015 emerges from the tables described in this section, except, perhaps, the decreasing use of part-time faculty in lower-level computer science courses, where that estimated percentage dropped from $29 \%$ in fall 2010 to $14 \%$ (SE 3) in fall 2015 (Table

TABLE S. 8 Percentage of sections in introductory statistics for non-majors/minors taught by various kinds of instructors in statistics departments at four-year colleges and universities by size of sections in fall 2015. Also, total enrollments (in 1000s) and average section sizes. Distance-learning enrollments are not included. Comparable table in CBMS2010 is Table S.9, p. 24.

${ }^{1}$ Beginning in 2010, the CBMS survey added the word "permanent" to the description "tenured/tenure eligible" that was used previously.
${ }^{2}$ Previous CBMS surveys gathered data for a course described as Probability and Statistics (no calculus prerequisite). Beginning in 2010, this description was replaced with Introductory Statistics (calculus prerequisite) (for non-majors).
${ }^{3}$ In previous CBMS surveys, this course was called "Elementary Statistics".
${ }^{4}$ E1 is the statistics course number on the four-year statistics survey form.
Sums of percentages across rows may differ from $100 \%$ due to round-off.
S.4); we also noted from Table S. 2 a drop in enrollment in those courses. It is interesting to note that, in fall 2015 , by Table S.4, the percentage of sections of introductory level statistics taught by PT instructors in four-year statistics departments was less than half that in mathematics departments, a trend that held in 2005 as well (in 2010 the percentage was slightly more than half). In past CBMS surveys, the greatest use of part-time faculty occurred in precollege and introduc-tory-level courses, the categories whose enrollments showed the most increase from fall 2010 to fall 2015 (Table S.2); however, data on the appointment type of
the instructor in those sections were not collected in the CBMS survey in 2015.

The 2015 CBMS surveys of four-year mathematical sciences departments made the assumption that calculus (and also introductory statistics) courses are generally taught either in large lecture sections that are broken into smaller recitation, discussion, or laboratory sections (typically with a graduate teaching assistant leading these sections), or in "individual classes" that always meet with the same instructor and students. Knowing that there are other possible arrangements (e.g. laboratories where students
work in a self-paced manner), the 2015 survey also included the category "other" to include neither of the above descriptions. The CBMS four-year questionnaires asked departments for enrollments, number of sections, and ranks of instructors for each of these three typical modes of instruction. Previous CBMS surveys broke the individual classes into "small" and "large" classes, and had no category "other". The differing trichotomies make comparisons between the 2010 and 2015 data on sections somewhat problematic.

Table S. 5 presents the estimated percentages of the various appointment type of instructors for Mainstream Calculus I and II sections, for each of the three kinds of section structures: large lecture/recitation sections, sections that meet as a class, and other, in mathematics departments of four-year colleges and universities in fall 2015. This table also gives the estimated total (non-distance learning) enrollment and estimated average section size for each of these three kinds of sections of calculus courses in four-year mathematics departments. It presents some comparison data from the 2005 and 2010 CBMS surveys. Chapter 5, Table FY.1, breaks these percentages down by the level of department, revealing further trends in Mainstream Calculus instruction. Figure S.5.1 displays the percentages of the various ranks of instructors for the three kinds of sections of Mainstream Calculus I in four-year mathematics departments. Table S. 5 gives further data: the percentage of sections of Mainstream Calculus I and II taught by full-time faculty in public two-year colleges, as well as the total enrollments and
the average section sizes. Table S .6 gives the analogous percentages for Non-Mainstream Calculus I and II, and Chapter 5, Table FY. 2 breaks these percentages down by the level of department for four-year mathematics departments.

From Table S. 5 (and Table S. 6 in CBMS2010) we see that the percentage of sections of Mainstream Calculus I taught by TTE faculty decreased from 63\% in 2005 , to $53 \%$ in 2010 , to $50 \%$ (SE 3) in 2015, and the percentage of sections taught by OFT faculty rose, from $17 \%$ in 2005, to $18 \%$ in 2010 , to $24 \%$ (SE 2) in 2015. In fall 2015, the type of section with the largest percentage of sections taught by TTE faculty was the one that meets as a class. The average section size of Mainstream Calculus I sections increased from 32 students in 2005 , to 35 students in 2010, to 40 (SE 2) in 2015. Looking at the three different kinds of sections of Mainstream Calculus I, we see that enrollments in the lecture/recitation format are the largest, and the total enrollment in "other" sections is quite small $(2,000$, with $\operatorname{SE} 1,800)$, and in "other" sections there is the greatest use of OFT, PT, and GTAs. Notice that Mainstream Calculus I estimated enrollment increased from 201,000 in 2005, to 234,000 in 2010 , to 255,000 in 2015, an increase of $27 \%$ ( 2.4 SEs ) in 2015 over 2005. Similar trends occurred in Mainstream Calculus II, where the estimated percentage of sections taught by TTE faculty decreased from $66 \%$ in 2005 , to $59 \%$ in 2010 , to $54 \%$ (SE 3) in 2015, and the percentage of sections taught by OFT faculty increased, from $15 \%$ in 2005 , to $14 \%$ in 2010, to $26 \%$ (SE 2) in 2015. The total estimated


FIGURE S.8.1 Percentage of sections in Introductory Statistics (no Calculus prerequisite) taught by tenured/tenure-eligible/permanent faculty, other full-time faculty, part-time faculty, and graduate teaching assistants in statistics departments at four-year colleges and universities by type of sections in fall 2010.

TABLE S. 9 Percentage of sections of Mainstream Calculus I and II taught using various instructional methods in mathematics programs in public two-year college mathematics programs in fall 2015. Also total enrollments (in 1000s) and average section sizes. Distance-learning and dual enrollment sections are not included.

|  | Percentage of sections taught using |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Two-Year Colleges | Common <br> Department <br> exams <br> $\%$ | Homework <br> Management <br> system <br> $\%$ | Enrollment <br> in 1000s | Average <br> section <br> size |
| Mainstream Calculus I | 88 | 37 | 62 | 26 |
| Mainstream Calculus II | 85 | 34 | 32 | 26 |
| Total Mainstream Calculus I \& II | 86 | 34 | 94 | 26 |

enrollment in Mainstream Calculus II increased from an estimated 85,000 in 2005 to an estimated 128,000 in 2010, and then decreased (but not significantly) to 125,000 (SE 10,000) in 2015, and enrollment in each of the three formats of Mainstream Calculus II class were almost exactly half of the enrollment in the corresponding type in Mainstream Calculus I. Since the estimated number of TTE faculty was down, and the number of OFT faculty was up, in 2015 over 2010 (Table S.15), it is not surprising that a smaller percentage of Mainstream Calculus sections were taught by TTE faculty, and that Mainstream Calculus average section sizes rose.

In public two-year colleges, Table S. 5 displays a total of 94,000 students enrolled in Mainstream Calculus I and II. Tables TYE. 3 and TYE. 12 in Chapter 6, present an additional 6,000 students (total 100,000 students, SE 11,000 ) enrolled in a distance-learning format. The percentage of sections of Mainstream Calculus I taught by full-time faculty decreased to $82 \%$ (SE 3) in 2015 from $90 \%$ in 2010, and the average section size increased to 26 (SE 1) students in 2015 from 20 students in 2010. In Mainstream Calculus II at two-year colleges, the percentage of sections taught by full-time faculty increased to 88\% (SE 3) in 2015 from $86 \%$ in 2010 , and the average section size increased to 26 (SE 1) students in 2015 from 24 students in 2010. Also see Tables TYE. 8 and TYE. 9 in Chapter 6.

Table S. 6 presents the analogous data for Non-Mainstream Calculus I, II and above. First note that at four-year mathematics departments the estimated percentage of TTE faculty teaching Non-Mainstream Calculus I in fall 2015 was 28\% (SE 3), a little more than half the estimated percentage of TTE faculty teaching Mainstream Calculus I, and the estimated percentage of GTAs teaching Non-Mainstream Calculus I was $17 \%$ (SE 3), compared to $7 \%$ for Mainstream Calculus I. In 2015, Non-Mainstream

Calculus I had larger enrollments in the format where sections meet as a class, than in the lecture/recitation format, a reverse of enrollment pattern for Mainstream Calculus I. For Non-Mainstream Calculus II and above, the CBMS questionnaire asked only about the course enrollment, without distinguishing the three possible section formats that were used for the other calculus sections.

Table S. 6 displays 23,000 students in Non-Mainstream Calculus I in 2015 in public two-year college mathematics programs. Tables TYE. 3 and TYE. 12 in Chapter 6, present an additional 3,000 students (total 26,000 students, SE 7,000) enrolled in a distance learning format. The average section size was up five students to 26 (SE 1) students from 2010 to 2015, and the percentage of sections taught by full-time faculty was down four points to $71 \%$ (SE 10) in 2015. Non-Mainstream Calculus II estimated enrollment decreased to less than 500 students in 2015, compared to 2,000 students in 2010 . Average class size was 26 students, and the percentage of fulltime faculty teaching it was $100 \%$ in 2015 compared to $50 \%$ in 2010.

Introductory statistics courses are becoming important courses in mathematics and statistics departments. Their enrollments have been growing, and there is increased interest in who is teaching them, and how they are taught. We consider first the data in Table S.7, regarding the courses taught in mathematics departments in four-year colleges and universities, and in two-year college mathematics programs; next, in Table S.8, we consider the data regarding introductory statistics courses taught in statistics departments.

The 2015 CBMS survey included five introduc-tory-level statistics courses taught in mathematics departments of four-year colleges and universities, all for non-majors/minors: one course (question number
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FIGURE S.9.1 Percentage of sections of Mainstream Calculus I and Mainstream Calculus II taught using various instructional methods in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges in fall 2015.
(F1) on mathematics survey, and (E1) on statistics survey) called "Introductory Statistics" (no calculus prerequisite) and another course called "Introductory Statistics" (calculus prerequisite - but still for non-majors/minors) (labelled (F2), (E2), respectively); enrollments in both courses were broken down by the section format structure used in gathering calculus course data. In addition, there were two courses for pre-service teachers ((F3), (F4) and (E3), (E4), respectively), and a course labelled "other" ((F5), (E5), respectively). Only courses (F1), (F2) were identical to the introductory courses described on the CBMS 2010 survey of four-year mathematics departments; the list of introductory courses on the statistics questionnaire in 2015 was the same list as in 2010. In fall 2015, Table S. 7 shows that Introductory Statistics without calculus, in mathematics departments (course (F1)), had an estimated total (non-distance learning) enrollment of 174,000 in fall 2010, up $43 \%$ from fall 2005; the 2015 estimate is 188,000 (SE 15,000) (up 8\% (0.9 SEs) from 2010). This enrollment places estimated Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisite) enrollments almost midway between Mainstream Calculus I enrollments of 255,000 and Mainstream Calculus II enrollments of 125,000 , as it was in the 2010 CBMS survey. The Introductory Statistics for non/major/minors, with a calculus prerequisite (course (F2)), was an addition to the list of statistics courses in the CBMS 2010 survey, and its appearance reflected the fact that many non-majors/minors have studied calculus. As shown in Table S.7, the introductory statistics course with a calculus prerequisite
enrolled an additional roughly 34,000 students in fall 2015, up from 23,000 students in fall 2010 , and, with "other introductory probability and statistics courses", the total of all introductory probability and statistics enrollment in four-year mathematics departments in fall 2015 was 235,000 (SE 18,630), up from 218,000 in fall 2010. Table S .7 is broken down further by the level of the four-year mathematics department in Chapter 5, Table FY.3.

Table S. 7 and Figure S.7.1 show that in four-year mathematics departments in fall 2015, 41\% (SE 2) of the sections of all the introductory probability and statistics courses combined were taught by TTE faculty, and $25 \%$ (SE 2) of the sections were taught by PT faculty, and $21 \%$ (SE 2) by OFT faculty; the average section size was 32 (SE 0.89). The introductory statistics course with a calculus prerequisite (course (F2)) had a larger percentage (63\%) of instructors who were TTE faculty than the course without a calculus prerequisite (course (F1)); only 18\% of the instructors in the course with a calculus prerequisite (F2) were part-time faculty.

Table S. 7 also shows that mathematics programs at public two-year colleges enrolled approximately 247,000 students in elementary statistics and probability courses. Table TYE. 12 presents an additional 33,000 students enrolled in distance learning format (total 280,000 students, SE 70,000). At two-year mathematics programs, the two courses in elementary statistics (one including probability and one without probability) saw an increase of $117 \%$ in the combined enrollment in 2015 compared with 2010 (not including
distance learning or dual enrollment), following a $13 \%$ increase from 2005 to 2010 . Eighty percent ( $80 \%$ with SE 5) of the sections were taught by full-time faculty (up from $61 \%$ in 2010), and the average section size was 26 (SE 5) students (down from 28 in 2010). Also see Tables TYE.3, TYE.8, TYE.8.1, and TYE. 12 in Chapter 6.

Table S. 8 and Figure S.8.1 present the data for introductory-level courses for non-majors/minors offered in statistics departments, analogous to the data for mathematics departments presented in Table S. 7 and Figure S.7.1. As with these courses in four-year mathematics departments, both courses were broken down into the three formats of sections: lecture/recitation sections, sections that meet as a class, and "other" sections. In fall 2015 (respectively, 2010) in statistics departments, the introductory course with a calculus prerequisite (E2) enrolled an estimated 20,000 students with SE 1,000 (respectively 16,000 students), compared to 66,000 with SE 2,000 (respectively 56,000 ) in the course without a calculus prerequisite (E1). In fall 2015, more than half of the students enrolled in the introductory course with a calculus prerequisite (E2) were enrolled in a section with the lecture/recitation format (this is the case for $61 \%$ of the students in the introductory course without a calculus prerequisite (E1)). The average section size for the sections that meet as a class were of comparable size to those in the lecture/recitation format; for example, in the Introductory Statistics with no calculus prerequisite, the average section size in the lecture/recitation format was 60 (SE 4), and in the "meets as a class" format the average section size was 62 (SE 3). Further comparisons between the two introductory courses are as one would expect for a course with a prerequisite, compared to one without a prerequisite. In the course without a calculus prerequisite ( E 1 ), in fall 2015, the percentage of sections taught by TTE faculty was estimated at only $13 \%$, less than half the estimated percentage in 2010, and, in 2015, a higher percentage of sections were taught by both OFT faculty and GTAs than in 2010. Chapter 5, Table FY. 4 breaks the data in Table S. 8 down further by the level of department.

## Pedagogical methods used in introductory courses Tables S.9-S. 12

Past CBMS surveys have contained questions regarding how introductory courses are taught. The 2010 survey of four-year mathematics departments asked about pedagogy only in College Algebra and in Introductory Statistics with no calculus prerequisite, while the survey of statistics departments asked only about Introductory Statistics with no calculus prerequisite (using the same questions as the four-year mathematics survey, so that these responses could be compared). The 2010 survey asked similar questions
about College Algebra on the four-year and two-year surveys, so some comparisons between two-year and four-year mathematics departments could be made. In 2010, the two-year college survey asked fewer questions about a limited set of reform methods than in previous CBMS surveys. With a few small changes, the CBMS 2015 survey of four-year mathematics and statistics departments repeated the questions about Introductory Statistics that were asked in 2010, and the survey of public two-year colleges revised the questions asked in 2010 about methods used to teach Mainstream Calculus, Non-Mainstream Calculus, and Elementary Statistics to include data regarding common department exams and homework management systems. Questions about how College Algebra was taught were not repeated in the 2015 survey.

Tables S.9, S.10, and S. 11 present data on instructional practices in Mainstream Calculus, Non-Mainstream Calculus, and Elementary Statistics courses taught in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges, presenting the percentages of sections taught using homework management systems and common department exams. In public two-year colleges in fall 2015, Mainstream Calculus I courses used homework management systems in 37\% (SE 4) of sections and had common department exams in $88 \%$ (SE 3) of sections. Similar percentages were reported for Calculus II. Non-Mainstream Calculus data reported 66\% (SE 13) of sections using homework management systems and a small percentage ( $9 \%$ with SE 4) using common department exams. Statistics courses used homework management systems in $55 \%$ (SE 12) of sections and had common department exams in 39\% (SE 14) of sections. The corresponding Figures S.9.1, S.10.1, and S.11.1 display this data in bar graphs. Percentages of on-campus sections of specific mathematics courses at public two-year colleges using these methods can be found in Table TYE. 10 of Chapter 6.

Introductory-level statistics course enrollments showed tremendous growth from 2005 to 2015. At four-year mathematics departments and statistics departments combined, the estimated enrollments in introductory-level statistics courses grew by $54 \%$ from 2005 to 2010; smaller growth, an estimated $11 \%$ increase, was observed from 2010-2015 in the intro-ductory-level courses (Table S.2). At two-year colleges, estimated enrollments in Elementary Statistics increased 17\% from 2005 to 2010 and more than $100 \%$ from 2010 to 2015 . With the growth in introductory statistics course enrollments, there has been considerable interest in the pedagogy used in teaching these course (see for example [CAUSE], [Moore], and [GAISE]). The 2010 CBMS survey developed a set of questions designed to measure the impact in fouryear mathematics and statistics departments of these and other reports regarding teaching Introductory

TABLE S. 10 Percentage of sections of Non-Mainstream Calculus I taught using various instructional methods in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges in fall 2015. Also total enrollments (in 1000s) and average section sizes. Distance-learning and dual enrollment sections are not included.


Note: 0 means less than one half of $1 \%$.


FIGURE S.10.1 Percentage of sections of Non-Mainstream Calculus I taught using various instructional methods in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges in fall 2015.

Statistics in four-year colleges and universities, and these questions were repeated in the 2015 survey.

Table S .12 summarizes the responses of four-year mathematics and statistics departments to questions about the department's introductory statistics course(s) (with no calculus prerequisite) for non-majors (courses (F1) and (E1)); these responses can be compared to Table S.13.A in CBMS2010, p. 29. In fall 2015, 78\% (SE 4) of mathematics departments and $92 \%$ (SE 2) of statistics departments offered an (F1) (respectively (E1)) course, compared to 84\% and $88 \%$, respectively, in 2010. Departments were asked the number of different kinds of these courses they
offered in fall 2015; for all mathematics departments combined, an estimated $72 \%$ (SE 5) offered only one such course, while for statistics departments, the choice receiving the most responses was "more than $3 "(30 \%$ (SE 3)), and it is not surprising that statistics departments offer more flavors of such a course than mathematics departments. Departments were asked to estimate the percentage of class sessions in which real data is used in most sections of its Introductory Statistics course: departments could choose between the percentage intervals: $0-20 \%, 21-40 \%, 41-60 \%$, $61-80 \%$, and $81-100 \%$; the response chosen most often by mathematics departments was $0-20 \%$ (chosen by

TABLE S.11 Percentage of sections of Elementary Statistics at mathematics programs at public two-year colleges taught using various instructional methods in fall 2015. Also total enrollment (in 1000s) and average section sizes. Distance learning and dual enrollments are not included.



Common Department exams

FIGURE S.11.1 Percentage of sections in Elementary Statistics (no Calculus prerequisite) taught using various instructional methods in two-year colleges in fall 2015.

28\% (SE 6) ), while in statistics departments, 81-100\% was chosen most often (by 35\% (SE 3)); Table S. 12 and Figure S. 12.1 displays the distributions of the percentages of departments that chose each of these intervals. The graphs for mathematics departments' responses were skewed toward the lower percentages, while the graphs for the statistics departments' responses were skewed toward the higher percentages, indicating that these courses taught in statistics departments were more likely to put emphasis on the use of real data than these courses taught in mathematics departments; the graphs have very similar shapes to those obtained in 2010 [CBMS2010, Figure S.13.A.1, p. 31]. A second question asked departments to estimate the percentage of class sessions in which in-class demonstrations and/or in-class problem solving activities or discussions took place, and presented the same percentage intervals as responses. The results are given in Table S. 12 and displayed in Figure S.12.2. For
this question on in-class demonstrations/problemsolving activities, the distribution for mathematics departments was roughly bell-shaped, while the distribution for statistics department had the largest percentages of responses in the 81-100\% interval; these distributions can be compared to those obtained in 2010 [CBMS2010, Figure S.13.A.2, p. 31]. The third question asked departments about the use of the following kinds of technology in most sections of its introductory statistics courses: graphing calculators, statistical packages, educational software, applets, spreadsheets, web-based resources (including data sources, online texts, and data analysis routines) and classroom response systems (e.g. clickers), online textbooks, and online videos (the last two options were added to the 2015 survey). The percentages of mathematics and statistics departments using each of these kinds of technology is given in Table S.12. The data show that less sophisticated technology, like graphing

TABLE S. 12 Percentages of mathematics and statistics departments at four-year colleges and universities that use various practices to teach Introductory Statistics with no calculus prerequisite (for non-majors/minors) in the majority of the sections in fall 2015. This table can be compared to Table S. 13 (A) in CBMS2010, p. 29.

|  | \% of Math Depts. | \% of Stat Depts. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Offer introductory statistics course with no calculus prerequisite | 78 | 92 |
| Number of different kinds of introductory statistics courses for non-majors: <br> 1 <br> 2 <br> 3 <br> More than 3 | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ 24 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 26 \\ & 22 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| Percentage of class sessions in which real data is used is: $\begin{aligned} & 0-20 \% \\ & 21-40 \% \\ & 41-60 \% \\ & 61-80 \% \\ & 81-100 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 23 \\ & 19 \\ & 12 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 14 \\ & 15 \\ & 21 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ |
| Percentage of class sessions in which in-class demonstrations or problem solving activities take place is: $\begin{aligned} & 0-20 \% \\ & 21-40 \% \\ & 41-60 \% \\ & 61-80 \% \\ & 81-100 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 22 \\ & 23 \\ & 17 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ 23 \\ 21 \\ 5 \\ 39 \end{gathered}$ |
| Majority of sections use the following kinds of technology: <br> Graphing calculators <br> Statistical packages <br> Educational software <br> Applets <br> Spreadsheets <br> Web-based resources <br> Classroom response systems <br> Online textbooks <br> Online videos | 67 48 50 24 68 50 6 41 31 | $47$ |
| Percentage of departments where the majority of sections require assessments beyond homework, exams, and quizzes | 39 | 32 |



FIGURE S.12.1 Percentage of departments reporting the use of real data in the course Introductory Statistics with no calculus prerequisite by percentage of class sessions in which real data is used and by type of department. This figure can be compared to CBMS 2010 Figure S.13.A.1, p. 31.
calculators and spreadsheets, were more popular in Introductory Statistics taught in mathematics departments than in statistics departments, but all the other kinds of technology (particularly statistical packages, applets, classroom response systems) were said to be used in higher percentages of statistics departments', rather than in mathematics departments', Introductory Statistics courses. The final question on teaching Introductory Statistics asked each department about the percentage of sections of the course that required assessments beyond homework, tests and quizzes (assessments such as projects, oral presentations or written reports); here the percentages across all levels of mathematics departments combined, and all levels of statistics departments combined, were about the same, and may, again be compared to the 2010 survey results, where mathematics departments reported $45 \%$ of sections and statistics departments $36 \%$. The responses to these questions are broken down by the type of department in Chapter 5, Tables FY. 5 (for introductory statistics courses taught in mathematics departments) and FY. 6 (for introductory statistics courses taught in statistics departments).

Further data regarding instruction in Introductory Statistics in four-year mathematics and statistics
departments are presented in Chapter 5; Table FY. 7 contains data on topics covered in such courses, Table FY. 8 contains data on the statistical education of the course instructor of courses taught in mathematics departments, and Table FY. 9 contains estimates of enrollments in such courses in departments outside of the mathematical sciences in the respondent's institution.

For the first time, CBMS2015 asked questions about the implementation of mathematics "Pathways" in two-year colleges. Pathways was defined to be "a redesign of a mathematics sequence that provides students with an alternative course or sequence to/ through developmental mathematics and to/through a college-level mathematics or statistics course." In fall 2015, mathematics Pathways courses and course sequences could be found in many two- and four-year colleges, and information about Pathways programs and courses were deemed as an important topic to be surveyed in two-year colleges in CBMS2015. In fall 2015, 58\% (SE 5.1) of two-year colleges reported having implemented a Pathways course sequence, enrolling a total of 192,000 students. Colleges sometimes implemented multiple Pathways courses including Foundations (51\%), Quantitative Reasoning/Literacy (59\%), Statistics (63\%) and Other (32\%). See Tables


FIGURE S.12.2 Percentage of departments reporting in-class demonstrations or problem solving activities in the course Introductory Statistics with no calculus prerequisite by percentage of class sessions in which this activity takes place and by type of department. This figure can be compared to CBMS2010 Figure S.13.A.2, p. 31.

TYE. 11 and TYE.11.1 and the discussion before TYE. 11 in Chapter 6.

## Demographics of the mathematical sciences faculty

The remaining tables in this chapter present a snapshot of faculty demographics in mathematics and statistics departments of four-year colleges and universities, as well as in the mathematics programs of two-year colleges during fall 2015. Further details about faculty in mathematics and statistics departments of four-year colleges and universities appear in Chapter 4, while additional information about faculty in mathematics programs of public two-year colleges is given in Chapter 7.

## Source of demographic data

The demographic data on mathematics and statistics department faculty in four-year colleges and universities contained in the CBMS2015 report were not collected using the same survey instrument as the other data, nor was the same random sample of institutions used. The demographic data were collected as part of the Annual Survey, a stratified randomized survey conducted each year by the American Mathematical Society and overseen by the Joint Data Committee of five professional societies: the American Mathematical Society, the American Statistical

Association, the Institute of Mathematical Statistics, the Mathematical Association of American, and the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics. Reports on the Annual Survey are published each year in several issues of the Notices of the American Mathematical Society, and online at http://www.ams. org/profession/data/annual-survey/annual-survey. Beginning with the survey in 2005, the demographic data for the CBMS survey were collected as part of the Annual Survey; sampled departments were asked additional demographic questions that do not normally appear on the Annual Survey, but are a part of the CBMS surveys.

In comparing data from the CBMS surveys to the data published in the Annual Surveys, one must keep in mind several differences between the two surveys. The Annual Surveys do not include postdoctoral appointments as a part of "other full-time faculty" (OFT), while CBMS surveys do - i.e. CBMS survey tables list "other full-time faculty" (and these numbers include postdoctoral appointments), but they also break out the number of other full-time faculty who are postdoctoral appointments. The CBMS surveys of "statistics departments" include only statistics departments that offer an undergraduate program in statistics, while the Annual Surveys go to all departments of statistics and biostatistics that award a Ph.D. However, the data for statistics depart-

TABLE S. 13 Number of full-time and part-time faculty in mathematics departments at four-year colleges and universities, in doctoral statistics departments at universities, and in mathematics programs at two-year colleges in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015. (Two-year college data since 2005 include only public two-year colleges.) This table can be compared to CBMS2010 Table S.14, p. 33 .

|  | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four-Year Colleges \& Universities |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time faculty | 19779 | 21885 | 22293 | 22532 |
| Part-time faculty | 7301 | 6536 | 6050 | 7682 |
| Statistics Departments (PhD) | 808 | 946 | 1004 | 1237 |
| Full-time faculty | 102 | 112 | 105 | 128 |
| Part-time faculty |  |  |  |  |
| Two-Year College Mathematics Programs | 7921 | 9403 | 10873 | 9800 |
| Full-time faculty | 14887 | 18227 | 23453 | 17888 |
| Part-time faculty ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |

[^4]ments that do not have an undergraduate program in statistics are not included in the tables that appear in this report. The 2005 Annual Survey did not include masters-level statistics departments, but the 2010 and 2015 surveys included these departments; hence comparisons to 2005 are made using only doctoral statistics programs, though the 2010 and 2015 data for masters-level statistics programs are presented in some tables. The Annual Surveys use stratified random samples of bachelors-level programs, but a census of doctoral and masters-levels programs. The demographic data for mathematics faculty at public two-year colleges were collected from the CBMS survey instruments and samples, as two-year colleges are not a part of the Annual Survey.

## The number of mathematical sciences faculty (Table S.13)

Table S .13 presents the number of faculty in mathematics and doctoral-level statistics departments of four-year colleges and universities, and in public two-year college mathematics programs, broken down into full-time faculty and part-time faculty in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015. Figure S. 13.1 displays a graph of the numbers of full-time faculty at the three kinds of departments for each of the four years, while

Figure S. 13.2 shows the same information for the numbers of part-time mathematics faculty in two-year and four-year institutions. Figures S.13.3, S.13.4, and S.13.5 display bar graphs of the numbers of full-time and part-time faculty for mathematics departments at four-year institutions, mathematics programs at two-year colleges, and doctoral-level statistics departments, respectively. Further details on the estimated numbers of full and part-time faculty in four-year colleges and universities are presented in Chapter 4, Table F.1, and for two-year colleges in Chapter 7, Table TYF. 1.

Table S. 13 and Figure S. 13.3 indicate that the estimated total number of full-time plus part-time mathematics faculty at four-year institutions has been increasing slightly from fall 2000 to fall 2015, and grew almost $7 \%$ from fall 2010 to fall 2015; most of this growth was due to the increased number of part-time faculty. The estimated number of full-time mathematics faculty in fall 2015 was slightly larger than the fall 2010 estimate, but the 2010 estimate was within 1 SE of the 2015 estimate (Figure S. 13.1 displays the estimated number of full-time faculty from 2000-2015). From 2000 to 2015, by Table S. 13 the estimated number of full-time mathematics faculty in four-year departments grew by $14 \%$, while Table S. 2


FIGURE S.13.1 Number of full-time faculty in mathematics departments of four-year colleges and universities, in doctoral statistics departments, and in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015. This figure can be compared to CBMS2010 Figure S.14.1, p. 34.


FIGURE S.13.2 Number of part-time faculty in mathematics departments at four-year colleges and universities and in mathematics programs at two-year colleges (TYCs) in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015. This figure can be compared to CBMS2010 Figure S.14.2, p. 34.


FIGURE S.13.3 Number of full-time and part-time faculty in mathematics departments of four-year colleges and universities in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015. This figure can be compared to CBMS2010 Figure S.14.3, p. 35.


FIGURE S.13.4 Number of full-time and part-time faculty in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015. This figure can be compared to CBMS2010 Figure S.14.4, p. 35.


FIGURE S.13.5 Number of full-time and part-time faculty in doctoral statistics departments in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015. This figure can be compared to CBMS2010 Figure S.14.5, p. 36.
shows that mathematics departments' estimated total course enrollments grew by $36 \%$ (by $42 \%$ if computer science enrollments are removed) over this same time interval, indicating that the growth in full-time faculty has not kept pace with the growth in their mathematical science course enrollments. Table S. 13 and Figures S. 13.2 and S. 13.3 show that the estimated number of part-time mathematics faculty in four-year institutions, which had been slowly declining since fall 2000, increased 27\% (more than 5 SEs over the 2010 estimate) from fall 2010 to fall 2015, and the estimated number of part-time mathematics faculty in fall 2015 was larger than the estimated number in fall 2000.

In doctoral-level statistics departments, Table S. 13 and Figure S. 13.5 show that the estimated total number of full-time plus part-time faculty has been growing over the past 15 years, and, in fall 2015, the estimated number of full-time plus part-time, as well as the estimated number of full-time faculty, both increased $23 \%$ (almost 5 SEs) from 2010, and are up about 50\% from 2000. The estimated doctoral-level statistics department enrollments have doubled since 2000, according to Table E. 2 (includes distance learning enrollments), outpacing the rate of growth of statistics department full-time faculty. The estimated number of part-time faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments has remained relatively constant over the last 15 years; it increased $22 \%$ ( 1.2 SEs ) from fall 2010 to fall 2015. We note that masters-level statistics departments were not included in the CBMS2005 survey; since Table S. 13 makes comparisons to 2005,
only doctoral-level statistics department faculty are included in this table. The tables that follow make comparisons only to the CBMS2010 survey, so they include data from both masters-level and doctor-al-level statistics departments.

Table S .13 shows that in two-year college mathematics programs, the estimated number of full-time permanent, continuing and other faculty decreased by $10 \%(1.2 \mathrm{SEs})$ from fall 2010 to fall 2015 to a total of 9800 (SE 893) persons (a decrease of 1,073 persons), following a $16 \%$ increase from 2005 to 2010. From 2000 to 2015 , the overall change in the estimated number of full-time two-year college faculty increased $24 \%$. These changes in faculty numbers mirrored the changes in mathematics and statistics enrollments during these periods. Two-year college mathematics program enrollments rose $38 \%$ from 2000 to 2015 , according to Table S.1, including a $5 \%$ decrease from 2010 to 2015. Excluding dual enrollment, mathematics and statistics enrollment increased from 2000 to 2005 by $22 \%$, increased from 2005 to 2010 by 19\%, and decreased from 2010 to 2015 by 5\% (1 SE). These recent changes are consistent with the $14 \%$ decrease in institutional enrollment in two-year colleges from fall 2010 to fall 2015 and discussed in Chapter 6.

Table S. 13 and Figures S. 13.2 and S. 13.3 show that the estimated number of part-time mathematics faculty in two-year institutions, which had been increasing from fall 2000 to fall 2010, decreased $24 \%$ (3 SEs) from fall 2010 to fall 2015. The estimated number of part-time mathematics faculty in fall 2015 was less than the estimated number in fall 2010 by
TABLE S. 14 Number of full-time faculty who are tenured and tenure-eligible (TTE), postdocs, and other full-time (OFT) in mathematics and statistics departments of four-year colleges and universities, and in mathematics programs at two-year colleges, in fall 2010 and fall 2015. (Postdocs are included in the other full-time category.)

| Four-Year Colleges and Universities | Fall 2010 |  |  |  | Fall 2015 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics Departments | Total | TTE | Other fulltime | Postdoc | Total | TTE | Other fulltime | Postdoc |
| Full-time faculty | 22293 | 16364 | 5929 | 1025 | 22532 | 15270 | 7261 | 1317 |
| Having doctoral degree | 18249 | 15646 | 2603 | 1024 | 18743 | 14869 | 3874 | 1317 |
| Having other degree | 4044 | 717 | 3326 | 1 | 3789 | 401 | 3387 |  |
| Statistics Departments ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time faculty | 1266 | 994 | 272 | 86 | 1432 | 1031 | 401 | 116 |
| Having doctoral degree | 1192 | 988 | 204 | 86 | 1373 | 1031 | 342 | 116 |
| Having other degree | 74 | 6 | 69 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 59 |  |
| Total Math \& Stat Depts | 23559 | 17357 | 6201 | 1111 | 23964 | 16302 | 7662 | 1433 |
| Two-Year College Mathematics | Total full-time faculty | Full-time permanent | Other fulltime |  | Total full-time faculty | Full-time permanent | Other fulltime ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| Full-time faculty | 10873 | 9790 | 1083 |  | 9800 | 8314 | 1487 |  |
| Grand Total | 34170 | 26943 | 7227 | 1111 | 33764 | 24616 | 9149 | 1433 |

Note: Round-off may make marginal totals seem inaccurate.
${ }^{1}$ Other full-time in this table in 2015 includes Full-time continuing faculty and Other full-time faculty from Table TYF.1.
${ }^{2}$ This table includes masters-level statistics departments. The comparable table in CBMS2010, Table S.15, p. 37, does not.
TABLE S.15 Gender among full-time faculty in mathematics and statistics departments of four-year colleges and universities by type of appointment, and among permanent full-time faculty in mathematics programs at two-year colleges in fall 2010 and fall 2015. Also gender among doctoral and masters degree recipients. (Postdocs are included in the other full-time category.)


[^5]

FIGURE S.15.1 Percentage of women in tenured and in tenure-eligible (TE) categories in mathematics departments of four-year colleges and universities and statistics departments in fall 2010 and 2015.

5565 persons. Table TYF. 1 in Chapter 7 includes parttime faculty paid by third parties, such as school districts, for dual enrollment courses. The estimated total number of part-time faculty in two-year college mathematics programs was 20,247 and represented $67 \%$ of the total number of two-year college faculty, when those paid by third parties ( 2,359 persons) are included. When third party payees are omitted, parttime faculty represented $65 \%$ of the total number of faculty, also down three points from 2010.

The 2010 CBMS survey reported that the total number mathematics faculty (full-time plus part-time) at two-year departments was larger than at fouryear departments. That trend did not continue from 2010 to 2015, where estimated total of all four-year college mathematics and statistics faculty increased by 2,127 persons ( $7 \%$ ) compared to estimated total of all two-year college mathematics and statistics faculty decreased by 6,638 persons (19\%).

## Appointment type and degree status of fulltime faculty (Tables S. 14 and S.15)

Table S. 14 gives the estimated numbers of fulltime faculty in the mathematics and (masters-level and doctoral-level combined) statistics departments of four-year colleges and universities in fall 2010 and fall 2015, broken down by their appointment type (tenured or tenure-eligible (TTE), other full-time (OFT), postdoc) and the highest degree obtained by
the faculty member (doctoral degree or other degree), along with two-year college faculty estimates. In this table (as in the other faculty tables in this, and the past, CBMS surveys), the category of other full-time four-year faculty includes postdoctoral appointments, but the number of postdocs is also broken out of the number of other full-time faculty, so that trends in the growing category of postdoc faculty can be observed. In this table, the category of "other full-time" for two-year colleges includes full-time continuing faculty and other full-time faculty discussed in Chapter 7.

In fall 2015, the estimated number of full-time faculty at two-year college mathematics programs is presented in Chapter 7 using the categories of "full-time permanent," "full-time temporary" and "other full-time" faculty. Full-time faculty who are employed in a non-tenure track position and may be continuing, are called "full-time continuing" faculty in this document. In addition, two-year colleges often have another classification for "other" non-tenure track full-time faculty. Data about this third classification of positions was collected for the first time in CBMS2015. This group is referred to as "other fulltime" faculty in this document. Full-time "permanent" faculty are distinguished from "continuing" or "other" full-time faculty who are often meeting a short-term institutional need. Full-time faculty members teach full course assignments, distinguishing them from part-time or adjunct faculty. Table S. 14 displays an estimated 9,800 (SE 894) full-time two-year college
TABLE S. 16 Percentage of all tenured and tenure-eligible faculty in mathematics departments of four-year colleges and universities in various age groups, and average age, by gender in fall 2015. Percentage full-time permanent faculty in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges, by age, and average ages in fall 2015. This table can be compared to CBMS2010 Table S.17, p. 40.

| Four-Year College \& University | Percentage of tenured/tenure-eligible faculty |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average | Average | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Departments | $<30$ | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 | 50-54 | 55-59 | 60-64 | 65-69 | >69 | 2005 | 2010 |  |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |  |  |
| Tenured men | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 53.7 | 54.6 | 54.9 |
| Tenured women | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 50.2 | 50.7 | 51.0 |
| Tenure-eligible men | 1 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38.9 | 36.9 | 36.3 |
| Tenure-eligible women | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38.6 | 37.8 | 37.0 |
| Total tenured \& tenureeligible faculty | 2 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 14 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 6 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Perce | ntage of | f perma | anent ful | ull-time f | aculty |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two-Year College Mathematics Program | <30 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 | 50-54 | 55-59 | >59 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time permanent faculty | 4 | 6 | 14 | 14 | 18 | 16 | 13 | 15 |  |  | 47.8 | 46.8 | 47.7 |

Note: 0 means less than half of $1 \%$. Round-off may cause some marginal totals to appear inaccurate.


FIGURE S.16.1 Percentage of all tenured and tenure-eligible (TTE) faculty in mathematics departments at four-year colleges and universities belonging to various age groups, by gender, in fall 2015. This figure can be compared to CBMS2010 Figure S.17.1, p. 41.


FIGURE S.16.2 Percentage of permanent full-time faculty in various age groups in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges in fall 2015. This figure can be compared to CBMS2010 Figure S.17.2, p. 41.
faculty: 8,314 (SE 841) full-time permanent faculty and 1,487 (SE 273) other full-time faculty (including 1,221 continuing full-time faculty and 266 other fulltime faculty). Table TYF. 1 in Chapter 7.

Table S. 15 considers only full-time faculty, and it breaks the TTE faculty at four-year departments into tenured and tenure-eligible faculty, and also presents the number of female faculty in each category; this table also presents the numbers of full-time faculty in public two-year college mathematics programs, broken down by gender, and displays the numbers of those full-time permanent faculty under the age of 40. More detail on faculty at four-year mathematics and statistics departments can be found in Chapter 4, Table F.1, and on faculty in public two-year colleges in Tables TYF. 1 and TYF. 9 in Chapter 7.

Table S. 14 and the corresponding table in the 2010 CBMS survey report [CBMS2010 Table S. 15 p. 37], show that the estimated number of tenured plus tenure-eligible mathematics faculty in four-year colleges and universities decreased over the past 10 years: from 17,256 in 2005 , to 16,364 in 2010 , to 15,270 in 2015 , a loss of almost 2,000 tenured or tenure-eligible positions over 10 years, eliminating the gains that had been made since fall 2000, when the estimated number of tenured plus tenureeligible faculty was 16,245 [CBMS2005, Table S.15, p. 35]. From Table S. 15 we see that, from fall 2010 to fall 2015, the estimated number of tenured faculty mathematics decreased by 768 faculty, and the estimated number of tenure-eligible mathematics faculty decreased by 326 faculty, producing a $6 \%$ (4.2 SEs) decrease in the total number of tenured faculty and a $9 \%(4.1 \mathrm{SEs})$ decrease in the number of tenureeligible faculty. The category "other full-time faculty" is defined to be "all full-time faculty, in four-year mathematical science departments, who are not tenured or tenure-eligible, faculty with renewable positions, postdoctoral faculty, and visiting faculty"; this category includes non-tenure-eligible faculty with renewable appointments. "Postdoctoral appointments" are defined as "temporary positions primarily intended to provide an opportunity to extend graduate training or to further research experience", and these positions occur primarily (but not exclusively) in doctoral-level departments. The most consistent trend in the CBMS2015 data on faculty in mathematical science departments at four-year colleges and universities is the growth in the estimated numbers of other full-time faculty. Table S .15 shows that the estimated number of other full-time mathematics faculty, from fall 2010 to fall 2015, increased by 1,332 faculty to 7,261 faculty (a $22 \%$ increase ( 6 SEs ) from fall 2010); this estimate includes an increase of 292 postdocs (a $28 \%$ ( 4.8 SEs ) increase from 2010). Comparing Table S. 15 to CBMS2005 Table S.17, p. 38, we see that the estimated number of other full-time mathe-
matics faculty has more than doubled in the past 15 years. The estimated number of mathematics postdocs increased 61\% from 2005 (when this data was first collected) to 2015. Table S. 14 shows that, in fall 2015 , of the 5,944 other full-time mathematics faculty who are not postdocs, less than half 2,557 (43\%) have a Ph.D. (this percentage is up from $32 \%$ in fall 2010). The decline in tenure-stream mathematics appointments, accompanied with the rise in non-tenure eligible and part-time appointments, is a concern that merits further study.

In doctoral and masters-level statistics departments combined, Table S. 14 shows that the estimated number of tenured faculty plus tenure-eligible faculty grew by $4 \%(0.95 \mathrm{SEs})$ to 1,031 , from fall 2010 to fall 2015. Table S. 15 shows that, from 2010 to 2015, the estimated number of tenured statistics faculty increased by $6 \%$ (1.4 SEs), and the number of tenure-eligible statistics faculty decreased by $3 \%$ (0.5 SEs), not significant changes. In fall 2000, the estimated number of tenured statistics faculty was 710 [CBMS2000, Table SF.8, p. 21]. and in fall 2015 it was 772 (Table S.15). In fall 2000, the estimated number of tenure-eligible statistics faculty was 161 [CBMS2000, Table SF.8, p. 21], and in fall 2015 it was 260. Hence, statistics departments have seen modest growth in tenured appointments, and larger growth in tenure-eligible appointments (the largest such growth between 2005 and 2010). The most significant change in the estimated numbers of faculty in statistics departments is the number of other full-time statistics faculty (including postdocs), which increased by 129 faculty (a $47 \%$ (5.9 SEs) increase), and the estimated number of postdocs, which increased by 30 postdocs, an increase of $35 \%$ ( 2 SEs ), from fall 2010 to fall 2015. The CBMS2000 survey estimate of other full-time statistics faculty was 151 [CBMS2000 Table SF.8, p.21], and the 2015 estimate was 401; hence the estimated number of other full-time appointments in statistics departments in fall 2015 was more than 2.5 times the estimate in fall 2000.

Table S. 14 shows that the estimated number of all full-time (full-time permanent, continuing and other) mathematics faculty at public two-year colleges decreased from 10,873 in 2010 to 9,800 in 2015, a $10 \%$ ( 2 SEs ) decrease of 1,073 persons. This is viewed in light of a $16 \%$ increase from 2005 to 2010 . The estimated number of full-time permanent mathematics faculty decreased by $15 \%$. The estimated number of full-time "other" mathematics faculty increased by $37 \%$, a total of 404 persons (in Table S. 14 "other full-time" includes full-time continuing and other fulltime faculty). There were 8,314 (SE 840) full-time permanent mathematics faculty in public two-year college mathematics programs in the United States in fall 2015, compared with 9,790 in 2010 , a $15 \%$ decrease ( 1476 faculty). In fall 2015, there were
TABLE S.17 Percentage of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty belonging to various age groups in doctoral and masters statistics departments (combined) at universities by gender, and average ages in fall 2015. Also average ages for doctoral statistics departments in fall 2010. This table can be compared to CBMS2010 Table S.18, p. 43.

| All Statistics Departments | Percentage of tenured/tenure-eligible faculty |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average age $2005^{1}$ | Average age 2010 | Average age 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <30 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 | 50-54 | 55-59 | 60-64 | 65-69 | >69 |  |  |  |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |  |  |
| Tenured men | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 52.7 | 53.9 | 55.3 |
| Tenured women | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 45.6 | 48.4 | 47.9 |
| Tenure-eligible men | 3 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.7 | 34.8 | 34.6 |
| Tenure-eligible women | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.2 | 35.6 | 34.5 |
| Total tenured \& tenureeligible faculty | 4 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 7 |  |  |  |

[^6]

FIGURE S.17.1 Percentage of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty in various age groups, by gender, in doctoral and masters statistics departments (combined) in fall 2015. This figure can be compared to CBMS2010 Figure S.18.1, p. 43.

1,487 continuing and other full-time faculty (1,221 continuing with SE 268, and 266 Other with SE 73).

In fall 2015, a masters degree was the terminal degree for $80 \%$ of the full-time permanent mathematics faculty members at two-year colleges, down three percentage point from the 2010 estimate. An additional $15 \%$ of full-time faculty held doctorates, and $5 \%$ held bachelors degrees. Of the total full-time permanent faculty, $73 \%$ held degrees in mathematics, $13 \%$ in mathematics education, and $3 \%$ in statistics. See Tables TYF. 4 and TYF. 5 in Chapter 7. Among part-time faculty in fall 2015, $7 \%$ held a doctorate (up two points from 2010), $76 \%$ held a masters degree (up three points from 2010) and $17 \%$ (down five points from 2010) had a bachelors degree as their highest degree. A bachelors degree is generally allowed by accrediting agencies for those who teach precollege (remedial) courses or highly specialized technical courses. See Table TYF. 6 in Chapter 7.

## Gender, age, and ethnicity among the mathematical science faculty (Tables S. 15 to S.20)

According to the data from the Annual Surveys, the percentage of women receiving Ph.D. degrees in the mathematical sciences has remained close to $30 \%$ each year over the last fifteen years. Table S. 15 shows that $31 \%$ of the new Ph.D.s that were awarded
by mathematics and statistics departments between July 1, 2010-June 30, 2015 went to women. The Annual Surveys and the CBMS surveys have shown a gradual increase in the percentage of women faculty. Table S.15, which breaks down the numbers of mathematical science faculty by gender, shows that this trend of increases in the percentages of women faculty continued from 2010 to 2015.

Table S. 15 estimates that, in fall 2015, at all four-year mathematics departments combined, women comprised $31 \%$ of all full-time faculty, $22 \%$ of all tenured faculty, and $36 \%$ of all tenure-eligible faculty; each of these percentages is up one or two percentage points from the 2010 estimates, even with the declining numbers of tenured and tenure-eligible mathematics faculty. In statistics departments, in fall 2015, women were an estimated $27 \%$ of all full-time faculty, $20 \%$ of tenured faculty (up from $16 \%$ in 2010), and $35 \%$ of tenure-eligible faculty, all except tenureeligible up from 2010. The Annual Surveys have shown larger percentages of Ph.D.s awarded to women in statistics than in mathematics. Figure S.15.1 displays the estimated percentages of tenured and of tenureeligible faculty that are women, in fall 2010 and in fall 2015, for mathematics departments and for statistics departments. In 2015, mathematics departments had larger estimated percentages of tenured and tenureeligible women, and, in 2010, statistics departments
had larger estimated percentages of tenure-eligible women; in 2015 the differences between the percentages of women in mathematics and statistics were narrowing.

The percentage of women full-time faculty varies among the levels of the department. Chapter 4, Tables F.1, F.2, and F. 3 provide more detail on numbers of women faculty at four-year departments. From Chapter 4, Table F. 1 we see that in 2010 women comprised an estimated $11 \%$ of the tenured faculty at doctoral-level mathematics departments, and by 2015 this percentage had risen to $14 \%$. At bachelors-level mathematics departments, in 2010 women comprised an estimated $30 \%$ of the tenured and tenure-eligible faculty, and by 2015 this percentage had risen to $31 \%$; however, in fall 2015, the estimated percentage of tenured-women at bachelors-level mathematics departments was more than double the percentage at doctoral-level four-year mathematics departments.

Table S. 15 shows that in public two-year college mathematics programs in fall 2015, women comprised $52 \%$ of the 8,314 full-time permanent faculty positions (4,345 persons with SE 574), up two points from 2010. Fifty-four percent (54\%) of the 2,045 (SE 292) full-time faculty of age less than 40 were female (the same as in 2010). More data on women faculty at two-year colleges is contained in Chapter 7 in Tables TYF.8, TYF.9, and TYF. 17.

Table S. 16 gives the estimated distribution of ages among full-time mathematics faculty at four-year colleges and universities, in fall 2015, broken down by tenured or tenure-eligible status, and by gender. The estimated average age of tenured men in four-year mathematics departments has been rising; it was 52.4 in 2000 [CBMS2000 Table SF.9, p. 23], and, by Table S.16, 53.7 in 2005, 54.6 in 2010 and 54.9 in 2015. The estimated average age of tenured women has also been rising; it was 49.6 in 2000, 50.2 in 2005, 50.7 in 2010, and 51.0 in 2015. In fall 2015, the estimated average age of tenured men appeared to be approximately 4 years greater than that of tenured women in mathematics departments. For both men and women, the estimated average ages of tenure-eligible mathematics faculty declined over the three surveys 2005, 2010, and 2015. The distribution of ages of tenured and tenure-eligible (combined) mathematics faculty in 2015 is quite similar to that in 2010 , except for the increase in the percentage of mathematics faculty 65 and older, which has been increasing: from $8 \%$ in 2005 , to $12 \%$ in 2010 , to $13 \%$ in 2015 . It appears that some senior faculty have been retiring later than in previous years. Figure S.16.1 shows the distribution of ages of male and female tenured and tenure-eligible (combined) mathematics faculty; one notes that the distribution of ages is shifted more toward lower ages for female faculty than for male faculty. Table S. 16 is

TABLE S.18 Percentage of gender and of racial/ethnic groups among all tenured, tenure-eligible, postdoctoral, and other full-time faculty in mathematics departments of four-year colleges and universities in fall 2015. This table can be compared to CBMS2010 Table S.19, p. 44.

| Mathematics Departments | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asian } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Black, not <br> Hispanic \% | Mexican American/ Puerto Rican/ other Hispanic \% | White, not Hispanic \% | AIAN \& NHPI ${ }^{1}$ \% | Unknown \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tenured Men | 6 | 1 | 1 | 32 | 0 | 1 |
| Tenured Women | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Tenure-eligible men | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Tenure-eligible women | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Postdoctoral men | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Postdoctoral women | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Full-time men not included above | 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 1 |
| Full-time women not included above | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Total full-time men | 11 | 2 | 2 | 53 | 0 | 2 |
| Total full-time women | 4 | 1 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 1 |

[^7]Note: 0 means less than half of $1 \%$ and this may cause apparent column sum inconsistencies.
broken down by the level of the department in Chapter 4, Table F.4, and Figures F.1, F.2, and F.3.

Table S. 16 also gives the distribution of ages among permanent mathematics faculty at public two-year college mathematics programs. The estimated average age of a permanent mathematics faculty member in fall 2015 was 47.7 (SE 0.5), up from 46.8 in 2010. TYF. 16 in Chapter 6 displays a historical picture of the percentage and estimated number of full-time permanent faculty at two-year colleges. From 2005 to 2010, the overall increase was evident in all age groups, except for ages 50-54 years and 55-59 years. From 2010 to 2015, the estimated number of faculty decreased in each category except in ages 45-49 years and 50-54 years, with the largest increase evident in ages 50-54 years. Figure S.16.2, as well as Table TYF. 16 and TYF. 16.1 in Chapter 6, display this distribution of ages.

Table S. 17 gives the estimated distribution of ages among full-time doctoral and masters-level statistics faculty (combined), broken down by tenured or tenure-eligible status, and by gender. The estimated average age of tenured men rose over each of the three surveys, and both averages for women are slightly lower in 2015 than in 2010, but higher than in 2005. The estimated percentage of statistics faculty aged 65 or higher in fall 2015 is 14\%, higher than in 2010. The estimated distribution of ages for tenured and tenure-eligible women in statistics departments are displayed in Figure S.17.1, and, even to a greater extent than for mathematics faculty, the estimated distribution of ages for women is skewed toward lower ages than for men, again reflecting the recent growth in tenured and tenure-eligible women statistics faculty.

Tables S. 18 and S. 19 give percentages of faculty for various racial/ethnic groups in mathematics and statistics departments at four-year colleges and universities. Annual Surveys follow the federal pattern for racial and ethnic classifications of faculty. However, in the text of this report some of the more cumbersome federal classifications will be shortened. For example, "Mexican-American/Puerto Rican/other Hispanic" will be abbreviated to "Hispanic". Similarly, the federal classifications "Black, not Hispanic" and "White, not Hispanic" will be shortened to "Black" and "White", respectively, and "American Indian or Alaskan Native/ Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander" will be shortened to "AIAN\&NHPI".

Table S. 18 gives the estimated percentages of gender and of racial/ethnic groups for tenured, tenure-eligible, postdoctoral, and other full-time
four-year mathematics faculty. Comparing Table S .18 in CBMS2015 to Table S. 19 in CBMS2010, the estimated percentages of the various racial/ethnic and gender groups look quite similar, with the most noticeable difference a decrease from 2010 to 2015 in the percentage of White male faculty and increases in White women and Asian faculty. The percentages of Black faculty, and of Hispanic faculty, remain small.

Table S. 19 shows these estimated percentages of racial/ethnic groups for all statistics faculty combined. Comparing Table S. 20 in CBMS2010 and Table S. 19 in CBMS2015, the estimated percentage of White male faculty decreased from 2010 to 2015 by four percentage points, and the estimated percentage of Asian men and Asian women faculty have increased (two percentage points and three percentage points, respectively). The percentages of Black faculty, and of Hispanic faculty, remain small. In Chapter 4, Table F.5, breaks the numbers in Tables S. 18 and S. 19 down by the level of the mathematics department, and all levels of statistics departments combined.

Ethnic and gender breakdowns for part-time mathematics and statistics faculty at four-year colleges and universities, broken down by the level of the department for mathematics departments, are given in Chapter 4, Table F.6.

The distribution of mathematics program faculty in public two-year colleges among various ethnic groups is studied in Chapter 7. In fall 2015, twenty-three percent (23\% with SE 2) of full-time permanent faculty members in mathematics programs were ethnic minorities, up seven points compared with 2010. However, the total number of ethnic minority faculty totaled 1,876 (SE 289) faculty, an increase of 310 persons from 2010. The majority of the faculty represented in the ethnic minority groups were Asian/ Pacific Islander or Black (non-Hispanic) or Mexican American/Puerto Rican/other Hispanic. See Tables TYF.10, TYF.11, and TYF. 12 in Chapter 7. Among the 451 (SE 83) newly-hired full-time permanent faculty in fall 2015, 9\% were ethnic minorities (Asian/Pacific Islander, Black, and Hispanic), down nine percentage points and $55 \%$ (SE 7) were women, up eight points from 2010. See Tables TYF.18-20 in Chapter 7.

Table S. 20 gives the estimated number of deaths and retirements in four-year mathematical sciences departments from the past four CBMS surveys, broken down by the level of the mathematics department. The data show a larger number of deaths and retirements among mathematics departments at each level of department in 2015 than in any of the previous three CBMS surveys.

TABLE S. 19 Percentage of gender and of racial/ethnic groups among all tenured, tenure-eligible, postdoctoral, and other full-time faculty in doctoral and masters statistics departments (combined) at universities in fall 2015. This table can be compared to CBMS2010 Table S.20, p. 45.

| All Statistics Departments | Asian \% | Black, not Hispanic \% | Mexican American/ Puerto Rican/ other Hispanic \% | White, not Hispanic \% | AIAN \& NHPI ${ }^{1}$ \% | Unknown \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tenured Men | 13 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 1 |
| Tenured Women | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Tenure-eligible men | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Tenure-eligible women | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Postdoctoral men | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Postdoctoral women | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Full-time men not included above | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| Full-time women not included above | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Total full-time men | 22 | 1 | 2 | 45 | 0 | 2 |
| Total full-time women | 11 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 1 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes the federal categories American Indian or Alaskan Native (AIAN) and Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
$(\mathrm{NHPI})$.
Note: 0 means less than half of $1 \%$; round-off causes apparent column sum inconsistencies.

TABLE S. 20 Number of deaths and retirements of full-time faculty from mathematics departments and from doctoral statistics departments by type of department. Numbers reported prior to 2004-2005 for mathematics departments are of Tenured and Tenure-track faculty. (Data prior to 2004-2005 for statistics departments includes both masters and doctoral statistics departments.) This table can be compared to CBMS2010 Table S.21, p. 46.

| Four-Year College \& University | $1999-$ <br> 2000 | $2004-$ <br> 2005 | $2009-$ <br> 2010 | $2014-$ <br> 2015 | Number of tenured/ <br> tenure-eligible faculty <br> 2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics Departments | 174 | 139 | 146 | 182 | 5594 |
| Univ (PhD) | 165 | 140 | 91 | 128 | 2983 |
| Univ (MA) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coll (BA) | 123 | 219 | 123 | 251 | 6693 |
| Total deaths and retirements in all <br> Mathematics Departments | 462 | 499 | 360 | 561 | 15270 |
| Doctoral Statistics Departments: Total <br> deaths and retirements | 16 | 14 | 15 | 29 | 869 |

## Chapter 2

## CBMS2015 Special Projects

Each CBMS survey accepts proposals for special projects from various professional society committees. Special projects chosen for one CBMS survey might, or might not, be continued in the next CBMS survey. This chapter presents data from the special projects of CBMS2015 for two-year and four-year mathematics departments:

- The mathematical education of teachers of pre-college mathematics/statistics (Tables SP.1-SP.7)
- Percentage of departments offering distance learning courses, and practices in distance learning courses (Tables SP.8-SP.11)
- Academic resources and special opportunities available to undergraduates (Tables SP.12-SP.14)
- Interdisciplinary courses in four-year mathematics departments (Tables SP.15)
- Dual enrollment courses in mathematics and statistics (Tables SP. 16 and SP.17)
- Requirements in the majors in mathematics and statistics in four-year departments (Tables SP. 18 and SP.19)
- Availability of upper level classes in four-year mathematics and statistics departments (Tables SP. 20 and SP. 21 )
- Estimates of post-graduation plans of graduates of four-year mathematics departments and statistics departments (Table SP.22)
- Assessment in four-year mathematics departments and statistics departments (Table SP.23)
- Divisional graduation credit for advanced placement courses in four-year mathematics and statistics departments (Table SP.24)
- Pedagogy and making changes at four-year mathematics and statistics departments (Tables SP.25-27)
- Statistics majors and minors at four-year mathematics departments (Table SP.28)
- Profiles of other full-time faculty at four-year mathematics and statistics departments (Tables SP.29-31)

When there is comparable data in CBMS2010, the appropriate comparison table will be given in the caption, if the 2010 data is not included in the table. Also note that further discussion of selected special project issues at two-year colleges is given in the section "Topics of Special Interest for Mathematics Programs at Two-Year Colleges", located at the end of Chapter 6.

Terminology: Recall that in CBMS2015, the term "mathematics department" includes departments of mathematics, applied mathematics, mathematical sciences, and departments of mathematics and statistics. These departments may offer a broad spectrum of courses in mathematics education, actuarial science, and operations research, as well as mathematics, applied mathematics and statistics. Computer science courses are sometimes also offered by mathematics departments. The term "statistics department" refers to graduate departments of statistics or biostatistics that offer undergraduate statistics courses. Courses and majors from separate departments of computer science, actuarial science, operations research, etc. are not included in CBMS2015. Departments are classified by the highest degree they offered; for example, "masters-level department" refers to a department that offers a masters degree, but not a doctoral degree.

In the text that follows, the standard error (SE) in many of the estimates is provided along with the estimate (e.g. "estimated 77\% (with SE 3.5)"); the standard errors for all CBMS2015 tables can be found in Appendix VIII. The change in an estimate from the estimate in a previous survey is often expressed both as percentage change and as the number of SEs that change represents (e.g. "increased $22 \%$ (1.2 SEs)").

TABLE SP. 1 Percentage of mathematics departments whose institutions offer certification programs for some or all grades K-8, and also for secondary teachers, by type of department in fall 2015. (Data for K-8 from fall 2000, 2005, 2010 when available, in parentheses.)

|  | Percentage whose institutions have a certification program for: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | K-5 | $6-8$ | K-8* | Secondary (9-12) |  |
| Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ (PhD) | 52 | 47 | $(72,78,62)$ | 75 | $(79)$ |
| Univ (MA) | 63 | 64 | $(87,92,90)$ | 92 | $(96)$ |
| Coll (BA) | 52 | 50 | $(85,88,70)$ | 75 | $(80)$ |
| Total Math Depts | 53 | 51 | $(84,87,72)$ | 77 | $(82)$ |

*Prior to 2015, CBMS asked about certification for pre-service K-8 teachers, while CBMS 2015 separated K-5 from 6-8. If the results for the two questions on CBMS 2015 are combined, then 63 percent of total mathematics departments responded that they had a program for certification for K-5 and/or 6-8 teachers.

## Tables (SP.1-SP.7): The Mathematical Education of Teachers of Pre-College Mathematics and Statistics

## Percentages of Four-year Mathematics Departments whose Institutions have Elementary and Secondary Teacher Certification Programs

Table SP. 1 shows that, in fall 2015, roughly 63\% of all four-year mathematics departments combined reported belonging to an institution that offered a teacher certification program for some or all grades $\mathrm{K}-8$; this compares to an estimated $72 \%$ in 2010 , $87 \%$ in 2005 and $84 \%$ in 2000. In 2015, for the first time, departments were asked whether they had a K-5 certification program and/or a 6-8 grades certification program, and there were about equal numbers of departments in each category (an estimated $53 \%$ had a K-5 program and $51 \%$ had a $6-8$ grades program, with SEs of about 3.5 in each case). Table SP. 1 breaks these percentages down by the level of department, the masters-level departments having the largest percentage of K-8 teacher certification programs in each of the four CBMS surveys 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015. Table SP. 1 also shows that, in fall 2015, a larger percentage, an estimated 77\% (with SE 3.5) of four-year mathematics departments (compared with $82 \%$ in fall 2010), belonged to an institution that offered a secondary teacher certification program; again, the percentage was largest for the masters-level departments (92\%). It appears that the percentage of four-year mathematics departments whose institutions offer elementary certification, and the percentage offering secondary certification, have declined slightly over 2010.

## Teacher Preparation Programs at Two-year Colleges

Table SP. 2 updates data regarding public two-year colleges offering programs for pre- and in-service teachers to complete their entire mathematics certification requirements at the two-year college for fall 2015, including historical data for 2010 and 2005. The three types of students mentioned in Table SP. 2 are: undergraduates without a bachelors degree ("pre-service teachers"); in-service teachers who already hold certification in some other discipline; and "career switchers" who leave a first career to enter a second career in pre-college teaching. Each category displays decreases from 2010 to 2015 in the percentage of mathematical programs in two-year colleges offering organized teacher preparation programs.

Table SP. 2 also shows that two-year institutions were more involved in the preparation of elementary teachers than middle school or secondary teachers. Secondary teachers may take their lower-division mathematical requirements at a two-year institution and those enrollments might not be reflected in this data. In fall 2015, the estimated percentage of public two-year college mathematics programs with a complete certification program at the elementary level was $28 \%$ (SE 5), at the middle school level was 14\% (SE 3), and at the secondary level was 7\% (SE 3). In fall 2010, these estimated percentages were $41 \%$ of the colleges having programs at the elementary level, $24 \%$ at the middle school level, and $13 \%$ at the secondary level.

Table SP. 3 presents data on various activities or options related to certification programs at two-year colleges in fall 2015: an estimated 35\% (SE 6) of mathematics programs assign a faculty member to coordinate $\mathrm{K}-8$ teacher education in mathematics,

TABLE SP. 2 Percentage of mathematical programs at public two-year colleges (TYCs) having organized programs that allow various types of pre- and in-service teachers to complete their entire mathematics course or licensure requirements in fall 2015. (Fall 2005, 2010 data in parentheses.)

|  | Percentage of TYCs with an organized program <br> in which students can complete their entire <br> mathematics course or licensure requirements |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-service elementary teachers | 28 | $(30,41)$ |
| Pre-service middle school teachers | 14 | $(19,24)$ |
| Pre-service secondary teachers | 7 | $(12,13)$ |
| In-service elementary teachers | 12 | $(15,12)$ |
| In-service middle school teachers | 6 | $(19,10)$ |
| In-service secondary teachers <br> Career-switchers aiming for <br> elementary teaching <br> Career-switchers aiming for middle <br> school teaching <br> Career-switchers aiming for <br> secondary teaching | 16 | $(14,17)$ |

55\% (SE 5) offered a special mathematics course for K-8 teachers, $9 \%$ (SE 5) offer a mathematics pedagogy course in their mathematics program, and 6\% (SE 2) report that a mathematics pedagogy class is offered outside of the mathematics program. Historical data for 2010 and 2005 are displayed in SP.3.

Further discussion of teacher education programs in two-year colleges is contained at the end of Chapter 6: Topics of Special Interest for Mathematics Programs in Two-Year Colleges. Among the items noted there, in the past ten years, from fall 2000 to fall 2010, the estimated enrollment in the courses in mathematics for elementary school teachers in two-year colleges had doubled (see Tables TYE. 3 and TYE.3.2 in Chapter 6), but decreased 45\% (5 SEs) from 2010 to 2015.

## Four-year Mathematics Departments: Numbers of Mathematics Credits Required for Certification of Pre-service K-8 Teachers

A new question on the 2015 survey inquired about the number of semester hours in four-year
mathematics departments required for certification of pre-service elementary (grades K-5) and middle grade (grades 6-8) mathematics teachers. Table SP. 4 contains data, broken down by the level of department, on the number of semester hours in the mathematics department, and the number of semester hours in "fundamental ideas in mathematics appropriate for elementary mathematics teachers" that are required for K-5 teacher certification. Table SP. 5 summarizes the analogous data required for grades 6-8 teacher certification.

Previous CBMS surveys asked for slightly different data. In CBMS2010, Table SP.5, p. 51, gave the distribution of the number of mathematics courses (rather than semester hours) required for "early" grade (K-5) certification (if the institution makes a distinction between kinds of K-8 certification, or all K-8 certification if no distinction is made) among the various levels of departments. That table showed that, in fall 2010, most commonly two mathematics courses were required, and the average number of required

TABLE SP. 3 Percentage of public two-year colleges (TYCs) that are involved with teacher preparation in various ways in fall 2015. (Data from fall 2005, 2010 in parentheses when available.) This table can be compared to Table SP. 4 CBMS 2010.

|  | Percentage of TYCs |
| :--- | :---: |
| Assign a mathematics faculty member to coordinate K-8 teacher <br> education in mathematics | $35(38,36)$ |
| Offer a special mathematics course for preservice K-8 teachers ${ }^{1}$ | $55(11,7)$ |
| Offer a special mathematics course for preservice secondary teachers ${ }^{2}$ |  |

${ }^{1}$ In 2010, this question specifically excluded four courses listed in the detailed course matrix.
${ }^{2}$ Did not collect in 2010.
mathematics courses, across all levels of mathematics departments combined, was 2.7 courses. In fall 2015, Table SP. 4 shows that among departments at institutions with K-5 teacher certification programs, the interval of semester hours chosen by the highest estimated percentage of departments, across all level of departments combined, was "more than 12 required hours" (chosen by an estimated 34\% of departments with elementary certification programs, with SE 3.2); in masters-level departments, the 4-6 semester hour interval was chosen most frequently. This data would suggest that, in fall 2015, more semester hours in mathematics generally are required for pre-service elementary teacher certification than in fall 2010. The interval of hours required for K-5 certification in fundamental ideals of mathematics that was chosen by the largest estimated percentage of departments with an elementary education certification program, in fall 2015, was 4-6 hours; the distribution of semester hours required in fundamental ideas in mathematics was relatively uniform for each of the three levels of mathematics departments.

## Four-year Mathematics Departments: Courses in Secondary Certification Programs

Table SP. 6 gives the estimated percentages, in fall 2015, of four-year mathematics departments that required courses in specified core areas for secondary mathematics certification (grades 9-12), departments where courses in these core areas were not required, but were generally taken by pre-service secondary
teachers, and departments that offered courses specially designed for pre-service secondary teachers in these core areas. In fall 2015, as in fall 2010, the three courses most likely to be required across all levels of departments combined were geometry, statistics, and modern algebra. At all three levels of departments, geometry was required by more than an estimated $85 \%$ of departments (with the SE of all departments combined 3). At the bachelors- and masters-level departments, modern algebra was required by at least $80 \%$ of departments (with SEs at bachelors-level of 4 and at masters-level of 6). At the doctoral- and masters-level departments, advanced calculus/analysis was required by more than 60\% of departments (with SE at doctoral-level of 9 and at masters-level of 6). At masters and bachelors-level departments, statistics was required by more than $80 \%$ of departments (with SEs at masters-level of 6 and at bachelors-level of 4). Doctoral-level departments generally were more likely to offer special courses for secondary pre-service teachers than other levels of departments, with special geometry courses offered by $53 \%$ (SE 10) of the doctoral-level departments. Table SP.9, p. 54, of the CBMS 2010 report presented comparable data from the 2010 CBMS survey.

## Statistics Departments: Courses for Pre-service Teachers

For the first time, in 2015, the statistics questionnaire inquired about pre-service secondary (grades 9-12) teacher education in statistics. Statistics depart-

TABLE SP. 4 Among all four-year colleges and universities with a K-5 certification program, the percentage of mathematics departments requiring various numbers of mathematics semester hours for certification, by type of department, in fall 2015. (Table can be compared to Table SP. 5 in CBMS2005 and CBMS2010, but the previous surveys asked for the number of courses. Also, the earlier surveys looked at K-8 and at "early" grades, while 2015 asked separately about K-5 and 6-8.)

|  | Percentage of departments with K-5 certification programs that require various numbers of mathematics courses for certification |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of semester hours in mathematics department required for K-5 certification | Univ (PhD) \% | Univ (MA) \% | Coll (BA) \% | All Math \% |
| 0 required | 8 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 1-3 required | 9 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| 4-6 required | 20 | 37 | 19 | 22 |
| 7-9 required | 22 | 26 | 23 | 23 |
| 10-12 required | 17 | 13 | 11 | 12 |
| More than 12 required | 24 | 24 | 38 | 34 |
| Number of semester hours in fundamental ideas of mathematics required for K-5 certification | Univ (PhD) \% | Univ (MA) \% | Coll (BA) \% | All Math \% |
| 0 required | 12 | 5 | 17 | 14 |
| 1-3 required | 6 | 3 | 10 | 8 |
| 4-6 required | 41 | 40 | 46 | 45 |
| 7-9 required | 16 | 21 | 11 | 13 |
| 10-12 required | 11 | 16 | 1 | 5 |
| More than 12 required | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 |

Some percentages do not total $100 \%$ due to round-off.
ments were asked which of a list of statistics courses were required of all students at their institution who were seeking credentials to teach statistics in grades 9-12, which courses were not required at their institution but generally were taken, and for which courses the department offered a special course for per-service secondary teachers. Table SP. 7 presents a summary of the responses to those questions. Across all levels of statistics departments combined, an estimated 41\% (SE 3.6) required Introductory Statistics, and an estimated 42\% (SE 3.6) required Probability and/ or Statistics with a calculus prerequisite for certification to teach statistics in grades 9-12. In addition, at another 27\% (with SE 4) of institutions, Introductory

Statistics is not required but generally taken, and an estimated 20\% (SE 3) of statistics departments offered a special Introductory Statistics course for pre-service secondary teachers.

Statistics departments also were asked for the number of semester hours in statistics that were required by their institution's middle grade (6-8 grade) teacher certification program, and by their institution's elementary grade (K-5) teacher certification program. Table SP. 7 shows that an estimated $73 \%$ (SE 3.4) of institutions require no statistics for K-5 grade certification; for grades 6-8 certification, 42\% (SE 3.9) of institutions require no statistics, while 42\% (SE 3.8) require 1-3 semester hours of statistics.

TABLE SP. 5 Among all four-year colleges and universities with a 6-8 certification program, the percentage of mathematics departments requiring various numbers of mathematics semester hours for certification, by type of department, in fall 2015. (Table can be compared to Table SP. 5 in CBMS2005 and CBMS2010, but the previous surveys asked for the number of courses. Also, the earlier surveys looked at K-8 and at "early" grades, while 2015 asked separately about K-5 and 6-8.)

|  | Percentage of departments with grade 6-8 certification programs that require various numbers of mathematics courses for certification |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of semester hours in mathematics department required for 6-8 certification | Univ (PhD) \% | Univ (MA) \% | Coll (BA) \% | All Math \% |
| 0 required | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1-3 required | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4-6 required | 14 | 10 | 4 | 7 |
| 7-9 required | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 10-12 required | 6 | 10 | 5 | 6 |
| More than 12 required | 71 | 77 | 87 | 83 |
| Number of semester hours in fundamental ideas of mathematics required for 6-8 certification | Univ (PhD) \% | Univ (MA) \% | Coll (BA) \% | All Math \% |
| 0 required | 15 | 10 | 15 | 14 |
| 1-3 required | 4 |  | 11 | 8 |
| 4-6 required | 28 | 19 | 26 | 25 |
| 7-9 required | 25 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| 10-12 required | 15 | 10 | 4 | 7 |
| More than 12 required | 13 | 45 | 28 | 29 |

Some percentages do not total $100 \%$ due to round-off.

## Tables SP.8-SP.10: Practices in Distance Learning Courses

In the CBMS 2015 survey, a "distance learning course" was defined to be a course offered for credit in which "the majority of the instruction occurs with the instructor and the students separated by time and/or place (e.g. where the majority of the course is taught online, or by computer software, or by other technologies) including MOOC's that are offered for credit. (A MOOC is a 'massive open online course'.)" In Appendix I, enrollments for individual courses both with, and without, distance learning enrollments are given, so that distance learning enrollments can be computed for individual courses taught by four-year mathematics and statistics departments; Chapter 6, Table TYE.12, gives the comparable enrollments at two-year college mathematics programs. In fall 2015,
by the Table E. 4 in Chapter 3, total distance learning enrollments in courses in four-year mathematics departments were estimated at 86,197 enrollments (compared to an estimated 36,297 enrollments in fall 2010), and in statistics departments, there were an estimated 4,297 enrollments (about the same as the 2010 estimate of 4,171 enrollments) in distance learning courses; Table TYE. 12 shows that in fall 2015 there were an estimated 225,000 enrollments (compared with 188,000 in fall 2010) in distance learning courses at two-year mathematics programs. Enrollments in distance learning courses appear to be growing, and the 2015 survey sought to explore issues regarding their use and pedagogy.

From Table SP. 8 we observe that 87\% (SE 4.1) of two-year mathematics programs, $64 \%$ of statistics departments (SE 3), and 52\% (SE 5.2) of four-year mathematics departments (63\% at doctoral-level,

TABLE SP. 6 Among four-year colleges and universities with secondary pre-service teaching certification programs, for various courses, the percentage of mathematics departments whose program requires the course, or whose students generally take the course, or who offer a special course in the given subject that is designed for secondary teachers, by type of department, in fall 2015. (This table can be compared to Table SP.9, p. 54, in CBMS2010.)

| Course | Percentage of departments with secondary certification program where: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Course is required |  |  |  | Course is generally taken, but not required |  |  |  | Math dept offers special course in the subject for secondary pre-service teachers |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Univ } \\ \text { (Ph.D) } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Univ <br> (MA) <br> \% | Coll <br> (BA) <br> \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { math } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Univ } \\ \text { (Ph.D) } \\ \% \end{gathered}\right.$ | Univ (MA) \% | Coll <br> (BA) <br> \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { math } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|\|c\|} \hline \text { Univ } \\ \text { (Ph.D) } \\ \% \end{array}$ | Univ <br> (MA) <br> \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coll } \\ \text { (BA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { math } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Advanced Calculus/ Analysis | 69 | 64 | 49 | 54 | 13 | 13 | 16 | 15 | 9 | 3 | 10 | 8 |
| Modern Algebra | 72 | 89 | 81 | 81 | 9 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 23 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Number Theory | 25 | 37 | 11 | 17 | 26 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 7 |  | 9 | 7 |
| Geometry | 85 | 89 | 90 | 89 | 18 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 53 | 5 | 13 | 18 |
| Discrete Mathematics | 56 | 52 | 62 | 60 | 8 | 9 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Statistics | 66 | 88 | 85 | 83 | 23 | 7 | 12 | 13 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 4 |
| Probability | 62 | 68 | 50 | 55 | 15 | 2 | 18 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 7 |
| History of Math | 60 | 77 | 39 | 48 | 16 | 7 | 17 | 16 | 39 | 5 | 11 | 15 |

Some totals are less than $100 \%$ due to round-off.
$73 \%$ at masters-level, and 45\% at bachelors-level) offered a distance learning course at least once in the calendar years 2013-2015. These percentages can be compared to those reported in fall 2010 (see CBMS2010, Table SP.10, p. 55), when $88 \%$ of two-year mathematics programs, $39 \%$ of statistics departments, and $35 \%$ of four-year mathematics departments (48\% of doctoral-level, $57 \%$ of masters-level, and $28 \%$ of bachelors-level) reported offering distance learning courses in 2008-10. The survey asked all departments whether, in fall 2015, the department granted credit for a distance learning class that was not taught by faculty in the respondent's institution; an estimated 62\% (SE 5.2) of four-year mathematics departments, $50 \%$ (SE 3) of statistics departments, and 58\% (SE 5.1) of two-year college mathematics programs reported that they did give credit for such courses. Departments were asked if there is a limit on the number of credits in distance learning courses that can be applied toward graduation, and Table SP. 8 shows that in fall 2015 an estimated 36\% (SE 3.7) of
four-year mathematics departments, 31\% (SE 2.9) of statistics departments, and 1\% (SE 0.5) of two-year colleges reported that there was such a limit.

Among those departments that offered a distance learning course in 2013-15, Table SP. 8 gives the percentages of practices in teaching distance learning courses in four-year mathematics departments, statistics departments, and two-year colleges. Departments were asked to categorize the majority of distance learning courses as completely online, hybrid, or other, and for all three types of departments about two-thirds (66-69\%) of the distance learning courses were completely online (with SEs 4-6). Departments were asked to itemize how instructional materials were generally created: by faculty, by commercially produced materials, or by a combination. For the statistics departments combined, an estimated $56 \%$ (SE 3.7) indicated faculty created the materials, while at four-year mathematics departments about 36\% (SE 4.6) used faculty created materials (and these percentages were about the same across each level of

TABLE SP. 7 Among statistics departments at four-year colleges and universities with secondary preservice teaching certification programs, for various courses, the percentage of statistics departments whose program requires the course, or whose students generally take the course, or who offer a special course in the given subject that is designed for secondary teachers, and the number or semester hours required for certification in grades K-5 and 6-8, by type of department, in fall 2015.


Some totals are less than $100 \%$ due to round-off.

TABLE SP. 8 Percentage of mathematics, statistics, and public two-year college departments offering distance learning ${ }^{1}$, and use of various practices with regard to distance learning in fall 2015. This table can be compared to Table SP. 10 CBMS 2010 p. 55.

|  | Mathematics Depts |  |  |  | Statistics Depts |  |  | Two- <br> Year <br> Colleges |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Univ } \\ \text { (PhD) } \end{array}$ | Univ (MA) | College <br> (BA) | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Univ } \\ \text { (PhD) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Univ (MA) | Total |  |
| Give credit for distance learning not taught by faculty in your institution: <br> Yes <br> No | 60 40 | 74 26 | 60 40 | 62 38 | 52 48 | 42 58 | 50 50 | 58 42 |
| Set a limit on the number of credits earned in distance learning classes | 33 | 33 | 37 | 36 | 34 | 18 | 31 | 1 |
| Percentage offering distance learning | 63 | 73 | 45 | 52 | 69 | 50 | 64 | 87 |
| Format of majority of distance learning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Complete online | 63 | 60 | 74 | 69 | 70 | 50 | 66 | 69 |
| Hybrid | 36 | 33 | 21 | 26 | 18 | 50 | 23 | 22 |
| Other | 1 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 13 |  | 10 | 8 |
| Instructional materials created by: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Faculty | 37 | 30 | 37 | 36 | 54 | 67 | 56 | 14 |
| Commercially produced materials | 9 | 6 | 11 | 9 | 3 |  | 3 | 19 |
| Combination of both | 55 | 65 | 52 | 55 | 43 | 33 | 41 | 67 |
| How distance learning students take majority of tests: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not at a monitored testing site | 15 | 15 | 26 | 22 | 10 | 17 | 11 | 11 |
| Online, using monitoring technology | 10 | 14 | 23 | 19 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 10 |
| At proctored testing site | 49 | 34 | 34 | 37 | 32 | 50 | 35 | 47 |
| Combination of both | 25 | 37 | 18 | 23 | 41 | 17 | 37 | 32 |

${ }^{1}$ Distance-learning courses are those courses in which the majority of instruction occurs with the instructor and students separated by time and/or place (e.g. courses in which the majority of the course is taught online, or by computer software, or by other technologies, including MOOCs that are offered for credit).

TABLE SP. 9 Percentages of public two-year colleges (TYCs) with various practices in distancelearning courses in fall 2015. (Data from fall 2010 are in parentheses.) This table can be compared to Table SP. 11 CBMS 2010 p. 57.

| Requirements of faculty whose entire teaching load is distance-learning courses <br> regarding time required to be on campus to meet with students | $\%$ of TYCs |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Never | 5 | (8) |
| Only for scheduled meeting or student appointment | 12 | (6) |
| A specified number of office hours per week | 52 | (21) |
| Not applicable or unreported |  |  |

four-year mathematics department), and at two-year mathematics programs about 14\% (SE 4.4) used materials created by faculty. Instructional materials created by a combination of both faculty and commercially produced materials was reported in 41-67\% of institutions, across four-year and two-year departments. The administration of tests was addressed in a question about how distance learning students take the majority of their tests: not at a monitored testing site, online using monitoring technology, at a proctored testing site, or using some combination: an estimated 47\% (SE 5.1) of two-year college mathematics programs, 35\% (SE 3.7) of statistics departments, and 37\% (SE 5.9) of four-year mathematics departments (including $49 \%$ of the doctoral-level departments) reported using a proctored testing site; these percentages were roughly comparable to those reported in fall 2010.

Table SP. 9 examines the time faculty at two-year mathematics programs, whose entire teaching load is distance-learning courses, were required to be on campus. Estimates of percentage of programs with requirements that faculty in two-year college mathematics programs be on campus to meet with students ranged from $5-32 \%$ in fall 2015: an estimated $5 \%$ (SE 2) of mathematics programs never required faculty to be on campus, $12 \%$ (SE 3) required faculty to be on campus only for scheduled meetings or appointments, and $32 \%$ (SE 7) required a specific number of on-campus office hours, an 11\% increase from 2010 to 2015.

Table SP. 10 considers courses that four-year and two-year departments offered in both distance learning and regular format, and asked for a comparison of the courses offered in the two formats. Almost all of the departments that offered distance learning courses had some course offered in both formats (estimated at $91 \%$ of four-year mathematics departments, and $88 \%$ of statistics departments), and almost all believed that the courses had the same course outlines. Tables TYE. 3 and TYE. 12 in Chapter 6 show that almost every course offered was available in both formats at two-year colleges. An estimated ninety-seven percent (97\% with SE of 2.7) of two-year colleges reported that the same course outlines were used for distancelearning courses and face-to-face courses (in four-year mathematics departments the estimated percentage was $94 \%$ and in statistics departments it was $88 \%$ ). Instructors held comparable office hours at an estimated 59\% (SE 4.8) of the four-year mathematics departments and $68 \%$ (SE 3.7) of the statistics departments. Instructors were evaluated in the same ways at an estimated $87 \%$ (SE 4) of the four-year mathematics departments, $91 \%$ (SE 2.4) of the statistics departments, and $93 \%$ (SE 3) of the two-year college mathematics programs. The courses made the same
use of common exams at an estimated $58 \%$ (SE 8) of the four-year mathematics departments, $45 \%$ (SE 4) of the statistics departments, and $67 \%$ (SE 5) of the two-year college mathematics programs. The classes had the same projects at an estimated $79 \%$ (SE 5.4) of the four-year mathematics departments, 68\% (SE 3.5) of the statistics departments, and $77 \%$ (SE 4.5) of the two-year college mathematics programs. For four-year departments, these numbers are broken down further by the level of department, but the percentages are not very different at the various levels, and are comparable to the data reported in fall 2010.

The 2015 survey asked departments if, during the academic years 2013-15, the department had offered a MOOC (massive open online course) for credit. Out of all the institutions surveyed, one fouryear (bachelors-level) mathematics department, one (doctoral-level) statistics department, and two two-year colleges responded "yes". The two-year colleges reported teaching courses in statistics, developmental mathematics, and college-level courses below, and above, calculus-level courses. The four-year mathematics department taught one or more courses that were college-level, but below calculus, and also statistics. The statistics department taught a course that required previous statistical knowledge. Given the few responses, and large SEs, estimates of the percentage of departments offering MOOCs and the enrollments in MOOCs are not included in this report. That is, given the rarity of such MOOCs, a different sample might show a different distribution of courses and different statistics.

Beginning in 2010 the CBMS survey asked fouryear departments to check each upper-level course offered in distance learning format. The numbers of departments reporting such courses were small in both 2010 and 2015, and our estimates are likely unreliable, but the data gathered are reported in Tables SP.11A and SP.11.B, and may be compared to the data reported in CBMS2010 Tables 13.A and 13.B, pp. 58-9. There appears to be some growth in upper-level statistics courses offered by statistics departments as distance learning courses. As distance learning courses become more common, these baseline data may be of some interest.

## Tables SP.12-SP.14: Academic Resources Available to Undergraduates

Tables SP. 12 and SP. 13 present a spectrum of academic enrichment activities available in various kinds of mathematics and statistics departments at each level of department. In most cases, the availability of these options in fall 2015 was comparable to what was available in fall 2010; one exception is the reported increase in the estimated percentage

TABLE SP. 10 Percentage of four-year mathematics and statistics departments, and public two-year college (TYC) programs, with courses offered in both distance and non-distance-learning formats, and comparison of various practices in the distance learning and the non-distance-learning formats, by type and level of department, in fall 2015. This table can be compared to Table SP. 12 CBMS 2010 p. 57.

|  | Math |  |  |  | Stat |  |  | TYC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | Univ <br> (MA) | College (BA) | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | Univ <br> (MA) | Total |  |
| Some courses in both non-distance and distance-learning formats | 91 | 94 | 90 | 91 | 85 | 100 | 88 | na ${ }^{1}$ |
| Of those with courses in both formats, the percentage where: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instructors hold comparable office hours on campus | 71 | 52 | 57 | 59 | 64 | 83 | 68 | na |
| Instructors participate in evaluation in same way | 89 | 81 | 89 | 87 | 89 | 100 | 91 | 93 |
| Same use of common exams as in face-to-face | 52 | 64 | 58 | 58 | 44 | 50 | 45 | 67 |
| Same course outlines as in face-to-face | 94 | 91 | 95 | 94 | 85 | 100 | 88 | 97 |
| Same course projects as in face-to-face | 85 | 73 | 78 | 79 | 62 | 100 | 69 | 77 |
| More course projects than in face-to-face | 10 | 18 | 14 | 14 | 9 |  | 7 | 12 |

${ }^{1}$ See Tables TYE. 3 and TYE. 12.
of statistics departments that offer participation in statistics contests. Generally, the availability of these options increased as departments offered higher level degrees (e.g. honors sections were available at 69\% (SE 5.2) of doctoral-level four-year mathematics departments, but only at $28 \%$ (SE 5.7) of the bach-elors-level four-year departments). Special programs for women and minorities have increased at almost all levels of four-year mathematics and statistics departments. Two new additions to the CBMS survey questionnaire for four-year mathematics departments and statistics departments in 2015 were the opportunity to tutor, grade papers or TA in the department (offered at 17\% (SE 2.9) of all four-year mathematics departments combined, and $75 \%$ (SE 2.5) of statistics departments (all levels combined), and the opportunity to participate in a supervised consulting lab with clients (available at 83\% (SE 3.2) of four-year mathematics departments and $44 \%$ (SE 3.1) of statistics departments).

Another new question, added to the 2015 survey questionnaire, asked four-year mathematics and statistics departments to estimate the number of their majors who had participated in undergraduate research projects in the mathematical sciences, an internship in the mathematical sciences, or mathematical or statistical consulting to clients during September 1, 2014 - August 31, 2015. From these
responses, estimates of the total number of undergraduate majors participating in these activities, broken down by level of department, appears in Table SP. 14. The estimated total number for each activity is highest at the bachelors-level mathematics department, with the estimate of majors involved in undergraduate research projects at bachelors-level mathematics departments about four times as large as at doctor-al-level mathematics departments (and more than 2 SEs above the doctoral-level department estimate). However, the SEs for the bachelors-level estimates of the numbers of majors involved in undergraduate research were 2,454 , and, for internships were 1,726 , making these particular estimates for bachelors-level departments unreliable.

As seen in Tables SP. 12 and SP.13, fall 2015 saw increases in the percentages of two-year colleges offering various kinds of special mathematics opportunities to students. The largest changes were in the estimated percentage offering outreach in K-12 schools (up to $46 \%$ with SE 4 in 2015 from 32\% in 2010), the estimated percentage offering special programs for women (up to $15 \%$ with SE 3 in 2015 from $6 \%$ in 2010) and the estimated percentage offering honors sections of courses for majors (up to $28 \%$ with SE 4 in 2015 from $20 \%$ in 2010); note that, in fall 2015, the estimated percentage of two-year college programs

TABLE SP.11.A Percentage of four-year mathematics departments offering various upper-level mathematics courses by distance learning, by department type, in fall 2015. This table can be compared to Table SP.13.A in CBMS2010 p. 58.

|  | Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | Univ <br> (MA) | College (BA) | Total |
| E23. Introduction to Proofs | 2 |  | 3 | 2 |
| E24-1. Modern Algebra I | 2 |  |  | 0 |
| E24-2. Modern Algebra II |  |  |  |  |
| E25. Number Theory |  |  |  |  |
| E26. Combinatorics |  |  |  |  |
| E27. Actuarial Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| E28. Logic/Foundations (not E23) |  |  |  |  |
| E29. Discrete Structures | 1 |  |  | 0 |
| E30. History of Mathematics | 4 |  | 1 | 1 |
| E31. Geometry | 2 |  |  | 0 |
| E32-1. Advanced Calculus I and/or Real Analysis I | 1 |  |  | 0 |
| E32-2. Advanced Calculus II and/or Real Analysis II |  |  |  |  |
| E33. Advanced Mathematics for Engineering and Physical Sciences |  |  |  |  |
| E34. Advanced Linear Algebra (beyond E17, E19) | 2 |  |  | 0 |
| E35. Vector Analysis |  |  |  |  |
| E36. Advanced Differential Equations (beyond E18) |  |  |  |  |
| E37. Partial Differential Equations |  |  |  |  |
| E38. Numerical Analysis I and II |  | 3 |  | 0 |
| E39. Applied Mathematics (Modeling) |  | 4 |  | 1 |
| E409. Complex Variables |  | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| E41. Topology |  | 4 |  | 1 |
| E42. Mathematics of Finance (not E26, E38) |  |  |  |  |
| E43. Codes and Cryptology |  |  |  |  |
| E44. Biomathematics |  |  |  |  |
| E45. Operations Research (all courses) |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| E46. Senior Seminar/ Independent Study in Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| E47. Other advanced-level mathematics |  | 7 | 0 | 1 |
| E48. Mathematics for Secondary School Teachers |  | 7 | 1 | 1 |

Note: These estimates are based on small numbers and have large standard error. Blank entries represent courses with no responses while zero entries indicate percentages that round to $0 \%$.

TABLE SP.11.B Percentage of four-year mathematics and statistics departments offering upper-level statistics courses by distance learning, by department type, in fall 2015. This table can be compared to Table SP.13.B in CBMS2010 p. 59.

|  | Mathematics Departments |  |  |  | Statistics Departments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | Univ (MA) | College <br> (BA) | Total | Univ (PhD) | Univ (MA) | Total |
| E6. Introductory Probability and/or Statistics for Majors/Minors (no calculus prerequisite) | 2 | 3 | $5$ | 4 | 11 | 15 | 12 |
| E7. Combined Probability \& Statistics (calculus prerequisite) | 2 | 3 | \| | 1 | 4 | 17 | 7 |
| E8. Probability (calculus prerequisite) | 5 | 7 | 0 | 2 |  | 8 | 2 |
| E9. Mathematical Statistics (calculus prerequisite) | 3 | 7 |  | 2 |  | 8 | 2 |
| E10. Stochastic Processes |  | 3 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| E11. Applied Statistical Analysis | 2 | 3 | , | 1 | 6 | 8 | 7 |
| E12. Data Science/Analytics | 2 | 6 | \| | 1 | 3 | 8 | 4 |
| E13. Design \& Analysis of Experiments | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| E14. Regression (and Correlation) | 2 | 3 | , | 1 | 2 |  | 2 |
| F15. Biostatistics |  | 3 | , | 0 | 2 |  | 2 |
| E16. Nonparametric Statistics |  | 3 | ' | 0 |  |  |  |
| E17. Categorical Data Analysis |  | 3 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| E18. Sample Survey Design \& Analysis |  | 3 |  | 0 | 2 | 8 | 3 |
| E19. Statistical Computing and/or Software | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 4 | 8 | 5 |
| E20. Bayesian Statistics | na | na | na | na |  |  |  |
| E21. Statistical Consulting | na | na | na | na |  | 8 | 2 |
| E22. Senior Seminar/ Independent Studies |  | 5 | \| | 1 |  |  |  |
| E23. Other upper-level Probability \& Statistics | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 6 |
| E24. Other mathematical science courses | na | na | na i | na |  | 8 | 2 |

Note: These estimates are based on small numbers and have large standard error. Blank entries represent courses with no responses while zero entries indicate percentages that round to $0 \%$.
TABLE SP. 12 Percentage of mathematics and statistics departments in four-year colleges and universities, and of mathematics programs at public parentheses.) This table can be compared to Table SP. 14 in CBMS2010 p. 60.

| Percentage with special opportunities for undergraduates | Honors sections of courses for majors \% |  | Math or Stat club \% |  | Special programs for women \% |  | Special programs for minorities \% |  | Math or Stat contests \% |  | Special Math or Stat colloquia for undergrads \& |  | Outreach in $\mathrm{K}-12$ schools \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics Departments Univ (PhD) | 69 | (70) | 94 | (91) | 41 | (31) | 25 | (21) | 91 | (93) | 77 | (82) | 61 | (71) |
| Univ (MA) | 39 | (40) | 91 | (96) | 37 | (21) | 31 | (21) | 78 | (82) | 87 | (88) | 77 | (75) |
| Coll (BA) | 28 | (15) | 56 | (75) | 16 | (16) | 8 | (12) | 64 | (62) | 53 | (51) | 43 | (40) |
| Total Mathematics Departments | 35 | (26) | 67 | (80) | 22 | (19) | 14 | (14) | 70 | (69) | 61 | (60) | 50 | (49) |
| Statistics Departments Univ (PhD) | 38 | (43) | 55 | (48) | 18 | (19) | 13 | (22) | 56 | (24) | 70 | (67) | 18 | (30) |
| Univ (MA) | 50 | (55) | 18 | (45) |  | (0) | 8 | (0) | 45 | (36) | 42 | (82) | 42 | (18) |
| Total Statistics Depts | 41 | (46) | 46 | (47) | 14 | (13) | 12 | (15) | 54 | (28) | 63 | (71) | 24 | (27) |
| Two-Year College Mathematics Programs | 28 | (20) | 32 | (31) | 15 | (6) | 15 | (11) | 40 | (41) | 21 | (16) | 46 | (32) |

Note: 0 means less than one-half of $1 \%$.
TABLE SP. 13 Percentage of mathematics and statistics departments in four-year colleges and universities, and of mathematics programs in public twoyear colleges, that offer various additional special opportunities for undergraduates, by type of department, in fall 2015. (Fall 2010 data, where available, in parentheses.) This table can be compared to Table SP.15, p. 61, of CBMS2010.

offering honors sections of courses is the same as that for bachelors-level mathematics departments.

## Table SP. 15: Interdisciplinary Courses in Four-Year Mathematics Departments

CBMS2015 was also interested in the existence of interdisciplinary courses. Table SP. 15 gives the estimated percentages of mathematics departments at four-year colleges and universities that offered various interdisciplinary courses in fall 2015, broken down by the level of the department. Across all levels of fouryear mathematics departments combined, the most likely interdisciplinary courses to be taught were in mathematics and education ( $41 \%$, with SE 4.3), mathematics and business or finance ( $35 \%$ with SE 3.9), and mathematics and computer science (31\% with SE 4.7). Some interdisciplinary courses were more likely to be taught at doctoral-level departments (e.g. mathematics and biology was offered at an estimated 47\% (SE 7.8) of doctoral-level departments, 36\% (SE 7.7) of masters-level departments and 3\% (SE 2.6) of bachelors-level departments). A different question regarding interdisciplinary courses was asked on the 2010 survey; in fall 2010, departments were asked about new interdisciplinary courses offered in the last five years (that data is in CBMS2010, Table SP.17, p. 53).

## Tables SP. 16 and SP. 17: Dual Enrollments College Credit for High School Courses

Dual enrollment courses were defined to be "courses conducted on a high school campus and taught by high school teachers, for which high school students may obtain high school credit and, simultaneously, college credit through your institution". This arrangement is not the same as obtaining college credit based on an AP or IB exam, or high school students enrolling in a course at a college. Dual enrollment is encouraged by many state governments as a way of utilizing statewide educational resources efficiently.

Table SP. 16 gives the estimated number of dual enrollments in the courses College Algebra,

Precalculus, Calculus I (Mainstream I and Non-Mainstream I, combined), Statistics and "Other" courses that were offered by four-year mathematics departments, two-year mathematics programs, and statistics departments in spring 2015 and fall 2015. In past CBMS surveys (see e.g. CBMS2010, Table SP.18, p. 65), these courses were offered predominately by mathematics programs at two-year colleges; in fall 2010, an estimated $61 \%$ of mathematics programs at two-year colleges, $17 \%$ of mathematics departments at four-year colleges and universities, and $8 \%$ of statistics departments offered dual enrollment courses; in fall 2015, the estimated percentage of four-year mathematics departments offering dual enrollment courses rose to $26 \%$ (SE 4.1) (the percentages of two-year colleges and statistics departments offering dual enrollment courses in 2015 were about comparable to percentages in 2010). However, the estimated enrollments in dual enrollment courses offered in 2015 by four-year mathematics departments increased dramatically over the number of dual enrollments estimated in 2010. The estimated enrollment in dual enrollment courses offered by mathematics departments in four-year colleges and universities in spring and fall (combined) 2010 was 42,862 , with slightly more than half of the enrollments in the fall 2010; in 2015, the estimated number of enrollments had risen to 117,399 , and, again, slightly more than half of the enrollments were in fall 2015. Mathematics programs in two-year colleges had an estimated total of 170,970 enrollments in spring and fall (combined) 2015 (compared to 158,097 enrollments in spring and fall (combined) 2010). In 2010, mathematics programs at two-year colleges had almost four times the estimated dual enrollments of mathematics departments at four-year colleges and universities, while in 2015, the estimated enrollments in four-year college dual enrollment courses were about $2 / 3$ of the estimated enrollments in dual enrollment courses offered by two-year colleges. Statistics departments had a much smaller estimated number of dual enrollments, 1,478 in 2015, compared with 1,573 dual enrollments in 2010.

TABLE SP. 14 Total number of majors (best estimate) who participated in various activities over Sept. 1, 2014, through Aug. 31, 2015.

| Activity | All Math | PhD |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depts | MA | BA | All Stat | PhD | MA |  |  |
| Math | Math | Depts | Stat | Stat |  |  |  |
| Undergraduate research project <br> in the mathematical sciences | 12168 | 2091 | 1733 | 8344 | 575 | 534 | 42 |
| Internship in mathematical <br> sciences | 6031 | 1198 | 766 | 4068 | 714 | 680 | 34 |
| Mathematical or statistical <br> consulting to client | 975 | 243 | 170 | 562 | 317 | 300 | 17 |

TABLE SP. 15 Percentage of all four-year mathematics departments offering interdisciplinary courses, by type of department, in fall 2015.

|  | Univ (PhD) | Univ (MA) | Coll (BA) | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { departments } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Offered course in: | Offered course \% | Offered course \% | Offered course \% | Offered course \% |
| Mathematics and finance or business | 46 | 44 | 31 | 35 |
| Mathematics and biology | 47 | 36 | 14 | 22 |
| Mathematics and the study of the environment | 16 | 8 | 3 | 6 |
| Mathematics and engineering or the physical sciences | 29 | 23 | 13 | 17 |
| Mathematics and economics | 15 | 11 | 9 | 10 |
| Mathematics and social sciences other than economics | 5 | 16 | 7 | 8 |
| Mathematics and education | 33 | 59 | 40 | 41 |
| Mathematics and the humanities | 8 | 9 | 14 | 13 |
| Mathematics and computer science | 27 | 41 | 30 | 31 |
| Other | 10 | 6 | 10 | 10 |

By Table SP.16, the percentage of two-year mathematics programs entering into dual enrollment agreements increased to 63\% (SE 6.4) in 2015 from 61\% in 2010. Large increases were reported in College Algebra and Statistics dual enrollments, with decreases in Precalculus, Calculus, and Other categories. Estimated dual enrollments in College Algebra for spring and fall combined increased to 90,460 in 2015 from 52,828 in 2010 ( $71 \%$ increase). Elementary Statistics dual enrollments for spring and fall combined increased to 18,983 in 2015 from 11,768 (61\% increase). Precalculus dual enrollments in spring and fall combined decreased to 32,047 in 2015 from 43,778 in 2010 ( $21 \%$ decrease). Calculus I dual enrollments for spring and fall combined decreased to 10,954 in 2015 from 20,531 in 2010 ( $47 \%$ decrease). The "Other" course category dual enrollments for spring and fall combined decreased to 18,524 in 2015 from 29, 192 in 2010 ( $37 \%$ decrease). In 2015, two-year mathematics programs estimated
fall dual enrollments represented 16\% of estimated College Algebra enrollments, 13\% of Precalculus enrollments, 6\% of Calculus I enrollments, and 3\% of Elementary Statistics enrollments.

Table SP. 16 gives the dual enrollments, broken down by course. The largest course estimated dual enrollments in both four and two-year mathematics departments in fall and spring 2015 (combined) occurred in College Algebra. Estimated enrollments in dual enrollment courses in four-year mathematics departments showed large gains across all courses: estimated dual enrollments in College Algebra rose from about 17,000 in 2010 (fall and spring combined) to almost 46,000 in 2015, estimated dual enrollments in Precalculus rose from about 5,000 in 2010 to over 30,000 in 2015, estimated dual enrollments in Calculus I rose from about 10,000 in 2010 to about 20,000 in 2015, estimated dual enrollments in Statistics rose from about 6,000 in 2010 to about 7,000 in 2015, and estimated dual enrollments in "Other" rose from
about 4,900 in 2010 to about 13,000 in 2015. Dual enrollments represent a growing percentage of total enrollments in four-year mathematics departments; for example, dual enrollments in College Algebra were about $18 \%$ of other College Algebra enrollments at four-year mathematics departments in 2015, and about $7 \%$ in 2010 . It also should be noted that the SEs on the individual dual enrollments are large; for example, the SE on the number of dual enrollments in College Algebra at four-year mathematics departments in fall 2015 is about 8,400 enrollments. However, it seems clear from the data that four-year colleges' dual enrollments have increased over previous CBMS surveys, and that dual enrollment courses are no longer confined primarily to two-year colleges.

There has been some concern about the degree of quality control exercised by the department through which college-level credit for the courses is awarded. The lower portion of Table SP. 16 gives the estimated percentages of departments offering dual enrollment courses that require teaching evaluations. That percentage increased at two-year colleges from $48 \%$ in 2010 to $72 \%$ in 2015 . Only an estimated $34 \%$ (SE 7.2) of four-year mathematics departments offering dual enrollment courses in 2015 required teaching evaluations for the instructors, compared to an estimated 40\% in 2010. In earlier CBMS surveys other questions related to the control of the quality of dual enrollment courses by the credit granting department were asked; these questions were not repeated in 2015.

The increase in required teaching evaluations at mathematics programs in two-year colleges mentioned in the preceding paragraph may be a response to a concern at two-year colleges regarding dual enrollment courses as reported in Tables TYF. 24 and TYF. 25. Among all survey respondents (including respondents from two-year colleges that do not have dual enrollment arrangements), in fall 2015, an estimated 7\% (SE 3) of mathematics program heads in two-year colleges saw dual enrollment courses as a "major problem" in 2015 ( $11 \%$ in 2010). Another 36\% (SE 5) found dual enrollment arrangements "somewhat of a problem" in 2015 , up 20 points from 2010.

Table SP. 17 examines the practice of colleges and universities sending their own faculty members into high schools to teach courses that grant both high school and college credit; this differs from dual enrollment courses where the instructor is a high school teacher. The number of students involved in these courses has been smaller than the enrollment in dual enrollment courses. However, these programs have grown from 2005 to 2015 at two-year colleges, but, in fall 2015, involved only a small number of four-year departments. In fall 2010, an estimated $22 \%$ of two-year and $4 \%$ of four-year mathematics departments assigned and paid their own faculty to teach courses in a high school that awarded both high
school and college credit. In fall 2015, this estimated percentage was $6 \%$ (SE 1.8) at four-year mathematics departments and had doubled to $44 \%$ (SE 6.5) at two-year mathematics programs. A two-year college faculty member teaching a dual enrollment course usually was classified as a part-time faculty member at the two-year college that awarded college credit for the course, even though the salary was paid completely by a third party, e.g., the local school district. The 2015 estimate of the number of students enrolled in courses where the two-year college assigned their own faculty members to teach the courses is not displayed in Table SP.17, since it cannot be reliably estimated from the 2015 data because there was one large outlier that increased the SE (and the estimate) significantly. These direct-pay faculty members at two-year colleges were reported in 2010 to have taught 6,358 students, and the 2015 data indicates this number is much larger (perhaps about 30,000) in 2015. The estimated enrollment in four-year mathematics departments, in fall 2015, was 4,014 (about the same as in 2010), with the large SE of 1,649, and no four-year statistics departments reported being involved in this practice.

## Table SP. 18 and SP.19: Curricular Requirements of Mathematics and Statistics Majors in Four-Year Departments

Requirements for a major in mathematics have become more flexible, as can be seen, for example, in the MAA's Committee on Undergraduate Programs in Mathematics (CUPM) recommendations on requirements for the mathematics major. Departments seem to have more tracks (sets of graduation requirements) and more flexible requirements for mathematics majors. The CBMS 2005 and 2010 surveys asked about these requirements, and some of these questions were repeated in the 2015 survey. Table SP. 18 summarizes data from four-year mathematics departments on whether each course option was required in all their majors, required in some but not all of their majors, or required in none of their majors; these numbers are broken down by the level of the department. Table SP. 18 can be compared to CBMS2010 Table SP.20, p. 67.

Table SP. 18 shows that in fall 2015 (as in fall 2010) the requirement selected most frequently by fouryear mathematics departments as being required for all mathematics majors was "at least one computer science course" (required for all majors by more than an estimated 60\% of departments at all levels (with SEs of 6-7)); the estimated percentage of fouryear mathematics departments requiring a statistics course for all majors decreased at the doctoral and the masters-levels of mathematics departments from fall 2010 to fall 2015 (at the bachelors-level departments, it increased from $32 \%$ in 2005 , to $55 \%$ in 2010, to $59 \%$ (SE 5.4) in 2015). The requirement that all
TABLE SP. 16 Percentage of departments offering dual-enrollment courses taught in high school by high school (HS) teachers, enrollments in various dual-enrollment courses in spring 2015 and fall 2015 compared to total of all other enrollments in fall 2015, and (among departments with dualenrollment programs) percentage of departments requiring teacher evaluations, by type of department. (Fall 2010 data in parentheses.) The comparable data in the CBMS2010 report is in Table SP. 18 p. 65.


[^8]TABLE SP. 17 Percentage of departments in four-year colleges and universities and in public two-year colleges that assign their own full-time or part-time faculty members to teach, in high school, courses that award both high school and college credit, and number of students enrolled, in fall 2015. (Fall 2010 data in parentheses.) This table was Table SP. 19 in CBMS2010.

|  | Four-year <br> Mathematics <br> Departments | Two-year <br> Mathematics <br> Departments | Statistics <br> Departments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assign their own members to <br> teach dual-enrollment courses (90) | 6 | 44 |  |
| Number of students enrolled | 4014 | $(22)$ | $(0)$ |

*The estimate of 36,368 from the data shows very large standard errors. The only clear finding is that there has been a large increase in this practice, but not necessarily as large as the estimate indicates.
majors take at least one applied mathematics course (beyond calculus) increased at all levels of mathematics departments from 2010 to 2015. Comparable data from 2010 is in CBMS2010 Table SP.20, p. 67, and for 2005 is in CBMS2005 Table SP. 20 p. 67.

Historically, Modern Algebra and Real Analysis were considered required courses for all mathematics majors; for example, in the 1990 CBMS survey report, Table D. 2 p. 62, showed that Modern Algebra was required for the major at $56 \%$ of doctoral-level departments, $70 \%$ of masters-level departments, and $78 \%$ of bachelors-level departments (in 2015 Table SP. 18 shows that the corresponding percentages were 34\%, $34 \%$, and 54\%), while in 1990, Real Analysis/Advanced Calculus was required at 70\% of doctoral-level departments, 66\% of masters-level departments, and 65\% of bachelors-level departments (in 2015 Table SP. 18 shows that the corresponding percentages were $31 \%$, $49 \%$, and $36 \%$, Table SP. 18 shows that at all levels of departments, the estimated percentage of departments requiring Modern Algebra, and the estimated percentage requiring Real Analysis, in all majors, were about the same, or decreased, from 2010 to 2015, while the estimated percentage of departments requiring of all majors either Modern Algebra or Real Analysis (major can choose either) increased at all levels of departments. Of these two courses, Modern Algebra I was a more popular required course at bach-elors-level departments (required for all majors at an estimated 54\% (SE 8.5) of bachelors-level departments in 2015 (down from 62\% in 2010). At the bache-lors-level departments, an estimated $41 \%$ (SE 6.3) of departments did not require Real Analysis in any major in 2015 (up from 36\% in 2010).

Some departments found ways to create more depth in their mathematics major, without requiring particular mathematics courses. In doctoral-level
departments, beyond the required computer science course, the requirement most often cited for all majors was the requirement that the major take a one-year sequence (required for all majors by an estimated 48\% (SE 8) of all doctoral-level departments); at the masters (respectively, bachelors) level departments, a capstone experience (e.g. a senior project, thesis, seminar, internship) was required for all majors by an estimated 68\% (SE 8) (respectively, 76\% (SE 4.5)) of all departments.

Table SP.19.A and Table SP.19.B examine the estimated percentages of departments that had various options that were required in all majors, required in some majors, and not required in any major for an undergraduate statistics majors; Table SP.19.A summarizes these percentages for the degrees in statistics awarded by mathematics departments, and Table SP.19.B examines the requirements for the degrees awarded by statistics departments. Table SP.19.A appears for the first time in a CBMS survey, and Table SP.19.B can be compared to CBMS2010, Table SP.21, p. 68.

According to Tables SP.19A and B, the requirements for undergraduate statistics degrees awarded by mathematics and statistics departments in fall 2015 were relatively similar. As might be expected, in mathematics departments it was slightly more likely that mathematics courses (Multivariable Calculus, Linear Algebra, an applied mathematics course, Mathematical Statistics) and also a Probability course were required of all statistics majors than in statistics departments, while statistics departments were more likely to require a course in Linear Models and Computer Science of all majors than were mathematics departments. In fall 2015, a larger estimated percentage of mathematics departments required an applied statistics course for all majors (74\% (SE 9.8) of
TABLE SP. 18 Percentage of four-year mathematics departments requiring certain courses (or exit exam) in all, some, or none of their majors, by type of department, in fall 2015. These percentages can be compared to Table SP. 20 in CBMS2010 p. 67.

|  | Required in all majors |  |  | Required in some but not all majors |  |  | Not required in any major |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics Department Requirements | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ (PhD) } \\ \quad \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ (MA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { College (BA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ (PhD) } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ (MA) } \\ & \quad \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { College (BA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ (PhD) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ (MA) } \\ & \quad \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { College (BA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Modern Algebra I | 34 | 34 | 54 | 40 | 62 | 27 | 26 | 4 | 19 |
| Real Analysis I | 31 | 49 | 36 | 49 | 45 | 23 | 20 | 6 | 41 |
| Modern Algebra I or Real Analysis I (major may choose either to fulfill this requirement) | 21 | 33 | 24 | 23 | 27 | 14 | 56 | 40 | 62 |
| A one-year upper-level sequence | 48 | 26 | 28 | 19 | 43 | 6 | 33 | 31 | 66 |
| At least one computer science course | 55 | 67 | 69 | 19 | 13 | 6 | 26 | 20 | 25 |
| At least one statistics course | 31 | 46 | 59 | 37 | 47 | 8 | 32 | 8 | 34 |
| At least one applied mathematics course beyond course E21 | 32 | 36 | 43 | 47 | 40 | 16 | 21 | 24 | 41 |
| A capstone experience (senior project, thesis, seminar, internship) | 32 | 68 | 76 | 27 | 17 | 5 | 41 | 15 | 19 |
| An exit exam (written or oral) | 3 | 10 | 31 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 94 | 75 | 67 |

TABLE SP.19A Percentage of mathematics departments that offer a major in statistics requiring certain courses (or exit exam) in all,
some, or none of their majors, by type of department, in fall 2015.

|  | Required in all majors |  |  | Required in some but not all majors |  |  | Not required in any major |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of statistics departments that require: | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Univ (PhD) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ (MA) } \\ \hline \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | College <br> (BA) \% | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Univ (PhD) } \\ \hline \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ (MA) } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { College } \\ \text { (BA) } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { Univ (PhD) }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ (MA) } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { College (BA) } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| (a) Calculus I <br> (b) Calculus II | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | 91 $83$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| (c) Multivariable Calculus <br> (d) Linear algebra/Matrix theory | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 92 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $67$ <br> 83 | 6 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | 2 |  | 16 |
| (e) At least one Computer Science course <br> (f) At least one applied mathematics course, not incl. (a), (b), (c), (d) | 60 <br> 42 | 85 <br> 47 | 67 |  | $7$ | 33 <br> 16 | 32 <br> 49 | 7 <br> 53 | 84 |
| (g) A capstone experience (e.g., a senior thesis or project, seminar, or internship) <br> (h) An exit exam (oral or written) | 16 | 100 | 83 <br> 9 | 18 <br> 8 |  |  | 66 <br> 92 | $100$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 91 \end{aligned}$ |
| (i) One Probability Course <br> (j) One Mathematical Statistics Course | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | 75 <br> 85 | 83 <br> 50 |  | 7 <br> 15 | 9 <br> 17 |  | 18 | 9 <br> 33 |
| (k) One applied statistics course | 74 | 85 | 75 | 8 | 15 | 25 | 18 |  |  |
| (I) One Linear Models Course <br> (m) One Bayesian Inference Course | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43 \\ 19 \end{array}$ | 67 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 9 25 | 62 84 | 73 | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE SP.19.B Percentage of statistics departments requiring certain courses (or exit exam) in all, some, or none of their majors, by type of department, in fall 2015. This table can be compared to Table SP. 21 in CBMS2010 p. 68.

|  | Required in all majors |  | Required in some but not all majors |  | Not required in any major |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of statistics departments that require: | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ (PhD) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ (MA) } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Univ (PhD) \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ (MA) } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ (PhD) } \\ & \text { \% } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ (MA) } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |
| (a) Calculus I <br> (b) Calculus II | 97 <br> 97 | $83$ $83$ | $3$ $3$ | $17$ |  |  |
| (c) Multivariable Calculus <br> (d) Linear algebra/Matrix theory | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \\ & 86 \end{aligned}$ | $50$ $50$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $33$ $33$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $17$ $17$ |
| (e) At least one Computer Science course <br> (f) At least one applied mathematics course, not incl. (a), (b), (c), (d) | $86$ $23$ | $67$ $33$ | 6 $28$ | $17$ | 7 <br> 49 | 17 $67$ |
| (g) A capstone experience (e.g., a senior thesis or project, seminar, or internship) <br> (h) An exit exam (oral or written) | $35$ $2$ | 17 | $14$ $6$ | $17$ $17$ | 51 <br> 92 | 67 $83$ |
| (i) One Probability Course <br> (j) One Mathematical Statistics Course | $75$ <br> 89 | $50$ $33$ | $11$ <br> 8 | $17$ $33$ | 13 3 | $33$ $33$ |
| (k) One applied statistics course | 79 | 50 | 19 | 50 | 2 |  |
| (I) One Linear Models Course <br> (m) One Bayesian Inference Course | $60$ | $17$ <br> 17 | 9 15 |  | 31 74 | 83 83 |

TABLE SP. 20 Percentage of mathematics departments offering various upper-division mathematics courses at least once in the two-academic years 2014-2016 and 2015-2016, plus historical data on the two year period 2009-2011, by type of department. The table can be compared to Table SP. 23 in CBMS2010 p. 70 .

|  |  | Academic Years 2014-2015 \& 2015-2016 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper-level mathematics courses | All Math Depts 2009-2011 \% | All Math Depts 2014-2016 \% | PhD Math \% | MA Math \% | BA Math \% |
| Modern Algebra I | 80 | 78 | 81 | 89 | 75 |
| Modern Algebra II | 27 | 27 | 57 | 48 | 17 |
| Number Theory | 51 | 37 | 59 | 65 | 27 |
| Combinatorics | 27 | 22 | 39 | 45 | 15 |
| Actuarial Mathematics | 13 | 21 | 38 | 40 | 14 |
| Foundations/Logic | 11 | 12 | 15 | 19 | 10 |
| Discrete Structures | 30 | 21 | 20 | 27 | 20 |
| History of Mathematics | 49 | 47 | 58 | 66 | 41 |
| Geometry | 74 | 71 | 79 | 77 | 68 |
| Math for Secondary Teachers | 35 | 33 | 45 | 59 | 26 |
| Adv Calculus/ Real Analysis I | 79 | 72 | 84 | 95 | 65 |
| Adv Calculus/Real Analysis II | 31 | 31 | 78 | 49 | 17 |
| Adv Mathematics for Engineering/Physics | 12 | 12 | 36 | 16 | 5 |
| Advanced Linear Algebra | 23 | 22 | 56 | 54 | 8 |
| Introduction to Proofs | 57 | 56 | 65 | 76 | 50 |

TABLE SP. 20 (continued) Percentage of mathematics departments offering various upper-division mathematics courses at least once in the two academic years 2014-2015 and 2015-2016, plus historical data on the two-year period 2009-2011, by type of department. The table can be compared to Table SP. 23 in CBMS2010 p. 71.

|  | Academic Years 2013-2014 \& 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper-level math <br> courses, <br> continued | All Math Depts <br> 2009-2011 <br> $\%$ | All Math Depts <br> $2014-2016$ <br> $\%$ | PhD Math <br> $\%$ | MA Math <br> $\%$ | BA Math <br> $\%$ |
| Vector Analysis <br> Advanced Differential <br> Equations | 11 | 11 | 16 | 29 | 52 |

doctoral-level, 85\% (SE 11.5) of masters-level, and 75\% (SE 19) of bachelors-level mathematics departments) than did the masters-level statistics departments (50\% (SE 10.9)). A larger estimated percentage of doctor-al-level statistics departments (35\% (SE 4)) required a capstone experience of all majors than did doctor-al-level mathematics departments ( $16 \%$ (SE 8)), but an estimated $100 \%$ (respectively, $83 \%$ (SE 12)) of masters (respectively, bachelors)-level mathematics departments required a capstone experience of all statistics majors.

Comparing Table SP. 21 from 2010 to Table SP.19.B from 2015, we see that among doctoral-level statistics departments, a larger estimated percentage of departments required Multivariable Calculus, Linear Algebra, Computer Science, and Mathematical Statistics of all majors in 2015 than in 2010. The estimated percentage of doctoral-level statistics departments requiring a Bayesian Inference course, while still small, increased slightly in 2015 over 2010. The option of a course in applied statistics as a requirement in all majors was a new option in the 2015 CBMS survey, and, in fall 2015, an applied statistics courses was required of all majors in an estimated 79\% (SE 2.7) of doctoral-level statistics departments and 50\% (SE 10.9) of masterslevel statistics departments.

## Tables SP. 20 and SP. 21 : Availability of Upper-level Courses in Mathematics and Statistics

Concerns about the availability of upper-level courses in mathematics and statistics led to questions on the CBMS surveys. Generally, the availability of upper-level mathematics courses was slightly less in 2014-16 than in 2009-11, and the availability of upper-level statistics courses in statistics departments was greater than in 2014-16 than in 2009-11. As noted in Chapter 1 Table S. 2 (and will be seen in more detail in Chapter 3 Table E.3), estimated enrollments in upper-level courses were up (particularly in statistics courses) in fall 2015 over fall 2010.

Table SP. 20 examines the availability of many upper-division mathematics courses offered in fouryear mathematics departments at least once during the two academic years 2014-2015 and 2015-2016 (and the comparison to 2009-11), and Table SP. 21 examines the same question for upper-division statistics courses offered in four-year mathematics and statistics departments; both tables are broken down by level of department. These tables can be compared to the CBMS2010 Tables SP. 23 and SP.24, pages 70-72. For mathematics courses, Table SP. 20 shows that over all mathematics departments combined, the percentages of departments offering specific upper-division courses in 2014-2016 were less, but only slightly less, than the percentages in 2009-11 for almost every course; two noticeable exceptions
were Number Theory, which was available at an estimated 51\% of mathematics departments in 2009-2011 and at only an estimated $37 \%$ (SE 4.2) of departments in 2014-16, and Actuarial Mathematics, which was available at an estimated $13 \%$ of mathematics departments in 2009-11 and at an estimated $21 \%$ (SE 2.6) of departments in 2014-16 (and the estimated percentage of mathematics departments that offered Actuarial Mathematics increased at each level of department from 2009-11 to 2014-16). While there were differences in individual course percentages, the trends in 2014-16 over 2009-11 were about the same over all levels of mathematics departments. With the exception of Mathematics for Secondary Teachers and Mathematics for Engineering/Physics, all the estimated percentages of mathematics departments that offered a given course in 2014-16 were above the corresponding estimated percentages ten years ago (2004-6), and these changes are most notable at the bachelors-level departments; for example, in the 2005 survey report (CBMS2005, Table SP.22, p. 70) an estimated $52 \%$ of bachelors-level departments offered Modern Algebra I in 2004-6, while an estimated 75\% (SE 4.6) of bachelors-level departments offered it in 2014-16. Similarly, an estimated 57\% of bachelors-level departments offered Real Analysis I in 2004-6, while an estimated 65\% (SE 4.8) offered it in 2014-16. However, both Modern Algebra II (offered at an estimated $15 \%$ of bachelors-level departments in 2004-6 and 17\% of bachelors-level departments in 2014-16) and Real Analysis II (offered at 17\% of bach-elors-level departments in both 2004-6 and 2014-16) were offered at roughly the same low percentages in 2004-6 and in 2014-16 (for comparison, at doctor-al-level departments, in 2014-16, Modern Algebra II was offered at an estimated $84 \%$ (SE 6.4) of departments, and Real Analysis II was offered at an estimated 78\% (SE 6.2) of departments).

It is interesting to compare the availability of upper-level mathematics classes in 2014-16 to the reported availability in much earlier CBMS surveys. For example, Table SE 5 p. 10, of the CBMS1995 report presents the reported availability of a smaller list of upper-level mathematics courses in 1984-86, 1989-91, and 1995-96 (the latter only a one-year window). The percentages for the courses listed are roughly comparable to those reported in 2014-16, with the exception of Topology, offered by $35 \%$ of all departments (combined) in 1989-91 and $50 \%$ of all departments in 1995-96 (compared to 25\% in 201416), and Foundations of Mathematics, offered by $22 \%$ of all departments in 1998-91 and $24 \%$ of all departments in 1995-96 (compared to $11 \%$ in 2014-16).

Table SP. 21 examines the analogous question for statistics courses offered in mathematics departments and in statistics departments, providing data for the academic years 2009-11 and 2014-16. The list

TABLE SP. 21 Percentage of mathematics and statistics departments offering various undergraduate statistics courses at least once in two academic years 2009-2010 and 2010-2011 and at least once in the two academic years 2014-2015 and 2015-2016, by type of department. This table can be compared to Table SP. 24 in CBMS2010 p. 72.

|  |  | AY 2014-15 \& 2015-16 |  |  |  |  | AY 2014-15 \& 2015-16 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper-level statistics courses | All Math Depts 2009-2011 \% | All Math Depts \% | PhD <br> Math <br> \% | MA <br> Math \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { BA } \\ \text { Math } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | All Stat Depts 2009-2011 \% | All Stat Depts \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { PhD } \\ \text { Stat } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MA } \\ \text { Stat } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Introductory Probability and/or Statistics | na | 18 | 14 | 28 | 16 | na | 48 | 54 | 31 |
| Mathematical Statistics | 42 | 34 | 47 | 42 | 30 | 78 | 73 | 82 | 46 |
| Probability | 37 | 37 | 53 | 41 | 32 | 63 | 70 | 77 | 46 |
| Combined Probability and Statistics | 26 | 32 | 33 | 45 | 30 | 37 | 48 | 48 | 46 |
| Stochastic Processes | 9 | 12 | 26 | 25 | 6 | 37 | 49 | 55 | 31 |
| Applied Statistical Analysis | 13 | 12 | 19 | 29 | 7 | 50 | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| Experimental Design | 10 | 9 | 13 | 26 | 5 | 51 | 59 | 58 | 62 |
| Regression \& Correlation | 11 | 15 | 19 | 38 | 10 | 71 | 78 | 84 | 62 |
| Biostatistics | 4 | 7 | 11 | 9 | 6 | 27 | 36 | 40 | 23 |
| Nonparametric Statistics | 5 | 6 | 9 | 14 | 4 | 30 | 44 | 46 | 38 |
| Categorical Data Analysis | 1 | 4 | 8 | 11 | 2 | 31 | 30 | 35 | 15 |
| Sample Survey Design | 2 | 4 | 6 | 13 | 2 | 41 | 50 | 56 | 31 |
| Stat Software \& Computing | 5 | 11 | 17 | 23 | 8 | 35/41* | 62 | 64 | 54 |
| Data Science | na | 7 | 11 | 17 | 5 | na | 36 | 38 | 31 |
| Bayesian Statistics | na | na | na | na | na | 36 | 47 | 55 | 23 |
| Statistical Consulting | na | na | na | na | na | 29 | 34 | 38 | 23 |
| Senior Seminar/ Independent Study | 12 | 9 | 13 | 20 | 6 | 44 | 56 | 59 | 46 |

Note: 0 means less than one-half of one percent.
*In 2010, this appeared as two separate items in the statistics questionnaire, with 41 percent reporting courses in statistical computing and 35 percent reporting courses in statistical software.

TABLE SP. 22 Departmental estimates of the percentage of graduating mathematics or statistics majors from academic year 2014-2015 who had various post-graduation plans, by type of department, in fall 2015. (Data from fall 2010 in parentheses.)

|  | Mathematics Departments |  |  | Statistics Departments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Departmental estimates of post-college plans | Univ (PhD) \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ (MA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | College (BA) \% | Univ (PhD) \% | Univ (MA) \% |
| Students who went into pre-college teaching | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ (13) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ (48) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \\ (27) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $1$ (1) | 1 <br> (1) |
| Students who went to graduate school in the mathematical or statistical sciences | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (29) \end{gathered}$ |
| Students who went to graduate or professional school outside of mathematics/statistics | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8 \\ (10) \end{gathered}$ | 4 <br> (4) | 7 <br> (8) | 10 <br> (5) | 1 <br> (5) |
| Students who took jobs in business, government, etc. | $\begin{gathered} \hline 27 \\ (27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 19 \\ (19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 34 \\ (30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ (41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (45) \end{gathered}$ |
| Students who had other plans known to the department | 3 <br> (5) | $3$ <br> (3) | 4 <br> (4) | 3 <br> (2) | 0 <br> (3) |
| Students whose plans are not known to the department | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ (30) \end{gathered}$ | 36 <br> (14) | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \\ (29) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ (18) \end{gathered}$ |

TABLE SP. 23 Percentage of four-year mathematics and statistics departments undertaking various assessment activities during the last six years, by type of department, in fall 2015. (Data from fall 2010 when known in parentheses.)

|  | Four-year Mathematics Departments |  |  | Statistics Departments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage using various assessment tools | Univ (PhD) \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ (MA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { College (BA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Univ (PhD) \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ (MA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Consult outside reviewers | $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ (53) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ (48) \end{gathered}$ | 40 <br> (31) | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ (42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \\ (80) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Survey program graduates | 67 <br> (71) | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ (80) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ (71) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ (63) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ (70) \end{gathered}$ |
| Consult other departments | 44 <br> (54) | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ (45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ (26) \end{gathered}$ | $46$ <br> (47) | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (60) \end{gathered}$ |
| Study data on students' progress in later courses | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ (62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77 \\ (65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ (55) \end{gathered}$ | 21 <br> (41) | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (40) \end{gathered}$ |
| Assessed teaching objectives | 78 | 81 | 85 | 98 | 67 |
| Evaluate placement system | $72$ <br> (72) | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ (51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \\ & (60) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ (30) \end{gathered}$ |
| Change undergraduate program due to assessment | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ (78) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \\ (76) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ (69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \\ (61) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \\ (80) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

of statistics courses was revised in 2010, increasing the number of upper-divisional statistics offerings for undergraduates that could be reported in statistics departments, and a few changes were made to the list of statistics course options in mathematics and statistics departments in the 2015 survey. Generally, the estimated percentages of statistics departments offering each upper-level course was up slightly in 2014-16 from 2009-11; for example, in 2009-11, an estimated $30 \%$ of statistics departments offered a course in nonparametric statistics, while this percentage increased to $44 \%$ (SE 3.1) in 2014-16. However, many of the percentages were larger in 20002001 than in 2014-16; for example, by CBMS2000 Table SP.23, p. 72, in 2000-1 (a one-year period) Applied Statistical Analysis was offered at 70\% of statistics departments, while in 2014-16 (a two-year period) it was offered at $50 \%$ (SE 3.2) of statistics departments. Estimated percentages of mathematics departments offering various upper-level statistics courses in 2014-16 were roughly comparable to the estimated percentages in 2009-11, and these percentages were smaller than in statistics departments; for example, an estimated $6 \%$ (SE 1.2) of mathematics departments offered a course in nonparametric statistics in 2014-16 (the estimated percentage was $5 \%$ for 2009-2011). Over the past fifteen years, the offering of Mathematical Statistics has decreased: in the 2000 survey it was offered by an estimated $52 \%$ of mathematics departments and an estimated $90 \%$ of statistics departments in the one-year period (2000-1), but, in 2014-16 (a two-year period), it was offered by an estimated 34\% (SE 4.3) of mathematics departments and $73 \%$ (SE 2.6) of statistics departments (both estimated percentages slightly less than in 2009-11).

## Table SP.22: Estimates of Post-Graduation Plans of Graduates of Four-Year Mathematics Departments and Statistics Departments

Table SP. 22 presents estimates from four-year mathematics departments and statistics departments of the post-graduation plans of their 2014-2015 graduating undergraduate majors, broken down by the level of department. Departments do not know the post-graduation plans of many of their majors, and, in fact, the estimated percentages of students with unknown post-graduation plans rose among all levels of four-year mathematics and statistics departments from 2009-10 graduates to 2014-15 graduates. The estimated percentage of 2014-15 graduates with post-graduation plans unknown to the department was estimated at $40 \%$ (SE 4) among doctoral-level mathematics departments, 36\% (SE 9.7) among masters-level mathematics departments, and $18 \%$ (SE 2) among bachelors-level mathematics departments; among statistics departments, these
estimated percentages were 38\% (SE 2.8) among doctoral-level statistics departments (up from 29\% in 2009-10 graduates) and 68\% (SE 11.3) among masters-level statistics departments (up from 18\% of 2009-10 graduates). Given the large percentages of students whose plans were unknown, the plans of the 2014-15 graduates known to the department were roughly comparable to the plans of the 2009-10 graduates, and the plans of the 2014-15 mathematics graduates were roughly similar to the plans of the 2014-15 statistics graduates, except for the small percentage of statistics graduates entering pre-college teaching. Among students whose plans were known to the department, at doctoral (respectively, bachelors) level mathematics departments, the largest estimated percentage 27\% (SE 2.7) (respectively, 34\% (SE 3)) of 2014-15 graduates took jobs in business, government, etc., and among masters-level mathematics departments, the largest estimated percentage of students (25\% (SE 4.7) of 2014-15 graduates, down from 48\% of 2009-10 graduates), accepted jobs in pre-college teaching. Among statistics departments, the largest estimated percentage of students whose plans were known took jobs in business, government, etc. (34\% (SE 2) at doctoral-level statistics departments and 20\% (SE 7.4) at masters-level departments). The estimated percentage of 2014-15 graduates of statistics departments known to go on to graduate study in the statistical sciences was down from the estimated percentage of 2009-10 graduates at both the doctoral and the masters-level statistics departments, but was comparable to the percentages of graduates from mathematics departments that went on to graduate study in the mathematical sciences (these estimates were about the same as the estimates made for 2009-10 graduates). The estimated percentages of 2014-15 graduates of mathematics departments who went into pre-college teaching was slightly down for graduates of all three levels of mathematics departments, and remained estimated at $1 \%$ of statistics department graduates.

## Table SP.23: Assessment Activities in Four-Year Mathematics Departments and Statistics Departments

State governments, national accrediting agencies, and professional organizations such as the Mathematical Association of America have placed great emphasis on department assessment activities. Beginning with the 2005 CBMS survey, four-year mathematics and statistics departments were asked to identify which of a list of assessment activities they had performed over the last six years. This question was repeated in the 2010 and 2015 CBMS surveys; a summary of the responses to the 2010 and 2015 surveys can be found in Table SP.23. The results obtained in fall 2015 were roughly compa-
rable to those reported in fall 2010. The estimated percentage of doctoral-level mathematics departments that had consulted with outside reviewers dropped from an estimated $53 \%$ in 2010 to $36 \%$ (SE 6.7) in 2015. The percentage of bachelors-level mathematics departments that had surveyed program graduates dropped from an estimated $71 \%$ in 2010 to $59 \%$ (SE 5.4 ) in 2015. The percentage of doctoral-level statistics departments that had studied data on students' progress in later courses dropped from $41 \%$ in 2010 to $21 \%$ (SE 2.7) in 2015 (compared to 63\% (SE 6.4) of doctoral-level mathematics departments). An additional option, added to the 2015 CBMS survey questionnaire, asked about assessment of teaching objectives, which, according to Table SP.23, was reportedly performed at more than an estimated $78 \%$ (SEs 3-8) of all the mathematics departments, $98 \%$ (SE 0.5) of the doctoral-level statistics departments, and 67\% (SE 7) of the masters-level statistics departments. For all levels of mathematics and statistics departments, over 70\% (SEs 3-7) said that their assessment activities had resulted in changes to their undergraduate programs.

## Table SP.24: Institutional or Divisional Graduation Requirements Satisfied by Advanced Placement Courses in Four-Year Mathematics and Statistics Departments

In 2015 the CBMS survey asked four-year mathematics and statistics departments whether advanced placement courses (taken when in high school) could be used to meet their institution's mathematical sciences divisional graduation requirements. Across all levels of mathematics and statistics departments, in fall 2015, the estimated percentage of departments that reported that these courses did meet divisional graduation requirements was at least 83\% (with SEs of $2-3$, except at masters-level mathematics departments, where the SE was 7.8).

## Tables SP.25-SP.27: Pedagogical Methods and Making Changes at Four-Year Mathematics and Statistics Departments

The 2015 CBMS survey included several new questions asking about pedagogical methods used in mathematics and statistics departments. In asking department chairs to comment on pedagogical methods used in their department, it is useful to determine what information was available to them. Table SP. 25 summarizes the information on teaching that was collected in four-year mathematics and statistics departments in fall 2015. The data show that almost all four-year mathematics and statistics departments collected course syllabi, few (an estimated $16 \%$ (SE 2.4)) of all mathematics departments combined collected teaching portfolios, but an esti-
mated 36\% (SE 2.9) of all statistics departments reported collecting teaching portfolios. Peer evaluation of teaching was done at an estimated $64 \%$ of all levels of mathematics departments combined, and all levels of statistics departments combined (the SE for mathematics departments was 3.5 , and the SE for statistics departments was 3). Self-evaluation was available less often, and primarily at masters-level mathematics and statistics departments, and at bachelors-level mathematics departments. Departmental discussions of teaching methods were held at about $2 / 3$ of mathematics and statistics departments, across all levels of departments (SE was 5 for all levels of mathematics departments combined, and SE was 2.8 for all levels of statistics departments combined).

Four-year mathematics and statistics departments were asked if each in a list of teaching strategies was used by some member of their department; Table SP. 26 presents a summary of the responses, broken down by level of department. No definitions of these strategies were given in the instrument, allowing for broad interpretation of what constitutes "inquiry based learning" (generally regarded as a strategy aimed at promoting active learning that starts by posing questions, rather than presenting established facts) or "flipped classrooms" (typically where the instructional content is delivered outside of the classroom, and class sessions are devoted to activities that might otherwise be done as homework). At least $50 \%$ of most levels of mathematics and statistics departments reported that a member of their faculty was using inquiry based learning in a class. In mathematics, across all levels of departments, flipped classrooms were used by someone at more than $50 \%$ of the departments, at each level of mathematics departments; flipped classrooms were used less frequently (estimated at 39\% (SE 2.9)) across both levels of statistics departments combined. At least one faculty member taught a class largely online in almost $50 \%$ of mathematics and statistics departments, except at bachelors-level mathematics departments, where the percentage was estimated at 33\% (SE 7.2). Activity based learning was used at an estimated 66\% (SE 5.3) of all mathematics departments combined, and 77\% (SE 2.7) of all statistics departments combined. Technology was used to develop conceptual understanding at an estimated $86 \%$ (SE 3) of all mathematics departments and $84 \%$ (SE 3) of all statistics departments. The survey questions did not address how many individual faculty members were using each of these methods (a more difficult question for a chair to answer) but this data shows that these pedagogical methods are represented by at least one faculty member at most mathematics and statistics departments.

Four-year mathematics and statistics departments were asked if the department had experienced major change in the types of pedagogy used in the depart-

TABLE SP. 24 Percentage of mathematics and statistics departments that allow a student to meet an institutional or divisional graduation requirement using an advanced placement course.

| Meets requirement | All Math <br> Depts | PhD <br> Math | MA <br> Math | BA <br> Math | All Stat <br> Depts | PhD <br> Stat | MA <br> Stat |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes (\%) | 88 | 97 | 83 | 87 | 86 | 84 | 92 |
| No (\%) |  | 12 | 3 | 17 | 13 | 14 | 16 |

TABLE SP. 25 Percentage of four-year mathematics and statistics departments reporting that various items are significant sources of information to the department about the types of pedagogy used.

| Activity | All Math <br> Depts | PhD <br> Math | MA <br> Math | BA <br> Math | All Stat <br> Depts | PhD <br> Stat | MA <br> Stat |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Syllabi for classes | 87 | 95 | 96 | 84 | 98 | 98 | 100 |
| Teaching portfolios | 16 | 23 | 28 | 12 | 36 | 35 | 42 |
| Peer evaluation of instructors | 64 | 78 | 74 | 60 | 64 | 60 | 75 |
| Self-evaluation of instructors | 51 | 28 | 47 | 57 | 29 | 22 | 50 |
| Department discussions of <br> teaching practices | 69 | 66 | 64 | 71 | 73 | 68 | 92 |
| None of these are available | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |

ment during last 10 years, and an estimated 60\% of mathematics departments and $80 \%$ of statistics departments reported that it had (see Table SP.27). Of those departments experiencing change, respondents were asked to attribute the change to any of a list of factors (they could check all that applied), and Table SP. 27 summarizes the responses. The overwhelming factor, cited by $91 \%$ (SE 3.2) of mathematics departments combined and $88 \%$ (SE 2.4) of the statistics departments combined, was the advocacy of some member of their faculty. Educational research was the next most cited factor, noted by an estimated 61\% (SE 5.7) of the mathematics departments combined and 49\% (SE 3.6) of the statistics departments combined. Advocacy by the institution's administration was cited by an estimated $47 \%$ (SE 3.5) of the statistics departments combined and 37\% (SE 4.7) of the mathematics departments combined, and advocacy by a professional organization was cited by $39 \%$ (SE 4.5) of the mathematics departments combined and 38\% (SE 3.5) of the statistics departments combined. Advocacy
by another department was cited by $16 \%$ of both the mathematics departments combined (where the SE was 4.5) and the statistics departments combined (where the SE was 2.5).

## Table SP.28: Statistics Minors and Majors in Four-Year Mathematics Departments

A new set of questions in the 2015 CBMS survey dealt with statistics minors and majors in mathematics departments; the responses to these questions are summarized in Table SP.28. By Table SP.28, in fall 2015, the estimated percentage of mathematics departments offering a major in statistics is $10 \%$ (SE 1.8) across all levels of mathematics departments combined; it is $25 \%$ (SE 5.7) at doctoral-level departments, 26\% (SE 8.2) at masters-level departments, and $4 \%$ (SE 1.6) at bachelors-level departments. The estimated percentage of departments offering a minor in statistics is $16 \%$ (SE 2.1) across all levels of mathematics departments combined, but 52\% (SE 7.5) at

TABLE SP. 26 Percentage of four-year mathematics and statistics departments reporting that various pedagogical strategies are used by some member of the department faculty.

| Activity | All Math <br> Depts | PhD <br> Math | MA <br> Math | BA <br> Math | All Stat <br> Depts | PhD <br> Stat | MA <br> Stat |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inquiry based class | 58 | 56 | 71 | 57 | 54 | 56 | 45 |
| Flipped classroom | 58 | 61 | 52 | 59 | 39 | 35 | 55 |
| Class conducted largely online | 38 | 49 | 53 | 33 | 48 | 49 | 45 |
| Activity based learning | 66 | 64 | 71 | 65 | 77 | 70 | 100 |
| Technology used to develop <br> conceptual understanding | 86 | 82 | 91 | 86 | 84 | 84 | 82 |

TABLE SP. 27 Percentage of mathematics and statistics departments reporting major changes in the kinds of pedagogy used in their departments, and the percentage citing various reasons for those changes.

| Activity | All Math <br> Depts | PhD <br> Math | MA <br> Math | BA <br> Math | All Stat <br> Depts | PhD <br> Stat | MA <br> Stat |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department experienced major <br> changes over the last 10 years | 60 | 62 | 65 | 58 | 80 | 78 | 85 |
| Of those experiencing change, <br> the percent attributing the <br> change to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Educational research | 61 | 67 | 77 | 56 | 49 | 53 | 36 |
| Advocacy of some faculty <br> member in the department | 91 | 99 | 90 | 90 | 88 | 88 | 91 |
| Advocacy by another department | 16 | 23 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 21 | 0 |
| Advocacy by institution's <br> administrators | 37 | 47 | 30 | 35 | 47 | 48 | 45 |
| Advocacy by a professional <br> organization | 39 | 31 | 33 | 43 | 38 | 36 | 45 |

masters-level departments. Between July 1, 2014June 30, 2015, an estimated 1,012 students (SE 213) graduated with a minor in statistics that was obtained in a mathematics department.

## Tables SP.29-SP. 31 Profiles of other fulltime faculty in four-year mathematics and statistics departments

Concern has been voiced about the early career profiles of individuals with Ph.D.s in the mathematical sciences. There are increasing numbers of postdocs and decreasing numbers of tenure-eligible positions, and there seems to be a growing number of non-tenure-eligible positions (see, e.g. Amy Cohen, "Disruptions of the Academic Math Employment Market", Notices of the American Mathematical Society, October 2016, pp. 1057-1060). Data on numbers of faculty obtained from the CBMS survey in fall 2015 are contained in Table S.15, and in the Chapter 4 tables. As a part of the CBMS 2015 survey, and the Annual Survey administered by the American Mathematical Society that is a part of the CBMS survey, a separate instrument (see Appendix V) was sent to mathematics and statistics departments to gain more information about postdocs and other full-time faculty who are not tenure-eligible. This survey consisted of three sets of questions related to the profiles of research postdocs, non-tenure-eligible faculty with renewable appointments, and non-tenure-eligible faculty with fixed-term (nonrenewable) appointments.

The first set of questions was intended to study the career profile of (research) postdoctoral faculty; it inquired about positions postdocs accept after leaving
a postdoc position. The question asked departments, first, for the number of individuals in their department in 2014-2015 who were postdoctoral faculty (defined as: "those in a temporary position primarily intended to provide an opportunity to extend graduate training or to further research experience"), and, next, for the number of those individuals who were postdocs in 2014-15, but were not classified as postdoctoral research faculty in fall 2015-16 (including postdocs who remained in the department in a different position), i.e. the number of individuals who were postdocs in 2014-15, and left the position of postdoc at that institution after the 2014-15 academic year. For those individuals who were no longer postdocs, responders were given six choices (and "unknown") for the current positions of these postdocs; these options were intended to illuminate the career path of postdocs. The responses from this set of questions are summarized in Table SP.29, which is broken down by the level of the responding mathematics department, and by doctoral-level statistics department.

Table SP. 29 shows that in the masters and bache-lors-level mathematics departments, a large percentage of postdocs left the postdoc position after 2014-15 (an estimated 71\% (SE 1) at masters-level departments, and 89\% (SE 5.1) at bachelors-level departments), while an estimated 39\% (SE 1.4) of the postdocs at doctoral-level mathematics departments, and 30\% (SE 5.8) of postdocs who were at doctoral-level statistics departments, left a postdoc position after 2014-15 (hence about $1 / 3$ of postdocs in 2014-15 ended their appointment as a postdoc at the same doctoral-level department, which would be expected with postdocs usually serving a 3-year appointment). These data

TABLE SP. 28 Percentage of four-year mathematics departments offering a minor in statistics, the number of students graduating with such a minor between July 1, 2014, and June 30, 2015, and the percentage of four-year mathematics departments offering a major in statistics.

|  | Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of tracks | Univ (PhD) | Univ (MA) | College (BA) | Total |
| Offer a minor in statistics (\%) | 13 | 52 | 10 | 16 |
| Number of graduates | 305 | 323 | 384 | 1012 |
| Offer a major in statistics (\%) | 25 | 26 | 4 | 10 |

Some totals are less than $100 \%$ due to round-off.
TABLE SP. 29 Profile of 2014-2015 Postdocs who left the position at the end of the 2014-2015 academic year.

|  | Doctoral <br> Math | Masters <br> Math | Bachelors Math | All Math | Doctoral Stat | Masters Stat | All Stat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postdocs during 2014-2015 academic year | 1297 | 46 | 119 | 1463 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| Number who left the position for fall 2015 | 501.3 | 32.8 | 106.1 | 640.2 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 30 |
| Percent who left the position for fall 2015 | 38.6\% | 70.5\% | 88.8\% | 43.7\% | 30\% |  | 30\% |
| Of those who left the position for fall 2015: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number who took tenure-track position | 179.5 | 8.3 | 72.5 | 260.4 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 7.2 |
| Percent who took tenure-track position | 36\% | 25\% | 68\% | 41\% | 24\% |  | 24\% |
| Number who took another postdoc position | 111.0 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 116.9 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 3.8 |
| Percent who took another postdoc position | 22\% | 18\% | 0\% | 18\% | 13\% |  | 13\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number who took renewable appointment for fall 2015 | 66.7 | 13.3 | 28.9 | 108.8 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 15.4 |
| Percent who took renewable appointment for fall 2015 | 13\% | 41\% | 27\% | 17\% | 51\% |  | 51\% |
| Number who took non-renewable appointment for fall 2015 | 30.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.1 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.8 |
| Percent who took non-renewable appointment for fall 2015 | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 5\% | 6\% |  | 6\% |
| Number who took non-academic appointment for fall 2015 | 28.8 | 2.9 | 4.7 | 36.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.8 |
| Percent who took non-academic appointment for fall 2015 | 6\% | 9\% | 4\% | 6\% | 6\% |  | 6\% |
| Number unemployed for fall 2015 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Percent unemployed for fall 2015 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |
| Number whose status is unknown for fall 2015 | 83.3 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Percent whose status is unknown for fall 2015 | 17\% | 7\% | 0\% | 13\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |

suggest that typically a postdoc position at a doctor-al-level department is a different experience than at a masters-level or bachelors-level department. The responding departments reported that there were no postdocs that they would classify as unemployed in fall 2015, but the precise status of their former postdocs was not always known (e.g. an estimated $17 \%$ (SE 1.5) of postdocs leaving positions at doctor-al-level mathematics departments after 2014-15 had "unknown" status in fall 2015, and possibly many of these former postdocs were unemployed). Of those postdocs who left a postdoc position after 2014-15, an estimated 68\% (SE 7.6) of the postdocs at bache-lors-level departments, 25\% (SE 11.4) of the postdocs at masters-level departments, 36\% (SE 2.1) of the postdocs at doctoral-level mathematics departments, and $24 \%$ (SE 11.1) of postdocs at doctoral-level statistics departments, were employed in a tenure-eligible position in fall 2015 . The percentages of postdocs who left a postdoc position after 2014-15, and who were known to be in another postdoc position in fall 2015, was an estimated $22 \%$ (SE 1.8) of the postdocs leaving doctoral-level mathematics departments, 18\% (SE 7.2) of postdocs leaving masters-level mathematics departments, and $13 \%$ (SE 6.2) of postdocs leaving doctoral-level statistics departments. The percentages of postdocs who left a postdoc position after 2014-15 and were in a renewable (but not postdoc or tenureeligible) position in fall 2015 was estimated at $13 \%$ (SE 1.4) of the postdocs leaving doctoral-level mathematics departments, $41 \%$ (SE 11) of postdocs who left masters-level mathematics departments, 27\% (SE 7) of postdocs who left bachelors-level departments, and $51 \%$ (SE 10.8) of postdocs who left doctoral-level statistics departments. The percentages of postdocs who left postdoc positions after 2014-15 and took nonacademic or non-renewable academic positions were small. The data in Table SP. 29 provides some light on the career path of postdocs at various kinds of institutions, and, if confirmed by further studies, suggests that the career path of a postdoc varies according to the level of institution where the postdoc was completed. For example, it appears that about half of postdocs at doctoral-level statistics departments took a subsequent renewable appointment, and about a quarter took tenure-track positions after completing a postdoc, that postdocs at bachelors-level departments generally did not take another postdoc, but were likely to find a tenure-eligible job or a renewable position after completing the postdoc, that postdocs at doctoral-level mathematics departments tended to accept tenure-track or renewable positions or another postdoc, etc.

The second set of questions related to the profile of faculty with renewable, but not tenure-eligible (and not postdoc), appointments; these were faculty with
positions such as Lecturer, Teaching Professional, Professor of the Practice, Instructor, etc. Data was collected on the number of such positions, the number leaving these positions after 2014-15, and the typical responsibilities of faculty in these positions.

The first question in this second set of questions asked for the number of faculty in renewable positions in 2014-15, and, of those, how many of these faculty were no longer in that position in fall 2015. The survey also asked for the number of faculty who were in such a renewable position in 2015-16. Finally, department chairs were asked, of those faculty who were in such a position in 2015-16, for the number of renewable-term faculty who typically were engaged in each of a list of nine different activities. The responses from this set of questions are contained in Table SP.30, which is broken down by level of mathematics and statistics department.

Table SP. 30 shows that, in fall 2015, essentially all faculty with renewable appointments taught, and that in both doctoral and masters-level mathematics departments an estimated 14\% (SE 1) (21\% (SE 2) in bachelors-level departments) and 8\% (SE 2) across both levels of statistics departments left the renewable position after 2014-15 for new position in fall 2015. Across all levels of mathematics departments combined, an estimated $16 \%$ (SE 0.8 ) were active in research; in doctoral-level statistics departments an estimated 33\% (SE 2.8) were active in research. Support for attending conferences would appear not to be a standard benefit of renewable positions in fall 2015, as less than an estimated $20 \%$ (with SEs around 1 in each level of mathematics department and 2.3 in both levels of statistics departments combined) of faculty with renewable positons would be supported to attend a research conference (even at the doctoral-level statistics departments), and, support to attend a teaching conference was available to only an estimated 29\% (SE 1) of faculty with renewable positions across all levels of mathematics departments combined (to an estimated 37\% (SE 2.1) at bachelors-level mathematics departments), and to an estimated $13 \%$ (SE 2.1) of faculty with renewable positions across all levels of statistics departments combined. Across all levels of departments, more than half of the faculty with renewable positions typically would serve on departmental committees, and less than $1 / 3$ would serve as a course coordinator (except at masters-level statistics departments, where 54\% (SE 10.5) of faculty with renewable positions would serve as a course coordinator). Except at bachelors-level mathematics departments and masters-level statistics departments, less than an estimated 20\% (SEs 1-2) of faculty with renewable positions would serve on college/university committees. Across all levels of mathematics departments combined an estimated
TABLE SP. 30 Profile of Non-tenure-track faculty with renewable appointments.

|  | Doctoral <br> Math | Masters Math | Bachelors Math | All Math | Doctoral Stat | Masters Stat | \| All Stat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Renewable positions filled for 2014-2015 | 1641.1 | 850.2 | 1778.1 | 4269.4 | 214.4 | 50.7 | 265.1 |
| Number that left renewable position for 2015 | 228.9 | 121.6 | 375.3 | 725.8 | 15.0 | 5.3 | 20.3 |
| Percent that left renewable position for 2016 | 14\% | 14\% | 21\% | 17\% | 7\% | 11\% | 8\% |
| Renewable positions filled for 2015-2016 | 1645.2 | 865.2 | 1808.5 | 4318.8 | 253.2 | 34.7 | \| 287.9 |
| Number active in teaching | 1625.1 | 865.2 | 1794.3 | 4284.6 | 243.6 | 34.7 | 278.3 |
| Percent active in teaching | 99\% | 100\% | 99\% | 99\% | 96\% | 100\% | 97\% |
| Number active in research | 276.4 | 92.3 | 310.7 | 679.4 | 91.6 | 2.7 | 94.3 |
| Percent active in research | 17\% | 11\% | 17\% | 16\% | 36\% | 8\% | 33\% |
| Number that attend research conf. with support | 174.6 | 79.7 | 341.0 | 595.4 | 39.0 | 2.7 | 41.7 |
| Percent that attend research conf. with support | 11\% | 9\% | 19\% | 14\% | 15\% | 8\% | 14\% |
| Number that attend teaching conf. with support | 377.5 | 218.9 | 665.6 | 1261.9 | 37.2 | 0.0 | 37.2 |
| Percent that attend teaching conf. with support | 23\% | 25\% | 37\% | 29\% | 15\% | 0\% | 13\% |
| Number that serve on dept. committees | 866.4 | 512.0 | 1145.2 | 2523.6 | 137.2 | 21.3 | 158.5 |
| Percent that serve on dept. committees | 53\% | 59\% | 63\% | 58\% | 54\% | 62\% | 55\% |
| Number that advise undergrad. research projects | 200.1 | 89.6 | 363.0 | 652.8 | 39.8 | 10.7 | 50.5 |
| Percent that advise undergrad. research projects | 12\% | 10\% | 20\% | 15\% | 16\% | 31\% | 18\% |
| Number that serve as academic advisor | 336.9 | 208.4 | 725.3 | 1270.6 | 77.2 | 10.7 | 87.9 |
| Percent that serve as academic advisor | 20\% | 24\% | 40\% | 29\% | 30\% | 31\% | 31\% |
| Number that serve on univ. committees | 234.0 | 176.0 | 711.3 | 1121.3 | 30.6 | 13.3 | 43.9 |
| Percent that serve on univ. committees | 14\% | 20\% | 39\% | 26\% | 12\% | 38\% | 15\% |
| Number that serve as course coordinator | 540.4 | 179.5 | 503.9 | 1223.8 | 50.6 | 18.7 | 69.3 |
| Percent that serve as course coordinator | 33\% | 21\% | 28\% | 28\% | 20\% | 54\% | 24\% |

29\% (SE 1) of faculty with renewable positions typically would serve as an academic advisor (40\% (SE 2.1) at bachelors-level departments), and across all levels of statistics departments an estimated 31\% (SE 3) of faculty with renewable positions would serve as an academic adviser. Across all levels of mathematics departments, the percentage of faculty with renewable positions who typically would supervise undergraduate research projects was about the same as the percentage who were active in research. In doctoral-level statistics departments, an estimated $36 \%$ (SE 3) of faculty with renewable positions were active in research while an estimated 16\% (SE 2.5) would supervise undergraduate research projects; in masters-level statistics departments (which reported an estimated total of only 51 such faculty), an estimated 8\% (SE 6.1) of faculty with renewable positions were research-active, but an estimated 31\% (SE 10.5) typically would supervise undergraduate research projects.

The final set of questions dealt with the profile of faculty in fixed-term (non-renewable) appointments, and the same questions were asked about this group of faculty that were asked about faculty with renewable appointments. The responses to these questions are summarized in Table SP.31, which is broken down by level of mathematics and statistics department.

From Table SP. 31 we see that, in fall 2015 , there were estimated to be fewer fixed-term (non-renewable) faculty appointments than renewable-term faculty appointments (an estimated total of 4,269 (SE 187) renewable positions, and 1,503 (SE 127) fixed-term positions, across all levels of mathematics departments combined; for statistics departments,
the estimates were 265 (SE 29) renewable and 53 (SE 11) fixed-term appointments). Across all levels of mathematics, about $1 / 3$ of those faculty who were in a fixed-term appointment in 2014-15 were not in the department in fall 2015 (the estimates for statistics departments are small, and the numbers very variable). Across all levels of mathematics departments, a larger percentage of faculty with fixed-term appointments were active in research than the percentage of faculty with renewable appointments, and, except for masters-level mathematics departments, the faculty with fixed-term appointments were more likely to be supported to attend a research conference (e.g. at doctoral level mathematics an estimated 11\% (SE 0.7 ) of renewable-term faculty typically would receive support to attend a research conference, while an estimated $27 \%$ (SE 2) of fixed-term faculty would typically receive such financial support). There was a smaller estimated percentage of fixed-term appointment faculty who would typically be supported to attend a teaching conference than the estimated percentage for faculty with renewable appointments. There was a small estimated percentage of fixed-term faculty who typically were involved in the other activities listed (serving on a departmental committee, serving on a university committee, serving as an academic advisor, supervising an undergraduate research project, or serving as a course coordinator); one exception was, at bachelors-level mathematics departments, the estimated percentage of fixed-term appointment faculty typically supervising an undergraduate research project was $27 \%$, (SE 3) while the percentage of renew-able-term appointment faculty typically supervising such a project was estimated at 20\% (SE 1.7).
TABLE SP. 31 Profile of Non-tenure-track faculty with fixed-term (non-renewable) appointments.

|  | Doctoral <br> Math | Masters Math | Bachelors Math | All Math | Doctoral Stat | Masters Stat | \| All Stat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Fixed-term positions filled for 2014-2015 | 511.4 | 311.4 | 680.5 | 1503.3 | 47.8 | 5.3 | 53.1 |
| Number that left fixed-term position for 2015 | 159.1 | 81.0 | 212.5 | 452.6 | 25.6 | 5.3 | 30.9 |
| Percent that left fixed-term position for 2015 | 31.1\% | 26.0\% | 31.2\% | 30.1\% | 54\% | 100.0\% | 58\% |
| Number of Fixed-term positions filled for 2015-2016 | 574.1 | 382.5 | 658.5 | 1615.1 | 54.6 | 13.3 | 67.9 |
| Number active in teaching | 567.4 | 382.5 | 655.9 | 1605.9 | 48.6 | 13.3 | 61.9 |
| Percent active in teaching | 99\% | 100\% | 100\% | 99\% | 89\% | 100.0\% | 91\% |
| Number active in research | 213.7 | 44.8 | 267.5 | 526.0 | 28.4 | 2.7 | 31.1 |
| Percent active in research | 37\% | 12\% | 41\% | 33\% | 52\% | 20.0\% | 46\% |
| Number that attend research conf. with support | 153.0 | 27.2 | 241.6 | 421.8 | 9.6 | 2.7 | 12.3 |
| Percent that attend research conf. with support | 27\% | 7\% | 37\% | 26\% | 18\% | 20.0\% | 18\% |
| Number that attend teaching conf. with support | 60.8 | 40.9 | 158.7 | 260.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Percent that attend teaching conf. with support | 11\% | 11\% | 24\% | 16\% | 0\% | 0.0\% | 0\% |
| Number that serve on dept. committees | 73.4 | 117.4 | 246.1 | 436.9 | 9.6 | 2.7 | 12.3 |
| Percent that serve on dept. committees | 13\% | 31\% | 37\% | 27\% | 18\% | 20.0\% | 18\% |
| Number that advise undergrad. research projects | 19.5 | 32.2 | 175.6 | 227.3 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 |
| Percent that advise undergrad. research projects | 3\% | 8\% | 27\% | 14\% | 7\% | 0.0\% | 6\% |
| Number that serve as academic advisor | 17.8 | 14.2 | 112.8 | 144.8 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 |
| Percent that serve as academic advisor | 3\% | 4\% | 17\% | 9\% | 7\% | 0.0\% | 6\% |
| Number that serve on university committees | 7.2 | 27.2 | 78.5 | 112.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Percent that serve on university committees | 1\% | 7\% | 12\% | 7\% | 0\% | 0.0\% | 0\% |
| Number that serve as course coordinator | 44.1 | 26.0 | 99.8 | 170.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Percent that serve as course coordinator | 8\% | 7\% | 15\% | 11\% | 0\% | 0.0\% | 0\% |

## Chapter 3

## Mathematical Sciences Bachelors Degrees and Enrollments in Four-Year Colleges and Universities

Mathematics and statistics departments in the nation's four-year colleges and universities offer a wide spectrum of undergraduate mathematical sciences courses and majors, sometimes including mathematics education, actuarial science, operations research, and computer science, as well as mathematics and statistics. This chapter's eleven tables describe:

- the number of bachelors degrees awarded through the nation's mathematics and statistics departments (Table E.1.A-E.1.D),
- enrollments in mathematical sciences courses and the numbers of mathematical sciences course sections (Tables E.2-E.3)
- distance learning enrollments (Table E.4)
- the appointment type of instructors who teach undergraduate courses in mathematics and statistics departments (Table E.5-E.9), and
- average sizes of sections of categories of courses taught in mathematics and statistics departments, and average sizes of recitation sections used in lecture/recitation classes for calculus and introductory statistics courses (Tables E.10-E.11).

These tables are broken down by the level of department based on the highest degree offered. The tables in this chapter expand upon Tables S.1-S.8 from Chapter 1, while Chapter 5 provides additional detail about enrollments in first-year courses in mathematics and statistics. The enrollments in each course listed on the four-year mathematics and statistics questionnaires (both with, and without, distance learning enrollments) are given in Appendix I; in making comparisons to previous CBMS surveys, one should note that the Appendix enrollments in CBMS reports prior to 2010 include distance learning enrollments. Enrollment data from two-year colleges appear in Chapter 6.

In the text that follows, the standard error (SE) in many of the estimates is provided along with the estimate (e.g. enrollment of 255,000 (SE 23,000)); the standard errors for all CBMS2015 tables can be found in Appendix VIII. The change in an estimate from an estimate in a previous survey is often expressed both
as percentage change, and as the number of SEs that change represents (e.g. "increased $21 \%$ (1.7 SEs)").

## Highlights:

## A. Number of bachelors degrees awarded

- The estimated total number of mathematical sciences bachelors degrees granted through fouryear mathematics and statistics departments in the 2014-15 academic year was 26,234 , up from 21,377 in 2009-10 (a $23 \%$ increase (1.9 SEs) over 2009-10), This estimate reverses a declining trend in estimated bachelors degrees awarded observed over the CBMS surveys from 1985-2010; the CBMS 1985 estimate was 27,928. See Table S. 3 in Chapter 1.
- There was a $19 \%$ (1.5 SEs) increase in the estimated number of bachelors degrees awarded by mathematics departments from 2009-10 to 201415 , and the estimated number of degrees awarded by statistics departments more than doubled in that time period. See Tables E.1.A. and E.1.B.
- In the 2014-15 academic year, all levels of mathematics departments combined awarded more bachelors degrees in mathematics, statistics, actuarial mathematics, other, and computer science, but fewer degrees in mathematics education than in 2009-10. See Table E.1.A and Table S. 3 in Chapter 1.
- In the 2014-15 academic year, the estimated total number of bachelors degrees in the mathematical sciences awarded by each level of mathematics department increased. The bachelors-level departments awarded the greatest estimated number of bachelors degrees in the mathematical sciences, but when computer science degrees are removed, the doctoral-level departments awarded the greatest estimated number of bachelors degrees in the mathematical sciences. Doctoral-level statistics departments awarded an estimated 92\% of the degrees awarded by statistics departments. See Tables E.1.A and E.1.B.
- The estimated percentage of bachelors degrees in the mathematical sciences awarded to women by mathematics and statistics departments combined in the 2014-15 academic year was 42\% (compared
with 43\% in both 2009-10 and 1999-2000); in 2014-15 this percentage was $43 \%$ in statistics departments and $42 \%$ in mathematics departments (in 2009-10 these estimated percentages were 40\% and $43 \%$ for statistics and mathematics departments, respectively). See Table S. 3 in Chapter 1 and Tables E.1.A and E.1.B.


## B. Enrollments and number of sections

- Estimated total fall 2015 enrollments (including distance learning enrollments) in mathematics departments were up $12 \%(1.8 \mathrm{SE})$ over fall 2010 , and up $41 \%$ over fall 2005; in statistics departments, the estimated total enrollments were up $32 \%$ ( 9 SEs) over fall 2010, and up $80 \%$ over fall 2005. Increases in estimated enrollments occurred at almost all levels of departments and category of courses, except computer science enrollments in mathematics departments (which were up 35\% from fall 2005 to fall 2010, but down in 2015) and enrollments in masters-level statistics departments (where estimated enrollments in 2015 were almost half of estimated enrollments in 2010). Estimated enrollments in statistics courses in mathematics departments were up 19\% (2.1 SEs) over fall 2010 and up $72 \%$ (5.5 SEs) over fall 2005. See Table E.2.
- Most of the growth in estimated enrollments in mathematics departments was due to growth in enrollments in doctoral-level mathematics departments, which were up 28\% (2.4 SEs). See Table E. 2 and Figure E.2.3.
- The largest increase in estimated enrollments in mathematics courses was at the lower levels of mathematics courses, as enrollments in pre-college-level mathematics were up $21 \%$ ( 1.7 SEs ), and in introductory-level mathematics courses estimated enrollments were up $16 \%$ (1.7 SEs) in fall 2015 over fall 2010. See Table E.2.
- Estimated statistics enrollments made gains from fall 2010 to fall 2015, in both mathematics and statistics departments, particularly at the upper-level. as enrollments in upper-level statistics courses taught in mathematics and statistics departments combined were up $83 \%$; estimated enrollments in upper-level statistics courses in doctoral-level statistics departments in fall 2015 were three times the estimated enrollments in fall 2010. Introductory statistics course enrollments showed slower growth from 2010 to 2015. See Table E. 2.
- Estimated enrollments in calculus-level courses (which include courses in linear algebra, differential equations, and discrete mathematics, as well as calculus courses of various kinds) rose only $8 \%$ ( 0.95 SEs) in 2015 over 2010, but grew by $37 \%$ (3.5 SEs) in 2015 over 2005. See Table E. 2.
- From fall 2010 to fall 2015, the estimated total number of course sections offered in mathematics departments grew by $11 \%$ (1.2 SEs). The number of sections of upper-level statistics courses in mathematics departments more than doubled from 2010 to 2015, and, at masters-level mathematics departments, more than tripled. In doctoral-level statistics departments the estimated number of sections of upper-level statistics courses increased by 73\% (9.3 SEs) from 2010 to 2015. See Table E.3.


## C. Distance learning enrollments

- Estimated enrollments in distance learning courses were up in 2015 over 2010 for most course categories reported in 2010, in four-year mathematics departments, with the estimated total distance learning enrollments in all course categories combined in fall 2015 more than double the estimate for fall 2010. In fall 2015, in mathematics departments of fouryear departments, distance learning enrollments represented $3 \%$ of precollege level enrollments, $5 \%$ of College Algebra, Trigonometry and Pre-Calculus (combined) enrollments, $3 \%$ of both Calculus I and of Calculus II enrollments, and 8\% of Introductory Statistics enrollments; all of these percentages, except for precollege level, are increases over 2010. In statistics departments, an estimated $5 \%$ of the introductory statistics enrollment was taught in distance learning format in both 2010 and 2015. See Table E. 4


## D. Appointment type of section instructor

- Over all levels of mathematics departments combined, there was a $48 \%$ ( 2.9 SEs) increase in the estimated number of sections of calculus-level courses taught by other full-time (OFT) faculty, and a $15 \%$ (2.6 SEs) decrease in the estimated number of sections taught by tenured or tenure-eligible (TTE) faculty. The trend of decreasing estimated number of sections taught by TTE faculty and increasing number of sections taught by OFT faculty held for each level of mathematics department. See Table E.5.
- Over all levels of mathematics departments combined, in fall 2015, an estimated $41 \%$ of the introductory-level statistics sections were taught by TTE faculty, $21 \%$ were taught by OFT faculty, $25 \%$ were taught by part-time (PT) faculty, and $4 \%$ were taught by graduate teaching assistants (GTAs); in all levels of statistics departments combined, an estimated $14 \%$ of the introductory statistics sections were taught by TTE faculty, 25\% taught by OFT faculty, $10 \%$ taught by PT faculty, and $31 \%$ taught by GTAs. See Table E.6.
- The estimated percentage of sections of lower-level computer science courses in mathematics departments taught by PT instructors declined from

2010 to 2015 , but the percentage of sections of middle-level computer science course taught by PT instructors increased. See Tables E. 7 and E.8.

- In bachelors-level and in doctoral-level departments, the estimated percentage of sections of advanced-level mathematics courses taught by TTE faculty declined from 2010 to 2015. See Table E.9.


## E. Average section size

- Over both levels of statistics departments combined, estimated average section size of statistics courses increased significantly. In introductory statistics classes, the estimated average section size rose from 45 in fall 2010 to 60 (with SE 2.4) in 2015, and in upper-level statistics course sections, the estimated average section size grew from 30 in fall 2010 to 52 (with SE 2.0) in fall 2015. See Table E. 10 .
- The estimated average recitation section size in Non-Mainstream Calculus I at doctoral-level departments increased, from 30 in fall 2010, to 36 (SE 1.7) in fall 2015. See Table E. 11.

Terminology: The two preceding CBMS survey reports are called CBMS2005 and CBMS2010.

In the CBMS 2015 survey, the term "mathematics department" includes departments of mathematics, applied mathematics, mathematical sciences, and departments of mathematics and statistics. The term "statistics department" refers to departments of statistics that offer undergraduate statistics courses. The term "mathematical sciences courses" covers all courses that are taught in mathematics or statistics departments in the United States; it includes courses in mathematics education, actuarial sciences, and operations research taught in a mathematics or statistics department, as well as courses in mathematics, applied mathematics, and statistics. Computer science courses (and majors) are included in CBMS2015 totals when the courses (and majors) are taught (granted through) a mathematics department (previous CBMS surveys gathered data on computer science courses/ majors offered through statistics departments, but this data were not collected beginning in 2010). CBMS2015 data do not include any courses or majors that are taught in, or granted through, separate departments of computer science, actuarial science, operations research, etc. Departments are classified by the highest degree offered. For example, the term "bachelors-level department" refers to one that does not offer masters or doctoral degrees.

## Tables E.1.A and E.1.B: Bachelors degrees granted between July 1, 2014 and June 30, 2015

## Total numbers of degrees awarded by mathematics and statistics departments

The CBMS 2015 survey (Table S. 3 of Chapter 1) estimated that the total number of mathematical sciences bachelors degrees granted through the nation's fouryear mathematics and statistics departments in the 2014-15 academic year was 26,234 , up from 21,377 in 2009-10 (a $23 \%$ ( 1.9 SEs ) increase over 2009-10), and up from the estimate of 21,437 in 2004-5. The six previous CBMS surveys (see Table S. 3 in Chapter 1 for the estimates from the surveys of 1995, 2000, 2005, and 2010, and Table SE. 4 in CBMS2000, p. 14, for the estimates from the surveys of 1985 and 1990) reported a declining trend in the total number of bachelors degrees awarded by the nation's mathematics and statistics departments in the preceding academic year, and, over the 25 years, 1985-2010, the estimated number of bachelors degrees awarded decreased by $31 \%$. The 2015 estimate, while higher than any of the estimates in the last five CBMS surveys, is below the 1985 estimate of 27,928 (which included an estimated 8,691 degrees in computer science awarded by mathematical sciences departments), and, if the apparent increase is not due to statistical error, it indicates a reversal in the trend of decline in the number of bachelors degrees awarded the previous academic year, perhaps fueled by increases in estimated enrollments observed in the CBMS surveys of 2010 and 2015. When computer science degrees were removed from the count, the estimated number of degrees awarded by mathematics and statistics departments appeared relatively constant in past CBMS surveys: 19,237 in 1984-1985 (the first year computer science degrees were tabulated), 19,380 degrees in 1989-1990 and 19,241 degrees in 2009-10 (see Table S. 3 and Table SE. 4 in CBMS2000). However, first, the number of computer science degrees awarded by mathematics departments over the preceding academic year, 20142015, is the largest number recorded in the last five CBMS surveys (see Table S.1), and, second, when we remove the estimated 3,968 computer science degrees from the estimated CBMS2015 total number of bachelors degrees awarded, the estimated total is 22,266 , seemingly an increase over the past surveys.

Table E.l.A presents the estimated number of bachelors degrees awarded by mathematics departments from July 1, 2014-June 30, 2015, broken down by the level of the department, and the type of degree awarded (the subcategories of degrees are: mathematics (including applied mathematics), mathematics education, statistics, actuarial science, computer science, joint majors, and other degrees).

TABLE E.1.A Bachelors degrees in mathematics, mathematics education, statistics, and computer science in mathematics departments awarded between July 1, 2014 and June 30, 2015, by gender of degree recipient and type of department. This table can be compared to Table E. 1 in CBMS2010, p. 78.

|  | Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bachelors degrees in Math Depts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | Univ <br> (MA) | Coll <br> (BA) | Total Math Depts |
| Mathematics Majors (including applied) <br> Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{aligned} & 3431 \\ & 1645 \\ & 32 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1436 \\ & 1365 \\ & 49 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2529 \\ & 2388 \\ & 49 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7396 \\ & 5398 \\ & 42 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Math degrees | 5076 | 2801 | 4917 | 12794 |
| Mathematics Education Majors <br> Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{array}{r} 235 \\ 401 \\ 63 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 412 \\ 480 \\ 54 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 497 \\ 851 \\ 63 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1143 \\ & 1732 \\ & 60 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Math Ed degrees | 636 | 891 | 1348 | 2875 |
| Statistics Majors <br> Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{array}{r} 98 \\ 28 \\ 22 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77 \\ 56 \\ 42 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \\ 62 \\ 40 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 270 \\ 147 \\ 35 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total Stat degrees | 126 | 133 | 157 | 416 |
| Computer Science Majors <br> Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 3 \\ 33 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 483 \\ 217 \\ 31 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2177 \\ & 1082 \\ & 33 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2666 \\ & 1302 \\ & 33 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Total CS degrees | 10 | 700 | 3259 | 3968 |
| Actuarial Mathematics Majors <br> Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{gathered} 997 \\ 635 \\ 39 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 207 \\ 134 \\ 39 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 167 \\ 75 \\ 31 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1371 \\ 844 \\ 38 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Actuarial Math degrees | 1632 | 341 | 243 | 2215 |
| Joint Mathematics Majors <br> Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{gathered} 212 \\ 109 \\ 34 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 224 \\ 168 \\ 43 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 491 \\ 156 \\ 24 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 927 \\ 433 \\ 32 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Joint degrees | 321 | 393 | 646 | 1360 |
| Other Mathematics Majors Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{array}{r} 357 \\ 251 \\ 41 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87 \\ 37 \\ 30 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ 10 \\ 38 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 460 \\ 298 \\ 39 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total other Math degrees | 608 | 124 | 26 | 758 |
| Total degrees - Men <br> Total degrees - Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5337 \\ & 3072 \\ & 37 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2925 \\ & 2458 \\ & 46 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5971 \\ & 4624 \\ & 44 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 14233 \\ 10154 \\ 42 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total all degrees | 8409 | 5383 | 10595 | 24387 |

Note: Round-off may make row and column sums seem inaccurate.

TABLE E.1.B Bachelors degrees in statistics departments awarded between July 1, 2014 and June 30, 2015, by gender of degree recipient and type of department. This table can be compared to Table E. 1 in CBMS2010, p. 78.

|  | Statistics Departments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bachelors degrees in Stat Depts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | Univ (MA) | Total <br> Stat <br> Depts |
| Statistics Majors <br> Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{array}{r} 540 \\ 418 \\ 44 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ 42 \\ 43 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 594 \\ 460 \\ 44 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total Statistics degrees | 958 | 97 | 1055 |
| Biostatistics <br> Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ 21 \\ 55 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ N A \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ 21 \\ 55 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Biostatistics degrees | 38 | 0 | 38 |
| Actuarial Science <br> Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ 73 \\ 56 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 1 \\ 17 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ 74 \\ 53 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Actuarial Science degrees | 131 | 8 | 139 |
| Joint Statistics and Computer Science <br> Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ 18 \\ 28 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ 18 \\ 28 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Joint Statistics and Computer Science degrees | 64 | 0 | 64 |
| Joint Statistics and Mathematics <br> Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{gathered} 124 \\ 72 \\ 37 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 124 \\ 72 \\ 37 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Joint Statistics and Mathematics degrees | 196 | 0 | 196 |
| Joint Statistics and (Business or Economics) <br> Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{gathered} 116 \\ 84 \\ 42 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 116 \\ 84 \\ 42 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Joint Statistics and (Business or Ecoomics) degrees | 200 | 0 | 200 |
| Statistics Education <br> Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 3 \\ 60 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 3 \\ 60 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Statistics Education degrees | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Other <br> Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ 47 \\ 43 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ 12 \\ 29 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \\ 59 \\ 39 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Total other degrees | 109 | 41 | 149 |
| Total degrees - Men <br> Total degrees - Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 965 \\ 737 \\ 43 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \\ 55 \\ 38 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1055 \\ 792 \\ 43 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Total all degrees | 1702 | 145 | 1847 |

Note: Round-off may make row and column sums seem inaccurate.

Table E.1.C. Comparisons of NCES Tabulations of Bachelors Degrees awarded to Majors in Math \& Stat during 2014-2015 survey cycle with estimates from 2015 CBMS Survey and 2015 Annual Survey Departmental Profile survey.

| Institutions with a: | NCES | Annual <br> Survey $^{1}$ | Annual <br> Survey SEs | CBMS $^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doctoral Mathematics Departments | 14256 | 13477 | 70 | 10256 |
| Masters Mathematics Departments | 4354 | 4701 | 141 | 5383 |
| Bachelors Mathematics Departments | 9058 | 12204 | 270 | 10595 |
| Grand Total | 27668 | 30382 | 348 | 26234 |

${ }^{1}$ Doctoral Math. Depts. includes degrees awarded by doctoral stat departments; Masters stat departments were not surveyed.
${ }^{2}$ Doctoral Math. Depts. includes degrees awarded by doctoral and masters stat departments; some masters stat departments are at institutions whose math department does not offer a doctorate. Computer science degrees included.

Table E.1.D. Comparisons of NCES Tabulations of Bachelors Degrees awarded to Majors in Math \& Stat during 2014-2015 survey cycle with estimates from 2015 Annual Survey Departmental Profile survey adjusted to remove CS-only Bachelors. The CBMS estimates include CS majors.

| Institutions with a: |  | Annual <br> Survey with <br> CS-only |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doctoral Mathematics Department | 14256 | 13334 | CBMS $^{2}$ |
| Masters Mathematics Department | 4354 | 4457 | 10256 |
| Bachelors Mathematics Department | 9058 | 10666 | 5383 |
| Grand Total | 27668 | 28457 | 26234 |

${ }^{1}$ Doctoral Math. Depts. includes degrees awarded by doctoral stat departments; Masters stat departments were not surveyed.
${ }^{2}$ Doctoral Math. Depts. includes degrees awarded by doctoral and masters stat departments; some masters stat departments are at institutions whose math department does not offer a doctorate. Computer science degrees included.

Table E.l.B gives the estimated number of degrees awarded by statistics departments over that same time period. Mathematics departments award most of the degrees in the mathematical sciences, $93 \%$ in 2015, down from $96 \%$ in 2009-10, so the number of degrees awarded by mathematics departments is the major component in the number of undergraduate degrees awarded in the mathematical sciences. The estimated total number of degrees awarded by four-year mathematics departments in 2014-15 was 24,387 with an SE of 2,535 , and the estimated total number awarded by statistics departments was 1,847
with an SE of 101; the corresponding estimates for 2009-10 were 20,540 (SE 1,180) degrees awarded by mathematics departments, and 838 (SE 83) degrees awarded by statistics departments [CBMS2010 Table E.1, p. 78]. Hence, there was a $19 \%$ (1.5 SEs) increase in the estimated number of degrees awarded by mathematics departments from 2009-10 to 2014-15, and the estimated number of degrees awarded by statistics departments more than doubled in that time period.


FIGURE E.1.1 Bachelors degrees in mathematics departments awarded between July 1 and June 30 in the academic years 2004-2005, 2009-2010, and 2014-2015, by gender and type of department.


FIGURE E.1.2 Number of bachelors degrees granted by mathematics departments in academic years 2004-2005, 2009-2010, and 2014-2015 by type of major and type of department.

## Degrees awarded by mathematics departments broken down by level of department

Table E.1.A breaks down the estimated numbers of degrees awarded in 2014-15 by the level of mathematics department awarding the degree. In the 2005 and 2010 CBMS surveys, most of the growth in the number of bachelors degrees awarded in mathematics occurred at the doctoral-level mathematics departments. In 2005, for the first time, the estimated number of bachelors degrees in mathematics granted by doctoral-level departments exceeded the number granted by bachelors-level departments. In 2015, the largest growth in estimated degrees awarded occurred in the masters and bachelors-level departments, with bachelors-level departments awarding more degrees total than doctoral-level departments, but when computer science degrees are removed, the situation is reversed. Figures E.1.1 and E.1.2 display the numbers of degrees awarded by each level of mathematics department in 2004-5, 2009-10 and 2014-15; Figures E.1.3, and E.1.4 display the percentage of mathematical science degrees awarded by each level of mathematics department, and by statistics departments, with, and without, degrees in computer science awarded by mathematics departments included. In 2014-15 doctoral-level departments awarded $34 \%$ of all the estimated total degrees awarded by mathematics departments, and bachelors-level departments awarded $43 \%$; when computer science degrees awarded by mathematics departments are removed, doctoral-level departments awarded $41 \%$ of all the estimated degrees, and bachelors-level departments awarded $36 \%$ of the degrees.

## Degrees awarded by mathematics departments broken down by category of degree

Table E.1.A breaks the estimated number of degrees awarded by mathematics departments in 2014-15 down by category of the major, and by level of the department; Figure E.1.2 displays this breakdown of degrees awarded in 2004-5, 2009-10, and 2014-15. Table E.1.A shows that the estimated number of bachelors degrees in the category "mathematics", awarded in 2014-15 by all levels of mathematics departments combined, was 12,794, and Table S. 3 of Chapter 1 shows that this is an increase over both 2009-10 and 2004-05. Note that Table E. 1 in CBMS2010 p. 78, includes actuarial mathematics, joint majors, and "other" in the category "mathematics", while the comparable Table E.1.A in CBMS2015 breaks out these categories separately; these categories are also broken out in Table S.3, which can be used to make comparisons between estimated number of degrees awarded in mathematics in 2014-15 to number awarded in 2009-10 over all levels of mathematics department combined. To make comparisons between the number of degrees awarded in 2009-10
and 2014-5, broken down by level of department, using Table E.1.A in CBMS2015 and Table E. 1 in CBMS2010, we combine the numbers of degrees awarded in mathematics, actuarial mathematics, joint majors and "other" in 2014-15. Hence, the number of degrees awarded by doctoral-level departments in these categories in 2014-15 was 7,637 degrees, and the number of degrees awarded by bachelors-level departments was 5,832 degrees; in the CBMS 2010 survey the corresponding estimates were 7,303 degrees awarded by doctoral-level departments, and 5,167 degrees awarded by bachelors-level departments. If one considers the narrower category of only mathematics, the estimated numbers of degrees awarded in 2014-15 are closer: 5,076 by doctoral-level departments, and 4,917 by bachelors-level departments.

The estimated number of degrees awarded by all levels of mathematics departments combined in 2014-15 in mathematics education was estimated at 2,875 degrees (SE 333), down from 3,614 in 2009-10, 3,369 in 2004-5, 4,991 in 1999-2000, and 4,829 in 1994-95 (see Table S. 3 in Chapter 1). In 2014-15, the estimated number of mathematics education degrees awarded was down from 2009-10 in all three levels of departments, but the largest decline was at the masters-level mathematics departments, where the estimated number of mathematics education degrees awarded dropped from an estimated 1,396 degrees awarded in 2009-10 to an estimated 891 degrees awarded in 2014-15. See Figure E.1.2.

Table E.1.A, shows that the estimated number of bachelors degrees in statistics awarded by mathematics departments increased from 241 degrees in 2004-5, to 354 degrees in 2009-10, to 416 degrees (SE 96) in 2014-15, almost doubling in the past 10 years, but still a relatively small number, and, in mathematics departments, the estimated number of degrees awarded in statistics was only about $20 \%$ of the estimated number of actuarial mathematics degrees. The degrees awarded in statistics by mathematics departments were spread pretty evenly across the three levels of mathematics departments, unlike in 2009-10, when more than half of the statistics degrees awarded by mathematics departments were awarded by the doctoral-level mathematics departments. As we will see later in this chapter, mathematics departments have a relative large enrollment in both lower and upper level statistics courses, but, apparently, offer few degrees classified by the survey responders as statistics degrees.

As was already observed, there was an increase in the estimated number of bachelors degrees awarded in computer science by mathematics departments. In 1994-5 the CBMS study estimated that mathematics departments awarded 2,741 bachelors degrees in computer science (Table S. 3 of Chapter 1), while Table E.1.A shows that in 2014-15 this number


FIGURE E.1.3 Percentage of mathematical sciences bachelors degrees (including computer science) awarded through mathematics and statistics departments of various kinds in academic years 1999-2000, 2004-2005, 2009-2010, and 2014-2015.


FIGURE E.1.4 Percentage of mathematical sciences bachelors degrees (excluding computer science) awarded through mathematics and statistics departments of various kinds in academic years 1999-2000, 2004-2005, 2009-2010, and 2014-2015.

TABLE E. 2 Enrollment (in thousands) in undergraduate mathematics, statistics, and computer science courses (including distance-learning enrollments) in mathematics and statistics departments by level of course and type of department in fall 2015. Numbers in parentheses are $(2005,2010)$ enrollments.


Note: Beginning in 2010, the CBMS Survey did not include computer science courses taught in statistics departments Note: Due to round-off, row and column sums may appear inaccurate.
was 3,968 . Most of the bachelors degrees awarded in computer science in 2014-15 by mathematics departments were given by the bachelors-level departments. The CBMS2010 study showed an increase in estimated computer science enrollments in mathematics departments for fall 2010 over the computer science enrollments for fall 2005 that were reported in CBMS2005 (see Table E. 2 of CBMS2010), but, as we will see later in this chapter, the 2015 report on enrollments shows a decline in computer science enrollments over 2010 in mathematics departments.

## Degrees awarded by statistics departments

Table E.l.B shows that in 2014-15 the estimated number of bachelors degrees awarded by statistics departments was 1,847 , compared with 838 bachelors degrees awarded in 200910 , and compared with 416 degrees awarded by mathematics departments in 2014-15 in statistics. The number of degrees awarded by doctorallevel statistics programs in 2014-15 was 1,702, compared with 481 in 2009-10. In the 2015 CBMS survey the degrees awarded by statistics departments were broken down into the categories of statistics, biostatistics, actuarial science, joint statistics and computer science, joint statistics and mathematics, and joint statistics and business/economics. Statistics was the category with the largest estimated number of degrees awarded $(1,055)$ in 2014-15, followed by joint statistics and business/economics (200), and joint statistics and mathematics (196). There were an estimated 139 degrees awarded by statistics departments in actuarial science, and an estimated 2,215 degrees awarded by mathematics departments in actuarial mathematics.

## Degrees awarded broken down by gender

Table E.1.A (respectively, Table E.1.B) breaks down the estimated number of bachelors degrees awarded by mathematics departments (respectively, statistics departments) by gender, and Figure E.1.1 displays the numbers of degrees awarded by mathematics departments, broken down by level of department and gender, for 2004-5, 2009-10, and 2014-15. Tables E.1.A and E.1.B show that the estimated total numbers of mathematical sciences degrees awarded to women increased from 2009-10 to 2014-15 at each level of mathematics and statistics department, except at masters-level statistics departments; however, over the course of the last 25 years the estimated percentage of bachelors degrees awarded to women has decreased slightly in mathematics departments and increased in statistics departments. Comparisons to previous CBMS surveys can be found at [CBMS 1990 Table E.6, p. 30], [CBMS 1995 Table E.1, p. 42], [CBMS2000 Table E.1, p. 71], [CBMS2005 Table E.1, p. 78], and [CBMS2010 Table E.1, p.78]. The total estimated percentage of undergraduate degrees awarded to women by all levels
of mathematics departments combined in 2014-15 was $42 \%$ (SE 2) women, comparable to the percentage that in 2009-10 was $43 \%$ women, and in 2004-5 was 40\% women; in 1989-90 the estimated percentage of women was $46 \%$. The estimated percentage of bachelors degrees awarded to women by statistics departments in 2014-2015 was estimated at 43\% (SE 0.5) (Table E.1.B), up from $40 \%$ in 2009-2010; in 2004-5 it was $40 \%$, in 1999-2000 it was $43 \%$, and in both 1989-90 and 1994-95 it was $38 \%$. The percentage of degrees awarded to women varies by the level of department. The estimated percentage of all bachelors degrees awarded to women by doctoral-level mathematics departments in 1989-90 was 37\%, in 1994-5 was $43 \%$, in 1999-2000 was $40 \%$, in 2004-5 was $37 \%$, in 2009-10 was $36 \%$, and in 2014-15 it was $37 \%$ (SE 1.2) by Table E.1.A. In 2014-15, the estimated percentage of bachelors degrees awarded by masterslevel mathematics departments to women decreased from $50 \%$ in 2009-10 to $46 \%$ (SE 3.3) in 2014-15 by Table E.1.A (it was $50 \%$ in 1989-90), and in the bachelors-level departments it decreased from $45 \%$ in 2009-10, to $44 \%$ (SE 4.3) in 2014-15; it was $52 \%$ in 1989-90. See Figure E.1.1, which shows the estimated number of bachelors degrees awarded, broken down by gender in 2004-5, 2009-10, and 2014-15.

Table E.1.A shows that the percentage of degrees awarded to women also varies by category of mathematics degree. it is highest in mathematics education (in 2014-15 it was $60 \%$ (SE 2.9), in 2009-10 it was $63 \%$, and in 2004-5 it was $60 \%$ ). The percentage of degrees awarded to women by mathematics departments made the biggest changes in the number of computer science degrees awarded; in all levels of mathematics departments combined, women were $33 \%$ of the degrees awarded in 2014-15 and $16 \%$ of degrees awarded in 2009-10.

Table E.1.B breaks down the number of bachelors degrees awarded by statistics departments into more categories than in previous CBMS surveys. Though the numbers are small, the table shows that the percentage of bachelors degrees in biostatistics awarded to women was $55 \%$ (SE 2).

## Tables E.1.C and E.1.D: Comparison: Annual Survey, NCES, and CBMS Survey Estimates of Numbers of Degrees Awarded

Next we compare the estimates of the number of degrees awarded that were obtained from the CBMS survey, to the estimates of these numbers obtained from the Annual Survey, and from data available through NCES. In both the 2010 and the 2015 CBMS surveys, the estimated number of bachelors degrees awarded was less than the estimate in the Annual Survey. NCES data is entered by college and university offices of institutional research, rather than by the department chair; at one time these offices were not


FIGURE E.2.1 Enrollment (in thousands) in undergraduate mathematics, statistics, and computer science courses in four-year college and university mathematics departments by type of course and type of department in fall 2015.
allowed to enter more than one major for a student, and, for this reason, the NCES estimates did not seem to be an accurate estimate of numbers of degrees awarded by mathematical sciences departments. In the data institutions give NCES, it now is possible for a degree to be counted under more than one major, but whether that is done depends upon how the local institution implements that policy. If counting the same things, the NCES data should be more accurate than both the Annual Survey and the CBMS survey, as NCES data is a census, rather than a survey. The Annual Survey and the CBMS survey use basically the same methodology to count the same quantities, but they are conducted at different times of the year (the CBMS survey in the fall, and the Annual Survey in January). The CBMS estimates of degrees awarded by four-year mathematics and statistics department are less than the numbers reported by NCES, and the NCES numbers are less than the Annual survey estimates.

Tables E.1.C and E.1.D consolidate the estimates of bachelors degrees awarded by mathematics and statistics departments during 2014-15 from the Annual Survey and from the CBMS 2015 survey so as to try to make them roughly comparable with the total bachelors degrees awarded as reported by NCES, given the differences in the three surveys. In creating these tables using NCES institutional data, the data are combined according to the highest degree awarded
(doctoral, masters, or bachelors) by the mathematics department at the institution (the level of a possible statistics department is not used, and we make the assumption that if an institution has a statistics department, it also has a mathematics department). To make the NCES data comparable to the CBMS data, in Tables E.1.C and E.1.D the CBMS total number of bachelors degrees awarded in mathematics and statistics for "Doctoral Mathematics Departments" includes CBMS estimated degrees awarded by masters and doctoral-level statistics departments, since these degrees would likely be combined in the institutional total number of bachelors degrees awarded in mathematics and statistics. The Annual Survey total shown for "Doctoral Mathematics Departments" includes the degrees reported separately for departments in the Annual Survey that are labelled Applied Mathematics Departments and Doctoral Statistics Departments (the CBMS labelled masters-level statistics departments are not part of the Annual Survey).

The NCES totals in Table E.1.C include only one type of Computer Science Degree, those submitted to NCES under the label Mathematics and Computer Science, CIP code 30.08. Since computer science degree programs are sometimes housed within the mathematics departments, the Annual Survey bachelors degree totals certainly include degrees viewed within the department as falling within Computer Science broadly, and certainly include Joint Mathematics and

Introductory Statistics Upper-level Statistics


FIGURE E.2.2 Enrollment (in thousands) in undergraduate statistics courses by level of course and type of department in fall 2015.


FIGURE E.2.3 Undergraduate enrollment (in thousands) by type of department in fall 2000, fall 2005, fall 2010, and fall 2015.

Computer Science bachelors degrees. The Annual Survey asks departments to report separately on how many Computer Science only degrees were included in the number they reported in their department degrees awarded total. No doubt some (and perhaps even most) of these Computer Science-only degrees are reported to NCES under a program total other than CIP code 30.08, the one used to produce the NCES totals shown in Table E.1.C. The NCES uses the label Computer Science, CIP code 11.07, which is one code that could easily be assigned by the institutional research unit at the institution for the degrees reported to Annual Survey as Computer Science only degrees. This difference in the NCES and Annual Survey data might explain why the Annual Survey estimate is higher than the NCES total in Table E.1.C.

In order to try to make the Annual Survey estimate closer to the NCES data, in Table E.1.D the Annual Survey data with the Computer Science only degrees reported by departments are removed from the Annual Survey estimates. For the CBMS survey, departments can report computer science degrees in the CBMS survey under the label "Computer Science majors", and they can report degrees that might have NCES CIP code 30.08 under the label "Joint Mathematics Majors", or they might decide to place then under the label "Other Mathematics Majors"; all these degrees are included in both Tables E.1.C and E.1.D in the CBMS survey column. It is interesting to note that the 2015 CBMS survey total bachelors degree awarded estimate for "Computer Science majors" is 3,968 , whereas the Annual Survey's estimate for "Computer Science only" majors is 1,925 . In addition, the NCES total tally of Mathematics and Computer Science degrees awarded is just 300.

## Tables E. 2 and E.3: Undergraduate enrollments and number of sections offered in mathematics and statistics departments

The CBMS2015 data show that estimated enrollments in mathematical sciences courses were larger in fall 2015 than in fall 2010, but perhaps not always significantly higher, and these enrollments were up in almost every category. The 2010 CBMS survey showed large growths in enrollments over 2005, and the 2015 survey generally maintains those high levels, suggesting that there has been real enrollment growth since 2005 (see Figure S.2.1 in Chapter 1 for growth in mathematics enrollments since fall 1990, and Figure S.2.3 in Chapter 1 for growth in statistics enrollments over that 25-year time period). Table E. 2 shows that estimated total fall 2015 enrollments (including distance learning enrollments) in mathematics departments were up $12 \%(1.8 \mathrm{SE})$ over fall 2010, and up $41 \%$ over fall 2005; in statistics departments, the total estimated enrollments were up $32 \%$ (8.8 SEs) over fall 2010 and $80 \%$ over fall 2005. Table
E. 2 breaks enrollments down by broad categories of courses (mathematics courses, statistics courses, and computer science courses) and by levels of department. The enrollments of individual courses are given in Appendix I (where enrollments both with, and without, distance learning enrollments can be found; in CBMS survey reports prior to 2010, Appendix I gives enrollments with distance learning enrollments included). Enrollments in introductory-level mathematics, calculus, and introductory-level statistics are considered in more detail in Chapter 5 (where tables generally do not include distance learning enrollments). When a table in this report concerns sections of a course, the corresponding enrollments do not contain distance-learning enrollments; otherwise, distance learning enrollments generally are included.

Table E. 2 shows that increases in estimated enrollments occurred at almost all levels of departments and types of courses, except computer science enrollments in mathematics departments (which were up $35 \%$ from fall 2005 to fall 2010, but down in 2015) - including enrollments in mathematics courses, and mathematics department enrollments in statistics courses, which were up 19\% (2.1 SEs) over fall 2010 and $72 \%$ over fall 2005.

## Enrollments in mathematics courses

Considering, first, the enrollments in mathematics courses, Table E. 2 shows that the estimated total national enrollment in mathematics courses taught at four-year mathematics departments in fall 2015 was roughly $2,213,000$ (with an SE of 140,000 ), up $12 \%$ (1.7 SEs) from the estimated $1,971,000$ in 2010, and up 38\% from the estimated 1,607,000 in fall 2005. Mathematics course enrollments are broken down into enrollments in precollege courses, introductory courses (including Precalculus), calculus-level courses (including Linear Algebra, Differential Equations, Discrete Mathematics, as well as various kinds of Calculus), and advanced mathematics; each of these course grouping enrollments is broken down further by the level of the department. Figure E.2.1 shows that the largest estimated total mathematics enrollments are in the introductory-level courses, as was seen, also, in the two previous CBMS surveys. The biggest percentage growth in estimated mathematics course enrollment was in precollege-level courses, which increased $21 \%$ ( 1.7 SEs ), from an estimated enrollment of roughly 209,000 in 2010 to an estimated enrollment of 253,000 (with SE 26,000) in 2015. The next largest growth in estimated enrollment in fall 2015 over fall 2010 occurred in introductory-level courses, up $16 \%$ ( 1.7 SEs ), followed by an $8 \%$ ( 1 SE ) growth in enrollment in calculus-level courses (which rose $37 \%$ in 2015 over 2005), and only a $3 \%$ ( 0.3 SE ) increase in enrollment in advanced-level mathematics courses (which rose $38 \%$ in 2015 over 2005). In the

TABLE E. 3 Number of sections (not including distance learning) of undergraduate mathematics, statistics, and computer science courses in mathematics and statistics departments by level of course and type of department in fall 2015 with fall 2010 figures in parentheses.

|  | Number of sections: Fall 2015 (Fall 2010) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mathematics Departments |  |  |  | Statistics Departments |  |  |
|  | Univ (PhD) | Univ (MA) | Coll <br> (BA) | Total Math Depts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | Univ <br> (MA) | Total Stat Depts |
| Mathematics Courses Precollege level | $\begin{gathered} 2235 \\ (1578) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1578 \\ (2075) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4206 \\ (3699) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8020 \\ (7352) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Introductory (incl. Precalc) | $\begin{gathered} 8245 \\ (6268) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6999 \\ (6556) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16948 \\ (12525) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32192 \\ (25349) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Calculus | $8323$ <br> (7976) | $\begin{gathered} 4579 \\ (4559) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8285 \\ (9575) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21186 \\ (22110) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Advanced Mathematics | $\begin{gathered} 3676 \\ (3266) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2633 \\ (3304) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4461 \\ (3913) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10771 \\ (10483) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Total Math courses | $\begin{gathered} 22479 \\ (19088) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15788 \\ (16494) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33901 \\ (29712) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72168 \\ (65294) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Statistics Courses Introductory Statistics Upper Statistics | $\begin{gathered} 1319 \\ (969) \\ 752 \\ (561) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1493 \\ (1208) \\ 1432 \\ (420) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4562 \\ (5014) \\ 1776 \\ (929) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7374 \\ (7191) \\ 3960 \\ (1910) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1256 \\ (1113) \\ 796 \\ (461) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 238 \\ (638) \\ 174 \\ (447) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1494 \\ (1751) \\ 970 \\ (907) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Stat Courses | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2072 \\ (1530) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2925 \\ (1628) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6338 \\ (5943) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11334 \\ & (9102) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2052 \\ (1573) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 412 \\ (1085) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2464 \\ (2658) \end{gathered}$ |
| Computer Science Courses Lower Computer Science | $\begin{gathered} 109 \\ (101) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 186 \\ (146) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1987 \\ (2230) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2282 \\ (2477) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Middle Computer Science | 31 <br> (51) | 69 <br> (92) | $\begin{aligned} & 1128 \\ & (769) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1227 \\ & (912) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Upper Computer Science | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (49) \end{gathered}$ | 84 <br> (69) | 375 <br> (741) | $\begin{gathered} 460 \\ (859) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Total CS courses | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ (201) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 339 \\ (307) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3490 \\ (3740) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3970 \\ (4248) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Total all courses | $\begin{gathered} 24692 \\ (20820) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19053 \\ (18428) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43728 \\ (39396) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 87472 \\ (78644) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2052 \\ (1573) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 412 \\ (1085) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2464 \\ (2658) \end{gathered}$ |

Note: Due to round-off, row and column sums may appear inaccurate.

2010 CBMS survey data, the advanced-level courses showed the largest growth from 2005 to 2010, while the precollege-level courses showed the smallest growth, so at least some of the variation we see from 2010 to 2015 may be explained by standard error, though the general trend seems to be increasing enrollments (see Figure E.2.3). Growth in estimated enrollments occurred in all levels of departments, except precol-lege-level in masters-level departments, calculus-level in bachelors-level departments, and advanced-level in both masters and bachelors-level departments. Estimated total enrollments in mathematics courses grew 30\% (2.5 SEs) at the doctoral-level departments, and were almost identical in the masters and bach-elors-levels to the enrollments observed in fall 2010 (in the 2010 CBMS survey, the doctoral-level estimate showed the smallest growth over 2005). In 2015, total estimated enrollment in doctoral-level mathematics departments exceeded that in bachelors-level departments; see Figure E.2.3.

## Enrollments in statistics courses

Statistics enrollments showed large gains in both mathematics and statistics departments, particularly in upper-level courses; Table E. 2 shows that the estimated total enrollments in statistics departments were 144,000 (SE 4,000) in fall 2015 and 109,000 in fall 2010, a 32\% ( 9 SEs ) increase over fall 2010. In fall 2015, the estimated total enrollments in statistics courses in mathematics departments were 313,000 (SE 24,000), and, hence, roughly $2 / 3$ of the estimated undergraduate statistics enrollments were in mathematics departments. It should also be noted (see Figure S.2.3 in Chapter 1) that, in fall 2015, for the first time, two-year college enrollments in introductory statistics courses surpassed four-year mathematics department enrollments in introductory statistics. The estimated number of enrollments in upper-level statistics courses were closer, but mathematics department enrollments in upper-level statistics courses were 20\% more than statistics department enrollments at the upper-level in fall 2015. In mathematics departments, Table E. 2 shows that the estimated introductory statistics enrollments in fall 2015 were 253,000, up $10 \%$ (1.1 SEs) from fall 2010 , and the estimated upperlevel statistics enrollments were up 88\% (4.7 SEs). In statistics departments, the estimated introductory statistics enrollments in fall 2015 were up $16 \%$ (4.3 SEs) over fall 2010, and upper-level statistics enrollments were up 79\% (11 SEs). The 2010 CBMS survey showed large gains from 2005 to 2010 in introductory enrollments, and modest gains in upper-level enrollments; perhaps the increased interest in beginning statistics courses in 2010 has matured to interest in the upper-level statistics courses in 2015.

Most of the introductory statistics that is taught in four-year mathematics departments occurs in bache-
lors-level departments, where the fall 2015 enrollment in introductory statistics was roughly 134,000 with an SE of 14,000 ; this estimate was slightly lower than the 2010 estimate. In masters-level departments, estimated upper-level statistics enrollments in 2015 were four times the 2010 estimate. Enrollment growth in statistics department occurred at the doctoral-level departments, as estimated enrollments in both lower-level and upper-level courses in masters-level statistics departments declined from 2010 to 2015. In doctoral-level statistics departments, estimated introductory statistics enrollments were up $44 \%$ ( 12 SEs ) over fall 2010, and estimated upper-level enrollments were three times the 2010 estimate, and more than twice the 2005 estimate. Figure E. 2.2 presents a bar graph of statistics course enrollments in the three levels of mathematics departments and two levels of statistics departments.

## Enrollments in computer science courses in mathematics departments

Computer science enrollments in mathematics departments are now confined largely to bache-lors-level departments. The estimated computer science enrollments in mathematics departments were down to 68,000 (SE 11,000 ) in fall 2015, below the 2010 estimate of 77,000 , but above the 2005 estimate of 57,000 , but well below the 2000 estimate of 123,000 enrollments. The long-run trend is declining computer science enrollments in mathematics departments, as more computer science courses are taught in computer science departments. The computer science enrollments in mathematics departments, though small, are still significant in mathematics department enrollments; as one example, according to Table E.2, in fall 2015 (as in fall 2010), the bach-elors-level mathematics departments had more total estimated enrollments in computer science courses than in advanced-level mathematics courses.

## Enrollments: numbers of sections

Another way to measure changes in enrollment is to track the number of course sections that are offered. Table E. 3 shows that, from fall 2010 to fall 2015, the estimated total number of course sections offered in mathematics departments grew 11\% (1.2 SEs), and the estimated total number of sections of mathematics courses grew $11 \%$ ( 1 SE ); these data provide an estimate similar to the estimated growth observed in enrollments. The number of sections of precollege-level mathematics courses grew by an estimated 9\% (0.9 SEs) from fall 2010 to fall 2015, and the number of sections of introductory-level courses grew by an estimated $27 \%$ ( 1.4 SEs ). The estimated number of sections of calculus-level courses was smaller in 2015 than in 2010, due to a smaller number of sections in the bachelors-level departments. The estimated number of sections of mathematics courses in
TABLE E. 4 Enrollments in distance-learning courses (meaning courses in which the majority of the instruction occurs with the instructor and the students separated by time and/or space [e.g. courses in which the majority of the course is taught online, or by computer software, or by other technologies], including MOOCs that are offered for credit) and other sections for various freshman and sophomore courses, by type of department, in fall 2015. (A MOOC is a "massive open online course.") (Fall 2010 data in parentheses.)

|  | Four-year Mathematics Departments |  | Two-year Mathematics Departments |  | Statistics Departments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distance-learning Enrollments | Other Enrollments | Distance-learning Enrollments | Other Enrollments | Distance-learning Enrollments | Other Enrollments |
| Precollege Level | $\begin{gathered} 8405 \\ (8106) \end{gathered}$ | 244475 <br> (201089) | $\begin{gathered} 89035 \\ (87073) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 693252 \\ (1062667) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| College Algebra, Trigonometry, \& Pre-Calculus | $\begin{array}{r} 45226 \\ (12021) \end{array}$ | 954356 <br> (431420) | $\begin{gathered} 55227 \\ (40898) \end{gathered}$ | 390066 <br> (309272) |  |  |
| Calculus I (mainstream and nonmainstream) | $\begin{gathered} 8968 \\ (2159) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 346343 \\ (332632) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7455 \\ (3504) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 84537 \\ (82192) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Calculus II (mainstream and non mainstream) | $\begin{aligned} & 3410 \\ & (782) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 125126 \\ (128104) \end{gathered}$ | 1813 (285) | $\begin{gathered} 32523 \\ (30827) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Differential Equations \& Linear Algebra | $\begin{aligned} & 1492 \\ & (862) \end{aligned}$ | 137567 <br> (115837) | $\begin{gathered} 480 \\ (298) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13559 \\ (10473) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Introductory Statistics | $\begin{gathered} 18696 \\ (12368) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 234558 \\ (218385) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30608 \\ (23363) \end{gathered}$ | 220671 <br> (110910) | $\begin{gathered} 4291 \\ (4171) \end{gathered}$ | 89620 <br> (77153) |

Note: For some distance-learning enrollments in this table, the Standard Error (SE) was very large. See Appendix VIII.
doctoral-level departments showed a growth of 18\% (1.4 SEs), the largest growth of the three levels of mathematics departments. There were an estimated 548 more sections of advanced-level mathematics courses in fall 2015 over fall 2010 at bachelors-level departments, an increase of $14 \%$ ( 0.8 SEs ); however, we noted that estimated total enrollments in these courses were slightly lower in 2015 than in 2010 by Table E. 2 .

Table E. 3 also supports the general pattern of growth in estimated enrollments observed in statistics courses noted already. From fall 2010 to fall 2105 , the estimated total number of sections of statistics courses offered in mathematics departments increased $25 \%$ ( 2 SEs ), while the estimated number of sections in statistics departments decreased, due to the fact that the estimated number of sections in masters-level statistics departments in fall 2015 was less than half the 2010 estimate (and Table E. 2 showed enrollments decreased as well). The estimated number of sections of upper-level statistics courses in all levels of mathematics departments combined more than doubled from 2010 to 2015, and, at masters-level mathematics departments, more than tripled. In doctoral-level statistics departments Table E. 3 shows the estimated number of sections of upper-level statistics courses increased by $73 \%$ ( 9.3 SEs) from 2010 to 2015.

The issue of what constitutes a course "section" has become more problematic, as courses now are taught in many different formats, and some departments list courses in different sections even though they are taught in the same room at the same time. The issue of enrollment in course sections is addressed further in Chapter 5, where enrollment tables are broken down by the format of section.

## Table E.4: Distance education in four-year colleges and universities

The 2015 CBMS survey defined distance learning courses as "those courses offered by your institution for credit, in which the majority of the instruction occurs with the instructor and the students separated in time and/or place (e.g. courses in which the majority of the course is taught online, or by computer software, or by other technologies) including MOOCs that are offered for credit. (A MOOC is a 'massive open online course')". Various practices in distance learning courses were discussed in Chapter 2 (see Tables SP.8-SP.11B). While at four-year departments these enrollments are still a small percentage of total enrollments, yet these enrollments appear to be growing. Distance learning enrollments are a larger percentage of two-year college enrollments than of four-year college enrollments, and data on distance learning enrollment at two-year colleges is included here for comparison (more information regarding
distance learning enrollments at two year-colleges is contained in Chapter 6).

Table E. 4 shows that enrollments in distance learning courses were up in fall 2015 over fall 2010, for every category of courses in the table, with the total distance learning enrollments in Table E. 4 for four-year mathematics departments (combined), in fall 2015 estimated at 86,197 , more than double the fall 2010 estimate of 36,798 . In fall 2015, at two-year colleges, estimated distance learning enrollments represented $11 \%$ of estimated precollege (distance learning + other) enrollments, $12 \%$ of College Algebra, Trigonometry and Pre-Calculus (combined) enrollments, $8 \%$ of Calculus I enrollments, and $12 \%$ of introductory statistics enrollments (all of these percentages, with the exception of introductory statistics, are up over 2010). At four-year mathematics departments, these estimated percentages in fall 2015 were $3 \%, 9 \%, 3 \%$, and $7 \%$, respectively, (all larger than in 2010), and in four-year statistics departments, $5 \%$ of the introductory statistics enrollment was taught in distance learning sections (same estimated percentage as in 2010). Distance learning estimated enrollments for individual courses (except for advanced-level courses) are contained in Appendix I; Chapter 2, Tables SP.11(A) and SP. 11 (B), present data on the advanced-level mathematics and statistics courses that were reported to be available in a distance learning format in 2015.

Table E. 4 shows that the largest estimated distance learning course category enrollment in mathematics departments at four-year institutions in fall 2015 occurred in the category of College Algebra, Trigonometry and Pre-Calculus courses combined, where the estimated distance learning enrollment in fall 2015 was almost four times the fall 2010 estimate, increasing from 12,021 in fall 2010 to 45,226 (SE 9,043) in fall 2015. The next largest category of the distance learning enrollments in four-year mathematics departments was introductory statistics, where estimated distance learning enrollments increased $51 \%$ (1.6 SEs). Distance learning enrollments in both Calculus I and in Calculus II were more than 4 times the 2010 estimates, and Differential Equations and Linear Algebra combined distance learning enrollments were up $73 \%$ ( 1.1 SEs ) from 2010. Many of the SEs for the data in Table E. 4 are large, so these percentages of increase, as large as they appear, may be somewhat misleading; however, it does appear that distance learning enrollments are increasing in four-year mathematics departments and in two-year colleges. The estimated distance-learning enrollment in introductory statistics courses offered in statistics departments was almost identical in 2010 and 2015.

TABLE E. 5 Number of sections (excluding distance learning) of calculus-level courses in mathematics departments taught by various types of instructor, by type of department in fall 2015, with fall 2010 figures in parentheses. This table can be compared to Table E. 8 in CBMS2010, p. 92.

|  | Number of calculus-level sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ tenure-eligible ${ }^{1}$ | Other full-time | Part-time | Graduate Teaching Assistant | Unknown | Total Sections |
| Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ (PhD) | 2803 | 2962 | 733 | 1370 | 454 | 8323 |
|  | (3120) | (2057) | (789) | (1289) | (721) | (7976) |
| Univ (MA) | 2365 | 994 | 797 | 84 | 339 | 4579 |
|  | (3080) | (495) | (611) | (160) | (213) | (4559) |
| Coll (BA) | 5896 | 1078 | 585 | 0 | 727 | 8285 |
|  | (6743) | (839) | (1223) | (0) | (771) | (9575) |
| Total | 11064 | 5034 | 2115 | 1454 | 1520 | 21186 |
|  | (12943) | (3391) | (2622) | (1448) | (1705) | (22110) |

[^9]

Percentage of Calculus-level Mathematics Sections

FIGURE E.5.1 Percentage of calculus-level mathematics sections in mathematics departments whose instructors were tenure/tenure-eligible (TTE), other full-time faculty, part-time faculty, and graduate teaching assistants (GTA), by type of department in fall 2015. (Percentages may not sum to 100 due to "unknown" instructor percentages.) (Note: Figure E.5.1 in CBMS2010, p. 98, included data on all mathematics courses offered.)

TABLE E. 6 Number of sections (excluding distance learning) of introductory statistics courses taught in mathematics departments and statistics departments by type of instructor and type of department in fall 2015 with fall 2010 figures in parentheses. This table can be compared to Table E. 9 in CBMS2010, p. 93.

|  | Number of introductory statistics sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ tenure-eligible ${ }^{1}$ | Other full-time | Part-time | Graduate Teaching Assistant | Unknown | Total Sections |
| Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ (PhD) | $\begin{gathered} 268 \\ (251) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 392 \\ (243) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 239 \\ (124) \end{gathered}$ | 245 <br> (274) | 175 <br> (77) | $\begin{aligned} & 1319 \\ & (969) \end{aligned}$ |
| Univ (MA) | $\begin{gathered} 781 \\ (641) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 467 \\ (185) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 216 \\ (293) \end{gathered}$ | 0 <br> (19) | 29 <br> (70) | $\begin{gathered} 1493 \\ (1208) \end{gathered}$ |
| Coll (BA) | $\begin{gathered} 2006 \\ (2564) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 725 \\ (601) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1389 \\ (1130) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ (28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 411 \\ (691) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4562 \\ (5014) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 3055 \\ (3456) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1584 \\ (1029) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1844 \\ (1547) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 275 \\ (320) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 615 \\ (838) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7374 \\ (7191) \end{gathered}$ |
| Statistics Departments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ (PhD) | $\begin{gathered} 136 \\ (262) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 281 \\ (202) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 111 \\ (103) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 466 \\ (243) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 263 \\ (302) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1256 \\ (1113) \end{gathered}$ |
| Univ (MA) | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ (318) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 97 \\ (93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (113) \end{gathered}$ | 3 <br> (17) | 31 <br> (96) | $\begin{gathered} 238 \\ (638) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 210 \\ (581) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 378 \\ (295) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 144 \\ (217) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 468 \\ (260) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 295 \\ (399) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1494 \\ (1751) \end{gathered}$ |

Note: Round-off may make row and column sums seem inaccurate.
${ }^{1}$ In 2010, the CBMS survey added the word "permanent" to the description "tenured/tenure eligible" that was used previously. In 2015 the word "permanent" was deleted.


FIGURE E.6.1 Percentage of introductory statistics sections in mathematics and in statistics departments whose instructors were tenure/tenure-eligible (TTE), other full-time faculty, part-time faculty, and graduate teaching assistants (GTA), by type of department in fall 2015. (Percentages may not sum to 100 due to "unknown" instructor percentages.) (Note: Figure E.5.2. in CBMS 2010, p. 90, included data on all statistics courses offered.)

Tables E.5-E.9: Appointment type of instructors in mathematics and statistics courses at four-year mathematics and statistics departments in fall 2015

Past CBMS surveys have analyzed the appointment type of the instructors teaching mathematics and statistics courses at four-year departments. The 2000 survey generally tabulated percentages of enrollments taught by various rank instructors, while the 2005 survey switched to percentages of sections taught by instructors of various ranks. The 2015 survey continues the practice begun in 2005 of considering percentages of sections. In 2015, instructors were broken into the appointment type categories: tenured or tenure eligible (TTE), other full time (OFT) (a category that includes, for example, postdocs, faculty with appointments that are renewable (but not tenure-eligible), and academic visitors), part-time (PT), graduate teaching assistant (GTA), and unknown (a category that was used when the response did not account for all sections of a course). In the 2010 survey the label "permanent" was added to the description of the TTE category on the questionnaire (to include the small percentage of cases where an institution does
not recognize tenure), and this change, unintentionally, may have added to the number of instructors in the TTE category instructors who have teaching positions that are regarded as permanent, although these faculty do not have tenure and are not eligible for tenure, at institutions that recognize tenure; these latter faculty should have been counted as OFT faculty. The 2015 survey instructions tried to make it more clear that such faculty should be counted as OFT faculty. To shorten the questionnaire, in 2015 the survey instrument asked for this breakdown of who is teaching the section only in calculus-level mathematics courses (including Calculus (in all flavors and levels), Differential Equations, Linear Algebra, and Discrete Mathematics), introductory statistics courses, and computer science courses taught in mathematics departments; for advanced-level courses, the survey asked for only the number of sections taught by TTE faculty. A similar scheme was used on the 2015 statistics department questionnaire. In the 2010 survey, this breakdown of the appointment type of the instructor was also sought for precollege-level and college alge-bra-level mathematics courses, but these questions were deleted from the 2015 survey instrument. In both 2010 and 2015 there were unknown rank instruc-
tors reported; the numbers of these unknown seem roughly comparable in the two surveys.

## Appointment type of calculus-level instructors

Table E. 5 and Figure E.5.1 summarize the appointment types of the calculus-level instructors in mathematics departments at four-year institutions in fall 2015. The estimated percentage of calculus-level sections taught by faculty at each rank, for each level of department, is presented. The total number of sections (excluding distance learning sections) is also given, and the numbers in parentheses are from the 2010 CBMS survey [CBMS2010, Table E.8, p 92]. Table E. 6 and Figure E.6.1 give these appointment types for introductory statistics courses in mathematics and statistics departments, by level of department (compare with CBMS2010, Table E.8, p 92), Table E. 7 gives these appointment types for advanced-level courses in mathematics and statistics departments, by level of department (compare with CBMS2010, Table E.12, p. 96), Tables E. 8 and E.9, and Figure E.9.1, gives these ranks for computer science courses taught in mathematics departments, by level of department (compare with CBMS2010, Tables E. 10 and E.11, p. 94).

Although by Table E. 3 the estimated number of calculus-level sections decreased by $4 \%$ ( 0.6 SEs ) from 2010 to 2015, Table E. 5 shows that, over all levels of mathematics departments combined, there was a 48\% (2.9 SEs) increase in the estimated number of calcu-lus-level sections taught by OFT faculty, and a $15 \%$ (2.6 SEs) decrease in the estimated number of sections taught by TTE faculty. This trend occurred across all levels of mathematics departments: from fall 2010 to fall 2015, the estimated number of sections of calcu-lus-level courses taught by OFT faculty increased $44 \%$ (2 SEs) at doctoral-level departments, were double (2.2 SEs) in masters-level departments, and increased 28\% (1 SE) in bachelors-level departments. Figure E.5.1 presents a bar graph, displaying, at each level of department, the estimated percentage of sections taught by each appointment type of faculty, and it shows that, in doctoral-level departments, in fall 2015, slightly larger percentage of sections of calcu-lus-level courses were taught by OFT faculty than by TTE faculty, in contrast to the situation in the other two levels of mathematics departments, and different from fall 2010, when a larger percentage of sections were taught by TTE faculty. GTAs taught an estimated $16 \%$ of sections of calculus-level courses offered at doctoral-level mathematics departments in fall 2015, the same estimate as in 2010. Over all levels of mathematics departments combined, the estimated percentage of calculus-level sections taught by TTE faculty has been decreasing; it was estimated at $61 \%$ in $2005,59 \%$ in 2010 , and $52 \%$ in 2015 . The estimated number of sections taught by PT faculty
declined, most dramatically at the bachelors-level departments, where the estimated number of sections of calculus-level courses taught by PT faculty in fall 2015 was less than half the 2010 estimate. We note that bachelors-level departments were the only level where the estimated number of sections of calcu-lus-level courses declined from fall 2010 to fall 2015 (Table E.3) and, also, where estimated calculus enrollments declined (Table E.2), so, perhaps, these declines led to fewer PT faculty. For further discussion of the decline in TTE faculty teaching Calculus classes, see Chapter 5, and also David Bressoud's Launchings blog http://launchings.blogspot.com/ for October 2017.

## Appointment type of statistics instructors

Table E. 6 breaks down the estimated number of sections of introductory statistics courses taught in mathematics departments, and in statistics departments, by the appointment type of the instructor; the table invites comparison of the percentages of the appointment types of the instructors in mathematics and statistics departments, which differ over the two kinds of departments, and over the different levels of departments (see Figure E.6.1). The estimated total number of sections of introductory statistics courses was slightly larger in fall 2015 than in fall 2010, in mathematics departments, but slightly smaller in fall 2015 in statistics departments, due to a decreased number of sections in masters-level statistics departments. Over all levels of mathematics departments combined, in fall 2015, an estimated $41 \%$ of the introductory-level statistics sections were taught by TTE faculty, $21 \%$ were taught by OFT faculty, $25 \%$ were taught by PT faculty, and $4 \%$ were taught by GTAs; in all levels of statistics departments combined, an estimated $14 \%$ of the introductory-level sections were taught by TTE faculty, $25 \%$ were taught by OFT faculty, $10 \%$ were taught by PT faculty, and $31 \%$ were taught by GTAs. Comparing these percentages to the estimates obtained in 2010, we see in mathematics departments, from 2010 to 2015, a slight shift toward OFT faculty, and, in statistics departments, from 2010 to 2015, there was roughly a reversal of the percentage of sections taught by TTE faculty and those taught by GTAs. In doctoral-level statistics departments, the estimated number of introductory statistics sections taught by TTE faculty decreased $48 \%$ ( 11.5 SEs ) and the number of sections taught by GTAs increased 92\% (5 SEs).

## Appointment type of advanced-level course instructors

Table E. 7 presents the appointment types of instructors in advanced-level mathematics and statistics courses, in mathematics and statistics departments. For advanced-level courses, the survey instruments asked for only the numbers of sections taught by TTE faculty. In fall 2015 (respectively, fall 2010), in

TABLE E. 7 Number of sections of advanced mathematics (including operations research) and statistics courses in mathematics departments, and number of sections of advanced statistics courses in statistics departments, taught by tenured/tenure-eligible ${ }^{1}$ (TTE) faculty, and total number of advanced level sections, by type of department in fall 2015 with fall 2010 data in parentheses. This table can be compared to Table E. 12 in CBMS2010, p. 95.

| Mathematics Departments | Sections taught by TTE ${ }^{1}$ | Total sections | Statistics Departments | Sections taught by TTE ${ }^{1}$ | Total sections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advanced Mathematics courses |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ (PhD) | $\begin{gathered} 2519 \\ (2500) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3676 \\ (3266) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Univ (MA) | $\begin{gathered} 1769 \\ (2098) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2633 \\ (3304) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Coll (BA) | $\begin{gathered} 3236 \\ (3548) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4461 \\ (3913) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Total advanced mathematics | $\begin{gathered} 7525 \\ (8146) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10771 \\ (10483) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Advanced Statistics coursesUniv (PhD) |  |  | Advanced Statistics courses |  |  |
|  | 452 | 752 | Univ (PhD) | 394 | 796 |
|  | (438) | (561) |  | (324) | (452) |
| Univ (MA) | 656 | 1432 | Univ (MA) | 140 | 174 |
|  | (308) | (420) |  | (382) | (442) |
| Coll (BA) | 1010 | 1776 |  |  |  |
|  | (721) | (929) |  |  |  |
| Total advanced statistics | 2118 | 3960 | Total advanced statistics | 533 | 970 |
|  | (1467) | (1910) |  | (706) | (894) |
| Total all advanced courses | 9643 | 14731 | Total all advanced courses | 533 | 970 |
|  | (9613) | (12394) |  | (706) | (894) |

Note: Round-off may make row and column sums seem inaccurate.
${ }^{1}$ In 2010, the CBMS survey added the word "permanent" to the description "tenured/tenure eligible" that was used previously. In 2015, the word "permanent" was deleted.

TABLE E. 8 Number of sections (excluding distance learning) of lower-level computer science taught in mathematics departments, by type of instructor and type of department in fall 2015, with fall 2010 figures in parentheses. This table can be compared to Table E. 10 in CBMS2010, p. 94.

|  | Number of lower-level computer science sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ tenure-eligible/ permanent ${ }^{1}$ | Other full-time | Part-time | Graduate Teaching Assistant | Unknown | Total Sections |
| Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ (PhD) | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ (25) \end{gathered}$ | 71 <br> (29) | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (29) \end{gathered}$ | 0 <br> (15) | 0 <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} 109 \\ (101) \end{gathered}$ |
| Univ (MA) | 112 | 48 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 186 |
|  | (116) | (0) | (30) | (0) | (0) | (146) |
| Coll (BA) | 899 | 339 | 277 | 0 | 472 | 1987 |
|  | (1089) | (397) | (656) | (14) | (73) | (2230) |
| Total | 1042 | 458 | 311 | 0 | 472 | 2282 |
|  | (1229) | (426) | (715) | (30) | (77) | (2477) |

Note: Round-off may make row and column sums seem inaccurate.
${ }^{1}$ In 2010, the CBMS survey added the word "permanent" to the description "tenured/tenure eligible" that was used previously. In 2015 the word "permanent" was deleted.
doctoral-level mathematics departments, an estimated 69\% (respectively, 77\%) of sections of advanced-level mathematics courses were taught by TTE faculty, in masters-level departments, an estimated 67\% (respectively, 63\%) of sections of advanced-level mathematics courses were taught by TTE faculty, and in bachelors-level departments, an estimated $73 \%$ (respectively, 91\%) of sections of advanced-level mathematics courses were taught by TTE faculty. The estimated percentage of sections of advanced-level statistics courses taught by TTE faculty, in all levels of mathematics departments combined, dropped from $78 \%$ in fall 2010 , to $53 \%$ in fall 2015 ; in statistics departments, the corresponding estimated percentages dropped from $79 \%$ to $55 \%$. These changes in the percentages are another indication of the apparently decreasing role in undergraduate teaching played by TTE faculty.

## Appointment type of computer science instructors

Tables E. 8 and E. 9 give the estimated number of sections of lower-level and middle-level computer sciences courses taught by faculty at the various appointment types; the estimated number of sections of lower-level computer science taught by PT faculty
decreased, while the estimated number of sections of upper-level computer science courses taught by PT faculty increased. Figure E.8.1 displays the percentages of faculty at each rank, for all levels of computer science courses taught in mathematics departments combined.

## Tables E. 10 and E.11: Average section size

Table E. 10 summarizes data on the average section size for each of the course categories, broken down by the level of department in fall 2015 (and fall 2010), and the overall averages over the last four CBMS surveys. The Mathematical Association of America has recommended 30 students as the appropriate maximum class size for undergraduate mathematics courses [MAAGuidelines], and the CBMS surveys have shown that this maximum is not always maintained. In particular, section sizes at the doctoral-level departments often substantially exceed the MAA Guidelines. As we have noted, the definition of a section caused some problems with responses in 2010, particularly with calculus sections.

Table E. 10 shows that the largest changes from 2010 in the estimated average section size in 2015

TABLE E. 9 Number of sections (excluding distance learning) of middle-level computer science taught in mathematics departments, by type of instructor and type of department in fall 2015, with fall 2010 figures in parentheses. This table can be compared to Table E. 11 in CBMS2010, p. 94.

|  | Number of middle-level computer science sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ tenure-eligible/ permanent ${ }^{1}$ | Other full-time | Part-time | Graduate Teaching Assistant | Unknown | Total Sections |
| Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ (PhD) | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ | 5 <br> (2) | 0 <br> (7) | 9 <br> (0) | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ (51) \end{gathered}$ |
| Univ (MA) | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ (92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | 9 <br> (0) | 0 <br> (0) | 0 <br> (0) | $\begin{gathered} 69 \\ (92) \end{gathered}$ |
| Coll (BA) | $\begin{gathered} 549 \\ (521) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 311 \\ (156) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 161 \\ & (95) \end{aligned}$ | 0 <br> (0) | $\begin{aligned} & 107 \\ & (0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1128 \\ & (769) \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 621 \\ (644) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 316 \\ (168) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 174 \\ & (97) \end{aligned}$ | 0 <br> (7) | 116 <br> (0) | $\begin{aligned} & 1227 \\ & (912) \end{aligned}$ |

Note: Round-off may make row and column sums seem inaccurate.
${ }^{1}$ In 2010, the CBMS survey added the word "permanent" to the description "tenured/tenure eligible" that was used previously. In 2015 the word "permanent" was deleted.


## Percentage of Computer Science Sections

FIGURE E.9.1 Percentage of computer science sections (all levels) in mathematics departments whose instructors were tenure/tenure-eligible faculty (TTE), other full-time faculty, part-time faculty, and graduate teaching assistants (GTA), by type of department in fall 2015. (Percentages may not sum to 100 due to "unknown" instructor percentages.) This figure can be compared to Figure E.5.3 in CBMS2010, p. 91.
TABLE E. 10 Average section size (excluding distance learning) for undergraduate mathematics, statistics, and computer science courses in mathematics and statistics departments, by level of course and type of department in fall 2015, with fall 2010 data, when available, in parentheses. Also, all departments' average section sizes from previous CBMS surveys. This table can be compared to Table E. 13 in CBMS2010, p. 96.

NA = Not applicable (there were no upper division computer science courses at doctorate-granting institutions).

TABLE E. 11 Average recitation size in Mainstream Calculus I and II and other Calculus I courses and in introductory statistics courses that are taught using lecture/recitation method, by type of department in fall 2015, with fall 2010 data in parentheses. Distance-learning sections are not included. (A calculus course is "mainstream" if it leads to the usual upper-division mathematical sciences courses.)

|  | Average recitation section size |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For Lecture/Recitation Courses | Univ <br> (PhD) | Univ <br> (MA) | College <br> (BA) |
| Calculus Courses |  |  |  |
| Mainstream Calculus I | 31 | 34 | 17 |
| Mainstream Calculus II | $(29)$ | $(30)$ | $(30)$ |
| Other Calculus I | 29 | 14 | 9 |
|  | $(29)$ | $(25)$ | $(33)$ |
| Introductory Statistics | 36 | 16 | 9 |
| in Mathematics Depts | $(30)$ | $(19)$ | $(15)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| in Statistics Depts | 33 | 19 | 26 |

occurred in sections of courses in statistics departments and in sections of calculus-level courses in doctoral-level mathematics departments. In both levels of statistics departments combined (as well as in each individually) there was an increase in the estimated average section size; over both levels of statistics departments combined, in introductory-level classes, estimated average section size rose from 45 in fall 2010 to 60 (with SE 2.4) in 2015, a significant change, and in sections of upper-level statistics courses, estimated average section size grew from 30 in fall 2010 to 52 (with SE 2.0) in fall 2015, again a significant change. In doctoral-level mathematics departments, average section size rose from 48 in fall 2010 to 55 (SE 3) in fall 2015, an increase of more than 2 SEs.

Table E. 11 presents the estimated average size of recitation sections in Calculus and introductory statistics courses in mathematics and statistics departments that were taught in a lecture/recitation format. The SEs in the masters-level departments were generally large. The bachelors-level estimated average recitation section size decreased significantly from fall 2010 to fall 2015, but the fall 2010 esti-
mates were double the 2005 estimates. Perhaps the most interesting change in estimated average size of recitation sections is the increase in Non-Mainstream Calculus I estimated average recitation section size in doctoral-level departments, from 30 in fall 2010, to 36 (SE 1.7) in fall 2015. Table FY. 2 in Chapter 5 will show large estimated average section size in "other" formats than lecture/recitation for Non-Mainstream Calculus I at doctoral-level mathematics departments.

# Faculty Demographics in Mathematical Sciences Departments of Four-Year Colleges and Universities 

## Introduction

In this chapter we consider data on the number, gender, age, and race/ethnicity of mathematical sciences faculty in doctoral-level, masters-level, and bachelors-level four-year mathematics departments, and also in doctoral-level and masters-level statistics departments having an undergraduate program in statistics. The same topics were presented in Chapter 1 tables for the profession as a whole. In this chapter we will consider differences across departments grouped according to the highest degree offered ("level of department"), by "type of appointment" (tenured, tenure-eligible, other full-time, postdoc), by highest degree obtained by the faculty ("doctoral" and "non-doctoral" faculty) and by gender. So that the discussion here can be relatively self-contained, we repeat some demographic data from Chapter 1.

- Table S. 13 and Figure S. 13.3 in Chapter 1 showed a pattern of increases in the estimated number of full-time faculty in all levels of mathematics departments combined, observed in the CBMS surveys of 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015, and a pattern of decreases in the estimated number of part-time faculty that occurred until the current survey of 2015, when the number of part-time mathematics faculty increased significantly. Table S. 13 and Figure S. 13.5 showed a pattern of growth in the estimated numbers of full-time faculty in doctorallevel statistics departments, and relative stability in the estimated numbers of part-time faculty, over that same time frame for the doctoral-level statistics departments (the masters-level statistics departments were not included in the 2005 survey).
- Table S. 13 and Figure S. 13.3 of Chapter 1 showed that, in fall 2015, the estimated total number of full-time mathematics faculty was slightly larger than the fall 2010 estimate, but the 2010 estimate was within 1 SE of the 2015 estimate. However, the estimated number of part-time mathematics faculty increased by about 27\% (more than 5 SEs from the 2010 estimate), ending the pattern of small declines in estimated numbers of part-time faculty in mathematics departments observed since 2000 (See Chapter 1, Figures S. 13.2 and S.13.3). Tables F. 1 and F. 2 in this chapter break down these numbers further, showing that most of this growth
in part-time faculty occurred in the doctoral-level and bachelors-level mathematics departments.
- Larger growth was observed in the estimated numbers of full-time statistics faculty. Table S. 13 and Figure S. 13.5 of Chapter 1 indicated that in fall 2015, the estimated total number of full-time faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments increased $23 \%$ (almost 5 SEs), and the estimated number of part-time faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments increased $22 \%$ over fall 2010 (1.2 SE). The total number of full-time statistics faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments in 2000 was estimated at 808 faculty; the 2015 estimate is 1,237 (Chapter 1, Table S. 13). Tables F. 1 and F. 3 in this chapter include the data for masters-level statistics departments, as well as for doctoral-level statistics departments, and are broken down further.
- Breaking down the number of full-time mathematics faculty by the type of appointment, by Table S. 15 in Chapter 1, the components of the small growth in the estimated number of full-time mathematics faculty from fall 2010 to fall 2015 were a 6\% decline in the estimated number of tenured faculty (a decline of 4.8 SEs ), a 9\% decline in the estimated number of tenure-eligible faculty (4.1 SEs), and a $22 \%$ (6.1 SEs) increase in the estimated number of "other full time faculty" (full-time, non-tenureeligible faculty, including postdocs). These estimates are broken down further in Tables F. 1 and F. 2 in this chapter.
- Table F. 1 (and Tables F.1.1 and F.2, which are derived from this table) in this chapter provide more detail on the estimated numbers of mathematics faculty, broken down by level of department, highest degree of the faculty, and by gender. The estimated numbers of tenured, and of tenureeligible, faculty remained stable or declined from fall 2010 to fall 2015, the largest declines being a $20 \%$ ( 5 SEs ) decline in masters-level tenureeligible mathematics faculty, and a $12 \%$ (4.3 SEs) (respectively, 9\% (2.5 SEs)) decline in tenured (respectively, tenure-eligible) mathematics faculty in the bachelors-level departments. The estimated number of tenured mathematics faculty at doctoral-level mathematics departments has
declined from the CBMS2000 estimate of 5,022 in each of the following CBMS surveys.
- Breaking down the estimated number of full-time statistics faculty in masters and doctoral-level statistics departments combined by type of appointment, Table S. 15 in Chapter 1 showed that, from fall 2010 to fall 2015, the estimated number of tenured statistics faculty increased by $6 \%$ (1.3 SEs), the number of tenure-eligible statistics faculty decreased by less than $1 \%$, and hence these were not significant changes. However, the number of other full-time statistics faculty (including postdocs) increased by 129 faculty (a 47\% (5.9 SEs) increase). Tables F. 1 and F. 3 in this chapter break these estimates down further.
- In doctoral-level statistics departments the estimated number of tenured faculty in fall 2015 was 649, nearly the same as it was in fall 2000. The estimated number of tenure-eligible faculty has increased from 138 faculty in fall 2000, to 220 in fall 2015 (Table F. 3 in this chapter, and in CBMS2000, p. 98).
- Table S. 15 in Chapter 1 showed that the estimated number of other full-time faculty in all levels of mathematics departments combined, from fall 2010 to fall 2015 , increased by 1,332 faculty to 7,261 faculty (a $22 \%$ increase ( 6.1 SEs ) from fall 2010); this estimate includes an increase of 292 postdoc faculty (a $28 \%$ ( 4.8 SEs ) increase from 2010). The estimated number of other full-time mathematics faculty has more than doubled in the past 15 years. The estimated number of mathematics postdocs increased 61\% from 2005 (when this data was first collected) to 2015 (for the 2000 and 2005 data see CBMS2005 Table S.15, p. 35).
- Tables F. 1 and F. 2 of this chapter provide more detail on other full-time and postdoc appointments, broken down by level of mathematics department, highest degree of the faculty, and by gender. Increases in the estimated numbers of both other full-time and postdoc appointments were observed across all three levels of mathematics departments. Over the past fifteen years, the estimated number of other full-time faculty has more than doubled at the doctoral and bachelors-level mathematics departments, and increased $69 \%$ in the masters-level mathematics departments. Especially dramatic was the increased number of postdocs at bache-lors-level mathematics departments, which grew from an estimated 6 postdocs in fall 2010 to an estimated 137 postdocs in fall 2015.
- In masters- and doctoral-level statistics departments combined, Table S. 15 of Chapter 1 showed that the estimated number of other full-time faculty (including postdocs) increased from fall 2010 to fall 2015 by 129 faculty ( 5.9 SEs ) to 401 other full-
time faculty (a 47\% increase from 2010), and, over that time period, the estimated number of postdocs increased by 30 postdocs (a 35\% ( 2 SEs ) increase from fall 2010).
- From Table F. 3 we see that, in fall 2015, the number of other full-time faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments was estimated at 369 faculty. In fall 2000 there were 99 estimated other full-time faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments; hence, this category of faculty has more than tripled in the past 15 years. The estimated number of postdocs in doctoral-level statistics departments increased from 51 in 2005 to 113 in 2015, so this estimate has more than doubled from 2005 to 2015. The estimated numbers of other full-time faculty and of postdocs were smaller in fall 2015 than in fall 2010 in masters-level statistics departments. (See CBMS2005 Table F.3, p. 105 for data in 2000 and 2005.)
- The estimated numbers of faculty with a doctorate generally increased from fall 2010 to fall 2015. For example, it follows from Table F. 1 that, from fall 2010 to fall 2015, in doctoral-level mathematics departments, the estimated number of part-time faculty with a doctorate increased by 59\% (9 SEs), and the estimated number of other full-time faculty with a doctorate, who are not postdocs, increased 61\% (7 SEs).
- Table S. 15 in Chapter 1 showed that, in fall 2015, women comprised $31 \%$ of all full-time mathematics faculty, $22 \%$ of all tenured mathematics faculty, $36 \%$ of all tenure-eligible mathematics faculty, and $22 \%$ of all mathematics postdocs, all estimates, except estimated percentage of postdocs, are a few percentage points above the estimated percentages in 2010. In statistics departments, in fall 2015, women were $27 \%$ of all full-time faculty, $20 \%$ of tenured faculty, $35 \%$ of tenure-eligible faculty, and $19 \%$ of all postdocs; all of these estimated percentages, except the percentage of tenure-eligible faculty and the percentage of women postdocs. are up over 2010. Tables F.1, F.2, and F. 3 and Figure F.3.1 in this chapter provide more detail on the estimated numbers of women faculty. Among the significant changes from 2010 was an increase in the estimated number of tenured women faculty in doctoral-level mathematics departments, which was up $21 \%$ ( 7.5 SEs ) in fall 2015 over fall 2010.
- Table S. 16 in Chapter 1 gave estimated age distribution of tenured and tenure-eligible mathematics faculty. The percent of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty age 65 and older increased from 8\% in 2005 to $12 \%$ in 2010 , and is estimated at $13 \%$ in 2015 , suggesting a decline in the rate of retirement among the most senior faculty. Tables S. 17 in Chapter 1 showed a similar trend in statistics faculty, where
the estimated percent of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty aged 65 and older increased from $8 \%$ in 2005 to $10 \%$ in 2010 , and is estimated at $14 \%$ in 2015. Table F. 4 in this chapter gives data on the age distribution of faculty, broken down by level of department, and the average ages of faculty in fall 2005, 2010, and 2015.
- Tables S. 18 and S. 19 of Chapter 1 showed that the estimated distribution of faculty by race/ethnicity in mathematics and statistics departments in fall 2015 had changed only slightly from fall 2010. The estimated percentages of White male faculty continued to decrease slightly, as they had over the recent CBMS surveys, and the estimated percentages of Asian faculty were generally slightly higher in fall 2015 than in previous surveys. The estimated percentages of Black and Hispanic faculty remain small. More information on race-ethnicity and gender is contained in Tables F. 5 (full-time faculty) and F. 6 (part-time faculty) in this chapter; Table F. 5 estimated that, in fall 2015, 22\% (respectively $11 \%$ ) of tenured and tenure-eligible statistics faculty were Asian male (respectively, female); in fall 2000 these percentages were estimated at $15 \%$ (4\%) [CBMS2000 Table SF.12, p. 26].


## Data sources and notes on the tables

Each fall the AMS conducts the Annual Survey of the Mathematical Sciences (that we will call just the Annual Survey when the context is clear), a collection of national surveys of mathematical sciences departments at four-year institutions. This work is sponsored by the AMS, ASA, IMS, MAA, and SIAM with oversight provided via the Joint Data Committee (JDC) whose members are appointed by the sponsoring societies. Reports on these surveys are published in the Notices of the American Mathematical Society each year, and online at http://www.ams.org/profession/ data/annual-survey/annual-survey. Beginning with the CBMS survey in 2005, the demographic data for the CBMS survey is collected as part of the Annual Survey; sampled departments were asked additional demographic questions that normally do not appear on the Annual Survey.

In comparing data from the CBMS surveys to data published in the Annual Surveys, one must keep in mind several differences between the survey reports. The Annual Surveys do not include postdoctoral appointments as a part of "other full-time faculty" (OFT), while CBMS surveys do - i.e. CBMS surveys list "other full-time faculty" (which includes postdoctoral appointments), and also lists the portion of other fulltime faculty that are postdoctoral appointments. The CBMS surveys of "statistics" include only statistics departments that offer an undergraduate program in statistics, while the Annual Surveys go to all depart-
ments of statistics and biostatistics that award a Ph.D. The 2005 Annual Survey did not include masters-level statistics departments, and the 2010 and 2015 surveys did include these departments; hence comparisons to 2005 are for doctoral-level statistics programs, and comparisons to 2010 data include masterslevel programs (it should be noted that there are a smaller number of masters-level statistics programs and estimates for these departments tend to have large standard errors). The Annual Surveys use stratified random samples of bachelors-level mathematics programs but a census of doctoral and masters-levels programs.

Table entries are rounded to the nearest integer, and the sum of rounded numbers is not always equal to the rounded sum. In the text that follows, the standard error (SE) in many of the estimates is provided along with the estimate (e.g. "estimate 4,596 (SE 58)"); the standard errors for all CBMS2015 tables can be found in Appendix VIII. The change in an estimate from the estimate in a previous survey is often expressed both as percentage change and as the number of SEs that change represents (e.g. "increased 22\% (1.2 SEs)").

## Numbers of full-time mathematics and statistics faculty

Table S. 13 and Figure S. 13.3 in Chapter 1 showed a pattern of increases in the estimated number of fulltime faculty in all levels of mathematics departments combined, observed in the CBMS surveys of 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015, and a pattern of decreases in the estimated number of part-time mathematics faculty that occurred until the current survey in 2015, when the estimated number of part-time faculty increased. Table S. 13 showed that, in fall 2015, the estimated total number of full-time mathematics faculty plus part-time mathematics faculty for all levels of fouryear mathematics departments combined increased by almost $7 \%$ from 2010 to 2015 . From Table S. 13 and Figures S. 13.1 and S. 13.3 we see that the estimated total number of full-time mathematics faculty in four-year colleges and universities across all types of departments increased slightly from 22,293 in fall 2010 to 22,532 in fall 2015; the SE on the 2015 estimate was 312 , so the 2010 estimate is within 1 SE of the 2015 estimate, and hence not significantly different. The fall 2005 estimate was 21,885 faculty, and the fall 2000 estimate was 19,799 faculty.

Table S. 13 and Figure S. 13.5 in Chapter 1 showed a pattern of growth in the estimated numbers of fulltime faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments, observed over the CBMS surveys of 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015 , and the relative stability in the estimated numbers of part-time faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments, over that same time frame; we note the masters-level statistics departments were
not included in the 2005 survey and hence are not included in Table S.13. Table S. 13 and Figure S. 14.3 of Chapter 1 indicated that, in fall 2015, the estimated total number of full-time statistics faculty plus part-time statistics faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments increased about 23\% from the fall 2010 estimate (compared to the $5 \%$ growth observed from 2005 to 2010). The number of full-time faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments increased from 1,004 in fall 2010, to 1,237 in fall 2015, a $23 \%$ (4.9 SEs) increase, and is up 53\% since fall 2000. The fall 2005 estimate was 946 faculty, and the fall 2000 estimate was 808 faculty.

## Numbers of tenured and tenure-eligible mathematics faculty

Despite the possibly slight increase in the estimated number of full-time mathematics faculty, Table S. 14 in Chapter 1 shows that the estimated number of tenured plus tenure-eligible mathematics faculty decreased over the past 10 years: from 17,256 in 2005 , to 16,364 in 2010 , to 15,270 in 2015 , a loss of almost 2,000 tenured or tenure-eligible positions over 10 years, eliminating the gains that had been made since fall 2000, when the estimated number of tenured plus tenure-eligible faculty was 16,245 (data from 2000 and 2005 can be found in CBMS2005 Table S.15, p. 35).

Table S. 15 in Chapter 1 showed that across all types of four-year mathematics departments combined, from fall 2010 to fall 2015, the estimated number of tenured faculty decreased by 768 faculty, and the estimated number of tenure-eligible faculty decreased by 326 faculty, producing a $6 \%$ (4.3 SEs) decrease in the total number of tenured faculty and a $9 \%$ (4.1 SEs) decrease in the number of tenure-eligible faculty.

Table F. 1 in this chapter gives the estimated numbers of full-time and part-time mathematics and statistics faculty, broken down by the level of department (the highest degree the department offered), the type of appointment (tenured, tenure-eligible, other full-time, postdoc, part-time), the highest degree of the faculty (doctoral or non-doctoral), and faculty gender, comparing fall 2010 and fall 2015. Table F.1.1, derived from F.1, gives totals for full-time faculty across all of the levels of mathematics (combined) and statistics departments (combined) broken down by the highest degree and gender. Table F.2, derived from F.1, gives the estimated numbers of full-time mathematics faculty, broken down by the level of department, the type of appointment, and faculty gender, and Table F.3, derived from F.1, gives these same data for statistics departments.

From Table F. 2 we see that for mathematics departments, except for the doctoral-level departments, where the estimated number of tenure-eligible faculty
was almost identical in fall 2010 and fall 2015, and also for the doctoral and masters-level mathematics departments, where the number of tenured faculty in 2015 was lower than (but within 1 SE of) the 2010 estimate, in each of the other levels of mathematics departments, the estimated numbers of tenured, and of tenure-eligible, faculty declined significantly from 2010 to 2015: a 20\% (5 SEs) decline in masterslevel tenure-eligible mathematics faculty, and a $12 \%$ ( 4 SEs ) (respectively, $9 \%$ ( 2.5 SEs )) decline in tenured (respectively, tenure-eligible) mathematics faculty in the bachelors-level departments. Over the past 15 years, the estimated number of tenured faculty at doctoral-level mathematics departments shows a pattern of decline; it was estimated at 5,022 in fall 2000, at 4,719 in fall 2005, at 4,621 in fall 2010, and at 4,596 (with SE 58) in fall 2015. For bachelors-level departments, the estimated number of tenured faculty has a more varied pattern; the fall 2000 estimate was 4,817 , the fall 2005 estimate was quite a bit larger at 5,612 , the fall 2010 estimate was about the same at 5,693 , and the fall 2015 was smaller at 5,018 (with SE 155); the 2000 estimate was 1.3 SEs below the 2015 estimate. (Data for 2000 and 2005 can be found in CBMS2005 Table F.2, p. 104.)

## Numbers of tenured and tenure-eligible statistics faculty

Table S. 14 of Chapter 1 showed that the estimated number of tenured faculty plus tenure-eligible faculty in doctoral-level and masters-level statistics departments combined grew by $4 \%(0.96 \mathrm{SEs})$ to 1,031 , from fall 2010 to fall 2015. Table S. 15 in Chapter 1 showed that, from fall 2010 to fall 2015, the estimated number of tenured statistics faculty increased by $6 \%(1.4 \mathrm{SEs})$, and the number of tenure-eligible statistics faculty decreased by $3 \%$ ( 0.5 SE ), not significant changes. However, Table F. 3 in this chapter shows both the estimated numbers of tenured, and of tenure-eligible, faculty grew from 2010 to 2015 in doctoral-level statistics departments, but declined in masters-level statistics departments.

To compare the data from fall 2015 to several previous CBMS surveys we consider the changes in the estimated numbers of tenured and tenure-eligible positions in doctoral-level statistics departments, since masters-level statistics departments were not surveyed in 2005. From Table F. 3 we see that the estimated numbers of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments have increased over the past 15 years. In fall 2000, the estimated number of tenured faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments was 572 , and, in fall 2015, the estimate was 649, an increase of $13 \%$ ( 2.3 SEs ); in fall 2000, the estimated number of tenure-eligible faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments was 137, and, in fall 2015, it was 220, an increase of
TABLE F. 1 Number of faculty, and of female faculty ( $F$ ), in various types of mathematics departments and PhD and MA statistics departments, by highest degree and type of department, in fall 2015. (Fall 2010 figures are in parentheses, and postdocs are included in other full-time (OFT) faculty totals.)

|  | Univ (PhD) |  |  |  |  | Univ (MA) |  |  |  |  | Coll (BA) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured | Tenureeligible | OFT | Postdocs | Parttime | Tenured | Tenureeligible | OFT | Postdocs | Parttime | Tenured | Tenureeligible | OFT | Postdocs | Parttime |
| Mathematics Depts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral Faculty | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4591 \\ (4,604) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 998 \\ (986) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2336 \\ (1,739) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1150 \\ (1,001) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 588 \\ (370) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2309 \\ (2,369) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 608 \\ (758) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 398 \\ (237) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 31 \\ (16) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 441 \\ (354) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 4780 \\ (5,218) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1582 \\ (1,712) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 747 \\ (627) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 137 \\ (6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 911 \\ (609) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Doctoral (F) | $\begin{gathered} 635 \\ (518) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 260 \\ (269) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 652 \\ (496) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 234 \\ (226) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 151 \\ (107) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 587 \\ (579) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 244 \\ (273) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 307 \\ & (89) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3 \\ (6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 148 \\ (102) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 1346 \\ (1,408) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 614 \\ (546) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 420 \\ (158) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \\ & (0) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 289 \\ (220) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Non-doctoral Faculty | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (16) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 833 \\ & (756) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 857 \\ (731) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ (65) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (17) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 942 \\ (749) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1469 \\ (1,434) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 238 \\ (475) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93 \\ (136) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ (1,821) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3416 \\ (2,553) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Non-doctoral (F) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2 \\ (6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 480 \\ (449) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 361 \\ (326) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (26) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9 \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 540 \\ (427) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 686 \\ (659) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \\ (203) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ (127) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 882 \\ (828) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1612 \\ (1,263) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Mathematics | $\begin{gathered} 4596 \\ (4,621) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 998 \\ (994) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3170 \\ (2,495) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1150 \\ (1,001) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1445 \\ (1,101) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2365 \\ (2,434) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 618 \\ (775) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1339 \\ (986) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ (18) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1911 \\ (1,787) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5018 <br> $(5,693)$ | $\begin{gathered} 1675 \\ (1,848) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2752 \\ (2,448) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 137 \\ (6) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4326 \\ (3,161) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Mathematics (F) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 637 \\ (525) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 260 \\ (270) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1133 \\ (946) \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 234 \\ (226) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 512 \\ (433) \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 605 \\ (605) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 252 \\ (284) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 847 \\ (516) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3 \\ (7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 835 \\ (761) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 1445 \\ (1,611) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 659 \\ (673) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1303 \\ (987) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \\ & (0) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1901 \\ (1,484) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Statistics Depts | Univ (PhD) |  |  |  |  | Univ (MA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral Faculty | $\begin{gathered} 649 \\ (579) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 220 \\ (207) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 226 \\ (184) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 113 \\ (71) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 91 \\ (84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 123 \\ (145) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ (57) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ (20) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3 \\ (15) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & (9) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral (F) | $\begin{array}{r} 137 \\ (95) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \\ \hline 71 \\ (84) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 107 \\ (61) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 22 \\ (18) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ (15) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (20) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ (18) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8 \\ (7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \\ (7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5 \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-doctoral Faculty | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 143 \\ (31) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ (21) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (37) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5 \\ (20) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-doctoral (F) | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 129 \\ & (20) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (11) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8 \\ (20) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3 \\ (7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Statistics | $\begin{gathered} 649 \\ (580) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 220 \\ (209) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 369 \\ (215) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 113 \\ & (71) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 128 \\ (105) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 123 \\ (147) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ (57) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 32 \\ (57) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (15) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 27 \\ (29) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Statistics (F) | $\begin{array}{r} 137 \\ (95) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 71 \\ (84) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 237 \\ & (82) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 22 \\ (18) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 38 \\ (26) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 16 \\ (22) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (26) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \\ (7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8 \\ (7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE F.1.1 Number of faculty, and of female faculty ( $F$ ), in mathematics departments combined and of statistics departments combined in fall 2015. (Fall 2010 figures are in parentheses.)

|  | Tenured | Tenureeligible | OFT | Postdocs | Parttime |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics Depts | Univ (PhD) + Univ (MA) + Coll (BA) |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral Faculty | $\begin{gathered} \hline 11,681 \\ (12,191) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3,188 \\ (3,456) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3,481 \\ (2,603) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1,317 \\ (1,024) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1,940 \\ (1,332) \end{gathered}$ |
| Doctoral (F) | $\begin{gathered} 2,568 \\ (2,505) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1,118 \\ (1,088) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1,379 \\ & (744) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 288 \\ (232) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 588 \\ (429) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Non-doctoral Faculty | $\begin{gathered} 298 \\ (557) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 103 \\ (161) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,780 \\ (3,326) \end{gathered}$ | 0 <br> (1) | $\begin{gathered} 5,742 \\ (4,718) \end{gathered}$ |
| Non-doctoral (F) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 120 \\ (235) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 54 \\ (139) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,903 \\ (1,705) \end{gathered}$ | 0 (1) | $\begin{gathered} 2,659 \\ (2,249) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Mathematics | $\begin{gathered} 11,979 \\ (12,747) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,291 \\ (3,617) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,261 \\ (5,929) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,317 \\ (1,025) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,682 \\ (6,050) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Mathematics (F) | $\begin{gathered} 2,688 \\ (2,740) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,171 \\ (1,227) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,282 \\ (2,449) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 288 \\ (233) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,248 \\ (2,678) \end{gathered}$ |
| Statistics Depts | Univ (PhD) + Univ (MA) |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral Faculty | $\begin{gathered} \hline 772 \\ (724) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 260 \\ (264) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 239 \\ (204) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 116 \\ (86) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 112 \\ (93) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Doctoral (F) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 153 \\ (115) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 90 \\ (102) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 115 \\ & (68) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 22 \\ (24) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 25 \\ (15) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Non-doctoral Faculty | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \\ (3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $0$ <br> (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 162 \\ & (69) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 43 \\ (41) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Non-doctoral (F) | 0 (2) | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 137 \\ & (40) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21 \\ (18) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Statistics | $\begin{gathered} 772 \\ (727) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 260 \\ (267) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 401 \\ (272) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 116 \\ (86) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 155 \\ (133) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Statistics (F) | $\begin{gathered} 153 \\ (117) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \\ (102) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 253 \\ (108) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ (24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ (32) \end{gathered}$ |

61\% (5.9 SEs) (see CBMS2005 Table F.3, p. 105 for 2000 and 2005 estimates). Table F. 3 in this chapter shows that, from fall 2010 to fall 2015, the estimated number of tenured faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments increased by $12 \%$ ( 2.5 SEs ), and the estimated number of tenure-eligible faculty increased by $5 \%(1.1 \mathrm{SEs})$; from fall 2005 to fall 2010 , the estimated number of tenured faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments decreased by 24 (4\%), and the estimated number of tenure-eligible faculty increased by 30 (17\%).

From Table F. 3 we see that in masters-level statistics departments from fall 2010 to fall 2015 the estimated number of tenured faculty decreased by 24 faculty ( $16 \%$ (1.4 SEs)) and the estimated number
of tenure-eligible faculty decreased by 17 faculty (30\% (1.7 SEs)).

## Numbers of other full-time mathematics and statistics faculty

The category "other full-time faculty" is defined to be all full-time faculty who are not tenured or tenure-eligible, faculty with renewable positions, postdoctoral faculty, and visiting faculty; note that in the CBMS tables postdoctoral faculty are included in the count of other full-time faculty, and also are broken out from that category in the category "postdocs". "Postdoctoral appointments" are defined as "temporary positions primarily intended to provide an opportunity to extend graduate training or to further research
TABLE F. 2 Number of tenured, tenure-eligible, postdoctoral, and other full-time faculty in mathematics departments at four-year colleges and universities by gender and type of department in fall 2015. (Note: Postdoctoral faculty are included in other full-time totals.)

|  | Univ (PhD) |  |  |  | Univ (MA) |  |  |  | Coll (BA) |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured | Tenureeligible | Other full-time | Postdocs ${ }^{1}$ | Tenured | Tenureeligible | Other full-time | Postdocs ${ }^{1}$ | Tenured | Tenureeligible | Other full-time | Postdocs ${ }^{1}$ | Tenured | Tenureeligible | Other full-time | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Post- } \\ & \text { docs } \end{aligned}$ |
| Men, 2015 | 3958 | 739 | 2037 | 916 | 1760 | 366 | 493 | 28 | 3573 | 1015 | 1450 | 85 | 9292 | 2120 | 3979 | 1030 |
| Women, 2015 | 637 | 260 | 1133 | 234 | 605 | 252 | 847 | 3 | 1445 | 659 | 1303 | 51 | 2688 | 1171 | 3282 | 288 |
| Total, 2015 | 4596 | 998 | 3170 | 1150 | 2365 | 618 | 1339 | 31 | 5018 | 1675 | 2752 | 137 | 11979 | 3291 | 7261 | 1317 |
| Men, 2010 | 4096 | 724 | 1549 | 775 | 1829 | 490 | 470 | 10 | 4082 | 1175 | 1461 | 6 | 10007 | 2390 | 3480 | 792 |
| Women, 2010 | 525 | 270 | 946 | 226 | 605 | 284 | 516 | 7 | 1611 | 673 | 987 | 0 | 2740 | 1227 | 2449 | 233 |
| Total, 2010 | 4621 | 994 | 2495 | 1001 | 2434 | 775 | 986 | 18 | 5693 | 1848 | 2448 | 6 | 12747 | 3617 | 5929 | 1025 |

${ }^{1}$ A postdoctoral appointment is a temporary position primarily intended to provide an opportunity to extend graduate training or to further research experience. Postdoctoral faculty are included in the other full-time-faculty totals throughout CBMS2015. This contrasts with publications of the AMS-ASA-IMS-MAA-SIAM Annual Survey since 2003, which list postdoctoral faculty as a category separate from other full-time-faculty. Before 2003, separate counts of postdoctoral faculty were not collected by the Annual Survey.
Note: Round-off may make marginal totals seem inaccurate.
TABLE F. 3 Number of tenured, tenure-eligible, other full-time, and postdoctoral faculty in statistics departments, by gender, in fall 2015 and 2010 (Postdoctoral faculty are included in other full-time faculty totals.)

|  | Doctoral Statistics Departments |  |  |  | Masters Statistics Departments |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured | Tenureeligible | Other full-time | Postdocs ${ }^{1}$ | Tenured | Tenureeligible | Other full-time | Postdocs ${ }^{1}$ | Tenured | Tenureeligible | Other full-time | Postdocs ${ }^{1}$ |
| Men, 2015 | 512 | 148 | 132 | 91 | 107 | 21 | 16 | 3 | 618 | 170 | 148 | 94 |
| Women, 2015 | 137 | 71 | 237 | 22 | 16 | 19 | 16 | 0 | 153 | 90 | 253 | 22 |
| Total, 2015 | 649 | 220 | 369 | 113 | 123 | 40 | 32 | 3 | 772 | 260 | 401 | 116 |
| Men, 2010 | 485 | 125 | 133 | 53 | 125 | 40 | 31 | 9 | 610 | 165 | 164 | 62 |
| Women, 2010 | 95 | 84 | 82 | 18 | 22 | 18 | 26 | 7 | 117 | 102 | 108 | 24 |
| Total, 2010 | 580 | 209 | 215 | 71 | 147 | 57 | 57 | 15 | 727 | 267 | 272 | 86 |

${ }^{1}$ A postdoctoral appointment is a temporary position primarily intended to provide an opportunity to extend graduate training or to further research experience. Postdoctoral faculty are included in the other full-time-faculty totals throughout CBMS2010. This contrasts with publications of the AMS-ASA-IMS-MAA-SIAM Annual Survey since 2003, which list postdoctoral faculty as a category separate from other full-time-faculty. Before 2003, separate counts of postdoctoral faculty were not collected by the Annual Survey.
experience", and these positions occur primarily (but not exclusively) in doctoral-level departments. The most consistent trend in the CBMS2015 data on faculty is the growth in the estimated numbers of other full-time faculty.

Table S. 15 in Chapter 1 showed that the estimated number of other full-time faculty (including postdocs) in all levels of mathematics departments combined increased by 1,332 faculty ( $22 \%$ ( 6.1 SEs ) increase) from fall 2010 to fall 2015 to 7,261 faculty; this number includes an increase of 292 postdoc faculty (a 28\% (4.8 SEs) increase) from fall 2010). The estimated number of other full-time mathematics faculty increased by 1,300 faculty from 2005 to 2010, and, hence, there was an estimated increase of 2,632 other full-time mathematics faculty (a 57\% increase) from 2005 to 2015 (the estimated number of mathematics postdocs increased 61\% over that ten-year interval). In fall 2000 , there were 3,533 estimated other full-time mathematics faculty; hence this category of full-time mathematics faculty has more than doubled in the past 15 years. Data for 2000 and 2005 can be found in CBMS2005 Table S.17, p. 38.

Using Tables F. 1 or F. 2 in this chapter, we observe that the increases in other full-time faculty extend across the three levels of mathematics departments.

In the doctoral-level mathematics departments, the estimated number of other full-time faculty increased from fall 2010 to fall 2015 by 675 faculty, a 27\% ( 10 SEs ) increase. In the masters-level mathematics departments, the estimated number of other fulltime faculty increased from fall 2010 to fall 2015 by 353 faculty, a $36 \%$ ( 4.6 SEs) increase. In the bachelors-level mathematics departments, the estimated number of other full-time faculty increased from fall 2010 to fall 2015 by 1,332 faculty, a $22 \%$ ( 6.9 SEs) increase. In fall 2000, the number of other fulltime faculty was estimated at 1,449 at the doctoral-level mathematics departments, 793 at the masterslevel mathematics departments, and 1,292 at the bachelors-level mathematics departments [CBMS2005 Table F.2, p. 104], and hence, over the past fifteen years, the estimated number of other full-time faculty has more than doubled at the doctoral and bache-lors-level mathematics departments, and increased 69\% in the masters-level mathematics departments.

Furthermore, increases in the estimated numbers of postdocs among the three levels of mathematics departments are also seen in Tables F. 1 or F.2. In doctoral-level mathematics departments, the estimated number of postdocs increased from fall 2010 to fall 2015 by 149 postdocs ( $15 \%$ (2.8 SEs)) to 1,150


FIGURE F.3.1 Percentage of women in various faculty categories, by type of department, in fall 2010.
TABLE F. 4 Percentage of tenured and tenure-eligible mathematics department faculty at four-year colleges and universities belonging to various age groups by type of department and gender in fall 2015.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline<30 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 30-34 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35-39 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40-44 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 45-49 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50-54 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 55-59 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 60-64 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 65-69 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline>69 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Average age 2005 | Average age 2010 | Average age 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics Depts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ (PhD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenured Men | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 8 | 9 | 54.4 | 55.4 | 55.9 |
| Tenured Women | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 50.0 | 50.5 | 51.1 |
| Tenure-eligible men | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36.3 | 36.3 | 36.0 |
| Tenure-eligible women | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37.3 | 36.8 | 36.3 |
| Total Univ (PhD) | 1 | 9 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 9 | 9 |  |  |  |
| Univ (MA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenured Men | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 53.8 | 54.1 | 55.1 |
| Tenured Women | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 52.1 | 50.7 | 51.6 |
| Tenure-eligible men | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38.3 | 37.3 | 36.1 |
| Tenure-eligible women | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38.7 | 39.1 | 37.7 |
| Total Univ (MA) | 2 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 11 | 13 | 7 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Coll (BA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenured Men | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 52.9 | 54.0 | 53.6 |
| Tenured Women | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 49.6 | 50.9 | 50.8 |
| Tenure-eligible men | 2 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40.2 | 37.2 | 36.6 |
| Tenure-eligible women | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38.9 | 37.4 | 37.0 |
| Total Coll (BA) | 3 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 15 | 10 | 11 | 6 | 4 |  |  |  |

Note: 0 means less than half of $1 \%$.
TABLE F. 4 (cont.) Percentage of tenured and tenure-eligible mathematics department faculty at four-year colleges and universities belonging to various age groups by type of department and gender in fall 2015.

|  | $\begin{gathered} <30 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30-34 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35-39 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40-44 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45-49 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50-54 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55-59 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60-64 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65-69 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline>69 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Average } \\ \text { age } 2005 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Average } \\ \text { age } 2010 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Average age 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statistics Depts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ (MA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenured Men | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 13 | 11 | 5 | na | 52.5 | 55.6 |
| Tenured Women | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | na | 49.8 | 47.5 |
| Tenure-eligible men | 3 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | na | 34.4 | 35.0 |
| Tenure-eligible women | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | na | 32.5 | 34.6 |
| Total Univ (MA) | 3 | 11 | 16 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 13 | 5 |  |  |  |
| Univ (PhD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenured Men | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 52.7 | 54.2 | 55.2 |
| Tenured Women | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 45.6 | 48.1 | 48.0 |
| Tenure-eligible men | 2 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.7 | 34.9 | 34.5 |
| Tenure-eligible women | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.2 | 36.2 | 34.4 |
| Total Univ (PhD) | 4 | 16 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |

Note: 0 means less than half of $1 \%$.
postdocs. In masters-level mathematics departments, the estimated number of postdocs increased from fall 2010 to fall 2015 by 13 postdocs ( $72 \%$ ( 1.3 SEs )) to 31 postdocs. In bachelors-level mathematics departments, the estimated number of postdocs increased from fall 2010 to fall 2015 by 131 postdocs (from 6 postdocs), indicating a dramatic change (4.7 SEs increase) in the use of postdoctoral appointments at bachelors-level mathematics departments.

Even larger increases in the estimated numbers of other full-time faculty were observed in statistics departments. Table S. 15 of Chapter 1 showed that the estimated number of other full-time faculty (including postdocs) in doctoral and masters-level statistics departments combined, from fall 2010 to fall 2015, increased by 129 faculty to 401 (a 47\% (5.9 SEs) increase). Furthermore, the estimated number of postdocs increased by 29 postdocs, an increase of $35 \%$ ( 2 SEs ), from fall 2010 to fall 2015.

From Table F. 3 we see that in the doctoral-level statistics departments the estimated number of other full-time faculty increased by 154 faculty to 369 faculty (a 72\% (7 SEs) increase from 2010), and, over that time period, the estimated number of postdocs increased by 42 postdocs (a $59 \%$ ( 2.8 SEs ) increase from 2010) to 113 postdocs. In fall 2010, the estimated number of other-full time doctoral-level statistics faculty increased by 52 faculty from the fall 2005 estimate, and the estimated number of postdocs increased by 20 postdocs from the fall 2005 estimate. Hence, the estimated number of other full-time statistics faculty in doctoral-level departments
increased from 163 in 2005 to 369 in 2015, and the estimated number of postdocs increased from 51 in 2005 to 113 in 2015, so both estimated numbers have more than doubled from 2005 to 2015. In fall 2000. there were 99 estimated other full-time faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments; hence, this category of faculty has more than tripled in the past 15 years. However, in the masters-level statistics departments Table F. 3 shows that the estimated number of other-full time faculty actually declined from fall 2010 to fall 2015 by 25 faculty (a $44 \%$ (3.6 SEs) decline), and the estimated number of postdocs declined by 12 faculty (an $80 \%$ ( 6 SEs ) decline).

Chapter 2 contains data from a special topic survey on employment of postdocs after the completion of the postdoc appointment, and on the responsibilities of other full-time faculty who are in renewable, and in non-renewable, positions. See Tables SP.29-SP. 31 of Chapter 2.

## Numbers of part-time mathematics and statistics faculty

Table S. 13 and Figures S. 13.2 and S. 13.3 in Chapter 1 showed that the number of part-time faculty in all levels of mathematics departments combined, in fall 2015, was estimated at 7,682 , with SE of 282 ; this estimate represents an increase of about 27\% (more than 5 SEs from the fall 2010 estimate), ending the pattern of small declines in numbers of part-time faculty observed since 2000 (when the estimate was 7,301). The fall 1995 estimate was 5,399 part-time


FIGURE F.4.1 Percentage of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty in doctoral mathematics departments in various age groups in fall 2015.


FIGURE F.4.2 Percentage of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty in masters-level mathematics departments belonging to various age groups in fall 2015.


FIGURE F.4.3 Percentage of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty in bachelorslevel mathematics departments belonging to various age groups in fall 2015.

TABLE F. 5 Percentages of full-time faculty belonging to various ethnic groups, by gender and type of department, in fall 2015. Except for round-off, the percentages within each departmental type sum to 100\%.

|  | Percentage of Full-time Faculty |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Asian <br> \% | Black, not Hispanic \% | Mexican American/ Puerto Rican/ other Hispanic \% | White, not Hispanic \% | AIAN or NHPI ${ }^{1}$ \% | Unknown \% |
| PhD Mathematics Departments <br> All full-time men All full-time women | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| MA Mathematics Departments <br> All full-time men All full-time women | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \\ 26 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| BA Mathematics Departments All full-time men All full-time women | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53 \\ 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| All Statistics Departments All full-time men All full-time women | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 11 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 15 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Includes the federal categories American Indian or Alaskan Native (AIAN) and Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific (NHPI).

Note: Zero means less than one-half of one percent.
mathematics faculty [CBMS2005 Table S.14, p. 31], so that over the past twenty years, the estimated number of part-time mathematics faculty has increased about $42 \%$. This increase in the numbers of part-time mathematics faculty, combined with the increase in the numbers of other full-time faculty, and a decline in numbers of tenured and of tenure-eligible faculty is a cause for some concern. Tables F. 1 and F. 2 in this chapter break down the number of part-time faculty further.

From Table F. 1 we see that most of the growth in the numbers of part-time faculty in mathematics departments occurred at the doctoral and bachelors-levels departments. From fall 2010 to fall 2015, the estimated number of part-time mathematics faculty increased $31 \%$ (5.5 SEs) at doctoral-level departments, 7\% (0.9 SEs) at masters-level departments, and 37\% (4.9 SEs) at bachelors-level departments.

Table S. 13 and Figure S. 13.5 in Chapter 1 showed that the number of part-time faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments in fall 2015 was estimated at 128 faculty with a SE of 20; this estimate represents an increase of about $22 \%$ ( 1.2 SEs ) over fall 2010. The number of part-time faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments remained relatively stable over the three CBMS surveys 2000-2010. From Table F. 1 we see
that the number of part-time faculty in masters-level statistics departments in fall 2015 was estimated at 27 with a SE of 8 ; this number is almost identical to the 29 part-time masters-level statistics faculty estimated in fall 2010.

From Table F. 1 we see that, in fall $2015,71 \%$ of part-time faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments had a doctoral degree (compared to $41 \%$ in doctoral-level mathematics departments); a similar pattern occurred in the 2010 CBMS survey.

## Non-doctoral faculty

Data on non-doctoral faculty, faculty without a doctoral degree, can be found in Table S. 14 in Chapter 1, and in Tables F. 1 and F.1.1 in this chapter, where the tables label faculty as "having a doctoral degree" and "having other degree" (which we will refer to as "non-doctoral"). The general trend, from fall 2010 to fall 2015, was a decrease in the numbers of non-doctoral full-time mathematics and statistics faculty. The increase in estimated numbers of doctoral faculty may be related to the increased number of new Ph.D.s; as noted in Table S .15 of Chapter 1, according to the National Center for Educational Statistics, the number of Ph.D.s who completed their degree from mathematics and statistics departments between

July 1, 2010 - June 30, 2015 was 1,862 greater than the number of Ph.D.'s who completed their degree between July 1, 2005-June 30, 2010, a $26 \%$ increase in the number of new Ph.D.s. The percentage of fulltime non-doctoral faculty was generally larger in mathematics departments than in statistics departments. From Table S. 14 in Chapter 1 we saw that in fall 2015, the percentage of full-time mathematics faculty with a doctorate was estimated at $83 \%$ of all mathematics faculty, up from $82 \%$ in fall 2010 , and the estimated percentage of full-time statistics faculty with a doctorate was $96 \%$ of all statistics faculty, up from $94 \%$ in 2010.

The estimated percentage of non-doctoral faculty was much larger among part-time faculty than among full-time faculty, particularly in mathematics departments, and the number of doctoral part-time faculty increased significantly in doctoral-level and bach-elors-level mathematics departments. From Table F.1.1 we see that doctoral faculty were estimated to be $25 \%$ of all part-time mathematics faculty in fall 2015 (and $22 \%$ in fall 2010), and doctoral faculty were estimated to be $72 \%$ of part-time statistics faculty (and 70\% in fall 2010). By Table F.1, in doctoral-level mathematics departments, doctoral part-time faculty comprised an estimated $41 \%$ of part-time faculty in fall 2015 , up from $34 \%$ in 2010 ; the estimated number of doctoral part-time faculty in doctoral-level mathematics departments increased by $59 \%$ ( 8.7 SEs) from fall 2010 to fall 2015. In masters-level mathematics departments, doctoral part-time faculty comprised an estimated $23 \%$ of part-time faculty in fall 2015, up from $20 \%$ in 2010; the estimated number of doctoral part-time faculty in masters-level mathematics departments increased by $25 \%$ ( 1.2 SEs ) from fall 2010 to fall 2015. In bachelors-level mathematics departments, doctoral part-time faculty comprised an estimated $21 \%$ of part-time faculty in fall 2015, up from $19 \%$ in 2010; the estimated number of doctoral part-time faculty in bachelors-level mathematics departments increased by $50 \%$ ( 3.2 SEs) from fall 2010 to fall 2015. In doctoral-level statistics departments, doctoral part-time faculty were estimated to comprise $71 \%$ of part-time faculty in fall 2015, down from $80 \%$ in 2010; the estimated number of doctoral part-time faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments increased by $8 \%$ (0.4 SEs) from fall 2010 to fall 2015.

From Table F.1.1 we see that most of the non-doctoral full-time faculty were other full-time faculty, and the number of other full-time faculty with a doctorate, who are not postdocs, increased significantly, perhaps due to the growing number of new Ph.Ds. From Table F. 1 we see that the estimated number of other fulltime faculty with a doctorate, who are not postdocs, in doctoral-level mathematics departments increased from 738 to 1,186 faculty, a $61 \%$ increase, from fall 2010 to fall 2015, and over the same time period,
the estimated number of other full-time faculty with a doctorate, who are not postdocs, in masterslevel mathematics departments increased $66 \%$, the estimated number of other full-time faculty with a doctorate, who are not postdocs, in bachelors-level mathematics departments increased $62 \%$, and the estimated number of other full-time faculty with a doctorate, who are not postdocs, in doctoral-level statistics departments increased from 113 to 216 faculty, almost doubling.

It follows from Table F.1.1 that, in fall 2015, the percentage of women among all full-time mathematics faculty with a doctorate was estimated at $26 \%$, a percentage that is less than $31 \%$, the estimated percentage of women among all full-time mathematics faculty. In fall 2015, the percentage of women among all full-time statistics faculty with a doctorate was $26 \%$, while women comprised $27 \%$ of all full-time statistics faculty.

## Gender

Table S. 15 in Chapter 1 notes that according to the National Center for Educational Statistics, from July 1, 2010 - June 30, 2015, 31\% of the Ph.D.s that were awarded went to women; and, according to the Annual Surveys, the percentage of women receiving Ph.D. degrees in the mathematical sciences has remained close to $30 \%$ each year over the last fifteen years. The 2015 CBMS survey shows that the percentages of women faculty in most categories continue to grow, though the numbers of women faculty (and of male faculty) are not up in a number of categories (e.g. the total estimated number of tenured, and of tenureeligible, mathematics faculty decreased from 2010 to 2015 (Table S. 15 of Chapter 1)). Perhaps the most interesting change is the increase in the estimated number of tenured women at doctoral-level mathematics departments (see Table F.1).

Table S. 15 of Chapter 1 showed that the estimated total number of female full-time mathematics faculty in four-year mathematics departments combined increased by about $9 \%$ ( 4.8 SEs) from fall 2010 to fall 2015. This table further estimated that in fall 2015, women comprised $31 \%$ of all full-time mathematics faculty, $22 \%$ of all tenured mathematics faculty, $36 \%$ of all tenure-eligible mathematics faculty, and $22 \%$ of all mathematics postdocs, all of these estimated percentages, except the percentage of women postdocs, are a few percentage points above the percentages estimated in 2010. In fall 2010, these percentages of women faculty were estimated at 29\% of all full-time faculty, $21 \%$ of all tenured faculty, and $34 \%$ of all tenure-eligible faculty, and $23 \%$ of all postdocs. Tables F.1, F.1.1, and F. 2 in this chapter provide more detail on estimated numbers of women faculty in mathematics departments.

Table S. 15 in Chapter 1 showed that the estimated number of women in doctoral-level and masters-level statistics departments combined increased by $20 \%$ ( 4 SEs) from fall 2010 to fall 2015. In statistics departments, in fall 2015 women were estimated to comprise $27 \%$ of all full-time faculty, $20 \%$ of tenured faculty, $35 \%$ of tenure-eligible faculty, and $19 \%$ of all postdocs; all of these percentages, except the percentage of tenure-eligible faculty and the percentage of women postdocs. were higher than in fall 2010, when the percentages of women faculty were estimated at $26 \%$ of all full-time faculty, $16 \%$ of tenured faculty, $38 \%$ of tenure-eligible faculty, and $28 \%$ of all postdocs. Figure S.15.1 in Chapter 1 gave a bar graph displaying the percentages of tenured and tenure-eligible women in mathematics and statistics departments in fall 2010 and fall 2015; from this figure one can see the changes in these categories of faculty, and that, in 2015, the distributions in mathematics departments and statistics departments look more similar than they did in 2010. Tables F.1, F.1.1, and F. 3 in this chapter provide more detail on estimated numbers of women faculty in statistics departments.

Tables F.1, F.2, and Figure F.3.1 provide data on the estimated numbers of women in different levels of mathematics departments and different types of appointments. In doctoral-level mathematics departments, the most significant change was an increase in the estimated number of tenured women faculty in fall 2015 (while the estimated number of all doctorallevel tenured faculty declined), which was up $21 \%$ (7.5 SEs) over fall 2010. From fall 2010 to fall 2015, in doctoral-level mathematics departments, the estimated number of tenure-eligible women was down $4 \%$ ( 1 SE ), the number of other full-time women faculty was up $20 \%$ ( 6.2 SEs ), and the number of postdoc women was up 4\% (0.3 SEs). In masters-level mathematics departments, the most significant change was the increase in the estimated number of other full-time women faculty in fall 2015, which was up $33 \%$ (4 SEs) over fall 2010. The estimated number of tenured women faculty in masters-level mathematics departments was identical in fall 2010 and 2015, the estimated number of tenure-eligible women was down $11 \%$ (1.5 SE) from fall 2010 , and estimated number of postdoc women faculty, which is still very small, dropped from 7 in fall 2010 to 3 in fall 2015. In bach-elors-level departments, the most significant change was the increase in the estimated number of other fulltime women faculty in fall 2015, which was up $32 \%$ (4.6 SEs) over fall 2010. From fall 2010 to fall 2015, in bachelors-level departments, the estimated number of tenured women faculty declined by $10 \%(2.6 \mathrm{SEs})$, the estimated number of tenure-eligible women faculty was down $2 \%(0.4 \mathrm{SE})$, and the estimated number of postdoc women faculty went from 0 postdocs to 51 postdocs, with SE 13.

Tables F. 1 and F. 3 and Figure F.3.1 in this chapter provide data on the estimated numbers of women in different levels of statistics departments and different types of appointments.

In doctoral-level statistics departments, the most significant changes were an increase in the estimated number of other full-time women faculty in fall 2015, which was up $62 \%$ ( 6.4 SEs ) over fall 2010 , and an increase in the estimated number of tenured women faculty in fall 2015, which was up 44\% (5.2 SEs) over fall 2010. From fall 2010 to fall 2015, the estimated number of tenure-eligible women faculty in doctoral-level statistics departments was down 15\% ( 2.6 SE ), and the estimated number of women postdocs was up $22 \%$ ( 1.3 SEs ). These changes follow an estimated $4 \%$ decrease in the number of tenured women, and a $17 \%$ increase in the number of tenure-eligible women, from 2005 to 2010 [CBMS2010 Table F.3, p. 106]. In masters-level statistics departments, the most significant change was the $39 \%$ ( 3.3 SEs ) decrease, from fall 2010 to fall 2015, in the estimate of other full-time women faculty. From fall 2010 to fall 2015, in masters-level statistics departments, the other types of appointments did not change significantly: the estimated number of tenured women faculty was down $27 \%$ ( 0.9 SEs) and the estimated number of tenure-eligible women increased by $6 \%$ (0.2 SEs).

Table F.1.1 states that in fall 2015 women comprised an estimated $42 \%$ of the part-time positions across all levels of mathematics departments combined (this percentage is down from $44 \%$ in fall 2010); by Table S. 15 of Chapter 1, in fall 2015, women comprised $31 \%$ of full-time positions. In fall 2015, women comprised $30 \%$ of the part-time positions across both levels of statistics departments combined (this percentage is up from $24 \%$ in fall 2010). From Table F. 1 we deduce that the estimated percentage of part-time positions occupied by women in fall 2015 was $44 \%$ in bachelors and masters-level mathematics departments, and 35\% in doctoral-level departments.

It is interesting to compare the estimated percentages of women at doctoral-level mathematics departments to that at doctoral-level statistics departments; we note that women comprise a higher percentage of both tenured and tenure-eligible positions in doctorallevel statistics departments than in doctoral-level mathematics departments. From Table F. 1 we see that, in fall 2015, women were estimated to comprise $14 \%$ of tenured faculty in doctoral-level mathematics department faculty, and $21 \%$ of tenured faculty in doctoral-level statistics department faculty; women were $26 \%$ of tenure-eligible mathematics faculty and $32 \%$ of tenure-eligible statistics faculty. The percentage of women in postdoc positions is about the same in mathematics and statistics departments: $20 \%$
of mathematics postdoc faculty and $19 \%$ of statistics postdocs.

## Age distribution

Table S. 16 in Chapter 1 presented the estimated age distribution of tenured, and of tenure-eligible, faculty broken down by gender, for all levels of mathematics departments (combined) in fall 2015, and Table S. 17 in Chapter 1 presented this same data for doctoral and masters-level statistics departments (combined). Tables S. 16 and S. 17 also showed the average ages within each type of appointment (tenured or tenure-eligible) and each gender in fall 2005, 2010, and 2015, and, for each age group, the total percentages across all types of appointments in fall 2015, which are displayed in Figure S.16.1 and S.17.1 of Chapter 1. Table F. 4 of this chapter presents the finer estimated breakdown of faculty ages by level of mathematics and statistics department, and Figures F.4.1, F.4.2, and F.4.3 display these distributions of ages, broken down by gender, for doctoral-level, masterslevel, and bachelors-level mathematics departments, respectively. The percentages within each level of department total $100 \%$, except for possible round-off errors. The standard errors of the percentages in Table S. 16 and F. 4 are all less than $0.5 \%$, but are as high as $3 \%$ for doctoral-level statistics departments in some entries of Tables S. 17 and F.5. The SEs are very high for the estimates of Table F. 4 for masterslevel statistics departments, making the estimates for masters-level statistics departments very unreliable.

When the data in mathematics departments were aggregated, as they were in the Chapter 1 tables, it appeared from Table S. 16 that across all levels of mathematics department faculty combined, from 2005 to 2015, the estimated average ages of both tenured men, and of tenured women, rose slightly; furthermore, the estimated average age of tenured men appeared to be approximately 4 years greater than that of tenured women in mathematics departments. The average age of tenure-eligible men and women in mathematics departments both appeared to decline from fall 2005 to fall 2015.

In statistics departments, from Table S. 17 of Chapter 1, it appeared that the estimated average age of tenured men rose over the last 10 years (and was roughly comparable to the average age of tenured men in mathematics departments), and that the estimated average age of tenured women in statistics departments in fall 2015, while above the average age in 2005, was slightly less than the average age in 2010 (perhaps due to the large ( $31 \%$ ( 3.6 SEs)) increase in tenured female statistics faculty in all levels of statistics departments combined (Table F.3) from fall 2010 to fall 2015). The average age of tenured women in statistics departments appeared to be about 3 years less than the average age of tenured women in
mathematics departments, again reflecting the large number of women among new Ph.D.s in statistics reported in the Annual Surveys over the last 15 years. The estimated average ages of tenure-eligible men and of tenure-eligible women in statistics departments were slightly larger in 2010 than in 2005, and slightly smaller in 2015 than in 2010; the estimated average ages of tenure-eligible men and of tenure-eligible women in statistics departments were about 2 years less than the comparable average ages in mathematics departments, perhaps reflecting greater use of postdoc appointments in mathematics.

From Tables S. 16 and S. 17 in Chapter 1 we also note that the estimated percentage of tenured plus tenure-eligible faculty age 65 or more continues to increase. In mathematics departments, in fall 2000, this percentage was estimated at $5 \%$, in fall 2005 at $8 \%$, in fall 2010 at $12 \%$, and, in fall 2015, at $13 \%$. Similarly, in statistics departments, in fall 2000, it was estimated at $6 \%$, in fall 2005 at $8 \%$, in fall 2010 at $10 \%$, and, in fall 2015 , at $14 \%$. The average age of tenured men in mathematics rose from an estimate of 52.4 in fall 2000 to 54.9 in fall 2015. Table S. 20 in Chapter 1 recorded the number of deaths and retirements in the year preceding each of the CBMS surveys of 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015; the numbers of reported deaths and retirements increased significantly in each of the three levels of mathematics departments and in the doctoral statistics departments from 2009-10 to 2014-15; the largest change was observed for the bachelors-level mathematics departments, where the number of deaths and retirements reported in 2014-15 was more than double the number reported in 2009-10.

The estimated distributions of the age groups for tenured and tenure-eligible faculty (combined) in mathematics departments, broken down by gender, in fall 2015 was displayed in Figure S. 16.1 in Chapter 1. One notes that the distribution of women's ages appears more skewed to lower ages for women than the distribution of men's ages, and the distribution for men is slightly skewed toward higher ages. The analogous data for statistics departments appeared in Figure 17.1, where the distribution of women's ages is even more skewed toward lower ages, and the distribution of men's ages appears slightly bimodal. The shapes of these distributions is similar to the shapes observed in the 2010 survey.

Table F. 4 in this chapter can be used to estimate age distributions across different levels of departments. We note, again, that the standard errors for the masters-level statistics department are rather large, so those estimates may be unreliable. Generally, the trends observed for all departments combined appear in most levels of departments. For example, in each level of mathematics and statistics departments (with the exception of bachelors-level mathematics depart-
ments from 2010 to 2015), the estimated average age of tenured men increased from 2005 to 2010 and from 2010 to 2015; further, the estimated average age of tenured men is greater than the estimated average age of tenured women. One difference in the age distributions is that the estimated percentage of faculty age 65 or more in fall 2015 in mathematics departments is $18 \%$ at the doctoral-level departments, $13 \%$ at the masters-level departments, and $10 \%$ at the bache-lors-level departments; moreover, the percentage of faculty age 34 or less in fall 2015 is estimated at $10 \%$ at the doctoral and masters-level departments, and $15 \%$ at the bachelors-level departments. This pattern can also be noted from the graphs of the age distributions for the three levels of mathematics that appear in Figures F.4.1 (doctoral-level mathematics), F.4.2 (masters-level mathematics), and F.4.3 (bach-elors-level mathematics). Over the past 15 years, from 2000 to 2015 the average age of tenured men at doctoral-level mathematics departments increased from an estimated 52.1 in 2000 to 55.9 in 2015.

## Race, ethnicity, and gender

Table S. 18 in Chapter 1 gave estimated percentages in various racial/ethnic groups of full-time faculty in all levels of mathematics departments combined, by gender, and by type of appointment in fall 2015; Table S. 19 gave the same data for doctoral and masters-level statistics departments combined. Table F. 5 in this chapter presents these percentages broken down by the three levels of mathematics department (and for doctoral and masters-level of statistics departments combined), and by gender, for all types of appointments combined. Table F. 6 in this chapter presents the distribution of racial/ethnic groups for part-time mathematics and statistics faculty, broken down by level of mathematics department (and for both levels of statistics departments combined), and by gender. The standard errors for percentages in Tables S.18, S.19, F.5, and F. 6 round to $1 \%$ or less, except that for some of the entries of Table F. 5 for statistics departments the SEs are as large as 3\%.

The Annual Surveys follow the federal classification for racial and ethnic groups. However, in the text of this report some of the more cumbersome federal classifications will be shortened. For example, "Mexican-American/Puerto Rican/other Hispanic" will be abbreviated to "Hispanic". Similarly, the federal classifications "Black, not Hispanic" and "White, not Hispanic" will be shortened to "Black" and "White", respectively, and "American Indian or Alaskan Native and Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander" will be shortened to "AIAN \& NHPI". For a small percentage of the faculty, race and ethnicity data were listed as "unknown" by the responding departments, and these faculty are listed as "unknown".

The estimated percentages of faculty in various racial/ethnic groups in all level of mathematics departments combined, presented in Tables S. 19 in CBMS2010 and Table S. 18 of Chapter 1, look quite similar. The estimated percentage of the category White men was slightly lower in fall 2015 than in fall 2010 (it declined from 2005 to 2010, also), and the categories White women, Asian men, and Asian women faculty were slightly higher in fall 2015 than in fall 2010 (the estimated percentage of White women faculty also increased from fall 2005 to fall 2010). Table S. 18 showed that, in fall $2015,77 \%$ of all full-time mathematics faculty were classified as White, slightly less than the same percentage in fall 2010 (79\%); the percentage of female White faculty increased slightly from $23 \%$ in fall 2010 to $24 \%$ fall 2015. There are entries less than $1 \%$ in the Black and Hispanic faculty categories for tenure-eligible faculty and postdocs in mathematics departments, suggesting that the percentages of these under-represented groups in the tenured categories are not likely to increase soon.

The estimated percentages of faculty in various racial/ethnic groups in doctoral and masters-level statistics departments combined, observed in Table S.19, were also quite similar in the 2010 and 2015 CBMS surveys. The estimated percentages of Asian men and women were both higher in fall 2015 than in fall 2010, giving a combined total estimate of Asians as $33 \%$ of statistics faculty in 2015 (compared to $28 \%$ in 2010). The percentage of White men in statistics departments was estimated at $49 \%$ in 2010, and $45 \%$ in 2015, and the percentage of White women in statistics departments was estimated at 15\% in both 2010 and 2015.

Table F. 5 in this chapter breaks these numbers down by level of department, but aggregates over type of appointment. Comparing Table F. 5 to the corresponding tables in previous CBMS surveys, we note that in the doctoral-level mathematics departments, the estimated percentages of faculty in the categories Asian men, Asian women, and Hispanic men were slightly larger in fall 2015 than in fall 2010, while the percentage of faculty in the category White men, that was estimated at 69\% in fall 2000, 66\% in fall 2005, and $59 \%$ in fall 2010, was estimated at $55 \%$ in fall 2015; furthermore, Black and Hispanic faculty, that were each estimated at $1 \%$ in fall 2000, were estimated at $1 \%$ and $4 \%$, respectively, in fall 2015. At masters-level mathematics departments, the estimated percentage of faculty in the category White men, that was $58 \%$ in fall 2000, had dropped to $46 \%$ in fall 2015, and the percentages of Black and Hispanic faculty, that were estimated at $2 \%$ and $6 \%$, respectively, in fall 2000, were estimated at $3 \%$ and $4 \%$, respectively, in fall 2015. At bachelors-level departments, the percentage of faculty in the category

TABLE F. 6 Percentages of part-time faculty belonging to various ethnic groups, by gender and type of department, in fall 2015. Except for round-off, the percentages within each departmental type sum to 100\%.

|  | Percentage of part-time Faculty |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Asian \% | Black, not Hispanic \% | Mexican American/ Puerto Rican/ other Hispanic \% | White, not Hispanic \% | AiAN or $\mathrm{NHPI}^{1}$ | Unknown \% |
| PhD Mathematics Departments <br> All part-time men <br> All part-time women | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| MA Mathematics Departments <br> All part-time men All part-time women | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| BA Mathematics Departments <br> All part-time men All part-time women | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| All Statistics Departments <br> All part-time men All part-time women | 11 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 3 0 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes the federal categories American Indian or Alaskan Native (AIAN) and Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (NHPI).

Note: Zero means less than one-half of $1 \%$.

White men, that was estimated at 60\% in fall 2000, had dropped to $53 \%$ in fall 2015 , and the estimated percentages of faculty in the categories Black and Hispanic faculty, that were estimated at $3 \%$ and $1 \%$, respectively, in fall 2000, were estimated at $3 \%$ and $2 \%$, respectively, in fall 2015 . At the masters and doctoral-level statistics departments combined, the percentage of faculty in the category White men, that was estimated at $66 \%$ in fall 2000 , had dropped to $45 \%$ in fall 2015, and the percentages of faculty in the categories Black and Hispanic faculty, that were estimated at $1 \%$ and $3 \%$, respectively, in fall 2000, were estimated at $1 \%$ and $3 \%$, respectively, in fall 2015. The estimated distributions from the 2000 survey can be found in CBMS2000 Table F. 6 (mathematics departments) and F. 7 (statistics departments), p.104-5.

Of the non-White racial/ethnic groups, the estimated percentage of faculty in the category Asian faculty varies the most across the various levels of departments. According to Table F.5, in fall 2015,
the percentage of Asian faculty was estimated at 20\% in doctoral-level mathematics departments, $17 \%$ in masters-level mathematics departments, and 10\% in bachelors-level mathematics departments, and $33 \%$ in statistics departments. In fall 2000 these percentages were estimated at $14 \%$ in doctoral-level departments, $10 \%$ in masters-level departments, $7 \%$ in bachelors-level departments and 19\% in statistics departments.

Table F. 6 shows the estimated racial/ethnic distribution of part-time faculty. These percentages are not very different from the distribution of full-time faculty; for example, at doctoral-level mathematics departments in fall 2015 the estimated percentages of full-time Black and Hispanic faculty were $1 \%$ and $4 \%$, respectively, and for part-time faculty these percentages were both $3 \%$; for full-time Asian faculty the estimated percentage was $20 \%$ and for part-time faculty it was $13 \%$.

## First-Year Courses in Four-Year Colleges and Universities

The tables in this chapter explore the mathematics and statistics courses of four-year colleges and universities that are taught generally to beginning students. Tables S.5, S.6, S.7, S.8, and S. 12 from Chapter 1 , are broken down by the level of department in this chapter, to provide more information about the following courses, which tend to be the focus of the early college experience:

1. Precollege and Introductory-Level Mathematics (Appendix I)
2. Mainstream Calculus (Tables FY.1)
3. Non-Mainstream Calculus (Table FY.2)
4. Introductory Statistics (Tables FY.3-FY.9).

Previous CBMS surveys collected data on the appointment type of faculty who taught introductory level courses, but this data was not collected in 2015; course enrollments for individual courses are available in Appendix I. Mainstream Calculus courses are the calculus courses needed for the mathematics major, or for applications in the physical sciences or engineering. Other calculus courses, which tend to be for business, social science, or life science majors, are labeled Non-Mainstream Calculus.

Beginning courses build the interest and skills that students need for further study of mathematics and statistics, and the many other disciplines that use mathematics or statistics. These courses constitute a substantial portion of four-year mathematics and statistics departments' course enrollments. Hence these courses merit the careful consideration of the mathematical sciences community. The issues addressed in this chapter are the course enrollments, the appointment type of the course instructors, and pedagogy used in teaching Introductory Statistics.

Standard errors: As the estimates produced from the survey data are broken down more finely, the estimates are made over smaller sets of departments, and the standard errors typically increase, sometimes to magnitudes that make the estimates rather uncertain. This phenomenon occurs particularly in the masterslevel mathematics and statistics departments, which are smaller in number, and possibly less homogeneous, than the other levels of departments. In this chapter, data are broken down quite finely, and the standard errors become an issue.

In the text that follows, the standard error (SE) in many of the estimates is provided along with the estimate (e.g. enrollment of 255,000 (SE 23,000)); the standard errors for all CBMS2015 tables can be found in Appendix VIII. The change in an estimate from an estimate in a previous survey is often expressed both as percentage change, and as the number of SEs that change represents (e.g. "increased $21 \%$ (1.7 SEs)").

## Highlights of Chapter 5

## A. Enrollments

- The largest estimated percentage growth in mathematics course enrollment from 2010 to 2015 occurred in precollege-level courses, which increased $21 \%$ ( 1.7 SEs ) from fall 2010 to fall 2015. The largest estimated total mathematics enrollments in fall 2015 occurred in the introduc-tory-level courses, as was observed, also, in the three previous CBMS surveys, and introductory courses had the second largest growth in estimated enrollment from fall 2010 to fall 2015, up 14\% (1.6 SEs) (see Chapter 1, Table S.4). Chapter 3, Table E.2, indicates that much of the increase occurred at the doctoral-level mathematics departments, where the percentage increase in enrollments in introductory mathematics courses was $36 \%$ ( 1.6 SEs ) (compared to increases of 6\% at masters-level and 4\% at bachelors-level mathematics departments).
- Mainstream Calculus I (non-distance learning) had estimated total enrollment, in fall 2015, of roughly 255,000 (SE 23,000), up 9\% ( 0.9 SEs) from fall 2010, up 27\% (2.3 SEs) from fall 2005 (Chapter 1, Table S.5), and up 34\% (2.8 SEs) from fall 2000 (CBMS2005, Chapter 1, Table S.7, p.17). By Table FY.1, which breaks down Table S. 5 of Chapter 1 by level of department, we see that the enrollment gains took place at the masters and doctoral-level departments, and enrollments declined at the bachelors-level departments. From Table FY. 1 we see that across all levels of departments combined $57 \%$ of the estimated enrollments were taught in lecture/recitation format, and $53 \%$ of the estimated enrollments were at the doctoral-level departments.
- Introductory-level statistics course enrollments (excluding distance learning enrollments) in fouryear mathematics departments were estimated at 235,000 (SE 18,630) in fall 2015, up by $8 \%(0.9$ SEs) from fall 2010, up by $62 \%$ ( 4.8 SEs) from 2005 (Chapter 1, Table S.4), and up 73\% (5.3 SEs) since 2000 (CBMS2005, Chapter 1, Table S.6, p.15). Table FY.3, which breaks down Chapter 1, Table S. 7 by level of mathematics department, shows that, in fall 2015, slightly over half of the total estimated enrollments in all of the introductory-level statistics courses in four-year mathematics departments occurred at the bachelors-level departments, particularly course (F1), Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisite, for non-majors/minors), where an estimated 104,000 (SE 11,000) of the estimated 188,000 four-year mathematics department enrollments in course (F1) occurred. Comparing to CBMS2010 Table FY. 6 p. 123, we see that all of the (small) estimated growth in enrollment from 2010 to 2015 in introductory-level statistics courses taught in mathematics departments occurred at the masters and doctoral-level mathematics departments (enrollments in course (F1) at bachelors-level departments actually declined from fall 2010 to fall 2015 , but only by 0.5 SEs).
- Introductory-level statistics course enrollments in statistics departments were estimated at 90,000 (SE 3,000) in fall 2015 , up by $17 \%$ ( 4.3 SEs ) from fall 2010, up by $70 \%$ ( 12 SEs) from 2005 (Chapter 1, Table S.4), and up 67\% (12 SEs) since 2000 (CBMS2005, Chapter 1, Table S.6, p.15). By Chapter 1, Table S.8, from fall 2010 to fall 2015, the estimated enrollments in Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisite, for non-majors/minors) (course (E1)) taught in statistics departments was 66,000 (SE 2,000), up by $26 \%$ (6 SEs) over 2010. Table FY. 4 breaks down Chapter 1, Table S.8, by level of statistics department, and shows that, in fall 2015 , an estimated $82 \%$ of introductory statistics courses were taught by the doctoral-level statistics departments.
- In fall 2015, across all levels of mathematics departments combined, by Table FY.3, an estimated 22\% of the enrollments in Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisite (course (F1)) were in sections with lecture/recitation format (and 78\% were in sections that meet as a class), while in statistics departments, by Table FY.4, an estimated $61 \%$ of the analogous course (E1) enrollments were in sections with lecture/recitation format (and 38\% were in sections that meet as a class). In the bach-elors-level mathematics departments, where the majority of course (F1) enrollments are taught, by Table FY.3, 17\% of the course (F1) enrollments are in the sections with lecture/recitation format (and

83\% of the enrollments are in sections that meet as a class).

- Table FY. 9 contains estimates made by mathematics and statistics departments of the enrollments in introductory statistics courses taught outside the mathematical sciences departments of their institution. These crude estimates suggest that in fall 2015 there may be a little less than 100,000 such enrollments in introductory statistics courses taught outside of mathematical sciences departments, compared to the estimates from Chapter 1, Table S. 2 of 627,000 enrollments in introductory statistics courses across all mathematical sciences departments (including distance learning enrollments) (280,000 at two-year colleges, 253,000 at four-year mathematics departments, and 94,000 at statistics departments).


## B. Appointment type of instructors

- By Table FY.1, the estimated percentage of sections of Mainstream Calculus I at doctoral-level mathematics departments taught by tenured or tenure-eligible (TTE) faculty, across all formats combined, was estimated at $27 \%$ (SE 1.8) in fall 2015 (compared to $31 \%$ in fall 2010 (CBMS2010 Table FY. 3 p. 119)); in bachelors-level mathematics departments this percentage was estimated at $72 \%$ (SE 3.7) (compared to $63 \%$ in fall 2010).
- By Table FY.3, the estimated percentage of sections of Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisite (course (F1) on the four-year mathematics department questionnaire), across all formats combined, taught by TTE faculty declined at each level of mathematics department from fall 2010 to fall 2015; by Table FY. 4 the same phenomenon was observed for statistics departments for the analogous course (E1) on the statistics department questionnaire (for 2010 data see CBMS2010 Table FY.6, p. 123 and Table FY.9, p. 129).
- By Table FY.3, in fall 2015, the estimated percentage of sections of Introductory Statistics (course (F1)) in doctoral-level mathematics departments, taught by other full-time (OFT) faculty was 34\% (SE 7) (compared to $25 \%$ in 2010), and by Table FY.4, in doctoral-level statistics departments the estimated percentage of sections of the similar course (E1) taught by OFT faculty, in fall 2015, was 20\% (SE 1) (compared to $10 \%$ in 2010).
- By Table FY. 8 over all levels of mathematics departments combined (and very close to the estimates at the bachelors-level departments, where there are the most enrollments, and relatively consistent across the three different levels of departments), an estimated 64\% (SE 4.5) of departments indicated that course (F1) instructors in mathematics
departments typically had no graduate degree in statistics, 21\% (SE 4.4) had a Master's degree in statistics, and 15\% (SE 3.5) had a Ph.D. in statistics.


## C. Average Section Size

- The estimated average size of Mainstream Calculus I sections increased slightly, from fall 2010 to fall 2015, at the doctoral and masters-level mathematics departments; for example, by Table FY.1, at doctoral-level mathematics departments, in fall 2015, the average lecture section enrolled an estimated 98 (SE 7.6) students, compared to 71 students in fall 2010 (CBMS2010, Table FY.3, p. 119).
- The estimated average size of introductory statistics sections taught in statistics departments was slightly larger than the average size of the corresponding course/format section taught in mathematics departments; for example, by Table FY.3, the estimated average size of sections of course (F1) in doctoral-level mathematics departments over all formats combined, in fall 2015, was 42 (SE 3.7), and, by Table FY.4, the estimated average size of sections of the corresponding course (E1) in doctoral-level statistics departments, over all formats combined, was 58 (SE 2.6).


## D. Pedagogy in Introductory Statistics

- Tables FY. 5 and FY. 6 compare ways course (F1) in mathematics departments and course (E1) in statistics departments were taught. The tables break Chapter 1, Table S. 12 down by level of department. Generally, Table S. 12 shows that in fall 2015 (as in fall 2010) statistics departments were making more use than mathematics departments of the current recommendations for teaching introductory statistics including: use of real data, modern technology, applets, classroom response systems (such as clickers), and in-class activities that encourage student involvement. Tables FY. 5 and FY. 6 show there were some differences across levels of departments.
- Table FY. 7 presents data on the estimated percentages of mathematics and statistics departments that covered certain topics in courses (F1) and (E1) in fall 2015. As one example, it shows that resampling techniques were covered in $22 \%$ (SE 5.1) of course (F1) across all levels of mathematics departments, and 39\% (SE 2.9) of course (E1) across all levels statistics departments; the percentage was smaller ( $9 \%$ (SE 5)) at doctoral-level mathematics departments, and (8\% (SE 4.1) at masters-level statistics departments.


## A. Course Enrollments: (Tables FY.1-FY.4, Appendix I)

First, we consider enrollments in four-year mathematics departments, and we note that the enrollments in Chapter 3, Table E. 2 include distance learning enrollments, whereas the tables of this chapter and Chapter 1 generally do not. Appendix I, Tables A.1, A.2, A. 3 give the enrollments (with distance learning enrollments included) in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015 for each of the courses in the four-year mathematics and statistics questionnaires; they also present the non-distance learning enrollments in fall 2010 and fall 2015 (except for advanced-level courses). The Appendix I tables also give the enrollments broken down by level of department (bachelors, masters, or doctoral level) for enrollments in fall 2015; comparable breakdowns for fall 2010 are given in the corresponding table of the CBMS 2010 report. In the discussion that follows, we present enrollments without distance learning enrollments, as was done in the CBMS 2010 report in this chapter, whenever these are available for some preceding years; we occasionally use enrollments with distance learning included when necessary to compare to several previous years. Questions about issues in introductory-level courses, which were asked in previous CBMS surveys, were not repeated in the 2015 survey.

## Precollege-level courses: (Appendix I, Table A.1)

 The largest percentage growth in mathematics course enrollment was in precollege-level courses, which increased $21 \%$ ( 1.7 SEs ), from an estimated enrollment of roughly 201,000 in fall 2010 to an estimated enrollment of 244,000 (with SE 26,000 ) in fall 2015 (see Chapter 1, Table S.4). Beginning with the 2010 CBMS survey, enrollments in individual precollege-level courses were not collected.Introductory-level courses: (Appendix I, Table A.1)
The largest estimated total mathematics enrollments in fall 2015 occurred in the introductory-level courses, as was observed, also, in the three previous CBMS surveys, and introductory-level courses had the second largest growth in estimated enrollment from fall 2010 to fall 2015, up $14 \%$ (1.6 SEs) (see Chapter 1, Table S.4). Chapter 3, Table E.2, indicates that much of the increase in introductory-level mathematics enrollments occurred at the doctor-al-level mathematics departments, where estimated enrollment in introductory-level courses (including distance learning enrollments) went from 299,000 in fall 2010, to 408,000 (SE 54,000) in fall 2015, an increase of $36 \%$ (1.6 SEs) (compared to increases of $6 \%$ at masters-level and $4 \%$ at bachelors-level mathematics departments).

From Appendix I, Table A.1, we see that, of the introductory-level mathematics courses, the course titled College Algebra had the largest estimated course
TABLE FY. 1 Percentage of sections (excluding distance-learning sections) in Mainstream Calculus I and Mainstream Calculus II taught by various types of instructors in four-year mathematics departments in fall 2015, by size of sections and type of department. Also average section sizes and enrollments (not including distance-learning enrollments). This table can be compared to Table FY.3, p. 119 of CBMS2010.

|  | Percentage of sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ tenureeligible ${ }^{1}$ \% |  |  | Other full-time \% |  |  | Part-time <br> \% |  |  | Graduate teaching assistants \% |  |  | Unknown \% |  |  | Average Section Size |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Enrollment(1000s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Course \& Department Type | PhD | MA | BA |  |  |  | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA |
| Mainstream Calculus I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lecture with separate recitation |  | 32 | 75 |  | 26 | 18 |  | 24 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 6 | 98 | 45 | 26 | 93 | 40 | 12 |
| Sections that meet as a class |  | 62 | 72 | 31 | 26 | 8 |  | 7 | 10 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 32 | 30 | 23 | 39 | 18 | 51 |
| Other sections | 27 | 0 | 35 | 32 | 0 | 65 | 7 | 100 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Mainstream Calculus I | 27 | 44 | 72 | 38 | 25 | 11 | 12 | 18 | 9 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 9 | 60 | 38 | 24 | 134 | 58 | 63 |
| Mainstream Calculus II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lecture with separate recitation | 33 | 66 | 65 | 52 | 11 | 23 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 90 | 37 | 22 | 54 | 13 | 5 |
| Sections that meet as a class | 27 | 60 | 69 | 38 | 18 | 15 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 9 | 38 | 28 | 20 | 21 | 7 | 24 |
| Other sections | 38 | NA | 100 | 25 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 38 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 29 | NA | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Mainstream Calculus II | 30 | 64 | 69 | 44 | 14 | 17 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 64 | 33 | 20 | 76 | 21 | 29 |
| Total Mainstream Calculus I \& II | 28 | 50 | 71 |  | 22 | 13 | 10 | 16 | 7 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 9 | 62 | 37 | 23 | 210 | 79 | 92 |

Note: $0 \%$ means less than one half of $1 \%$. 0 enrollment means under 500 . Inconsistencies in column and row sums are due to round-off. NA = Not applicable.
${ }^{1}$ In 2010, the CBMS survey added the word "permanent" to the description "tenured/tenure eligible" that was used previously. In 2015 the word "permanent" was deleted.


## $\Delta$ Graduate teaching

 assistants-Part-time
$\square$ Other full-time
-Tenured/tenureeligible

FIGURE FY.1.1 Percentage of sections (excluding distance learning) in Mainstream Calculus I in four-year mathematics departments by type of instructor and by type of department in fall 2015. (Deficits from 100\% represent unknown instructors.) This figure can be compared to Figure FY.3.1, p. 120, in CBMS2010.
enrollment for each level of department in fall 2015. The introductory-level mathematics course with the second highest estimated enrollment in fall 2015 at doctoral-level mathematics departments was "Other" followed closely by Elementary Functions (which includes Precalculus and Analytical Geometry) and Mathematics for the Liberal Arts; at masters-level and bachelors-level departments, the course with the second largest enrollment was Mathematics for the Liberal Arts. These patterns also held in fall 2010, except that "Other" in doctoral-level departments had smaller enrollment (15,000 in 2010, compared to 62,000 in 2015) (CBMS2010, Appendix I, Table A.1, p. 185). Each specific introductory-level course had larger estimated enrollment in 2015 than in 2010 across all levels of departments combined (though not a significantly larger enrollment, as the SEs are relatively large for individual courses), except for Business Math and Math for Elementary Teachers, which had slightly smaller estimated enrollments in fall 2015 than in fall 2010.

## College Algebra, Trigonometry, Precalculus

The total enrollments, over all levels of departments combined, in the cluster of the four courses that were listed on the four-year mathematics questionnaire as: College Algebra, Trigonometry, College Algebra and Trigonometry, and Precalculus (Elementary Functions) generally have been rising, except in the 2005 CBMS survey, where they showed a decline. The
total enrollments in these four courses at all four-year mathematics departments (combined) were roughly 368,000 in fall $1995,386,000$ in $2000,352,000$ in 2005, 431,000 in 2010, and 482,000 in 2015. Hence there has been a $37 \%$ increase in the estimated total enrollment in these four courses since 2005, and a 31\% increase since 1995. In fall 2015, the sum of the estimated enrollments in these four classes represented $20 \%$ of all doctoral-level mathematics department (non-distance learning) estimated enrollments in mathematics courses, 28\% of all masters-level mathematics department (non-distance learning) estimated enrollments in mathematics courses, and 31\% of all bachelors-level mathematics departments (non-distance learning) estimated enrollments in mathematics.

## Mathematics for the Liberal Arts

Enrollments in Mathematics for the Liberal Arts have been steadily increasing, from an estimated enrollment (including distance learning enrollments) of 86,000 in fall 2000 to 171,000 (SE 21,900 ) in fall 2015, almost doubling over the past 15 years (an increase of 3.9 SEs from fall 2000 to fall 2015). Much of the increase occurred at the doctoral level, where estimated enrollments went from 43,000 in fall 2010 to 57,000 in fall 2015 . The estimated enrollment at doctoral-level departments in the category of intro-ductory-level courses, "Other", increased from an estimated enrollment of 15,000 in fall 2010 to 62,000 in fall 2015. The increased enrollment in these two
categories of introductory-level courses at doctorallevel mathematics departments, suggests that doctorallevel departments are creating enrollments in intro-ductory-level courses other than the traditional college algebra related courses.

## Introductory courses for pre-service elementary teachers:

Estimated enrollments in introductory courses designed for pre-service elementary teachers, which had been increasing (in fall 1995 the estimated enrollment was roughly 59,000, in 2000 it was 68,000 , in 2005 it was 72,000 , and in 2010 it rose to 80,000 ), decreased in fall 2015 to 72,000 (SE 9,500, so not a significant change).

## Mainstream Calculus: (Table FY.1)

Mainstream Calculus I had (non-distance learning) total enrollment, across all levels of mathematics departments combined, in fall 2015, of roughly 255,000 (SE 23,000), up 9\% (0.9 SEs) from fall 2010, up $27 \%$ from fall 2005 (Chapter 1, Table S.5), and up $34 \%$ from fall 2000 (CBMS2005, Chapter 1, Table S.7, p.17). By Table FY.1, which breaks down Table S. 5 of Chapter 1 by level of department, and comparing to CBMS2010 Table FY.3, p. 119, we see that the enrollment gains occurred at the masters and doctoral-level departments (from 2010 to 2015 Mainstream Calculus I estimated enrollment was up $41 \%(1 \mathrm{SE})$ at masterslevel departments, up $22 \%$ ( 1.8 SEs ) at doctoral-level departments), and estimated enrollment was down 23\% (2.3 SEs) at bachelors-level departments. From Table FY. 1 we also see that, in fall $2014,53 \%$ of the estimated enrollments in Mainstream Calculus I were at the doctoral-level departments.

Mainstream Calculus II, the second course in the calculus sequence for STEM majors, had (non-distance learning) total enrollment in fall 2015 of roughly 125,000 (SE 10,650) (Chapter 1, Table S.5). The CBMS 2010 survey reported estimated enrollments of 128,000 , the 2005 survey reported enrollments of 85,000 (Chapter 1, Table S.5), and the 2000 survey reported enrollments of 87,000 (CBMS2005, Chapter 1, Table S.7, p. 17). Hence, in fall 2015, the estimated enrollment in Mainstream Calculus II was up $44 \%$ (3.6 SEs) over fall 2000. Comparing Table FY. 1 to CBMS2010 Table FY.3, p. 119, we see that the estimated enrollment in Mainstream Calculus II, from fall 2010 to fall 2015, declined at the masters and bachelors-level departments (down 34\% (3.3 SEs) at the bachelors-level departments), and increased 25\% (1.8 SEs) at the doctoral-level departments.

Generally, Calculus has been taught in a lecture/ recitation format or in sections that meet as a class (and are not broken down into smaller sections). Recently other formats, such as self-paced laboratory sections, have been introduced. The CBMS surveys have considered the enrollments in each type of
format. In the 2015 CBMS survey calculus sections were broken down into three kinds of formats: lecture/ recitation, sections that meet as a class, and other. The estimated enrollments in each format, broken down by the level of the mathematics department is also given in Table FY. 1 for both Mainstream Calculus I and II; Table FY. 1 can be compared to Table FY.3, p. 119 in CBMS2010, where course sections were broken down slightly differently (lecture/recitation, other sections with enrollments of 30 or less, and other sections with enrollments more than 30). In fall 2015, $57 \%$ of the total estimated Mainstream Calculus I enrollments were in the lecture/recitation format. From fall 2010 to fall 2015, the enrollments in the lecture/recitation format of both Mainstream Calculus I and Mainstream Calculus II appeared to be growing at the doctoral and masters-level departments, and declining at the bachelors-level departments. There was very little reporting of "other" type of format in both Mainstream and Non-Mainstream Calculus; for Mainstream Calculus I, in fall 2015, doctoral-level departments reported an estimated enrollment of 2,000 (SE 1,800) in "other" formats of Mainstream Calculus I, and for other levels of departments, the estimates were less than 500 enrollments.

## Non-Mainstream Calculus: (Table FY.2)

Non-Mainstream Calculus is the flavor of calculus that is not a part of the calculus sequence for mathematical and physical science majors, and tends to be for business, social science, or life science majors. Non-Mainstream Calculus I had (non-distance learning) enrollment in fall 2015 of roughly 91,000 (SE 10,500), down slightly from the fall 2010 estimate of 99,000, and from the fall 2005 estimate of 108,000 (Chapter 1, Table S.6); the fall 1995 estimate was 97,000 (CBMS2005, Chapter 1, Table S.8, p. 19). By Table FY.2, which breaks down Table S. 6 of Chapter 1 by level of department, and comparing to CBMS2010, Table FY.5, p. 121, we see that the Non-Mainstream estimated enrollments in fall 2015 were distributed roughly the same way in fall 2015 as in fall 2010, with $63 \%$ of the enrollments at the doctoral-level departments in fall 2015.

Non-Mainstream Calculus II, III, etc. had (non-distance learning) enrollment in fall 2015 of roughly 16,000 (SE 4,300) (Chapter 1, Table S.6). The fall 2015 estimate was halfway between the 2005 estimate of 10,000 and the 2010 estimate of 22,000 (Chapter 1, Table S.6), and the 1995 survey reported estimated enrollments of 14,000 (CBMS2005, Chapter 1, Table S.8, p.19). By Table FY. 2 the estimated enrollment in Non-Mainstream Calculus II, III, etc. declined 50\% (4 SEs) from fall 2010 to fall 2015 at the doctoral-level departments, and declined $80 \%$ ( 7 SEs ) at the bache-lors-level departments; the masters-level departments reported the largest estimated enrollments.
TABLE FY. 2 Percentage of sections (excluding distance-learning sections) in Non-Mainstream Calculus I and in Non-Mainstream II, III, etc. taught by various types of instructors in mathematics departments in fall 2015, by size of sections and type of department. Also average section size and enrollments (not including distance-learning enrollments). This table can be compared to Table FY.5, p. 121 in CBMS2010.

Note: 0 means less than one half of $1 \%$ in columns 1 through 18 . Inconsistences in row and column sums are due to round-off. NA = Not applicable.
${ }^{1}$ In 2010, the CBMS survey added the word "permanent" to the description "tenured/tenure eligible" that was used previously. In 2015 the word "permanent" was deleted.


## 乙 Graduate teaching assistants <br> Part-time
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FIGURE FY.2.1 Percentage of sections (excluding distance-learning sections) in Non-Mainstream Calculus I in four-year mathematics departments taught by various kinds of instructors, by type of department in fall 2015. (Deficits from 100\% represent unknown instructors.) This Figure can be compared to Figure FY.5.1, p. 122, in CBMS2010.

The estimated enrollments in each of the three formats described above for Mainstream Calculus I are broken down by the level of the mathematics department for Non-Mainstream Calculus I in Table FY.2. Table FY. 2 can be compared to Table FY.5, p. 121 in CBMS2010, where course sections were broken down slightly differently. From fall 2010 to fall 2015, the enrollments in the lecture/recitation format of Non-Mainstream Calculus I at bachelors-level departments appeared to be declining (from 2010 to 2015 down 80\% (13 SEs)).

In comparing fall 2015 Non-Mainstream Calculus estimated enrollments to those obtained in fall 2010, one should keep in mind that there was an error in the 2010 questionnaire. The questionnaire asked for enrollments in Non-Mainstream Calculus I (broken down by three formats), followed by a request for "Non-Mainstream Calculus I, II, III, etc." enrollments (not broken down by formats). The intention had been to combine all Non-Mainstream Calculus enrollments above Non-Mainstream Calculus I (as was done in 2015), and hence Non-Mainstream Calculus I should not have been included in the second list of courses. From other data provided, it was clear that some departments listed Non-Mainstream Calculus I enrollments in both rows, and looking at the data, and with some follow-up correspondence with some of the departments, the data was interpreted
as best it could be. The 2010 enrollment data on Non-Mainstream Calculus II, III, etc., as interpreted, showed that the Non-Mainstream Calculus II, III, etc. enrollment (excluding distance learning courses) of roughly 22,000 in fall 2010 was double the fall 2005 enrollment (excluding distance learning courses) in Non-Mainstream Calculus II (CBMS2005, Table S.8, p.19). The fall 2015 estimate was 15,000, suggesting that the 2010 estimate was too large.

More information about Calculus instruction can be found in the MAA Progress Through Calculus National Survey Summary [MAA:PtC].

## Introductory Statistics: (Table FY.3, FY. 4 and FY.9)

The 2015 four-year mathematics CBMS questionnaire listed five introductory statistics courses for non-majors/minors: (F1) Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisite), (F2) Introductory Statistics (calculus prerequisite), (F3) statistics for pre-service elementary (K-5) or middle grade (6-8) teachers, (F4) statistics for pre-service secondary teachers, and (F5) other introductory probability and statistics courses. Courses (F3) and (F4) were included in the CBMS mathematics survey for the first time in 2015, and the 2010 CBMS mathematics questionnaire included a course (F3) titled Probability and Statistics (no calculus prerequisite) that was deleted from the 2015
TABLE FY. 3 Percentage of sections (excluding distance-learning sections) in Introductory Statistics courses (for non-majors) taught by various types of instructors in mathematics departments in fall 2015, by size of sections and type of department. Also average section size and enrollments (not including distance-learning enrollments). Comparable 2010 data is in CBMS2010, Table FY.6, p. 123.

|  | Percentage of sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ tenureeligible ${ }^{1}$ \% |  |  | Other full-time \% |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Part-time } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Graduate teaching assistants \% |  |  | Unknown \% |  |  | Average <br> Section <br> Size |  |  | Enrollment(1000s) |  |  |
| Course \& Mathematics Department Type | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA |
| Introductory Statistics (F1) (non-Calculus) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lecture with separate recitation |  | 49 | 43 |  | 39 | 19 |  | 8 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 4 |  | 141 | 41 | 31 | 15 | 9 |  |
| Sections that meet as a class | 13 | 46 | 42 | 31 | 38 | 16 |  | 16 | 34 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 8 | 30 | 39 | 26 | 26 | 34 | 85 |
| Other sections | 9 | NA | 38 | 91 | NA | 49 | 0 | NA | 13 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 2 | NA | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Introductory Statistics (non-Calculus) | 13 | 46 | 42 | 34 | 38 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 32 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 9 | 42 | 39 | 27 | 41 | 43 | 104 |
| Introductory Statistics (F2) (Calculus prerequisite for non-majors/minors) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lecture with separate recitation | 54 | 86 | 41 | 29 | 7 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 53 | 79 | 27 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| Sections that meet as a class |  | 71 | 69 | 24 | 11 | 11 |  | 17 | 12 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 33 | 31 | 27 | 5 | 8 | 11 |
| Other sections | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 34 | NA | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Introductory Statistics (Calculus) | 43 | 74 | 63 | 24 | 10 | 8 | 15 | 14 | 22 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 37 | 40 | 27 | 7 | 13 | 14 |
| Statistics for Pre-service Teachers (F3,F4) | 23 | 76 | 29 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 27 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 23 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Probability \& Statistics (non-Calculus) (F5) | 46 | 32 | 27 | 0 | 34 | 31 | 54 | 13 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 13 | 34 | 38 | 31 | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| Total, all introductory statistics courses for non-majors | 20 | 52 | 44 | 30 | 31 | 16 |  | 14 | 30 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 9 | 40 | 39 | 27 | 53 | 58 | 123 |

Note: $0 \%$ means less than one half of $1 \%$. 0 enrollment means under 500 . Some row and column sums appear inconsistent due to round-off. NA = Not applicable.



FIGURE FY.3.1 Percentage of sections (excluding distance-learning sections) in Introductory Statistics (non-Calculus) in four-year mathematics departments, by type of instructor and type of department in Fall 2015. (Deficits from 100\% represent unknown instructors.) This Figure can be compared to Flgure FY.6.1, p. 124, in CBMS2010.
list of courses. The list of introductory courses in CBMS 2015 questionnaire for statistics departments was the same list as on the mathematics department questionnaire; on the statistics department questionnaire these courses were labelled (E1)-(E5) (the list of introductory courses on the statistics department questionnaire was the same in the 2010 and 2015 CBMS surveys). Courses (F2) and (E2), introductory statistics courses for non-majors with a calculus prerequisite, were added to the list of courses in the CBMS surveys in 2010. By Table FY.3, in fall 2015, in mathematics departments, course (F2) had 15\% of the enrollments in courses (F1) and (F2), combined, while in statistics departments, by Table FY.4, course (E2) had $22 \%$ of the enrollments in courses (E1) and (E2) combined.

From Figure F.2.3 in Chapter 1 we see that statistics enrollments have been steadily increasing in four-year and two-year mathematics departments, and in statistics departments; statistics enrollments grew sharply from 2005 to 2010, and grew, but less rapidly, from 2010 to 2015; see also Chapter 3, Table E. 2 (Table E. 2 includes distance learning enrollments) that shows that the enrollment growth in introductory statistics occurred at the doctoral and masters-level mathematics departments, and the doctoral-level statistics departments (see also Chapter 3, Figure E.2.3).

The estimated total enrollment in courses (F1)-(F5) in four-year mathematics departments, in fall 2015, was $235,000(\mathrm{SE} \mathrm{19,000)}$ (Chapter 1, Table S.4). The
estimated total enrollment in courses (F1)-(F4) on the CBMS2010 four-year mathematics questionnaire (these courses do not have all the same titles in 2010 and 2015), in fall 2010, was 218,000 (Chapter 1, Table S.4). Comparing the estimated enrollments in course (F1), which had the same description in the 2005, 2010 and 2015 surveys, we see by Chapter 1, Table S. 7 that (F1) enrollment was estimated at 122,000 in 2005, 174,000 in 2010, and 188,000 (SE 15,100) in 2015, while course (F2), which appeared with the same description in 2010 and 2015, had an estimated enrollment of 23,000 in 2010 and 34,000 in 2015 (SE 5,790). Table FY.3, which breaks down Chapter 1, Table S.7, by level of department, shows that, in fall 2015, slightly over half of the total of all the introductory statistics courses estimated enrollments in four-year mathematics department occurred at the bachelors-level departments, particularly course (F1), where an estimated $104,000(\mathrm{SE} 11,500)$ of the estimated 188,000 four-year mathematics department enrollments occurred (55\%). By CBMS2010, Table FY.6, p.123, in fall 2010, bachelors-level departments taught 63\% of the enrollments in courses (F1) at four-year mathematics departments. In fall 2015, bachelors-level mathematics departments enrolled an estimated 123,000 ( $\mathrm{SE} 12,900$ ) students in all the introductory-level statistics courses (Table FY.3), while in fall 2010, the estimate was 130,000 (CBMS2010, Appendix I, Table A. 2 p. 189).
TABLE FY. 4 Percentage of sections (excluding distance-learning sections) in Introductory Statistics courses (for non-majors) taught by various types of instructors in statistics departments in fall 2015, by size of sections and type of department. Also average section size and total (non-distance-learning) enrollments. This table can be compared to Table FY.9, p. 129, in CBMS2010.

|  | Percentage of sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ten tenure | d/ gible ${ }^{1}$ | Otherfull-time(with PhD)$\%$ |  | Otherfull-time(without PhD)$\%$ |  | Part-time\% |  | Graduate teaching assistants \% |  | Unknown\% |  | Average <br> Section <br> Size |  | Enrollment(1000s) |  |
| Course \& Statistics Department Type | PhD | MA | PhD | MA | PhD | MA | PhD | MA | PhD | MA | PhD | MA | PhD | MA | PhD | MA |
| Introductory Statistics (non-Calculus for nonmajors/minors ) (E1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lecture with separate recitation | 6 | 8 | 9 | 26 | 9 | 18 | 6 | 21 | 38 | 3 | 32 | 26 | 57 | 96 | 35 | 5 |
| Sections that meet as a class | 17 | 40 | 16 | 4 | 9 | 35 | 11 | 15 | 41 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 66 | 53 | 18 | 7 |
| Other sections | 0 | NA | 3 |  | 3 | NA | 42 | NA | 52 | NA | 0 | NA | 20 | NA | 1 | 0 |
| Total Introductory Statistics (non-Calculus) | 9 | 31 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 30 | 9 | 16 | 40 | 1 | 23 | 11 | 58 | 65 | 54 | 12 |
| Introductory Statistics (Calculus prerequisite for non-majors/minors ) (E2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lecture with separate recitation | 14 | 17 | 24 | 17 | 7 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 27 | 58 | 73 | 57 | 10 | 1 |
| Sections that meet as a class | 31 | 41 | 22 | 0 | 6 | 48 | 8 | 4 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 54 | 68 | 5 | 2 |
| Other sections | 5 | NA | 33 | NA | 2 | NA | 0 | NA | 60 | NA | 0 | NA | 26 | NA | 1 | 0 |
| Total Introductory Statistics (Calculus) | 18 | 33 | 25 | 5 | 6 | 36 | 9 | 3 | 29 | 0 | 14 | 23 | 59 | 65 | 16 | 3 |
| Statistics for Pre-service Teachers (E3,E4) | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Probability \& Statistics (non-Calculus) (E5) | 6 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 102 | 40 | 4 | 0 |
| Total, all Introductory Probability \& Statistics courses | 11 | 31 | 14 | 9 | 8 | 32 | 9 | 14 | 37 | 1 | 21 | 13 | 59 | 65 | 74 | 15 |

Note: $0 \%$ means less than one half of $1 \%$. 0 enrollment means under 500 . Row and column sums may appear inconsistent due to round-off. NA = Not applicable.
${ }^{1}$ In 2010, the CBMS survey added the word "permanent" to the description "tenured/tenure eligible" that was used previously. In 2015, the word "permanent" was deleted.
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FIGURE FY.4.1 Percentage of sections (excluding distance-learning sections) in Introductory Statistics (nonCalculus) taught in statistics departments in fall 2015, by type of instructor and type of department. (Deficits from $100 \%$ represent unknown instructors). This Figure can be compared to Figure FY.9.1, p. 128, in CBMS2010.

Estimated enrollments in courses (F1) and (F2) were also broken down by the format of the section (lecture/recitation, sections that meet as a class, and other), a different format breakdown than in the 2010 survey. By Table FY.3, in mathematics departments, in fall 2015, across all levels of departments combined, $22 \%$ of the (F1) estimated enrollments were in the lecture/recitation format, and the bach-elors-level departments had the greatest number of these enrollments. Comparing Table FY. 3 to Table FY.6, p. 123 of CBMS2010, we see that enrollments in the lecture/recitation format sections of course (F1) at doctoral-level mathematics department increased (from 6,000 in 2010 ( $16 \%$ of total enrollments) to $15,000(\mathrm{SE} 4,600)$ in 2015 ( $37 \%$ of total enrollments)), while enrollments in the lecture/recitation format sections of course (F1) at bachelors-level mathematics departments decreased (from 34,000 in 2010 (31\% of total enrollments) to 18,000 (SE 3,200) in 2015 (17\% of total enrollments)).

The estimated total enrollment in courses (E1)-(E5) in statistics departments, in fall 2015, was 90,000 (SE 3,000) (Chapter 1, Table S.8). The estimated total enrollment in courses (E1)-(E5) at statistics departments, in fall 2010, was 77,000 (SE 4,700) (CBMS2010, Appendix I, Table A.2, p. 189). Hence the estimated enrollment in introductory courses for non-majors/ minors in statistics departments has increased 17\% (4.3 SEs) from 2010 to 2015 . The 2005 estimated
enrollment was 53,000, and hence enrollments in 2015 increased 70\% (12 SEs) from 2005.

Comparing the estimated enrollments in courses (E1) and (E2), we see, by Chapter 1, Table S.8, that (E1) enrollment was estimated at 42,000 in 2005, 56,000 in 2010, and 66,000 (SE 2,000) in 2015; hence estimated enrollments in course (E1) taught in statistics departments were up by 26\% (6 SEs) over 2010. Course (E2) had an estimated enrollment of 16,000 in 2010 and 20,000 in 2015 (SE 1,000). Table FY. 4 breaks down Chapter 1, Table S.8, by level of department, and shows that, in fall 2015, an estimated $82 \%$ of introductory statistics courses were taught at the doctoral-level statistics departments.

In fall 2015, in mathematics departments, where the majority of enrollments are taught at the bache-lors-level departments, by Table FY.3, across all levels of departments combined, an estimated $22 \%$ of the enrollments in Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisite) (course (F1)) were taught in lecture/ recitation format and $78 \%$ were taught in sections that meet as a class, whereas in statistics departments, by Table FY.4, an estimated 61\% of the analogous course (E1) were taught in lecture/recitation format and 38\% were taught in sections that meet as a class.

Finally, a new question included on the 2015 CBMS surveys of four-year mathematics and statistics departments asked responders to estimate the number of enrollments at their institution in Introductory Statistics courses (no calculus prerequisite) taught

TABLE FY. 5 Percentage of mathematics departments using various practices in the teaching of Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisite) in fall 2015 by type of department. This table can be compared to Table FY.7, p. 125, in CBMS2010.

|  | Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Univ (PhD) | Univ (MA) | College (BA) | All Depts. Combined |
| Percentage of departments that offer Introductory Statistics with no calculus prerequisite | 50 | 78 | 83 | 78 |
| Number of different kinds of introductory statistics courses for non-majors with no calculus prerequisite <br> 1 <br> 2 <br> 3 <br> More than 3 | $\begin{gathered} 61 \\ 35 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69 \\ 23 \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74 \\ 23 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ 24 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| Of those that offer the course, the percentage of departments in which the majority of sections use real data for the following percentages of class sessions: $\begin{gathered} 0-20 \% \\ 21-40 \% \\ 41-60 \% \\ 61-80 \% \\ 81-100 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 13 \\ & 26 \\ & 12 \\ & 29 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ 31 \\ 19 \\ 2 \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 23 \\ & 18 \\ & 14 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 23 \\ & 19 \\ & 12 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| Percentage of departments where the majority of sections use in-class demonstrations in the following percentages of class sessions: $\begin{gathered} 0-20 \% \\ 21-40 \% \\ 41-60 \% \\ 61-80 \% \\ 81-100 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 26 \\ & 20 \\ & 16 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ 17 \\ 33 \\ 17 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 22 \\ & 21 \\ & 17 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 22 \\ & 23 \\ & 17 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| Percentage of departments using the following kinds of technology in the majority of sections: <br> Graphing calculators <br> Statistical packages <br> Educational software <br> Applets <br> Spreadsheets <br> Web-based resources <br> Classroom response systems <br> Online textbooks <br> Online videos | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ 48 \\ 29 \\ 16 \\ 66 \\ 42 \\ 4 \\ 41 \\ 26 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \\ & 64 \\ & 55 \\ & 30 \\ & 72 \\ & 65 \\ & 12 \\ & 48 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ 45 \\ 52 \\ 24 \\ 67 \\ 49 \\ 6 \\ 39 \\ 32 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ 48 \\ 50 \\ 24 \\ 68 \\ 50 \\ 6 \\ 41 \\ 31 \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage of departments where the majority of sections require assessments beyond homework, exams, and quizzes | 19 | 22 | 45 | 39 |

TABLE FY. 6 Percentage of statistics departments using various practices in the teaching of Introductory Statistics for non-majors/minors (no calculus prerequisite) in fall 2015 by type of department. This table can be compared to Table FY.8, p. 127, in CBMS2010.

|  | Statistics Departments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Univ (PhD) | Univ (MA) | All Depts. Combined |
| Percentage of departments that offer Introductory Statistics for non-majors/minors with no calculus prerequisite | 97 | 85 | 94 |
| Number of different kinds of introductory statistics courses for non-majors with no calculus prerequisite <br> 1 <br> 2 <br> 3 <br> More than 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 26 \\ & 21 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 23 \\ & 23 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 26 \\ & 22 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| Of those that offer the course, the percentage of departments in which the majority of sections use real data the following percentages of the time: $\begin{gathered} 0-20 \% \\ 21-40 \% \\ 41-60 \% \\ 61-80 \% \\ 81-100 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 12 \\ & 16 \\ & 16 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & 10 \\ & 40 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 14 \\ & 15 \\ & 21 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ |
| Percentage of departments where the majority of sections use in-class demonstrations in the following percentages of class sessions: $\begin{aligned} & 0-20 \% \\ & 21-40 \% \\ & 41-60 \% \\ & 61-80 \% \\ & 81-100 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 7 \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 40 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ $20$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ 23 \\ 21 \\ 5 \\ 39 \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage of departments using following kinds of technology in the majority of sections <br> Graphing calculators <br> Statistical packages <br> Educational software <br> Applets <br> Spreadsheets <br> Web-based resources <br> Classroom response systems <br> Online textbooks <br> Online videos | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & 65 \\ & 53 \\ & 45 \\ & 52 \\ & 74 \\ & 55 \\ & 51 \\ & 38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 75 \\ & 55 \\ & 27 \\ & 64 \\ & 45 \\ & 33 \\ & 45 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \\ & 68 \\ & 53 \\ & 41 \\ & 55 \\ & 68 \\ & 50 \\ & 50 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ |
| Percentage of departments where the majority of sections require assessments beyond homework, exams, and quizzes | 35 | 25 | 32 |

TABLE FY. 7 Of departments that offered Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisite) in fall 2015, the percentage that cover the following topics, by type of department.

|  | Mathematics Depts |  |  |  | Statistics Depts |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Univ <br> (PhD) | Univ <br> (MA) | College | (BA) | Total | Univ <br> (PhD) | Univ <br> (MA) | Total |
| Conditional probability | 92 | 90 | 72 | 76 | 85 | 75 | 83 |  |
| Simulation to explore randomness | 50 | 84 | 45 | 51 | 76 | 67 | 73 |  |
| Resampling techniques | 9 | 34 | 21 | 22 | 50 | 8 | 39 |  |

outside of the mathematical sciences departments. These estimates are summarized in Table FY.9, which is broken down by level of department, and used to project national enrollments outside of mathematical science departments. The estimates obtained from statistics departments are from colleges with separate statistics departments; as such colleges would be expected to also have mathematics departments, adding the estimates in FY. 9 obtained for both types of departments together would result in duplicating the counts of some students. However, using these crude estimates suggests that there may have been a little less than 100,000 such enrollments in introductory statistics courses taught outside of mathematical sciences departments; this estimate can be compared to the estimates from Chapter 1, Table S.2: 627,000 enrollments in introductory statistics courses across all mathematical sciences departments (including distance learning enrollments), of these, 280,000 (SE 60,000 ) occurred at two-year colleges $(45 \%), 253,000$ (SE 20,000) at four-year mathematics departments (40\%), and 94,000 (SE 3,000) at statistics departments (15\%).

## B. Appointment Type of First Year Course Instructors (Tables FY.1-FY.4, FY.8)

Each CBMS survey report has attempted to answer the question: "who is teaching the course?" The CBMS 2015 survey divided faculty at four-year institutions into four categories: tenured or tenure-eligible (TTE), other full-time faculty (OFT) who are full-time but not TTE (including postdocs and faculty with renewable appointments), part-time faculty (PT), and graduate teaching assistants (GTAs). A course was to be reported as being taught by a GTA if and only if the GTA was the "instructor of record" for the course. GTAs who ran discussion or recitation sections as part of a lecture/recitation course were not included in this category.

Related data has been presented in earlier chapters. Chapter 1, Table S.4, gave the estimated percentages of course instructors at each appointment type,
who were teaching the various levels of mathematics and statistics courses in fall 2005, 2010 and 2015, while Chapter 1 Table S. 5 (Mainstream Calculus), Table S. 6 (Non-Mainstream Calculus), Table S. 7 (introductory-level statistics courses in mathematics departments), and Table S. 8 (introductory-level statistics courses in statistics departments) gave the percentages of the appointment type of instructors, broken down by the format of the course (lecture/ recitation, sections that meet as a class, and other) in fall 2015, and the percentages of the appointment types over all sections of the course for fall 2005, 2010, and 2015. In Chapter 3, Table E. 5 (calcu-lus-level courses), Table E. 6 (introductory statistics courses), Table E. 7 (lower-level computer science courses), Table E. 8 (middle-level computer science courses), and Table E. 9 (advanced-level mathematics and statistics courses), gave the estimated number of sections taught by each appointment type of course instructors in fall 2010 and fall 2015. In this chapter, data on first-year courses will be broken down by course, section format, and the level of the department.

As was noted in Chapter 1, in CBMS surveys of four-year departments, prior to 2010 the TTE category was labeled "tenured/ tenure-eligible" on the survey questionnaire. In the 2010 survey the word "permanent" was an added description, since the instructions for the questionnaire told departments at institutions that did not recognize tenure (estimated at 7.9\% (SE 2.5) of all four-year mathematics departments in the CBMS 2015 survey) to place permanent faculty in the TTE category. In the 2010 survey, the addition to of the label "permanent" to the description of the TTE category on the questionnaire may have led some respondents to add to the TTE category instructors who should have been classified as OFT instructors, namely those instructors at institutions that DO recognize tenure, who have teaching positions that are regarded as permanent, although these faculty do not have tenure and are not eligible for tenure. The 2010 survey instructions did not define "permanent" beyond the situation where the institution does not
recognize tenure, and it seems quite possible that some departments interpreted "permanent faculty" to have this additional meaning, and some of the data in 2010 suggested that some faculty who should have been counted as OFT were listed as TTE because they were "permanent". Hence, the word "permanent" was deleted from the TTE description on the 2015 instrument (returning to the description used in 2005 and previously), and this change may explain some of the decrease in the estimated numbers of TTE faculty (and increase in OFT faculty) in the tables observed from 2010 to 2015.

The 2015 CBMS survey followed the practice established in the 2005 survey of presenting findings in terms of percentages of "sections" offered in four-year institutions (in CBMS2000 and earlier, the data were presented in terms of percentages of enrollments). In analyzing the 2010 survey data, it seemed that the notion of "section" varied somewhat among different departments, particularly for lower-level classes that were taught with a laboratory component. A further, and possibly related problem, experienced in the 2015 survey, was the inconsistent numbers of faculty and sections reported by some departments; this problem had occurred in past surveys, and was resolved by creating the category of "unknown" instructors. The 2015 survey defined more clearly what constitutes a "section", and provided a place to enter enrollments that were not taught in either the lecture/recitation or the sections that meet as a class format. Further, the 2015 survey collected data on the rank of the instructor for only calculus-level mathematics classes, introductory statistics classes, and computer science classes; no data on the rank of the instructor in precollege or introductory-level mathematics classes was collected; in advanced-level mathematics and statistics classes, the survey gathered the number of sections with a TTE instructor, and listed the rest as "other".

## Mainstream Calculus: (Table FY.1)

Table FY. 1 presents data on the appointment type of the instructor in Mainstream Calculus I and II in fall 2015; the data for Mainstream Calculus I, broken down by level of department, is displayed in Figure FY.1.1. These data can be compared with CBMS2010, Table FY.3, p. 119, and Figure FY.3.1, p. 120. For Mainstream Calculus I, at doctoral-level mathematics departments, over all formats of the sections combined, an estimated 27\% (SE 1.8) of sections were taught by TTE faculty (compared to $31 \%$ in 2010), while at masters-level departments $44 \%$ (SE 6.3) of the sections were taught by TTE faculty (compared with 63\% in 2010), and at bachelors-level departments $72 \%$ (SE 3.7) were taught by TTE faculty (compared with $63 \%$ in 2010). Of the Mainstream Calculus I sections taught using the lecture/recitation format, in
doctoral-level departments, the estimated percentage of sections that were taught by TTE faculty in fall 2015 was $28 \%$ (SE 3.6), about the same as in fall 2010, but in masters-level departments, in fall 2015, was $32 \%$ (SE 3.7) (compared with $82 \%$ in fall 2010), and in bachelors-level departments, in fall 2015, was $75 \%$ (SE 4.8) (compared with $50 \%$ in fall 2010). With the overall growth in numbers of OFT faculty, the estimated percentage of sections of Mainstream Calculus I taught by OFT faculty, across all formats combined, increased at doctoral and masters-level mathematics departments from fall 2010 to fall 2015: at doctoral level departments it was 38\% (SE 1.8) in 2015 (compared 30\% in 2010), and at masters-level departments it was $25 \%$ (SE 6.3) in 2015 (compared to $13 \%$ in 2010). The estimated percentage of sections taught by PT faculty was about the same in 2010 and 2015 at doctoral- and masters-level departments, and decreased at bachelors-level department. The estimated percentage of sections of Mainstream Calculus I at doctoral-level mathematics departments taught by GTAs, in fall 2015, across all formats combined, was 19\% (SE 4.2), about the same as in fall 2010.

Table FY. 1 also shows that the estimated distribution of appointment types of faculty teaching Mainstream Calculus II in fall 2015 was similar to that in fall 2010, except at the ranks of TTE and OFT faculty at doctoral-level departments. At doctor-al-level departments, in fall 2015, across all formats combined, an estimated 30\% (SE 2.9) of Mainstream Calculus II sections were taught by TTE faculty (compared with $45 \%$ in 2010), and an estimated $44 \%$ (SE 2.1) of Mainstream Calculus II sections were taught by OFT faculty (compared with $26 \%$ in 2010). The fall 2010 estimates can be found at CBMS2010, Table FY.3, p. 119.

For further discussion of the declining number of TTE faculty teaching Calculus, see David Bressoud's Launchings blog http://launchings.blogspot.com/ for October 2017.

## Non-Mainstream Calculus: (Table FY.2)

Table FY. 2 presents data on the appointment type of instructors of Non-Mainstream Calculus, and Figure FY.2.1 displays the estimated percentages of various appointment types of faculty teaching Non-Mainstream Calculus I, in fall 2015, broken down by level of department. At the doctoral-level departments, in fall 2015, an estimated $17 \%$ (SE 3.1) of the sections of Non-Mainstream Calculus I were taught by TTE faculty (compared to $22 \%$ in 2010), while at the bachelors and masters-level this percentage was about $40 \%$; these estimated percentages are not very different from those estimated in 2010. The estimated percentages of sections of Non-Mainstream Calculus I taught by OFT faculty were about the same in 2015 as in 2010 at doctoral-level depart-
ments, but slightly larger in 2015 than in 2010 at the masters and bachelors-level departments. At doctor-al-level departments GTA's taught 35\% (SE 6.2) of the sections of Non-Mainstream Calculus I (compared to $25 \%$ in 2010), across all formats, almost double the percentage of GTAs teaching Mainstream Calculus I. Table FY. 2 and Figure FY.2.1 can be compared to CBMS2010, Table FY.5, p. 121 and Figure FY.5.1, p. 122.

## Introductory Statistics (Tables FY.3, FY.4, and FY.8)

Table FY. 3 presents data on the appointment type of the instructors in the five introductory statistics courses in mathematics departments of four-year colleges and universities, in fall 2015; the estimated percentages of sections of Introduction Statistics (no calculus prerequisite (course (F1)) taught by various appointment types of mathematics faculty, broken down by level of the mathematics department are displayed in Figure FY.3.1. Table FY. 3 can be compared to CBMS2010, Table FY.6, p. 123, which presents data on a slightly different set of courses, using slightly different formats. The percentage of sections of Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisite (course (F1) on the questionnaire)), across all formats combined, taught by TTE faculty declined slightly at each level of mathematics department from fall 2010 to fall 2015: at doctoral-level departments, in fall 2015, an estimated $13 \%$ (SE 3.4) of sections were taught by TTE faculty (the 2010 estimate was $22 \%$ ), at masters-level departments the fall 2015 percentage was $46 \%$ (SE 5) (the 2010 estimate was $50 \%$ ), and at bachelors-level departments the fall 2015 percentage was $42 \%$ (SE 3.3) (the 2010 estimate was $49 \%$ ). Table FY.3. and Figure FY.3. 1 can be compared to Table FY. 4 and Figure FY.4.1, which presents the same data for courses taught in statistics departments. At doctoral-
level mathematics departments, in fall 2015, by Table FY. 3 an estimated $21 \%$ (SE 6.9) of the sections of Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisitecourse (F1) on the mathematics questionnaire), across all formats combined, were taught by GTAs, compared to $29 \%$ in Fall 2010; Table FY. 4 shows that in statistics departments, in fall 2015, this percentage for course (E1) on the statistics questionnaire was $40 \%$ (SE 2.9) ( $24 \%$ in fall 2010 by CBMS2010 Table FY.9, p. 129). Further, the estimated percentage of sections of Introductory Statistics (course (F1)) in doctoral-level mathematics departments, in fall 2015, taught by OFT faculty was 34\% (SE 7.1), and in doctoral-level statistics departments the estimated percentage of sections of course (E1) taught by OFT faculty, in fall 2015, was 20\% (note that in Table FY. 4 OFT statistics faculty are divided into those with a Ph.D., and those without a Ph.D.).

Table FY. 4 presents data concerning the appointment type of the instructor of the five introductory statistics courses (courses (E1)-(E5) on the statistics questionnaire) taught in statistics departments, in fall 2015; the estimated percentages of sections of Introduction Statistics (no calculus prerequisite (course (E1)) taught by various appointment types of faculty, broken down by level of department, are displayed in Figure FY.4.1. The data show that, in fall 2015, at doctoral-level departments, the largest percentage of sections was taught by GTAs. In Table FY.4, the OFT faculty are broken down into those with a Ph.D., and those without a Ph.D. In the course, Introductory Statistics (calculus prerequisite (courses (E2)), there was less use of GTAs than in course (E1); at the doctoral-level statistics departments, an estimated $18 \%$ (SE 2.4) of sections for course (E2) were taught by TTE faculty, $31 \%$ of sections by OFT faculty (25\% (SE 2.2) of sections by OFT faculty with a Ph.D.),

TABLE FY. 8 Of mathematics departments that offered Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisite) in fall 2015, the percentage whose instructors typically received the following highest degree in statistics, by type of mathematics department.

|  | No graduate degree <br> in statistics | Masters degree <br> in statistics | PhD degree in <br> statistics |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |
| Univ (PhD) | 52 | 29 | 18 |
| Univ (MA) | 48 | 35 | 17 |
| Coll (BA) | 68 | 18 | 14 |
| Total Math Depts | 64 | 21 | 15 |

and 29\% (SE 3.3) by GTAs. This data can be compared to the data obtained in fall 2010 (CBMS2010 Table FY.9, p. 129), which shows that for course (E2), a greater percentage of sections were taught by GTAs and by OFT faculty, and a smaller percentage by TTE faculty, in fall 2015 than in fall 2010 in doctoral-level statistics departments.

The 2015 CBMS survey questionnaire for four-year mathematics departments contained a new additional question inquiring about the highest degree in statistics held by mathematics faculty teaching Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisite (course (F1)). Departments were asked the following: "the instructors teaching introductory statistics course (F1) typically have received the following highest degree in statistics (check one): no graduate degree, a Master's degree, or a Ph.D." The responses from this question are summarized in Table FY.8, which is broken down by level of department. Over all mathematics departments combined (and very close to the estimates at the bachelors-level departments, where there are the most enrollments, and relatively consistently across the three different levels of departments), an estimated 64\% (SE 4.5) had no graduate degree in statistics, 21\% (SE 4.4) had a Master's degree in statistics, and 15\% (SE 3.5) had a Ph.D. in statistics.

## C. Average Section Sizes (Tables FY.1-FY.4)

The tables FY.1-FY. 4 also contain the average section sizes for each of the courses discussed above, broken down by the level of the department, and by the format of the class. The average size of Mainstream Calculus I sections increased slightly at the doctoral and masters-level departments from fall 2010 to fall 2015; for example, by Table FY.1, at doctoral-level mathematics departments, in fall 2015, the average lecture section enrolled an estimated 98 (SE 7.6) students, compared to 71 students in fall 2010 (CBMS2010, Table FY.3, p. 119). The estimated average size of Mainstream Calculus I sections, over all formats, in fall 2015, was 60 (SE 5.0) at the doctor-al-level departments, 38 (SE 6.8) at the masters-level departments, and 24 (SE 0.8) at the bachelors-level departments. The average size of Mainstream Calculus II sections was generally about the same size as Mainstream Calculus I sections.

By Table FY. 2 the estimated average sizes of Non-Mainstream Calculus I and II sections in fall 2015 were quite similar to that of Mainstream Calculus I and II, and also very nearly that observed in fall 2010 (CBMS2010, Table FY.5, p. 121). Non-Mainstream Calculus I at doctoral-level departments in the "other" (not lecture/recitation or sections that meet as a class) format, in fall 2015, had an estimated average section size of 61 (SE 37.3) (compared to an estimated 32 (SE 1.7) for the Mainstream version), suggesting that, at some doctoral-level mathematics departments,
perhaps some different kinds of format were used for larger groups of students in some Non-Mainstream calculus sections.

The estimated average sizes of introductory statistics sections taught in mathematics departments, in fall 2015, are given in Table FY.3, and were about the same sizes as the estimates for Mainstream Calculus I sections. One anomaly is Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisite (courses (F1)) at the doctor-al-level mathematics departments, where the average size of lecture sections is estimated at 141 students (SE 24.5). In fall 2015, the estimated average sizes of introductory statistics sections taught in statistics departments were slightly larger than the average sizes of the corresponding courses/formats sections in mathematics departments; for example, by Table FY.3, the estimated average size of sections of course (F1) in doctoral-level mathematics departments over all formats combined, in fall 2015, was 42 (SE 3.7), and, by Table FY.4, the estimated average section size of the corresponding course (E1) in doctoral-level statistics departments over all formats combined was 58 (SE 2.6). By Table FY.4, at doctoral-level statistics departments, in fall 2015, the estimated average section size of Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisite (course (E.1)) in lecture format was 57 (SE 3.7) and in the sections that meet as a class format the estimated average section size was 66 (SE 3.0).

## D. Pedagogy in Introductory Statistics (Tables FY.5, FY.6, and FY.7)

As we have noted, statistics course enrollments have increased in two-year and four-year mathematics departments, and in statistics departments. There has been considerable interest in how these courses are taught, particularly since they are taught primarily outside of statistics departments, and since the focus of these courses has been shifting from an emphasis on probability theory to the analysis of data (see e.g. [GAISE\}, [Moore]). The CBMS 2015 survey pedagogy questions focused on the statistics course, "Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisite) for non-majors/minors" (course (F1) in the Four-Year Mathematics Questionnaire, and course (E1) in the Four-Year Statistics Questionnaire). The same questions were used in both instruments, so that the results (Table FY. 5 for mathematics departments and Table FY. 6 for statistics departments) can be compared. This data was discussed in Chapter 1, (see Table S. 12 (and Figures S. 12.1 and S.12.2)); in this chapter, Table S .12 is broken down by level of mathematics department in Table FY.5, and by level of statistics department in Table FY.6. Furthermore, these same questions (with some small changes) appeared in the CBMS 2010 survey, and the responses from fall 2010 appear in CBMS2010, Tables FY.7, p. 125, and FY.8, p. 127. The questions in this part of the

TABLE FY. 9 Of departments that offered Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisite) in fall 2015 and where a similar course is offered outside the mathematical sciences departments, the average estimated fall 2015 enrollment of all similar courses and an estimate of the total national enrollment.

|  | Mathematics Depts |  |  |  | Statistics Depts |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Univ <br> (PhD) | Univ <br> (MA) | College <br> (BA) | Total | Univ <br> (PhD) | Univ <br> (MA) |
| Average estimated outside enrollment | 710 | 196 | 68 | 134 | 306 | 496 |
| Estimated outside national enrollment | 34369 | 20217 | 34988 | 89574 | 6038 | 1296 |

Note: The estimates for statistics departments are for colleges with separate statistics departments. Since such colleges would be expected to also have mathematics departments, adding statistics for both types of departments together would result in duplicating the counts of some students.
survey are in Section G of the statistics questionnaire, and in Section H of the mathematics questionnaire (the questionnaires appear in Appendices IV and VI).

Generally, the results of the CBMS survey showed that in fall 2015 (as in fall 2010) statistics departments were making more use than mathematics departments of the current recommendations for teaching introductory statistics including: use of real data, modern technology, applets, classroom response systems (such as clickers), and in-class activities that encourage student involvement. Table FY. 5 shows that at least one version of course (F1) was offered, in fall 2015, at an estimated 50\% (SE 4.5) of the doctorallevel mathematics departments, about 75\% (SE 5.5) of the masters-level mathematics departments, and 83\% (SE 5.8) of the bachelors-level mathematics departments, and each of these percentages is slightly less than estimated in 2010. Table FY. 6 shows that at least one version of course (E1), was offered, in fall 2015, at $97 \%$ (SE 1.6) of the doctoral-level statistics departments and 85\% (SE 5.1) of the masters-level statistics departments, about the same percentages as estimated in 2010. The remaining table entries are percentages of sections from departments that offer these courses. The data in Table FY. 5 and Table FY. 6 are estimates obtained from the survey responder (not the course instructor).

As an addition to the questions asked in the 2010 CBMS survey, in 2015 departments were asked how many different kinds of introductory courses for non-majors with no calculus prerequisite they offered, and from Table FY. 5 we see that, across all levels of mathematics departments combined, in fall 2015, an estimated $72 \%$ (SE 5.4) offered only one such course, and almost none offered more 3 or more such courses. However, in statistics departments, Table FY. 7 shows that, in fall 2015, an estimated $52 \%$ offered three or more such courses. Hence, although we have seen
that mathematics departments had more enrollments in these course than statistics departments had, in fall 2015, statistics departments typically offered more varieties of this course than did mathematics departments.

The survey asked the responder to estimate the percentage of class sessions in most sections, in which real data were used; responders could choose between the percentage intervals: $0-20 \%, 21-40 \%$, $41-60 \%, 61-80 \%$, and 81-100\%. As noted in Chapter 1 , the response chosen most often by mathematics department responders was $0-20 \%$ (chosen by $28 \%$ (SE 6)), whereas in statistics departments, 81-100\% was chosen most often (by 35\% (SE 3)); Chapter 1, Table S. 12 and Figure S. 12.1 display the distributions of the percentages of mathematics and statistics departments that chose each of these intervals. The graph for mathematics departments' responses was skewed toward the lower percentages, whereas the graph for the statistics departments' responses was skewed toward the higher percentages, indicating that these courses taught in statistics departments were more likely to put emphasis on the use of real data, than these courses taught in mathematics departments; the graphs have very similar shapes to those obtained in 2010 [CBMS2010, Figure S.13.A.1, p.31]. In Table FY. 5 the responses in Table S. 12 are broken down by level of mathematics department, and, among doctoral-level departments the interval chosen most often was 81-100\% (chosen by 29\%), among masterslevel departments it was 21-40\% (chosen by 29\%), and among bachelors-level departments it was 0-20\% (chosen by 28\%). By Table FY. 6 among doctoral-level statistics departments, the interval chosen most often was $81-100 \%$ (chosen by $42 \%$ ) and among masterslevel departments it was 61-80\% (chosen by 40\%).

The survey asked the responder to estimate the percentage of class sessions in most sections, in which
in-class demonstrations and/or in-class problem solving activities/discussions took place, with the same interval choices available for responses. As noted in Chapter 1, the distributions are displayed in Figure S.12.2. The distribution for in-class demonstrations/problem solving activities for mathematics departments was roughly bell-shaped, whereas the distribution for statistics department had the largest percentages of responses in the 81-100\% interval; these distributions can be compared to those obtained in 2010 [CBMS2010, Figure S.13.A.2, p. 31]. Tables FY. 5 and FY. 6 break the responses down by level of department, and the three levels of mathematics departments had rather similar responses, whereas the masters-level statistics departments responses were skewed toward the low percentage intervals and the doctoral-level statistics departments were more skewed toward the high percentage intervals. The responses from 2015 are similar to the responses in 2010 (CBMS2010, Tables FY.7, p.125, and FY.8, p. 127).

Departments were asked about the use of the following kinds of technology in most sections of their introductory statistics courses: graphing calculators, statistical packages, educational software, applets, spreadsheets, web-based resources (including data sources or data analysis routines) and classroom response systems (e.g. clickers), online textbooks, and online videos (the last two options were added to the 2015 survey). The percentages of mathematics and statistics departments using each of these kinds of technology, in fall 2015, is given in Chapter 1, Table S.12, and broken down by level of department in Tables FY. 5 and FY.6; these tables can be compared to the responses obtained in 2010 (CBMS2010, FY.7, p. 125, and FY.8, p. 127). The data show that generally less sophisticated technology, like graphing calculators and spreadsheets, were more popular in Introductory Statistics taught in mathematics departments than in statistics departments, but all the other kinds of technology (particularly statistical packages, applets, classroom response systems) were said to be used in higher percentages of statistics departments', rather than in mathematics departments', Introductory Statistics courses. For example, in fall 2015, across all levels of mathematics departments combined, $48 \%$ (SE 5.5) departments were using statistical packages in the majority of their sections, whereas across all levels of statistics departments combined, the estimated percentage was 68\% (SE 3.2). Moreover, in fall 2015, across all levels of mathematics departments combined, 24\% (SE 4.2) were using applets, whereas across all levels of statistics departments combined, the estimated percentage was $41 \%$ (SE 2.8). In fall 2015, across all levels of mathematics departments combined, an estimated 67\% (SE 4.7) of departments were using graphing calculators in the majority of
their sections, whereas, across all levels of statistics departments combined, the estimated percentage was 47\% (SE 3.2). The biggest difference in the responses from mathematics departments in 2015 and 2010 was in the use of educational software. Across all levels of mathematics departments combined, in fall 2015, an estimated $50 \%$ (SE 4.8) departments responded that educational software was used in the majority of the sections of their course (F1), whereas in fall 2010, the estimated percentage was 19\% (the biggest changes occurring at the bachelors and masters-level departments). In statistics departments, there was a smaller percentage of departments using statistical packages in 2015 than in 2010 (estimated 68\% (SE 2.8) of departments in 2015, and $87 \%$ in 2010), and a greater use of classroom response systems (estimated $50 \%$ (SE 3.2) of departments in 2015, and 29\% in 2010). Tables FY. 5 and FY. 6 show that there are some differences across levels of departments; for example, by Table FY. 5 in mathematics departments, in fall 2015, educational software was used in $52 \%$ (SE 5.9) of bachelors-level departments and 55\% (SE 6.7) of masters-level departments, but in only 29\% (SE 6.6) of doctoral-level mathematics departments.

The final question on teaching methods in Introductory Statistics asked each department about the percentage of sections of the course that required assessments beyond homework, tests and quizzes (assessments such as projects, oral presentations or written reports); here the percentages were about the same across all levels of mathematics departments combined, and all levels of statistics departments combined, and may, again be compared to the 2010 survey results, where mathematics departments reported $45 \%$ of sections and statistics departments reported $36 \%$ of sections (CBMS2010, FY.7, p. 125, and FY.8, p. 127). In fall 2015, this percentage was larger at the bachelors-level mathematics departments than at the other levels of mathematics departments: 19\% (SE 5.4) at doctoral-level departments, 22\% (SE 8.1) at masters-level departments, and $45 \%$ (SE 5.8) at bachelors-level departments.

A new question, added to the CBMS 2015 survey, inquired about certain specific topics that might be covered in the Introductory Statistics course ((F1) or (E1)) in fall 2015. Table FY. 7 summarizes the data from mathematics and statistics departments, broken down by level of department. Responders were asked to check which (if any) of the following topics were covered in the course: conditional probability, simulation to explore randomness, and resampling techniques (such as bootstrapping and randomization tests). Conditional probability was covered in an estimated 76\% (SE 3.7) of the (F1) courses in mathematics departments, across all levels of departments combined (but in about 90\% of the courses in the doctoral and masters-level mathematics departments);
it was covered in an estimated 83\% (SE 2.5) of the (E1) courses in statistics departments, across all levels of statistics department combined. Simulation to explore randomness was covered in an estimated 51\% (SE 4.7) of mathematics courses, and 73\% (SE 2.5) of statistics courses. Resampling techniques were covered in $22 \%$
(SE 5.1) of mathematics courses, and 39\% (SE 2.9) of statistics courses; in this case, the percentage was smaller than the combined average of $22 \%$ at doctor-al-level mathematics departments (where it was 9\% (SE 5)) and at masters-level statistics departments (where it was $8 \%$ (SE 4.1)).

# Enrollment, Course Offerings, and Instructional Practices in Mathematics Programs at Two-Year Colleges 

This chapter reports estimated enrollment and instructional practices in mathematics and statistics courses at public two-year colleges in the United States in fall 2015. The data in this chapter has been rounded. Also included are total enrollment for these two-year colleges, average mathematics class size, trends in availability of mathematics courses, enrollment in mathematics courses offered outside of the mathematics programs, and services available to mathematics students. Many tables contain data from previous CBMS surveys (1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005, and 2010) and hence allow for historical comparisons. Further analysis of many of the items discussed in this chapter can be found in Chapters 1 and 2 where they are discussed from a comprehensive point of view in comparison to similar data for four-year colleges and universities.

The Table display code in Chapter 6 is TYE, for "Two-Year Enrollment," because this chapter addresses issues related to enrollment.

In earlier CBMS surveys, computer courses taught outside two-year college mathematics departments, and the faculty who taught them, were considered part of the "mathematics program." By 1995, computer science and data processing programs at two-year colleges, for the most part, were organized separately from the mathematics program. Hence, in 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010 and again in this 2015 report, information about computer science courses and their faculty are not included in mathematics program data. In 1995, enrollment data were collected about computer courses taught within the mathematics program and can be found in those reports. But because such courses had become rare, the 2005, 2010 and 2015 surveys contained no specific data about these computer courses taught within the mathematics departments, though some, no doubt, were reported by mathematics programs under the "Other Courses" category. Furthermore, the enrollment tables that follow have been adjusted to eliminate all specific computer science enrollments that appeared in previous CBMS reports. This adjustment allows for a more accurate comparison of mathematics program enrollments over time. There are also instances where "na" will be displayed in a table, indicating that similar data was not collected or was not available.

In contrast to previous surveys, CBMS2005, CBMS2010, and CBMS2015 include data about public two-year colleges only. The two-year college data in this report were estimated from a stratified random sample of 222 institutions chosen from a sample frame of 1,030 public two-year colleges. Survey forms were returned by 108 colleges for the enrollment data and 11 more colleges answered additional questions (119 of 222 colleges $=54 \%$ of the sample). The Two-Year College Committee instigated intense follow-up efforts to increase the survey return rate. For comparison purposes, the survey return rate for two-year colleges for CBMS2010 was $51 \%$ ( 105 of 205 colleges), CBMS2005 was $54 \%$ ( 130 of 241 colleges), CBMS2000 was $60 \%$ ( 179 of 300 colleges), and CBMS1995 was $65 \%$ (163 of 250 colleges). The return rate for all institutions, two-year and four-year, in CBMS2015 was 64\% (332 of 518 institutions). For more information on the sampling and projection procedures used in this survey, see Appendix II. A copy of the two-year college survey questionnaire for CBMS2015 may be found in Appendix VI.

The terms "full-time permanent," "full-time continuing" and "other full-time" faculty occasionally are used in this chapter and other chapters. For a detailed explanation of these terms, see the first page of Chapter 7.

In the text that follows, the standard error (SE) in many of the estimates is provided along with the estimate (e.g. estimate 4,596 (SE 58)). The standard errors for all CBMS2015 tables can be found in Appendix VIII. The change in an estimate from the estimate in a previous survey is often expressed both as percentage change, and as the number of SEs that change represents (e.g. "increased $22 \%$ (1.2 SEs)").

## Highlights of Chapter 6

## Enrollments, Class Size, and Course Offerings in Mathematics Programs

- From 2010 to 2015, public two-year colleges experienced an overall total enrollment decrease of $14 \%$, an estimated total of $6,216,000$ students, based on National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) projections updated in 2016 for fall 2015. This decrease can be viewed in comparison with an overall increase at four-year colleges of $1 \%$, an
estimated total of 10,546,000 students. From 2005 to 2010, the overall total enrollment increase at public two-year colleges was $17 \%$, compared with an overall enrollment increase at four-year colleges of $23 \%$. Enrollment in two-year colleges in fall 2015 constituted about $37 \%$ of the total undergraduate enrollment in the United States, a four percent drop compared with 2010 . For details, see the discussion before and after Table S. 1 in Chapter 1 and Table TYE. 1 in this chapter.
- The fall 2015 enrollment in mathematics and statistics courses in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges received from the CBMS2015 survey was estimated to be 2,012,000 (SE 118,000) students. This total includes 94,000 (SE 23,000) dually enrolled students and 225,000 (SE 25,000) distance learning enrollments. Enrollment in mathematics and statistics at two-year colleges in fall 2015 constituted approximately $42 \%$ of the total mathematics and statistics undergraduate enrollment in postsecondary institutions. See Table S. 1 in Chapter 1 and Tables TYE. 2 and TYE. 12 in this chapter.
- Table TYE. 2 shows that two-year college mathematics and statistics on-campus and distance enrollment decreased 4\% (1 SE) from 2010 to 2015 (the decrease was 5\% (1 SE) when dual enrollment students are excluded in Table S.1). This can be compared to the growth from 2005 to 2010 of $21 \%$ (19\% when dual enrollment students are excluded in Table S.1). During the same period, four-year institutions had an enrollment increase in mathematics courses of $13 \%$ ( 2 SEs, excluding dual enrollment), compared to the growth from 2005 to 2010 of $26 \%$. See Table S. 1 in Chapter 1 and the discussion before Table TYE. 2 in this chapter.
- Dual enrollment, where students enroll in a course that earns credit in high school and a two-year college, increased $16 \%$ ( 1 SE ) from 2010 to 2015 to an estimated 94,000 (SE 23,000) students, compared with a 93\% increase from 2005 to 2010 to a total of 80,000 students. See Tables SP. 16 and SP. 17 in Chapter 2 and Table TYE. 2 in this chapter.
- Approximately $41 \%$ of all two-year college mathematics and statistics enrollment in fall 2015 was in Precollege (remedial/developmental) courses, compared to $57 \%$ in fall 2010. See Table TYE.4.
- Enrollment in precollege mathematics courses (Arithmetic, Pre-algebra, Elementary and Intermediate Algebra, and Geometry) at two-year colleges was estimated to be 782,000 students (SE 65,000 ) in 2015 . This represents a $32 \%$ ( 6 SEs ) decrease from 2010 to 2015, compared to a $19 \%$ increase from 2005 to 2010. The increase from 2000 to 2005 was $26 \%$ and from 1995 to 2000 was 5\%. Four-year college Precollege enrollment
increased $21 \%$ ( 5 SEs ) to an estimate of 253,000 (SE 26,000) students from 2010 to 2015, compared with $4 \%$ increase from 2005 to 2010. See Table E. 2 in Chapter 2 and Table TYE. 4 in this chapter.
- Within the cohort of Precollege courses, all courses, except Geometry, showed a decrease in enrollment. Arithmetic/Basic Mathematics showed a 52\% (5 SEs) decrease to 71,000 (SE 14,000) students from 2010 to 2015, compared with the $40 \%$ increase in enrollment seen from 2005 to 2010. A decreasing enrollment trend in Arithmetic was also present between 1990 and 2005. See Table TYE.3.
- Pre-algebra courses showed a $44 \%$ ( 6 SEs) decrease to 127,000 (SE 16,000) students from 2010 to 2015, compared with the $65 \%$ increase in enrollment seen from 2005 to 2010. From 2010 to 2015, Elementary Algebra experienced a 35\% (6 SEs) decrease to 277,000 (SE 27,000) students (13\% increase in 2005 to 2010) and Intermediate Algebra a $13 \%$ ( 2 SEs ) decrease to 299,000 (SE 30,000) students ( $2 \%$ increase in 2005 to 2010). See Table TYE. 3 and the discussion before Tables TYE. 3 and TYE. 11.
- The trend of an increasing enrollment in Precalculus level courses (College Algebra, Trigonometry, College and Trig, Mathematical Modeling, Elementary Functions) seen in 2010, continued in 2015 representing $23 \%$ of all mathematics enrollments, a total of $445,000(\mathrm{SE} 39,000)$ students, and a $21 \%(2 \mathrm{SEs})$ increase from 2010. The enrollment growth in this group grew 15\% between 2005 and 2010 and 17\% from 2000 to 2005. See Table TYE. 4.
- Within the cohort of Precalculus level courses, College Algebra enrollment increased 27\% (2 SEs) to 292,000 (SE 29,000) students, bypassing the number of students enrolled in Elementary Algebra $(277,000$; SE 27,000 ) and nearly reaching the number of students in Intermediate Algebra (299,000; SE 30,000) for the first time. Precalculus/ Elem Functions/Analytic Geometry increased 35\% ( 2 SEs ) from 2010 to 2015 to a total of 87,000 (SE 13,000 ) students. See Table TYE. 3 .
- Enrollment in all calculus-level courses (Mainstream Calculus I, II, and III and Non-mainstream Calculus I and II together) showed an $11 \%$ ( 1 SE ) increase from 2010 to 2015 (total 152,000 students; SE 15,000 ), compared with the $29 \%$ increase between 2005 and 2010 and a $9 \%$ increase between 2000 and 2005. From 2010 to 2015, Mainstream Calculus I, II, and III experienced a $9 \%(1 \mathrm{SE})$ increase to a total of 119,000 students and Non-mainstream Calculus I and II increased $18 \%(1 \mathrm{SE})$ to 26,000 students. Calculus I had enrollment of 66,000 students and Non-mainstream Calculus I had enrollment of 26,000 students (each with SE 7,000). See Tables TYE. 3 and TYE. 4.
- Among college-level, transferable mathematics and statistics courses, notable enrollment increases occurred in Probability ( $833 \%$; 28,000 students; SE 15,000 ), Finite Mathematics ( $124 \%$; 40,000 with SE 19,000), and Elementary Statistics (87\%; 251,000 students; SE 55,000). When Elementary Statistics and Probability are combined, the increase was $104 \%$ for a total of approximately 279,000 students. See Tables TYE. 3 and TYE.3.1.
- With the exception of the precollege mathematics courses mentioned above, enrollment increased in 2015 compared with 2010 for every course except Introduction to Mathematical Modeling, Non-mainstream Calculus II, Mathematics for Elementary Teachers I and II, and "Other" mathematics courses. See Tables TYE.3, TYE.3.1 and TYE.3.2.
- Notable decreases in the percentage of two-year college mathematics programs teaching selected courses included Precollege courses, Introduction to Mathematical Modeling, Mainstream Calculus III, Finite Mathematics, Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I and II. See Tables TYE. 5 and TYE. 6.
- The average size of classes taught on two-year college campuses was 22 (SE 2) students in 2015, compared to 24 students in 2010. The average section size decreased in Precollege level courses from 24 in 2010 to 19 (SE 4) in 2015. Average class size decreased in Precalculus level courses to 25 (SE 1) students and 26 (SE 5) students in Statistics and Probability. Average class size increased in Calculus level courses to 25 (SE 1) students in 2015, compared with 21 students in 2010. See Tables TYE. 7 and TYE.8. For comparable four-year data, see Table E. 12 in Chapter 3.
- The percentage of on-campus sections for all mathematics courses with an average size greater than 30 increased from $23 \%$ in 2010 to $25 \%$ ( 3 SEs) in 2015. The class size recommended by the American Mathematical Association of Two-Year Colleges (AMATYC) and the Mathematical Association of America (MAA) is 30 or less. See Tables TYE. 7 and TYE.8. For comparable four-year data, see Tables E. 12 and E. 13 in Chapter 3.
- The average section size of all distance learning courses in fall 2015 was 21 (SE 1) students, with a range of 11-27 students. The percentage of departments with an average size greater than 30 in distance learning courses was $17 \%$ ( 4 SEs ). CBMS2010 data displayed an average section size of 22 students with a range of 17-28 and 10\% of 2010 sections with a size greater than 30 . See Tables TYE.7.1 and TYE.8.1 and CBMS2010 for historical data.
- Thirty-six percent (36\%; 4 SEs) of mathematics class sections were taught by part-time faculty in 2015, down ten points from 2010. The percentage of sections taught by part-time faculty varied significantly by course type, with part-time faculty teaching 46\% ( 10 SEs) of Precollege courses, $33 \%$ ( 3 SEs) of Precalculus courses, $15 \%$ (2 SEs) of Mainstream Calculus, $29 \%$ ( 10 SEs ) of Non-mainstream Calculus, and $21 \%$ ( 5 SEs ) of Statistics and Probability. See Table TYE.9.


## Instructional Practices and Curricular Changes in Mathematics Programs; Redesign of Mathematics Programs

- For the first time, CBMS2015 asked questions about the use of common department exams and homework management systems. Common department exams were most prevalent in Precollege level courses in $38-67 \%$ of sections. The use of homework management systems increased from 2010 to 2015 in the majority of courses and tended to be used in less in Calculus courses, Differential Equations, Linear Algebra and Discrete Mathematics. See Table TYE. 10.
- Also for the first time, CBMS2015 asked questions about implementation of mathematics "Pathways," defined to be "a redesign of a mathematics sequence that provides students with an alternative course or sequence to/through developmental mathematics and to/through a college-level mathematics or statistics course." In fall 2015, 58\% (5 SEs) of colleges reported having implemented a Pathways course sequence, enrolling a total of 193,000 students. Departments sometimes implemented multiple Pathways courses including Foundations (51\%; 7 SEs), Quantitative Reasoning/Literacy (59\%; 8 SEs), Statistics (63\%; 6 SEs) and Other ( $32 \%$; 9 SEs). See Table TYE. 11 and the discussion before TYE. 11.
- Significant changes were reported in content, delivery methods, and instructional strategies by mathematics programs in two-year colleges in Precollege, College-Level Non-STEM, and statistics courses in a range of 5-46\% (1-7 SEs) mathematics programs. Notable changes in content included students solving contextually-based problems and courses including modeling. Colleges reported significant changes in Pre-college course delivery methods including emporium models, students completing prescribed models, and accelerated pace delivery methods. Notable changes in instructional strategies included use of computer programs or internet, group work, and active learning. These activities and percentages are listed in Table TYE.11.1. See the discussion before Table TYE.11.1 regarding Pathways and curricula redesign.


## Distance Learning Courses and Practices

- Distance learning enrollment in mathematics and statistics grew to an estimated 225,000 (SE 25,000) students in fall 2015 and a total of $12 \%$ ( 1 SE ) of all mathematics enrollments, increasing from $9 \%$ in fall 2010. The courses with the largest distance learning enrollment were College Algebra $(38,000$ students; SE 5,000), Elementary Algebra (38,000 students; SE 10,000), Intermediate Algebra (33,000 students; SE 5,000), and Elementary Statistics (31,000 students; SE 4,000). See Table E. 4 in Chapter 3 and Tables TYE. 2 and TYE. 12 in this chapter.
- Precollege distance learning enrollments accounted for $11 \%$ of Precollege course enrollments in fall 2015. The number of students in the category of Precollege distance learning courses was approximately 89,000 students (SE16,000) in fall 2015. See Table E. 4 in Chapter 3 and Table TYE. 12 for individual course enrollment.
- Distance learning increases were also experienced in the category of Precalculus courses (College Algebra, Trigonometry, and Pre-calculus) with a total 54,000 students (SE 7,400) and Elementary Statistics with a total of 31,000 students (SE 4,000). See Table E. 4 in Chapter 3 and Table TYE. 12 for individual course enrollment. A discussion about the use of distance learning by mathematics departments is included in Chapter 2 before Table SP.8.
- Individual distance learning courses with a large percent of total enrollment were: Introduction to Mathematical Modeling (46\%), Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I and II (17\% and 32\% respectively), Business Math (21\%), Elementary Statistics (12\%), and Math for Liberal Arts (19\%). Courses with percentage of enrollment in distance learning less than $2 \%$ were Geometry (0\%), Mainstream Calculus II (1\%), Differential Equations ( $1 \%$ ), and Non-mainstream Calculus II (0\%). Caution is needed when looking at percentages. While percentages may be large, total enrollments in some courses were small. See Table TYE. 12 for a listing of distance enrollments for all courses.
- Table TYE. 12.1 presents data on various distance learning practices. For example, 58\% (5 SEs) of responding colleges awarded transfer credit for distance learning courses; $67 \%$ ( 5 SEs ) of responding colleges reported that instructional materials were created by a combination of faculty design and commercially produced materials; $69 \%$ ( 6 SEs ) of distance learning courses are taught completely online; 97\% (3 SEs) of responding colleges reported that the course outlines for distance courses were the same as face-to-face courses. For other practices, see Table TYE.12.1. A discussion about the use of distance learning practices by mathematics
departments is included in Chapter 2 before Table SP. 8 in Chapter 2.
- Forty percent ( $40 \%$; 6 SEs ) of responding colleges reported that a "significant challenge" of distance learning courses is that "student success rates in online courses are lower than face-to-face courses with similar content." "Maintaining a level of rigor in distance learning mathematics courses equivalent to face-to-face courses" was reported as "somewhat of a challenge" by $41 \%$ ( 5 SEs ) of responding colleges. See Table TYE.12.2.
- For the first time, CBMS2015, asked two-year and four-year mathematics departments if, during the academic years 2013-15, the department had offered a MOOC (massive open online course) for credit. Out of all the institutions surveyed, one fouryear (bachelors-level) mathematics department, one (doctoral-level) statistics department, and two two-year colleges responded "yes." The two-year colleges reported teaching courses in statistics, developmental mathematics, and college-level courses below, and above, calculus-level courses. Given the few responses, and large SEs, estimates of the percentage of departments offering MOOCs and the enrollments in MOOCs are not included in this report.

Placement and Opportunities Available to Students

- Ninety-four percent (94\%; 3 SEs) of two-year college mathematics programs offered diagnostic or placement testing available. Seventy-eight percent (78\%) of those colleges required placement tests of firsttime enrollees in fall 2015, compared to $100 \%$ in fall 2010. See Table TYE. 13.
- Opportunities offered to students that displayed increases in CBMS2015 included honors sections, mathematics clubs and contests, programs to encourage women and minorities in mathematical studies, Outreach in K-12 schools, undergraduate student research and independent studies in mathematics. These are described in Tables SP. 12 and SP. 13 in Chapter 2 and Table TYE. 13 in this chapter.
- The collection of Precollege, Statistics, Business and Technical Mathematics courses taught "outside" the mathematics program showed a $15 \%$ ( 1 SE ) decrease from 2010 to 2015. These "outside" mathematics enrollments totaling about 129,000 (SE 24,000 ) students, at $32 \%$ ( 5 SEs) colleges, are not included in Table TYE. 2. See the discussion before Tables TYE. 3 and TYE. 5 and the discussion before Tables TYE. 14, TYE. 15 and TYE. 16.


## Topics of Special Interest in CBMS2015

- In each CBMS survey cycle, certain topics of special interest are chosen for data collection and compre-
hensive analysis from both two-year and four-year institutions. Special topics for two-year and fouryear institutions are discussed in Chapters 2 and 6 of this report. Additional questions were added in CBMS2015 regarding the offering of Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs), and distance learning courses and practices (Tables SP.8-SP. 10 in Chapter 2 and Tables TYE.12, TYE.12.1, and TYE. 12.2 in Chapter 6). Pre-service education of teachers (Tables SP.2, SP.3, and SP. 4 in Chapter 2) and data on dual enrollment courses and faculty (Table SP. 16 in Chapter 2) are discussed at the end of this chapter. Questions regarding mathematics Pathways and course redesign (Tables TYE. 11 and TYE.11.1 in Chapter 6) were asked of two-year college respondents.


## Enrollment, Class Size, and Course Offerings in Mathematics Programs

## Number of two-year-college students

Approximately 6,216,000 students were enrolled in public two-year colleges in fall 2015 with $61 \%$ of students attending part-time. This estimate is based on an overall 2016 enrollment projection for public two-year colleges by the National Center for Educational Statistics (NCES). These enrollments constitute a $14 \%$ enrollment decrease from 2010-2015 for public two-year colleges. NCES projections indicated about a $1 \%$ increase in four-year college enrollments in the same time period and totaled $10,546,000$ students.

Enrollment in two-year colleges in fall 2015 constituted about $37 \%$ of the total undergraduate enrollment in the United States, a four percent drop compared with 2010. Data from the NCES indicated over 96\% of two-year college enrollment in 2015 was at public institutions. See Tables TYE. 1 and S. 1 in Chapter 1.

## Enrollment trends in mathematics programs

Enrollment in mathematics and statistics courses in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges was estimated to be $2,012,000$ (SE 118,000 ) students in 2015. The $2,012,000$ enrollments in mathematics includes approximately 225,000 (SE 25,000 ) students enrolled in distance learning courses and 94,000 (SE 23,000 ) dual-enrollment students and represents a decrease of $4 \%$ ( $1 \mathrm{SE)} \mathrm{since} \mathrm{2010}$, increase of $19 \%$ from 2005 and 2010. The 4\% enrollment decrease in mathematics and statistics courses from 2010 to 2015 is consistent with the decrease in two-year institutional enrollment mentioned above and with the decrease in the number of full-time mathematics faculty discussed in Chapter 7.

Dual enrolled students are high school students who take courses taught either in high school or a two-year college campus and receive course credit at the both the high school and at the two-year college. The estimated 94,000 dual enrollment students in mathematics represented almost $5 \%$ of total mathematics and statistics enrollments in fall 2015. The estimated 225,000 students in distance learning mathematics courses represented $12 \%$ of total math-

TABLE TYE. 1 Total institutional enrollment (in thousands) and percentage of part-time enrollments in two-year colleges in fall for 1980 through 2010 and projected enrollments for fall 2015. ${ }^{1}$ Enrollments include distance learning but not dual enrollments.

|  | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public + Private |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of <br> students <br> Percentage <br> part-time | 61 | 63 | 64 | 64 | 63 | 59 | 56 | 61 |
| Public only <br> Number of <br> students <br> Percentage <br> part-time | 4,328 | 4,270 | 4,996 | 5,277 | 5,697 | 6,184 | 7,218 | 6,216 |

[^10]

FIGURE TYE.1.1 Total enrollments (all disciplines) in public \& private two-year colleges, and in publiconly two-year colleges, in fall 1980 through fall 2015.
ematics and statistics enrollments in fall 2015. See Table TYE. 2.

Table S. 1 in Chapter 1 presents data on both two-year and four-year institutions' overall and mathematics and statistics enrollments, excluding dual enrollments. The estimated total of $1,918,000$ (SE 115,000 ) two-year college enrollment shown in Table S. 1 is a $5 \%$ ( 1 SE ) decrease from fall 2010 to fall 2015. Two-year college mathematics and statistics enrollment (excluding dual enrollment) comprised $42 \%$ of all postsecondary mathematics and statistics enrollments in fall 2015. See Table S. 1 in Chapter 1 and Tables SP. 16 and SP. 17 in Chapter 2 and Table TYE.2.

The fall 2015 enrollments in mathematics and statistics courses represent the second decrease in enrollment since CBMS began collecting data in 1985. From 1995 to 2010, mathematics and statistics enrollments had increased a total of $125 \%$ to a total of $2,105,000$, with a decrease of $7 \%$ from 1995 to 2000. See Table S.1.1 In Chapter 1 and Table TYE.2.1.

It is difficult to draw specific conclusions about the reasons for the decrease in institutional and mathematics enrollment in two-year colleges in fall 2015. However, the reader may consider several economic and national factors that may have played a part in the decrease. Two-year colleges saw enrollment increases in fall 2010, given a downturn in the U.S. economy. In response to a more positive economic situation preceding fall 2015, two-year college enroll-
ments decreased across the country. Other factors that may have influenced mathematics enrollments include national degree completion and "Guided Pathways" initiatives, changes in State legislation regarding decrease funding for developmental education and high school graduation requirements, and implementation of multiple placement measures/ procedures. More discussion about trends in specific course enrollment and implementation of mathematics "Pathways" can be found before Tables TYE.3, TYE.11, and TYE.11.1.

Two-year college mathematics and statistics enrollment from 2010 to 2015 can be considered in light of the pattern in the nation's four-year colleges and universities. Between 2010 and 2015, mathematics and statistics enrollment (excluding dual enrollments) at two-year colleges decreased $5 \%(1 \mathrm{SE})$ and four-year mathematics and statistics enrollment increased $13 \%$ ( 2 SEs ). See Table S. 1 in Chapter 1.

In addition to the tables that follow in this chapter, the reader should consult Chapter 1 in this report. Chapter 1 contains a detailed analysis of mathematics and statistics department enrollments at both two-year and four-year colleges from 2000 to 2015.

## Enrollment trends in course groups and in specific courses

Tables TYE. 3 and TYE. 4 report mathematics enrollments in two-year colleges. Table TYE. 3 reports

TABLE TYE. 2 Enrollments in mathematics and statistics (no computer science) courses in mathematics programs at two-year colleges in fall 1985, 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.

|  | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 | 2000 | $2005^{1}$ | $2010^{1}$ | $2015^{1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics \& Statistics <br> enrollments in TYCs | 936,000 | $1,295,000$ | $1,456,000$ | $1,347,000$ | $1,739,000$ | $2,105,000$ | $2,012,000$ |

[^11]Note: Data for 1990, 1995, and 2000 in Table TYE. 2 differ from corresponding data in Table S. 1 of Chapter 1 because the totals in TYE. 2 do not include any computer science courses, while the totals in Table S. 1 do.


FIGURE TYE.2.1 Enrollments in mathematics and statistics courses (no computer science) in mathematics programs in two-year colleges in fall 1985, 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015. (Data for 2005, 2010, and 2015 include only public two-year colleges. 2015 data include 94,000 dual enrollments from Table SP. 16 and 225,000 distance enrollments from Table TYE.12.)
enrollment in individual mathematics courses. Table TYE. 4 reports enrollment for categories of courses. Table TYE. 4 is constructed from Table TYE. 3 and reports headcounts and percentages from 2000 through 2015 for the following course groupings: Precollege, Precalculus, Calculus, Statistics and Remaining Courses. Each category consists of five or more specific courses from Table TYE.3.

In fall 2015, over 782,000 (SE 65,000) students enrolled in Precollege mathematics courses (Arithmetic, Pre-algebra, Elementary and Intermediate Algebra, and Geometry). Enrollment in these courses comprised $41 \%$ of mathematics program enrollment.

This percentage had been at $57 \%$ since 2000. These percentages are calculated from Table TYE.4, which does not include the 94,000 students in dual enrollment courses. Precollege enrollment has varied over time as follows: down by $5 \%$ from 1995 to 2000, up $26 \%$ from 2000 to 2005, and up 19\% from 2005 to 2010. Fall 2015 is the second time that Precollege enrollment showed a decrease, which was $32 \%$ (6 SEs). This two-year college decrease is contrasted to the $21 \%$ ( 5 SEs ) increase of four-year college Precollege enrollment, a total of 253,000 (SE 26,000) students from 2010 to 2015. See Table E. 2 in Chapter 3.

Within the Precollege courses, each course, except Geometry, experienced a decrease in enrollment from 2010 to 2015: Arithmetic \& Basic Mathematics (down 52\%; 5 SEs); Pre-algebra (down 44\%; 6 SEs); Elementary Algebra (down 35\%; 6 SEs); Intermediate Algebra (down 13\%; 2 SEs); and Geometry (up 44\%; 1 SE). See Tables TYE. 3 and TYE.3.2 for enrollment in individual courses.

Approximately 129,000 (SE 24,000) students were enrolled in mathematics and statistics courses managed by departments "outside" the mathematics department (Developmental Education Division, Occupational Programs, Business or Other Divisions) in fall 2015, a decrease of $15 \%$ from 2010 to 2015. About one-third (32\%; 5 SEs) of two-year colleges responding to the survey conducted part of their Precollege (remedial) mathematics program outside of the mathematics program in an alternate structure such as a developmental studies division or learning laboratory. These courses accounted for 101,000 students and $78 \%$ of the mathematics enrollment outside of the mathematics departments. These enrollments are not included in Tables TYE. 3 and TYE. 4. See the discussion for Tables TYE.14, TYE. 15 and TYE. 16 later in this chapter.

Precalculus level courses (College Algebra, Trigonometry, College Algebra \& Trigonometry, Introduction to Mathematical Modeling, Precalculus), 445,000 (SE 39,000) students, accounted for $23 \%$ of 2015 enrollment, five percentage points up from enrollment reported in 2010. Precalculus courses, together with Precollege courses, accounted for 64\% of mathematics and statistics enrollment at public two-year colleges in fall 2015, a decrease from 2010 of $11 \%$. See Table TYE. 4 .

Within the cohort of Precalculus level courses, College Algebra enrollment increased 27\% (2 SEs) to 292,000 (SE 29,000) students, bypassing the number of students enrolled in Elementary Algebra (277,000; SE 27,000 ) and nearly reaching the number of students in Intermediate Algebra (299,000; SE 30,000 ) for the first time. Other specific course enrollment changes in Precalculus level courses include Trigonometry (up $13 \%$ with 1 SE), College Algebra and Trigonometry combined (up $28 \%$ with 1 SE), Introduction to Mathematical Modeling (down 89\% with 16 SEs), and Precalculus/Elem Functions/ Analytic Geometry (up 35\% with 2 SEs). See Tables TYE.3, TYE.3.1 and TYE.3.2 for enrollment in individual courses.

All calculus-level courses, Mainstream and Non-mainstream Calculus together, in Tables TYE. 3 and TYE. 4 displays an $11 \%$ ( 1 SE ) increase in fall 2015 enrollment and a total of 152,000 (SE 15,000) students. When Differential Equations is included with Calculus courses, the increase is $10 \%$ from 2010 to 2015. Calculus I had enrollment of 66,000 students
and Non-mainstream Calculus I had enrollment of 26,000 students (each with SE 7,000). Specific course group changes include: Mainstream Calculus I, II and III ( $9 \%$ with 1 SE ); Non-mainstream Calculus I and II ( $18 \%$ with 1 SE); and Differential Equations (17\% with 1 SE). See Tables TYE.3, TYE.3.1 and TYE.4.

In reading the enrollment tables, the reader is reminded that Mainstream Calculus consists of those calculus courses that lead to more advanced mathematics courses and usually is required of majors in mathematics, the physical sciences, and engineering. Non-mainstream Calculus includes the calculus courses most often taught for biology, behavioral science, and business majors. Additional calculus enrollment data and analysis can also be found in Chapter 1.

In reviewing this list of percentages of changes from 2010 to 2015, one needs to consider the actual number of students enrolled and standard error (SE) of a statistic. Table TYE. 3 lists enrollment estimates in mathematics courses, rounded to the nearest thousands. Percentages can be misleading: an $822 \%$ increase in Probability enrollment represented a change of 25,000 students of a total enrollment of 28,000 (SE 15,000 ) students, while a $27 \%$ increase in College Algebra represented a change of 62,000 students of a total of 292,000 students (SE 29,000). Tables TYE.3.1 and TYE.3.2 list the percentage change for each course, computed before rounding enrollment estimates.

Summarizing the enrollment trends in mathematics course categories (see Table TYE.4), the trend in enrollments from fall 2010 to 2015 for courses offered within a two-year college mathematics department was upward in every category except Precollege level:

- Precollege level courses enrolled 368,000 less students in 2015 than in 2010 representing a 32\% (6 SEs) decrease.
- Precalculus courses enrolled 77,000 more students in 2015 than in 2010 representing a $21 \%$ ( 2 SEs ) increase.
- Mainstream and Non-mainstream Calculus and Differential Equations enrolled 15,000 more students in 2015 than in 2010 representing a 11\% ( 1 SE ) increase.
- Elementary Statistics and Probability enrolled 143,000 more students in 2015 than in 2010 representing a $104 \%$ ( 2 SEs ) increase.
- Of special note is the $12 \%(1 \mathrm{SE})$ increase in the "Remaining" category of 28,000 students which included Linear Algebra, Discrete Mathematics, Probability, Finite Mathematics, and Business and Technical Mathematics.

In addition to considering the factors listed above related to the decrease in total mathematics and
statistics enrollment in 2015, several factors may have impacted enrollment in individual course categories or courses in two-year colleges.

Implementation of mathematics "Pathways," defined as a redesign of a mathematics sequence that provides students with an alternative course or sequence to/ through developmental mathematics and to/through a college-level mathematics or statistics course, may be related to decreased enrollments in traditional Precollege courses at some colleges and increased enrollment in College Algebra, Quantitative Literacy, Mathematics for Liberal Arts and Statistics courses. In addition, changes in placement policies are affecting the number of students who were previously placed into Precollege courses. Mathematics Pathways have been designed and implemented to create appropriate career course paths that decrease the number of developmental courses that students are required to take and increase students' enrollment and success in a college-level mathematics and path to graduation. If the goals of Pathways are achieved, enrollments in precollege mathematics courses should decrease and enrollments in college-level mathematics courses should increase. Table TYE. 11 shows that 58\% (5 SEs) of responding college implemented a Pathways course sequence. Table TYE. 11.1 presents information about the changes in content, delivery methods, and instructional strategies between 2010 and 2015.

## Trends in availability of courses in mathematics programs

Tables TYE. 5 and TYE. 6 should be considered together and represent the availability of fall 2010 and 2015 course offerings and percentage of two-year college mathematics programs teaching individual courses. The increases and decreases displayed in these tables mirror the increases and decreases in student enrollment presented in Tables TYE.3, TYE.3.1, TYE.3.2, and TYE.4.

In considering the availability of courses, the reader also should note that $32 \%$ ( 5 SEs) of two-year colleges in fall 2015 reported some or all of the Precollege (Arithmetic, Elementary Algebra, and Intermediate Algebra) mathematics courses at the college were organized separately from the mathematics department, totaling $129,000(\mathrm{SE} 24,000)$ students. This represents a $3 \%$ increase reported in 2010. See Table TYE. 16. These "outside" courses are not included below in Tables TYE.3, TYE.4, TYE. 5 and TYE. 6 in reporting the availability of particular courses. The "outside" headcount enrollment is estimated in Tables TYE. 14 and TYE. 15 and also includes Business Mathematics, Statistics \& Probability, and Technical Mathematics.

Table TYE. 5 reports that the percentage of two-year college mathematics programs offering a course titled Arithmetic/Basic Mathematics course in 2015 was $36 \%$ ( 5 SEs), a decline from $50 \%$ in 2010. From 2010
to 2015, the percentage of mathematics programs offering a Pre-algebra course, which generally included arithmetic and basic algebra skills, dropped from 49\% to $44 \%$ ( 5 SEs ).

Table TYE. 5 also shows the availability of Elementary Algebra within mathematics programs decreased in 2015 to $75 \%$ ( 5 SEs ) from $82 \%$ in 2010. Intermediate Algebra, which is roughly equivalent to the second year of high school algebra, was offered in $72 \%$ ( 5 SEs ) of mathematics departments in fall 2015, down from $88 \%$ in 2005 and $79 \%$ in 2010. CBMS2010 reported a sharp decrease from $19 \%$ in fall 2005 to $7 \%$ in fall 2010 and CBMS2015 reported a slight increase to $8 \%$ ( 2 SEs ) in the percentage of two-year colleges offering high school level Geometry courses.

Data for courses directly preparatory for calculus are also presented in Table TYE.5. In fall 2015, the percentage of colleges offering a separate College Algebra course increased by three points to $79 \%$ (4 SEs). The percentage of colleges offering a separate Trigonometry course was up two points to $57 \%$ (5 SEs). The course College Algebra \& Trigonometry (combined) experienced an eight-point increase to 20\% ( 4 SEs ) of colleges offering the course. Precalculus/ Elementary Functions experienced a one percentage point increase in availability from 2010 to 2015 to 54\% (6 SEs).

Comparing fall 2010 to fall 2015, the percentage of colleges offering the first semester of Mainstream Calculus rose one point to $80 \%$ ( 6 SEs ), 66,000 students ( 7 SEs ). The availability of Mainstream Calculus II was up four points to $65 \%$ ( 4 SEs ). Mainstream Calculus III decreased by two points to $54 \%$ ( 4 SEs ). In fall 2015, enrollment increased $30 \%$ to a total of 26,000 (SE 7,000 ) students in Non-mainstream Calculus I with $26 \%$ ( 4 SEs ) of reporting colleges offering the course. See Tables TYE. 3 and TYE. 5.

Introductory Mathematical Modeling was a new course first surveyed in 2000. In that year, $12 \%$ of colleges reported offering the course. In fall 2005, this percentage had dropped to $5 \%$. In 2010, while $9 \%$ of colleges reported offering the course, the actual total enrollment was 18,000 . In fall 2015 , five percent ( $5 \%$, 3 SEs) of responding colleges reported offering this course with an enrollment of 2,000 students.

The CBMS1995 survey noted that many students at two-year colleges could not complete lower division mathematics requirements in certain majors because essential courses such as Linear Algebra, Mathematics for Liberal Arts, and Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers were offered at fewer than half of two-year college mathematics programs. Availability of those courses has had ups and downs since then. Comparing fall 2015 to fall 2010 course offerings, the percentage of colleges offering Linear Algebra increased five points to $25 \%$ ( 4 SEs ), while Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I

TABLE TYE. 3 Enrollment in thousands in mathematics and statistics courses (not including dual enrollments; including distance enrollments) in mathematics programs at two-year colleges in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.

| Course Number | Type of course | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Precollege level |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Arithmetic \& Basic Mathematics | 122 | 104 | 146 | 71 |
| 2 | Pre-algebra | 87 | 137 | 226 | 127 |
| 3 | Elementary Algebra (High School level) | 292 | 380 | 428 | 277 |
| 4 | Intermediate Algebra (High School level) | 255 | 336 | 344 | 299 |
| 5 | Geometry (High School level) | 7 | 7 | 6 | 8 |
|  | Precalculus level |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | College Algebra (above Intermediate Algebra) | 173 | 206 | 230 | 292 |
| 7 | Trigonometry | 30 | 36 | 45 | 51 |
| 8 | College Algebra \& Trigonometry (combined) | 16 | 14 | 11 | 13 |
| 9 | Introduction to Mathematical Modeling | 7 | 7 | 18 | 2 |
| 10 | Precalculus/Elem Functions/Analytic Geometry Calculus level ${ }^{1}$ | 48 | 58 | 64 | 87 |
| 11 | Mainstream Calculus I | 53 | 51 | 65 | 66 |
| 12 | Mainstream Calculus II | 20 | 19 | 29 | 34 |
| 13 | Mainstream Calculus III | 11 | 11 | 15 | 19 |
| 14 | Non-mainstream Calculus I | 16 | 21 | 20 | 26 |
| 15 | Non-mainstream Calculus II | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 16 | Differential Equations | 5 | 4 | 6 | 7 |
|  | Other mathematics courses |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Linear Algebra | 3 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 18 | Discrete Mathematics | 3 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 19 | Elementary Statistics (with or w/o Probability) | 71 | 111 | 134 | 251 |
| 20 | Probability (with or w/o Statistics) | 3 | 7 | 3 | 28 |
| 21 | Finite Mathematics | 19 | 22 | 18 | 40 |
| 22 | Mathematics for Liberal Arts | 43 | 59 | 91 | 97 |
| 23 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I ${ }^{2}$ | 18 | 29 | 21 | 12 |
| 24 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers II ${ }^{3}$ | na | na | 8 | 3 |
| 25 | Other Mathematics Courses for Teacher Preparation ${ }^{3}$ | na | na | 1 | 1 |
| 26 | Business Mathematics (not transferable) | 14 | 22 | 16 | 16 |
| 27 | Business Mathematics (transferable) | 19 | 17 | 4 | 10 |
| 28 | Technical Math (non-calculus-based) | 13 | 16 | 17 | 21 |
| 29 | Technical Math (calculus-based) | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 30 | Other Mathematics Courses (not transferable) ${ }^{4}$ | 14 | 28 | 33 | 31 |
| 31 | Other Mathematics Courses (transferable) ${ }^{3}$ | na | na | 14 | 12 |
|  | Total all Two-year College math courses | 1347 | 1696 | 2024 | 1918 |

Note: 0 means fewer than 500 enrollments and na means not available. Round-off may make column sums seem inaccurate.

[^12]TABLE TYE.3.1 Enrollment in thousands in mathematics and statistics courses (not including dual enrollments; including distance enrollments) in mathematics programs at two-year colleges in fall 2010 and 2015 for those courses showing percentage increases from 2010 to 2015.

*Percentages were computed on enrollment values before rounding.
Note: 0 means fewer than 500 enrollments and na means not available. Round-off may make column sums seem inaccurate.
${ }^{1}$ Mainstream calculus is for mathematics, physics, science \& engineering. Non-mainstream calculus is for biological, social, and management sciences.
${ }^{2}$ In 2005 and earlier surveys there was a single course listed as Mathematics for Elementary School
Teachers.
${ }^{3}$ This course was not listed in 2005 and earlier surveys.
${ }^{4}$ In 2005 and earlier surveys there was a single course listed as Other Mathematics Courses.

TABLE TYE.3.2 Enrollment in thousands in mathematics and statistics courses (not including dual enrollments; including distance enrollments) in mathematics programs at two-year colleges in fall 2010 and 2015 for those courses showing percentage decreases from 2010 to 2015.

| Course <br> Number | Type of course | 2010 | 2015 | Percentage change 2015-2010* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Precollege level |  |  |  |
| 1 | Arithmetic \& Basic Mathematics <br> Pre-algebra <br> Elementary Algebra (High School level) <br> Intermediate Algebra (High School level) <br> Precalculus level <br> Introduction to Mathematical Modeling <br> Calculus level ${ }^{1}$ <br> Non-mainstream Calculus II <br> Other mathematics courses <br> Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I ${ }^{2}$ <br> Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers II ${ }^{3}$ <br> Other Mathematics Courses (not transferable) ${ }^{4}$ <br> Other Mathematics Courses (transferable) ${ }^{3}$ <br> Total enrollment in all two-year college mathematics courses | 146 | 71 | -52\% |
| 2 |  | 226 | 127 | -44\% |
| 3 |  | 428 | 277 | -35\% |
| 4 |  | 344 | 299 | -13\% |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 18 | 2 | -88\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  | 2 | 0* | -97\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  | 21 | 12 | -45\% |
| 24 |  | 8 | 3 | -58\% |
| 30 |  | 33 | 31 | -6\% |
| 31 |  | 14 | 12 | -17\% |
|  | Total enrollment in all two-year college mathematics courses in Tables TYE.3.1 and 3.2 | 2024 | 1918 | -5\% |

*Percentages were computed on enrollment values before rounding.
Note: 0 means fewer than 500 enrollments and na means not available. Round-off may make column sums seem inaccurate. Enrollment in non-Mainstream Calculus II was 60 students.
${ }^{1}$ Mainstream calculus is for mathematics, physics, science \& engineering. Non-mainstream calculus is for biological, social, and management sciences.
${ }^{2}$ In 2005 and earlier surveys there was a single course listed as Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers.
${ }^{3}$ This course was not listed in 2005 and earlier surveys.
${ }^{4}$ In 2005 and earlier surveys there was a single course listed as Other Mathematics Courses.
decreased by $14 \%$ ( 5 SEs ). Mathematics for Liberal Arts showed an $18 \%$ ( 5 SEs) increase in departments offering the course in the fall 2015 , following the $12 \%$ decrease from fall 2005 to 2010. See Table TYE.5.

Availability of other courses important to baccalaureate degrees in science, technology, engineering, mathematics, and computer science, such as Differential Equations, Discrete Mathematics, Elementary Statistics, and Finite Mathematics, is reported in Table TYE.6. An increase in colleges offering these courses is seen in all courses except Finite Mathematics (decrease of $4 \%$; 4 SEs) and Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers (decrease of $14 \%$; 5 SEs). Elementary Statistics (with or without Probability) increased by ten points to a total of $83 \%$ (6 SEs) of two-year college mathematics programs teaching Statistics. See the discussion about Teacher Preparation at the end of this chapter.

## Trends in average section size

The downward trend in the average number of students per on campus class section in two-year college mathematics courses exhibited in 1990 through 2005, shifted slightly upward in 2010 and downward again in 2015. The average class size in fall 2015 was 22 (SE 2) students, compared with 24 students in fall 2010. The Precollege and Precalculus course categories had average class size of 19 (SE 4) and 25 (SE 1) students, respectively in 2015. Calculus classes (Mainstream and Non-mainstream Calculus) had average class size of 25 (SE 1) students. Statistics and Probability had average class size of 26 (SE 5), about 4 students above the overall average of 22 . See Table TYE.7. For a closer examination of individual course average section sizes in 2015, see Table TYE. 8 displaying a range of $10-35$ average section sizes of on-campus courses.

TABLE TYE. 4 Enrollment in 1000s (not including dual enrollments; including distance enrollments) and percentages of total enrollment in mathematics and statistics courses by type of course in mathematics programs at two-year colleges in fall 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.

| Course <br> numbers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Type of course | 1995 | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 |
| $1-5$ | Precollege Level | 800 | 763 | 964 | 1150 | 782 |
|  |  | $(56 \%)$ | $(57 \%)$ | $(57 \%)$ | $(57 \%)$ | $(41 \%)$ |
| $6-10$ | Precalculus Level | 295 | $274 \%$ | 321 | 368 | 445 |
|  |  | $(21 \%)$ | $0 \%$ | $(19 \%)$ | $(18 \%)$ | $(23 \%)$ |
| $11-16$ | Calculus Level | 129 | $106 \%$ | 107 | 138 | 152 |
|  |  | $(9 \%)$ | $0 \%$ | $(6 \%)$ | $(7 \%)$ | $(8 \%)$ |
| $19-20$ | Statistics, Probability | 72 | $74 \%$ | 118 | 137 | 280 |
|  |  | $(5 \%)$ | $0 \%$ | $(7 \%)$ | $(7 \%)$ | $(15 \%)$ |
| $17-18 \&$ | Remaining Courses | 130 | $130 \%$ | 186 | 231 | 259 |
| $21-31$ |  | $(9 \%)$ | $0 \%$ | $(11 \%)$ | $(11 \%)$ | $(13 \%)$ |
| $1-31$ | Total, all courses | 1426 | $1347 \%$ | 1696 | 2024 | 1918 |
|  |  | $(100 \%)$ | $1 \%$ | $(100 \%)$ | $(100 \%)$ | $(100 \%)$ |

${ }^{1}$ For names of specific courses see Table TYE.3.


FIGURE TYE.4.1 Enrollment in 1000s (not including dual enrollments; including distrance enrollments) in mathematics and statistics courses by type of course ${ }^{1}$ in mathematics programs at two-year colleges in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.
${ }^{1}$ For names of specific courses in each course grouping, see Table TYE.3.

TABLE TYE. 5 Percentage of two-year college mathematics programs teaching selected mathematics courses in fall 2010 and in fall 2015.

| Course number | Type of course | Fall 2010 | Fall 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Arithmetic \& Basic Mathematics | 50 | 36 |
| 2 | Pre-algebra | 49 | 44 |
| 3 | Elementary Algebra (High School level) | 82 | 75 |
| 4 | Intermediate Algebra (High School level) | 79 | 72 |
| 5 | Geometry (High School level) | 7 | 8 |
| 6 | College Algebra (above Intermediate Algebra) | 76 | 79 |
| 7 | Trigonometry | 55 | 57 |
| 8 | College Algebra \& Trigonometry (combined) | 12 | 20 |
| 9 | Introduction to Mathematical Modeling | 9 | 5 |
| 10 | Precalculus/ Elementary Functions/ Analytic Geometry | 53 | 54 |
| 11 | Mainstream Calculus I | 79 | 80 |
| 12 | Mainstream Calculus II | 61 | 65 |
| 13 | Mainstream Calculus III | 56 | 54 |
| 14 | Non-mainstream Calculus I | 25 | 26 |
| 15 | Non-mainstream Calculus II | 5 | 0 |
| 16 | Differential Equations | 21 | 25 |
| 17 | Linear Algebra | 19 | 24 |
| 18 | Discrete Mathematics | 11 | 12 |
| 19 | Elementary Statistics (with or w/o Probability) | 73 | 83 |
| 20 | Probability (with or w/o Statistics) | 5 | 5 |
| 21 | Finite Mathematics | 27 | 23 |
| 22 | Mathematics for Liberal Arts | 44 | 62 |
| 23 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I | 55 | 41 |
| 24 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers II | 27 | 17 |
| 25 | Other Mathematics Courses for Teacher Preparation | 2 | 4 |
| 26 | Business Mathematics (not transferable) | 20 | 25 |
| 27 | Business Mathematics (transferable) | 6 | 12 |
| 28 | Technical Mathematics (non-calculus-based) | 26 | 38 |
| 29 | Technical Mathematics (calculus-based) | 3 | 9 |
| 30 | Other Mathematics Courses (not transferable) | 19 | 23 |
| 31 | Other Mathematics Courses (transferable) | 18 | 10 |

In 2005, the lower cut-off of 30 students per class was chosen to make data for two-year colleges directly comparable to that collected for four-year institutions and to coincide with the recommendation from the Mathematical Association of America (MAA) and endorsement by the American Mathematical Association of Two-Year Colleges (AMATYC) that undergraduate class size not exceed 30 students. In fall $2015,75 \%$ of all class sections in two-year colleges met the goal of the two professional societies of class size less than or equal to 30 ( $25 \%$ of colleges with class size >30; 3 SEs; see Table TYE.7). At four-year colleges and universities, the average class size for freshman/ sophomore level courses through calculus ranged from 12-37 students, depending on course type. At PhD-granting institutions, these numbers ranged from 21-55 students. See Tables E. 12 in Chapter 3 for fouryear institutional data.

Given the increasing enrollments in distance learning courses (see Table.TYE.12), CBMS2010 and CBMS2015 collected data on the average section size of distance learning classes. As reported in Tables TYE 7.1 and 8.1, average section size for all distance learning courses was 21 (SE 1) students, ranging from 9-22 students, with $17 \%$ ( 4 SEs) of departments having an average size greater than 30. Average sections sizes in Precollege distance courses (course numbers 1-5) ranged from 18-23 students. Precalculus
(course numbers 6-10) average section sizes ranged from 13-23 students. Mainstream Calculus and Non-mainstream Calculus distance learning average section sizes ranged from 11-17 students. Comparing the section sizes of distance learning by course category to face-to-face section sizes, distance learning section size was less than or equal to face-to-face in courses, except Intermediate Algebra, Introduction to Mathematical Modeling, and Technical Mathematics. See Tables 7.1 and 8.1.

## Trends in the use of part-time faculty

In fall 2015, sixty-seven percent ( $67 \% ; 20,247$ persons) of those who taught mathematics courses in two-year colleges were part-time faculty (Table TYF. 1 in Chapter 1). However, this is a statement that requires some explanation. The relevant issue, as seen in the faculty data in Table TYF. 1 in Chapter 7 , is who is included in the various categories. When faculty of every sort are included, such as part-time faculty paid by third parties and full-time (permanent, continuing, and other) faculty, part-time faculty in fall 2015 made up the $67 \%$ of the total mathematics faculty. The comparable figure in 2010 was 70\%. If the 2,359 (SE 528) third-party-payee part-time faculty members are excluded, $65 \%$ of the faculty had parttime status in fall 2010.The comparable figure for 2010 was $68 \%$. See Table TYF. 1.

TABLE TYE. 6 Percentage of two-year college mathematics programs teaching selected mathematics courses in the fall terms of 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.

|  |  | Percentage of two-year colleges <br> teaching course |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course <br> number | Type of course | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 |
| 11 | Mainstream Calculus I | 94 | 82 | 79 | 80 |
| 16 | Differential Equations | 59 | 25 | 21 | 25 |
| 17 | Linear Algebra | 39 | 19 | 19 | 24 |
| 18 | Discrete Mathematics | 19 | 12 | 11 | 12 |
| 19 | Elementary Statistics (with or w/o Probability) | 83 | 78 | 73 | 83 |
| 21 | Finite Mathematics | 32 | 28 | 27 | 23 |
| 22 | Mathematics for Liberal Arts | 50 | 56 | 44 | 62 |
| 23 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I ${ }^{1}$ | 49 | 59 | 55 | 41 |
| 28 | Technical Mathematics (non-calculus-based) | 36 | 35 | 26 | 38 |
| 29 | Technical Mathematics (calculus-based) | 9 | 5 | 3 | 9 |

[^13]Though making up about two-thirds (67\%) of total faculty by headcount, part-time faculty taught slightly more than one-third (36\%; 4 SEs) of mathematics program class sections in fall 2015, down ten percentage points from 2010 (46\%). See Table TYE.9. For historical reference, in fall 2000, $46 \%$ of class sections were taught by part-time faculty. In fall 1995, this figure was $38 \%$.

Concerning the instructional issue of which types of courses are taught most often by part-time faculty, the pattern in fall 2015 continued from fall 2010. Once again in fall 2015, it was more likely that a part-time faculty member was teaching a course
below calculus, than a calculus course. In particular, forty-six percent ( $46 \%$; 10 SEs ) of all precollege level sections were taught by part-time faculty, down twelve points compared with 2010 . Fifteen percent (15\%; 2 SEs) of Mainstream Calculus sections were taught by part-time faculty, up four points from 2010. Twenty-nine percent ( $29 \%$ with 10 SEs) of Non-mainstream Calculus sections were taught by part-time faculty, up two points from 2010. See Tables TYE. 9 and TYE.9.1.

TABLE TYE. 7 Average on-campus section size by type of course in mathematics programs at two-year colleges in fall 2005, 2010, and 2015. Also percentage of sections with enrollment above 30 in fall 2010 and 2015.

|  |  |  | 2010 |  | 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course numbers ${ }^{1}$ | Type of course ${ }^{2}$ | 2005 average section size | Average section size | Percentage of sections with size > 30 | Average section size | Percentage of sections with size > 30 |
| 1-5 | Precollege Level | 23.9 | 24.0 | 20\% | 19.2 | 19\% |
| 6-10 | Precalculus Level | 23.6 | 26.0 | 34\% | 24.7 | 31\% |
| 11-16 | Calculus Level | 20.0 | 21.0 | 25\% | 25.4 | 34\% |
| 19-20 | Elem. Statistics, Probability | 25.9 | 28.0 | 38\% | 25.5 | 33\% |
| 1-31 | Total, all courses | 23.0 | 24.0 | 23\% | 21.7 | 25\% |

[^14]TABLE TYE.7.1 Average distance learning section size by type of course in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges in fall 2015. Also percentage of departments with enrollment above 30 in fall 2015.

| Course <br> number ${ }^{1}$ | Type of course ${ }^{2}$ | 2015 average <br> section size | Percentage of 2015 <br> departments with average <br> size $>30$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $1-5$ | Precollege Level | 22.6 | $18 \%$ |
| $6-10$ | Precalculus Level | 20.1 | $9 \%$ |
| $11-16$ | Calculus Level | 18.7 | $18 \%$ |
| $19-20$ | Statistics, Probability | 22.5 | $21 \%$ |
| $1-31$ | Total, all courses | 20.7 | $17 \%$ |

[^15]TABLE TYE. 8 Average on-campus section size for public two-year college mathematics program courses in fall 2015.

| Course number | Type of course | Average section size | Course number | Type of course | Average section size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Arithmetic \& Basic Mathematics | 20 | 17 | Linear Algebra | 23 |
| 2 | Pre-algebra | 24 | 18 | Discrete Mathematics | 27 |
| 3 | Elementary Algebra (High School level) | 23 | 19 | Elementary Statistics (with or w/o Probability) | 25 |
| 4 | Intermediate Algebra (High School level) | 15 | 20 | Probability (with or w/o Statistics) | 35 |
| 5 | Geometry (High School level) | 30 | 21 | Finite Mathematics | 28 |
| 6 | College Algebra (above Intermediate Algebra) | 25 | 22 | Mathematics for Liberal Arts | 20 |
| 7 | Trigonometry | 24 | 23 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I | 19 |
| 8 | College Algebra \& Trigonometry (combined) | 25 | 24 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers II | 19 |
| 9 | Introduction to Mathematical Modeling | 10 | 25 | Other Mathematics Courses for Teacher Preparation | 16 |
| 10 | Precalculus/Elem Functions/Analytic Geometry | 26 | 26 | Business Math (not transferable) | 19 |
| 11 | Mainstream Calculus I | 26 | 27 | Business Math (transferable) | 24 |
| 12 | Mainstream Calculus II | 26 | 28 | Technical Math (non-calculusbased) | 15 |
| 13 | Mainstream Calculus III | 24 | 29 | Technical Math (calculus-based) | 20 |
| 14 | Non-mainstream Calculus I | 26 | 30 | Other Mathematics Courses (not transferable) | 22 |
| 15 | Non-mainstream Calculus II | 26 | 31 | Other Mathematics Courses (transferable) | 21 |
| 16 | Differential Equations | 22 |  |  |  |

## Instructional Practices and Curricular Changes in Mathematics Programs

Reflecting on historical CBMS survey data regarding instructional practices displayed in Table TYE.10, CBMS2005 presented the percentage of class sections in mathematics courses at public two-year colleges that employed the instructional practices of using graphic calculators, writing assignments, computer assignments group projects, online resource systems, and standard lecture methods. At that time, the predominant instructional method was the standard lecture format. Reflecting changes in mathematics instruction practices, CBMS2010 responders were asked to report on faculty use of computer algebra systems, commercially produced electronic instructional packages, and the standard lecture method.

In CBMS2015, responders were asked to report on sections with common department exams and the use of homework management systems (Table TYE.10). Historical data is not available on instructional practices as each CBMS survey focuses on specific practices at the time of each survey.

Regarding the 2015 data collected, the following observations can be made from data in TYE.10:

- Common Department exams were most prevalent in Precollege level courses with a range of 45-67\% and in 39-65\% of Statistics and Probability sections of on-campus sections.
- The use of Homework Management systems was prevalent in most courses, particularly Precollege level, Non-Mainstream Calculus, Finite Math and Statistics and Probability.

TABLE TYE.8.1 Average distance learning section size for public two-year college mathematics program courses in fall 2015.

| Course number | Type of course | Average section size | Course number | Type of course | Average section size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Arithmetic \& Basic Mathematics | 18 | 17 | Linear Algebra | 17 |
| 2 | Pre-algebra | 20 | 18 | Discrete Mathematics | 24 |
| 3 | Elementary Algebra (High School level) | 23 | 19 | Elementary Statistics (with or w/o Probability) | 19 |
| 4 | Intermediate Algebra (High School level) | 22 | 20 | Probability (with or w/o Statistics) | 26 |
| 5 | Geometry (High School level) | NA | 21 | Finite Mathematics | 23 |
| 6 | College Algebra (above Intermed. Alg.) | 20 | 22 | Mathematics for Liberal Arts | 20 |
| 7 | Trigonometry | 15 | 23 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I | 14 |
| 8 | College Algebra \& Trigonometry (combined) | 13 | 24 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers II | 13 |
| 9 | Introduction to Mathematical Modeling | 23 | 25 | Other Mathematics Courses for Teacher Preparation | NA |
| 10 | Precalculus/Elem Functions/Analytic Geometry | 20 | 26 | Business Math (not transferable) | 19 |
| 11 | Mainstream Calculus I | 17 | 27 | Business Math (transferable) | 18 |
| 12 | Mainstream Calculus II | 14 | 28 | Technical Math (non-calculusbased) | 16 |
| 13 | Mainstream Calculus III | 11 | 29 | Technical Math (calculus-based) | 27 |
| 14 | Non-mainstream Calculus I | 24 | 30 | Other Mathematics Courses (not transferable) | 17 |
| 15 | Non-mainstream Calculus II | NA | 31 | Other Mathematics Courses (transferable) | 21 |
| 16 | Differential Equations | 17 |  |  |  |

NA = Not applicable.

In Table TYE.10, the reader will note the small number of percentages in some categories and with the number of sections taught in each modality totaling more than $100 \%$ for every course. A possible reason for the incomplete data may be that department chairs (or persons completing the survey) were not always sure which instructional practice is used by instructors, and/or that it was difficult to collect such data. In spite of the gaps, the writers of this summary felt that the data in the table should be presented as collected. This situation was also experienced in the 2010 survey data.

Data and analysis on how first-year courses were taught at four-year institutions can be found in Chapter 5 of this report in Tables FY. 2 through FY.10. Additional Information about instructional strategies employed at four-year institutions can be
found in Chapter 1, Tables S.6-S. 8 and Table SP. 26 in Chapter 2.

## Redesign of mathematics programs and Pathways

Strategies to improve success/completion rates and to update the curriculum were a result of nationwide discussions starting in 2009. Colleges experimented with accelerated, as well as slower-paced precollege courses, implemented learning communities, and created summer boot camps in Beginning and Intermediate Algebra. Some colleges began to rethink the curriculum, questioning historcially traditional topics, wondering what to emphasize and de-emphasize, and considering new topics more relevant to how people use mathematics. These efforts and discussions led to curricular programs called mathematics "Pathways." By fall 2015, mathematics Pathway courses and course sequences could be found in
TABLE TYE. 9 Number of sections and number and percentage of sections taught by part-time faculty in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges by type of course in fall 2010 and 2015 (excluding distance learning and dual enrollment sections).

|  |  | 2010 |  |  | 2015 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course number ${ }^{1}$ | Type of course | Number of sections | Number of sections taught by part-time faculty | Percentage of sections taught by part-time faculty | Number of sections | Number of sections taught by part-time faculty | Percentage of sections taught by part-time faculty |
| 1-5 | Precollege level | 45131 | 26069 | 58\% | 36108 | 16515 | 46\% |
| 6-10 | Precalculus level | 12588 | 3940 | 31\% | 15793 | 5173 | 33\% |
| 11-13 | Mainstream Calculus | 5155 | 558 | 11\% | 4396 | 666 | 15\% |
| 14-15 | Non-mainstream Calculus | 959 | 259 | 27\% | 882 | 254 | 29\% |
| 16-18 | Advanced level | 616 | 69 | 11\% | 761 | 62 | 8\% |
| 19-20 | Statistics, Probability | 4090 | 1573 | 38\% | 9661 | 1977 | 21\% |
| 21-27 | Service courses | 5673 | 2258 | 40\% | 7014 | 2053 | 29\% |
| 28-29 | Technical mathematics | 1533 | 264 | 17\% | 1433 | 501 | 35\% |
| 30-31 | Other mathematics courses | 2272 | 974 | 43\% | 1845 | 813 | 44\% |
| 1-31 | Total, all courses | 78018 | 35965 | 46\% | 77893 | 28014 | 36\% |

${ }^{1}$ For names of specific courses see Table TYE. 3.


FIGURE TYE.9.1 Proportion of sections of mathematics and statistics courses taught by full-time and by part-time faculty in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges by type of course ${ }^{1}$ in fall 2015.
${ }^{1}$ For names of specific courses see Table TYE 3
many two- and four-year colleges and deemed as an important topic to be surveyed in CBMS2015.

In this survey, Pathways is defined to be "a redesign of a mathematics sequence that provides students with an alternative course or sequence to/through developmental mathematics and to/through a college-level mathematics or statistics course." These curricular changes often involved revisions of course prerequisites in those courses. Availability of Pathways courses and sequences may be the cause of decreased enrollments in traditional Precollege courses at some colleges and increased enrollment in College Algebra, Quantitative Literacy, Mathematics for Liberal Arts and Statistics courses. See Tables TYE. 3 and TYE. 4.

Table TYE. 11 reports that 58\% (5 SEs) of responding two-year colleges implemented a Pathways course sequence in fall 2015. Some colleges implemented multiple courses and more than 193,000 students enrolled in Pathways courses. The following Pathways courses were implemented in the given percentage of mathematics departments: Foundations (51\%; 7 SEs), Quantitative Reasoning/Literacy (59\%; 8 SEs), Statistics ( $63 \%$; 6 SEs), and Other courses (32\%; 9 SEs).

Significant changes between 2010 and 2015 were found in the areas of content, delivery methods and instructional strategies in Precollege, College-level Non-STEM, STEM, and Statistics courses as presented in Table TYE.11.1. Many of these changes were the result of the redesign efforts mentioned above. Changes in content including students collecting and analyzing data, solving contextually-based problems, focus on quantitative reasoning and less symbol manipulation were reported in a range of $8-38 \%$ of courses in various courses. Alternative delivery methods, such as emporium models, modules, flipped classrooms, accelerated or slower pace courses were most prevalent in Precollege level courses. Group work, handheld devised, computer programs and the internet, spreadsheets, guided questioning and active learning strategies were reported in 5-46\% of responding colleges.

The possible implementation of Pathways programs/ courses at four-year institutions was not surveyed in CBMS2015. Table SP. 26 in Chapter 2 reports that $58 \%$ ( 6 SEs ) of four-year mathematics and statistics departments implemented inquiry-based classes, $58 \%$ (4 SEs) flipped classes, 66\% (5 SEs) activity based

TABLE TYE. 10 Percentage of on-campus sections using different instructional methods by course in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges in fall 2015.

|  |  | Percentage of sections taught that |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course <br> Number | Type of course | Have common Department exams \% | Use a Homework Management system \% | Total number of on-campus sections in fall 2015 |
| 1 | Arithmetic \& Basic Mathematics | 67 | 72 | 3070 |
| 2 | Pre-algebra | 64 | 80 | 4986 |
| 3 | Elementary Algebra (High School level) | 61 | 68 | 10198 |
| 4 | Intermediate Algebra (High School level) | 38 | 43 | 17580 |
| 5 | Geometry (High School level) | 45 | 32 | 274 |
| 6 | College Algebra (above Intermed. Algebra) | 49 | 68 | 10333 |
| 7 | Trigonometry | 19 | 53 | 1900 |
| 8 | College Algebra \& Trigonometry (combined) | 15 | 50 | 499 |
| 9 | Introduction to Mathematical Modeling | 5 | 47 | 116 |
| 10 | Precalculus/Elem Functions/Analytic Geometry | 31 | 61 | 2947 |
| 11 | Mainstream Calculus I | 12 | 36 | 2405 |
| 12 | Mainstream Calculus II | 14 | 32 | 1241 |
| 13 | Mainstream Calculus III | 14 | 33 | 749 |
| 14 | Non-mainstream Calculus I | 9 | 66 | 880 |
| 15 | Non-mainstream Calculus II | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 16 | Differential Equations | 5 | 25 | 311 |
| 17 | Linear Algebra | 4 | 22 | 280 |
| 18 | Discrete Mathematics | 6 | 13 | 169 |
| 19 | Elementary Statistics (with or w/o Probability) | 39 | 55 | 8915 |
| 20 | Probability (with or w/o Statistics) | 65 | 65 | 745 |
| 21 | Finite Mathematics | 10 | 77 | 1291 |
| 22 | Mathematics for Liberal Arts | 43 | 57 | 3996 |
| 23 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I | 27 | 30 | 514 |
| 24 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers II | 32 | 48 | 118 |
| 25 | Other Mathematics Courses for Teacher Preparation | 42 | 79 | 51 |
| 26 | Business Math (not transferable) | 24 | 38 | 670 |
| 27 | Business Math (transferable) | 14 | 23 | 373 |
| 28 | Technical Math (non-calculus-based) | 41 | 48 | 1265 |
| 29 | Technical Math (calculus-based) | 13 | 47 | 168 |
| 30 | Other Mathematics Courses (not transferable) | 58 | 75 | 1348 |
| 31 | Other Mathematics Courses (transferable) | 21 | 79 | 497 |

TABLE TYE. 11 Percentage of mathematics programs at public two-year colleges which implemented a "Pathways", course sequence, the types of courses implemented, and the Fall 2015 enrollment.

|  | Percentage |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pathways course | Yes | No | Fall 2015 Enrollment |
| Implemented a Pathways course sequence | 58 | 42 |  |
| Implemented Pathways course in: <br> a. Foundations <br> b. Quantitative Reasoning/Literacy <br> c. Statistics <br> d. Other | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \\ & 59 \\ & 63 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | 49 <br> 41 <br> 37 <br> 68 | $\begin{aligned} & 76338 \\ & 45203 \\ & 56342 \\ & 14631 \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Pathways is defined to be a redesign of a mathematics sequence that provides students with an alternative
course or sequence to/through developmental mathematics and to/through a college-level mathematics or
statistics course.
lessons, and 86\% (3 SEs) used technology to develop conceptual understanding.

While the impact of mathematics Pathways needs to be studied as implementation and improvements continue across the country, possible decreases observed in CBMS2015 Precollege enrollment data and increases in College Algebra, Precalculus, and Statistics, might be related to Pathways initiatives and/or other curricular changes.

## Distance learning courses and MOOCs

In CBMS2015, as in 2010 and 2005, distance learning was defined as "a course in which the majority of instruction occurs with the instructor and the students separated by time and/or place." The CBMS2005 survey inquired about the number of course sections taught via distance. In 2015 and 2010 data about distance learning courses was collected including information about both course enrollment and number of class sections. The change was motivated by the fact that distance-learning sections are not bound by room-size limits and can vary dramatically depending on local administrative practice. The comments that precede Table E. 4 in Chapter 3 discuss the survey questions in CBMS2015 about distance learning for both four-year and two-year colleges. Additional discussion and tables about distance learning enrollments and instructional strategies for both two-year and four-year institutions are included in Chapter 2, Tables SP.8-SP. 10.

Using enrollment data, not section counts, the fall 2015 data for two-year colleges (Table TYE. 12 and Table E. 4 in Chapter 5) reported that almost $12 \%$ ( 1 SE ) mathematics students enrolled via distance (225,000 students of the total $1,918,000$ students; SE 25,000), an increase of three points from 2010. Comparing 2015 to 2010, two-year colleges had increases in the number of students enrolled in distance learning courses in all Precollege courses, College Algebra, Precalculus, Mainstream Calculus I, II, and III, Statistics, and Mathematics for Liberal Arts.

Elementary Algebra and College Algebra had the largest student enrollment in fall 2015 distance learning enrollment of 38,000 students each (SE 10,000 and 5,000 respectively). Intermediate Algebra was next largest with 33,000 (SE 5,000) students, followed by Statistics with 31,000 (SE 4,000). Largest distance learning percentage of individual course enrollment in courses with greater than 10,000 students was reported in Mathematics for Liberal Arts (19\%, 3 SEs), Arithmetic (13\%; 5 SEs), Elementary Algebra (14\%; 3 SEs), and College Algebra (13\%; 1 SE). See Table TYE. 12.

As reported in Tables TYE 7.1 and 8.1, the total average section size for all distance learning courses was 21 (SE 1) students, ranging from 11 to 27 students. Sections sizes in Precollege courses (course numbers 1-5) ranged from 18-23 students and averaged 23 (SE 1) students. Precalculus (course numbers 6-10) average section sizes ranged from 13-23 students and

TABLE TYE.11.1 Percentage of mathematics programs at public two-year colleges reporting significant change in last five years, by type of course, and by content, delivery methods, and instructional strategies.

| Area of change and activity | Arithmetic, Pre- <br> Algebra, Beginning Algebra, Intermediate Algebra | Statistics | College-Level NonSTEM: College Algebra, Math for Liberal Arts, Finite Math, Quantitative Reasoning | STEM: College Algebra/ Trigonometry, Precalculus, Calculus and above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Content |  |  |  |  |
| i) Students collect, organize, and analyze real data | 12 | 36 | 20 | 13 |
| ii) Student solves contextuallybased problems/applications | 26 | 31 | 34 | 38 |
| iii) Course includes modeling | 15 | 21 | 23 | 29 |
| iv) <br> Course focuses on quantitative reasoning | 27 | 23 | 36 | 16 |
| Course has less symbol manipulation and more emphasis on conceptual understanding | 19 | 23 | 28 | 8 |
| Delivery Methods |  |  |  |  |
| i) Emporium model | 33 | 2 | 5 | 6 |
| ii) Students complete prescribed modules | 36 | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| iii) Flipped Classroom | 16 | 9 | 16 | 15 |
| iv) Accelerated pace | 43 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| v) Slower pace | 11 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| Instructional Strategies routinely include: |  |  |  |  |
| i) Group work | 35 | 30 | 35 | 24 |
| ii) Use of handheld devices | 15 | 26 | 25 | 26 |
| iii) <br> Use of computer programs or internet | 46 | 31 | 36 | 34 |
| iv) Use of Excel spreadsheets | 9 | 31 | 18 | 5 |
| v) Guided questioning and less lecturing | 27 | 17 | 26 | 19 |
| vi) Active learning strategies | 38 | 33 | 42 | 33 |

averaged 20 (SE 1) students. Mainstream Calculus and Non-mainstream Calculus section sizes ranged from 11-24 students and averaged 19 (SE 4) students. The percentage of distance learning courses with an average section size greater than 30 was $17 \%$ (4 SEs). Comparing the section sizes of distance learning courses to face-to-face section sizes, distance learning section size was less than the face-to-face courses, except for Intermediate Algebra, Introduction to Mathematical Modeling, Discrete Mathematics, and Technical Mathematics. See Tables 7.1 and 8.1.

CBMS2010 also collected data on characteristics of distance learning courses and programs in two-year colleges (see Table TYE. 12.1 and Tables SP. 9 and SP. 10 in Chapter 2). Eighty-seven percent (87\%; 4 SEs) of mathematics departments taught distance learning courses with 69\% (6 SEs) of those courses taught completely online. Ninety-seven percent (97\%; 3 SEs) of mathematics programs used the same course outlines for distance learning as face-to-face classes. Instructional materials were a combination of materials created by faculty and commercially produced products in 67\% ( 5 SEs ) of the departments. Distance learning students took the majority of tests at monitored testing sites at $47 \%$ (5 SEs) of the reporting colleges. Transfer credit for distance learning courses not taught by faculty at the reporting institution was awarded at 58\% (5 SEs) of reporting colleges. Distance Learning instructors are evaluated in the same way that face-to-face instructors are evaluated at 93\% (3 SEs) of responding colleges. See Table TYE.12.1.

A more detailed discussion about trends in distance learning enrollment in four-year institutions can be found in Table E. 4 in Chapter 3 and in the discussion in Chapter 2 proceeding Tables SP. 9 and SP. 10. At four-year mathematics departments in fall 2010, the percentage of distance learning enrollments in Precollege level, College Algebra/Trigonometry/ Pre-Calculus, Calculus I, and Statistics were 4\%, $3 \%, 0.6 \%$, and $6 \%$ respectively. In 2015 , while the number of students enrolled in distance learning in four-year mathematics departments was less than at two-year colleges, data showed that percentage of distance learning enrollments in Precollege level, College Algebra/Trigonometry/Pre-Calculus, Calculus I, and Statistics were $3 \%, 5 \%, 3 \%$, and $7 \%$ respectively in four-year mathematics departments.

Distance learning delivery and course design can present unique challenges for departments. "Maintaining a level of rigor in distance learning mathematics courses equivalent to courses offered face-to-face" was reported to be "somewhat of a challenge" by $41 \%$ ( 5 SEs ) of two-year colleges and a "very significant challenge" by 17\% (5 SEs). Forty percent ( $40 \%$; 6 SEs) of colleges stated that "student success rates in online distance mathematics courses are lower than face-to-face courses" with similar content
presented a "very significant challenge" to the department. See Table TYE. 12.2.

The 2015 survey asked two-year and four-year mathematics departments if, during the academic years 2013-15, the department had offered a MOOC (massive open online course) for credit. Out of all the institutions surveyed, one four-year (bachelors-level) mathematics department, one (doctoral-level) statistics department, and two two-year colleges responded "yes." The two-year colleges reported teaching courses in statistics, developmental mathematics, and collegelevel courses below, and above, calculus-level courses. The four-year mathematics departments taught one or more courses that were college-level, but below calculus, and statistics. The statistics department taught a course that required previous statistical knowledge. Given the few responses, and large SEs, estimates of the percentage of departments offering MOOCs and the enrollments in MOOCs are not included in this report. That is, given the rarity of such MOOCs, a different sample might show a different distribution of courses and different statistics.

## Placement testing, Math Clubs, independent study, honors programs, programs for minorities, programs for women, and outreach projects in K-12 schools

Table TYE. 13 reported that diagnostic or placement/diagnostic testing was available in 94\% (4 SEs) of two-year colleges in fall 2015, up four points from 2010. Seventy-eight percent ( $78 \% ; 4$ SEs) of these colleges usually required such testing mandatory for first-time students, and $79 \%$ ( 4 SEs ) of the colleges responding periodically assess the effectiveness of their placement tests. Advising by a member of the mathematics faculty occurred in 49\% (6 SEs) of responding colleges, up seven points from 2010.

Tables TYE. 13 in this chapter and Tables SP. 14 and SP. 15 in Chapter 2, report specific outside-ofclass opportunities for two-year college mathematics students. Notable increases in participation occurred in opportunities for students to participate in various activities: mathematics clubs ( $32 \%$ in 2015 ; 5 SEs, compared to $31 \%$ in 2010) and lectures/colloquia not part of mathematics clubs (21\% in 2015; 4 SEs, compared to $16 \%$ in 2010), undergraduate research activities ( $17 \%$ in 2015; 3 SEs, compared to $14 \%$ in 2010). Participation in mathematics contests was down one point to $40 \%$ ( 5 SEs ) of colleges. Independent studies in mathematics increased five points to $41 \%$ ( 6 SEs). Since 1995, honors sections in mathematics programs have gone up and down, from $17 \%$ in 1995 to $20 \%$ in 2000 to $24 \%$ in 2005 , back down to $20 \%$ in 2010 and up to $28 \%$ ( 4 SEs ) in 2015. Special programs to encourage minorities in mathematics were reported in $15 \%$ (of two-year colleges in 2005 and down to $11 \%$ in 2010, and back up to $15 \%$ (3 SEs) in 2015.
TABLE TYE. 12 Percentage of distance-learning enrollments (distance-learning courses are courses in which the majority of instruction occurs with the instructor and the students separated by time and/or place) among all enrollments (excluding dual enrollments) at public two-year colleges in fall 2010 and 2015, and total enrollments (in 1000s) in those courses.

|  |  | 2010 | 2010 | 2010 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course Number | Type of course | Total Enrollments ${ }^{1}$ (1000s) | Distance Enrollments (1000s) | Percentage Distance Enrollments | Total Enrollments ${ }^{1}$ (1000s) | Distance Enrollments (1000s) | Percentage Distance Enrollments |
| 1 | Arithmetic \& Basic Mathematics | 146 | 11 | 7\% | 71 | 9 | 13\% |
| 2 | Pre-algebra | 226 | 14 | 6\% | 127 | 9 | 7\% |
| 3 | Elementary Algebra (High School level) | 428 | 37 | 9\% | 277 | 38 | 14\% |
| 4 | Intermediate Algebra (High School level) | 344 | 25 | 7\% | 299 | 33 | 11\% |
| 5 | Geometry (High School level) | 6 | 0 | 0\% | 8 | 0 | 0\% |
| 6 | College Algebra (above Intermed. Algebra) | 230 | 32 | 14\% | 292 | 38 | 13\% |
| 7 | Trigonometry | 45 | 4 | 10\% | 51 | 4 | 9\% |
| 8 | College Algebra \& Trigonometry (combined) | 11 | 1 | 12\% | 13 | 1 | 7\% |
| 9 | Introduction to Mathematical Modeling | 18 | 1 | 4\% | 2 | 1 | 46\% |
| 10 | Precalculus/ Elementary Functions/ Analytic Geometry | 64 | 3 | 5\% | 87 | 10 | 12\% |
| 11 | Mainstream Calculus I | 65 | 2 | 3\% | 66 | 4 | 6\% |
| 12 | Mainstream Calculus II | 29 | 0 | 1\% | 34 | 2 | 5\% |
| 13 | Mainstream Calculus III | 15 | 0 | 0\% | 19 | 1 | 4\% |
| 14 | Non-mainstream Calculus I | 20 | 2 | 8\% | 26 | 3 | 13\% |
| 15 | Non-mainstream Calculus II | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| 16 | Differential Equations | 6 | 0 | 2\% | 7 | 0 | 1\% |
| 17 | Linear Algebra | 5 | 0 | 4\% | 7 | 0 | 6\% |
| 18 | Discrete Mathematics | 2 | 0 | 12\% | 5 | 1 | 13\% |

Note: 0\% means less than one-half of one percent.
${ }^{1}$ Does not include dual enrollments.
TABLE TYE. 12 (continued) Percentage of distance-learning enrollments (distance-learning courses are courses in which the majority of instruction occurs with the instructor and the students separated by time and/or place) among all enrollments (excluding dual enrollments) at public two-year colleges in fall 2010 and 2015, and total enrollments (in 1000s) in those courses.

|  |  | 2010 | 2010 | 2010 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course <br> Number | Type of course | Total Enrollments ${ }^{1}$ (1000s) | $\qquad$ | Percentage <br> Distance <br> Enrollments | Total Enrollments ${ }^{1}$ (1000s) | $\qquad$ | Percentage <br> Distance <br> Enrollments |
| 19 | Elementary Statistics (with or w/o Probability) | 134 | 23 | 17\% | 251 | 31 | 12\% |
| 20 | Probability (with or w/o Statistics) | 3 | 0 | 7\% | 28 | 2 | 9\% |
| 21 | Finite Mathematics | 18 | 2 | 11\% | 40 | 4 | 11\% |
| 22 | Math for Liberal Arts | 91 | 15 | 17\% | 97 | 19 | 19\% |
| 23 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I | 21 | 2 | 11\% | 12 | 2 | 17\% |
| 24 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers II | 8 | 2 | 20\% | 3 | 1 | 32\% |
| 25 | Other Mathematics Courses for Teacher Preparation | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
| 26 | Business Math (not transferable) | 16 | 3 | 19\% | 16 | 3 | 21\% |
| 27 | Business Math (transferable) | 4 | 0 | 7\% | 10 | 1 | 11\% |
| 28 | Technical Math (non-calculus) | 17 | 1 | 7\% | 21 | 3 | 12\% |
| 29 | Technical Math (calculus) | 1 | 0 | 37\% | 3 | 0 | 6\% |
| 30 | Other Math Courses (not transferable) | 33 | 2 | 7\% | 31 | 2 | 7\% |
| 31 | Other Math Courses (transferable) | 14 | 3 | 19\% | 12 | 1 | 13\% |
|  | Total Enrollments | 2024 | 188 | 9\% | 1918 | 225 | 12\% |

[^16]TABLE TYE.12.1 Percentage of mathematics programs reporting use of distance learning in public two-year colleges in fall 2015.

| A. Award transfer credit for distance learning not taught by faculty at your instituion <br> a. Yes <br> b. No | 58 42 |
| :---: | :---: |
| B. Limit distance learning credits that can be counted toward graduation <br> a. Yes <br> b. No | 1 99 |
| C. Department taught distance learning courses in 2013-2015 <br> a. Yes <br> b. No | 87 13 |
| D. Instructional materials created by: <br> a. Faculty <br> b. Commercially produced materials <br> c. Combination of both | 14 19 67 |
| E. Format of majority of distance learning <br> a. Complete online <br> b. Hybrid <br> c. Other | 69 22 8 |
| F. Requirements of distance learning faculty to meet with students <br> a. Never <br> b. For scheduled meetings <br> c. Specified office hours per week <br> d. Not applicable | 5 12 32 51 |
| G. How distance learning students take majority of tests <br> a. Not monitored <br> b. Online, but using monitoring technology <br> c. At monitored testing site <br> d. Combination of above | 11 10 47 32 |
| H. Distance learning practices <br> a. Same exams as in face-to-face <br> b. Same outlines as in face-to-face <br> c. Same course projects <br> d. More course projects than in non-distance learning course | 67 97 77 12 |
| I. Distance learning instructors evaluated in same way <br> a. Yes <br> b. No | 93 7 |

TABLE TYE.12.2 Percentage of departments with distance learning that described various factors as significant challenges or somewhat of a challenge in fall 2015.

| Type of course | No <br> challenge | Somewhat of <br> a challenge | Very <br> significant <br> challenge |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Maintaining a standard and reliable network/user platform. | 54 | 38 | 8 |
| B. Maintaining a level of rigor in distance learning mathematics <br> courses equivalent to courses offered face-to-face. | 42 | 41 | 17 |
| C. Faculty knowledge about technology. | 56 | 35 | 8 |
| D. Student success rates in online distance mathematics courses <br> are lower than face-to-face courses with similar content. | 22 | 38 | 40 |
| E. Student success rates in online distance mathematics courses <br> are higher than face-to-face courses with similar content. | 62 | 33 | 4 |

Special programs to encourage women in mathematics increased nine points to $15 \%$ ( 3 SEs ) in 2015.

Chapter 2 of this report also contains a comparison of academic services and other opportunities available to both four-year college students and to two-year college students in fall 2015. See Tables SP. 12 and SP. 13 in that chapter. In $2015, \mathrm{~K}-12$ outreach opportunities increased again, up twelve points from 2010 to $46 \%$ ( 4 SEs ), even though enrollment in the course Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers had decreased (see Table TYE.3). Similarly, opportunities for involvement with K-12 schools increased in fouryear colleges from up one point to $50 \%$ ( 4 SEs ) in 2015. Additional discussion about teacher training in two-year colleges appears at the end of this chapter and in Chapter 2, Tables SP.2, SP.3, and SP. 12.

CBMS2015 and CBMS2010 did not attempt to survey the habits of mathematics students related to academic services or the amount of time spent by faculty in these areas. Data of this kind has been collected by other entities. One resource is the Community College Survey of Student Engagement (CCSSE), conducted under the auspices of the Center for Community College Student Engagement Leadership Program at The University of Texas at Austin since 2001. The 2016 CCSSE Survey collected data from 701 colleges in 46 states, the District of Columbia, three Canadian provinces, plus Micronesia, Guam, and the Marshall Islands. Additional information can be found at http://www.ccsse.org/survey/ reports/2016/overview.cfm.

## Mathematics Courses Taught Outside of the Mathematics Programs

Two-year colleges have a long history of offering mathematics courses in instructional units outside of the mathematics program. Tables TYE.14, TYE.14.1,

TYE. 15, and TYE. 16 give the enrollment in mathematics courses offered outside of mathematics programs. These enrollments were estimated by mathematics program department chairs. Thus, the estimates may not be as accurate as the numbers given for enrollment within mathematics programs. These enrollments are not included in course enrollment data in earlier tables in CBMS2015.

In fall 2015, the total enrollment in a collection of mathematics courses taught outside the department was reported to be 129,000 (SE 24,000) students, a $15 \%$ ( 1 SE ) decrease from 2010 to 2015, after a $19 \%$ decrease from 2005 to 2010. Seventyeight percent ( $78 \%, 101,000$ students) of those enrollments was in Precollege courses (Arithmetic/ Pre-algebra, Elementary and Intermediate Algebra), similar to 2010. Statistics and Probability, Business Mathematics, and Technical Mathematics comprised the remaining $22 \%$ of the enrollment taught outside of mathematics departments $(28,000$ students with 9,000 SE). See Table TYE. 14.

Eighty percent ( $80 \%$ ) of the courses listed above were taught in a developmental education department or division (103,000 students) outside of the mathematics department. Arithmetic and Elementary Algebra and Technical Mathematics were taught within Occupational Programs and Elementary Statistics/ Probability and Business Mathematics were taught in Business divisions. See Table TYE. 15.

The largest component of the outside mathematics enrollment described above was in Precollege developmental courses. The structure of Precollege course offerings within a particular college is determined by the institution's philosophy concerning developmental education. A student might have taken all developmental courses (mathematics, reading, and writing) in a self-contained unit devoted to developmental

TABLE TYE. 13 Percentage of two-year colleges offering various opportunities and services to mathematics students in fall 2005, 2010, and 2015.

| Opportunity/Service | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Diagnostic or placement testing | 97 | 90 | 94 |
| a. Colleges that usually require placement tests of <br> first-time enrollees | 97 | 100 | 78 |
| b. Colleges that periodically assess the effectiveness of <br> their placement tests | 81 | 75 | 79 |
| B. Advising by a member of the mathematics faculty | 40 | 42 | 49 |
| C. Opportunities to compete in mathematics contests | 37 | 41 | 40 |
| D. Honors sections | 24 | 20 | 28 |
| E. Mathematics club | 22 | 31 | 32 |
| F. Special mathematics programs to encourage minorities | 15 | 11 | 15 |
| G. Lectures/colloquia for students, not part of math club | 6 | 16 | 21 |
| H. Special mathematics programs to encourage women | 7 | 6 | 15 |
| I. K-12 outreach opportunities | 25 | 32 | 46 |
| J. Undergraduate research opportunities | 9 | 14 | 17 |
| K. Independent mathematics studies | 38 | 36 | 41 |
| L. Other | 4 | 13 | 5 |

TABLE TYE. 14 Estimated enrollment (in 1000s) in mathematics and statistics courses taught outside of mathematics programs at two-year colleges in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.

|  |  | Enrollment (in 1000s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course <br> Number | Type of course | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 |
| $1-2$ | Arithmetic \& Basic Math, Pre-algebra | 43 | 60 | 48 | 38 |
| 3 | Elementary Algebra (High School level) | 27 | 65 | 38 | 36 |
| 4 | Intermediate Algebra (High School level) | 10 | 26 | 29 | 27 |
| $19-20$ | Elementary Statistics, Probability | 7 | 12 | 12 | 13 |
| $26-27$ | Business Mathematics | 18 | 15 | 19 | 7 |
| $28-29$ | Technical Mathematics | Total | 110 | 18 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  | 152 | 129 |

studies, or developmental courses were offered as part of the disciplinary curriculum.

The earliest CBMS survey for which "outside" Precollege mathematics enrollment data are available on a course-by-course basis was in 1990. The following percentages are obtained by using Table TYE. 3 and Table TYE. 14, tracing the pattern of enrollment outside the mathematics program from 1990 to 2015 in Arithmetic, Elementary Algebra and Intermediate Algebra as a percentage of the total enrollment in the course.
$\left.\begin{array}{lccccccc} & 1990 & & 1995 & & 2000 & & 2005 \\ & \underline{2010} & \underline{2015} \\ \text { Arithmetic/Pre-algebra } & 18 \% & 19 \% & & 17 \% & 20 \% & & 13 \%\end{array}\right)$

Looking only at percentages of total enrollment is part of the story. From 2010 to 2015, actual enrollment changes in Arithmetic/Prealgebra, Elementary Algebra and Intermediate Algebra of $-10,000,-2,000$, and $-2,000$ students, respectively, along with overall enrollment decreases in these courses, further high-
light the downturn in Precollege enrollments in fall 2015.

Fluctuations in the numbers of outside the mathematics department enrollment may be influenced by the fact that the mathematics department chairs, who do not manage these outside programs, were responsible for estimating the numbers.

Table TYE. 16 shows 32\% (5 SEs) of colleges reported some part of their precollege mathematics program was administered separately from the mathematics program, up from $29 \%$ in 2010 , but the similar to 2005.

## Topics of Special Interest for Mathematics Programs at Two-Year Colleges

In each CBMS survey cycle, certain topics of special interest are chosen for data collection and comprehensive analysis across both two-year and four-year colleges or for two-year or four-year institutions individually. Special topics for two-year and four-year institutions are discussed in Chapter 2 and/or 6 of this report. Additional questions were added in CBMS2015 regarding the various options available in


FIGURE TYE.14.1 Estimated enrollment (in 1000s) in mathematics and statistics courses taught outside of mathematics programs at two-year colleges in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.
mathematics Pathways and course redesign (Tables TYE. 11 and TYE.11.1), and distance learning courses and practices (Tables SP.8-SP. 10 in Chapter 2 and Tables TYE.12, TYE.12.1, and TYE.12.2). Pre-service education of teachers (Tables SP.2, SP.3, and SP. 4 in Chapter 2) and data on dual enrollment courses and faculty (Table SP. 19 in Chapter 2) are discussed below.

## Scope and organization of pre-service mathematics education for K-8 teachers

CBMS2015 continued an inquiry begun in 2000 about the level of involvement of two-year college mathematics programs in the mathematical education of future mathematics teachers. These data are reported primarily among the special topics in Chapter 2, Tables SP. 2 and SP. 3.

In the last two decades, involvement in teacher education at two-year colleges has been active as more students turned to them to take required mathematics and education courses. Enrollment in the Mathematics for Elementary Teachers course fall 2010 and 2005 survey data confirm this involvement. However, fall 2015 saw student enrollment drop to 12,000 (SE 2,000; down from 21,000 students in 2010) in the course Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers 1 and a decrease of 5,000 students to 3,000 (SE 1,000) in fall 2015 in the second course, Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers II. See Table TYE.3.

Table TYE. 5 shows that 41\% (5 SEs) of two-year colleges offered the course Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I in fall 2015, compared to $55 \%$ of two-year colleges in fall 2010. For the five-year CBMS
intervals beginning in 1990 through 2015, the percentages of two-year colleges teaching the Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I course are successively $32 \%, 43 \%, 49 \%, 59 \%, 55 \%$, and $41 \%$. The historical growth, and now decrease in 2015, in offerings for this course and other selected courses at two-year colleges, for the five-year CBMS intervals (2000-2015), is reported in TYE.6. As expected, a decrease in fall 2015 is reported in the percentage of colleges in Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers II from $27 \%$ in 2010 to $17 \%$ ( 4 SEs ) in 2015.

Table SP. 2 (Chapter 2) reports on "organized" programs at two-year colleges in which students can obtain their entire mathematics course requirement for teacher licensure. Although 2015 data present decreasing numbers, these data confirm that two-year colleges are involved in teacher education primarily at the K-8 level, though future secondary school teachers often take their lower division mathematics courses at two-year colleges. The single largest component is the program for pre-service elementary school teachers reported by $28 \%$ of two-year colleges in 2015, with a decrease from $41 \%$ in 2010. Pre-service middle school licensure-oriented programs reported a ten-point decrease to $14 \%$ of colleges. Between $5 \%$ and $16 \%$ of two-year colleges reported programs at the elementary or middle school levels for retraining by career switchers moving into teaching. Compared with 2010, all categories of Table SP. 2 showed decreases in percentages of responding colleges.

TABLE TYE. 15 Estimated enrollment (in 1000s) in mathematics courses taught outside of mathematics programs at public two-year colleges, by division where taught, in fall 2015.

|  |  | Mathematics Enrollment (in 1000s) in Other Programs |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course <br> Number | Type of course | Developmental <br> Education <br> Dept/Division | Occupational <br> Programs | Business | Other Depts/ <br> Divisions |
| $1-2$ | Arithmetic \& Basic Math, Pre- <br> algebra | 36 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | Elementary Algebra (High School <br> level) <br> Intermediate Algebra (High School | 24 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| $19-20$ | level) <br> lelementary Statistics, Probability | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $26-27$ | Business Mathematics <br> $28-29$ | Technical Mathematics | 4 | 0 | 3 |

Note: 0 means less than 500 enrollments and this may cause column sums to seem inaccurate.

Table SP. 3 (Chapter 2) reports on other involvements two-year college mathematics programs have with K-8 teacher education. Thirty-five percent (35\%) report that a faculty member is assigned to coordinate mathematics education for future K-8 teachers. About $55 \%$ of the reporting colleges designate special mathematics courses for future (preservice) K-8 teachers and $19 \%$ off a special mathematics course for preservice secondary teachers. Among mathematics departments, 9\% offer mathematics pedagogy courses for future K-8 teachers and 6\% of colleges offer such pedagogy courses outside the mathematics department.

The conclusion from Chapter 2 is that, given the large number of two-year colleges in the United States, even when the percentage of colleges involved in the education of future K-8 teachers is small and enrollments decreased in fall 2015, the impact of two-year colleges on the next generation of K-8 teachers is important.

## Dual Enrollment and Credentials and supervision of dual enrollment faculty

Dual enrollment in CBMS2015 is defined as "a credit structure that allows high school students to receive simultaneous high school and college credit for courses that were taught at a high school by a high school teacher." Data in Chapter 2 (Tables SP. 16 and SP.17) show how that by fall 2015, 94,000 (SE 23,000 ) students were dually enrolled, a $16 \%$ ( 1 SE )
increase from 2010. Of special note in fall 2015 is the $86 \%$ increase of dual enrollment in College Algebra from fall 2010 to fall 2015. Precalculus experienced a $43 \%$ decrease dual enrollment from fall 2010 to fall 2015. Dual enrollment in Calculus decreased $42 \%$, in contrast to dual enrollment in Statistics that increased 66\% in fall 2015. Dual enrollment in "other" courses also decreased. Table SP. 16 also includes data for spring 2015 enrollments. See Table TYE.3.1.

In some cases, a faculty member teaching a dual enrollment course was classified as a part-time faculty member at the two-year college that awarded college credit for the course, even though the salary was paid completely by a third party, e.g., the local school district. In 2015, two-year and four-year institutions assigned and paid their own faculty to teach courses in a high school that awards both high school and college credit in $44 \%$ ( 6 SEs ) and $6 \%$ ( 2 SEs ) of departments respectively. See Table SP. 17 in Chapter 2.

As reported in Tables TYF. 24 and TYF. 25 in Chapter 7, among all survey respondents (including respondents from colleges that do not have dual enrollment arrangements), seven percent ( $7 \%$; 3 SEs) of mathematics program heads in two-year colleges saw dual enrollment courses as a "major" problem, down four points from 2010. Another 36\% (5 SEs) found dual enrollment arrangements "somewhat of a" problem, up twenty points from 2010.

TABLE TYE. 16 Percentage of two-year colleges in which some of the precollege (remedial) mathematics course offerings are administered separately from, and not supervised by, the mathematics program - e.g. in a developmental studies department or program - by type of course in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.

| Mathematics Outside of the Mathematics Department | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of Two-year Colleges with some precollege <br> mathematics courses outside of mathematics <br> department control | 29 | 31 | 29 | 32 |  |
| Course <br> number | Type of Course |  |  |  |  |
| $1-2$ | Arithmetic \& Basic Math, Pre-algebra | 17 | 20 | 24 | 23 |
| 3 | Elementary Algebra (High School level) | 12 | 15 | 13 | 22 |
| 4 | Intermediate Algebra (High School level) | 4 | 7 | 7 | 16 |

# Faculty, Administration, and Special Topics in Mathematics Programs at Two-Year Colleges 

This chapter continues the presentation of data and analysis about mathematics faculty and programs in public two-year colleges. It reports the estimated number, teaching conditions, education, professional activities, age, gender, and ethnicity of the faculty in these mathematics programs in fall 2015. Additional analysis of some items discussed in this chapter can be found in Chapters 1 and 2 where they are discussed from a comprehensive point of view in comparison to similar data for four-year colleges and universities. In particular, Chapter 2 discusses issues related to dual enrollment and distance learning courses. CBMS survey data has been collected since 1965. However, unlike surveys prior to 1995, the mathematics faculty surveyed in 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015 do not include faculty who taught in computer science programs that were separate from mathematics programs. Also, CBMS2005, CBMS2010 and CBMS2015 include data regarding public two-year colleges only. A more detailed statement on this issue occurs at the beginning of Chapter 6. The estimated data in this chapter have not been rounded. Information on the sampling procedure used in the 2015 survey can be found in Appendix II. A copy of the CBBMS2015 two-year college survey questionnaire can be found in Appendix VI.

The term "full-time permanent" faculty is used frequently in this document. Two-year college faculty members in this category have an on-going stable relationship with the college's mathematics programs, are tenured and tenure-eligible faculty, including those on leave or on sabbatical. They occupy a recurring position in the college's budget and are subject to the college's long-term evaluation and re-appointment policy. These faculty are responsible for teaching, curriculum development, student advising, committee appointments, and other forms of college service.

Full-time faculty who are employed on a non-tenure track, sometimes continuing, are called "full-time continuing" faculty in this document. Two-year colleges often have their own individual classification for other non-tenure track full-time faculty. Data about this third classification of positions was collected for the first time in CBMS2015. This group is referred to as "other full-time" faculty in this document. Full-time "permanent" faculty are distinguished from "continuing" or "other" full-time faculty who are
often meeting a short-term institutional need. Fulltime faculty members teach full course assignments, distinguishing them from part-time or adjunct faculty.

The Table display code in this chapter is TYF, for "two-year faculty," since the chapter discusses issues related to faculty.

In the text that follows, the standard error (SE) in many of the estimates is provided along with the estimate (e.g. estimate 4,596 (SE 58)). The standard errors for all CBMS2015 tables can be found in Appendix VIII. The change in an estimate from the estimate in a previous survey is often expressed both as percentage change, and as the number of SEs that change represents (e.g. "increased $22 \%$ (1.2 SEs)").

## Highlights of Chapter 7

## Number of full-time permanent faculty and parttime faculty

- In fall 2015, the total estimated number of fulltime faculty (permanent, continuing and other) in two-year colleges was 9,801 (SE 894). This number is a $10 \%$ ( 2 SEs ) decrease of full-time faculty from 2010 to 2015. The decrease in faculty can be viewed in light of the $14 \%$ decrease in institutional enrollment in two-year colleges and the 4\% (1 SE) decrease in mathematics and statistics enrollment ( $5 \%, 1 \mathrm{SE}$, decrease when dual enrollment is excluded) discussed in Chapter 6. See Table S. 13 in Chapter 1, Table TYE. 2 in Chapter 6, and Table TYF. 1 in this chapter.
- It was estimated that there were 8314 (SE 840) fulltime permanent faculty in public two-year college mathematics programs in the United States in fall 2015, compared with 9,790 in 2010 . This $15 \%$ (2 SEs) decrease of 1476 persons can be compared to $11 \%$ increase in full-time permanent faculty experienced between 2005 and 2010, but with caution. As mentioned above, for the first time, CBMS2015 collected data on full-time faculty in three categories (permanent, continuing and other), instead of two (permanent and temporary) in CBMS2010. Full-time continuing and other faculty together totaled 1487 (SE 273) in fall 2015, compared with 1083 full-time continuing faculty in fall 2010 and
represented an increase of $37 \%$ ( 1 SE ). See Table S. 14 in Chapter 1 and Table TYF. 1 in this chapter.
- In fall 2015, the estimated number of part-time faculty in two-year college mathematics programs was 20,247 ( 17,888 , SE 1909, paid by two-year colleges and 2,359 , SE 528, paid by third parties such as school districts). Part-time faculty represented $67 \%$ of the total number of faculty. This percentage was $70 \%$ from 2005 to 2010 . When third party payees are omitted, part-time faculty represented $65 \%$ of the total number of faculty, also down three points from 2010. See Table TYF.1.
- In fall 2015 , sixty-eight percent (68\%; 5 SEs) of responding colleges reported the average teaching assignment to be 13-15 hours, compared to $76 \%$ in 2010. This decrease is accompanied by an increase in the percentage of two-year colleges reporting teaching assignments greater than or equal to 19 contact hours. The average weekly contact hours for full-time permanent faculty increased to 18 (SE 2) hours in fall 2015 in comparison to 15 hours in fall 2010. Sixty-four percent (64\%; 2 SEs) of parttime faculty taught six or more hours in 2015, up ten points from 2010. See Table TYF.2. Thirty-six percent ( $36 \%$; 4 SEs) of all sections were taught by part-time faculty in fall 2015, a ten-point drop from 2010. See Table S. 5 in Chapter 1 and Table TYE. 9 in Chapter 6.
- Table TYF. 2 shows that 74\% (3 SEs) of full-time permanent faculty taught extra hours for extra pay at their own college in fall 2015, up from $65 \%$ in 2010. Of those faculty who taught for extra pay, $38 \%$ (3 SEs) taught 1-3 extra hours and 39\% (2 SEs) taught 4-6 hours. A notable change from 2010 to 2015 was the increase to $23 \%$ ( 2 SEs) from 14\% in 2010 in the percentage of faculty teaching 7 or more hours for extra pay. See Table TYF.2.
- There were 612 (SE 132) faculty who were no longer part of the faculty in 2015-2016, compared to 459 who were no longer part of the faculty in 2010-2011. Reasons for these departures were not surveyed in 2015. See Table TYF.3.


## Educational Credentials of Faculty in Mathematics Programs

- In fall 2015, a masters degree was the terminal degree for $80 \%$ ( 3 SEs ) of the full-time permanent mathematics faculty members at two-year colleges, down three points from 2010. An additional 15\% ( 2 SEs ) full-time faculty held doctorates and 5\% (3 SEs) held bachelors degrees. Of the total full-time permanent faculty, $73 \%$ ( 2 SEs ) held degrees in an academic major in mathematics, $13 \%$ (2SEs) in mathematics education and $3 \%(1 \mathrm{SE})$ in statistics. See Tables TYF. 4 and TYF. 5.
- Among part-time faculty in fall 2015 , seven percent (7\%; 1 SE) held a doctorate (up two points from 2010), $76 \%$ ( 3 SEs ) held a masters degree (up three points from 2010) and $17 \%$ ( 3 SEs) held a bachelors degree as their highest degree (down five points from 2010). A bachelors degree may be considered an appropriate or terminal degree for those teaching precollege courses or by accrediting agencies for faculty teaching highly specialized technical courses. See Table TYF.6.
- In fall 2015 , fifty-eight percent ( $58 \%$; 4 SEs ) of parttime faculty held degrees in an academic major in mathematics, $19 \%$ ( 2 SEs ) in mathematics education, and 3\% ( 1 SE ) in statistics. See Table TYF. 7 .


## Gender, Ethnic Composition, and Age of Full-time Permanent Mathematics Program Faculty

- After the proportion of men and women among the full-time permanent faculty was evenly divided in 2005 and 2010, women comprised $52 \%$ ( 2 SEs) of full-time faculty and $53 \%$ ( 2 SEs ) of part-time faculty in 2015. See Tables TYF.8, TYF.9, and TYF. 17.
- In fall 2015, the percentage of ethnic minorities among full-time permanent faculty members in mathematics programs in two-year colleges was $23 \%(2 \mathrm{SEs})$, compared to $16 \%$ in 2010. The total number of ethnic minority faculty was 1876 (SE 289) faculty, up 310 persons from 2010. The majority of faculty represented in the ethnic groups was Asian/ Pacific Islander ( 734 persons; SE 111), up three percentage points to $9 \%(1 \mathrm{SE})$. The percentage of women in each ethnic group is displayed in Table TYF.12. See Tables TYF.10, TYF.11, and TYF. 12.
- The number of full-time permanent faculty under the age of 40 was 2045 (SE 292), $25 \%$ of the total 8314 in 2015, down eight percentage points from 2010, and represented a decrease of 1199 faculty. Ethnic minorities accounted for $26 \%$ ( 3 SEs) of fulltime permanent faculty under age 40, 532 persons. The percentage of masters degrees awarded in the U.S. in 2014-15 to ethnic minorities increased to $29 \%$, up seven percentage points from 2008-2009. See Tables TYE. 10 and TYF. 13.
- Among part-time faculty paid by two-year colleges, twenty-two percent ( $22 \%$; 2 SEs ) or 3935 faculty were ethnic minorities (Asian/Pacific Islander, Black or African American, Mexican American, Puerto Rican, or other Hispanic). Asian/Pacific Islanders represented the largest group of parttime faculty, seven percent ( $7 \%$; 1 SE), and 1341 (SE 284) faculty. Fifty-three percent (53\%; 2 SEs) of all part-time faculty were women in fall 2015. See Tables TYF. 14 and TYF. 15.
- Distribution of faculty by age is displayed in Table TYF.16. The percentage of faculty, $50-54$ years of
age, increased to 16\% (2 SEs) in 2015 from 11\% in 2010 to a total of 1,357 (SE 220) persons. The percentage decrease in the number of full-time permanent faculty in the age group greater than 59 years was two points to $15 \%$ ( 1 SE ) in 2015 and represented 1,219 ( SE 153) persons. The average age was 47.7 (SE 0.5) in 2015 compared with 46.8 in 2010. See Table S. 16 in Chapter 1 and Tables TYF. 16 and TYF. 17.


## Demographics of Full-time Permanent Faculty Newly Hired by Mathematics Programs

- The 451 (SE 83) newly-hired full-time permanent faculty in fall 2015 represented a decrease of 326 faculty from 2010. Thirty-seven percent (37\%; 7 SEs) were hired from graduate school (23\% in 2010). Four percent (4\%; 2 SEs) of the new full-time permanent faculty had been teaching in four-year institutions ( $3 \%$ in 2010) and one percent ( $1 \%$; 1 SE) had been teaching in secondary schools ( $25 \%$ in 2010). Twenty-six percent ( $26 \%$; 6 SEs) had taught part-time or on a full-time faculty contract at the same college of the hire. Eight-seven percent ( $87 \%$; 4 SEs ) of newly hired full-time faculty held masters degrees in 2015 , compared to $82 \%$ in 2010. Nine percent (9\%; 3 SEs) held doctorate degrees, compared to $11 \%$ in 2010. See Tables TYF. 18 and TYF. 19.
- Nine percent (9\%), 41 persons, of the 451 newlyhired full-time permanent faculty in fall 2015 were ethnic minorities (Asian/Pacific Islander, Black or African American, Mexican American, Puerto Rican, or other Hispanic), down nine percentage points from 2010. In 2015, fifty-five percent (55\%; 7 SEs) of all new hires were women, up eight points from 2010. See Tables TYF. 18 and TYF. 20.


## Teaching Evaluations and Professional Development of Mathematics Program Faculty

- The percentage of two-year colleges requiring periodic teaching evaluations for all full-time faculty members increased to $100 \%$ ( 0 SE ) in 2015 from $96 \%$ in 2010. Percentages of colleges requiring evaluation of part-time faculty increased to $98 \%$ ( 1 SE ) in 2015 from $88 \%$ in 2010. Increases in the percentages of methods for evaluating full-time faculty were reported in observation of classes by other faculty (75\%; 5 SEs ) and evaluation forms completed by students (95\%; 3 SEs). Decreases in the percentages of methods used for evaluating teaching of full-time were reported in observations by an administrator ( $45 \%$; 5 SEs) and self-evaluation, such as teaching portfolios (23\%; 4 SEs), and written peer evaluations ( $21 \%$; 5 SEs). Table TYF. 22 also reports evaluation methods for parttime faculty, where $94 \%$ ( 3 SEs ) of colleges used evaluation forms completed by students and 64\% (5

SEs) used observation by other faculty. See Tables TYF. 21 and TYF. 22.

- The percentage of two-year colleges requiring annual continuing education or professional development for full-time permanent faculty rose to $82 \%$ ( 4 SEs ), up 15 points from 2010. The percentages of specific activities used to meet professional development requirements in 2015 were similar to those in 2010, with an increase of nine percentage points to $62 \%$ ( 2 SEs ) of activities provided by the employer. See Table TYF. 23.
- The three items reported by mathematics program heads with the highest percentage as being a "major problem" in 2015 were:
i. too many students needing remediation (64\%; 5 SEs),
ii. students not understanding the demands of college work ( $62 \%$; 5 SEs), and
iii. low student motivation (57\%; 8 SEs).

When considering issues reported as "somewhat of a problem," the top three items and their percentages were:
i. low success rate in transfer-level courses (54\%; 5 SEs),
ii. coordinating mathematics courses with high schools ( $52 \%$; 4 SEs), and
iii. lack of curricular flexibility because of transfer rules (46\%; 5 SEs).
See Tables TYF. 24 and TYF. 25.

- In fall 2015, a traditional mathematics department was found in more than half ( $52 \%$; 5 SEs) of the two-year colleges, up six points compared to 2010. A combined mathematics/science department or division was the management structure at 28\% ( 5 SEs ) of institutions and $10 \%$ ( 3 SEs ) in mathematics and computer science programs. "Other" department or division structures were reported at 6\% ( 2 SEs ) of responding institutions. See Table TYF. 26.


## Topics of Special Interest for Mathematics Programs

- Issues related to faculty involvement and instructional strategies in distance learning courses are discussed in Chapters 2 and 6. Eighty-seven percent ( $87 \%$; 4 SEs) of two-year colleges reported that distance learning courses were offered in fall 2015. Instructional materials for distance courses were created by a combination of commercially produced materials and faculty in $67 \%$ ( 5 SEs ) of the colleges. Ninety-seven percent (97\%; 3 SEs) of responding colleges reported that the same course outlines were used in distance learning and face-to-face courses. Instructors participated in evaluation in the same way in both non-distance and distance learning formats in 93\% (3 SEs)
of responding colleges. Thirty-two percent (32\%; 7 SEs) of two-year colleges reported that faculty whose entire teaching load was in distance learning had a specific number of office hours per week. See Tables TYE. 12.1 and TYE. 12.2 in Chapter 6 and Tables SP.8-SP. 10 in Chapter 2.
- Two-year colleges' focus on teacher preparation in 2015 included 35\% (6 SEs) of reporting institutions assigning a mathematics faculty member to coordinate K-8 teacher education in mathematics. Pre-service elementary teachers could complete their entire mathematics course requirement or licensure requirements at the two-year college in $28 \%$ ( 5 SEs ) of institutions, down from $41 \%$ in 2010. Table SP. 2 presents decreases in all percentages of organized programs for pre- and in-service teachers. While teacher education is still a focus at two-year colleges, the decreases presented in SP.2, together with the decrease in enrollment in the courses Mathematics for Elementary Teachers I and II presented in Chapter 6, may indicate a lessening of the priority. See Table TYE.3.2 in Chapter 6 and Tables SP. 2 and SP. 3 in Chapter 2.
- As reported in Chapter 6, ninety-four thousand (94,000; SE 23,000) students were dual enrolled in fall 2015 in a two-year college mathematics course that awarded credit at both the high school and at the college, an increase of $16 \%$ ( 1 SE ) since 2010. The academic control of such courses resided primarily with the two-year colleges. Departmental teaching evaluations were required in $72 \%$ ( 5 SEs ) of dual enrollment courses in 2015, up from $48 \%$ in 2010. Forty-four percent (44\%; 6 SEs) of two-year colleges participating in dual enrollment assigned their own faculty members, compared to $22 \%$ in 2010 to teach off-campus. See Tables SP. 16 and SP. 17 in Chapter 2.
- As noted in Chapter 6, thirty-two percent (32\%; 5 SEs) of two-year colleges reported that some of their precollege mathematics courses were administered outside of the control of the mathematics department in fall 2015. This percentage was three points higher than in 2010 for precollege courses. Within precollege courses, Arithmetic/Pre-algebra taught outside the mathematics program decreased one percentage point, and Elementary Algebra and Intermediate Algebra both increased nine points. See Tables TYE. 14-TYE. 16 in Chapter 6.


## The Number and Teaching Assignments of Full-time and Part-time Mathematics Program Faculty

## Number of full-time permanent faculty and parttime faculty

In fall 2015, the total estimated number of fulltime faculty (permanent, continuing and other) in two-year colleges was 9801 (SE 894) and represented a decrease of $10 \%$ ( 2 SEs ) of all full-time faculty (permanent, continuing, and other) from 2010 to 2015, the second decrease since 1980. This decrease is consistent with the $14 \%$ decrease in institutional enrollment in two-year colleges and is likely related to the $4 \%$ (1 SE) decrease in mathematics and statistics enrollment discussed in Chapter 6 ( $5 \%$ when dual enrollment is excluded). The decrease in faculty follows an increase of $26 \%$ from 2000 to 2005 and an increase of $11 \%$ from 2005 to 2010.

In fall 2015, the total estimated number of faculty reported as "full-time permanent" faculty was 8314 (SE 840), a 15\% (2 SEs) decrease of 1476 persons from 2010. This data should be considered by examining data of categories of full-time faculty. For the first time, CBMS2015 collected data on full-time faculty in the three categories of permanent, continuing and other faculty, instead of the two categories, permanent and temporary, in CBMS2010. Full-time continuing and other faculty together totaled 1487 (SE 273) persons in fall 2015, compared with 1083 full-time continuing faculty in fall 2010 and represented an increase of $37 \%$ ( 1 SE ). Refer to page 1 in this chapter for a more detailed description of the faculty titles used in this document. The growth in non-tenure track continuing and other faculty may be an indication of the stressed financial conditions in two-year colleges, mathematics program changes and redesign, and shifting enrollment trends. See Chapter 6 for two-year college enrollment data and the overall enrollment data summary in Chapter 1 and Table TYF. 1.

The total estimated number of all full-time faculty in four-year institutions, full-time (tenure-eligible), other full-time and postdocs, was approximately 24,000 (SE 317) in fall 2015, a $2 \%$ increase. Four-year institutions experienced 6\% (4 SEs) decrease in fulltime permanent (tenure-eligible) faculty in 2015 and an estimated total decrease of 768 faculty. Two-year colleges, a $22 \%$ ( 6 SEs ) increase was evident in "other" full-time faculty at four-year institutions. See Tables S. 13 and S. 14 in Chapter 1.

TABLE TYF. 1 Number of full-time permanent, full-time temporary faculty, other full-time faculty, and part-time faculty paid by two-year colleges (TYC) and by a third party (e.g. dual-enrollment instructors) in mathematics programs at two-year colleges in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.

| Two-Year Colleges | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Full-time permanent faculty | 6960 | 8793 | 9790 | 8314 |
| Full-time continuing faculty | 961 | 610 | 1083 | 1221 |
| Other full-time faculty |  |  |  | 266 |
| Part-time faculty paid by TYC | 14887 | 18227 | 23453 | 17888 |
| Part-time, paid by third party | 776 | 1915 | 2323 | 2359 |

Note: Prior to 2015, there was no differentiation between full-time continuing faculty and other full-time faculty.


FIGURE TYF.1.1 Numbers of full-time permanent faculty and part-time faculty paid by TYC in mathematics programs in two-year colleges in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.

Part-time faculty members in two-year colleges fell into two categories, those paid by two-year colleges and others paid by a third party. The latter most often were high school teachers in a school with which the college had a dual enrollment agreement. When both categories are included, the estimated number of parttime faculty was 20,247 or $67 \%$ of the total two-year college teaching staff, down three percentage points since 2010. When third party payees are excluded, the estimated number of part-time faculty members was 17,888 (SE 1909), a decrease of $24 \%$ ( 3 SEs ) from 2010 to 2015, and represented $65 \%$ of total faculty, down three percentage points from 2010. Another 2,359 (SE 528) part-time faculty were paid by a third party, such as a school district. See Table TYF.1.

Demographics and discussion of newly hired fulltime permanent faculty in fall 2015 are presented later in this chapter before and in Tables TYF.18, TYF.19, and TYF. 20.

## Teaching assignment of full-time permanent and part-time faculty

The average teaching assignment in weekly classroom contact hours for a full-time permanent mathematics faculty member at a public two-year college in fall 2015 was 18 (SE 2) weekly contact hours. This continued a long period during which this figure has oscillated. Previous CBMS surveys reported that in 2010, the average was 15 hours; in 2000, the average weekly contact hour assignment had been 14.8 hours; and in 1990, the number was 14.7 hours. See Tables TYF. 2 and TYF.2.1.

In 2015, the teaching assignment for full-time faculty was between 13 and 15 weekly contact hours in $68 \%$ ( 5 SEs ) of responding colleges. Nineteen percent ( $19 \%$ ) of colleges reported weekly contact hour teaching assignments greater than 15 hours, up five points from 2010 . This included $5 \%$ ( 2 SEs ) of colleges reporting that teaching assignments were more than

TABLE TYF. 2 Teaching assignment for full-time permanent faculty, and teaching and other duties of parttime faculty, in mathematics programs at two-year colleges in fall 2015, with 2010 data in parentheses.

|  | Teaching assignment in weekly contact hours |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<10$ | 10 to 12 | 13 to 15 | 16 to 18 | 19 to 21 | $>21$ |
| Percentage of two-year colleges | 3 <br> $(3)$ | 10 <br> $(7)$ | 68 <br> $(76)$ | 8 <br> $(8)$ | 6 <br> $(3)$ | 5 <br> $(3)$ |
| Full-time Permanent Faculty |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Average weekly contact hours: 18 (15) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. Percentage who teach extra hours for extra pay at their own two-year college: 74\% (65\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. Percentage teaching 1-3 extra hours for extra pay: 38\% (47\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D. Percentage teaching 4-6 extra hours for extra pay: 39\% (39\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E. Percentage teaching 7 or more extra hours for extra pay: 23\% (14\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Part-time Faculty |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F. Percentage who teach 6 or more hours weekly: 64\% (54\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G. Percentage of two-year colleges requiring part-time faculty to hold office hours: 29\% (28\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |



FIGURE TYF.2.1 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty with various teaching assignments in mathematics programs at two-year colleges in fall 2005, 2010, and 2015.

21 hours. Thirteen percent (13\%) had teaching assignments less than 13 weekly contact hours.

Sixth-four percent ( $64 \% ; 2 \mathrm{SEs}$ ) of part-time faculty members in two-year college mathematics programs taught six credit hours or more, up ten percentage points from 2010. Office hours were required of parttime faculty in $29 \%$ ( 6 SEs ) of two-year colleges, up one point from 2010. See Table TYF. 2.

Table TYF. 2 also shows that $74 \%$ ( 3 SEs ) of full-time permanent mathematics faculty members at two-year colleges taught extra hours for extra pay at their own colleges, compared to $65 \%$ in 2010 . Of those faculty who taught for extra pay in $2015,38 \%$ ( 3 SEs ) of fulltime permanent faculty taught 1-3 hours for extra pay, 39\% (2 SEs) taught 4-6 hours, and 23\% (2 SEs) taught 7 or more extra hours for extra pay. Full-time permanent faculty teaching 7 or more extra hours
increased by nine points to 23\% (2 SEs) from 2010 to 2015 .

## Outflow of full-time permanent mathematics faculty and other occupations of part-time faculty

Data about outflow of permanent faculty was collected in detail prior to CBMS2010, including specific information about faculty deaths, faculty retiring, faculty taking positions at four-year institutions, other two-year institutions, high schools, or graduate school. Because this detailed information is difficult to obtain, CBMS2015 and CBMS2010 collected only the total number of outflow of faculty. In 2015, six hundred twelve (612; SE 132) full-time permanent faculty were no longer a part of the faculty in 2015-2016, compared to 459 persons in 2010-2011. The authors acknowledge that this data is difficult to

TABLE TYF. 3 Number of full-time permanent faculty in 2014-2015 who were no longer part of the faculty in 2015-2016.

| Number no longer part of 2015-2016 faculty | 612 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Total full-time permanent faculty, fall 2015 | 8314 |

collect and may not represent a true picture in the change in faculty numbers over time.

Information about the percentage of part-time faculty in mathematics programs at two-year college with various other occupations was collected in CBMS surveys prior to 2010. CBMS2015 and CBMS2010 did not collect information about other occupations of part-time faculty.

## Educational Credentials of Faculty in Mathematics Programs

## Highest degree of full-time permanent faculty

In fall 2015, a masters degree was the terminal degree for $80 \%$ ( 3 SEs ) of full-time permanent mathematics faculty at two-year colleges, down three points from 2010. The percentage of faculty with a doctorate

TABLE TYF. 4 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty in mathematics programs at two-year colleges by highest degree in fall 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.

|  | Percentage of full-time permanent faculty |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highest degree | 1995 | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 |
| Doctorate | 17 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 15 |
| Masters | 82 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 80 |
| Bachelors | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| $\quad$Number of full-time <br> permanent faculty | 7578 | 6960 | 8793 | 9790 | 8314 |



FIGURE TYE.4.1 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty in mathematics programs at two-year colleges by highest degree in fall 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.

TABLE TYF. 5 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty in mathematics programs at public twoyear colleges by field and highest degree in fall 2015.

|  | Percentage having as highest degree |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Field of degree | Doctorate | Masters | Bachelors | Total Percent <br> in Field |
| Mathematics | 9 | 60 | 4 | 73 |
| Statistics | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 |
| Mathematics Education | 2 | 11 | 0 | 13 |
| Other fields | 2 | 6 | 0 | 9 |
| Total Percentage by highest degree | 15 | 80 | 5 | 100 |

Note: 0 means less than half of $1 \%$ and round-off may make column sums seem inaccurate.
increased one point to $15 \%$ ( 2 SEs ) in 2015. The percentage of full-time faculty whose terminal degree was a bachelors degree increased two points to $5 \%$ (3 SEs) in 2015. Tables TYF. 4 and TYF. 4.1 present historical data from 1995 to 2015. Data regarding the previous employment and degrees of new hires in fall 2015 can be found in Tables TYF. 18 and TYF.19, along with additional discussion there.

The academic major and highest degree of full-time permanent two-year college mathematics faculty is shown in Table TYF.5. The percentage of the faculty whose most advanced degree (doctorate, masters and bachelors) was in mathematics was $73 \%$ ( 2 SEs ), compared to $68 \%$ in 2010 data. The percentage of the faculty whose most advanced degree was in mathematics education decreased eight points to $13 \%$ (2

SEs). The percentage of degrees with majors in statistics increased two points to $5 \%$ ( 1 SE ).

## Highest degree of part-time faculty

Tables TYF.6, TYF.6.1, and TYF. 7 summarize data on the highest degrees held by part-time faculty members and their fields of specialization. In fall 2015, a doctoral degree was the highest degree held by $7 \%(1 \mathrm{SE})$ of part-time faculty, up two points from fall 2010. A masters degree was the highest degree for $76 \%$ ( 3 SEs ) of part-time faculty, compared to $73 \%$ in 2010. A bachelors degree was the highest degree for $17 \%$ ( 2 SEs ) of part-time faculty in 2015, a decrease of five points from 2010 and 2005.

In 2015, the percentage of part-time faculty whose most advanced degree had mathematics or mathe-

TABLE TYF. 6 Percentage of part-time faculty in mathematics programs at two-year colleges (including those paid by a third party, as in dual-enrollment courses) by highest degree in fall 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.

|  | Percentage of part-time faculty |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highest degree | 1995 | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 |
| Doctorate | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 7 |
| Masters | 76 | 70 | 72 | 73 | 76 |
| Bachelors | 18 | 24 | 22 | 22 | 17 |
| Total |  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Number of part-time faculty | 14266 | 14887 | 20142 | 25775 | 20247 |



FIGURE TYF.6.1 Percentage of part-time faculty in mathematics programs at two-year colleges (including those paid by a third party, as in dual-enrollment courses) by highest degree in fall 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.

TABLE TYF. 7 Percentage of part-time faculty in mathematics programs at two-year colleges (including those paid by a third party, as in dual enrollments) by field and highest degree in fall 2015, with 2010 data in parentheses.

|  | Percentage having as highest degree |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Field of degree | Doctorate | Masters | Bachelors | Total Percent <br> in Field |
| Mathematics | 4 | 45 | 8 | 58 |
| Mathematics Education | 1 | 16 | 3 | 19 |
| Statistics | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Other fields | 2 | 12 | 6 | 19 |
| Total Percentage by highest degree | 7 | 76 | 17 | $100 \%$ |
|  | $(5)$ | $(73)$ | $(22)$ |  |

Note: 0 means less than half of $1 \%$ and round-off may make column sums seem inaccurate.

TABLE TYF. 8 Number and percentage of total full-time permanent faculty in mathematics programs at two-year colleges by gender in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.

|  | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Men | 3537 | 4420 | 4866 | 3969 |
|  | $51 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Women | 3423 | 4373 | 4924 | 4345 |
|  | $49 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Total | 6960 | 8793 | 9790 | 8314 |
|  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |



FIGURE TYF.8.1 Number of full-time permanent faculty in mathematics programs at two-year colleges by gender in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.


FIGURE TYF.8.2 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty in mathematics programs at two-year colleges by gender in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.
matics education as the major field of study $77 \%$ (58\%, 4 SEs and $19 \%$, 2 SEs, respectively), compared to the combined total of $74 \%$ in 2010 . Three percent ( $3 \%$; 1 SE) of part-time faculty held degrees in statistics, up one point from 2010. A five-point decrease to $19 \%$ (3 SEs) was reported in "other fields." See Table TYF.7.

## Gender, Ethnic Composition, and Age of Full-time Permanent Mathematics Program Faculty

## Gender of full-time permanent faculty and parttime faculty

An increase in the percentage of women among full-time permanent mathematics faculty at two-year colleges has been reported in every CBMS study since 1975. This trend continued in fall 2015 with $52 \%$ ( 2 SEs ) of full-time permanent faculty reported as women. In fall 2005 and 2010, the number was fifty percent (50\%; 2 SEs). See Tables TYF. 8 and TYF.8.1.

Table TYF. 9 reports that in fall 2015 the percentage of women among part-time faculty was $53 \%$ ( 2 SEs ). This was up from $49 \%$ in fall 2010. The percentage of women mathematics masters degree recipients among U.S. citizens/resident aliens was $36 \%$ in 2014-2015, compared with 41\% in 2008-2009.

Table TYF. 17 presents the percentage of full-time faculty in mathematics by age and gender and the percentage of women by age. Table TYF. 20 presents data on the gender and ethnicity of newly hired fulltime permanent mathematics faculty in fall 2015 and 2010. In fall 2015, the percentage of women in this
group was 55\% (7 SEs), up seven points from 2010. See the discussion before TYF. 17 and TYF. 20.

## Ethnicity among full-time permanent and part-time faculty

Demographics data about ethnic minority faculty among full-time permanent mathematics faculty members at two-year colleges are given in Tables TYF.10, TYF.10.1, TYF.11, TYF.12, and TYF.13. The minority groups referenced in the survey are listed in TYF.11. Tables TYF. 10 and TYF. 11 provide an historical perspective, while Tables TYF. 12 and TYF. 13 present more detailed information on the ethnic profile of the full-time permanent mathematics faculty in fall 2015, including information about both age and gender. Tables TYF. 14 and TYF. 15 present data on ethnicity of part-time faculty.

In fall 2015, ethnic minority faculty constituted $23 \%$ ( 2 SEs ) of the full-time permanent faculty and 1876 (SE 289) faculty. In fall 2010, 1566 full-time permanent ethnic minority faculty comprised $16 \%$ of total mathematics faculty. In 2015, the change in the number of minority faculty was 310 more persons. See Table TYF. 10 and TYF.10.1.

The relative percentage of the full-time permanent minority faculty within individual ethnic groups changed slightly between 2010 and 2015. The percentage of Black (non-Hispanic) faculty remained the same ( $6 \% ; 1 \mathrm{SE}$ ). The percentage of Mexican American/Puerto Rican/other Hispanic faculty was 6\% (1 SE), up two points from 2010. Asian/Pacific Islanders represented the largest ethnic minority

TABLE TYF. 9 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty and part-time faculty in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges by gender in fall 2015. Also masters degrees in mathematics and statistics granted in the U.S. to citizens and resident aliens, by gender, in 201415. Part-time faculty paid by a third party are not included.

|  | Percentage of |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full-time <br> permanent <br> faculty | Part-time faculty | Masters degrees in mathematics \& statistics <br> granted in the U.S. in 2014-15 to citizens <br> and resident aliens ${ }^{1}$ |
| Men | 48 | 47 | 64 |
| Women | 52 | 53 | 36 |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Total Number | 8314 | 17888 | 3909 |

[^17]TABLE TYF. 10 Percentage and number of ethnic minority full-time permanent faculty in mathematics programs at two-year colleges in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.

|  | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of ethnic minorities among full-time <br> permanent faculty | $13 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Number of full-time permanent ethnic minority <br> faculty | 909 | 1198 | 1566 | 1876 |
| Number of full-time permanent faculty | 6960 | 8793 | 9790 | 8314 |



- Full-time permanent ethnic minority faculty

All full-time permanent faculty

FIGURE TYF.10.1 Number of ethnic minority full-time permanent faculty and number of all full-time permanent faculty in mathematics programs at two-year colleges in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.

TABLE TYF. 11 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty in mathematics programs at two-year colleges by ethnicity, in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.

|  | Percentage of full-time permanent faculty |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnic Group | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 |
| American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 4 | 6 | 6 | 9 |
| Black (non-Hispanic) | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Mexican American/Puerto Rican/ other Hispanic | 3 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| White (non-Hispanic) | 85 | 84 | 79 | 75 |
| Status unknown | 2 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
|  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Number of full-time permanent faculty |  | 6960 | 8793 | 9790 |

Note: 0 means less than half of $1 \%$.

TABLE TYF. 12 Number and percentage of full-time permanent faculty in mathematics programs at two-year colleges by ethnic group and percentage of women within each ethnic group in fall 2015.

| Ethnic Group | Number of full-time <br> permanent faculty | Percentage of ethnic <br> group in full-time <br> permanent faculty | Percentage of <br> women in ethnic <br> group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian, Alaskan Native | 27 | 0 | 24 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 734 | 7 | 36 |
| Black or African American (non- <br> Hispanic) <br> Mexican American, Puerto Rican or <br> other Hispanic <br> White (non-Hispanic) <br> Status not known or other | 521 | 5 | 52 |
| Total | 6141 | 58 | 37 |

Note: 0 means less than half of $1 \%$.

TABLE TYF. 13 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty and of full-time permanent faculty under age 40 in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges by ethnic group in fall 2015. Also U.S. Masters degrees in mathematics and statistics granted in the U.S. to citizens and resident aliens by ethnic group in 2014-15.

|  | Percentage among |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Masters degrees in <br> mathematics \& statistics <br> granted in the U.S. in 2014-15 |
|  | All full-time permanent <br> faculty | Full-time permanent <br> faculty under age 40 |  |
|  |  |  |  |$|$| 29 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnic Minorities | 23 | 26 |
| White (non-Hispanic) | 74 | 72 |

${ }^{1}$ Table 323.30 from Digest of Education Statistics 2016, https://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d16/tables/dt16_323.30.asp?current=yes. (These figures include resident aliens but do not include a total of 3680 nonresident aliens who also received masters degrees.)

TABLE TYF. 14 Percentage of ethnic minority part-time faculty in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges in fall 2005, 2010, and 2015.

|  | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of ethnic minorities among part-time faculty | 16 | 17 | 22 |
| Number of part-time faculty | 18227 | 23453 | 17888 |

groups in fall 2015 at 9\% (1 SE) of full-time permanent faculty, up three points from 2010. These changes impacted the percentage of White (non-Hispanic) fulltime permanent faculty in 2015, down four points from 2010 to 75\% ( 2 SEs ). See Table TYF. 11.

Table TYF. 12 gives the number of full-time permanent faculty and the percentage of women within ethnic groups. The largest percentage of women within a group occurred in White (non-Hispanic) with 54\%
(3 SEs) of the 6141 (SE 598) faculty in that group or 3316 women. Next, the Black or African American group of 521 (SE 80) faculty had 271 women (52\%; 8 SEs). The female Asian/Pacific Islander and Native Hawaiian faculty were $36 \%$ ( 7 SEs ) of the 734 (SE 111) faculty in that group or 264 women. Native Americans (American Indians/Eskimo/Aleut) faculty, recorded as zero in the table ( $0.3 \%$ ), represented a total of 27 (SE 10) faculty of whom 6 were women. A

TABLE TYF. 15 Number and percentage of part-time faculty in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges by ethnic group and percentage of women within each ethnic group in fall 2015.

|  |  | Percentage of |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnic Group | Number of <br> part-time faculty | Ethnic group among <br> all part-time faculty | Women within <br> ethnic group |
| American Indian, Alaskan Native | 46 | 0 | 80 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 1341 | 7 | 49 |
| Black or African American (non-Hispanic) | 1009 | 6 | 41 |
| Mexican American,Puerto Rican or other | 1073 | 6 | 42 |
| Hispanic | 12531 | 1888 | 70 |
| White (non-Hispanic) | 17888 | 11 | 55 |
| Status not known or other | Total | $100 \%$ | 59 |
|  |  | 53 |  |

TABLE TYF. 16 Percentage and number of full-time permanent faculty in mathematics programs at two-year colleges by age in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.

| Age | Percentage of full-time permanent faculty |  |  | Number of full-time permanent faculty |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 |
| $<30$ | 4 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 290 | 478 | 832 | 363 |
| $30-34$ | 9 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 615 | 716 | 893 | 529 |
| $35-39$ | 13 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 890 | 1037 | 1189 | 1153 |
| $40-44$ | 11 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 763 | 1163 | 1416 | 1159 |
| $45-49$ | 15 | 15 | 15 | 18 | 1075 | 1298 | 1475 | 1479 |
| $50-54$ | 20 | 18 | 11 | 16 | 1418 | 1574 | 1085 | 1357 |
| $55-59$ | 16 | 17 | 13 | 13 | 1146 | 1528 | 1268 | 1055 |
| $>59$ | 11 | 11 | 17 | 15 | 763 | 999 | 1631 | 1219 |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 6960 | 8793 | 9790 | 8314 |

Note: Rounding may make column totals seem inaccurate.
word of caution is in order given that respondents to CBMS2015 reported the ethnicity of 297 (SE 81) fulltime permanent faculty was unknown.

In fall 2015, the total number of full-time permanent faculty under the age of 40 was 2045 (SE 292), compared to a total of 3244 in 2010 , a $37 \%$ ( 4 SEs ) decrease. These faculty under the age of 40 comprised $25 \%$ of all full-time permanent faculty, compared to $33 \%$ in 2010.In fall 2015 , the percentage of ethnic minority full-time permanent mathematics faculty under the age of 40 rose to $26 \%$ ( 3 SEs ). Percentages can be misleading. The $18 \%$ of ethnic minority faculty under age 40 reported in 2010 represented 584
persons and the $26 \%$ in 2015 was 532 faculty. See Table TYF.13. Data on ethnicity of newly-hired fulltime permanent faculty in fall 2015 are presented in Table TYF. 20.

In fall 2015, twenty-two percent (22\%; 2 SEs) of part-time faculty members or 3935 persons were ethnic minorities (Asian/Pacific Islander, Black or African American, Mexican American, Puerto Rican, or other Hispanic), up three percentage points from 2010 and up four points compared with 2005. Asian/Pacific Islanders comprised 7\% (1 SE) of part-time faculty (1341 persons) and Black or African American and Mexican American, Puerto Rican or other Hispanic


FIGURE TYF.16.1 Percentage distribution of full-time permanent faculty in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges by age in fall 2015.

TABLE TYF. 17 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges by age and by gender and percentage of women by age in fall 2015.

|  | Percentage of full-time permanent faculty | Percentage of women <br> in age group |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Women |  | 56 |
| $<35$ | 6 | 14 | 50 |
| $35-44$ | 14 | 14 | 58 |
| $45-54$ | 19 | 15 | 46 |
| $>54$ | 13 | 48 |  |
| Total | 52 |  |  |



FIGURE TYF.17.1 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty in mathematics programs at public two-year colleges by age and by gender in fall 2015.

TABLE TYF. 18 Percentage of newly appointed full-time permanent faculty in mathematics programs at two-year colleges coming from various sources in fall 2010 and 2015.

| Percentage of new faculty from: | 2010 | 2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| A. Graduate School | 23 | 37 |
| B. Teaching in a four-year college or university | 3 | 4 |
| C. Teaching in another two-year college | 18 | 19 |
| D. Teaching in a secondary school | 25 | 1 |
| E. Part-time or full-time temporary employment at the same college | 23 | 26 |
| F. Nonacademic employment | 1 | 1 |
| G. Unemployed | 0 | 4 |
| F. Unknown | 6 | 9 |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Total Number Hired | 777 | 451 |

together represented $6 \%$ each ( 1 SE ) of all part-time faculty (2082 persons). Women comprised 53\% (2 SEs) of all part-time faculty. See Tables TYF. 14 and TYF. 15.

## Number and age distribution of full-time permanent faculty

As mentioned above, the number of full-time permanent faculty in mathematics programs at two-year
colleges decreased by $15 \%$ in 2015 to a total of 8314 , compared to 9790 faculty in 2010 . When the 1487 continuing and other full-time faculty are included, the total was 9800 persons and represented a decrease of $10 \%$ compared to 2010 . See Table TYF. 1 .

During the fifteen-year period (1990 to 2005), the two-year college mathematics faculty, as a cohort, was getting older and reached an average age of 47.8

TABLE TYF. 19 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty newly hired for mathematics programs at two-year colleges by highest degree in fall 2010 and 2015.

|  | Percentage of New Hires |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highest Degree | $2010-2011$ | $2015-2016$ |  |  |  |
| Doctorate | 11 | 9 |  |  |  |
| Masters | 82 | 87 |  |  |  |
| Bachelors | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Unknown | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Note: 0 means less than one-half of one percent and round-off may make column totals seem inaccurate.

TABLE TYF. 20 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty newly hired for mathematics programs at two-year colleges by ethnic group in fall 2010 and 2015. Also percentage of women within each ethnic group in fall 2015.

|  | Percentage of new hires |  | Percentage of women in <br> ethnic group for 2015- <br> 2016 new hires |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnic Group | $2010-2011$ | $2015-2016$ | na |
| American Indian | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 9 | 4 | 54 |
| Black or Arican American (non-Hispanic) | 5 | 2 | 33 |
| Mexican American, Puerto Rican, or other <br> Hispanic | 4 | 3 | 63 |
| White (non-Hispanic) | 78 | 82 | 33 |
| Other | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| Unknown | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| Percentage of women among all new hires | 47 | 5 |  |

Note: 0 means less than one-half of one percent and round-off may make column totals seem inaccurate.
na $=$ Not applicable
years. In fall 2010, a decrease was noted with the average faculty age of 46.8 years. Fall 2015 data showed a slight increase of the average age to 47.7 (SE $0.5)$ years. Of particular interest and due to possible influence of sample error, the percentage of full-time faculty over the age of 59 rose from 11\% (999 persons) in 2005 to $17 \%$ ( 1631 persons) in 2010 and then down $15 \%$ ( 1 SE ) in 2015 ( 1219 persons; SE 153). See Table S. 16 in Chapter 1 for data on age of mathematics faculty in both two-year and four-year institutions and Table TYF. 16 for specific age groups and historical data for two-year colleges.

In 2015, the percentage of full-time permanent faculty under age 40 years dropped seven points to $25 \%$ compared to 2010 , similar the $25 \%$ collected in 2005. Again, percentages do not tell the entire story. The number of full-time permanent faculty under the age of 40 in 2015, 2010, and 2005 was 2045,2914 , and 2231 , respectively. Among ethnic minority faculty, $26 \%$ ( 3 SEs ; 532 persons) were under age 40 in fall 2015, as reported in Table TYF.13. The percentage of full-time permanent faculty between the ages of $50-59$ years increased five points to $29 \%$ in 2015 (total increase of 59 persons), compared to 2010. The percentage of full-time faculty over age 59 was down two points from 2010 to $15 \%$ ( 1 SE ) in 2015 (a decrease of 412 persons). The total number of fulltime permanent faculty over the age of 49 decreased by 353 persons from 2010 to 2015. See Table TYF. 16.

In 2015, women were a majority with $56 \%$ ( 2 SEs ) in the age group less than 35 years, down one point from 2010. Fifty-eight percent (58\%; 2 SEs) of the age group 45-54 were women, up 10 points from 2010. Forty-six percent ( $46 \%$; 2 SEs) of the age group over age 54 were women, down one point from 2010. See Table TYF. 17 and TYF.17.1.

## Demographics of Full-time Permanent Faculty Newly Hired by Mathematics Programs

Two-year college mathematics programs hired 451 (SE 83) new full-time permanent faculty members in fall 2015, down 326 persons and $42 \%$ ( 4 SEs ) from those hired in 2010. See Table TYF. 18.

Fall 2015 and earlier surveys presented sources of new hires at two-year colleges. In 2005 and 2010, graduate school as a source remained steady at $23 \%$. In fall 2015, that percentage increased to $37 \%$ ( 7 SEs ) in 2015 ( 166 persons). In contrast, the percentage of new hires who had been teaching at four-year institutions was 4\% ( 2 SEs ) in 2015 ( 18 persons), compared to $3 \%$ in 2010 and $18 \%$ in 2005. Hiring from among part-time faculty at the same institution was up three points to $26 \%$ ( $6 \mathrm{SEs} ; 116$ persons), while new faculty hired from a secondary school decreased to $1 \%$ ( 1 SE ; 4 persons) of total new hires, down 24 points from 2010. See Table TYF. 18.

The masters degree was held by 87\% (4 SEs) of newly-hired full-time permanent faculty in fall 2015, up five points from 2010, and in contrast to 2000 when the percentage was $66 \%$. Percentage of new faculty with a doctorate degree in 2015 was 9\% (3 SEs), compared with $11 \%$ in 2010. See Table TYF. 19.

The 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015 data indicate a decrease of new hires with a bachelors degree from $19 \%$ to $5 \%$ to $2 \%$ to $0 \%$ (less than one percent and/ or round-off may make $0 \%$ totals inaccurate), respectively.

In 2015, fifty-five percent (55\%; 7 SEs) of new mathematics faculty hires were women, compared to $47 \%$ in fall 2010 . Table TYF. 20 shows White (non-Hispanic) faculty comprised $82 \%$ ( 5 SEs ) of new hires for 2015, up 4 points from 2010. Overall, $9 \%$ of the 451

TABLE TYF. 21 Percentage of two-year colleges that require periodic teaching evaluations for all full-time or all part-time faculty in fall 2010 and 2015.

|  | Percentage of two-year <br> colleges in fall 2010 | Percentage of two-year <br> colleges in fall 2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Colleges that require teaching <br> evaluations for all full-time faculty <br> Colleges that require teaching <br> evaluations for all part-time faculty | 96 | 100 |

TABLE TYF. 22 Percentage of mathematics programs at public two-year colleges using various methods of evaluating teaching of part-time and full-time faculty in fall 2015.

|  | Percentage of programs using <br> evaluation method for |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Method of evaluating teaching | Part-time faculty | Full-time faculty |
| A. Observation of classes by other faculty | 64 | 75 |
| B. Observation of classes by division head (if different <br> from chair) or other administrator | 62 | 45 |
| C. Evaluation forms completed by students | 94 | 95 |
| D. Evaluation of written course material such as lesson <br> plans, syllabus, or exams | 57 | 53 |
| E. Self-evaluation such as teaching portfolios | 62 | 23 |
| F. Written Peer Evaluations | 34 | 21 |
| G. Other methods | 18 | 9 |

TABLE TYF. 23 Percentage of two-year colleges that require some form of continuing education or professional development for full-time permanent faculty, and percentage of faculty using various methods to fulfill those requirements, in mathematics programs at two-year colleges in fall 2010 and 2015.

| Faculty Development | Fall 2010 | Fall 2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of institutions requiring continuing education <br> or professional development for full-time permanent <br> faculty | 67 | 82 |
| How Faculty Meet Professional Development <br> Requirements | Percentage of <br> permanent faculty <br> in fall 2010 | Percentage of <br> permanent faculty <br> in fall 2015 |
| A. Activities provided by employer | 53 | 62 |
| B. Activities provided by professional associations | 34 | 33 |
| C. Publishing books or research or expository papers | 3 | 3 |
| D. Continuing graduate education | 4 | 3 |

TABLE TYF. 24 Percentage of program heads classifying various problems as "major" in mathematics programs at two-year colleges in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015.

|  | Percentage of program heads classifying problem as major |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Problem | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 |
| A. Maintaining vitality of faculty | 9 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| B. Dual-enrollment courses | 8 | 5 | 11 | 7 |
| C. Staffing statistics courses | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| D. Students don't understand demands of college work | na | 55 | 64 | 62 |
| E. Need to use part-time faculty for too many courses | 39 | 30 | 35 | 15 |
| F. Faculty salaries too low | 36 | 22 | 21 | 39 |
| G. Class sizes too large | 10 | 5 | 3 | 5 |
| H. Low student motivation | 47 | 50 | 50 | 57 |
| I. Too many students needing remediation | 62 | 63 | 67 | 64 |
| J. Lack of student progress from developmental to advanced courses | na | 34 | 37 | 36 |
| K. Low success rate in transfer-level courses | 8 | 7 | 13 | 14 |
| L. Too few students who intend to transfer actually do | 2 | 4 | 11 | 8 |
| M. Inadequate travel funds for faculty | 15 | 22 | 23 | 25 |
| N. Inadequate classroom facilities for use of technology | na | 12 | 10 | 4 |
| O. Inadequate computer facilities for part-time faculty use | na | 9 | 6 | 7 |
| P. Inadequate computer facilities for student services | 3 | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| Q. Heavy classroom duties prevent personal \& teaching enrichment by faculty | na | 14 | 11 | 13 |
| R. Coordinating mathematics courses with high schools | 6 | 7 | 14 | 21 |
| S. Lack of curricular flexibility because of transfer rules | 1 | 7 | 5 | 2 |
| T. Other barriers than inhibit curricular changes ${ }^{1}$ | na | na | na | 7 |
| U. Maintaining high and consistent expectations across sections ${ }^{1}$ | na | na | na | 8 |
| V. High cost of textbooks ${ }^{1}$ | na | na | na | 54 |
| W. Lack of flexibility in curricular redesign ${ }^{1}$ | na | na | na | 4 |
| X. Maintaining common standards between distance learning and related courses ${ }^{1}$ | na | na | na | 2 |
| Y. Use of distance education ${ }^{1}$ | 10 | 6 | 6 | 4 |

Note: 0 means less than one-half of one percent.
${ }^{1}$ Data not collected before 2015.

TABLE TYF. 25 Percentage of program heads of mathematics programs at public two-year colleges classifying various problems by severity in fall 2015.

|  | Percentage of program heads classifying problems as |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Problem | minor or no problem | somewhat of a problem | major problem |
| A. Maintaining vitality of faculty | 60 | 33 | 7 |
| B. Dual-enrollment courses | 57 | 36 | 7 |
| C. Staffing statistics courses | 63 | 31 | 5 |
| D. Students don't understand demands of college work | 7 | 31 | 62 |
| E. Need to use part-time faculty for too many courses | 47 | 38 | 15 |
| F. Faculty salaries too low | 22 | 39 | 39 |
| G. Class sizes too large | 70 | 24 | 5 |
| H. Low student motivation | 9 | 34 | 57 |
| I. Too many students needing remediation | 2 | 33 | 64 |
| J. Lack of student progress from developmental to advanced courses | 15 | 48 | 36 |
| K. Low success rate in transfer-level courses | 32 | 54 | 14 |
| L. Too few students who intend to transfer actually do | 47 | 45 | 8 |
| M. Inadequate travel funds for faculty | 44 | 31 | 25 |
| N. Inadequate classroom facilities for use of technology | 70 | 26 | 4 |
| O. Inadequate computer facilities for part-time faculty use | 63 | 31 | 7 |
| P. Inadequate computer facilities for student services | 70 | 24 | 6 |
| Q. Heavy classroom duties prevent personal \& teaching enrichment by faculty | 43 | 43 | 13 |
| R. Coordinating mathematics courses with high schools | 28 | 52 | 21 |
| S. Lack of curricular flexibility because of transfer rules | 52 | 46 | 2 |
| T. Other barriers than inhibit curricul changes | 61 | 32 | 7 |
| U. Maintaining high and consistent expectations across sections | 48 | 44 | 8 |
| V. High cost of textbooks | 11 | 35 | 54 |
| W. Lack of flexibility in curricular redesign | 55 | 41 | 4 |
| X. Maintaining common standards between distance learning and related courses | 57 | 41 | 2 |
| Y. Use of distance education | 53 | 43 | 4 |

Note: 0 means less than one-half of $1 \%$.
new hires in 2015 were ethnic minorities ( 41 persons), down nine points from 2010. New hires for Asian/ Pacific Islander, Mexican American, Puerto Rican or other Hispanic and the group "others," tended to be males. Information about age of new hires was not collected in CBMS2015 and CBMS2010.

## Teaching Evaluations and Professional Development of Mathematics Program Faculty and Concerns and Issues in Mathematics Programs

In fall 2015, one hundred percent ( $100 \%$; 0 SE ) of two-year colleges responding to the survey required periodic evaluation of the teaching of full-time permanent mathematics faculty members, compared with $96 \%$ in 2010. Periodic teaching evaluation was required for part-time faculty at $98 \%(1 \mathrm{SE})$ of colleges, compared to $88 \%$ reported in 2010. See Table TYF. 21.

Regarding methods of evaluating teaching, the percentage of colleges using classroom observation by other faculty (not administrators) increased eleven points to $75 \%$ ( 5 SEs ) for full-time faculty and down five points in 2015 to $64 \%$ ( 5 SEs) for parttime faculty. The percentage of colleges that used classroom visitation by a division or department chair or other administrator as a component of full-time faculty evaluation was $45 \%$ ( 5 SEs), down ten points compared to 2010. In contrast, an increase of twenty percentage points to $62 \%$ ( 6 SEs ) was reported in administrators observing part-time faculty in 2015. See Table TYF. 22 .

In 2015, 2010 and 2005, the most common method of evaluating full- and part-time teaching was the use of evaluation instruments completed by students. Student evaluations were used for full-time faculty in 95\% (3 SEs) of reporting colleges and 94\% (3 SEs) of colleges for part-time faculty in 2015. Self-evaluation, such as teaching portfolios, were used as a component of the evaluation of full-time faculty by $23 \%$ ( 4 SEs) of colleges in 2015, down twenty-nine points from 2010. In contrast, $62 \%$ ( 6 SEs ) of responding colleges in 2015 used self-evaluation, such as teaching portfolios, for part-time faculty, compared to $19 \%$ in 2010.

For full-time faculty, evaluation of written materials, such as lesson plans, syllabi or course examinations, dipped to $53 \%$ ( 7 SEs) in 2015 from $58 \%$ in 2010. The use of such written materials for part-time faculty evaluation rose four points from 2015 to $57 \%$ (6 SEs) in 2015. In 2015, written peer evaluations, as a method of evaluating teaching, occurred in $21 \%$ (5 SEs) of colleges (down six points from 2010) reporting this method for full-time faculty and $34 \%$ ( 5 SEs; up 23 points from 2010) for part-time faculty. See Table TYF. 22 .

## Professional development obligations and activities of full-time permanent faculty

In fall 2015, some form of continuing education or professional development was required of full-time permanent faculty members at $82 \%$ ( 4 SEs ) of two-year colleges, up $15 \%$ from 2010. This represents a 20 -year long increase in required professional development for full-time permanent faculty. Sixty-two percent (62\%; 2 SEs) of the full-time permanent faculty met part of their professional development obligation through activities provided by their own colleges in 2015, compared to $53 \%$ in 2010. A slight decrease of one percentage point showed $33 \%$ ( 2 SEs) of permanent faculty met professional development requirements provided by professional societies. See Table TYF. 23.

## Concerns and issues in mathematics programs

Obtaining travel funds for faculty professional development has historically been a department concern. Lack of or reduced funds available for faculty professional travel and other professional development activities continued to challenge mathematics departments in 2015. The concern about the level of travel funding for mathematics faculty by program heads was a "major concern" in $25 \%$ ( 4 SEs ) of reporting colleges and "somewhat of a problem" by 31\% (3 SEs) of reporting colleges, both increased from 2010. See Tables TYF. 24 and TYF. 25.

In every CBMS survey since 1985, sixty percent or more of mathematics program heads classified "too many students needing remediation" as a "major" problem for their programs. In fall 2015, this figure was $64 \%$ ( 5 SEs). In fall 2010, this figure was $67 \%$. This was the number one major problem in 2015, 2010, 2005, 2000 and 1995. See Tables TYF. 24 and TYF. 25.

In 2005, a new category, "students' lack of understanding of the demands of college work," was introduced. This ranked second in the list of major problems in 2015, 2010 and 2005, reported by $62 \%$ ( 5 SEs ), $64 \%$ and $55 \%$ respectively of mathematics program heads. "Low student motivation" ranked third in 2015 and 2010 ( $50 \%$ ), as reported by $57 \%$ ( 8 SEs) of mathematics program heads. Other notable major problems in 2015 were "high cost of textbooks" (54\%; 4 SEs) and "lack of student progress from developmental to advanced courses" ( $36 \%$; 6 SEs). The "need to use too many part-time faculty" decreased as a major problem by twenty points to $15 \%$ ( 3 SEs ) in 2015. See Tables TYF. 24 and TYF. 25.

When considering issues reported as "somewhat of a problem," the top three items and their percentages were "low success rate in transfer-level courses" (54\%; 5 SEs), "coordinating mathematics courses with high schools" ( $52 \%$; 4 SEs) and "lack of curricular flexibility because of transfer rules" ( $46 \%$; 5 SEs).

Table TYF. 25 includes additional data on the extent to which program heads thought items listed were a "major" problem, "somewhat" of a problem, or a "minor or no" problem.

## Administration of Mathematics Programs

In 2015, fifty-two (52\%; 5 SEs) reported that two-year college mathematics programs were administered within a mathematics departmental structure, up six points from 2010. A division structure, where mathematics is combined with science department was found in $28 \%$ ( 5 SEs ) of colleges and another $10 \%$ of the college reported a mathematics and computer science department structure. Six percent (6\%; 2 SEs) of mathematics programs were administered by other departments or division structures (down 25 points), leaving $4 \%$ unreported or unknown. See Table TYF. 26.

Historically, mathematics courses at two-year colleges have been taught in different administra-
tive units other than in mathematics programs/ departments. The location of precollege (remedial) mathematics courses within a college's academic structure always has been of special interest. This practice continued in fall 2015, as shown in Table TYE. 16 in Chapter 6. In fall 2015, about 32\% (5 SEs) of colleges reported that some precollege mathematics courses were taught outside of the mathematics program. This was up three points from 2010 and up one point compared to 2005 . Table TYE. 16 in Chapter 6 reports specific courses percentages of two-year colleges administering mathematics course offering separately from the mathematics program: Arithmetic \& Basic Math and Prealgebra (23\%; 5 SEs), Elementary Algebra (22\%; 5 SEs) and Intermediate Algebra (16\%; 5 SEs), with nine percentage point increases in Elementary and Intermediate Algebra.

TABLE TYF. 26 Percentage of mathematics programs at public two-year colleges by type of administrative structure on their own campus in fall 2010 and 2015.

|  | Percentage of Mathematics <br> Programs |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Administrative structure | 2010 | 2015 |
| Mathematics Department | 46 | 52 |
| Mathematics and computer science ${ }^{1}$ | na | 10 |
| Mathematics and science | 14 | 28 |
| Other department or division structure | 31 | 6 |
| None of the above or unknown | 9 | 4 |

${ }^{1}$ Data not collected before 2015.
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Appendix I
Enrollments in Departmental Courses
in Four-Year Colleges and Universities:
$2000,2005,2010,2015$
TABLE A. 1 Enrollment (in 1000s) in mathematics courses in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015 [with SE for 2005, 2010, and 2015 totals]. Roundoff may cause marginal totals to appear
incorrect.

${ }^{1}$ Elementary Functions, Precalculus, and Analytic Geometry.
${ }^{2}$ Additional details on Precollege Level courses prior to 2010 a
${ }^{2}$ Additional details on Precollege Level courses prior to 2010 are available in the CBMS Survey Fall 2010 report available at www.ams.org/cbms-survey
TABLE A.1, Cont. Fall term mathematics course enrollment (in 1000s) [with SE for 2005, 2010, and 2015 totals]


TABLE A.1, Cont. Fall term mathematics course enrollment (in 1000s) [with SE for 2005, 2010, and 2015 totals].


Note: 0 means less than 500 enrollments.

TABLE A.1, Cont. Fall term mathematics course enrollment (in 1000s) [with SE for 2005, 2010, and 2015 totals].

TABLE A.2. Enrollment (in 1000s) in statistics courses in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015 [with SE for 2005 and 2015 totals]. (SEs for 2010 Mathematics and Statistics department totals in CBMS2010, Table A. 2 P. 189.) Roundoff may cause marginal totals to appear incorrect.

Note: 0 means less than 500 enrollments. Standard errors for combined enrollments across mathematics and statistics departments were not calculated in 2010

TABLE A.2, Cont. Enrollment (in 1000s) in statistics courses in 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015 in mathematics and statistics departments [with SE for 2005 and 2015 totals]. (SEs for 2010 Mathematics and Statistics department totals in CBMS2010, Table A. 2 P. 190.) Roundoff may cause marginal totals to appear incorrect.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fall 2015 Enrollment (in 1000s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |  | Statistics Departments |  |  |  |
| Statistics Courses | 2000 | 2005 |  | 2010 | Total 2015 |  | Univ (PhD) | Univ (MA) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coll } \\ & \text { (BA) } \end{aligned}$ | Subtotal |  | Univ <br> (PhD) | Univ <br> (MA) | Subtotal |  |
| Upper Level Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prob \& Statistics for majors (no calc prereq) |  |  |  |  | 38 | [4.7] | 1 | 15 | 6 | 21 | [4.6] | 15 | 2 | 17 | [1.5] |
| Math. Statistics (Calc prereq) | 18 | 12 [2.1] | 8 | - |  | [0.7] | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | [0.7] | 3 | 0 | 3 | [0.2] |
| Probability (Calc prereq) | 17 | 10 [1.0] | 12 | - | 16 | [1.2] | 7 | 2 | 4 | 12 | [1.2] | 4 | 0 | 4 | [0.4] |
| Prob \& Statistics Combined |  | 16 [2.0] | 12 | - |  | [1.8] | 4 | 2 | 5 | 12 | [1.8] | 7 | 1 | 7 | [0.7] |
| Stochastic Processes | 1 | 1 [0.2] | 1 | - |  | [0.3] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | [0.3] | 1 | 0 | 1 | [0.1] |
| Applied Statistical Analysis | 6 | 7 [1.2] | 5 | - |  | [0.9] | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | [0.9] | 2 | 0 | 2 | [0.2] |
| Data Science/Analytics |  |  |  |  |  | [0.2] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | [0.2] | 2 | 0 | 2 | [0.3] |
| Design \& Anal of Experiments | 2 | 1 [0.2] | 2 | - | 2 | [0.2] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | [0.2] | 1 | 0 | 1 | [0.1] |
| Regression \& Correlation | 2 | 3 [0.5] | 4 | - |  | [0.4] | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | [0.4] | 3 | 0 | 3 | [0.2] |
| Biostatistics | 2 | 2 [0.6] | 1 | - |  | [0.4] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | [0.4] | 1 | 0 | 1 | [0.2] |
| Nonparametric Statistics | 1 | 0 [0.1] | 0 | - |  | [0.0] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | [0.0] | 1 | 0 | 1 | [0.1] |

Note: 0 means less than 500 enrollments. Standard errors for combined enrollments across mathematics and statistics departments were not calculated in 2010.
TABLE A.2, Cont. Fall term statistics enrollment (in 1000s) [with SE for 2005 and 2015 totals]. (SEs for 2010 Mathematics and Statistics department totals in CBMS2010, Table A. 2 P. 191.)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fall 2015 Enrollment (in 1000s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |  | Statistics Departments |  |  |  |
| Statistics Courses | 2000 | 2005 |  | 2010 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | Univ <br> (MA) | Coll <br> (BA) | Subtotal |  | Univ <br> (PhD) | Univ <br> (MA) | Subtotal |  |
| (Upper Level Statistics, Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Categorical Data Analysis | 0 | 0 [0.1] | 0 | - | 0 | [0.2] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | [0.2] | 0 | 0 | 0 | [0.1] |
| 13 Survey Design \& Analysis | 0 | 1 [0.2] | 0 | - | 0 | [0.1] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | [0.1] | 0 | 0 | 0 | [0.1] |
| 14 Stat Software \& Computing | 1 | 1 [0.2] | 1 | - | 3 | [0.2] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | [0.2] | 2 | 0 | 2 | [0.2] |
| 15 Data Management | 0 | 0 [0.0] | 0 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 Senior Sem / Indep Stdy in Statistics | 0 | 0 [0.1] | 1 | - | 0 | [0.1] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | [0.1] | 0 | 0 | 0 | [0.0] |
| Bayesian Statistics |  |  | 0 | - | 0 | [0.0] |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | [0.1] |
| Statistical Consulting |  |  | 0 | - | 0 | [0.0] |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | [0.0] |
| 17 Other Upper Level Statistics | 5 | 3 [0.5] | 4 | - | 4 | [0.2] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | [0.2] | 2 | 0 | 3 | [0.4] |
| All departmental courses other than Prob. or Stat. | 5 | 3 [0.5] | 8 | - | 2 | [0.0] |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 2 | [0.6] |
| Subtotal Upper Level Statistics | 45 | 57 [3.7] | 60 | - | 110 | [6.2] | 17 | 24 | 20 | 60 | [6.1] | 45 | 5 | 50 | [2.3] |
| Statistics Total | 235 | 259 [15.4] | 372 | - | 457 | [24.8] | 74 | 85 | 154 | 313 | [24.2] | 124 | 20 | 144 | [4.0] |

Note: 0 means less than 500 enrollments. Standard errors for combined enrollments across mathematics and statistics departments were not calculated in 2010.
TABLE A.3. Enrollment (in 1000s) in computer science courses in fall 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015 [with SE for 2005, 2010, and 2015 totals]. Roundoff may cause marginal totals to appear incorrect.

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Fall 2015 Enrollment (in 1000s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total (Including Distance Courses) |  |  | Total (Non-Distance Courses) |  |  |  |  |
| CS Courses | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Total } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ |  | Univ <br> (PhD) | Univ <br> (MA) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coll } \\ & \text { (BA) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | Univ <br> (MA) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coll } \\ & \text { (BA) } \end{aligned}$ | Subtotal |  |
| General Education CS Courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computers \& Society, Issues in Computer Science | 4 | 5 [1.8] | 10.1 [5.3] | 2 | [0.8] | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | [0.8] |
| Intro to Software Pkgs | 25 | 12 [4.1] | 11.1 [3.6] | 6 | [2.0] | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | [2.0] |
| Other CS general ed courses | 6 | 11 [4.8] | 9.4 [3.6] | 12 | [3.5] | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 12 | [3.4] |
| Subtotal general education courses | 35 | 28 [6.2] | 30.6 [7.3] | 20 | [3.9] | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 19 | [3.8] |
| Lower-Level CS Courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer Programming 1* | 23 | 10 [1.8] | 15.2 [1.9] | 15 | [3.1] | 2 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 15 | [3.1] |
| Computer Programming II * | 6 | 2 [0.6] |  | 3 | [0.9] | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | [0.9] |
| Discrete Structures for CS | 4 | 1 [0.5] | 1.5 [0.5] | 2 | [0.6] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | [0.6] |
| Other Lower-level CS Courses | 22 | 4 [1.1] | 4.4 [1.3] | 5 | [1.7] | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | [1.6] |
| Subtotal Lower-Level CS | 55 | 18 [2.9] | 25.4 [3.2] | 25 | [4.7] | 4 | 4 | 18 | 4 | 4 | 18 | 25 | [4.6] |
| All intermediate-level courses | 18 | 8 [1.4] | 11.7 [1.8] | 16 | [3.4] | 1 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 16 | [3.4] |
| All upper-level CS courses | 17 | 5 [1.3] | 9.8 [2.4] |  | [1.5] | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 6 | [1.5] |
| Total Computer Science | 123 | 59 [9.9] | 77.4 [11.2] | 68 | [10.8] | 5 | 8 | 55 | 5 | 8 | 54 | 67 | [10.6] |

* For 1995 and 2000, this course category was described in the 1991 ACM/IEEE CS curriculum report. For 2005, these courses were described in the 2001 ACM/IEEE report "Model Curricula for Computing." For 2015, they are described in "Computer Science Curricular 2013," a joint IEEE Computer Society/ACM Task Force Report.


## Appendix II, Part I

# Sampling and Estimation Procedures 

Rui Jiao and Bradford Chaney, Westat

## Overview

A stratified, simple random sample was employed in the CBMS 2015 survey, and strata were based on three variables: curriculum, highest degree level offered, and total institutional enrollment. Data were collected using an online survey with email and telephone followup.

## Sampling Approach

For CBMS 2015, the basic design was a stratified simple random sample of institutions. Neyman allocation based on a key outcome variable was used to determine targeted sample sizes for the 29 sampling strata. A two-phase sample design was applied to some of the strata to ease data collection workload when the sampling frame was imperfect.

## Target Population and Sampling Frames

The Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), a database maintained by the National Center for Education Statistics within the U.S. Department of Education, was used as a basis for building a frame for this survey. For the academic year 2013-2014, there were approximately 3,300 four-year colleges and universities across the country and 2,600 two-year colleges, according to IPEDS. Of these, 2,501 had mathematics or statistics departments (or both). AMS conducts annual surveys of four-year institutions, and thus has reasonably current information for four-year institutions; this information was used as a basis for updating the IPEDS frame. However, it was necessary to obtain updated information on two-year institutions, partly because they are surveyed only every five years, and partly because of variations in how they are administered. Two-year institutions are sometimes centralized (with one institution having all required information, including for branch campuses) and sometimes decentralized (with each campus maintaining its own data, and there being no integrated database); the latter must be surveyed separately, so the sampling unit becomes the campus rather than the institution. Sometimes there is a mixture of centralization and decentralization at two-year
colleges; for example, an administratively independent campus might have a satellite location that is not administratively separate from the campus. The sampling unit was that level that maintained administrative data on faculty and courses. In 2010, AMS and Westat contacted all two-year institutions in the frame to include the individual campuses, but the effort of finding all of those campuses on the frame would have been significant. To reduce the operational burden of screening the entire 2-year institutions frame, a two-phase sample was applied for CBMS 2015. The 2 -year institutions formed the frame for the first phase of sampling, and then the identification of eligible campuses took place just among the sampled institutions. In the second phase, one or two campuses were selected per decentralized institution depending on the number of campuses per institution.

The target population of the CBMS 2015 survey consisted of undergraduate mathematics and statistics programs at two-year and four-year colleges and universities in the United States. Thus the frame for the CBMS 2015 survey was divided into three parts: (A) 1,395 institutions having four-year math programs, (B) 75 institutions having four-year statistics programs, and (C) 1,031 institutions having two-year math programs, for a total of 2,501 institutions having programs eligible for participation in the survey. Note that parts A and B did not necessarily consist of mutually exclusive institutions since some institutions had both four-year math programs and four-year statistics programs. However, this was not problematic since the math and statistics programs within these institutions were the targets of interest, and the departments were sampled independently.

## Sampling Strata

The three parts of the frame were each stratified using the same two variables that were used in the previous three rounds of the CBMS survey, that is, "Highest Degree Granted by the Institution" (PhD, MA or BA) and "Institutional Full Time Equivalent (FTE) Undergraduate Fall Enrollment." After an initial investigation on the population distributions of the
two variables, it was determined that the strata from CBMS 2010 largely could be maintained with a few exceptions. The stratification for part A was similar to the design in CBMS 2010 except for a change in the boundaries between strata 4 and 5 . The lower bound of stratum 5 was increased to 27,500 , determined by the lowest enrollment among the certainty institutions, and consequently the upper bound of stratum 4 was increased to 27,499 . The stratification used in CBMS 2010 for part C was applied for this round except for the addition of stratum 9 , which consists of 4-year institutions offering 2 -year math programs. The stratification for part B of the frame remained unchanged. The final stratification can be seen in the first four columns of Table 1 ahead. The four-year mathematics programs were divided into fifteen strata, the four-year statistics programs were divided into five strata, and the two-year programs were divided into nine strata.

## Allocation Process

For the CBMS 2015 survey, a stratified simple random sample of 595 institutions was drawn from parts A, B and C. For CBMS 2015, since there were only 75 institutions within part B of the frame (4-year Statistics), and since each of the five strata within part B had fewer than 25 institutions, a decision was made to sample all 75 institutions, forcing strata 16-20 to be certainty strata. The remaining 520 sampled institutions for CBMS 2015 were sampled from parts A and C of the frame. The sampling rates were adjusted based on the response rates in CBMS 2010. For the 2010 CBMS, the response rate in part C was lower than it was in part A and part B. In order to maintain the overall sample size to be at the same level of CBMS 2010, the sample size of part A was reduced and the sample size of part C was increased to yield the target sizes that are comparable among parts A, B, and C. As a result, the sample for CBMS 2015 consisted of 300 institutions sampled from part A, and 220 institutions sampled from part C. The second phase selection for part C involved drawing one or two campuses if the college was decentralized. If the institution contained five or more eligible decentralized administered campuses then two campuses were selected, otherwise, only a single campus was selected. The individual campuses were selected randomly without regard to campus size or other campus characteristics. We expected about five more campuses to be selected through the second sampling phase, making a total sample size of around 600 institutions/campuses.

In order to allocate the sample optimally to each of the 24 strata, Neyman allocation was used. This form of allocation distributes sample to the strata proportionately to the overall number of institutions on the frame belonging to each stratum, while adjusting the allocation to give more sample to those strata with greater variability (larger standard deviations) with
respect to key variables. The statistics of interest in this survey involve both the counts at the student level and the counts at the institution level. In the frame for the 2015 CBMS, the most reliable information for developing the design was the student enrollment, a count at the student level, so it was used as the key outcome variable to measure variability.

For part A, the standard deviation varied substantially, ranging from 146.59 in stratum 12 to 4855.93 in stratum 10. To smooth out this broad range of variability, and not let it dominate the sample allocation, while balancing the precision of estimates at the institution level, a modified Neyman allocation, the square root of the standard deviation of the student FTE enrollment in Fall 2013, was used to allocate the sample in strata 1 through 4, and 7 through 15 . Strata 5 and 6 were selected with certainty.

For part C, the first phase sampling rate of stratum 29 was set to be the same as the overall sampling rate, which yielded selecting 3 institutions. The certainty institutions were determined by the student FTE enrollment in Fall 2013 and they were in stratum 28. The rest of the sample was distributed through strata 21 to 27 by Neyman allocation. The variability of the key estimates was measured by the standard deviation of the student FTE in Fall 2013. Unlike the 4-year mathematics programs frame, the variability was not heavily loaded in one stratum, so use of the square root was not warranted.

The first phase sample for CBMS 2015 consisted of 300 institutions from part A (including the two certainty strata, strata 5 and 6 , of size sixteen and seven, respectively), all 75 institutions from part B, and 221 institutions from part $C$ (including the one certainty stratum, stratum 28 , of size nine), for a total of 596 institutions. See Table 1 below for details of the final allocation given in the columns labeled "Universe" (or number of institutions on the frame), "Final Sample Allocation", and "Sampling Rate". The final column of Table 1 also gives the "Raw Sampling Weights" which were adjusted for non-response after the surveys were conducted. In so doing, final sampling weights were produced, which can be used for estimation purposes.

The 221 sampled institutions for part C were contacted to obtain information on the individual campuses for the second phase sampling. One institution was found to be ineligible. Of the remaining 220 sampled institutions, 19 had decentralized administered campuses, nine of which had five or more campuses, and 10 of which had less than five campuses, yielding 297 campuses subject to the second phase sampling. Table 2 gives the distribution of the sampled institutions with different levels of campuses. The number of sampled campuses, sampling rate, and the raw sampling weights at the second phase are given in the last three columns, respectively.
TABLE 1: Phase 1 - Stratum Designations and Final Allocation for

| the CBMS 2015 Study (Program Types A, B and C) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stratum | Program Type | Highest Degree Granted | FTE <br> Undergraduate Fall Enrollment | Universe (N) | Final Sample Allocation (n) | Sampling Rate ( $n / N$ ) | Raw Sampling Weights (N/n) |
| 1 | Four-Year Math <br> (A) | PhD | 0-7,499 | 49 | 14 | 0.29 | 3.50 |
| 2 |  |  | 7,500-14,999 | 53 | 18 | 0.34 | 2.94 |
| 3 |  |  | 15,000-19,999 | 43 | 12 | 0.28 | 3.58 |
| 4 |  |  | 20,000-27,499 | 33 | 11 | 0.33 | 3.00 |
| 5 |  |  | 27,500-34,999 | 16 | 16 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 6 |  |  | 35,000+ | 7 | 7 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 7 |  | MA | 0-6,999 | 76 | 22 | 0.29 | 3.45 |
| 8 |  |  | 7,000-10,999 | 50 | 12 | 0.24 | 4.17 |
| 9 |  |  | 11,000-14,999 | 22 | 5 | 0.23 | 4.40 |
| 10 |  |  | 15,000+ | 28 | 14 | 0.50 | 2.00 |
| 11 |  | BA | 0-999 | 185 | 18 | 0.10 | 10.28 |
| 12 |  |  | 1,000-1,499 | 186 | 16 | 0.09 | 11.63 |
| 13 |  |  | 1,500-2,499 | 298 | 36 | 0.12 | 8.28 |
| 14 |  |  | 2,500-4,999 | 223 | 42 | 0.19 | 5.31 |
| 15 |  |  | 5,000+ | 126 | 57 | 0.45 | 2.21 |
| 16 | Four-Year Statistics (B) | PhD | 0-14,999 | 16 | 16 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 17 |  |  | 15,000-24,999 | 18 | 18 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 18 |  |  | 25,000-34,999 | 17 | 17 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 19 |  |  | 35,000+ | 4 | 4 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 20 |  | MA/BA | All | 20 | 20 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 21 | Two-Year Schools (C) | N/A | 0-999 | 145 | 13 | 0.09 | 11.15 |
| 22 |  |  | 1,000-1,999 | 231 | 21 | 0.09 | 11.00 |
| 23 |  |  | 2,000-3,999 | 276 | 51 | 0.18 | 5.41 |
| 24 |  |  | 4,000-7,999 | 223 | 79 | 0.35 | 2.82 |
| 25 |  |  | 8,000-11,499 | 76 | 24 | 0.32 | 3.17 |
| 26 |  |  | 11,500-14,999 | 36 | 11 | 0.31 | 3.27 |
| 27 |  |  | 15,000-19,999 | 23 | 10 | 0.43 | 2.30 |
| 28 |  |  | 20,000+ | 9 | 9 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 29 |  |  | 4-year institution | 12 | 3 | 0.25 | 4.00 |


| TABLE 2: Phase 2 - Sampling rate per institution for the CBMS 2015 Study (Program Type C) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \# Institutions | \# <br> Campuses per institution (Universe, N) | \# Sampled campuses per institution, (Final Sample Allocation, n) | Sampling Rate ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ ) | Raw Sampling Weights ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{n}$ ) |
| 201 centralized institutions |  | 201 | 1 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 |
| 19 decentralized institutions | 10 institutions (less than 5 campuses) | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0.50 | 2 |
|  |  | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0.33 | 3 |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0.25 | 4 |
|  | 9 institutions (above 5 campuses) | 6 | 5 | 2 | 0.40 | 2.5 |
|  |  | 1 | 6 | 2 | 0.33 | 3 |
|  |  | 1 | 7 | 2 | 0.29 | 3.5 |
|  |  | 1 | 23 | 2 | 0.09 | 11.5 |
| Total |  | 220 | 297 | 229 |  |  |

## Weighting Approach

Sampling weights that adjusted for non-responding institutions were created for weighted data analysis. To facilitate the calculation of standard errors, replicate weights were created using the stratified jackknife method. Nonresponse adjustments were also applied to each set of replicate weights.

## Sampling Weights

For parts $A$ and $B$, the raw sampling weight in table A serves as the base weight. (For part B, the sample of statistics departments, the base weight was equal to one since the departments were selected with certainty.) The raw sampling weight in the $h^{\text {th }}$ stratum was computed as $N_{h} / n_{h}$, where $N_{h}$ is the total number of institutions in the $h^{\text {th }}$ stratum and $n_{h}$ is the number of selected institutions in the hth stratum. For part C, the product of the raw sampling weights in tables $A$ and B serves as the base weight. Among the sampled institutions, a few were identified as ineligible for the following reasons:

- Institutions only offering math as part of general studies requirement but that were classified as a four-year mathematics program based on the sampling frame;
- Institutions having math courses required for some other programs but that were classified as a two-year mathematics program;
- Institutions having statistics courses required for some other programs, i.e. business school, but that were classified as a four-year statistics program;
- A duplicate institution was found.

The ineligible institutions were out-of-scope of the population of interest, so they were excluded from the weighting adjustment. The rest of the sample was classified as either responding institutions or nonresponding institutions. To remove bias from the estimates and reduce variability of the estimates, the base weights were adjusted for nonresponse. Within stratum $h$, a nonresponse adjustment factor, $f_{h}$ was calculated as

$$
f_{h}=\frac{\sum_{\text {eligible }} W_{h}}{\sum_{\text {responding }} W_{h}}
$$

where $W_{h}$ is the base weight. Small cells in a stratum with less than 10 institutions or large nonresponse adjustment exceeding 2.5 were collapsed with an adjacent cell within program type and highest degree granted. The analysis weight, $W_{h}{ }^{*}$ for any respondent in the $h^{\text {th }}$ stratum was computed as

$$
W_{h}^{*}=W_{h} f_{h} .
$$

See Tables 3, 4, 5 for the weights used in the fouryear mathematics, four-year statistics, and two-year mathematics categories, respectively. Two sets of weights were produced for two-year mathematics. One set of weights applied to all of the responding two-year institutions. Since some responding two-year institutions did not answer the course enrollment matrices, and in order to calculate variances for the course enrollments, a second set of weights was created for the subset of the responding institutions who also provided enrollment data. See tables 5 a and 5 b for the weights used in two-year mathematics non-enrollment estimates and enrollment estimates, respectively.

Table 3. Final sampling weights used in the four-year mathematics questionnaire

| Stratum <br> $(h)$ | Number of <br> completes | Number of <br> nonresponse | Number of <br> ineligibles | Response <br> rate | Base <br> weight $\left(W_{h}\right)$ | Nonresponse <br> adjusted <br> factor $\left(f_{h}\right)$ | Final weight <br> $\left(W_{h}{ }^{*}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0.643 | 3.500 | 1.298 | 4.541 |
| 2 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0.889 | 2.944 | 1.298 | 3.820 |
| 3 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0.833 | 3.583 | 1.2 | 4.300 |
| 4 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0.909 | 3.000 | 1.1 | 3.300 |
| 5 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0.938 | 1.000 | 1.095 | 1.095 |
| 6 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0.857 | 1.000 | 1.095 | 1.095 |
| 7 | 13 | 9 | 0 | 0.591 | 3.455 | 1.61 | 5.563 |
| 8 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0.667 | 4.167 | 1.61 | 6.710 |
| 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1.000 | 4.400 | 1 | 4.400 |
| 10 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0.714 | 2.000 | 1.4 | 2.800 |
| 11 | 5 | 11 | 2 | 0.313 | 10.278 | 1.836 | 18.869 |
| 12 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 0.750 | 11.625 | 1.836 | 21.342 |
| 13 | 23 | 13 | 0 | 0.639 | 8.278 | 1.565 | 12.957 |
| 14 | 34 | 7 | 1 | 0.829 | 5.310 | 1.21 | $1.986-6.425^{*}$ |
| 15 | 37 | 19 | 0 | 0.661 | 2.211 | 1.514 | 3.346 |
| Total | 213 | 83 | 3 | 0.720 |  |  |  |

Table 4. Final sampling weights used in the four-year statistics questionnaire

| Stratum <br> $(h)$ | Number <br> of <br> completes | Number of <br> nonresponse | Number <br> of <br> ineligibles | Response <br> rate | Base <br> weight $\left(W_{h}\right)$ | Nonresponse <br> adjusted <br> factor $\left(f_{h}\right)$ | Final weight <br> $\left(W_{h}^{*}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0.688 | 1.000 | 1.455 | 1.455 |
| 17 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 0.824 | 1.000 | 1.214 | 1.214 |
| 18 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 0.938 | 1.000 | 1.067 | 1.067 |
| 19 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1 | 1.000 |
| 20 | 13 | 4 | 3 | 0.765 | 1.000 | 1.308 | 1.308 |
| Total | 56 | 13 | 6 | 0.812 |  |  |  |

Table 5a. Final sampling weights used in the two-year mathematics questionnaire, non-enrollment estimates

| Stratum <br> $(h)$ | Number <br> of <br> completes | Number of <br> nonresponse | Number <br> of <br> ineligibles | Response <br> rate | Base weight <br> $\left(W_{h}\right)$ | Nonresponse <br> adjusted <br> factor $\left(f_{h}\right)$ | Final weight <br> $\left(W_{h}{ }^{*}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 21 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0.667 | 11.154 | 2.059 | 22.961 |
| 22 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 0.381 | 11.000 | 2.059 | 22.645 |
| 23 | 26 | 22 | 3 | 0.542 | 5.412 | 1.846 | 9.991 |
| 24 | 45 | 32 | 2 | 0.584 | $2.823-5.646$ | 1.739 | $4.909-9.818$ |
| 25 | 13 | 11 | 0 | 0.542 | $3.167-12.667$ | 1.896 | $6.004-24.016$ |
| 26 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0.750 | $3.273-8.182$ | 1.896 | $6.205-15.512$ |
| 27 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0.250 | $2.300-9.200$ | 1.896 | $4.361-17.443$ |
| 28 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0.533 | $1.000-11.500$ | 1.896 | $1.896-6.636$ |
| 29 | 0 | 1 | 2 | - | 4.000 | 1.896 |  |
| Total | 120 | 102 | 7 | 0.541 |  |  |  |

Table 5b. Final sampling weights used in the two-year mathematics questionnaire, enrollment estimates

| Stratum <br> $(h)$ | Number <br> of <br> completes | Number of <br> nonresponse | Number <br> of <br> ineligibles | Response <br> rate | Base weight <br> $\left(W_{h}\right)$ | Nonresponse <br> adjusted <br> factor $\left(f_{h}\right)$ | Final weight <br> $\left(W_{h}{ }^{*}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0.667 | 11.154 | 2.059 | 22.961 |
| 22 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 0.381 | 11.000 | 2.059 | 22.645 |
| 23 | 24 | 24 | 3 | 0.500 | 5.412 | 2 | 10.824 |
| 24 | 41 | 36 | 2 | 0.532 | $2.823-5.646$ | 1.905 | $5.377-10.753$ |
| 25 | 11 | 13 | 0 | 0.458 | $3.167-12.667$ | 2.297 | $7.273-29.092$ |
| 26 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0.583 | $3.273-8.182$ | 2.297 | $7.517-18.791$ |
| 27 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0.167 | $2.300-9.200$ | 2.297 | $5.282-13.206$ |
| 28 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0.467 | $1.000-11.500$ | 2.297 | $2.297-8.039$ |
| 29 | 0 | 1 | 2 | - |  | 4.000 | 2.297 |
| Total | 108 | 114 | 7 | 0.486 |  |  |  |

## Replicate Weights

Weighted estimates and standard errors were calculated using the replication method JKn (Jackknife method $n$, or the stratified jackknife method). The idea behind replication is to select subsamples (replicates) repeatedly from the whole sample, calculate the statistic of interest for each subsample, and then use these subsamples or replicate statistics to estimate the variance of the full-sample statistics. The JKn method divides the sample into subsamples by excluding one unit at a time.

For the CBMS, 74 replicates were created for the fouryear mathematics program and 61 replicates for the two-year mathematics programs. The replicates were designed in such a way so that on average, each replicate contains four to five sampled institutions. For the four-year statistics program, each sampled institution constituted a replicate except for those in stratum 19, resulting in 71 replicates. The same nonresponse adjustment used for the full sample was applied to each replicate.

In stratum 19, all the institutions were selected and all of them responded. These self-representing institutions were excluded from the computations involved in creating the replicate weights for non-self-representing institutions. Replicate weights associated with self-representing institutions were set equal to their full-sample weights. By handling the self-representing institutions in this manner, they were included in the population estimates but do not contribute to the resulting variance.

See Tables 6, 7, and 8 for the replicates for the fouryear mathematics, four-year statistics, and two-year mathematics categories, respectively.

For variance estimation purposes, the "Stratum" in Tables 6, 7, and 8 is referred as the variance stratum (VarStrat). The sampled institutions in a VarStrat are the variance units (VarUnits). For the first replicate weight, the full sample of institutions in the first VarStrat and VarUnit were multiplied by 0 and the
weights associated with the other VarUnits in the same VarStrat and adjusted by $n_{h}^{\prime} /\left(n_{h}^{\prime}-1\right)$ to account for reducing the sample. The weights of the institutions in other VarStrat were not changed. The remaining replicates were formed in the same manner by systematically dropping each of the remaining VarUnits and computing the replicate weights as described for the first replicate.

## Variance Estimation

Suppose that $\hat{\theta}$ is the full-sample estimate of some population parameter $\theta$. The variance estimator using the $J K n$ method, $v(\hat{\theta})$ is

$$
v(\hat{\theta})=\sum_{g=1}^{G}=f_{g} h_{g}\left(\hat{\theta}_{(g)}-\theta\right)^{2} .
$$

where
$\hat{\theta}_{(g)}$ is the estimate of $\theta$ based on the observations included in the $g$-th replicate,
$G$ is the number of replicates formed,
$f_{g}$ is the finite population correction (FPC) factors for replicate $g$, and
$h_{g}$ is the JKn factors for replicate $g$.
The FPC is an adjustment to the estimated variance that accounts for how large a fraction of the population is selection for the sample. For replicate $g$, the FPC factor is $f_{g}=1-m_{h} / N_{h}{ }^{\prime}$, where $m_{h}$ is the number of completes and $N_{h}$, is the total number of eligible institutions in the $h^{\text {th }}$ stratum. For the two-year mathematics, the FPC factor was calculated for the first phase of selection. The JKn factor is computed as $h_{g}$ $=\left(n_{h}{ }^{\prime}-1\right) / n_{h}{ }^{\prime}$, where $n_{h}{ }^{\prime}$ is the number of selected eligible institutions in the $\mathrm{h}^{\text {th }}$ stratum.

See Tables 6, 7, and 8 for the JKn factors and FPC factors for the four-year mathematics, four-year statistics, and two-year mathematics categories, respectively.

Table 6. Replicates, JKn factors, and FPC factors for the four-year mathematics program

| Stratum <br> (h) | Replicate <br> (g) | Number of replicates | JKn factors | FPC factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1-3 | 3 | 0.667 | 0.816 |
| 2 | 4-7 | 4 | 0.750 | 0.698 |
| 3 | 8-10 | 3 | 0.667 | 0.767 |
| 4 | 11-12 | 2 | 0.500 | 0.697 |
| 5 | 13-16 | 4 | 0.750 | 0.063 |
| 6 | 17-23 | 7 | 0.857 | 0.143 |
| 7 | 24-28 | 5 | 0.800 | 0.829 |
| 8 | 29-31 | 3 | 0.667 | 0.840 |
| 9 | 32-34 | 3 | 0.667 | 0.773 |
| 10 | 35-37 | 3 | 0.667 | 0.643 |
| 11 | 38-41 | 4 | 0.750 | 0.973 |
| 12 | 42-45 | 4 | 0.750 | 0.935 |
| 13 | 46-53 | 8 | 0.875 | 0.923 |
| 14 | 54-62 | 9 | 0.889 | 0.847 |
| 15 | 63-74 | 12 | 0.917 | 0.704 |

Table 7. Replicates, JKn factors, and FPC factors for the four-year statistics program

| Stratum <br> $(h)$ | Replicate <br> $(g)$ | Number of <br> replicates | JKn <br> factors | FPC <br> factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | $1-16$ | 16 | 0.938 | 0.313 |
| 17 | $17-34$ | 18 | 0.944 | 0.176 |
| 18 | $35-51$ | 17 | 0.941 | 0.063 |
| 19 | $52-55$ | 4 | - | - |
| 20 | $56-75$ | 20 | 0.950 | 0.235 |

Table 8a. Replicates, JKn factors, and FPC factors for the two-year statistics program, nonenrollment estimates

| Stratum <br> $(h)$ | Replicate <br> $(g)$ | Number of <br> replicates | JKn <br> factors | FPC <br> factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | $1-4$ | 4 | 0.750 | 0.917 |
| 22 | $5-8$ | 4 | 0.750 | 0.909 |
| 23 | $9-21$ | 13 | 0.923 | 0.815 |
| 24 | $22-38$ | 17 | 0.941 | 0.646 |
| 25 | $39-46$ | 8 | 0.875 | 0.684 |
| 26 | $47-49$ | 3 | 0.667 | 0.694 |
| 27 | $50-52$ | 3 | 0.667 | 0.565 |
| 28 | $53-58$ | 6 | 0.833 | 0.857 |
| 29 | $59-61$ | 3 | 0.667 | 0.750 |

Table 8b. Replicates, JKn factors, and FPC factors for the two-year statistics program, enrollment estimates

| Stratum <br> $(h)$ | Replicate <br> $(g)$ | Number of <br> replicates | JKn <br> factors | FPC <br> factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | $1-4$ | 4 | 0.750 | 0.917 |
| 22 | $5-8$ | 4 | 0.750 | 0.909 |
| 23 | $9-21$ | 13 | 0.923 | 0.815 |
| 24 | $22-38$ | 17 | 0.941 | 0.646 |
| 25 | $39-46$ | 8 | 0.875 | 0.684 |
| 26 | $47-49$ | 3 | 0.667 | 0.694 |
| 27 | $50-52$ | 3 | 0.458 | 0.565 |
| 28 | $53-58$ | 6 | 0.833 | 0.875 |
| 29 | $59-61$ | 3 | 0.667 | 0.750 |

WesVar, a variance estimation software designed for complex surveys, was used to calculate estimates and standard errors of the estimates for the CBMS using the JKn replication method. WesVar can be used with a wide range of complex sample designs, including multistage, stratified, and unequal proba-
bility samples. The replicate variance estimates can reflect many types of estimation schemes, including nonresponse adjustment, poststratification, raking, and ratio estimation. It computes variance estimates for medians, percentiles, ratios, difference of ratios, and log-odds ratios.

## Appendix II, Part II

# Sampling and Estimation Procedures: Four-Year Mathematics and Statistics Faculty Profile 

James W. Maxwell,<br>American Mathematical Society

## Overview

In CBMS surveys prior to 2005, information on the faculty was based on data collected on the CBMS survey form. Starting with the 2010 CBMS survey the information on the faculty at four-year colleges and universities is based on a separate survey conducted by the American Mathematical Society. The Departmental Profile Survey is one of several surveys of mathematical sciences departments at four-year institutions conducted annually as part of the AMS-ASA-IMS-MAA-SIAM Annual Survey of the Mathematical Sciences. For 2015 the Departmental Profile Survey form was expanded to gather data on the age and the race/ethnicity of the faculty, in addition to the data collected annually on rank, tenure status and gender. The information on the four-year mathematics and statistics faculty derived from this data appears in Chapters 1 and 4 of this report.

## Target Populations and Survey Approach

The procedures used to conduct the 2015 Departmental Profile survey are parallel to those used in CBMS 2015 as described in detail in Part I of this appendix. The primary characteristics used to stratify the departments for survey and reporting purposes are program type (four-year mathematics or four-
year statistics) and the highest mathematical sciences degree offered by the department: doctoral, masters, or bachelors. The 2015 Departmental Profile survey employed a census of the mathematics and statistics departments in the sample frame whereas the CBMS survey sampled these departments. In addition, the CBMS 2015 sample frame of statistics departments included sixteen departments that offered at most a masters degree in statistics. These departments are not part of the regular Annual Survey sample frame but were included in the 2015 Departmental Profile survey. The Annual Survey reports separately on doctorate-granting departments of applied mathematics, but these departments were grouped with the doctoral departments of mathematics for the CBMS 2015 analysis.

## Comparison of the Annual Survey Sample Frame with the CBMS Sample Frame

Table AS. 1 demonstrates that the sample frames of four-year mathematics and statistics departments used in the two surveys closely align. As a consequence of this alignment, the distinction between the terms "Bachelors", "Masters" and "Doctoral" Mathematics Departments as defined in the two surveys is immaterial.

Table AS. 1 Comparability of 2015 Annual Survey Sample Frame and the 2015 CBMS Sample Frame for Four-Year Mathematics Departments \& Statistics Departments

| Dept. Grouping | Annual Survey Count | CBMS Count | Overlap Count |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doctoral Math. Depts. | 201 | 201 | 200 |
| Masters Math. Depts. | 175 | 176 | 174 |
| Bachelors Math. Depts. | 1011 | 1018 | 1010 |
| Doctoral Stat. Depts. | 54 | 55 | 54 |
| Masters Stat. Depts. | 16 | 20 | 16 |
| Total |  | 1457 | 1470 |

Table AS. 2 describes the stratifications used with the the 2015 Departmental Profile data. This is the same stratification scheme used for CBMS 2015 data and described in more detail in Part I of this appendix.

## Survey Implementation

Departments of mathematics and statistics received the Departmental Profile forms in early January of 2016 asking them to report on their fall-term 2015 faculty. Non-responding departments received follow-up requests over the winter and early spring of 2016. The final effort to obtain responses took place during April, and these efforts were concentrated on the strata with the lowest response rates.

## Data Analysis

The analysis used with the 2015 Departmental Profile data parallels that used for CBMS 2015 data.

Table AS. 2 lists the final sample weights used to produce the estimates within each stratum of the counts of faculty by rank, type-of-appointment and gender. The column "Response rate" reflects the sum of the usable forms returned. The sample weights used to produce estimates of age distribution and race/ethnicity distributions were somewhat higher due to item non-response for these data. By way of comparison, Table AS. 3 shows response rates for the age data collected.

The standard errors reported for the faculty data were computed using the formulas described on pages 83-84 and 97-98 of [SMO].

Table AS. 2 Stratum designations and allocations and nonresponse-adjusted sample weights used with Annual Survey Data of faculty counts by rank, type-ofappointment and gender for the CBMS 2015 report.

| Stratum | Program Type | Highest <br> Degree | Universe <br> ( N ) | Number selected <br> ( n ) | Number of Responses | Response rate | Final sampling weights |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4-year <br> Math | PhD | 49 | 49 | 37 | 0.755 | 1.324 |
| 2 |  |  | 53 | 53 | 45 | 0.849 | 1.178 |
| 3 |  |  | 43 | 43 | 35 | 0.814 | 1.229 |
| 4 |  |  | 32 | 32 | 23 | 0.719 | 1.391 |
| 5 |  |  | 16 | 16 | 13 | 0.813 | 1.231 |
| 6 |  |  | 7 | 7 | 7 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| 7 |  | MA | 76 | 76 | 27 | 0.355 | 2.815 |
| 8 |  |  | 50 | 50 | 31 | 0.620 | 1.613 |
| 9 |  |  | 22 | 22 | 15 | 0.682 | 1.467 |
| 10 |  |  | 28 | 28 | 11 | 0.393 | 2.545 |
| 11 |  | BA | 180 | 180 | 48 | 0.267 | 3.750 |
| 12 |  |  | 186 | 186 | 59 | 0.317 | 3.153 |
| 13 |  |  | 297 | 297 | 110 | 0.370 | 2.700 |
| 14 |  |  | 222 | 222 | 80 | 0.360 | 2.775 |
| 15 |  |  | 123 | 123 | 53 | 0.431 | 2.321 |
| 16 | 4-year Stat | PhD | 16 | 16 | 10 | 0.625 | 1.600 |
| 17 |  |  | 18 | 18 | 15 | 0.833 | 1.200 |
| 18 |  |  | 16 | 16 | 11 | 0.688 | 1.455 |
| 19 |  |  | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0.750 | 1.333 |
| 20 |  | MA | 16 | 16 | 6 | 0.375 | 2.667 |

Table AS. 3 Stratum designations and allocations and nonresponse-adjusted sample weights used with Annual Survey Data of faculty counts by age bins for the CBMS 2015 report.

| Stratum | Program Type | Highest <br> Degree | Universe <br> (N) | Number selected ( n ) | Number of Responses | Response rate | Final sampling weights |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4-year <br> Math | PhD | 49 | 49 | 28 | 0.571 | 1.750 |
| 2 |  |  | 53 | 53 | 38 | 0.717 | 1.395 |
| 3 |  |  | 43 | 43 | 26 | 0.605 | 1.654 |
| 4 |  |  | 32 | 32 | 22 | 0.688 | 1.455 |
| 5 |  |  | 16 | 16 | 13 | 0.813 | 1.231 |
| 6 |  |  | 7 | 7 | 7 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| 7 |  | MA | 76 | 76 | 22 | 0.289 | 3.455 |
| 8 |  |  | 50 | 50 | 29 | 0.580 | 1.724 |
| 9 |  |  | 22 | 22 | 12 | 0.545 | 1.833 |
| 10 |  |  | 28 | 28 | 8 | 0.286 | 3.500 |
| 11 |  | BA | 180 | 180 | 48 | 0.267 | 3.750 |
| 12 |  |  | 186 | 186 | 57 | 0.306 | 3.263 |
| 13 |  |  | 297 | 297 | 98 | 0.330 | 3.031 |
| 14 |  |  | 222 | 222 | 74 | 0.333 | 3.000 |
| 15 |  |  | 123 | 123 | 44 | 0.358 | 2.795 |
| 16 | 4-year Stat | PhD | 16 | 16 | 7 | 0.438 | 2.286 |
| 17 |  |  | 18 | 18 | 14 | 0.778 | 1.286 |
| 18 |  |  | 16 | 16 | 10 | 0.625 | 1.600 |
| 19 |  |  | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0.500 | 2.000 |
| 20 |  | MA | 16 | 16 | 6 | 0.375 | 2.667 |

## Appendix III

## List of Responders to the Survey

## Two-Year Respondents

## Anoka Technical College

Mathematics
Arapahoe Community College, Main campus
Mathematics
Arkansas State University-Beebe, Beebe campus
Mathematics and Science
Atlanta Technical College
Arts and Sciences
Atlantic Cape Community College
Mathematics Department
Austin Community College District Mathematics
Blinn College, Brenham Mathematics
Broward College, Central Campus
Department of Mathematics
Bunker Hill Community College Department of Mathematics
Butler Community College Mathematics

Cabrillo College Mathematics

Cape Cod Community College Mathematics
Cape Fear Community College Mathematics and Physical Education

## Central Carolina Technical College

 MathematicsCentral Texas College
Mathematics
Chattanooga State Community College Mathematics

## Cisco College

Business \& Mathematics Division

## City Colleges of Chicago-Wilbur Wright

 CollegeMathematics
Clarendon College
Mathematics Department

College of the Desert
Department of Mathematics and Computer
Science
College of the Sequoias
Mathematics and Engineering
Crowder College
Mathematics
Delaware Technical Community College-
Owens
Mathematics/Physics
Eastern Gateway Community College
Humanities, Social Science, and
Mathematics

## Eastfield College

Science, Technology, Engineering and
Mathematics
Everett Community College
Mathematics Department
Fayetteville Technical Community
College
Mathematics Department
Front Range Community College, Boulder
County campus
Mathematics
Fullerton College
Mathematics
Gadsden State Community College
Mathematics and Engineering
Gaston College
Mathematics
George C Wallace State Community
College-Dothan
Mathematics and Computer Information Science

Glendale Community College, Main
campus
Mathematics and Computer Science
Green River Community College
Mathematics Division

## Grossmont College

Mathematics
Gulf Coast State College
Mathematics

Highline Community College Mathematics Department
Hillsborough Community College, Dale Mabry Campus
Dale Mabry Mathematics and Science Department
Housatonic Community College Math-Science
Jackson State Community College Mathematics and Science Division

James A Rhodes State College Mathematics Department
Jamestown Community College STEM

Jefferson Davis Community College,
Brewton campus
Mathematics
John Tyler Community College Mathematics

Laredo Community College Mathematics

Las Positas College
Mathematics
Lone Star College System - Tomball,
Tomball Campus Mathematics

Lone Star College System - University
Park, University Park Campus Mathematics

Los Angeles Mission College Mathematics/CSIT/Engineering
Los Angeles Southwest College Mathematics

Madison Area Technical College Mathematics \&a Computer Science
Madisonville Community College Mathematics Department
Manchester Community College Mathematics, Science \& Health Careers
Metropolitan Community College, MCC-
Blue River Business Technology, Mathematics and Public Safety
Metropolitan Community College, MCC-
Longview Mathematics
Miami Dade College, West Campus
Natural/Social Sciences

## Midland College

 Mathematics DepartmentMilwaukee Area Technical College Mathematics

MiraCosta College
Mathematics

Mississippi Gulf Coast Community
College, Jefferson Davis
Mathematics and Computer Science
Mohawk Valley Community College STEM Center

Montgomery County Community College STEM

Moreno Valley College
Mathematics
Morgan Community College, Main campus
Mathematics Department
Motlow State Community College
Mathematics
Nash Community College
Mathematics Department
Naugatuck Valley Community College Mathematics

Normandale Community College
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

North Iowa Area Community College Mathematics Department
North Lake College Mathematics
North Shore Community College
Department of Sciences and Mathematics
Northern Virginia Community College, Loudoun
Natural and Applied Science
Northwest State Community College
Math, Science, Engineering Technology Division

Northwest Vista College
Mathematics and Engineering Department
Ohlone College
Mathematics
Orange Coast College
Mathematics
Ozarks Technical Community College Mathematics
Palomar College
Mathematics
Pennsylvania College of Technology
Mathematics Department
Pennsylvania State University-Penn State
Mont Alto
Mathematics Program
Pikes Peak Community College
College Level Mathematics
Polk State College
Mathematics Department
Potomac State College of West Virginia
University
STEM Division - Mathematics

Pueblo Community College, Pueblo
campus
Mathematics
Ranger College
Mathematics
Rend Lake College
Mathematics
Richland College
Mathematics
Roane State Community College Division of Mathematics and Sciences
Rockland Community College Mathematics
Rowan College at Gloucester County STEM
Saginaw Chippewa Tribal College NA
Santa Fe Community College Math, Engineering, Computer Science and Info Technology
Santa Monica College Mathematics
Seminole State College of Florida Mathematics
Sinclair Community College Mathematics
Southern West Virginia Community and Technical College Mathematics
Southwestern Michigan College Math/Science
Spokane Falls Community College Mathematics

Springfield Technical Community College Mathematics

Temple College Mathematics
The University of Akron Statistics
Thomas Nelson Community College Mathematics

Tyler Junior College Mathematics

University of Arkansas Community
College-Batesville, Batesville Mathematics and Science

University of New Mexico-Los Alamos
Campus Mathematics and Engineering

Valencia College, West Campus Division of Mathematics

Vermont Technical College Mathematics

Wayne Community College
Mathematics
West Los Angeles College
Mathematics
West Valley College
Mathematics
Wharton County Junior College Mathematics
White Mountains Community College, Berlin campus VPAA
Yavapai College, Prescott Campus
Mathematics Department
York Technical College
Mathematics Department

## Four-Year Mathematics Respondents

## Alabama State University

Department of Mathematics \& Computer Science
Alderson-Broaddus University Department of Mathematics

Appalachian State University Department of Mathematical Science

## Arizona State University

School of Mathematical \& Statistical Sciences

## Arizona State University at West Campus

School of Mathematics \& Natural Science

## Arkansas Tech University

Department of Mathematics
Armstrong State University
Department of Mathematics
Augustana University
Department of Mathematics

## Austin Peay State University

Department of Mathematics \& Statistics

## Baker University

Department of Mathematics, Computer
Science \& Physics
Baldwin-Wallace University
Mathematics \& Computer Science
Department

## Bellarmine University

Department of Mathematics
Belmont University
Mathematics \& Computer Science
Department

## Beloit College

Mathematics \& Computer Science
Department

Binghamton University, State University
of New York
Department of Mathematics \& Science
Black Hills State University
School of Mathematics and Social Sciences
Bloomsburg University of Pennsylvania
Mathematics, Computer Science \& Statistics Department
Bowie State University
Department of Mathematics
Brigham Young University-Idaho
Department of Mathematics
Brown University
Division of Applied Mathematics
Buena Vista University
School of Natural Science

## Butler University

Department of Mathematics \& Actuarial Science
Caldwell University
Department of Mathematics \& Computer Science
California Polytechnic State University Mathematics Department
California State University, Dominguez Hills
Department of Mathematics
California State University, Long Beach Department of Mathematics \& Statistics
California State University, Stanislaus
Department of Mathematics
Capital University
Department of Mathematics, Computer
Science \& Physics
Christopher Newport University
Department of Mathematics
Clayton State University
Department of Mathematics
Coastal Carolina University
Department of Mathematics \& Statistics

## Coker College

Department of Mathematics \& Science

## College of St Rose

Department of Mathematics
College of Staten Island, CUNY
Department of Mathematics
Columbia University
Department of Applied Physics \& Applied Mathematics
CUNY, J Jay C Criminal Justice
Department of Mathematics
DePaul University
Department of Mathematical Science
East Carolina University
Department of Mathematics

East Stroudsburg University of
Pennsylvania
Department of Mathematics
Eastern Illinois University
Department of Mathematics \& Computer
Science

## Eastern University

Department of Mathematics
Edinboro University of Pennsylvania
Department of Mathematics \& Computer Science
Elon University
Department of Mathematics and Statistics

## Emmanuel College

Department of Mathematics

## Emory University

Mathematics \& Computer Science
Endicott College
Department of Mathematics \& Computer
Science
Florida Institute of Technology
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Florida State University
Department of Mathematics
Framingham State University
Department of Mathematics
George Washington University
Department of Mathematics
Georgia Southern University
Department of Mathematical Sciences

## Grand View University

Mathematics \& Computer Science
Department
Guilford College
Department of Mathematics
Hope College
Department of Mathematics
Humboldt State University
Department of Mathematics
Idaho State University
Department of Mathematics
Illinois State University
Department of Mathematics
Indiana State University
Department of Mathematics \& Computer Science
Indiana University South Bend
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Indiana University of Pennsylvania
Department of Mathematics
Indiana University, Bloomington
Department of Mathematics
Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis
Department of Mathematical Sciences

Jarvis Christian College
Department of Mathematics
Kansas State University
Department of Mathematics

## Kean University

Mathematics Department

## LIU Brooklyn

Department of Mathematics
Langston University
Department of Mathematics
Le Moyne College
Department of Mathematics \& Computer Science
Lesley University Department of Natural Science \& Mathematics

Lindenwood University Department of Mathematics
Longwood University
Department of Mathematics \& Computer Science

Loras College
Division of Mathematics, Engineering \& Computer Science

Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge Department of Mathematics

Loyola Marymount University Department of Mathematics
Lubbock Christian University Department of Mathematics
Maine Maritime Academy Arts and Science Department

## Marist College

Department of Mathematics
Metropolitan State University
Department of Mathematics
Miami University-Hamilton
Mathematics and Sciences Coordinatorship
Michigan State University Department of Mathematics
Midland University Department of Mathematics \& Computer Science
Mississippi College Mathematics Department
Missouri Southern State University Department of Mathematics

Missouri State University Department of Mathematics

Missouri Western State University Department of Computer Science, Mathematics \& Physics
Montana State University Department of Mathematical Sciences

## Morehead State University

Department of Mathematics and Physics
Mount Ida College
Mathematics Department
Mount St Mary's University
Department of Mathematics \& Computer
Science
New England College
Department of Mathematics
New Jersey Institute of Technology
Department of Mathematical Sciences

## New Mexico Institute of Mining \&

Technology
Department of Mathematics
New York University, Courant Institute
Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences
North Carolina Agricultural \& Technical
State University
Department of Mathematics
North Dakota State University, Fargo
Department of Mathematics
Occidental College
Department of Mathematics
Ohio State University, Columbus
Department of Mathematics
Ohio University, Athens
Department of Mathematics
Pace University, New York City Campus
Department of Mathematics
Paine College
Department of Mathematics, Sciences, and Technology
Pennsylvania State University Erie,
Behrend College
Mathematics
Pittsburg State University
Department of Mathematics
Purdue University
Department of Mathematics
Purdue University, North Central
Department of Mathematics, Statistics \& Physics
Regis University
Department of Mathematics
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Rhodes College
Department of Mathematics \& Computer Science

## Rice University

Department of Mathematics
Roosevelt University
Mathematics and Actuarial Science

## Rutgers The State University of New Jersey Camden <br> Department of Mathematical Science

Rutgers The State University of New
Jersey New Brunswick
Mathematics Department
SUNY College at Cortland
Department of Mathematics
SUNY Maritime College
Science Department
Saint Peter's University
Department of Mathematics
Salem State University Mathematics Department
San Francisco State University
Department of Mathematics
Seton Hill University
Division of Natural \& Health Science
Siena Heights University
Department of Mathematics
Skidmore College
Department of Mathematics \& Computer Science
Slippery Rock University of Pennsylvania Department of Mathematics

## South Dakota State University

Department of Mathematics \& Statistics

## Southern Illinois University at

 Edwardsville Mathematics \& Statistics Department
## Southern Utah University

 Department of MathematicsSouthwest Baptist University Department of Mathematics
Southwest Minnesota State University Department of Mathematics \& Computer Science
St Cloud State University Mathematics \& Statistics Department
St Edward's University Mathematics Department
St John Fisher College Mathematical \& Computing Sciences Department
St Joseph's College, Brooklyn Department of Mathematics \& Computer Science
St Leo University Department of Mathematics \& Science

## Stevenson University

 Department of Mathematics \& PhysicsTexas A\&M University Department of Mathematics
Texas A\&M University at Galveston Liberal Studies

Texas A\&M University-Central Texas
Mathematics \& Physics Program
Texas Christian University
Department of Mathematics
Texas State University
Department of Mathematics
The College of New Jersey
Mathematics \& Statistics Department
Troy University
Department of Mathematics
Truman State University
Department of Mathematics
Union College
Department of Natural Sciences
Union University
Mathematics Department
University of Alabama
Department of Mathematics
University of Arizona
Department of Mathematics
University of California, Los Angeles
Department of Mathematics
University of California, Riverside
Department of Mathematics
University of California, San Diego
Department of Mathematics
University of California, Santa Cruz
Department of Mathematics
University of Central Arkansas
Department of Mathematics
University of Central Florida
Department of Mathematics
University of Central Oklahoma
Mathematics \& Statistics Department
University of Colorado Denver
Department of Mathematics and Statistical
Sciences
University of Colorado, Boulder
Department of Applied Mathematics
University of Connecticut, Storrs
Department of Mathematics
University of Findlay
Department of Mathematics
University of Florida
Department of Mathematics
University of Georgia
Department of Mathematics
University of Houston
Department of Mathematics
University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
Department of Mathematics
University of Kansas
Department of Mathematics

## University of Kentucky

Department of Mathematics
University of La Verne
Mathematics, Physics, Computer Science Department
University of Louisiana at Lafayette
Department of Mathematics
University of Louisiana at Monroe
Department of Mathematics
University of Louisville
Department of Mathematics
University of Mary Hardin-Baylor Department of Mathematics \& Physics
University of Massachusetts Dartmouth Mathematics Department
University of Michigan
Department of Mathematics
University of Minnesota-Twin Cities
School of Mathematics
University of Mississippi
Department of Mathematics
University of Missouri-Columbia Department of Mathematics
University of Missouri-Kansas City
Department of Mathematics \& Statistics
University of Montana - Missoula
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Nevada, Reno
Department of Mathematics \& Statistics
University of New Hampshire Department of Mathematics \& Statistics

## University of North Alabama

Department of Mathematics
University of Northern Colorado
School of Mathematical Sciences
University of Northern Iowa
Mathematics Department
University of Oregon
Department of Mathematics
University of Rochester
Department of Mathematics
University of South Carolina, Aiken Department of Mathematical Science
University of South Carolina, Spartanburg Division of Mathematics \& Computer Science
University of South Dakota
Department of Mathematical Science
University of South Florida Department of Mathematics \& Statistics
University of Southern Indiana
Department of Mathematics

University of Tampa
Department of Mathematics
University of Tennessee at Chattanooga
Department of Mathematics
University of Texas at Austin
Department of Mathematics
University of Texas at El Paso
Department of Mathematical Science
University of Texas at Permian Basin
Department of Mathematics \& Computer Science

University of Toledo
Department of Mathematics \& Statistics

## University of Tulsa

Department of Mathematics
University of Utah
Department of Mathematics
University of Washington
Applied Mathematics Department
University of Washington
Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin, La Crosse
Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Wisconsin, Stevens Point
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of the Pacific
Department of Mathematics
Urbana University
Department of Mathematics \& Science

## Villanova University

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

## Virginia Commonwealth University

Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics
Viterbo University
Department of Mathematics
Wake Forest University
Department of Mathematics
Warren Wilson College
Department of Mathematics \& Computer Science
Washburn University of Topeka Mathematics \& Statistics Department

## Wellesley College

Department of Mathematics

## West Virginia State University

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

West Virginia University Institute of Technology<br>Department of Mathematics

Western Carolina University
Department of Mathematics \& Computer Science
Western Kentucky University
Department of Mathematics
Westmont College
Department of Mathematics \& Computer Science
William Paterson University
Department of Mathematics

## Yeshiva University

Department of Mathematical Sciences

## Four-Year Statistics Respondents

## Baylor University <br> Department of Statistical Sciences

Bernard M Baruch College/City
University of New York
Statistics \& Computer Information Systems
Department
Bowling Green State University
Applied Statistics \& Operations Research Department
Brigham Young University
Department of Statistics
California Polytechnic State University
Statistics Department
California State University, East Bay
Department of Statistics \& Biostatistics
Carnegie Mellon University Department of Statistics
Colorado State University Department of Statistics

## Columbia University

Department of Statistics
Duke University
Department of Statistical Science
Florida State University
Department of Statistics
George Mason University
Department of Statistics
George Washington University Department of Statistics
Harvard University Department of Statistics
Indiana University, Bloomington Department of Statistics
Iowa State University
Department of Statistics
Kansas State University
Department of Statistics

Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge
Department of Experimental Statistics
Michigan State University
Department of Statistics \& Probability
North Dakota State University, Fargo
Department of Statistics
Northern Illinois University
Division of Statistics
Ohio State University, Columbus
Department of Statistics
Oklahoma State University
Department of Statistics

```
Pennsylvania State University, University
Park
Department of Statistics
```

Purdue University
Department of Statistics
Rice University
Department of Statistics
Rochester Institute of Technology
School of Mathematical Sciences
Rutgers The State University of New
Jersey New Brunswick
Department of Statistics \& Biostatistics
Stanford University
Department of Statistics
University of California, Berkeley
Department of Statistics
University of California, Davis
Department of Statistics
University of California, Irvine
Department of Statistics
University of California, Los Angeles
Department of Statistics
University of California, Riverside
Department of Statistics
University of Chicago
Department of Statistics
University of Connecticut, Storrs
Department of Statistics
University of Florida
Department of Statistics
University of Georgia
Department of Statistics
University of Idaho
Department of Statistics
University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
Department of Statistics
University of Iowa
Department of Statistics \& Actuarial Science
University of Kentucky
Department of Statistics
University of Michigan
Department of Statistics
University of Minnesota-Twin CitiesSchool of Statistics
University of North Carolina at ChapelHill
Department of Statistics \& Operation
Research
University of Pittsburgh
Department of Statistics
University of South Carolina
Department of Statistics
University of Virginia
Department of Statistics
University of Washington
Department of Statistics
University of Wisconsin, Madison
Department of Statistics
University of Wyoming
Department of Statistics
Virginia Commonwealth University
Department of Statistical Sciences \&
Operations Research
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State
University
Department of Statistics
Washington State UniversityDepartment of Mathematics and Statistics
West Virginia UniversityDepartment of Statistics
Western Michigan University
Department of Statistics

Appendix IV

## Four-Year Mathematics Questionnaire



As part of a random sample, your department has been chosen to participate in the NSF-funded CBMS2015 National Survey of Undergraduate Mathematical Sciences Programs. The presidents of all U.S. mathematical sciences organizations have endorsed it and ask for your cooperation, even though it is a very complicated survey.

We assure you that no individual departmental data, except the names of responding departments, will be released.

This survey provides data about the nation's undergraduate mathematical and statistical effort that is available from no other source. You can see the results of a similar survey fielded five years ago by going to www.ams.org/cbms, where the CBMS 2010 report is available online.

All departments in this survey are in universities and colleges that offer at least a bachelor's degree. They may or may not offer a major in mathematics. Many of the departments in our random sample also offer higher degrees in mathematical sciences.

We have classified your department as belonging to a university or four-year college. If this is not correct, please contact Ellen Kirkman, Survey Director, at 336-758-5351 or at Kirkman@wfu.edu.

Please report on undergraduate programs in the broadly defined mathematical sciences (including applied mathematics, statistics, operations research, and computer science) that are under the direction of your department. Do not include data for other departments or for branches or campuses of your institution that are budgetarily separate from your own. Also, if your department is broader than just mathematics (e.g., Division of Mathematics and Sciences), please report only on the mathematics courses (as broadly defined here).

This survey may be completed either online or using a hard-copy questionnaire. We recommend using the online system because it will do some of the work for you; e.g., it will automatically skip those questions that are not applicable (based on the response you give), gray out portions of questions that do not apply, remind you of previous responses, and provide definitions when you let your cursor hover over certain highlighted words.

If you have any questions while filling out this survey form, please call the Survey Director, Ellen Kirkman, at 336-758-5351 or contact her by e-mail at Kirkman@wfu.edu. For help with the online questionnaire, call Westat at 855-680-1849 or send an email to cbms2015@westat.com.

Please complete the questionnaire by October 31, 2015, either online or by mailing a hard copy to:
CBMS Survey
Westat
1600 Research Boulevard, RB 3103
Rockville, MD 20850-3129

Please retain a copy of your responses to this questionnaire in case questions arise.

## A. General Information

A1. Name of your institution: $\qquad$
A2. Name of your department: $\qquad$
A3. We have classified your department as being part of a university or four-year college. Do you agree?

| Yes............... $\square \longrightarrow$ | If Yes, go to A4 below. |
| ---: | :--- |
| No $\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ |  |
|  | If No, please call Ellen Kirkman, Survey Director, at |
| $336-758-5351$. |  |

A4. If your college or university does not recognize tenure, check this box.

A5. Contact person in your department: $\square$
A6. Contact person's e-mail address: $\square$
A7. Contact person's phone number including area code: $\square$
A8. Contact person's mailing address:
a. Street $\qquad$
$\square$
b. Street2 $\qquad$
$\square$
c. City $\qquad$
$\square$
d. State $\qquad$
$\square$
e. Zip code $\qquad$
$\square$
B. Dual-Enrollment Courses

Definition: We use the term dual enrollment courses to refer to courses conducted on a high school campus and taught by high school teachers, for which high school students may obtain high school credit and, simultaneously, college credit through your institution.

B1. Does your department participate in any dual enrollment programs of this type?
Yes $\qquad$
$\square$ $\longrightarrow$ If Yes, go to B2.

No $\qquad$
$\square$ $\longrightarrow$ If No, go to B4.

B2. Please complete the following table giving the number of students enrolled in your dual enrollment program (as defined above) for the previous term (spring 2015) and the current fall term of 2015.

| Course | Total <br> Dual Enrollments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Last Term= Spring 2015 | This Term= Fall 2015 |
| a. College Algebra........... |  |  |
| b. Pre-calculus ................ |  |  |
| c. Calculus I .................. |  |  |
| d. Statistics.................... |  |  |
| e. Other ........................ |  |  |

B3. Are the high school instructors of the dual enrollment courses reported in B2 required to participate in a teaching evaluation program conducted by your institution?

Yes $\qquad$
$\square$
No $\qquad$

B4. Does your department assign any of its own full-time or part-time faculty to teach courses conducted on a high school campus for which high school students may receive both high school and college credit (through your institution)?

Yes.................. $\square \longrightarrow$ If Yes, go to B5.
No .................. $\square \longrightarrow$ If No, go to B6.

B5. In fall 2015, how many students are enrolled in the courses conducted on a high school campus and taught by your full-time or part-time faculty and through which high school students may receive both high school and college credit (through your institution)?

Number of students $\qquad$
$\square$
B6. Does your institution participate in a program that allows high school students to enroll in mathematical sciences courses on your campus for high school credit and, simultaneously, college credit?

Yes $\qquad$
No $\qquad$ $\square$

In subsequent sections we ask about course enrollments in your department; please do not include any of the enrollments reported in this Section B.

Definition: Distance learning courses are those courses offered by your institution for credit, in which the majority of the instruction occurs with the instructor and the students separated by time and /or place (e.g. courses in which the majority of the course is taught online, or by computer software, or by other technologies) including MOOCs that are offered for credit. (A MOOC is a "massive open online course.")

C1. Does your institution give (transfer) credit for any distance learning courses in the mathematical sciences that are not taught by faculty in your institution?

Yes $\qquad$
$\square$
No $\qquad$
$\square$

C2. Does your institution have a limit on the number of credits earned (or courses taken) in distance learning classes that may be counted toward graduation?

Yes $\qquad$
No $\qquad$

C3. Has your department taught any distance learning course within the calendar years 2013-2015?
Yes $\qquad$If Yes, go to C4.

No $\qquad$ If No, skip to section D.

C4. Which best characterizes the format/structure of the majority of your distance learning courses? (Choose one response.)

Completely online: Instruction takes place completely online $\qquad$
Blended/Hybrid: Instruction takes place in a combination of face-to-face and online formats
Other $\qquad$
$\square$
C5. Which one response best describes the general pattern for how the instructional materials used in your distance learning courses are determined? (Choose one response.)

Course instructors create materials $\qquad$

C. Distance Learning (cont.)

C6. In most of your distance learning courses, how are the majority of the tests administered? (Choose one response.)

Not monitored $\qquad$
Online, but using some kind of monitoring technology $\qquad$
At a monitored testing site. $\qquad$
Combination of both $\qquad$

C7. Are there any courses that you offer in both non-distance learning and in distance learning formats?

Yes $\qquad$
$\square$
$\qquad$ If Yes, go to C8 below.

No $\qquad$
$\square$ $\square \longrightarrow$ If No, go to C10.

C8. Do the course instructors in your distance learning courses generally:

|  | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a. Hold office hours to meet with students on campus as in |  |  |
| comparable non-distance learning courses taught on campus? ... | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b.Participate in evaluation of instruction in the same way as faculty <br> who teach comparable non-distance learning courses? ............ | $\square$ | $\square$ |

C9. Which, if any, of the following practices apply to the majority of distance learning courses in your department? (Check one response on each line.)

|  | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a. Same use of common examinations (if any) as in the non- |  |  |
| distance-learning course............................................... | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. Same common course as in the non-distance-learning course .... | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. Same course projects as in the non-distance-learning course ...... | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. More course projects than in non-distance-learning course ......... | $\square$ | $\square$ |

C10. In the three calendar years 2013-2015 has your department taught any course (for credit) that could be characterized as a MOOC?

Yes $\qquad$
$\square$ $\longrightarrow$ go to C11 below.

No $\qquad$
$\square$ $\longrightarrow$ go to Section D.

C11. In which of the following content areas has your department taught a MOOC (for credit) during 2013-2015? (Check all that apply.)Developmental MathematicsCollege-Level Mathematics below CalculusCalculusIntermediate Level (e.g. Linear Algebra, Differential Equations)Advanced LevelTeacher PreparationStatisticsOther (specify) $\qquad$

C12. What is the total number of students enrolled in MOOCs offered by your department (for credit) in Fall 2015?

Please indicate whether the following types of faculty are actively teaching one or more courses in fall 2015.

## Definitions

- Full-time faculty. Faculty who are full-time employees in the institution and more than halftime in the department. For example, if a tenured physics professor with a joint appointment in your department teaches a total of two courses in fall 2015, with exactly one being in your department (i.e., mathematics is $50 \%$ of the fall teaching assignment) , then that person would be counted as part-time in your department.
- Permanent faculty. If your institution does not recognize tenure, please report fulltime departmental faculty who are permanent on line D1a and report all other faculty on the remaining lines as appropriate.
- Other full-time faculty. Full-time faculty who are not tenured or tenure-eligible, faculty with renewable positions, postdoctoral faculty, and visiting faculty.

| Faculty Type |  | Teach in Fall 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | No |
| D1. Full-time faculty |  |  |  |
|  | a. Tenured or tenure-eligible, or permanent (if your institution does not recognize tenure) faculty $\qquad$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
|  | b. Other full-time faculty .................................................... | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| D2. | Part-time faculty .............................................................. | $\square$ | ] |
| D3. | Graduate teaching assistant(s) who teach courses independently (not counting the teaching of recitation sessions) | $\square$ | $\square$ |

## Mathematics Courses (Fall 2015)

[^18]
## Report distance learning enrollments separately from other enrollments. Distance learning courses are those courses offered by your institution for credit, in which the majority of the instruction occurs with the instructor and the students separated by time and /or places that are offered for credit. (a MOOC is a "massive open online course"). <br> Do NOT include any dual enrollment sections or enrollments in these tables. (In this questionnaire, a dual enrollment section is one that is conducted on a high school campus, taught by a high school teacher, and allows students to receive high school credit and, simultaneously, college credit from your institution for the course. These courses were reported in Section B.) <br> For Calculus and Introductory Statistics classes, you will be asked to list separately classes taught in a large lecture format (with divided into recitation sections). For example, for Mainstream Calculus I, you will be asked for both the number of large lecture courses (E12-1 column (c)) and the total number of recitation sections for all the large lectures (E12-2 column (c)).. There are other formats for lecture); if neither the lecture/recitation or individual class format seems an appropriate description of the enrollment, enter the enrollment under "other" <br> For all courses except as marked in E12, E13, E14, E15, F1, and F2, please do not treat recitation sessions as separate sections. Instead,

 please treat both the lecture component and any associated recitation sessions as a single section.Report a section of a course as being taught by a graduate teaching assistant (GTA) if and only if that section is taught
independently by the GTA, i.e., when it is the GTA's own course and the GTA is the instructor of record.
If your institution does not recognize tenure, report sections taught by your permanent full-time faculty in column (d) and sections taught by other full-time faculty in column (e).If your institution does recognize tenure but has faculty with renewable contracts, report these faculty as other full-time faculty (column e)
Full-time faculty teaching in your department and holding joint appointments with other departments should be counted in column (d) if they are tenured, tenure-eligible, or permanent (if your institution does not recognize tenure) in your department. Faculty who are not eir fall 2015 teaching in your department is解 in your department and hence mathematics comprised $50 \%$ of the fall teaching assignment, then that person would be counted as parttime in your department.)
is blan bill be interpreted as reporting a count of zero.

If a section is co-taught by multiple faculty, categorize the section in terms of the most senior faculty member teaching that course.
E. Mathematics Courses (Fall 2015) (cont.)

- Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros.

| Name of Course (or equivalent) | Total distance education enrollments ${ }^{1}$ <br> (a) | Total enrollment NOT in distance education and NOT dual enrollments ${ }^{2}$ (b) | Number of sections corresponding to column (b) (c) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MATHEMATICS |  |  |  |
| Precollege Level |  |  |  |
| E1. Precollege level (e.g., arithmetic, pre-algebra, elementary algebra, intermediate algebra) |  |  |  |
| Introductory Level, including Pre-Calculus |  |  |  |
| E2. Mathematics for Liberal Arts |  |  |  |
| E3. Finite Mathematics |  |  |  |
| E4. Business Mathematics (non- Calculus) |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Students receive the majority of their instruction online, or by computer software, or other technology where the instructor is NOT physically present (including MOOCs
${ }^{2}$ Do not include any dual enrollment courses, i.e., courses taught on a high school campus by a high school instructor for which high school students may obtain both high school credit and, simultaneously, college credit through your institution.
E. Mathematics Courses (Fall 2015) (cont.)

- Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros.

| Name of Course (or equivalent) | Total distance education enrollments ${ }^{1}$ <br> (a) | Total enrollment NOT in distance education and NOT dual enrollments ${ }^{2}$ (b) | Number of sections corresponding to column (b) (c) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MATHEMATICS |  |  |  |
| Introductory Level, including Pre-Calculus, cont. |  |  |  |
| E5. Mathematics for pre-service K-8 School Teachers (all courses) |  |  |  |
| E6. College Algebra (not included in the Precollege E1 above) |  |  |  |
| E7. Trigonometry |  |  |  |
| E8. College Algebra \& Trigonometry (combined) |  |  |  |
| E9. Elementary Functions, Pre-calculus, Analytic Geometry |  |  |  |
| E10. Introduction to Mathematical Modeling |  |  |  |
| E11. All other introductory-level non- Calculus courses |  |  |  |

[^19]E. Mathematics Courses (Fall 2015) (cont.)

- Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros.

| - Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros. |  |  |  | Of the number in column (c), how many sections are taught by: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total enrollment | Number of sections corresponding to column (b) <br> (c) | Full-time Faculty ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |
| Name of Course (or equivalent) | Total distance education enrollments ${ }^{1}$ <br> (a) | education and NOT dual enrollments ${ }^{2}$ (b) |  | Tenured or Tenureeligible, Faculty (d) | Other Full-time Faculty (e) | Part-time Faculty <br> (f) | Graduate Teaching Assistants (g) |
| MATHEMATICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mainstream ${ }^{5}$ Calculus I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E12-1. Lecture with separately scheduled recitation/problem/laboratory sessions ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E12-2. Number of recitation/problem/laboratory sessions associated with courses reported in E12-1. See example ${ }^{7}$ below. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E12-3. Individual sections, not in E12-1, that meet as a class with an instructor at a regularly scheduled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E12-4. Other sections, not listed above |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mainstream ${ }^{5}$ Calculus II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E13-1. Lecture with separately scheduled recitation/ problem/laboratory sessions ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E13-2. Number of recitation/problem/ laboratory sessions associated with courses reported in E13-1. See example ${ }^{7}$ below. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E13-3. Sections not in E13-1, that meet as a class with an instructor at a regularly scheduled time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E13-4. Other sections not listed above |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Students receive the majority of their instruction online, or by computer software, or by other technology where the instructor is NOT physically present, including
MOOCs that are offered for credit.
5 A calculus cours is mainstream if it leads to the usual upper division mathematical sciences courses.
${ }_{7}$ Report a calculus class along with its recitation/problem/laboratory sessions as one section in column (c) of E12-1, E13-1, E14-1, and E15-1.
7 Example: suppose your department offers four 100-student sections of a course and that each is divided into five student discussion sessions that meet separately from
the lectures. Report $4^{*} 5=20$ recitation/problem/laboratory sessions associated with the course, even if each discussion meets several times per week.
E. Mathematics Courses (Fall 2015) (cont.)

- Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros.

| - Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros. |  |  |  | Of the number in column (c), how many sections are taught by: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total enrollment | Number of sections corresponding to column <br> (b) <br> (c) | Full-time Faculty ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |
| Name of Course (or equivalent) | Total distance education enrollments ${ }^{1}$ <br> (a) | education and NOT dual enrollments ${ }^{2}$ (b) |  | Tenured or Tenureeligible Faculty <br> (d) | Other Full-time Faculty (e) | Part-time Faculty <br> (f) | Graduate Teaching Assistantś (g) |
| MATHEMATICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mainstream ${ }^{5}$ Calculus III (and IV, etc.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E14-1. Lecture with separately scheduled recitation/problem/laboratory sessions ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E14-2. Number of recitation/problem/laboratory sessions associated with courses reported in E14-1. See example ${ }^{7}$ below. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E14-3. Individual sections not in E14-1, that meet as a class with an instructor at a regularly scheduled time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E14-4. Other sections not listed above |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-mainstream ${ }^{5}$ Calculus I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E15-1. Lecture with separately scheduled recitation/ problem/laboratory sessions ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E15-2. Number of recitation/problem/laboratory sessions associated with courses reported in E15-1. See example ${ }^{7}$ below. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E15-3. Individual sections not in E15-1 that meet as a class with an instructor at a regularly scheduled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E15-4. Other sections not listed above |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Students receive the majority of their instruction online, or by computer software, or by other technology where the instructor is NOT physically present, including MOOCs that are offered for credit.
${ }^{2}$ Do not include any dual enrollment courses, i.e., courses taught on a high school campus by a high school instructor for which high school students may obtain both high school credit and, simultaneously, college credit through your institution.
${ }^{3}$ Count faculty with joint appointments in column (d) if more than 50 percent of their fall 2015 teaching assignments are within your department, and in column (f) otherwise.
${ }^{5} \mathrm{~A}$ calculus course is mainstream if it leads to the usual upper division mathematical sciences courses
${ }^{6}$ Report a calculus class along with its recitation/problem/laboratory sessions as one section in column (c) of E12-1, E13-1, E14-1, and E15-1.
${ }^{7}$ Example: suppose your department offers four 100 -student sections of a course and that each is divided into five student discussion sessions that meet separately from the lectures. Report $4^{*} 5=20$ recitation/problem/laboratory sessions associated with the course, even if each discussion meets several times per week.
E. Mathematics Courses (Fall 2015) (cont.)

| - Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros. |  |  |  | Of the number in column (c), how many sections are taught by: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  | Full | Faculty ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| Name of Course (or equivalent) | Total distance education enrollments (a) | distance education and NOT dual enrollments ${ }^{2}$ (b) | of sections corresponding to column (b) $\qquad$ (c) | Tenured or Tenureeligible Faculty (d) | Other Full-time Faculty (e) | Part-time Faculty <br> (f) | Graduate Teaching Assistants (g) |
| MATHEMATICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CALCuLus Level, cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E16. Non-mainstream ${ }^{5}$ Calculus I, II, III, etc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E17. Differential Equations and Linear Algebra (combined) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E18. Differential Equations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E19. Linear Algebra or Matrix Theory |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E20. Discrete Mathematics (not Discrete Structures, which is E29) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E21. Freshman seminar (Only count courses that are not included elsewhere) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E22. Other calculus-level courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

MOOCs that are offered for credit.
${ }^{2}$ Do not include any dual enrollment courses, i.e., courses taught on a high school campus by a high school instructor for which high school students
may obtain both high school credit and, simultaneously, college credit through your institution.
${ }^{3}$ Count faculty with joint appointments in column (d) if more than 50 percent of their fall 2015 teaching assignments are within your department, and in column (f) otherwise.
${ }_{5}^{4}$ Sections taught independently by GTAs
Mathematics Questionnaire
E. Mathematics Courses (Fall 2015) (cont.)
, pains. If your institution does not recognize tenure, report sections taught by your permanent faculty in column (c). Make sure that no course is reported in more than one row.

- Respond to columns (d) and (e) for every course, even if the course is not offered in fall 2015.
- Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros.

| Name of Course (or equivalent) | Total enrollment fall 2015 <br> (a) | Number of sections corresponding to column (a) <br> (b) | Number of sections corresponding to column (b) taught by Tenured or Tenure-eligible Faculty <br> (c) | Whether or not the course was offered in fall 2015: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Was this <br> ANY <br> acad | taught f the ear? | Will this course be offered in the next term (spring 2016)? <br> (e) |  |
| MATHEMATICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advanced Undergraduate Level |  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| E23. Introduction to Proofs |  |  |  | - | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| E24-1. Modern Algebra I |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| E24-2. Modern Algebra II |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| E25. Number Theory |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| E26. Combinatorics |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| E27. Actuarial Mathematics |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| E28. Logic/Foundations (not E23) |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| E29. Discrete Structures (beyond Discrete Mathematics, which is E20) |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| E30. History of Mathematics |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| E31. Geometry |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |

E. Mathematics Courses (Fall 2015) (cont.)

| Name of Course (or equivalent) |  | Total enrollment fall 2015 <br> (a) | Number of sections corresponding to column (a) (b) | Number of sections corresponding to column (b) taught by Tenured or Tenure-eligible Faculty <br> (c) | Whether or not the course was offered in fall 2015: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Was this course taught in <br> ANY term of the previous academic year? <br> (d) |  |  | Will this course be offered in the next term (spring 2016)? <br> (e) |  |
| MATHEMATICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advanced Undergraduate Level, cont. |  |  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| E32-1. | Advanced Calculus I and/or Real Analysis I |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| E32-2. | Advanced Calculus II and/or Real Analysis II |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| E33. | Advanced Mathematics for Engineering and Physical Sciences (all courses) |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| E34. | Advanced Linear Algebra (beyond Differential Equations and Linear Algebra (combined) and Linear Algebra or Matrix Theory E17, E19) |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| E35. | Vector Analysis |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| E36. | Advanced Differential Equations (beyond Differential Equations E18) |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| E37. | Partial Differential Equations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E38. | Numerical Analysis I and II |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| E39. | Applied Mathematics (Modeling) |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |

E. Mathematics Courses (Fall 2015) (cont.)

| Name of Course (or equivalent) | Total enrollment fall 2015 (a) | Number of sections corresponding to column (a) <br> (b) | Number ofsectionscorresponding tocolumn (b)taught byTenured orTenure-eligibleFaculty(c) | Whether or not the course was offered in fall 2015: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Was this course taught in <br> ANY term of the previous academic year? <br> (d) |  | Will this course be offered in the next term (spring 2016)? <br> (e) |  |
| MATHEMATICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advanced Undergraduate Level, cont. |  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| E40. Complex Variables |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |
| E41. Topology |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| E42. Mathematics of Finance (not Academic Mathematics E27, or Applied Mathematics Modeling E39) |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| E43. Codes and Cryptology |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E44. Biomathematics |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |
| courses) <br> E45. Operations Research (all courses) |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| E46. Senior Seminar/ Independent Study in Mathematics |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| E47. All other advanced level mathematics (excluding Math for Secondary School Teachers, Probability or Statistics courses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E48. Mathematics for Secondary School Teachers (all such courses not counted above) |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |

## E. Mathematics Courses (Fall 2015) (cont.)

Definition: Distance learning courses are those courses offered by your institution for credit, in which the majority of the instruction occurs with the instructor and the students separated by time and /or place (e.g. courses in which the majority of the course is taught online, or by computer software, or by other technologies), including MOOCs that are offered for credit. (A MOOC is a "massive open online course").

E49. Do you offer any advanced undergraduate mathematics courses (E23-E48) as distance learning courses?

Yes.................. $\square \longrightarrow$ If Yes, go to E50 below.
No ................... $\square \longrightarrow$ If No, go to Section F.
E50. Please indicate which advanced undergraduate mathematics courses you offer as distance learning courses. (Check all that apply.)

| Course |  | Offer as distance learning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E23. | Introduction to Proofs |  |
| E24-1. | Modern Algebra I . |  |
| E24-2. | Modern Algebra II |  |
| E25. | Number Theory |  |
| E26. | Combinatorics |  |
| E27. | Actuarial Mathematics |  |
| E28. | Logic/Foundations (not E23) |  |
| E29. | Discrete Structures.(beyond E20) |  |
| E30. | History of Mathematics |  |
| E31. | Geometry |  |
| E32-1. | Advanced Calculus I and/or Real Analysis I. |  |
| E32-2. | Advanced Calculus II and/or Real Analysis I |  |
| E33. | Advanced Mathematics for Engineering and Physical Sciences (all courses) |  |
| E34. | Advanced Linear Algebra (beyond E17, E19) |  |
| E35. | Vector Analysis ...................................................................................... |  |
| E36. | Advanced Differential Equations (beyond E18) |  |
| E37. | Partial Differential Equations |  |
| E38. | Numerical Analysis I and II |  |
| E39. | Applied Mathematics (Modeling) |  |
| E40. | Complex Variables |  |
| E41. | Topology |  |
| E42. | Mathematics of Finance (not E27, E39) |  |
| E43. | Codes and Cryptology |  |
| E44. | Biomathematics |  |
| E45. | Operations Research (all courses) |  |
| E46. | Senior Seminar/ Independent Study in Mathematics ................................... |  |
| E47. | Other advanced level mathematics (excluding Math for Secondary School Teachers, Probability or Statistics courses) |  |
| E48. | Mathematics for Secondary School Teachers (all such courses not counted above) | ) $\square$ |

F. Probability and Statistics Courses (Fall 2015)

| Does your department offer any Probability and/or Statistics Courses? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes.............. $\square \longrightarrow$ If Yes, go to F1 below. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No ............... $\square \longrightarrow$ If No, go to Section G. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Please refer to the course reporting instructions at the beginning of Section E. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros. |  |  |  | Of the number in column (c), how many sections are taught by: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Number | Full-time | $u^{\prime} \mathrm{ly}^{3}$ |  |  |
| Name of Course (or equivalent) | Total distance education enrollments ${ }^{1}$ (a) | distance education and NOT dual enrollments ${ }^{2}$ <br> (b) | ponding to column <br> (b) <br> (c) | Tenured or Tenureeligible Faculty (d) | Other Full-time Faculty (e) | Part-time Faculty (f) | Graduate Teaching Assistants ${ }^{4}$ (g) |
| STATISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTRODUCTORY LEVEL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisite) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F1-1. Lecture with separately scheduled recitation/problem/laboratory sessions ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F1-2. Number of recitation/problem/ laboratory sessions associated with courses reported in F1-16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F1-3. Individual sections not in F1-1, that meet as a class with an instructor at a regularly scheduled time Other sections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F1-4. Other sections not listed above |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Students receive the majority of their instruction online, or by computer software, or by other technology where the instructor is NOT physically present, including MOOCs that are offered for credit.
${ }^{2}$ Do not include any dual enrollment courses, i.e., courses taught on a high school campus by a high school instructor for which high school students may obtain
${ }^{3}$ Count faculty with joint appointments in column (d) or (e) if more than 50 percent of their fall 2015 teaching assignments are within your department, and in column (f)
${ }^{4}$ Sections taught independently by GTAs
${ }^{5}$ Report an introductory statistics class along with its recitation/problem/laboratory sessions as one section in column (c) of F1 and F-2.
${ }^{6}$ Example: suppose your department offers four 100 -student sections of a course and that each is divided into five-student discussion sessions that meet separately
from the lectures. Report $4 * 5=20$ recitation/problem/laboratory sessions associated with the course, even if each discussion meets several times per week.
F. Probability and Statistics Courses (Fall 2015) (cont.)
Please refer to the course reporting instructions at the beginning of Section E.

- Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros.

| - Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros. |  |  |  | Of the number in column (c), how many sections are taught by: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total distance education enrollments ${ }^{1}$ (a) | Total enrollment NOT in distance education and NOT dual enrollments ${ }^{2}$ (b) | Number of sections corresponding to column (b) <br> (c) | Full-time Faculty ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |
| Name of Course (or equivalent) |  |  |  | Tenured or Tenureeligible (d) | Other Full-time Faculty (e) | Part-time Faculty (f) | Graduate Teaching Assistants (g) |
| STATISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTRODUCTORY LEVEL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Introductory Statistics (calculus prerequisite) (for non-majors) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F2-1. Lecture with separately scheduled recitation/problem/laboratory sessions ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F2-2. Number of recitation/problem/ laboratory sessions associated with courses reported in F2-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F2-3. Individual sections not in F2-1, that meet as a class with an instructor at a regularly scheduled time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F2-4. Sections not listed above |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Introductory Statistics Courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F3. Statistics for pre-service elementary and/or middle grade teachers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F4. Statistics for pre-service secondary school teachers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F5. Other introductory level Probability or Statistics courses for non-majors/minors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Students receive the majority of their instruction online, or by computer software, or by other technology where the instructor is NOT physically present, including MOOCs that
are offered for credit.
Do not include any dual enrollment courses, i.e., courses taught on a high school campus by a high school instructor for which high school students may obtain both high
school credit and, simultaneously, college credit through your institution.
${ }_{3}$ school credit and, simultaneously, college credit through your institution.
${ }_{5}^{4}$ Sections taught independently by GTAs .
Count faculty with joint appointments in column (d) or (e) if more than 50 percent of their fall 2015 teaching assignments are within your department, and in column (f)
${ }^{6}$ Example suppose your department offers four 100-student sections of a course and that each is divided into five-student discussion sessions that meet separately from the lectures. Report $4 * 5=20$ recitation/problem/laboratory sessions associated with the course, even if each discussion meets several times per week.
F. Probability and Statistics Courses (Fall 2015) (cont.)

- Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros.

| Name of Course (or equivalent) | Total enrollment fall 2015 <br> (a) | Number of sections corresponding to column (a) <br> (b) | Number of sections corresponding to column (b) taught by Tenured, Tenureeligible, or Permanent Faculty (c) | Was this course taught in ANY term of the previous academic year? <br> (d) |  | Will this course be offered in the next term (spring 2016)? <br> (e) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROBABILITY \& STATISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intermediate and Advanced Level |  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| F6. Introductory Probability and/or Statistics for majors/minors (no calculus prerequisite) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F7. Combined Probability \& Statistics (calculus prerequisite) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F8. Probability (calculus prerequisite) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F9. Mathematical Statistics (calculus prerequisite) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F10. Stochastic Processes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F11. Applied Statistical Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F12. Data Science/Analytics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F13. Design \& Analysis of Experiments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F14. Regression (and Correlation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F15. Biostatistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F16. Nonparametric Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F17. Categorical Data Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F18. Sample Survey Design \& Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F19. Statistical Software \& Computing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F20. Senior Seminar/Independent Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F21. All other upper level Probability \& Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## F. Probability and Statistics Courses (Fall 2015) (cont.)

F22. Do you offer any intermediate/advanced undergraduate courses in statistics (F7-F21) as distance learning courses?

Yes.................. $\square \longrightarrow$ If Yes, go to F23 below.
No ................... $\square \longrightarrow$ If No, go to Section G.

F23. Please indicate which advanced undergraduate mathematics courses you offer as distance learning courses. (Check all that apply.)

|  | Offer as <br> distance <br> learning |
| :--- | :--- |

F6. Introductory Probability and/or Statistics for majors/minors (no calculus
prerequisite)

F7. Combined Probability \& Statistics (calculus prerequisite) $\qquad$
F8. Probability (calculus prerequisite) $\qquad$
F9. Mathematical Statistics (calculus prerequisite) $\qquad$
F10. Stochastic Processes $\qquad$
F11. Applied Statistical Analysis $\qquad$
F12. Data Science/Analytics $\qquad$
F13. Design \& Analysis of Experiments $\qquad$
F14. Regression (and Correlation) $\qquad$
F15. Biostatistics $\qquad$
F16. Nonparametric Statistics $\qquad$
F17. Categorical Data Analysis
F18. Sample Survey Design \& Analysis $\qquad$
F19. Statistical Software \& Computing
F20. Senior Seminar/ Independent Studies $\qquad$
F21. Other upper level Probability and/or Statistics $\qquad$
G. Computer Science Courses (Fall 2015)
G. Computer Science Courses (Fall 2015) (cont.)

- Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros.

| Cells left blank will be interpreted as z |  |  |  | Of the number in column (c), how many sections are taught by: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Course (or equivalent) | Total distance education enroliments ${ }^{1}$ <br> (a) | Total enrollment NOT in distance education and NOT dual enrollments ${ }^{2}$ (b) | Number of sections corresponding to column <br> (b) <br> (c) | Tenured or Tenureeligible Faculty <br> (d) | Other Fulltime Faculty <br> (e) | Parttime Faculty (f) | Graduate Teaching Assistants ${ }^{3}$ <br> (g) |
| COMPUTER SCIENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTRODUCTORY CS COURSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G4. Computer Programming I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G5. Computer Programming II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G6. Discrete Structures DS) ${ }^{4}$, but not math courses E20 or E29 in Section E above |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G7. All other introductory level CS courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTERMEDIATE LEVEL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G8. Algorithms and Complexity (AL) ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G9. Architecture and Organization (AR) ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G10. Operating Systems (OS) ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

'Students receive the majority of their instruction online, or by computer software, or by other technology where the instructor is NOT physically present, including MOOCs
that are offered for credit.
2 that are offered for credit.
${ }^{2}$ Do not include any dual enrollments (see Section B).
${ }^{3}$ Kections taught independently by GTAs.
Mathematics Questionnaire

G. Computer Science Courses (Fall 2015) (cont.)

- Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros. Of the number in column (c),
 -


| Total distance education enrollments ${ }^{1}$ <br> (a) | enroliment <br> NOT in distance education and NOT dual enrollments ${ }^{2}$ <br> (b) | Number of sections corresponding to column (b) <br> (c) | Tenured or Tenureeligible Faculty <br> (d) | Other Fulltime Faculty (e) | Parttime Faculty (f) | Graduate Teaching Assistants ${ }^{3}$ (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 \begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\& <br>
\hline

 

\hline \& <br>
\hline \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}







${ }^{1}$ Students receive the majority of their instruction online, or by computer software, or by other technology where the instructor is NOT physically present, including MOOCs
${ }^{1}$ Stuat are offered for credit.
${ }_{3}^{2}$ Do not include any dual enrollments (see Section B)
${ }^{3}$ Sections taught independently by GTAs.
Mathematics Questionnaire
$\square$
${ }^{4}$ Knowledge areas from Computer Science Curricula 2013
-

## Introductory Statistics Instruction (taught within the mathematics department):

H1. Does your department offer an introductory statistics course for non-majors that has no calculus prerequisite?

Yes................. $\square \longrightarrow$ If Yes, continue with H 2 .
No .................. $\square \longrightarrow$ If No, go to Section I.

H2. How many different kinds of introductory statistics courses for non-majors that have no calculus prerequisite does your department offer? (e.g. statistics for social scientists, for life scientists, etc.)

1 $\qquad$
2 $\qquad$

3.

More than 3 $\qquad$

The following questions are about instruction in course F1: Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisite) on page18. If you offer more than one such course, choose the course that is aimed at the most general audience.

H3. In most sections of your introductory statistics course (as reported in course F1) the percentage of class sessions in which real data are used is generally approximately:


H4. In most sections of your introductory statistics course (as reported in course F1) the percentage of class sessions in which in-class demonstrations and/or in-class problem solving activities/discussions generally take place is approximately:

H. Introductory Statistics Instruction (cont.)

H5. Which, if any, of the following kinds of technology are used in a majority of the sections of your introductory statistics course (as reported in course F1)? (Check one on each line.)

|  | Yes | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Graphing calculators ................................................................. | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. Statistical packages (e.g., R, JMP, SAS, SPSS, Minitab) ................... | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. Educational software(e.g. software linked to the textbook) ................. | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. Applets ................................................................................. | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. Spreadsheets (e.g. Excel, GoogleDocs, Access) ............................. | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f. Web-based resources including data sources or data analysis ........... | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| g. Classroom response systems (e.g., clickers) ................................... | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| h. Online textbooks ........................................................................ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| i. Online videos............................................................................ | $\square$ | $\square$ |

H6. Do most sections of the introductory statistics course (as reported in course F1) require assessments beyond homework, exams, and quizzes (assessments such as projects, oral presentations, written reports)?

Yes $\qquad$
No $\qquad$
$\square$
H7: Which, if any, of the following topics are covered in the course (as reported in course F1)? (Check all that apply)

|  | Yes | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Conditional probability................................................................. | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. Simulation to explore randomness................................................ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| C. Resampling techniques (e.g. bootstrapping, randomization tests) ...... | $\square$ | $\square$ |

H8. The instructors teaching introductory statistics course F1 typically have received the following highest degree in statistics: (Check one)
a. No graduate degree in statistics $\qquad$
b. A Masters' degree in statistics $\qquad$
c. A Ph.D. degree in statistics

H9. Are there other introductory statistics courses at your institution, offered by departments outside of the mathematical sciences?

Yes $\qquad$
$\square$ If Yes, go to H10

No $\qquad$ If No, go to Section I.

H10. Enter the Fall 2015 total enrollment in all such introductory courses, offered outside of the mathematical sciences, at your institution. $\qquad$
I. Undergraduate Program (Fall 2015)

If you do not offer a major in a mathematical science, check here $\square$ and go to I5. Otherwise go to I1.
I1. Report the total number of your departmental majors who received their bachelor's degrees in the mathematical sciences or computer sciences between July 1, 2014 and June 30, 2015. Include joint majors and double majors ${ }^{1}$ $\qquad$
$\square$
I2. Of the undergraduate degrees described in I1, please report the number who majored in each of the following categories. Each student should be reported only once. Include all double and joint majors ${ }^{1}$ in your totals. Use the Other category for a major in your department who does not fit into one of the earlier categories.

| Area of Major | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Mathematics (including applied) ...................... |  |  |
| b. Mathematics Education ...................... |  |  |
| c. Statistics .......................... |  |  |
| d. Computer Science .............................................. |  |  |
| e. Actuarial Mathematics ............................................. |  |  |
| f. Joint 1 Mathematics Majors............................... |  |  |
| g. Other mathematics majors ..................................... |  |  |

[^20]I. Undergraduate Program (Fall 2015) (cont.)

I3. To what extent must majors in your department complete the following? Check one box in each row.

|  | Required of <br> all majors | Required of some <br> but not all majors | Not required <br> of any major |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

a. Modern Algebra I $\qquad$
b. Real Analysis I. $\qquad$
c. Modern Algebra I or Real Analysis I (majors may choose either to fulfill this requirement) $\qquad$
d. A one-year upper level sequence $\qquad$
e. .At least one computer science course $\qquad$
f. At least one statistics course $\qquad$
g. At least one applied mathematics course beyond course E21 (in Section E) ...........
h. A capstone experience (e.g., a senior project, a senior thesis, a senior seminar, or an internship) $\qquad$
i. An exit exam (written or oral) $\qquad$

I4. Give your best estimate of the percentage of your department's graduating majors from the previous academic year 2014-15 (reported in I1) in each of the following categories. Please make the totals add to 100 percent.
a. Who went into pre-college teaching $\qquad$
$\square$
b. Who went to graduate school in the mathematical sciences $\qquad$
c. Who went to professional school or to graduate school outside of the mathematical sciences. $\qquad$
$\square$
d. Who took jobs in business, industry, government, etc. $\square$
e. Who had other post-graduation plans known to the department $\qquad$
$\square$
f. Whose plans are not known to the department $\qquad$

I5. Many departments today use a spectrum of program-assessment methods. Please indicate whether each of the following apply to your department's undergraduate program-assessment efforts during the last six years.

|  | Yes | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. We conducted a review of our undergraduate program that included one or more reviewers from outside of our institution... | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. We asked graduates of our undergraduate program to comment on and suggest changes in our undergraduate program. | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. Other departments at our institution were invited to comment on the preparation that their students received in our courses. | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. Data on our students' progress in subsequent mathematics courses were gathered and analyzed | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. We have assessed student learning objectives in courses required in our major. | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f. We have a placement system for first-year students and we gathered and analyzed data on its effectiveness | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| g. Our department's program assessment activities led to changes in our undergraduate program | $\square$ | $\square$ |

16. Which of the following are significant sources of information to the department about the types of pedagogy used in instruction in your department? (Check all that apply.)
a. Syllabi for classes
b. Teaching portfolios
c. Peer evaluations of instructors
d. Self-evaluations of instructors
e. Department discussions of teaching practices
f. None of these are available $\qquad$ go to 110
17. Which of the following pedagogical strategies are used by some member of your department faculty: (Check all that are used.)
a. Inquiry based class
b. "Flipped classroom" $\qquad$
c. Class conducted largely online
d. Activity based learning
e. Technology used to develop conceptual understanding $\qquad$

## I. Undergraduate Program (Fall 2015) (cont.)

18. Has your department seen major changes over the last ten years in the kinds of pedagogy used in your department?

Yes ................. $\square$ Go to I9
No $\qquad$ Go to I10
19. Which of the following factors were significant reasons for the changes made to the kinds pedagogy used in your department over the last ten years? (Check all that apply.)
a. Educational research $\qquad$
b. Advocacy of some faculty member in our department.
c. Advocacy by another department $\qquad$
$\square$
d. Advocacy by institution's administrators $\qquad$ $\square$
e. Advocacy by a professional organization $\qquad$

I10. For each of the following opportunities, indicate whether or not it is available to your undergraduate mathematical sciences students through your department or institutions.

|  | Yes | No |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

a. Honors sections of departmental courses $\qquad$
b. An undergraduate Mathematical Science Club $\qquad$
c. Special mathematics programs to encourage women
d. Special mathematics programs to encourage minorities $\qquad$
e. Opportunities to participate in mathematical science contests. $\qquad$
f. Special mathematics statistics lectures/colloquia not part of a mathematical science club $\qquad$
$\qquad$
g. Mathematical sciences outreach opportunities in local K-12 schools $\qquad$
h. Undergraduate research opportunities in mathematical sciences. $\qquad$
i. Independent study opportunities in mathematical sciences $\qquad$
j. Assigned faculty advisers in mathematical sciences $\qquad$
k. Opportunity to write a senior thesis in mathematical sciences $\qquad$
$\qquad$
I. A career day for mathematical sciences majors $\qquad$
m . Special advising about graduate school opportunities in mathematical sciences. $\qquad$

n. Opportunity for an internship experience
o. Opportunity to participate in a senior seminar $\qquad$
p. Opportunity to tutor, grade papers, or TA in the department $\qquad$
q. Opportunity to provide mathematical or statistical consulting to client $\qquad$
111. Give your best estimate of the number of all of your majors who have participated in each of the following activities over the past year September 1, 2014 - August 31, 2015.
a. Undergraduate research project in the mathematical sciences $\qquad$
b. Internship in mathematical sciences $\qquad$
c. Mathematical or statistical consulting to client $\qquad$

I12. Does your department offer interdisciplinary course(s) in any of the following areas below: (Check all that apply.) An interdisciplinary course is one in which mathematics is taught with relation to another field such as mathematics and economics, or mathematics and education; do not include calculus courses.


I13a. Does your department offer a minor in statistics?
Yes ................. $\square$ if yes go to I13b
No $\qquad$ $\square$ if no go to I14

I13b. How many students graduated with a minor in statistics from your department between July 1, 2014 and June 30, 2015? $\qquad$

I14. Does your department offer a major in statistics?
Yes ................. $\square$ if yes go to I15
No $\qquad$ if no go to 116
I. Undergraduate Program (Fall 2015) (cont.)

Mathematics Questionnaire
115. To what extent must statistics majors in your department complete the following? Check one box in each row.

|  | Required of <br> all majors | Required of some <br> but not all majors | Not required <br> of any major |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

a. Calculus I $\qquad$
$\square$
b. Calculus II $\qquad$
c. Multivariable Calculus $\qquad$
d. Linear Algebra/Matrix Theory $\qquad$
e. At least one computer science course $\qquad$
$\square$
g. A capstone experience (e.g., a senior project, a senior thesis, a senior seminar, or an internship) $\qquad$


i. At least one upper level Probability course

m. At least one upper-level Linear Models course $\qquad$
n. One Bayesian Inference course $\qquad$
$\square$
f. At least one applied mathematics course (not including $a, b, c, d$ above) $\qquad$

j. At least one upper-level Mathematical Statistics course $\qquad$

116. Does your institution allow a student to meet an institutional or divisional graduation requirement in the mathematical sciences using an Advanced Placement course (taken while the student was in high school)?
Yes $\qquad$ $\square$
No $\qquad$ $\square$

## I. Undergraduate Program (Fall 2015) (cont.)

I17. Responses to this question will be used to project total enrollment in the current (2015-2016) academic year based on the pattern of your departmental enrollments in 2014-2015. Do NOT include any numbers from dual enrollment courses in answering question I17.
a. Previous fall (2014) total student enrollment in your department's undergraduate mathematics, statistics, and computer science courses (remember: do not include dual enrollment courses ${ }^{1}$ )

b. Previous academic year (2014-2015) total enrollment in your department's undergraduate mathematics, statistics, and computer science courses, excluding dual enrollments and excluding enrollments in summer school 2015

c. Total enrollment in your department's undergraduate mathematics, statistics, and computer science courses in summer school 2015 $\square$
d. Total enrollment in Calculus II in winter/spring term of 2015 (combine the winter and spring terms if using the quarter system) $\qquad$
$\square$
e. Total number of sections in Calculus II in winter/spring term of 2015 $\qquad$
$\square$

[^21]
## Questions regarding the mathematical preparation for secondary (generally grades 9-12) pre-service teachers of mathematics:

J1. Does your institution have a program of certification for pre-service secondary teachers (i.e. a program that leads to obtaining credentials to teach secondary mathematics (generally grades 912) in public high schools of your state)?


J2. How many semester hours of mathematics courses from your department are required by your institution's program of certification for pre-service secondary mathematics teachers (grades 912)? $\qquad$

J3. How many semester hours of mathematics courses from your department with a primary focus on high school mathematics from an advanced viewpoint are required in your institution's program of certification for pre-service secondary mathematics teachers (grades 9-12)? $\qquad$

J4. Considering the teacher preparation program at your institution, in each of the following core areas indicate whether the core area is required of all students seeking mathematics certification, if the course is generally taken by those seeking certification (if it is not required), and if in that core area your department offers a special course that is specifically designed for pre-service secondary mathematics teachers.

| Course | Required |  | Generally Taken |  | Special Course <br> Offered |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| a. Advanced Calculus/Analysis | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. Modern Algebra | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. Number Theory | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
| d. Geometry | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. Discrete Mathematics | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f. Statistics | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
| g. Probability | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
| h. History of Mathematics | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| i. Other ( $\quad \square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |

## Questions regarding the mathematical preparation for middle grades (generally grades 6-8) pre-service teachers of mathematics:

J5. Does your institution have a program of certification for pre-service middle-grade teachers of mathematics (i.e. a program that leads to obtaining credentials to teach mathematics in grades 68 in public schools in your state)?

Yes.................. $\square \longrightarrow$ If Yes, go to J6.
No ................... $\square \longrightarrow$ If No, skip to J8.
J6. How many semester hours of courses in mathematics from your department are required by your institution's program of certification for pre-service middle grades (6-8) teachers of mathematics?

J7. How many semester hours of courses from your department on fundamental ideas of mathematics appropriate for middle grade teachers are required by your institution's program of certification for pre-service middle grades (6-8) teachers of mathematics? $\qquad$

## Certification requirements for pre-service elementary (generally grades K-5) teachers of mathematics

J8. Does your institution have a program of certification for pre-service elementary teachers of mathematics in grades K-5 (i.e. a program that leads to obtaining credentials to teach mathematics in grades K-5 in public schools in your state)?


J9. How many semester hours of courses in mathematics from your department are required by your institution's program of certification for pre-service elementary grades (K-5) teachers of mathematics? $\qquad$

J10. How many semester hours of courses from your department on fundamental ideas of mathematics appropriate for elementary teachers are required by your institution's program of certification for prospective elementary grades (K-5) teachers of mathematics? $\qquad$

If you found some question(s) difficult to interpret or answer, please let us know. We welcome suggestions to improve future surveys (e.g., CBMS 2020).

Comments: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Thank you for completing this questionnaire. We know it was a timeconsuming process and we hope that the resulting survey report, which we hope to publish in spring 2017, will be of use to you and your department.

Please keep a copy of your responses to this questionnaire in case questions arise.

## Appendix V

## Other Full-Time Faculty Survey



Institution name: $\qquad$
Department name: $\qquad$
City/State/Zipcode: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$
Name of person completing form: $\qquad$ Title: $\qquad$
Email: $\qquad$ Phone: $\qquad$
Please complete this form by June 1, 2016, keeping a copy for your records, and return it to the above address.

The purpose of this brief questionnaire is to obtain detailed information about the early career arcs of individuals with PhDs in mathematical sciences. The results of this survey will be reported in the next 2015 CBMS Statistical Abstract of Undergraduate Programs in the Mathematical Sciences in the United States.

1. Indicate the number of individuals in your department in 2014-15 who were postdoctoral faculty (those in a temporary position primarily intended to provide an opportunity to extend graduate training or to further research experience) $\qquad$ [1.a]; of these how many are not classifiable as postdoctoral research faculty in your department in 2015-16 $\qquad$ [1.b] (include postdocs who remain in your department in a different appointment).

Of those reported in [1.b], give the number whose employment status in 2015-16 (at your institution or elsewhere) is
[1.c] A tenure-track appointment
___[1.d] Another postdoctoral research appointment
[1.e] A renewable appointment
___[1.f] A non-renewable appointment
$\qquad$ [1.g] A non-academic appointment
[1.h] Unemployed
__[1.i] Unknown
continued from previous page
2. Indicate the number of faculty in your department during 2014-15 not counted in [1.a] who were in renewable non-tenure-track positions (e.g. lecturer, teaching professional, professor of the practice) $\qquad$ [2.a]; of these how many are not in your department in 2015-16 $\qquad$ [2.b].

Indicate the number of faculty in your department in 2015-16 in renewable non-tenure-track, non-postdoc positions $\qquad$ [2.c]
Of those reported in [2.c], indicate the number who are typically engaged in each of the following activities (note that the sum of the values entered here may be larger than that in [2.c]):
___[2.d] Teaching
[2.i] Advise undergraduate research projects
___ [2.e] Research
[2.j] Serve as academic advisor to undergraduates
[2.f] Attend research conferences with financial support or graduate students
___[2.g] Attend teaching conferences with financial support
[2.k] Serve on university/college committees
___ [2.h] Serve on department committees
[2.1] Serve as department course coordinators
3. Indicate the number of faculty in your department during 2014-15 not counted in [1.a] who were in fixed-term (not renewable) non-tenure-track positions $\qquad$ [3.a]; of these how many are not in your department in 2015-16 $\qquad$ [3.b].

Indicate the number of faculty in your department in 2015-16 in fixed-term (not renewable) non-tenure-track, non-postdoc positions
$\square$ [3.c]
Of those reported in [3.c], indicate the number who are typically engaged in each of the following activities (note that the sum of the values entered here may be larger than that in [3.c]):
___[3.d] Teaching
[3.e] Research
[3.f] Attend research conferences with financial support
_[3.g] Attend teaching conferences with financial support
[3.h] Serve on department committees
___[3.i] Advise undergraduate research projects
___ [3.j] Serve as academic advisor to undergraduates or graduate students
___[3.k] Serve on university/college committees
[3.1] Serve as department course coordinators


As part of a random sample, your department has been selected to participate in the CBMS 2015 National Survey, the importance of which has been endorsed by all of our major professional societies. Please read the instructions in each section carefully and complete all of the pertinent item s as indicated.

If your college does not have a departmental or divisional structure, consider the group of all mathematics instructors to be the "mathematics department" for the purpose of this survey.

Because your campus is part of a multi-campus two-year system, special instructions apply. Our understanding is that your campus is administered separately from some of the other campuses in the system. Please include only the data for the mathematics courses and programs that are considered to be administered or managed by your mathematics department. If you disagree with this characterization of your multi-campus, please call Westat at 855-680-1849.

This questionnaire should be completed by the person who is directly in charge of the mathematics program or department on your campus.

Report on all of your courses and instructors that fall under the general heading of the mathematics program or department. Include all mathematics and statistics courses taught within your mathematics program or department. You will also be asked separately about enrollments in mathematics courses outside of the mathematics department: for example, mathematics courses administered in a developmental education division.

We have classified your department as belonging to a two-year college, to a college or campus within a two-year system, or to a two-year branch of a university system. If this is not correct, please contact Richelle (Rikki) Blair at the email address or telephone number given below.

We recommend completing this questionnaire online because the online system will automatically skip those questions that are not applicable to you (based on the responses you give). However, this survey may be completed using a hard-copy questionnaire.

If you have any questions, please contact Richelle (Rikki) Blair, Associate Director for TwoYear Colleges, by email at richelle.blair@sbcglobal.net or by phone at 440-212-5965. For help with the online questionnaire, call Westat at 855-680-1849 or send an email to cbms2015@westat.com.

Please return your completed questionnaire by October 31, 2015, either online or by mailing a hard copy to:

CBMS Survey
Westat
1600 Research Boulevard, RB 3103
Rockville, MD 20850-3129
Please retain a copy of your responses to this questionnaire in case questions arise.

## A. General Information

## PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

A1. Name of your campus: $\qquad$
A2. Name of your department: $\qquad$
A3. Mailing address of the multi-campus organization to which your campus belongs (if any). (Write NA if your campus does not belong to a multi-campus organization.)

A4. We have classified your department as belonging to a two-year college or to a college campus within a two-year college system, or to a two-year branch of a university system. Do you agree?
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Yes ................. } \square \longrightarrow & \text { go to the next question. } \\ \text { No .................. } \square \longrightarrow \begin{array}{l}\text { please contact Richelle (Rikki) Blair, } \\ \text { Survey Associate Director, by email } \\ \text { (richelle.blair@sbcglobal.net) or by phone }\end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l}(440-212-5965) \text { before proceeding any further. }\end{array}\end{array}$
A5. What is the unit (= academic discipline group) that most directly administers the mathematics program on your campus? (Check one box.)

Mathematics Department (department does not offer Computer Science) $\qquad$
$\square$
Mathematics and Computer Science Department or Division (department also offers Computer Science, whether or not it is part of the title) $\qquad$
Mathematics and Science Department or Division $\qquad$
Other Departments or Division $\qquad$ $\square$
A. General Information

A6. To help us project enrollment for the current academic year (2015-2016), please give the following enrollment figures for the previous academic year (2014-2015) not counting summer enrollment.
a. Fall 2014 total student enrollment in your mathematics program $\qquad$


A7. Does your college organize its developmental education, including mathematics, in a separately administered department or division?

Yes $\qquad$
$\square$
No $\qquad$
$\square$
A8. Your name or contact person in your department: $\square$
A9. Your email address or contact person's e-mail address: $\square$
A10. Your phone number or contact person's phone number including $\square$ area code:

A11. Campus mailing address: $\square$

## B. Mathematics Faculty in Mathematics Department/Program (Fall 2015)

- Please include only the data for the mathematics courses and programs that are considered to be administered or managed by your mathematics department.
- Underlined faculty categories defined in this section will be used in later sections.

B1. For fall 2015, what is the total number of full-time mathematics faculty in
your department/program, both permanent and temporary, including those on leave or sabbatical?

Number of full-time mathematics faculty $\qquad$
$\square$
B2. Of the number in B1, how many are tenured, tenure-eligible, or on your permanent faculty (including faculty who are on leave or sabbatical)? We will refer to these as "permanent full-time faculty."

Number of permanent full-time faculty $\qquad$


B3. Of the number in B1, how many are non-tenured, continuing full-time faculty? We will refer to these as "non-tenure track full-time faculty."

Number of non-tenure track full-time faculty $\qquad$
$\square$
B4. Give the number of "other full-time faculty" by computing B1 minus (B2 and B3)


B5. For the permanent full-time faculty reported in B2,
a. give the required teaching assignment in weekly contact hours $\qquad$

b. give the maximum number of hours of the teaching assignment in B 5 a that can be met by teaching distance learning classes (= classes where at least half the students receive the majority of instruction by technological or other methods where the instructor is not physically present) (write - 1 if your institution does not have distance learning or does not have such a policy) $\qquad$

c. give the number of office hours required weekly in association with the teaching assignment in B5a (count all office hours, including those offered online).


B6. Of the permanent full-time faculty reported in B2, how many teach extra hours for extra pay at your campus or within your organization?

Number who teach extra hours for extra pay at your campus or within your organization $\qquad$
$\square$

## B. Mathematics Faculty in Mathematics Department/Program (Fall 2015) (cont.)

B7. Of the permanent full-time faculty reported in B2, how many permanent faculty teach extra hours per week in the following categories?
a. Number who teach 1-3 hours extra weekly $\qquad$
$\square$
b. Number who teach 4-6 hours extra weekly $\qquad$
$\square$
c. Number who teach 7 or more hours extra weekly $\qquad$
$\square$

B8. For fall 2015, how many part-time mathematics faculty are teaching in your department? (Note: none of these were reported above.)
a. Number of part-time mathematics faculty paid by your college $\qquad$
$\square$
b. Number of part-time faculty paid only by a third party, such as a school district paying faculty who teach dual-enrollment courses (= courses taught in high school by high school teachers for which students may obtain high school credit and simultaneous college credit through your institution) .... $\square$
c. Total number of part-time faculty (add B8a and B8b) $\qquad$
$\square$

B9. How many part-time faculty paid by your college (reported in B8a) teach 6 or more hours per week?

Number in B8a teaching 6 or more hours/week $\qquad$
$\square$

B10. Are office hours required by college policy for the part-time faculty paid by your college (reported in B8a)?

Yes $\qquad$
No $\qquad$
$\square$

Definition: Distance learning courses are courses offered by your institution for credit, in which the majority of instruction occurs with the instructor and the students separated by time and/or place (e.g., courses in which the majority of the course is taught online or by computer software or other technologies, including MOOCs (a MOOC is a "massive open online course")).

C1. Does your institution give (transfer) credit for any distance learning course in the mathematical sciences that is not taught by faculty in your institution?

Yes $\qquad$


No $\qquad$
$\square$
C2. Does your institution have a limit on the number of credits earned in distance learning courses that can be counted toward graduation?

Yes .................
No $\qquad$
$\square$

C2a. What is the limit on the number of credits earned in distance learning courses that can be counted toward graduation?

Number of courses:


C3. Has your department taught any distance learning courses in 2013-2015?
Yes.................. $\square \longrightarrow$ go to C4.
No .................. $\square \longrightarrow$ skip to D1.

C4. Which best characterizes the format/structure of the majority of your distance learning courses? (Check one box.)

Completely online: Instruction takes place entirely online $\qquad$ $\square$

Hybrid: Instruction takes place in a combination of face-to-face and online formats $\qquad$ $\square$
Other specify: $\qquad$


C5. How are the instructional materials used in distance learning courses generally determined? (Check one box.)

Course instructors create materials $\qquad$
$\square$
Course instructors choose commercially produced materials $\qquad$
Course instructors choose a combination of both $\qquad$
$\square$
C. Distance Learning (cont.)

C6. In most of your distance learning courses, how and where do students take the majority of their tests? (Check one box.)

Not monitored $\qquad$
$\square$
Online, but using some kind of monitoring technology $\qquad$
$\square$
At a monitored testing site $\qquad$
Combination of the above $\qquad$
$\square$

C7. If a faculty member teaches his/her entire teaching load using distance education, how often is the faculty member required to be on campus to meet with students? (Check one box.)

Never $\qquad$
Only for a particular scheduled meeting or student appointment. $\qquad$
$\square$
A specified number of office hours per week $\qquad$
$\square$
Not applicable $\qquad$
$\square$

C8. Do the instructors in your distance learning courses generally participate in evaluation of instruction using the same criteria and types of evaluation tools as faculty who teach comparable non-distance learning courses?

Yes $\qquad$


No $\qquad$

C9. Which, if any of the following practices, applies to the majority of distance learning courses in your department? (Please check one box on each line.)

|  | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

a. Same examinations as in the face-to-face course
b. Same common course outlines as in the face-to-face course $\qquad$
c. Same course projects $\qquad$
d. More course projects than in the non-distance learning course. $\qquad$

## C. Distance Learning (cont.)

C10. Rate the following challenges that your department faces when creating and/or offering distance learning mathematics courses. (Rate on a scale of $1=$ not a challenge, $3=$ somewhat of a challenge, $5=$ very significant challenge.) (Please check one box in each line.)

| Challenge | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a. Maintaining a standard and reliable network/user platform. |  |  |  |
| b. Maintaining a level of rigor in distance learning mathematics <br> courses equivalent to courses offered face-to-face. |  |  |  |
| c. Faculty knowledge about technology. |  |  |  |
| d.Student success rates in online distance mathematics courses are <br> lower than face-to-face courses with similar content. <br> e.Student success rates in online distance mathematics courses are <br> higher than face-to-face courses with similar content. |  |  |  |

C11. In the three years 2013-2015, has your department taught any mathematics course for credit that could be characterized as a MOOC?


C12. In which of the following content areas has your department offered a MOOC during 2013-2015 (Check all that apply).
a. Developmental Mathematics $\qquad$
b. College-Level Mathematics below Calculus $\qquad$
c. Calculus $\qquad$
d. College-Level Mathematics above Calculus $\qquad$
e. Teacher Preparation $\qquad$
f. Statistics $\qquad$
g. Other (specify) $\qquad$ $\square$

C13. What is the total number of students enrolled in MOOCs offered by your department (for credit) in Fall 2015?

Number of students: $\square$

## D. Redesign of Developmental Mathematics

D1. Has your mathematics department or developmental education department implemented a "Pathways" course sequence? (Pathways is defined to be a redesign of a mathematics sequence that provides students with an alternative course or sequence to/through developmental mathematics and to/through a college-level mathematics or statistics course).

Yes $\qquad$
$\square$ $\longrightarrow$ Go to D2

No $\qquad$
$\square$ $\longrightarrow$ Go to D3

D2. Which of the following "Pathways" courses have you implemented? Please list the enrollment in Fall 2015.

Fall 2015
Implemented?
Enrollment

|  | Yes | No |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| a. Foundations |  |  |  |
| b. Quantitative Reasoning/Literacy |  |  |  |
| c. Statistics |  |  |  |
| d. Other |  |  |  |

D3. In what ways have any of these groups of mathematics courses changed significantly in the last five years? (Check all that apply.)

|  |  | Pre-College: <br> Arithmetic, <br> Pre-Algebra, Beginning Algebra, Intermediate Algebra | Statistics | College-Level Non-STEM: <br> College <br> Algebra, Math for Liberal Arts, Finite Math, Quantitative Reasoning | College-Level STEM: <br> College Algebra/ Trigonometry, Precalculus, Calculus and above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Content |  |  |  |  |  |
| i) | Students collect, organize, and analyze real data |  |  |  |  |
| ii) | Student solves contextually-based problems/applications |  |  |  |  |
| iii) | Course includes modeling |  |  |  |  |
| iv) | Course focuses on quantitative reasoning |  |  |  |  |
| v) | Course has less symbol manipulation and more emphasis on conceptual understanding |  |  |  |  |

D. Redesign of Developmental Mathematics (cont.) Two-Year College Mathematics Questionnaire

|  |  | Pre-College: | Statistics | College-Level <br> Non-STEM: | College-Level <br> STEM: |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| College |  |  |  |  |  |
| Algebra, |  |  |  |  |  |
| Math for |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liberal Arts, |  |  |  |  |  |
| Finite Math, |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quantitative |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reasoning |  |  |  |  |  |, | Arithmetic, |
| :--- |
| College |
| Algebral |
| Trigonometry, |
| Beginning |
| Algecalculus, |
| Calculus and |
| above |,

- Please include only the data for the mathematics courses and programs that are considered to be administered or managed by your mathematics department.
- Definition: We use the term "dual-enrollment courses" to refer to courses conducted on a high school campus and taught by high school teachers, for which students may obtain high school credit and, simultaneously, college credit through your institution.

E1. Does your department participate in any dual-enrollment program of the type defined above?
Yes.................. $\square \longrightarrow$ go to E2.
No $\qquad$
$\square$ go to E5.

E2. Please provide the head-count enrollment for your dual-enrollment program (as defined above) for the spring term of 2015 and for the current fall term of 2015.

| Course | Total Dual <br> Enrollments <br> Last Term = <br> Spring 2015 | Total Dual <br> Enrollments <br> This Term $=$ <br> Fall 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a. College Algebra |  |  |
| b. Precalculus |  |  |
| c. Calculus I |  |  |
| d. Statistics |  |  |
| e. Other |  |  |

E3. Are the high school instructors in the dual-enrollment courses reported in E2 required to participate in a teaching evaluation program conducted by your institution?

Yes $\qquad$
$\square$
No $\qquad$
$\square$

E4. Does your department assign any of its own full-time or part-time faculty to teach courses on a high school campus for which high school students may receive both high school and college credit through your institution?


## E. Dual Enrollment Courses (cont.)

E5. In fall 2015, how many students are enrolled in the courses conducted on a high school campus and taught by your full-time or part-time faculty and through which high school students may receive both high school and college credit through your institution?

Number of students $\qquad$
$\square$
E6. Does your institution participate in a program that allows high school students to enroll in a mathematics course on your campus and receive both high school and college credit?

Yes $\qquad$


No $\qquad$
F. Mathematics Courses (Fall 2015)
Two-Year College Mathematics Questionnaire
The following instructions apply throughout Section F. Read them carefully before you begin filling out the tables.
Please include only the data for the mathematics courses and programs that are considered to be administered or managed by your mathematics department.
Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros

| - Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros |  |  |  |  | LIST THE NUMBER OF SECTIONS FROM COLUMN (d) THAT: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Course (or equivalent) | Total number of students enrolled fall 2015 via distance learning ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (a) | Total number of sections taught fall 2015 via distance learning ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (b) | Total number of on-campus students enrolled fall $2015^{\text {b }}$ <br> (c) | Total number of on-campus sections fall $2015^{\text {b }}$ <br> (d) | have enrollment above 30 <br> (e) | are taught by part-time faculty ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> (f) | have common Department exams <br> (g) | use a Homework Management system <br> (h) |
| F1. Arithmetic/Basic Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F2. Pre-Algebra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F3. Elementary Algebra (high school level) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F4. Intermediate Algebra (high school level) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F5. Geometry (high school level) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^22]F. Mathematics Courses (Fall 2015) (cont.)

- Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros

| - Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros |  |  |  |  | LIST THE NUMBER OF SECTIONS FROM COLUMN (d) THAT: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Course (or equivalent) | Total number of students enrolled fall 2015 via distance learning ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> (a) | Total number of sections taught fall 2015 via distance learning ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (b) | Total number of on-campus students enrolled fall $2015^{\text {b }}$ <br> (c) | Total number of on-campus sections fall $2015^{\text {b }}$ <br> (d) | have enrollment above 30 <br> (e) | are taught by part-time faculty ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> (f) | have common Department exams <br> (g) | use a Homework Management system <br> (h) |
| F6. College Algebra (level beyond intermediate Algebra) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F7. Trigonometry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F8. College Algebra and Trigonometry, combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F9. Introduction to Mathematical Modeling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F10. Precalculus/Elementary Functions/Analytic Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Two-Year College Mathematics Questionnaire
(F.

[^23]F. Mathematics Courses (Fall 2015) (cont.)
Two-Year College Mathematics Questionnaire

| - Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros |  |  |  |  | LIST THE NUMBER OF SECTIONS FROM COLUMN (d)THAT: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Course (or equivalent) | Total number of students enrolled fall 2015 via distance learning ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (a) | Total number of sections taught fall 2015 via distance learning ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (b) | Total number of on-campus students enrolled fall $2015^{\text {b }}$ <br> (c) | Total number of on-campus sections fall $2015^{\text {b }}$ <br> (d) | have enrollment above 30 <br> (e) | are taught by part-time faculty ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> (f) | have common Department exams <br> (g) | use a Homework Management system <br> (h) |
| F11. Mainstream Calculus 1 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F12. Mainstream Calculus II ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F13. Mainstream Calculus III ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F14. Non-Mainstream Calculus ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F15. Non-Mainstream Calculus II ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F16. Differential Equations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F17. Linear Algebra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F18. Discrete Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Distance learning courses are courses offered by your institution for credit, in which the majority of instruction occurs with the instructor and the students MOOCs (a MOOC is a "massive open online course")).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ These students are not included in column a. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Typically for mathematics, physical sciences, and engineering majors.
${ }^{e}$ Typically for business, life sciences, and social science majors.
F. Mathematics Courses (Fall 2015) (cont.)
Two-Year College Mathematics Questionnaire
IST THE NUMBER OF SECTIONS FROM COLUMN (d)
THAT:

| - Cells left blank will be | preted | eros |  |  | LIST THE NUMBER OF SECTIONS FROM COLUMN (d) THAT: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Course (or equivalent) | Total number of students enrolled fall 2015 via distance learning ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (a) | Total number of sections taught fall 2015 via distance learning ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (b) | Total number of on-campus students enrolled fall $2015^{\text {b }}$ <br> (c) | Total number of on-campus sections fall $2015^{\text {b }}$ <br> (d) | have enrollment above 30 <br> (e) | Are taught by part-time faculty ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> (f) | have common Department exams <br> (g) | use a Homework Management system <br> (h) |
| F19. Elementary Statistics (with or without probability) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F20. Probability (with or without statistics) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ (do not count the same course in both lines F19 and F20) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F21.Finite Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F22. Mathematics for Liberal Arts/ Math Appreciation/ Quantitative Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F23. Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F24. Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F25. Other Mathematics Courses for Teacher Preparation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Distance learning courses are courses offered by your institution for credit, in which the majority of instruction occurs with the instructor and the students separated by time and/or place (e.g., courses in which the majority of the course is taught online or by computer software or other technologies, including MOOCs (a MOOC is b a "massive open online course")).
c Do not include full-time mathematics faculty teaching an overload section in this column. Include only part-time faculty, reported in B8a, i.e., those paid by your

[^24]F. Mathematics Courses (Fall 2015) (cont.)

## - Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros

Two-Year College Mathematics Questionnaire

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Distance learning courses are courses offered by your institution for credit, in which the majority of instruction occurs with the instructor and the students separated by time and/or place (e.g., courses in which the majority of the course is taught online or by computer software or other technologies, including MOOCs (a MOOC is a "massive open online course"))
b These students are not included in column a.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Do not include full-time mathematics faculty teaching an overload section in this column. Include only part-time faculty, reported in B8a, i.e., those paid by your college.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Mathematics courses for AAS programs, not a transfer course to four-year college.
G. Faculty Educational Level, by Subject Field
G1. For the permanent full-time faculty (including those on leave or sabbatical) reported in B2, complete the following table

- Please include only the data for the mathematics courses and programs that are considered to be administered or

|  | Number of Full-Time Faculty by <br> Major Field of Highest Degree |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highest Degree | Mathematics | Statistics | Mathematics <br> Education | Other |
| a. Doctorate |  |  |  |  |
| b. Master's |  |  |  |  |
| c. Bachelor's |  |  |  |  |

Two-Year College Mathematics Questionnaire
G. Faculty Educational Level, by Subject Field (cont.)
G2. For the part-time faculty reported in B8c (including those paid by your college and those paid by a third party), complete the
Please include only the data for the mathematics courses and programs that are considered to be administered or managed by your mathematics department.

|  | Number of Part-Time Faculty by <br> Major Field of Highest Degree |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highest Degree | Mathematics | Statistics | Mathematics <br> Education | Other |
| a. Doctorate |  |  |  |  |
| b. Master's |  |  |  |  |
| c. Bachelor's |  |  |  |  |

H. Faculty by Gender and Ethnicity/Race
Two-Year College Mathematics Questionnaire
H1. In the table below, please provide the number of permanent full-time faculty and part-time faculty having the characteristics listed.
or the permanent full-time faculty (including those on leave) reported in B2 and for the part-time faculty reported in B8a (those paid by your college), complete the following table giving data about gender and ethnicity/race.
The total of full-time faculty should equal the figure given in B2. The total of part-time faculty should equal the figure reported in B8a (on page 4).
Ethnic/Racial Status and Gender

| Ethnic/Racial Status and Gender |  | Number of Faculty |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Permanent Full-Time Faculty From B2 |  | Part-Time Faculty From B8a |
|  |  | Age < 40 | Age > 40 |  |
| 1. American Indian, Alaskan Native | Male |  |  |  |
|  | Female |  |  |  |
| 2. Asian | Male |  |  |  |
|  | Female |  |  |  |
| 3. Black or African American (nonHispanic) | Male |  |  |  |
|  | Female |  |  |  |
| 4. Mexican-American, Puerto Rican, or other Hispanic | Male |  |  |  |
|  | Female |  |  |  |
| 5. White (non-Hispanic) | Male |  |  |  |
|  | Female |  |  |  |
| 6. Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander | Male |  |  |  |
|  | Female |  |  |  |
| 7. Status not known or other | Male |  |  |  |
|  | Female |  |  |  |

I. Faculty Age Profile
Two-Year College Mathematics Questionnaire

## J. Faculty Employment and Mobility

- Please include only the data for the mathematics courses and programs that are considered to be administered or managed by your mathematics department.

J1. How many of the permanent full-time faculty members you reported in B2 (on page 3) were newly appointed to a permanent full-time position this year (2015-2016)?

Number of faculty newly appointed on a permanent full-time position this year (2015-2016)?

| if 0 | go to J3. |
| :--- | :--- |
| if 1 or more $\longrightarrow$ | go to J 2. |

J 2 . Of the faculty members counted in J 1 , how many had the following as their main activity in the academic year preceding their appointment? Report only one main activity per person. The total in J2 should equal the number reported in J1 above.
a. Attending graduate school. $\qquad$
$\square$
b. Teaching in a four-year college or university $\qquad$
$\qquad$
c. Teaching in another two-year college $\qquad$
$\qquad$
d. Teaching in a secondary school $\qquad$
$\square$
e. Part-time or full-time temporary employment by your college $\qquad$
$\square$
f. Nonacademic employment $\qquad$
$\qquad$
g. Unemployed $\qquad$
$\qquad$
h. Status unknown. $\qquad$
$\square$

J3. How many of your faculty who were permanent full-time faculty in the previous year (2014-2015) are no longer part of your permanent full-time faculty? $\square$
J. Faculty Employment and Mobility (cont.)

J4. For each newly appointed permanent full-time faculty member reported in J1, give the following data. Copy this page to add more faculty if necessary. For each new hire, check one box in each column.

|  | Gender | Ethnicity/Race | Highest Degree Earned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Hire \#1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Male ....... } \square \\ & \text { Female..... } \square \end{aligned}$ | Am Indian ....... $\square$ Asian Black ..... $\square$ Hispanic ......... $\square$ White Other .................. $\square$ | Bachelor's ............ $\square$ Master's $\square$ Doctorate ............ $\square$ |
| New Hire \#2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Male ........ } \\ & \text { Female.... } \end{aligned}$ | Am Indian ....... $\square$ Asian Black ..... $\square$ Hispanic ......... $\square$ White ........... $\square$ Other ............ $\square$ | Bachelor's ............ $\square$ Master's $\square$ Doctorate ............ $\square$ |
| New Hire \#3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Male ........ } \\ & \text { Female.... } \end{aligned}$ | Am Indian ....... $\square$ Asian Black ..... $\square$ Hispanic ......... $\square$ White Other .................. $\square$ | Bachelor's ............ $\square$ Master's $\square$ Doctorate ............ $\square$ |
| New Hire \#4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Male ........ } \\ & \text { Female.... } \end{aligned}$ | Am Indian ....... $\square$ Asian Black ..... $\square$ Hispanic ......... $\square$ White Other ................. $\square$ | Bachelor's ........... $\square$ Master's $\square$ Doctorate ............ $\square$ |
| New Hire \#5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Male ........ } \square \\ & \text { Female.... } \square \end{aligned}$ | Am Indian ....... $\square$ Asian Black ..... $\square$ Hispanic ......... $\square$ White Other .................. $\square$ | Bachelor's ............ $\square$ Master's ........... $\square$ Doctorate ........ $\square$ |
| New Hire \#6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Male ........ } \\ & \text { Female.... } \end{aligned}$ | Am Indian ....... $\square$ Asian Black ..... $\square$ Hispanic .......... $\square$ White Other ................. $\square$ | Bachelor's ........... $\square$ Master's $\square$ Doctorate ............ $\square$ |

## K Professional Activities and Evaluation of Faculty

K1. Is professional development required of your faculty?

|  | Yes | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Permanent full-time | $\square$ |  |
| b. Part-time...... |  |  |

K2. If you answered yes to the applicable row in K1, please estimate the number of faculty reported in B2 and B8 who fulfill the above continuing education or professional development requirement in one or more of the following ways.


K3. In general, how frequently are mathematics faculty evaluated? (Check one in each row.)


## K. Professional Activities and Evaluation of Faculty (cont.)

K4. Check all evaluation methods that are used for part-time faculty paid by your college (reported in B8(a)) or for permanent full-time faculty (reported in B2). (Check yes or no for both part-time and full-time faculty on each line.)

| Evaluation Mode | Full-Time Faculty in B2 |  | Part-Time Faculty in B8a |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| a. Observation of classes by other faculty members or department chair | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. Observation of classes by division head (if different from chair) or other administrator. |  |  |  |  |
| c. Evaluation forms completed by students........................... | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
| d. Evaluation of written course material such as lesson plans, syllabi, or exams | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. Self-evaluation such as teaching portfolios ....................... | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f. Written peer evaluations | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| g. Other (specify) ............................................................ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |

## L. Academic Support and Enrichment Opportunities for Students

- Please include only the data for the mathematics courses and programs that are considered to be administered or managed by your mathematics department.

L1. Does your department or college offer a mathematics placement program for entering students?


L2. Is some form of placement examination in mathematics required for first-time enrollees?

Yes $\qquad$
$\square$ $\longrightarrow$ go to L3

No $\qquad$ $\square$ $\longrightarrow$ go to L6.

L3. Does your college/department periodically assess the effectiveness of the mathematics placement program?
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { Yes................. } \square \longrightarrow & \text { go to L4. } \\ \text { No .................. } \square \longrightarrow & \text { go to M1. }\end{array}$

## L. Academic Support and Enrichment Opportunities for Students (cont.)

L4. Check all opportunities available to your mathematics students. (Please check one box in each line.)

|  | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

a. Honors sections of mathematics course $\qquad$
b. Mathematics club $\qquad$
c. Special mathematics programs to encourage women $\qquad$
d. Special mathematics programs to encourage minorities $\qquad$
e. Opportunities to compete in mathematics contests $\qquad$
f. Special mathematics lectures/colloquia not part of a mathematics club $\qquad$
g. Mathematics outreach opportunities in local K-12 schools $\qquad$
h. Opportunities to participate in undergraduate research in mathematics $\qquad$
i. Independent study opportunities in mathematics $\qquad$
j. Assigned faculty advisors in mathematics $\qquad$
k. Other (specify) $\qquad$

## M. Mathematics Preparation of K-12 Teachers

- Please include only the data for the mathematics courses and programs that are considered to be administered or managed by your mathematics department.

M1. Does your department have any mathematics courses or programs specifically designed to prepare current or future teachers to teach: Mathematics in grades PK-5 or 6-8?

| Mathematics in grades PK-5 or 6-8? | Yes | $\square$ | If yes, go to M2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | No | $\square$ | If no, go to M3. |

M2. Does your department have a faculty member assigned to coordinate mathematics program courses for pre-service elementary school teachers?

Yes $\qquad$
No $\qquad$
$\square$

No
M3. Does your department have any mathematics courses or programs specifically designed to prepare current or future teachers to teach: Mathematics in grades 9-12?

Mathematics in grades 9-12?

| Yes | $\square$ | If yes, go to M4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | $\square$ | If no, go to M5. |

M4. Does your department have a faculty member assigned to coordinate mathematics program courses for pre-service secondary school teachers?

Yes $\qquad$
$\square$
No $\qquad$
M5. Other than the courses "Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I, II, and other Mathematics courses for Teacher Preparation" reported on lines F23, F24, and F25, do you designate any sections of your other mathematics program courses as "especially designed for pre-service elementary school teachers"?

Yes $\qquad$
No $\qquad$

## M. Mathematics Preparation of K-12 Teachers (cont.)

M6. Which of the following groups can meet their entire mathematics course or licensure requirement for teaching via an organized program in your department? Consider "pre- service" and "career switchers" as distinct categories. "Career switchers" usually are post- baccalaureate older adults returning for teaching licensure after a non-teaching career and often under state-approved special licensure rules. (Check one on each row.)

|  | Yes | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Pre-service elementary school teachers .................................. | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. Pre-service middle school teachers ........................................ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. Pre-service secondary school teachers ................................... | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. In-service elementary school teachers ..................................... | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. In-service middle school teachers ........................................... |  | $\square$ |
| f. In-service secondary school teachers ...................................... | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| g. Career switchers moving to elementary school teaching ............. | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| h. Career switchers moving to middle school teaching.................... | $\square$ | , |
| i. Career switchers moving to secondary school teaching............... | $\square$ | $\square$ |

M7. Does your institution offer pedagogical courses in mathematics for teacher licensure for any of the three grade levels listed below? (Check all that apply.)

Grades PK-5 ........................................... $\square$
Grades 6-8 $\qquad$
Grades 9-12 $\qquad$
If any or all are checked, go to M8.

No $\qquad$
$\square$ Go to N1

M8. Where are the pedagogical courses in mathematics for teacher licensure taught?
In the mathematics department $\qquad$ $\square$
Elsewhere in the institution $\qquad$ $\square$

N1. Below are problems often cited by two-year college mathematics departments. Please read each item carefully and check the box in each row that best reflects your view.

|  |  | Minor or No problem for us | Somewhat of a problem for us | Major problem for us | Not applicable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | Maintaining vitality of faculty ........... | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. | Dual-enrollment (high school and college credit) courses ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. | Staffing statistics courses ............... | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. | Unrealistic student understanding of the demands of college work. | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. | Part-time faculty teach too many courses $\qquad$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f. | Faculty salaries too low .................. | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| g. | Class sizes too large ..................... | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| h. | Low student motivation .................. | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| i. | Too many students needing remediation $\qquad$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| j. | Successful progress of students through developmental courses to more advanced mathematics courses is too low. $\qquad$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| k. | Student success rate in transferlevel math courses is too low | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| I. | Too few students who intend to transfer actually do transfer | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| m. | Inadequate travel funds for faculty |  |  |  |  |
| Professional development |  |  |  |  |  |
| n . | Inadequate classroom facilities for teaching with technology | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| o. | Inadequate computer facilities for part-time faculty use | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| p. | Inadequate computer facilities for student use $\qquad$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |

N. Issues of Professional Concern (cont.)

N1. Continued

|  | Minor or <br> No <br> problem <br> for us | Somewhat <br> of a <br> problem <br> for us | Major <br> problem <br> for us | Not <br> applicable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

q. Classroom and other duties make it difficult for faculty to engage in professional development ................$\square$
r. Curriculum alignment between high schools and college $\qquad$
s. Lack of curricular flexibility because of transfer requirements ..................
t. Other barriers that inhibit curricular changes $\qquad$

u. Maintaining high and consistent expectations of students across different sections of the same course $\qquad$

v. High cost of textbooks $\qquad$
w. Lack of flexibility in curricular redesign $\qquad$

x. Maintaining common standards between distance learning courses and related courses $\qquad$

$y$. Use of distance education ${ }^{\text {b }}$

${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The majority of instruction occurs with the instructor and the students separated by time and/or place (e.g., courses in which the majority of the course is taught online or by computer software or other technologies, including MOOCs (a MOOC is a "massive open online course")).

N2. Many departments today use a spectrum of program assessment methods. Please check all that apply to your department's program assessment efforts during the last six years.

|  | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

a. We conducted a review of our mathematics program that included one or more reviewers from outside our institution
b. We asked students in our mathematics program to comment on and suggest changes in our program $\qquad$
c. Other departments at our institution were invited to comment on the preparation that their students received in our courses $\qquad$
d. Data on students' progress in subsequent mathematics courses were gathered and analyzed
e. We have a placement system for first-year students, and we gathered and analyzed data on its effectiveness $\qquad$

f. Our department's program assessment activities led to changes in our mathematics program $\qquad$

The next four questions deal with general education requirements at your institution.
N3. Does your institution require all associate's degree graduates to have a quantitative course (which may or may not be within the mathematics department) as part of their general education requirements? (Check one box.)
a. Yes, all associate degree's graduates $\quad \square \longrightarrow$ go to N4.
b. Not (a), but all Associate of Arts or Associate of Science graduates must have credit

c. Neither (a) or (b)


N4. If you chose (a) or (b) in O3, must all students (to whom the quantitative requirement applies) fulfill it by taking a course in your mathematics department?

Yes $\qquad$
$\square$
No $\qquad$
$\square$
N5. What is the lowest level course in your department that can be used to fulfill the general education quantitative requirement in N3? (Check one box.)
a. A course below the level of Intermediate Algebra ............... $\square$ Go to N1.
b. Intermediate Algebra or its equivalent, or any course that is more advanced than Intermediate Algebra ..................... $\square$ Go to 01
c. Not Intermediate Algebra, but any course that is more advanced than Intermediate Algebra $\qquad$Go to O 1
d. Only certain courses that are more advanced than Intermediate Algebra $\qquad$Go to N6, otherwise go to O 1

N6. Which of the following departmental courses can be used to fulfill the general education quantitative requirement?

| Course | Yes | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. College Algebra and/or Precalculus |  |  |
| b. Calculus (any course) | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. Introduction to Mathematical Modeling... | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. A basic Probability and/or Statistics course .. | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. Quantitative Literacy or Liberal Arts Mathematics or Quantitative Reasoning | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f. Some other course(s) in our department not listed above | $\square$ | $\square$ |

## O. Mathematics Enrollments Outside Your Mathematics Department/Program (Fall 2015)

Data to answer the following questions often are beyond the information normally available to a mathematics department chair. Thank you for investing invest the extra effort needed to give an accurate account of all enrollments in the following courses that are not taught in the mathematics department/program. (Give enrollments, not the number of sections taught.)

## Instructions:

- Please include only the data for the mathematics courses and programs that are considered to be administered or managed by your mathematics department.
- Report all enrollments at your campus or in your multi-campus system that are not taught in the mathematics department/program (and so are not listed in Section F).
- Please consult appropriate sources outside the mathematics program such as schedules, registrar's data, or the heads of these programs to get accurate data on enrollments.

| COURSE | Mathematics Enrollments Outside the Mathematics Department |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Developmental Education Department/ Division <br> (a) | Occupational Programs <br> (b) | Business (c) | Other Dept/Division <br> (d) |
| O1. Arithmetic/Pre-Algebra |  |  |  |  |
| O2. Elementary Algebra (high school level) |  |  |  |  |
| O3. Intermediate Algebra (high school level) |  |  |  |  |
| O4. Business Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| O5. Statistics/Probability |  |  |  |  |
| O6. Technical Mathematics |  |  |  |  |

## P. Comments and Suggestions

Two-Year College Mathematics Questionnaire

P1. If you have found some question(s) difficult to interpret or answer, please let us know. We welcome comments or suggestions to improve future surveys (e.g., CBMS2020).

Comments: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Thank you for completing this questionnaire. We know it was a timeconsuming process and we hope that the resulting survey report, which we hope to publish in spring 2017, will be of use to you and your department.

Please keep a copy of your responses to this questionnaire in case questions arise.


As part of a random sample, your department has been chosen to participate in the NSF-funded CBMS2015 National Survey of Undergraduate Mathematical Sciences Programs. The presidents of all U.S. mathematical sciences organizations have endorsed it and ask for your cooperation, even though it is a very complicated survey.

We assure you that no individual departmental data, except the names of responding departments, will be released.

This survey provides data about the nation's undergraduate statistical effort that is available from no other source. You can see the results of a similar survey fielded five years ago by going to www.ams.org/cbms, where the CBMS 2010 report is available online.

All departments in this survey are in universities and colleges that offer at least a bachelor's degree.
They may or may not offer an undergraduate major in statistics. Most of the statistics departments in our random sample also offer higher degrees in statistical sciences.

We have classified your department as belonging to a university or four-year college. If this is not correct, please contact Ellen Kirkman, Survey Director, at 336-758-5351 or at Kirkman@wfu.edu.

Please report on undergraduate programs in the statistical sciences (including probability) that are under the direction of your department. Do not include data for other departments or for branches or campuses of your institution that are budgetarily separate from your own. Also, if your department is broader than just statistics (e.g., Department of Statistics and Computer Science or Statistics and Operations Research), please report on all the courses offered by your department.

This survey may be completed either online or using a hard-copy questionnaire. We recommend using the online system because it will do some of the work for you; e.g., it will automatically skip those questions that are not applicable (based on the response you give), gray out portions of questions that do not apply, remind you of previous responses, and provide definitions when you let your cursor hover over certain highlighted words.

If you have any questions while filling out this survey form, please call the Survey Director, Ellen Kirkman, at 336-758-5351 or contact her by e-mail at Kirkman@wfu.edu. For help with the online questionnaire, call Westat at 855-680-1849 or send an email to cbms2015@westat.com.

Please return your completed questionnaire by October 31, 2015, either online or by mailing a hard copy to:

CBMS Survey
Westat
1600 Research Boulevard, RB 3103
Rockville, MD 20850-3129

Please retain a copy of your responses to this questionnaire in case questions arise.

## A. General Information

A1. Name of your Institution: $\qquad$

A2. Name of your Department: $\qquad$

A3. We have classified your department as being part of a university or four-year college. Do you agree?

Yes................. $\square \longrightarrow$ If Yes, go to A4 below.
No .................. $\square \longrightarrow$ If No, please call Ellen Kirkman, Survey Director, at 336-758-5351.

A4. If your college or university does not recognize tenure, check this box.

A5. Contact person in your department: $\square$
A6. Contact person's e-mail address: $\square$
A7. Contact person's phone number including area code: $\square$
A8. Contact person's mailing address:
a. Street $\qquad$
$\square$
b. Street2. $\qquad$
$\square$
c. City $\qquad$
$\square$
d. State $\qquad$
$\square$
e. Zip code $\qquad$
$\square$

## B. Dual-Enrollment Courses

Definition: We use the term dual-enrollment courses to refer to courses conducted on a high school campus and taught by high school teachers, for which high school students may obtain high school credit and, simultaneously, college credit through your institution.

B1. Does your department participate in any dual-enrollment programs of this type?
Yes $\qquad$
$\square$ $\longrightarrow$ If Yes, go to B2.

No $\qquad$
$\square$ $\longrightarrow$ If No, go to B4.

B2. Please complete the following table concerning your dual-enrollment program (as defined above) for the previous term (spring 2015) and the current fall term of 2015.

| Course | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Dual Enrollments |  |\(\left|\begin{array}{rl}Last Term= <br>

Spring 2015\end{array} \quad $$
\begin{array}{r}\text { This Term= } \\
\text { Fall 2015 }\end{array}
$$\right|\)

B3. Are the high school instructors in the dual-enrollment courses reported in B2 required to participate in a teaching evaluation program conducted by your institution?

Yes $\qquad$
$\square$
No $\qquad$

## B. Dual-Enrollment Courses (continued)

B4. Does your department assign any of its own full-time or part-time faculty to teach courses conducted on a high school campus for which high school students may receive both high school and college credit (through your institution)?

Yes.................. $\square \longrightarrow$ If Yes, go to B6.
No ................... $\square \longrightarrow$ If No, go to Section C.
B5. In Fall 2015 how many students are enrolled in the courses conducted on a high school campus and taught by your full-time or part-time faculty and through which high school students may receive both high school and college credit (through your institution)?

Number of students $\qquad$
$\square$
B6. Does your institution participate in a program that allows high school students to enroll in statistics courses on your campus for high school credit and, simultaneously, college credit?

Yes $\qquad$
$\square$
No .................. $\square$
In subsequent sections we ask about course enrollments in your department; please do not include any of the enrollments reported in this Section B.

Definition: Distance learning courses are those courses offered by your institution for credit, in which the majority of the instruction occurs with the instructor and the students separated by time and /or place (e.g. courses in which the majority of the course is taught online, or by computer software, or by other technologies) including MOOCs that are offered for credit. (a MOOC is a "massive open online course").

C1. Does your institution give (transfer) credit for any distance learning courses in statistics that are not taught by faculty in your institution?

Yes $\qquad$
No $\qquad$
$\square$
C2. Does your institution have a specific limit on the number of credits earned (or number of courses taken) in distance learning classes that may be counted toward graduation?

Yes $\qquad$
No $\qquad$ $\square$

C3. Has your department taught any distance learning courses during the calendar years 2013-2015?


C4. Which best characterizes the format/structure of the majority of your distance learning courses? (Check one box)

Completely online: Instruction takes place completely online. $\qquad$
Blended/Hybrid: Instruction takes place in a combination of face-to-face and online formats $\qquad$
Other $\qquad$
C5. Which one response best describes the general pattern for how the instructional materials used in your distance learning courses are determined? (Check one box.)

Course instructors create materials $\qquad$
Course instructors choose commercially produced materials $\qquad$ $\square$
Course instructors choose a combination of both $\qquad$
C. Distance Learning (continued)

C6. In most of your distance learning courses, how are the majority of the tests administered? (Choose one response.)

Not monitored (e.g., online or by correspondence) $\qquad$
Online, but using some kind of monitoring technology .................... $\quad \square$
At a monitored testing site $\qquad$ $\square$
Combination of the above $\qquad$
C7. Are there any courses that you offer in both non-distance learning and in distance learning formats?
Yes.................. $\square \longrightarrow$ If Yes, go to C8 below.
No ................... $\square \longrightarrow$ If No, go to C10.
C8. Do the course instructors in your distance learning courses generally: (Check one response on each line.)

|  | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a.Hold office hours to meet with students on campus as in <br> comparable non-distance learning courses taught on campus? ... | $\square$ |  |
| b.Participate in evaluation of instruction in the same way as faculty <br> who teach comparable non-distance learning courses? ............. | $\square$ | $\square$ |

C9. Which, if any, of the following practices apply to the majority of distance learning courses in your department? (Check one response on each line.)

|  | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a. Same common departmental tests and examinations as in the |  |  |
| $\quad$ non-distance-learning course...................................................... | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. Same common course as in the non-distance-learning course .... | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. Same course projects as in the non-distance-learning course ...... | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. More course projects than in non-distance-learning course ......... | $\square$ | $\square$ |

C10. In the three calendar years 2013-2015 has your department taught (for credit) any distance learning courses that could be characterized as a MOOC?
Yes.................. $\square \longrightarrow$ If Yes, go to C11 below.
No .................. $\square \longrightarrow$ If No, go to Section D.

## C. Distance Learning (continued)

C11. In which of the following content areas has your department taught a MOOC (for credit) during 2013-2015? (Check all that apply.)Introductory StatisticsStatistics course requiring previous statistical knowledgeTeacher Preparation$\square$ Other (specify) $\qquad$
C12. What is the total number of students enrolled in MOOCs (for credit) offered by your department in Fall 2015?

Please indicate whether the following types of faculty are actively teaching one or more courses in fall 2015.

## Definitions

- Full-time faculty. Faculty who are full-time employees in the institution and more than halftime in the department. For example, if a tenured physics professor with a joint appointment in your department teaches a total of two courses in fall 2015, with exactly one being in your department (i.e., statistics is $50 \%$ of the fall teaching assignment), then that person would be counted as part-time in your department.
- Permanent faculty. If your institution does not recognize tenure, please report fulltime departmental faculty who are permanent on line D1a and report all other faculty on the remaining lines as appropriate.
- Other full-time faculty. Full-time faculty who are not tenured or tenure-eligible, faculty with renewable positions, postdoctoral faculty, visiting faculty.

| Faculty Type | Teach in Fall 2015 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |

D1. Full-time faculty
a. Tenured or tenure-eligible faculty $\qquad$
b. Other full-time faculty $\qquad$
D2. Part-time faculty $\qquad$
D3. Graduate teaching assistant(s) who teach courses independently (not counting the teaching of recitation sessions) $\qquad$

## E. Probability and Statistics Courses (Fall 2015)


E. Probability and Statistics Courses (Fall 2015) (continued)

| - Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros. |  |  |  | Of the number in column (c), how many sections are taught by: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total distance education enrollments ${ }^{1}$ <br> (a) | Total enrollment NOT in distance education and NOT dual enrollments ${ }^{2}$ (b) | Number of sections corresponding to column <br> (b) <br> (c) | Full-time faculty ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Part-time faculty (g) | Graduate teaching assistants ${ }^{4}$ <br> (h) |
| Name of Course (or equivalent) |  |  |  | Tenured, or tenureeligible <br> (d) | Other full-time faculty with Ph.D. <br> (e) | Other full-time faculty without Ph.D. (f) |  |  |
| STATISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Courses Designed FOR NoN-MAJORS/Minors (General Education Courses) <br> E1: Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisite) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E1-1. Lecture with separately scheduled recitation/problem/ laboratory sessions ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E1-2. Number of recitation/problem/ laboratory sessions associated with courses reported in E1-1 ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E1-3. Individual sections, not in E1-1, that meet as a class with an instructor at a regularly scheduled time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E1-4. Other sections not listed above |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^25]E. Probability and Statistics Courses (Fall 2015) (continued)
Statistics Questionnaire

| - Cells left blank will be interpreted as zeros. |  |  |  | Of the number in column (c), how many sections are taught by: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Number of sections corresponding to column <br> (b) <br> (c) | Full-time faculty ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Name of Course (or equivalent) | Total distance education enrollments ${ }^{1}$ (a) | enrollment NOT in distance education and NOT dual enrollments ${ }^{2}$ <br> (b) |  | Tenured or tenureeligible faculty <br> (d) | Other full-time faculty with Ph.D. <br> (e) | Other full-time faculty without Ph.D. $\qquad$ (f) | Part-time faculty (g) | Graduate teaching assistants ${ }^{4}$ <br> (h) |
| STATISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Courses Designed FOR Non-majors/M inors (General Education Courses) <br> E2: Introductory Statistics (calculus prerequisite) (for non-majors) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E2-1. Lecture with separately scheduled recitation/problem/ laboratory sessions ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E2-2. Number of recitation/problem/ laboratory sessions associated with courses reported in E2-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E2-3. Individual sections, not in E1-1, that meet as a class with an instructor at a regularly scheduled time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E2-4. Other sections not listed above |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Introductory Statistics Courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E3. Statistics for pre-service elementary or middle grade teachers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E4. Statistics for pre-service high school teachers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E5. Other introductory-level Probability or Statistics courses for non-majors/minors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MOOCs that are offered for credit.

2 Do not include any dual-enrollment courses, i.e., courses taught on a high school campus by a high school instructor for which high school students may obtain both
high school credit and, simultaneously, college credit through your institution. ${ }^{3}$ Count faculty with joint appointments in column (d) or (e) if more than 50 perc
otherwise.
otherwise.
${ }_{5}$ Report an introductory statistics class along with its recitation/problem/laboratory sessions as one section in column (c) of E1-1 and E2-1.
${ }^{6}$ Example: suppose your department offers four 100-student sections of a course and that each is divided into five discussion sessions that meet separately from the
lectures. Report $4 * 5=20$ recitation/problem/laboratory sessions associated with the course, even if each discussion meets several times per week.
E. Probability and Statistics Courses (Fall 2015) (continued)

| Name of Course (or equivalent) | Total enrollment fall 2015 <br> (a) | Number of sections corresponding to column (a) <br> (b) | Number of sections corresponding to column (b) taught by tenured or tenurefaculty <br> (c) | Was this course taught in <br> ANY term of the previous academic year? <br> (d) |  | Will this course be offered in the next term (spring 2016)? <br> (e) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROBABILITY \& STATISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper Level |  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| E6. Introductory probability and/or statistics for majors/minors (no calculus prerequisite) |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | L |
| E7. Combined Probability \& Statistics (calculus prerequisite) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |
| E8. Probability (calculus prerequisite) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E9. Mathematical Statistics (calculus prerequisite) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E10. Stochastic Processes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E11. Applied Statistical Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E12. Data Science/analytics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E13. Design \& Analysis of Experiments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E14. Regression (and Correlation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E15. Biostatistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E16. Nonparametric Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E17. Categorical Data Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E18. Sample Survey Design \& Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E19. Statistical Computing or software |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E20. Bayesian Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E21. Statistical Consulting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E22. Senior Seminar/Independent Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E23. All other upper level Probability \& Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E24. All departmental courses other than Probability or Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## E. Probability and Statistics Courses (Fall 2015) (continued)

E25. Do you offer any advanced undergraduate courses in statistics (E6-E24) as distance-learning courses?

Yes.................. $\square \longrightarrow$ If Yes, go to E26 below.
No ................... $\square \longrightarrow$ If No, go to Section F.
E26. Please indicate which advanced undergraduate statistics courses you offer as distance-learning courses. (Check all that apply.)

Definition: Distance learning courses are those courses offered by your institution for credit, in which the majority of the instruction occurs with the instructor and the students separated by time and /or place (e.g. courses in which the majority of the course is taught online, or by computer software, or by other technologies) including MOOCs that are offered for credit. (a MOOC is a "massive open online course").

| Course | Offer as distance learning |
| :---: | :---: |
| E6. Introductory Probability and/or Statistics for Majors/Minors (no calculus prerequisite) | $\square$ |
| E7. Combined Probability \& Statistics (calculus prerequisite) |  |
| E8. Probability (calculus prerequisite) |  |
| E9. Mathematical Statistics (calculus prerequisite) | $\square$ |
| E10. Stochastic Processes | $\square$ |
| E11. Applied Statistical Analysis | $\square$ |
| E12. Data Science/Analytics. | $\square$ |
| E13. Design \& Analysis of Experiments | $\square$ |
| E14. Regression (and Correlation) |  |
| E15. Biostatistics |  |
| E16. Nonparametric Statistics. | $\square$ |
| E17. Categorical Data Analysis.. | $\square$ |
| E18. Sample Survey Design \& Analysis. | $\square$ |
| E19. Statistical Computing and/or Software. | $\square$ |
| E20. Bayesian Statistics | $\square$ |
| E21. Statistical Consulting |  |
| E22. Senior Seminar/ Independent Studies |  |
| E23. Other upper level Probability \& Statistics | $\square$ |
| E24. Other mathematical science courses ....... | $\square$ |

If you do not offer a major in statistics, check here $\square$ and go to F5. Otherwise go to F1.

F1. Report the total number of your departmental majors who received their bachelor's degrees from your institution between July 1, 2014, and June 30, 2015. Include joint majors and double majors ${ }^{1}$. $\square$
F2. Of the undergraduate degrees described in F1, please report the number who majored in each of the following categories. Each student should be reported only once. Include all double and joint majors ${ }^{1}$ in your totals. Use the Other category for a major in your department who does not fit into one of the earlier categories.

| Area of Major | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Statistics .............................. |  |  |
| b. Biostatistics ............................................................ |  |  |
| c. Actuarial Science .................................................... |  |  |
| d. Joint ${ }^{1}$ Statistics and Computer Science ........................ |  |  |
| e. Joint ${ }^{1}$ Statistics and Mathematics ................................ |  |  |
| f. Joint ${ }^{1}$ Statistics and (Business or Economics) ................ |  |  |
| g. Statistics Education.................................................. |  |  |
| h. Other.................................................................... |  |  |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}$ "double major" is a student who completes the degree requirements of two separate majors, one in statistics and one in another program or department. A "joint major" is a student who completes a single major in your department that integrates courses from statistics and some other program or department and typically requires fewer credit hours that the sum of the credit hours required by the separate majors.

## F. Undergraduate Program (Fall 2015) (continued)

F3. To what extent must majors in your department complete the following? Check one box in each row.

|  | Required of all majors | Required of some but not all majors | Not required of any major |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Calculus I | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
| b. Calculus II | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. Multivariable Calculus... | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. Linear Algebra/Matrix Theory ................ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. At least one computer science course ...... | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f. At least one applied mathematics course (not including a, b, c, d above) | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| g. A capstone experience (e.g., a senior project, a senior thesis, a senior seminar, or an internship) $\qquad$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| h. An exit exam (written or oral) .................. | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| i. At least one upper level Probability course | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| j. At least one upper-level Mathematical Statistics course | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| k. At least one applied statistics course........ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| l. At least one upper-level Linear Models course | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| m. One Bayesian Inference course............... | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |

F4. Please give your best estimate of the percentage of your department's graduating majors from the previous academic year 2014-2015 (reported in F1) in each of the following categories. Please make the totals add to 100 percent.
a. Who went into pre-college teaching $\qquad$
$\square$
b. Who went to graduate school in the statistical sciences $\qquad$
c. Who went to professional school or to graduate school outside of the statistical sciences

e. Who had other post-graduation plans known to the department
f. Whose plans are not known to the department $\qquad$
$\square$

## F. Undergraduate Program (Fall 2015) (continued)

F5. Many departments today use a spectrum of program-assessment methods. Please indicate whether each of the following apply to your department's undergraduate program-assessment efforts during the last six years.

|  |  | Yes | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | We conducted a review of our undergraduate program that included one or more reviewers from outside of our institution... | $\square$ |  |
|  | We asked graduates of our undergraduate program to comment on and suggest changes in our undergraduate program. | $\square$ | $\square$ |
|  | Other departments at our institution were invited to comment on the preparation that their students received in our courses... | $\square$ |  |
|  | Data on our students' progress in subsequent statistics courses were gathered and analyzed | $\square$ | $\square$ |
|  | We have assessed teaching objectives in courses required in our major $\qquad$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
|  | We have a placement system for first-year students and we gathered and analyzed data on its effectiveness | $\square$ | $\square$ |
|  | Our department's program assessment activities led to changes in our undergraduate program | $\square$ | $\square$ |

F6. Which of the following are significant sources of information to the department about the types of pedagogy used in instruction in your department? (Check all that apply.)
a. Syllabi for classes
b. Teaching portfolios
c. Peer evaluations of instructors
d. Self-evaluations of instructors
e. Department discussions of teaching practices
f. None of these are available

F7. Which of the following pedagogical strategies are used by some member of your department faculty: (Check all that are used.)
a. Inquiry based class
b. "Flipped classroom" $\qquad$ $\square$
c. Class conducted largely online

d. Activity based learning $\qquad$ $\square$
e. Technology used to develop conceptual understanding $\square$

F8. Has your department seen major changes over the last ten years in the kinds of pedagogy used in your department?
Yes $\qquad$ Go to F9
No $\qquad$ Go to F10

## F. Undergraduate Program (Fall 2015) (continued)

Statistics Questionnaire

F9. Which of the following factors were significant reasons for the changes made to the kinds pedagogy used in your department over the last ten years? (Check all that apply.)
a. Educational research $\qquad$

b. Advocacy of some faculty member in our department
c. Advocacy by another department $\qquad$
d. Advocacy by institution's administrators.
e. Advocacy by a professional organization $\square$

F10. For each of the following opportunities, indicate whether or not it is available to your undergraduate statistics students through your department or institution.

|  | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

a. Honors sections of departmental courses $\qquad$
b. An undergraduate statistics club $\qquad$
c. Special statistics programs to encourage women
d. Special statistics programs to encourage minorities.
e. Opportunities to participate in statistics contests $\qquad$
f. Special statistics lectures/colloquia not part of a statistics club $\qquad$
g. Statistics outreach opportunities in local K-12 schools $\qquad$
h. Undergraduate research opportunities in statistics.
i. Independent study opportunities in statistics $\qquad$
j. Assigned faculty advisers in statistics $\qquad$
k. Opportunity to write a senior thesis in statistics. $\qquad$
I. A career day for statistics majors $\qquad$
m . Special advising about graduate school opportunities in statistical sciences $\qquad$
n. Opportunity for an internship experience or part-time employment in a professional statistical opportunity $\qquad$
o. Opportunity to participate in a senior seminar $\qquad$
p. Supervised consultation working in a consulting lab with clients $\qquad$
q. Opportunity to tutor, grade papers, or TA in the department $\qquad$

## F. Undergraduate Program (Fall 2015) (continued)

F11. Give your best estimate of the number of all of your majors who have participated in each of the following activities over the past year September 1, 2014 - August 31, 2015;
a. Undergraduate research project in statistics $\qquad$
b. Internship in statistics
c. Statistical consulting to client $\qquad$
F12. a. Does your department offer a minor in statistics?


F12.b. How many students graduated with a minor in statistics from your department between July 1, 2014 and June 30, 2015? $\qquad$

F13. Does your institution allow a student to meet an institutional or divisional graduation requirement in the mathematical sciences using an Advanced Placement course (taken while the student was in high school)?

Yes $\qquad$
$\square$
No $\qquad$ $\square$

## F. Undergraduate Program (Fall 2015) (continued)

F14. Responses to this question will be used to project total enrollment in the current (2015-2016) academic year based on the pattern of your departmental enrollments in 2014-2015. Do NOT include any numbers from dual-enrollment courses in answering question F14. Please provide head counts, not full-time equivalents.

$$
1
$$

a. Previous fall (2014) total student enrollment in your department's undergraduate courses (remember: do not include dual-enrollment courses ${ }^{1}$ ):
b. Previous academic year (2014-2015) total enrollment in your department's undergraduate courses, excluding dual enrollments and excluding enrollments in summer school 2015:
c. Total enrollment in your department's undergraduate courses in summer school 2015: $\qquad$
$\square$
${ }^{1}$ In this question, the term "dual enrollment courses" is used to mean courses taught on a high school campus, by high school teachers, for which high school students may obtain high school credit and, simultaneously, college credit through your institution.

## The following questions are about instruction in course E1: Introductory Statistics for non-majors/minors (no calculus prerequisite) on page 9.

G1. How many different kinds of introductory statistics courses designed for non-majors (general education courses) that have no calculus prerequisite does your department offer? (e.g. statistics for social scientists, for life scientists, etc.)

1 $\qquad$
$\square$
2 ...................... $\square$
3 $\qquad$
$\square$
More than 3 ..... $\square$

The following questions are about instruction in course E1: Introductory Statistics (no calculus prerequisite) on page 9. If you offer more than one such course, choose the course that is aimed at the most general audience.

G2. In most sections of course E1, the percentage of class sessions in which real data are used is generally approximately:

0-20\% $\qquad$
$\square$
21-40\% $\qquad$
41-60\% $\qquad$
61-80\% $\qquad$
81-100\% $\qquad$

G3. In most sections of course E1, the percentage of class sessions in which in-class demonstrations and/or in-class problem solving activities/discussions generally take place is approximately:

0-20\% $\qquad$
21-40\% $\qquad$
41-60\% ...........
61-80\% $\qquad$
81-100\% $\qquad$

## G. Introductory Statistics Instruction (continued)

G4. Which, if any, of the following kinds of technology are used in the majority of sections of course(s) E1?

|  | Yes | No |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

a. Graphing calculators $\qquad$
b. Statistical packages (e.g., R, JMP,SAS, SPSS, Minitab) $\qquad$
c. Educational software(e.g. software linked to the textbook) $\qquad$
d. Applets $\qquad$
e. Spreadsheets (e.g. Excel, GoogleDocs, Access) $\qquad$
f. Web-based resources including data sources or data analysis $\qquad$
g. Classroom response systems (e.g., clickers) $\qquad$
a. Online textbooks $\qquad$
b. Online videos $\qquad$
G5. Do the majority of the sections of course(s) E1 require assessments beyond homework, exams, and quizzes (assessments such as projects, oral presentations, written reports)?

Yes $\qquad$
No $\qquad$
G6. Which, if any, of the following topics are covered in the course E1? (Check all that apply.)
a. Conditional probability $\qquad$
b. Simulation to explore randomness $\qquad$
c. c. Resampling techniques
(e.g. bootstrapping, randomization tests) $\qquad$
d. None of these topics $\qquad$

G7. Are there other introductory statistics courses at your institution, offered by departments outside of the mathematical sciences?


G8. Enter the Fall 2015 total enrollment in all such introductory courses, offered outside of the mathematical sciences, at your institution. $\qquad$

## Question regarding the statistical preparation for secondary (generally grades 9-12) pre-service teachers of statistics:

H1. Considering the teacher preparation program at your institution, for each of the following core areas indicate whether the core area is required of all students seeking certification that leads to obtaining credentials to teach statistics at the secondary school level (generally grades 9-12) in public high schools of your state, if the course is generally taken by those seeking certification (if it is not required), and if in that core area your department offers a special course that is specifically designed for pre-service secondary statistics teachers.

| Course |  | Required |  | Generally Taken |  | Special Course <br> Offered |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |  |
| a. Introductory Statistics | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
| b. Probability | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
| c. Probability and/or statistics | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
| $\quad$with calculus prerequisite <br> d. Upper level statistics course <br> e. Applied statistics course <br> $\square$$\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
| f. Other (name) | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |  |

H2. How many semester hours of courses in statistics from your department are required by your institution's program of certification for pre-service middle grades (6-8) teachers? $\qquad$
H3. How many semester hours of courses in statistics from your department are required by your institution's program of certification for pre-service elementary grades (K-5) teachers?

## I. Comments and Suggestions

If you found some question(s) difficult to interpret or answer, please let us know. We welcome suggestions to improve future surveys (e.g., CBMS 2020).

Comments: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Thank you for completing this questionnaire. We know it was a timeconsuming process and we hope that the resulting survey report, which we hope to publish in spring 2017, will be of use to you and your department.

Please keep a copy of your responses to this questionnaire in case questions arise.

## Estimates and Standard Errors

| TABLE S.1 | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Four-Year College \& University } \\ \text { Mathematics \& Statistics } \\ \text { Departments }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Two Year College } \\ \text { Mathematics } \\ \text { Programs }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015 | 2015 by Dept |
| Math | Stat |  |  |$]$


| TABLE S. 2 | Mathematics Departments |  | Statistics Departments |  | Two-Year College Mathematics Programs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course level | 2015 | SE | 2015 | SE | 2015 | SE |
| Mathematics courses <br> Precollege level Introductory level (including Precalculus) | $\begin{gathered} 253 \\ 1000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.0 \\ & 80.0 \end{aligned}$ | -- | -- | $\begin{aligned} & 782 \\ & 445 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65.0 \\ & 39.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Calculus level | 807 | 62.0 | -- | -- | 152 | 15.0 |
| Advanced level | 154 | 12.0 | -- | -- | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other (2-year) | -- | -- | -- | -- | 259 | 31.0 |
| Total Mathematics courses | 2213 | 140.0 | -- | -- | 1639 | 124.0 |
| Probability and Statistics courses Introductory level Upper level | $\begin{gathered} 253 \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.0 \\ 6.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \\ & 2.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 280 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.0 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Probability and Statistics courses | 313 | 24.0 | 144 | 4.0 | 280 | 60.0 |
| Computer Science courses <br> Lower level <br> Middle level <br> Upper level | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ 16 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 2.0 \end{aligned}$ |  | -- | -- |  |
| Total Computer Science courses | 68 | 11.0 | -- | -- | -- |  |
| Grand Total | 2594 | 157.0 | 144 | 4.0 | 1918 | 115.0 |

## TABLE S. 3

| Major | $2014-15$ | SE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics (except as reported below) | 12794 | 1524.6 |
| Mathematics Education | 2880 | 339.3 |
| Statistics (except Actuarial Science) | 1509 | 97.8 |
| Actuarial Mathematics | 2354 | 427.9 |
| All Joint Majors (combined) | 1821 | 330.7 |
| Other (includes Operations Research prior to 2010) | 907 | 147.9 |
| Total Mathematics, Statistics \& Joint degrees | 22266 | 2008.4 |
| Number of women | 9643 | 978.0 |
| Computer Science degrees | 3968 | 998.8 |
| Total degrees | 1302 | 495.2 |


| TABLE S. 4 | Percentage of sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four-Year Colleges \& Universities | Tenured/ tenure-eligible \% | Other full-time \% | Parttime \% | Graduate teaching assistants \% | Unknown \% | Total enrollment in 1000s |
| Mathematics Department courses <br> Mathematics courses <br> Precollege level 2015 | nc | nc | nc | nc | nc | 244 |
| SE | $n c$ | $n c$ | $n c$ | $n c$ | $n \mathrm{nc}$ | 25.7 |
| Introductory level 2015 | nc | nc | nc | nc | nc | 954 |
| SE | $n \mathrm{c}$ | $n c$ | $n c$ | $n c$ | $n \mathrm{nc}$ | 74.4 |
| Calculus level 2015 | 52 | 24 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 790 |
| SE | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 60.7 |
| Upper level 2015 | 70 |  |  |  | 30 | 154 |
| SE | 5.0 |  |  |  | 5.0 | 12.2 |
| Statistics courses Introductory level 2015 | 41 | 21 | 25 | 4 | 8 | 235 |
| SE | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 18.6 |
| Upper level 2015 sections | 53 |  |  |  | 47 | 60 |
| SE | 0.1 |  |  |  | 0.1 | 6.1 |
| Computer Science courses Lower level 2015 | 46 | 20 | 14 | 0 | 21 | 44 |
| SE | 6.5 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 6.8 |
| Statistics Department Courses Introductory level 2015 | 14 | 25 | 10 | 31 | 20 | 90 |
| SE | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.92 |
| Upper level 2015 | 55 |  |  |  | 45 | 50 |
| SE | 2.9 |  |  |  | 2.9 | 2.3 |
| Two-Year College Mathematics Programs | Full-time |  | Parttime |  |  |  |
| All 2015 sections | 64 |  | 36 |  |  | 1693 |
| SE | 64.0 |  | 36.0 |  |  | 99.7 |


| TABLE S. 5 | Percentage of sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four-Year Colleges \& Universities | Tenured/ tenureeligible \% | Other fulltime \% | Parttime \% | Graduate teaching assistants \% | Unknown \% | Enrollment in 1000s | Average section size |
| Mainstream Calculus I <br> Lecture with separate recitation | 39 | 33 | 15 | 5 | 9 | 145 | 63 |
| SE | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 20.9 | 3.6 |
| Sections that meet as a class | 57 | 18 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 108 | 27 |
| SE | 3.6 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 13.4 | 0.7 |
| Other sections | 26 | 38 | 15 | 21 | 0 | 2 | 22 |
| SE | 9.4 | 17.2 | 12.5 | 16.2 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 11.5 |
| Course total 2015 | 50 | 24 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 255 | 40 |
| SE | 2.6 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 22.9 | 2.0 |
| Mainstream Calculus II <br> Lecture with separate recitation | 49 | 34 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 72 | 61 |
| SE | 4.1 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 9.8 | 3.7 |
| Sections that meet as a class | 56 | 22 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 52 | 26 |
| SE | 4.6 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 7.7 | 1.6 |
| Other sections | 58 | 17 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 23 |
| SE | 32.4 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 9.8 |
| Course total 2015 | 54 | 26 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 125 | 39 |
| SE | 3.3 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 10.7 | 1.9 |
| Total Mainstream Calculus I \& II 2015 | 51 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 381 | 40 |
| SE | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 31.3 | 1.8 |
| Two-Year Colleges | $\begin{gathered} \text { Full-time } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  | Parttime \% |  |  |  |  |
| Mainstream Calculus I 2015 | 82 |  | 18 |  |  | 62 | 26 |
| SE | 2.6 |  | 2.6 |  |  | 6.2 | 1.1 |
| Mainstream Calculus II 2015 | 88 |  | 12 |  |  | 32 | 26 |
| SE | 2.8 |  | 2.8 |  |  | 3.6 | 1.3 |
| Total Mainstream Calculus I \& II 2015 | 84 |  | 16 |  |  | 94 | 26 |
| SE | 2.1 |  | 2.1 |  |  | 9.5 | 1.1 |


| TABLE S. 6 | Percentage of sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four-Year Colleges \& Universities | Tenured/ tenureeligible \% | Other <br> full- <br> time <br> \% | Parttime \% | Graduate teaching assistants \% | Unknown \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Enroll- } \\ \text { ment } \\ \text { in } \\ 1000 \mathrm{~s} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Average section size |
| Non-Mainstream Calculus I <br> Lecture with separate recitation | 29 | 47 | 17 | 2 | 6 | 30 | 84 |
| SE | 4.9 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 6.9 | 12.4 |
| Sections that meet as a class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE | 3.7 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 1.4 |
| Other sections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE | 0.0 | 34.3 | 0.0 | 34.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 37.3 |
| Course total 2015 | 28 | 29 | 19 | 17 | 7 | 91 | 42 |
| SE | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 10.5 | 1.9 |
| Non-Mainstream Calculus II, III, etc. ${ }^{3}$ <br> Course total 2015 | 32 | 19 | 36 | 6 | 7 | 16 | 37 |
| SE | 8.2 | 4.7 | 13.0 | 3.1 | 5.3 | 4.3 | 3.2 |
| Total Non-Mnstrm Calculus I \& II, III, etc. | 29 | 27 | 22 | 15 | 7 | 106 | 42 |
| SE | 3.2 | 3.5 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 13.2 | 2.0 |
| Two-Year Colleges | Full-time \% |  | Part- <br> time <br> \% |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Mainstream Calculus I $(2005,2010)$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ (73,75) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ (27,25) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ (20,19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (23,21) \end{gathered}$ |
| SE | 10.2 |  | 10.2 |  |  | 6.4 | 1.4 |
| Non-Mainstream Calculus II | 100 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 26 |
| SE |  |  |  |  |  | 0.06 |  |
| Total Non-Mnstrm Calculus I \& II | 71 |  | 29 |  |  | 23 | 26 |
| SE | 10.2 |  | 10.2 |  |  | 6.4 | 1.4 |


| TABLE S. 7 | Percentage of sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four-Year Colleges \& Universities Mathematics Departments | Tenured/ tenureeligible \% | Other fulltime \% | Parttime \% | Graduate teaching assistants \% | Unknown \% | Enrollment in 1000s | Average section size |
| Introductory Statistics (F1) (no calculus prerequisite) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lecture with separate recitation | 41 | 28 | 14 | 1 | 16 | 42 | 47 |
| SE | 6.0 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 5.5 |
| Sections that meet as a class | 38 | 22 | 28 | 4 | 8 | 146 | 29 |
| SE | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 14.3 | 1.3 |
| Other sections | 29 | 63 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| SE | 19.8 | 27.5 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 5.7 |
| Course total (F1) | 38 | 23 | 26 | 4 | 9 | 188 | 32 |
| SE | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 15.1 | 1.1 |
| Introductory Statistics (F2) (calculus prerequisite) (not for majors) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lecture with separate recitation | 56 | 8 | 33 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 46 |
| SE | 16.3 | 4.8 | 19.2 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 8.5 |
| Sections that meet as a class | 64 | 13 | 15 | 3 | 5 | 24 | 29 |
| SE | 5.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 5.6 | 1.6 |
| Other sections | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| SE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.3 |
| Course total (F2) | 63 | 12 | 18 | 2 | 5 | 34 | 33 |
| SE | 5.2 | 3.8 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 5.8 | 1.6 |
| Statistics for Pre-service Teachers (F3,F4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Course total (F3, F4) | 39 | 10 | 11 | 42 | 0 | 1 | 16 |
| SE | 14.2 | 8.3 | 7.5 | 18.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 5.8 |
| Other intoductory level Probability \& Statistics courses (F5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Course total (F5) | 33 | 22 | 34 | 0 | 10 | 11 | 33 |
| SE | 9.8 | 11.3 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 2.8 | 3.1 |
| Total All Intro. Probability \& Statistics courses <br> Course total (F1+F2+F3+F4+F5) | 41 | 21 | 25 | 4 | 8 | 235 | 32 |
| SE | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 18.6 | 0.9 |
| Two-Year Colleges | Full-time \% |  | Parttime \% |  |  |  |  |
| Total All Introductory Probability and Statistics Courses | 80 |  | 20 |  |  | 247 | 26 |
| SE | 4.8 |  | 4.8 |  |  | 59.9 | 4.8 |



| TABLE S.9 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Two-Year Colleges | Common <br> Department <br> exams <br> $\%$ | Homework <br> Management <br> system <br> $\%$ | Enrollment <br> in 1000s | Average <br> section <br> size |
| Mainstream Calculus I | 88 | 37 | 62 | 26 |
| SE | 3.1 | 4.2 | 6.2 | 1.1 |
| Mainstream Calculus II | 85 | 34 | 32 | 26 |
| SE | 4.0 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 1.3 |
| Total Mainstream Calculus I \& II | 86 | 34 | 94 | 26 |
| SE | 3.3 | 4.5 | 9.5 | 1.1 |


| TABLE S.10 | Percentage of sections taught using |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Two-Year Colleges | Common <br> Department <br> exams <br> $\%$ | Homework <br> Management <br> system <br> $\%$ | Enrollment <br> in 1000s | Average <br> section <br> size |
| Non-Mainstream Calculus I | 9 | 66 | 23 | 26 |
| SE | 4.0 | 13.1 | 6.4 | 1.4 |
| Non-Mainstream Calculus II | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| SE | . | 6 | 0.1 | . |
| Total Non-Mainstream Calculus I \& II | 9 | 13.1 | 23 | 26 |
| SE | 4.0 |  | 6.4 | 1.4 |


| TABLE S.11 | Percentage of sections taught using |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Common <br> Department <br> exams <br> $\%$ | Homework <br> Management <br> system <br> $\%$ | Enrollment <br> in 1000s | Average <br> section <br> size |
| Two-Year Colleges | 39 | 55 | 221 | 25 |
| Elementary Statistics | 14.1 | 12.0 | 54.7 | 5.0 |


| TABLE S. 12 (A) | \% of Math Depts. | SE | \% of Stat Depts. | SE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Offer elementary statistics course with no calculus prerequisite | 78 | 3.9 | 92 | 2.0 |
| Number of different kinds of introductory statistics courses for non-majors: <br> 1 <br> 2 <br> 3 <br> More than 3 | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ 24 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 5.4 5.2 0.9 0.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 26 \\ & 22 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \\ & 2.8 \\ & 2.6 \\ & 2.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Percentage of class sessions in which real data is used is: $\begin{aligned} & 0-20 \% \\ & 21-40 \% \\ & 41-60 \% \\ & 61-80 \% \\ & 81-100 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 23 \\ & 19 \\ & 12 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | 6.0 4.3 3.5 3.4 3.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 14 \\ & 15 \\ & 21 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | 2.7 2.2 1.7 2.9 2.9 |
| Percentage of class sessions in which in-class demonstrations or problem solving activities take place is: $\begin{aligned} & 0-20 \% \\ & 21-40 \% \\ & 41-60 \% \\ & 61-80 \% \\ & 81-100 \% \end{aligned}$ | 19 <br> 22 <br> 23 <br> 17 <br> 19 | 3.6 4.8 2.9 4.0 3.2 | 13 <br> 23 <br> 21 <br> 5 <br> 39 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 \\ & 2.9 \\ & 2.6 \\ & 0.7 \\ & 2.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Majority of sections use the following kinds of technology: <br> Graphing calculators <br> Statistical packages <br> Educational software <br> Applets <br> Spreadsheets <br> Web-based resources <br> Classroom response systems <br> Online textbooks <br> Online videos | 67 <br> 48 <br> 50 <br> 24 <br> 68 <br> 50 <br> 6 <br> 41 <br> 31 | 4.7 5.5 4.8 4.2 4.6 5.2 2.4 5.1 4.5 | 47 <br> 68 <br> 53 <br> 41 <br> 55 <br> 68 <br> 50 <br> 50 <br> 35 | 3.2 2.8 3.2 3.2 3.2 2.7 3.2 3.2 3.1 |
| Percentage of departments where the majority of sections require assessments beyond homework, exams, and quizzes | 39 | 4.9 | 32 | 3.1 |


| TABLE S.13 | 2015 | SE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four-Year Colleges \& Universities |  |  |
| Mathematics Departments |  |  |
| Full-time faculty | 22532 | 312.5 |
| Part-time faculty | 7682 | 281.9 |
| Statistics Departments (PhD) | 1237 | 47.8 |
| Full-time faculty | 128 | 19.8 |
| Part-time faculty | 9800 | 893.1 |
| Two-Year College Mathematics Programs | 17888 | 1908.8 |
| Full-time faculty |  |  |
| Part-time faculty |  |  |


| TABLE S. 14 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four-Year Colleges and Universities | Fall 2015 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | TTE | Other fulltime | Postdoc |
| Full-time faculty | 22532 | 15270 | 7261 | 1317 |
| SE | 312.5 | 214.5 | 217.5 | 60.7 |
| Having doctoral degree | 18743 | 14869 | 3874 | 1317 |
| SE | 251.5 | 212.4 | 123.2 | 60.7 |
| Having other degree | 3789 | 401 | 3387 |  |
| SE | 150.5 | 46.2 | 143.3 |  |
| Statistics Departments Full-time faculty | 1432 | 1031 | 401 | 116 |
| SE | 51.4 | 39.1 | 22.3 | 14.8 |
| Having doctoral degree | 1373 | 1031 | 342 | 116 |
| SE | 53.3 | 39.1 | 21.5 | 14.8 |
| Having other degree | 59 | 0 | 59 |  |
| SE | 7.6 | 0.0 | 7.6 |  |
| Total Math \& Stat Depts | 23964 | 16302 | 7662 | 1433 |
| SE | 316.7 | 218.0 | 218.6 | 62.5 |
| Two-Year College Mathematics <br> Full-time faculty | Total fulltime faculty $9800$ | Full-time permanent $8314$ | Other fulltime 1487 |  |
| SE | 894.3 | 839.5 | 273.3 |  |
| Grand Total | 33764 | 24616 | 9149 | 1433 |


| TABLE S. 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four-Year Colleges and Universities | Fall 2015 |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics Departments <br> Full-time faculty | Total <br> 22532 | Tenured 11979 | Tenureeligible <br> 3291 | Other fulltime 7261 | Postdoc 1317 |
| SE | 312.5 | 180.1 | 79.1 | 217.5 | 60.7 |
| Number of women | 6981 | 2688 | 1171 | 3122 | 288 |
| SE | 118.4 | 69.9 | 42.9 | 86.2 | 18.5 |
| Statistics Departments <br> Full-time faculty | 1432 | 772 | 260 | 401 | 116 |
| SE | 51.4 | 33.2 | 13.7 | 22.3 | 14.8 |
| Number of women | 392 | 153 | 90 | 149 | 22 |
| SE | 15.8 | 10.3 | 7.1 | 8.6 | 4.0 |
| July 1, 2010 - June 30, 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of PhD's from US Math \& Stat Depts <br> Number of women among new PhDs |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 9121 \\ 2854(31 \%) \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Two-Year College Mathematics Programs | Total fulltime | Full-time age $<40$ |  |  |  |
| Full-time permanent faculty | 8314 | 2045 |  |  |  |
| SE | 839.5 | 292.1 |  |  |  |
| Number of women | 4345 | 1107 |  |  |  |
| SE | 574.2 | 175.3 |  |  |  |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{TABLE S. 16} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Four-Year College \& \\
University \\
Mathematics \\
Departments
\end{tabular} \& <30 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 30- \\
\& 34
\end{aligned}
\] \& Perce

$35-$

39 \& | age of |
| :--- |
| 40- |
| 44 | \& nured

$45-$
49 \& nure
$50-$
54 \& igible
55-

59 \& | culty |
| :--- |
| 60- |
| 64 | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 65- \\
& 69
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& >69 \& Average age 2015 <br>

\hline \& \% \& \% \& \% \& \% \& \% \& \% \& \% \& \% \& \% \& \% \& \multirow{3}{*}{54.9} <br>
\hline Tenured men \& 0 \& 1 \& 4 \& 7 \& 9 \& 10 \& 9 \& 10 \& 6 \& 6 \& <br>
\hline SE \& 0.0 \& 0.0 \& 0.1 \& 0.1 \& 0.1 \& 0.1 \& 0.1 \& 0.1 \& 0.1 \& 0.1 \& <br>
\hline Tenured women \& 0 \& 1 \& 2 \& 3 \& 3 \& 3 \& 2 \& 2 \& 1 \& 0 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{51.0} <br>
\hline SE \& 0.0 \& 0.0 \& 0.1 \& 0.1 \& 0.1 \& 0.1 \& 0.1 \& 0.1 \& 0.0 \& 0.0 \& <br>
\hline Tenure-eligible men \& 1 \& 6 \& 4 \& 2 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{36.3} <br>
\hline SE \& 0.1 \& 0.1 \& 0.1 \& 0.0 \& 0.0 \& 0.0 \& 0.0 \& 0.0 \& 0.0 \& 0.0 \& <br>
\hline Tenure-eligible women \& 1 \& 3 \& 2 \& 1 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{37.0} <br>
\hline SE \& 0.0 \& 0.1 \& 0.1 \& 0.0 \& 0.0 \& 0.0 \& 0.0 \& 0.0 \& 0.0 \& 0.0 \& <br>
\hline Total tenured \& tenureeligible faculty \& 2 \& 10 \& 12 \& 13 \& 12 \& 14 \& 11 \& 12 \& 7 \& 6 \& <br>
\hline SE \& 0.2 \& 0.3 \& 0.3 \& 0.3 \& 0.3 \& 0.3 \& 0.3 \& 0.3 \& 0.2 \& 0.0 \& <br>
\hline \& \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Percentage of permanent full-time faculty} \& \& \& <br>

\hline Two-Year College Mathematics Program \& <30 \& $$
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30- \\
34
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$$ \& \[
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35- \\
39
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$$

\] \& \[
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44
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\] \& \[
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\] \& \[

$$
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50- \\
54
\end{gathered}
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\] \& \[

$$
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55- \\
59
\end{gathered}
$$
\] \& >59 \& \& \& <br>

\hline Full-time permanent faculty \& 4 \& 6 \& 14 \& 14 \& 18 \& 16 \& 13 \& 15 \& \& \& 47.7 <br>
\hline SE \& 1.2 \& 1.0 \& 2.6 \& 1.7 \& 1.9 \& 1.9 \& 1.6 \& 1.2 \& \& \& 0.48 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| TABLE S. 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of tenured/tenure-eligible faculty |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average age 2015 |
| All Statistics Departments | <30 | $\begin{gathered} 30- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 39 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40- \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45- \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55- \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60- \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65- \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | >69 |  |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Tenured men | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 55.3 |
| SE | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 1.7 |  |
| Tenured women | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 47.9 |
| SE | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.6 |  |
| Tenure-eligible men | 3 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34.6 |
| SE | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Tenure-eligible women | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34.5 |
| SE | 0.9 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |
| Total tenured \& tenure-eligible faculty | 4 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 7 |  |
| SE | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 |  |

TABLE S. 18

| Mathematics Departments | Asian <br> \% | ```Black, not Hispanic %``` | Mexican American/ Puerto Rican/ other Hispanic \% | White, not Hispanic \% | AIAN \& NHPI \% | Unknown \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tenured Men | 6 | 1 | 1 | 32 | 0 | 1 |
| SE | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Tenured Women | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| SE | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tenure-eligible men | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| SE | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tenure-eligible women | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| SE | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Postdoctoral men | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| SE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Postdoctoral women | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| SE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Full-time men not included above | 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 1 |
| SE | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Full-time women not included above | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| SE | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total full-time men | 11 | 2 | 2 | 53 | 0 | 2 |
| SE | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total full-time women | 4 | 1 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 1 |
| SE | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

TABLE S. 19

| All Statistics Departments | Asian \% | Black, not Hispanic \% | Mexican American/ Puerto Rican/ other Hispanic $\%$ | White, not Hispanic \% | AIAN \& NHPI \% | Unknown \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tenured Men | 13 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 1 |
| SE | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Tenured Women | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| SE | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tenure-eligible men | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| SE | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tenure-eligible women | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| SE | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Postdoctoral men | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| SE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Postdoctoral women | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| SE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Full-time men not included above | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| SE | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Full-time women not included above | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| SE | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total full-time men | 22 | 1 | 2 | 45 | 0 | 2 |
| SE | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Total full-time women | 11 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 1 |
| SE | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 |


| TABLE S.20 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four-Year College \& University | $2014-2015$ | Number of tenured/ <br> tenure-eligible <br> faculty 2015 |
| Mathematics Departments <br> Univ (PhD) |  |  |
| SE | 182 | 5594 |
| Univ (MA) SE | 6.8 | 2983 |
| Coll (BA) | 128 | 6693 |
| Total deaths and retirements in all <br> Mathematics Departments | 10.8 | 15270 |
| SE | 251 |  |
| Doctoral Statistics Departments: Total <br> deaths and retirements | 29 | 869 |


| TABLE SP.1 | Percentage whose institutions have a certification program |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for: |  |  |
|  | K-5 | $6-8$ | Secondary (9-12) |
| Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |
| Univ (PhD) | 52 | 47 | 75 |
| SE | 6.0 | 8.3 | 5.3 |
| Univ (MA) | 63 | 64 | 92 |
| SE | 10.2 | 9.0 | 4.8 |
| Coll (BA) | 52 | 50 | 75 |
| Total Math Depts | 53 | 51 | 4.7 |
| SE | 4.5 | 4.5 | 77 |


| TABLE SP.2 | Percentage of TYCs with an organized <br> program in which students can complete their <br> entire mathematics course or licensure <br> requirements |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-service elementary teachers | 28 | SE |
| Pre-service middle school <br> teachers | 14 | 5.3 |
| Pre-service secondary teachers | 7 | 3.0 |
| In-service elementary teachers <br> In-service middle school teachers | 12 | 2.6 |
| In-service secondary teachers | 4 | 2.6 |
| Career-switchers aiming for <br> elementary teaching | 16 | 1.9 |
| Career-switchers aiming for <br> middle school teaching | 5 | 3.5 |
| Career-switchers aiming for <br> secondary teaching | 13 | 1.8 |


| TABLE SP. $\mathbf{3}$ | Percentage of TYCs | SE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Assign a mathematics faculty member to coordinate K-8 teacher <br> education in mathematics | 35 | 6.3 |
| Offer a special mathematics course for preservice K-8 teachers | 55 | 5.3 |
| Offer a special mathematics course for preservice secondary <br> teachers | 19 | 4.2 |
| Offer mathematics pedagogy courses in the mathematics <br> department | 9 | 2.8 |
| Offer mathematics pedagogy courses outside of the <br> mathematics department | 6 | 2.4 |


| TABLE SP. 4 | Percentage of departments with K-5 certification programs that require various numbers of mathematics courses for certification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of semester hours in mathematics department required for K-5 certification | Univ (PhD) \% | SE | Univ (MA) \% | SE | Coll (BA) \% | SE | All Math \% | SE |
| 0 required | 8 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.9 | 2 | 0.8 |
| 1-3 required | 9 | 5.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 4.7 | 6 | 3.4 |
| 4-6 required | 20 | 7.7 | 37 | 7.6 | 19 | 6.0 | 22 | 4.7 |
| 7-9 required | 22 | 7.1 | 26 | 10.2 | 23 | 8.5 | 23 | 6.2 |
| 10-12 required | 17 | 3.4 | 13 | 9.0 | 11 | 5.2 | 12 | 3.9 |
| More than 12 required | 24 | 4.7 | 24 | 7.4 | 38 | 9.3 | 34 | 6.7 |
| Number of semester hours in fundamental ideas of mathematics required for K-5 certification | Univ (PhD) \% | SE | Univ (MA) \% | SE | Coll (BA) \% | SE | All Math \% | SE |
| 0 required | 12 | 2.0 | 5 | 5.0 | 17 | 6.5 | 14 | 4.5 |
| 1-3 required | 6 | 3.8 | 3 | 2.2 | 10 | 5.5 | 8 | 3.9 |
| 4-6 required | 41 | 8.0 | 40 | 6.9 | 46 | 9.6 | 45 | 6.8 |
| 7-9 required | 16 | 5.1 | 21 | 10.0 | 11 | 6.5 | 13 | 4.9 |
| 10-12 required | 11 | 5.3 | 16 | 9.3 | 1 | 0.7 | 5 | 1.6 |
| More than 12 required | 14 | 6.8 | 15 | 5.8 | 15 | 5.9 | 15 | 4.3 |


| TABLE SP. 5 | Percentage of departments with grade 6-8 certification programs that require various numbers of mathematics courses for certification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of semester hours in mathematics department required for 6-8 certification | Univ (PhD) \% | SE | Univ (MA) \% | SE | Coll (BA) \% | SE | All Math \% | SE |
| 0 required | 4 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.5 |
| 1-3 required | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 4-6 required | 14 | 5.7 | 10 | 5.1 | 4 | 2.9 | 7 | 2.4 |
| 7-9 required | 5 | 4.0 | 3 | 2.0 | 2 | 1.1 | 3 | 1.0 |
| 10-12 required | 6 | 4.4 | 10 | 5.9 | 5 | 3.2 | 6 | 2.5 |
| More than 12 required | 71 | 9.1 | 77 | 3.3 | 87 | 4.2 | 83 | 3.2 |
| Number of semester hours in fundamental ideas of mathematics required for 6-8 certification | Univ (PhD) \% | SE | Univ (MA) \% | SE | Coll (BA) \% | SE | All Math \% | SE |
| 0 required | 15 | 5.8 | 10 | 5.1 | 15 | 6.6 | 14 | 4.6 |
| 1-3 required | 4 | 3.1 | . |  | 11 | 6.0 | 8 | 4.1 |
| 4-6 required | 28 | 9.0 | 19 | 7.3 | 26 | 7.6 | 25 | 5.4 |
| 7-9 required | 25 | 14.5 | 16 | 8.0 | 17 | 6.1 | 18 | 4.7 |
| 10-12 required | 15 | 5.1 | 10 | 5.5 | 4 | 1.9 | 7 | 1.9 |
| More than 12 required | 13 | 8.3 | 45 | 8.7 | 28 | 7.9 | 29 | 5.6 |


| TABLE SP. 6 | Percentage of departments with secondary certification program where: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Course is required |  |  |  | Course is generally taken, but not required |  |  |  | Math dept offers special course in the subject for secondary pre-service teachers |  |  |  |
| Course | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (Ph.D) } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Univ <br> (MA) \% | Coll <br> (BA) \% | All <br> math <br> \% | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Univ } \\ \text { (Ph.D) } \\ \% \end{array}$ | Univ <br> (MA) \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coll } \\ & \text { (BA) } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | All math \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (Ph.D) } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Univ <br> (MA) \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coll } \\ \text { (BA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | All math \% |
| Advanced Calculus/ Analysis | 69 | 64 | 49 | 54 | 13 | 13 | 16 | 15 | 9 | 3 | 10 | 8 |
| SE | 8.8 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 4.8 | 3.5 |
| Modern Algebra | 72 | 89 | 81 | 81 | 9 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 23 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| SE | 4.0 | 6.4 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 5.9 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 1.3 |
| Number Theory | 25 | 37 | 11 | 17 | 26 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 7 | . | 9 | 7 |
| SE | 8.1 | 7.5 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 6.2 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 4.2 | 2.5 |  | 4.7 | 3.2 |
| Geometry | 85 | 89 | 90 | 89 | 18 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 53 | 5 | 13 | 18 |
| SE | 3.2 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 7.9 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 9.8 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 3.9 |
| Discrete Mathematics | 56 | 52 | 62 | 60 | 8 | 9 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| SE | 7.3 | 10.5 | 6.7 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 5.4 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Statistics | 66 | 88 | 85 | 83 | 23 | 7 | 12 | 13 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 4 |
| SE | 2.9 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 10.3 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Probability | 62 | 68 | 50 | 55 | 15 | 2 | 18 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 7 |
| SE | 6.6 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 1.5 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 3.2 |
| History of Math | 60 | 77 | 39 | 48 | 16 | 7 | 17 | 16 | 39 | 5 | 11 | 15 |
| SE | 6.1 | 8.7 | 6.4 | 4.7 | 6.6 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 9.6 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 3.8 |



| TABLE SP. 8 | Mathematics Depts |  |  |  | Statistics Depts |  |  | TwoYear Colleges |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Univ (PhD) | Univ <br> (MA) | College (BA) | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | Univ (MA) | Total |  |
| Give credit for distance learning not taught by faculty in your institution: <br> Yes | 60 | 74 | 60 | 62 | 52 | 42 | 50 | 58 |
| SE | 4.9 | 7.8 | 7.0 | 5.2 | 3.2 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 5.1 |
| No | 40 | 26 | 40 | 38 | 48 | 58 | 50 | 42 |
| SE | 4.9 | 7.8 | 7.0 | 5.2 | 3.2 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 5.1 |
| Set a limit on the number of credits earned in distance learning classes | 33 | 33 | 37 | 36 | 34 | 18 | 31 | 1 |
| SE | 4.7 | 7.9 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 5.9 | 2.9 | 0.5 |
| Percentage offering distance learning | 63 | 73 | 45 | 52 | 69 | 50 | 64 | 87 |
| SE | 4.2 | 5.1 | 6.9 | 5.2 | 3.3 | 7.4 | 3.1 | 4.1 |
| Format of majority of distance learning: <br> Complete online | 63 | 60 | 74 | 69 | 70 | 50 | 66 | 69 |
| SE | 11.2 | 6.7 | 7.9 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 11.6 | 3.5 | 5.7 |
| Hybrid | 36 | 33 | 21 | 26 | 18 | 50 | 23 | 22 |
| SE | 11.2 | 8.7 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 2.9 | 11.6 | 3.1 | 4.98 |
| Other | 1 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 13 | . | 10 | 8 |
| SE | 0.3 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 2.8 | . | 2.3 | 4.0 |
| Instructional materials created by: <br> Faculty | 37 | 30 | 37 | 36 | 54 | 67 | 56 | 14 |
| SE | 9.6 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 10.9 | 3.7 | 4.4 |
| Commercially produced materials | 9 | 6 | 11 | 9 | 3 | . | 3 | 19 |
| SE | 3.9 | 3.5 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 1.3 | . | 1.1 | 3.9 |
| Combination of both | 55 | 65 | 52 | 55 | 43 | 33 | 41 | 67 |
| SE | 8.8 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 10.9 | 3.7 | 5.2 |
| How distance learning students take majority of tests: <br> Not at a monitored testing site | 15 | 15 | 26 | 22 | 10 | 17 | 11 | 11 |
| SE | 9.8 | 7.6 | 8.7 | 5.8 | 2.8 | 8.6 | 2.7 | 3.7 |
| Online, using monitoring technology | 10 | 14 | 23 | 19 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 10 |
| SE | 2.8 | 4.7 | 6.2 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 8.6 | 3.0 | 3.5 |
| At proctored testing site | 49 | 34 | 34 | 37 | 32 | 50 | 35 | 47 |
| SE | 8.4 | 5.5 | 8.7 | 5.9 | 3.9 | 11.6 | 3.7 | 5.2 |
| Combination of both | 25 | 37 | 18 | 23 | 41 | 17 | 37 | 32 |
| SE | 4.9 | 7.4 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 8.6 | 3.2 | 6.0 |


| TABLE SP.9 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Requirements of faculty whose entire teaching load is distance-learning <br> courses regarding time required to be on campus to meet with students | \% of TYCs |  |
|  | Estimate | SE |
| Never | 5 | 2.0 |
| Only for scheduled meeting or student appointment | 12 | 3.2 |
| A specified number of office hours per week | 32 | 6.7 |
| Not applicable or unreported | 81 | 8.1 |


| TABLE SP. 10 | Math |  |  |  | Stat |  |  | TYC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Univ (PhD) | Univ (MA) | College (BA) | Total | Univ (PhD) | Univ (MA) | Total |  |
| Some courses in both non-distance and distance-learning formats | 91 | 94 | 90 | 91 | 85 | 100 | 88 | na |
| SE | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 2.2 | na |
| Of those with courses in both formats, the percentage where: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instructors hold comparable office hours on campus | 71 | 52 | 57 | 59 | 64 | 83 | 68 | na |
| SE | 5.1 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 8.6 | 3.7 | na |
| Instructors participate in evaluation in same way | 89 | 81 | 89 | 87 | 89 | 100 | 91 | 93 |
| SE | 3.4 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 3.1 |
| Same use of common exams as in face-to-face | 52 | 64 | 58 | 58 | 44 | 50 | 45 | 67 |
| SE | 9.7 | 9.8 | 13.1 | 8.0 | 4.3 | 11.6 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| Same course outlines as in face-to-face | 94 | 91 | 95 | 94 | 85 | 100 | 88 | 97 |
| SE | 3.6 | 5.4 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
| Same course projects as in face-to-face | 85 | 73 | 78 | 79 | 62 | 100 | 69 | 77 |
| SE | 5.3 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 5.5 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 4.5 |
| More course projects than in face-to-face | 10 | 18 | 14 | 14 | 9 | . | 7 | 12 |
| SE | 4.3 | 5.4 | 6.3 | 4.1 | 1.3 |  | 1.0 | 3.6 |


| TABLE SP.11.A | Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Univ (PhD) | SE | Univ (MA) | SE | College (BA) | SE | Total | SE |
| E23. Introduction to Proofs | 2 | 1.8 | . | . | 3 | 2.2 | 2 | 1.6 |
| E24-1. Modern Algebra I | 2 | 1.8 | . | . | . | . | 0 | 0.3 |
| E24-2. Modern Algebra II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E25. Number Theory |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E26. Combinatorics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E27. Actuarial Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E28. Logic/Foundations (not E23) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E29. Discrete Structures | 1 | 0.2 | . | . | . | . | 0 | 0.0 |
| E30. History of Mathematics | 4 | 2.3 | . | . | 1 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.5 |
| E31. Geometry | 2 | 1.4 | . | . | . |  | 0 | 0.2 |
| E32-1. Advanced Calculus I and/or Real Analysis I | 1 | 0.2 | . | . | . | . | 0 | 0.0 |
| E32-2. Advanced Calculus II and/or Real Analysis II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E33. Advanced Mathematics for Engineering and Physical Sciences |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E34. Advanced Linear Algebra (beyond E17, E19) | 2 | 1.4 | . | . | . | . | 0 | 0.2 |
| E35. Vector Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E36. Advanced Differential Equations (beyond E18) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E37. Partial Differential Equations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E38. Numerical Analysis I and II | . | . | 3 | 3.0 | . | . | 0 | 0.4 |
| E39. Applied Mathematics (Modeling) | . | . | 4 | 3.7 | . | . | 1 | 0.5 |
| E409. Complex Variables | . |  | 4 | 3.7 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.7 |
| E41. Topology | . | . | 4 | 3.7 | . | . | 1 | 0.5 |
| E42. Mathematics of Finance (not E26, E38) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E43. Codes and Cryptology |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E44. Biomathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E45. Operations Research (all courses) | . |  | . |  | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.2 |
| E46. Senior Seminar/ Independent Study in Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E47. Other advanced-level mathematics | . |  | 7 | 4.9 | 0 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.7 |
| E48. Mathematics for Secondary School Teachers | . | . | 7 | 4.9 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.8 |


| TABLE SP.11.B | Mathematics Departments |  |  |  | Statistics Departments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Univ } \\ \text { (PhD) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (MA) } \end{aligned}$ | College (BA) | Total | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Univ } \\ \text { (PhD) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Univ <br> (MA) | Total |
| E6. Introductory Probability and/or Statistics for Majors/Minors (no calculus prerequisite) | 2 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 15 | 12 |
| SE | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 5.1 | 2.0 |
| E7. Combined Probability \& Statistics (calculus prerequisite) | 2 | 3 | . | 1 | 4 | 17 | 7 |
| SE | 1.9 | 2.3 | . | 0.4 | 0.5 | 5.6 | 1.3 |
| E8. Probability (calculus prerequisite) | 5 | 7 | 0 | 2 | . | 8 | 2 |
| SE | 2.4 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | . | 4.1 | 1.0 |
| E9. Mathematical Statistics (calculus prerequisite) | 3 | 7 | 0 | 2 | . | 8 | 2 |
| SE | 1.9 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | . | 4.1 | 1.0 |
| E10. Stochastic Processes | . | 3 | . | 0 |  |  |  |
| SE | . | 2.3 | . | 0.3 |  |  |  |
| E11. Applied Statistical Analysis | 2 | 3 | . | 1 | 6 | 8 | 7 |
| SE | 1.9 | 2.3 | . | 0.4 | 1.1 | 4.1 | 1.3 |
| E12. Data Science/Analytics | 2 | 6 | . | 1 | 3 | 8 | 4 |
| SE | 1.9 | 3.9 | . | 0.6 | 1.6 | 4.1 | 1.5 |
| E13. Design \& Analysis of Experiments | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| SE | 1.9 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 1.4 |
| E14. Regression (and Correlation) | 2 | 3 | . | 1 | 2 | . | 2 |
| SE | 1.9 | 2.3 | . | 0.4 | 1.0 | . | 0.8 |
| F15. Biostatistics | . | 3 | . | 0 | 2 | . | 2 |
| SE | . | 2.3 | . | 0.3 | 1.0 | . | 0.8 |
| E16. Nonparametric Statistics | . | 3 | . | 0 |  |  |  |
| SE | . | 2.3 | . | 0.3 |  |  |  |
| E17. Categorical Data Analysis | . | 3 | . | 0 |  |  |  |
| SE | . | 2.3 | . | 0.3 |  |  |  |
| E18. Sample Survey Design \& Analysis | . | 3 | . | 0 | 2 | 8 | 3 |
| SE | . | 2.3 | . | 0.3 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.9 |
| E19. Statistical Computing and/or Software | 2 | 3 | . | 1 | 4 | 8 | 5 |
| SE | 1.9 | 2.3 | . | 0.4 | 1.1 | 4.1 | 1.3 |
| E20. Bayesian Statistics | na | na | na | na |  |  |  |
| SE | na | na | na | na |  |  |  |
| E21. Statistical Consulting | na | na | na | na | . | 8 | 2 |
| SE | na | na | na | na | . | 4.1 | 1.0 |
| E22. Senior Seminar/ Independent Studies | . | 5 | . | 1 |  |  |  |
| SE | . | 2.3 | . | 0.3 |  |  |  |
| E23. Other upper-level Probability \& Statistics | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 6 |
| SE | 1.9 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 5.1 | 1.5 |
| E24. Other mathematical science courses | na | na | na | na | . | 8 | 2 |
| SE | na | na | na | na | . | 4.1 | 1.0 |


| TABLE SP. 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage with special opportunities for undergraduates | Honors sections of courses for majors \% | Math or Stat club \% | Special programs for women \% | Special programs for minorities \% | Math or Stat contests \% | Special Math or Stat colloquia for undergrads \& | Outreach in K-12 schools \% |
| Mathematics Departments Univ (PhD) | 69 | 94 | 41 | 25 | 91 | 77 | 61 |
| SE | 5.2 | 3.0 | 9.4 | 4.4 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 7.3 |
| Univ (MA) | 39 | 91 | 37 | 31 | 78 | 87 | 77 |
| SE | 4.7 | 5.0 | 7.4 | 6.0 | 7.6 | 5.0 | 6.8 |
| Coll (BA) | 28 | 56 | 16 | 8 | 64 | 53 | 43 |
| SE | 5.7 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 6.4 | 5.6 |
| Total Mathematics Departments | 35 | 67 | 22 | 14 | 70 | 61 | 50 |
| SE | 4.4 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 4.2 |
| Statistics Departments Univ (PhD) | 38 | 55 | 18 | 13 | 56 | 70 | 18 |
| SE | 3.0 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 2.5 |
| Univ (MA) | 50 | 18 | . | 8 | 45 | 42 | 42 |
| SE | 7.4 | 6.1 | . | 4.1 | 7.8 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Total Statistics Depts | 41 | 46 | 14 | 12 | 54 | 63 | 24 |
| SE | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.6 |
| Two-Year College Mathematics Programs | 28 | 32 | 15 | 15 | 40 | 21 | 46 |
| SE | 4.2 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 4.4 |


| TABLE SP. 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage with additional opportunities for undergraduates | Undergrad. Research opportunity \% | Indep. Studies opportunity \% | Assigned advisors in dept. \% | Senior thesis opportunity \% | Math career day $\%$ | Graduate school advising \% | Internship opportunity \% | Senior seminar opportunity \% | Consulting lab with clients \% | Tutor, grade papers, or TA \% |
| Mathematics Departments Univ (PhD) | 94 | 90 | 88 | 73 | 46 | 67 | 69 | 50 | 89 | 21 |
| SE | 3.0 | 6.3 | 3.6 | 5.8 | 6.4 | 9.2 | 7.6 | 6.2 | 2.0 | 7.5 |
| Univ (MA) | 89 | 93 | 93 | 59 | 23 | 58 | 69 | 71 | 82 | 19 |
| SE | 4.3 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 7.9 | 8.3 | 6.6 | 5.1 | 9.6 | 7.6 | 6.1 |
| Coll (BA) | 72 | 85 | 85 | 52 | 21 | 51 | 61 | 61 | 82 | 15 |
| SE | 5.0 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 3.6 |
| Total mathematics depts | 77 | 87 | 86 | 56 | 25 | 55 | 63 | 60 | 83 | 17 |
| SE | 3.8 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.9 |
| Statistics Departments Univ (PhD) | 91 | 95 | 73 | 60 | 50 | 90 | 72 | 46 | 41 | 80 |
| SE | 1.5 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 2.5 |
| Univ (MA) | 69 | 92 | 83 | 42 | 27 | 50 | 69 | 27 | 54 | 62 |
| SE | 6.6 | 4.1 | 5.6 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 6.9 |
| Total statistics depts | 86 | 94 | 76 | 56 | 45 | 80 | 71 | 42 | 44 | 75 |
| SE | 2.0 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.5 |
| Two-Year College Mathematics Programs | 17 | 41 | 49 | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| SE | 3.3 | 5.6 | 5.7 | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |

TABLE SP. 14

| Activity | All Math <br> Depts | PhD <br> Math | MA <br> Math | BA <br> Math | All Stat <br> Depts | PhD <br> Stat | MA <br> Stat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undergraduate research project <br> in the mathematical sciences | 12168 | 2091 | 1733 | 8344 | 575 | 534 | 42 |
| SE | 2479.8 | 228.3 | 333.0 | 2453.9 | 45.2 | 44.3 | 9.0 |
| Internship in mathematical <br> sciences | 6031 | 1198 | 766 | 4068 | 714 | 680 | 34 |
| Mathematical or statistical <br> consulting to client | 975 | 243 | 170 | 562 | 317 | 300 | 17 |
| SE | 228.1 | 111.1 | 71.4 | 189.4 | 41.7 | 41.5 | 3.2 |


| TABLE SP. 15 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Univ } \\ \text { (PhD) } \end{gathered}$ | Univ (MA) | Coll (BA) | All departments |
| Offered course in: | Offered course \% | Offered course \% | Offered course \% | Offered course \% |
| Mathematics and finance or business | 46 | 44 | 31 | 35 |
| SE | 7.5 | 7.9 | 5.1 | 3.9 |
| Mathematics and biology | 47 | 36 | 14 | 22 |
| SE | 7.8 | 7.7 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
| Mathematics and the study of the environment | 16 | 8 | 3 | 6 |
| SE | 6.1 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| Mathematics and engineering or the physical sciences | 29 | 23 | 13 | 17 |
| SE | 6.4 | 6.4 | 3.4 | 2.8 |
| Mathematics and economics | 15 | 11 | 9 | 10 |
| SE | 4.2 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 2.5 |
| Mathematics and social sciences other than economics | 5 | 16 | 7 | 8 |
| SE | 2.9 | 7.1 | 2.9 | 2.4 |
| Mathematics and education | 33 | 59 | 40 | 41 |
| SE | 4.2 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 4.3 |
| Mathematics and the humanities | 8 | 9 | 14 | 13 |
| SE | 2.3 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 3.6 |
| Mathematics and computer science | 27 | 41 | 30 | 31 |
| SE | 7.3 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.7 |
| Other | 10 | 6 | 10 | 10 |
| SE | 3.2 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 2.4 |


| TABLE SP. 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Four-year Mathematics |  |  | Two-year Mathematics |  |  | Four-year Statistics |  |  |
| Percentage of departments with dualenrollment courses | 26\% |  |  | 63\% |  |  | 12\% |  |  |
| SE | 4.11\% |  |  | 6.4\% |  |  | 1.82\% |  |  |
| Number of dual enrollments in: | Dual Enrollments |  | Other enrollments | Dual enrollments |  | Other enrollments | Dual enrollments |  | Other enrollments |
|  | spring 2015 | fall 2015 | fall 2015 | spring 2015 | $\begin{gathered} \text { fall } \\ 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | fall 2015 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { spring } \\ 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | fall 2015 | fall 2015 |
| College algebra | 15534 | 30310 | 255416 | 32937 | 57523 | 292138 | na | na | na |
| SE | 3774.3 | 8361.6 | 24928.3 | 12324.8 | 17454.3 | 33948.2 | na | na | na |
| Precalculus | 15090 | 15702 | 122302 | 18869 | 13178 | 87014 | na | na | na |
| SE | 5182.9 | 7081.6 | 9220.1 | 4294.1 | 3275.3 | 12416.7 | na | na | na |
| Calculus I | 6329 | 14480 | 344988 | 4596 | 6358 | 91993 | na | na | na |
| SE | 1643.4 | 4588.6 | 30415.6 | 1185.9 | 1642.9 | 10919.6 | na | na | na |
| Statistics | 3866 | 3292 | 226441 | 11919 | 7064 | 251279 | 299 | 1179 | 89756 |
| SE | 1036.6 | 1079.5 | 18794.8 | 3406.8 | 1811.0 | 56864.2 | 45.3 | 354.1 | 2858.8 |
| Other | 8016 | 4780 | na | 8478 | 10046 | na | na | na | na |
| SE | 3362.7 | 1043.2 | na | 2821.9 | 3871.5 | na | na | na | na |
| Departmental teaching evaluations required in dual-enrollment courses | 34\% |  |  | 72\% |  |  | 26\% |  |  |
| SE | 7.2\% |  |  | 4.7\% |  |  | 5.3\% |  |  |


| TABLE SP.17 | Four-year <br> Mathematics <br> Departments | Two-year <br> Mathematics <br> Departments | Statistics <br> Departments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assign their own members to teach <br> dual-enrollment courses <br> SE | 6 | 44 |  |
| Number of students enrolled <br> SE | 1.8 | 6.5 |  |
|  | 4014 | $*$ | 0 |


| TABLE SP. 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Required in all majors |  |  | Required in some but not all majors |  |  | Not required in any major |  |  |
| Mathematics Department Requirements | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Univ } \\ \text { (PhD) } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ (MA) } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | College <br> (BA) <br> \% | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Univ } \\ \text { (PhD) } \\ \hline \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ (MA) } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | College <br> (BA) <br> \% | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Univ } \\ \text { (PhD) } \\ \hline \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ (MA) } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | College <br> (BA) <br> \% |
| Modern Algebra I | 34 | 34 | 54 | 40 | 62 | 27 | 26 | 4 | 19 |
| SE | 7.3 | 5.7 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 6.1 | 7.2 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 4.9 |
| Real Analysis I | 31 | 49 | 36 | 49 | 45 | 23 | 20 | 6 | 41 |
| SE | 7.2 | 10.7 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 10.2 | 5.4 | 8.5 | 4.0 | 6.4 |
| Modern Algebra I or Real Analysis I (major may choose either to fulfill this requirement) | 21 | 33 | 24 | 23 | 27 | 14 | 56 | 40 | 62 |
| SE | 5.8 | 8.6 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 10.5 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 9.7 | 7.8 |
| A one-year upper-level sequence | 48 | 26 | 28 | 19 | 43 | 6 | 33 | 31 | 66 |
| SE | 8.1 | 10.7 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 14.0 | 2.5 | 5.8 | 7.7 | 5.9 |
| At least one computer science course | 55 | 67 | 69 | 19 | 13 | 6 | 26 | 20 | 25 |
| SE | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.0 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 4.5 | 7.6 | 5.9 |
| At least one statistics course | 31 | 46 | 59 | 37 | 47 | 8 | 32 | 8 | 34 |
| SE | 6.8 | 8.0 | 5.4 | 8.3 | 7.6 | 2.3 | 8.3 | 4.1 | 5.0 |
| At least one applied mathematics course beyond course E21 | 32 | 36 | 43 | 47 | 40 | 16 | 21 | 24 | 41 |
| SE | 7.9 | 6.8 | 4.9 | 6.9 | 6.3 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 6.6 | 5.9 |
| A capstone experience (senior project, thesis, seminar, internship) | 32 | 68 | 76 | 27 | 17 | 5 | 41 | 15 | 19 |
| SE | 7.8 | 8.0 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 6.6 | 1.8 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 4.4 |
| An exit exam (written or oral) | 3 | 10 | 31 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 94 | 75 | 67 |
| SE | 2.2 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 2.0 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 5.9 |


| TABLE SP. 19 A | Required in all majors |  |  | Required in some but not all majors |  |  | Not required in any major |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of statistics departments that require: | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ } \\ \text { (PhD) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Univ <br> (MA) <br> \% | College (BA) \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ } \\ \text { (PhD) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Univ <br> (MA) <br> \% | College (BA) \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ } \\ \text { (PhD) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Univ <br> (MA) \% | College (BA) \% |
| (a) Calculus I | 100 | 100 | 91 | . |  | 9 |  |  |  |
| SE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.4 | . |  | 8.4 |  |  |  |
| (b) Calculus II | 100 | 100 | 83 | . | . | 17 |  |  |  |
| SE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.2 | . | . | 12.2 |  |  |  |
| (c) Multivariable Calculus | 100 | 100 | 67 | . | . | 17 | . | . | 16 |
| SE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.6 | . |  | 12.2 | . | . | 17.2 |
| (d) Linear algebra/Matrix theory | 92 | 100 | 83 | 6 | . | 17 | 2 | . | . |
| SE | 6.1 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 5.9 |  | 12.2 | 0.7 | . |  |
| (e) At least one Computer Science course | 60 | 85 | 67 | 8 | 7 | 33 | 32 | 7 | . |
| SE | 9.0 | 11.5 | 21.6 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 21.6 | 8.7 | 7.6 |  |
| (f) At least one applied mathematics course, not incl. (a), (b), (c), (d) | 42 | 47 | . | 8 | . | 16 | 49 | 53 | 84 |
| SE | 12.6 | 8.7 | . | 8.1 | . | 17.2 | 12.4 | 8.7 | 17.2 |
| (g) A capstone experience (e.g., a senior thesis or project, seminar, or internship) | 16 | 100 | 83 | 18 | . | . | 66 | . | 17 |
| SE | 7.7 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 8.1 |  |  | 12.8 |  | 12.2 |
| (h) An exit exam (oral or written) | . | . | 9 | 8 | . | . | 92 | 100 | 91 |
| SE | . |  | 8.4 | 7.1 | . | . | 7.1 | 0.0 | 8.4 |
| (i) One Probability Course | 100 | 75 | 83 | . | 7 | 9 | . | 18 | 9 |
| SE | 0.0 | 30.0 | 16.8 | . | 7.7 | 8.4 |  | 25.1 | 8.4 |
| (j) One Mathematical Statistics Course | 100 | 85 | 50 | . | 15 | 17 | . | . | 33 |
| SE | 0.0 | 11.5 | 26.4 | . | 11.5 | 12.2 |  | . | 32.4 |
| (k) One applied statistics course | 74 | 85 | 75 | 8 | 15 | 25 | 18 | . | . |
| SE | 9.8 | 11.5 | 19.1 | 7.1 | 11.5 | 19.1 | 10.7 | . | . |
| (I) One Linear Models Course | 29 | 43 | 67 | 8 | 57 | 9 | 62 |  | 25 |
| SE | 14.5 | 24.1 | 21.6 | 7.1 | 24.1 | 8.6 | 14.2 |  | 19.1 |
| (m) One Bayesian Inference Course | 7 | 19 | . | 8 | 8 | 25 | 84 | 73 | 75 |
| SE | 6.4 | 15.3 |  | 7.1 | 9.0 | 19.9 | 9.3 | 11.6 | 19.9 |


| TABLE SP.19.B | Required in all majors |  | Required in some but not all majors |  | Not required in any major |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of statistics departments that require: | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Univ } \\ \text { (PhD) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Univ (MA) \% | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Univ } \\ \text { (PhD) } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Univ (MA) \% | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Univ } \\ \text { (PhD) } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ (MA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| (a) Calculus I | 97 | 83 | 3 | 17 |  |  |
| SE | 1.8 | 8.6 | 1.8 | 8.6 |  |  |
| (b) Calculus II | 97 | 83 | 3 | 17 |  |  |
| SE | 1.8 | 8.6 | 1.8 | 8.6 |  |  |
| (c) Multivariable Calculus | 88 | 50 | 5 | 33 | 8 | 17 |
| SE | 2.1 | 11.6 | 0.8 | 10.9 | 1.9 | 8.6 |
| (d) Linear algebra/Matrix theory | 86 | 50 | 11 | 33 | 3 | 17 |
| SE | 2.6 | 11.6 | 2.4 | 10.9 | 1.1 | 8.6 |
| (e) At least one Computer Science course | 86 | 67 | 6 | 17 | 7 | 17 |
| SE | 2.8 | 10.9 | 2.4 | 8.6 | 1.6 | 8.6 |
| (f) At least one applied mathematics course, not incl. (a), (b), (c), (d) | 23 | 33 | 28 | . | 49 | 67 |
| SE | 2.7 | 10.9 | 3.4 | . | 3.6 | 10.9 |
| (g) A capstone experience (e.g., a senior thesis or project, seminar, or internship) | 4 | 9 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 11 |
| SE | 35.0 | 17.0 | 14.0 | 17.0 | 51.0 | 67.0 |
| (h) An exit exam (oral or written) | 2 | . | 6 | 17 | 92 | 83 |
| SE | 0.6 | . | 2.1 | 8.6 | 2.2 | 8.6 |
| (i) One Probability Course | 75 | 50 | 11 | 17 | 13 | 33 |
| SE | 3.4 | 11.6 | 2.7 | 8.6 | 2.5 | 10.9 |
| (j) One Mathematical Statistics Course | 89 | 33 | 8 | 33 | 3 | 33 |
| SE | 2.4 | 10.9 | 2.1 | 10.9 | 1.1 | 10.9 |
| (k) One applied statistics course | 79 | 50 | 19 | 50 | 2 | . |
| SE | 3.1 | 11.6 | 3.0 | 11.6 | 0.6 | . |
| (I) One Linear Models Course | 60 | 17 | 9 | . | 31 | 83 |
| SE | 3.5 | 8.6 | 2.6 | . | 2.9 | 8.6 |
| (m) One Bayesian Inference Course | 11 | 17 | 15 | . | 74 | 83 |
| SE | 2.3 | 8.6 | 2.4 | . | 3.1 | 8.6 |


| TABLE SP. 20 | Academic Years 2014-2015 \& 2015-2016 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper-level mathematics courses | All Math Depts 2014-2016 \% | PhD Math \% | MA Math \% | BA Math \% |
| Modern Algebra I | 78 | 81 | 89 | 75 |
| SE | 3.4 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 4.6 |
| Modern Algebra II | 27 | 57 | 48 | 17 |
| SE | 3.7 | 6.1 | 9.2 | 4.5 |
| Number Theory | 37 | 59 | 65 | 27 |
| SE | 4.2 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 5.2 |
| Combinatorics | 22 | 39 | 45 | 15 |
| SE | 2.5 | 4.3 | 7.2 | 2.9 |
| Actuarial Mathematics | 21 | 38 | 40 | 14 |
| SE | 2.6 | 3.8 | 6.6 | 3.0 |
| Foundations/Logic | 12 | 15 | 19 | 10 |
| SE | 2.5 | 4.6 | 7.5 | 3.1 |
| Discrete Structures | 21 | 20 | 27 | 20 |
| SE | 3.0 | 4.2 | 6.9 | 4.0 |
| History of Mathematics | 47 | 58 | 66 | 41 |
| SE | 4.1 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.3 |
| Geometry | 71 | 79 | 77 | 68 |
| SE | 2.7 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 3.6 |
| Math for Secondary Teachers | 33 | 45 | 59 | 26 |
| SE | 3.7 | 6.8 | 8.6 | 4.6 |
| Adv Calculus/ Real Analysis I | 72 | 84 | 95 | 65 |
| SE | 3.6 | 6.4 | 3.2 | 4.8 |
| Adv Calculus/Real Analysis II | 31 | 78 | 49 | 17 |
| SE | 3.6 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 4.6 |
| Adv Mathematics for Engineering/Physics | 12 | 36 | 16 | 5 |
| SE | 1.9 | 5.6 | 6.6 | 1.8 |
| Advanced Linear Algebra | 22 | 56 | 54 | 8 |
| SE | 2.6 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 2.2 |
| Introduction to Proofs | 56 | 65 | 76 | 50 |
| SE | 4.3 | 6.3 | 3.3 | 5.5 |


| TABLE SP. 20 (continued) | Academic Years 2013-2014 \& 2015-2016 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper-level math courses, continued | All Math Depts 2014-2016 \% | PhD <br> Math \% | MA Math \% | BA Math $\%$ |
| Vector Analysis | 11 | 32 | 9 | 7 |
| SE | 2.6 | 7.9 | 4.7 | 2.8 |
| Advanced Differential Equations | 16 | 58 | 23 | 5 |
| SE | 2.2 | 7.6 | 4.3 | 1.3 |
| Partial Differential Equations | 29 | 71 | 61 | 14 |
| SE | 3.0 | 6.6 | 5.5 | 3.0 |
| Numerical Analysis I and II | 43 | 66 | 74 | 33 |
| SE | 4.1 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 5.1 |
| Applied Math/Modeling | 36 | 45 | 53 | 31 |
| SE | 4.5 | 8.1 | 10.8 | 5.5 |
| Complex Variables | 43 | 64 | 55 | 36 |
| SE | 3.7 | 9.6 | 8.3 | 4.7 |
| Topology | 28 | 51 | 53 | 18 |
| SE | 2.7 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 3.2 |
| Mathematics of Finance | 13 | 35 | 23 | 7 |
| SE | 2.1 | 7.0 | 5.5 | 1.9 |
| Codes \& Cryptology | 11 | 19 | 18 | 8 |
| SE | 2.2 | 4.2 | 7.0 | 2.7 |
| Biomathematics | 8 | 26 | 10 | 4 |
| SE | 1.3 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 1.1 |
| Operations Research | 18 | 15 | 35 | 16 |
| SE | 2.9 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 3.8 |
| Math senior seminar/Ind study | 66 | 63 | 81 | 65 |
| SE | 3.7 | 5.6 | 7.8 | 4.5 |
| All other advanced-level mathematics | 25 | 34 | 47 | 19 |
| SE | 4.0 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 5.4 |


| TABLE SP. 21 | AY 2014-15 \& 2015-16 |  |  |  | AY 2015-16 \& 2015-16 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper-level statistics courses | All <br> Math <br> Depts \% | PhD Math \% | MA Math \% | BA <br> Math \% | All Stat Depts \% | PhD Stat \% | MA <br> Stat <br> \% |
| Introductory Probability and/or Statistics | 18 | 14 | 28 | 16 | 48 | 54 | 31 |
| SE | 2.7 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 6.6 |
| Mathematical Statistics | 34 | 47 | 42 | 30 | 73 | 82 | 46 |
| SE | 4.3 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 7.1 |
| Probability | 37 | 53 | 41 | 32 | 70 | 77 | 46 |
| SE | 2.97 | 5.6 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 7.1 |
| Combined Probability and Statistics | 32 | 33 | 45 | 30 | 48 | 48 | 46 |
| SE | 4.17 | 3.8 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 7.1 |
| Stochastic Processes | 12 | 26 | 25 | 6 | 49 | 55 | 31 |
| SE | 2.33 | 5.5 | 8.0 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 6.6 |
| Applied Statistical Analysis | 12 | 19 | 29 | 7 | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| SE | 2.32 | 5.5 | 7.6 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 7.1 |
| Experimental Design | 9 | 13 | 26 | 5 | 59 | 58 | 62 |
| SE | 1.86 | 4.9 | 6.9 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 6.9 |
| Regression \& Correlation | 15 | 19 | 38 | 10 | 78 | 84 | 62 |
| SE | 1.90 | 3.0 | 6.7 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 6.9 |
| Biostatistics | 7 | 11 | 9 | 6 | 36 | 40 | 23 |
| SE | 1.45 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 6.0 |
| Nonparametric Statistics | 6 | 9 | 14 | 4 | 44 | 46 | 38 |
| SE | 1.24 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 6.9 |
| Categorical Data Analysis | 4 | 8 | 11 | 2 | 30 | 35 | 15 |
| SE | 1.18 | 2.4 | 6.6 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 5.1 |
| Sample Survey Design | 4 | 6 | 13 | 2 | 50 | 56 | 31 |
| SE | 1.12 | 2.8 | 4.9 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 6.6 |
| Stat Software \& Computing | 11 | 17 | 23 | 8 | 62 | 64 | 54 |
| SE | 1.89 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 7.1 |
| Data Science | 7 | 11 | 17 | 5 | 36 | 38 | 31 |
| SE | 2.07 | 3.4 | 5.8 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 6.6 |
| Bayesian Statistics | na | na | na | na | 47 | 55 | 23 |
| SE | na | na | na | na | 2.9 | 3.3 | 6.0 |
| Statistical Consulting | na | na | na | na | 34 | 38 | 23 |
| SE | na | na | na | na | 3.0 | 3.4 | 6.0 |
| Senior Seminar/ Independent Study | 9 | 13 | 20 | 6 | 56 | 59 | 46 |
| SE | 1.6 | 3.4 | 5.5 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 7.1 |


| TABLE SP.22 | Mathematics Departments |  | Statistics Departments |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Departmental estimates of <br> post-college plans | Univ (PhD) <br> $\%$ | Univ (MA) <br> $\%$ | College <br> (BA) <br> $\%$ | Univ (PhD) <br> $\%$ | Univ (MA) <br> $\%$ |
| SE | 12 | 25 | 26 | 1 | 1 |
| Students who went into pre-college <br> teaching | 1.8 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Students who went to graduate school <br> in the mathematical or statistical <br> sciences | 11 | 13 | 12 | 17 | 10 |
| SE | 1.4 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 3.4 |
| Students who went to graduate or <br> professional school outside of <br> mathematics/statistics <br> SE | 8 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 1 |
| Students who took jobs in <br> business, government, etc. <br> SE | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| Students who had other plans <br> known to the department <br> SE | 27 | 19 | 34 | 34 | 20 |
| Students whose plans are not <br> known to the department <br> SE | 2.7 | 5.2 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 7.4 |


| TABLE SP. 23 | Four-year Mathematics Departments |  |  | Statistics Departments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage using various assessment tools | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ (PhD) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ (MA) } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { College (BA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ (PhD) } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ (MA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Consult outside reviewers | 36 | 57 | 40 | 44 | 42 |
| SE | 6.7 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 3.6 | 7.3 |
| Survey program graduates | 67 | 83 | 59 | 70 | 67 |
| SE | 5.5 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 3.3 | 7.0 |
| Consult other departments | 44 | 42 | 38 | 46 | 17 |
| SE | 6.7 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 5.6 |
| Study data on students' progress in later courses | 63 | 77 | 62 | 21 | 33 |
| SE | 6.4 | 6.2 | 7.4 | 2.7 | 7.0 |
| Assessed teaching objectives | 78 | 81 | 85 | 98 | 67 |
| SE | 3.3 | 7.7 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 7.0 |
| Evaluate placement system | 72 | 52 | 57 | 18 | 25 |
| SE | 6.2 | 9.5 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 6.5 |
| Change undergraduate program due to assessment | 80 | 76 | 70 | 76 | 75 |
| SE | 5.1 | 5.1 | 7.4 | 2.9 | 6.5 |


| TABLE SP.24 |  | All <br> Math <br> Depts | PhD <br> Math | MA <br> Math | BA <br> Math | All Stat <br> Depts | PhD <br> Stat |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 88 | 97 | 83 | 87 | 86 | 84 | 92 |
| Stat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Activity | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Math } \\ \text { Depts } \end{gathered}$ | PhD Math | MA Math | BA <br> Math | All Stat Depts | PhD Stat | MA Stat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sylabbi for classes | 87 | 95 | 96 | 84 | 98 | 98 | 100 |
| SE | 3.1 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Teaching for portfolios | 16 | 23 | 28 | 12 | 36 | 35 | 42 |
| SE | 2.4 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 7.3 |
| Peer evaluation of instructors | 64 | 78 | 74 | 60 | 64 | 60 | 75 |
| SE | 3.5 | 4.7 | 8.1 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 6.4 |
| Self-evaluation of instructors | 51 | 28 | 47 | 57 | 29 | 22 | 50 |
| SE | 4.7 | 4.9 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 7.4 |
| Department discussions of teaching practices | 69 | 66 | 64 | 71 | 73 | 68 | 92 |
| SE | 5.0 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 6.7 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 4.1 |
| Note of these are available | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |
| SE | 0.7 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 0.8 |  |  |  |

TABLE SP. 26

| Activity | All <br> Math <br> Depts | PhD <br> Math | MA <br> Math | BA <br> Math | All Stat <br> Depts | PhD <br> Stat | MA <br> Stat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inquiry based class | 58 | 56 | 71 | 57 | 54 | 56 | 45 |
| SE | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 7.2 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 7.8 |
| Flipped classroom | 58 | 61 | 52 | 59 | 39 | 35 | 55 |
| SE | 4.1 | 5.8 | 9.6 | 5.3 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 7.8 |
| Class conducted largely online | 38 | 49 | 53 | 33 | 48 | 49 | 45 |
| SE | 5.5 | 7.1 | 6.1 | 7.2 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 7.8 |
| Activity based learning | 66 | 64 | 71 | 65 | 77 | 70 | 100 |
| SE | 5.3 | 6.6 | 9.1 | 7.3 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| Technology used to develop <br> conceptual understanding | 86 | 82 | 91 | 86 | 84 | 84 | 82 |
| SE | 3.0 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 6.0 |

TABLE SP. 27

| Activity | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Math } \\ \text { Depts } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | PhD Math | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MA } \\ & \text { Math } \end{aligned}$ | BA <br> Math | All Stat Depts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PhD } \\ & \text { Stat } \end{aligned}$ | MA <br> Stat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department experienced major changes over the last 10 years | 60 | 62 | 65 | 58 | 80 | 78 | 85 |
| SE | 4.5 | 4.6 | 8.4 | 6.1 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 5.1 |
| Of those experiencing change, the percent attributing the change to: <br> Educational research | 61 | 67 | 77 | 56 | 49 | 53 | 36 |
| SE | 5.7 | 8.3 | 8.5 | 7.6 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 7.5 |
| Advocacy of some faculty member in the department | 91 | 99 | 90 | 90 | 88 | 88 | 91 |
| SE | 3.2 | 0.3 | 6.4 | 4.4 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 4.5 |
| Advocacy by another department | 16 | 23 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 21 | 0 |
| SE | 4.5 | 4.9 | 7.3 | 6.2 | 2.5 | 3.4 |  |
| Advocacy by institution's administrators | 37 | 47 | 30 | 35 | 47 | 48 | 45 |
| SE | 4.7 | 10.0 | 8.5 | 6.2 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 7.8 |
| Advocacy by a professional organization | 39 | 31 | 33 | 43 | 38 | 36 | 45 |
| SE | 4.5 | 9.2 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 7.8 |


| TABLE SP.28 | Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of tracks | Univ (PhD) | Univ (MA) | College <br> (BA) | Total |
| Offer a minor in statistics (\%) | 13 | 52 | 10 | 16 |
| SE | 3.3 | 7.5 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Number of graduates | 305 | 323 | 384 | 1012 |
| SE | 154.2 | 110.9 | 97.4 | 213.4 |
| SE | 25 | 26 | 4 | 10 |


| TABLE SP. 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Doctora Math | SE | Masters Math | SE | Bachelors Math | SE | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Math } \end{gathered}$ | SE | Doctora Stat | SE | Masters Stat | SE | $\begin{array}{\|ll} \text { All } \\ \text { Stat } \end{array}$ | SE |
| Postdocs during 2014-2015 academic year | 1297 | 99.8 | 46 | 16.1 | 119 | 52.3 | 1463 | 113.8 | 100 | 29.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 100 | 29.6 |
| Number who left the position for fall 2015 | 501 | 53.7 | 33 | 14.9 | 106 | 49.3 | 640 | 74.4 | 30 | 9.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 30 | 9.6 |
| Percent who left the position for fall 2015 | 38.6\% | 0.0 | 70.5\% | 0.0 | 88.8\% | 0.1 | 43.7\% | 0.0 | 30\% | 0.1 |  | - | 30\% |  |
| Of those who left the position for fall 2015: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number who took tenure-track position | 180 | 26.6 | 8 | 3.9 | 72 | 39.5 | 260 | 47.8 | 7 | 3.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 3.3 |
| Percent who took tenure-track position | 36\% | 0.0 | 25\% | 0.1 | 68\% | 0.1 | 41\% | 0.0 | 24\% | 0.1 |  |  | 24\% |  |
| Number who took another postdoc position | 111 | 18.9 | 6 | 4.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 117 | 19.5 | 4 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 1.9 |
| Percent who took another postdoc position | 22\% | 0.0 | 18\% | 0.1 | 0\% | 0.0 | 18\% | 0.0 | 13\% | 0.1 |  |  | 13\% |  |
| Number who took renewable appointment for fall 2015 | 67 | 15.9 | 13 | 9.5 | 29 | 11.8 | 109 | 22.0 | 15 | 8.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 8.5 |
| Percent who took renewable appointment for fall 2015 | 13\% | 0.0 | 41\% | 0.1 | 27\% | 0.1 | 17\% | 0.0 | 51\% | 0.1 |  |  | 51\% |  |
| Number who took non-renewable appointment for fall 2015 | 30 | 9.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 30 | 9.9 | 2 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.2 |
| Percent who took non-renewable appointment for fall 2015 | 6\% | 0.0 | 0\% | 0.0 | 0\% | 0.0 | 5\% | 0.0 | 6\% | 0.0 |  |  | 6\% |  |
| Number who took non-academic appointment for fall 2015 | 29 | 5.8 | 3 | 2.4 | 5 | 4.2 | 36 | 7.5 | 2 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.2 |
| Percent who took non-academic appointment for fall 2015 | 6\% | 0.0 | 9\% | 0.1 | 4\% | 0.0 | 6\% | 0.0 | 6\% | 0.0 |  |  | 6\% |  |
| Number unemployed for fall 2015 | 2 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Percent unemployed for fall 2015 | 0\% | 0.0 | 0\% | 0.0 | 0\% | 0.0 | 0\% | 0.0 | 0\% | 0.0 |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Number whose status is unknown for fall 2015 | 83 | 13.6 | 2 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 86 | 13.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Percent whose status is unknown for fall 2015 | 17\% | 0.0 | 7\% | 0.1 | 0\% | 0.0 | 13\% | 0.0 | 0\% | 0.0 |  |  | 0\% |  |


| TABLE SP. 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section B | Doctoral <br> Math | SE | Masters Math | SE | Bachelors Math | SE | All <br> Math | SE | Doctoral Stat | SE | Masters Stat | SE | All Stat | SE |
| Renewable positions filled for 2014-2015 | 1641 | 76.5 | 850 | 101.9 | 1778 | 136.9 | 4269 | 187.0 | 214 | 24.9 | 51 | 15.1 | 265 | 29.1 |
| Number that Left renewable position for 2015 | 229 | 26.6 | 122 | 25.5 | 375 | 60.2 | 726 | 70.6 | 15 | 4.9 | 5 | 4.2 | 20 | 6.5 |
| Percent that Left renewable position for 2016 | 14\% | 0.8\% | 14\% | 1.1\% | 21\% | 1.8\% | 17\% | 0.8\% | 7\% | 2.0\% | 11\% | 5.7\% | 8\% | 1.9\% |
| Renewable positions filled for 2015-2016 | 1645 | 73.4 | 865.2 | 101.7 | 1808 | 136.3 | 4319 | 185.3 | 253 | 33.0 | 35 | 8.9 | 288 | 34.2 |
| Number Active in teaching | 1625 | 73.2 | 865.2 | 101.7 | 1794 | 136.4 | 4285 | 185.2 | 244 | 33.2 | 35 | 8.9 | 278 | 34.3 |
| Percent Active in teaching | 99\% | 0.3\% | 100\% | 0.0\% | 99\% | 0.4\% | 99\% | 0.2\% | 96\% | 1.7\% | 100\% | 0.0\% | 97\% | 1.5\% |
| Number Active in research | 276 | 30.4 | 92 | 18.6 | 311 | 59.1 | 679 | 69.0 | 92 | 34.2 | 3 | 2.1 | 94 | 34.3 |
| Percent Active in research | 17\% | 0.9\% | 11\% | 1.3\% | 17\% | 1.6\% | 16\% | 0.8\% | 36\% | 3.0\% | 8\% | 6.1\% | 33\% | 2.8\% |
| Number that Attend research conf. with support | 175 | 22.4 | 80 | 20.0 | 341 | 61.3 | 595 | 68.2 | 39 | 19.4 | 3 | 2.1 | 42 | 19.5 |
| Percent that Attend research conf. with support | 11\% | 0.7\% | 9\% | 1.2\% | 19\% | 1.7\% | 14\% | 0.8\% | 15\% | 2.5\% | 8\% | 6.1\% | 14\% | 2.3\% |
| Number that Attend teaching conf. with support | 377 | 60.7 | 219 | 31.9 | 666 | 77.6 | 1262 | 103.5 | 37 | 8.2 | 0 | - | 37 | 8.2 |
| Percent that Attend teaching conf. with support | 23\% | 0.9\% | 25\% | 1.8\% | 37\% | 2.1\% | 29\% | 1.0\% | 15\% | 2.4\% | 0\% | 0.0\% | 13\% | 2.1\% |
| Number that Serve on dept. committees | 866 | 129.4 | 512 | 63.3 | 1145 | 107.8 | 2524 | 179.9 | 137 | 29.5 | 21 | 10.2 | 159 | 31.2 |
| Percent that Serve on dept. committees | 53\% | 0.9\% | 59\% | 2.1\% | 63\% | 2.0\% | 58\% | 1.0\% | 54\% | 3.2\% | 62\% | 11.1\% | 55\% | 3.1\% |
| Number that Advise undergrad. research projects | 200 | 30.0 | 90 | 19.6 | 363 | 59.9 | 653 | 69.8 | 40 | 13.1 | 11 | 6.3 | 50 | 14.5 |
| Percent that Advise undergrad. research projects | 12\% | 0.8\% | 10\% | 1.2\% | 20\% | 1.7\% | 15\% | 0.8\% | 16\% | 2.5\% | 31\% | 10.5\% | 18\% | 2.5\% |
| Number that Serve as academic advisor | 337 | 33.0 | 208 | 35.3 | 725 | 98.6 | 1271 | 109.8 | 77 | 25.3 | 11 | 6.3 | 88 | 26.1 |
| Percent that Serve as academic advisor | 20\% | 0.9\% | 24\% | 1.7\% | 40\% | 2.1\% | 29\% | 1.0\% | 30\% | 3.1\% | 31\% | 10.5\% | 31\% | 3.0\% |
| Number that Serve on univ. committees | 234 | 27.9 | 176 | 21.9 | 711 | 95.4 | 1121 | 101.8 | 31 | 6.2 | 13 | 3.9 | 44 | 7.3 |
| Percent that Serve on univ. committees | 14\% | 0.8\% | 20\% | 1.7\% | 39\% | 2.0\% | 26\% | 1.0\% | 12\% | 2.2\% | 38\% | 11.1\% | 15\% | 2.3\% |
| Number that Serve as course coordinator | 540 | 36.0 | 179 | 21.8 | 504 | 63.0 | 1224 | 75.8 | 51 | 9.6 | 19 | 6.0 | 69 | 11.3 |
| Percent that Serve as course coordinator | 33\% | 1.0\% | 21\% | 1.7\% | 28\% | 1.9\% | 28\% | 1.0\% | 20\% | 2.8\% | 54\% | 11.4\% | 24\% | 2.8\% |

TABLE SP. 31

| Section C | Doctoral <br> Math | SE | Masters Math | SE | Bachelors Math | SE | All Math | SE | Doctoral Stat | SE | Masters Stat | SE | All Stat | SE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Fixed-term positions filled for 2014-2015 | 511 | 63.1 | 311 | 58.0 | 680 | 94.1 | 1503 | 127.3 | 48 | 10.2 | 5 | 2.7 | 53 | 10.5 |
| Number that left fixed-term position for 2015 | 159 | 25.7 | 81 | 19.8 | 212 | 34.2 | 453 | 47.1 | 26 | 8.3 | 5 | 2.7 | 31 | 8.7 |
| Percent that left fixed-term position for 2015 | 31\% | 2.0\% | 26\% | 2.8\% | 31\% | 3.3\% | 30\% | 1.7\% | 54\% | 8.9\% | 100\% | 0.0\% | 58\% | 8.0\% |
| Number of Fixed-term positions filled for 2015-2016 | 574 | 61.94 | 383 | 64.5 | 658.5 | 88.0 | 1615 | 125.5 | 55 | 9.8 | 13 | 6.0 | 68 | 11.5 |
| Number Active in teaching | 567 | 61.99 | 383 | 64.5 | 655.9 | 88.1 | 1606 | 125.5 | 49 | 9.5 | 13 | 6.0 | 62 | 11.2 |
| Percent Active in teaching | 99\% | 0.4\% | 100\% | 0.0\% | 100\% | 0.3\% | 99\% | 0.2\% | 89\% | 4.0\% | 100\% | 0.0\% | 91\% | 3.2\% |
| Number Active in research | 214 | 40.3 | 45 | 11.8 | 268 | 52.6 | 526 | 67.3 | 28 | 9.4 | 3 | 2.1 | 31 | 9.7 |
| Percent Active in research | 37\% | 1.8\% | 12\% | 2.0\% | 41\% | 3.2\% | 33\% | 1.5\% | 52\% | 8.4\% | 20\% | 15.8\% | 46\% | 7.4\% |
| Number that Attend research conf. with support | 153 | 37.5 | 27 | 8.5 | 242 | 45.5 | 422 | 59.6 | 10 | 8.0 | 3 | 2.1 | 12 | 8.2 |
| Percent that Attend research conf. with support | 27\% | 1.7\% | 7\% | 1.6\% | 37\% | 3.3\% | 26\% | 1.5\% | 18\% | 6.5\% | 20\% | 15.8\% | 18\% | 6.1\% |
| Number that Attend teaching conf. with support | 61 | 24.6 | 41 | 10.6 | 159 | 29.6 | 260 | 39.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Percent that Attend teaching conf. with support | 11\% | 1.4\% | 11\% | 2.0\% | 24\% | 3.1\% | 16\% | 1.4\% | 0\% | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0.0\% |
| Number that Serve on dept. committees | 73 | 27.6 | 117 | 31.7 | 246 | 50.8 | 437 | 65.9 | 10 | 8.0 | 3 | 2.1 | 12 | 8.2 |
| Percent that Serve on dept. committees | 13\% | 1.4\% | 31\% | 2.8\% | 37\% | 3.1\% | 27\% | 1.5\% | 18\% | 6.5\% | 20\% | 15.8\% | 18\% | 6.1\% |
| Number that Advise undergrad. research projects | 19 | 8.1 | 32 | 16.2 | 176 | 45.5 | 227 | 49.0 | 4 | 2.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 2.8 |
| Percent that Advise undergrad. research projects | 3\% | 0.8\% | 8\% | 1.7\% | 27\% | 3.2\% | 14\% | 1.4\% | 7\% | 3.2\% | 0\% | 0.0\% | 6\% | 2.5\% |
| Number that Serve as academic advisor | 18 | 8.0 | 14 | 7.3 | 113 | 43.9 | 145 | 45.2 | 4 | 2.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 2.8 |
| Percent that Serve as academic advisor | 3\% | 0.6\% | 4\% | 1.1\% | 17\% | 2.7\% | 9\% | 1.2\% | 7\% | 3.2\% | 0\% | 0.0\% | 6\% | 2.5\% |
| Number that Serve on university committees | 7 | 3.2 | 27 | 8.9 | 78 | 27.3 | 113 | 28.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Percent that Serve on university committees | 1\% | 0.6\% | 7\% | 1.6\% | 12\% | 2.5\% | 7\% | 1.1\% | 0\% | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0.0\% |
| Number that Serve as course coordinator | 44 | 10.6 | 26 | 8.5 | 100 | 27.6 | 170 | 30.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Percent that Serve as course coordinator | 8\% | 1.0\% | 7\% | 1.6\% | 15\% | 2.6\% | 11\% | 1.2\% | 0\% | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0.0\% |


| TABLE E.1.A | Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bachelor's degrees in Math Depts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | SE | Univ (MA) | SE | Coll <br> (BA) | SE | Total Math Depts | SE |
| Mathematics Majors (including applied) <br> Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{aligned} & 3431 \\ & 1645 \\ & 32 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 556.4 \\ 255.0 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 143 \\ 6 \\ 136 \\ 5 \\ 49 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 356.2 \\ 544.2 \\ 0.1 \end{gathered}$ | 2529 2388 $49 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 400.1 \\ 580.0 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7396 \\ 5398 \\ 42 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 771.6 \\ 835.0 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Math degrees | 5076 | 798.9 | $\begin{gathered} 280 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 889.8 | 4917 | 947.2 | $\begin{gathered} 1279 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1524 . \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ |
| Mathematics Education Majors <br> Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{aligned} & 235 \\ & 401 \\ & 63 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43.6 \\ 109.2 \\ 0.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 412 \\ & 480 \\ & 54 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 104.0 \\ 98.9 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 497 \\ & 851 \\ & 63 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 130.2 \\ 127.7 \\ 0.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1143 \\ & 1732 \\ & 60 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 172.3 \\ 195.0 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Math Ed degrees | 636 | 139.9 | 891 | 198.5 | 1348 | 227.2 | 2875 | 332.5 |
| Statistics Majors <br> Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{gathered} 98 \\ 28 \\ 22 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.6 \\ 8.6 \\ 0.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77 \\ 56 \\ 42 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.8 \\ 31.9 \\ 0.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ 62 \\ 40 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.7 \\ 31.9 \\ 0.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 270 \\ & 147 \\ & 35 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.0 \\ 46.0 \\ 0.1 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Stat degrees | 126 | 29.7 | 133 | 65.2 | 157 | 63.6 | 416 | 95.8 |
| Computer Science Majors <br> Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 3 \\ 33 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.0 \\ & 3.0 \\ & \text { na } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 483 \\ & 217 \\ & 31 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 169.2 \\ 89.9 \\ 0.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2177 \\ & 1082 \\ & 33 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 627.1 \\ 486.9 \\ 0.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2666 \\ & 1302 \\ & 33 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 649.6 \\ 495.2 \\ \mathbf{0 . 1} \end{gathered}$ |
| Total CS degrees | 10 | 9.0 | 700 | 229.7 | 3259 | 972.0 | 3968 | 998.8 |
| Actuarial Mathematics Majors <br> Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{aligned} & 997 \\ & 635 \\ & 39 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 225.0 \\ 147.2 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 207 \\ 134 \\ 39 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 105.6 \\ 67.9 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 167 \\ 75 \\ 31 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68.6 \\ 30.4 \\ 0.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1371 \\ 844 \\ 38 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 257.6 \\ 164.8 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Actuarial Math degrees | 1632 | 370.4 | 341 | 173.3 | 243 | 94.4 | 2215 | 419.4 |
| Joint Mathematics Majors <br> Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{aligned} & 212 \\ & 109 \\ & 34 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81.4 \\ 37.5 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 224 \\ & 168 \\ & 43 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 135.1 \\ 114.6 \\ 0.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 491 \\ & 156 \\ & 24 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 142.4 \\ 48.5 \\ 0.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 927 \\ & 433 \\ & 32 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 212.4 \\ 129.9 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Joint degrees | 321 | 117.2 | 393 | 249.4 | 646 | 171.1 | 1360 | 324.1 |
| Other Mathematics Majors <br> Men <br> Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{aligned} & 357 \\ & 251 \\ & 41 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84.7 \\ 60.2 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ 37 \\ 30 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.5 \\ 13.1 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ 10 \\ 38 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.8 \\ 8.5 \\ 0.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 460 \\ & 298 \\ & 39 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86.1 \\ 60.1 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total other Math degrees | 608 | 144.8 | 124 | 43.5 | 26 | 15.2 | 758 | 145.0 |
| Total degrees - Men <br> Total degrees - Women <br> Percentage of women | $\begin{array}{r} 5337 \\ 3072 \\ 37 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 809.4 \\ 458.4 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 292 \\ 5 \\ 245 \\ 8 \\ 46 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 586.8 \\ 596.4 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | 5971 4624 $44 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 999.7 \\ 1047 . \\ 0 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1423 \\ 3 \\ 1015 \\ 4 \\ 42 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1410 . \\ 6 \\ 1287 . \\ 6 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total all degrees | 8409 | $\begin{gathered} 1250 . \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 538 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1143 . \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1059 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1892 . \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2438 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2535 . \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |


| TABLE E.1.B | Statistics Departments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bachelor's degrees in Math and Stat Depts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | SE | Univ (MA) | SE | Total Stat Depts | SE |
| Statistics Majors |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 540 | 36.8 | 55 | 12.8 | 594 | 38.9 |
| Women | 418 | 22.8 | 42 | 9.9 | 460 | 24.8 |
| Percentage of women | 44\% | 0.0 | 43\% | 0.0 | 44\% | 0.0 |
| Total Statistics degrees | 958 | 57.2 | 97 | 22.5 | 1055 | 61.4 |
| Biostatistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 17 | 4.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 17 | 4.7 |
| Women | 21 | 6.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 6.2 |
| Percentage of women | 55\% | 0.0 | NA | . | 55\% | 0.0 |
| Total Biostatistics degrees | 38 | 10.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 38 | 10.9 |
| Actuarial Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 58 | 10.7 | 7 | 3.2 | 65 | 11.2 |
| Women | 73 | 12.1 | 1 | 0.6 | 74 | 12.1 |
| Percentage of women | 56\% | 0.0 | 17\% | na | 53\% | 0.0 |
| Total Actuarial Science degrees | 131 | 22.8 | 8 | 3.8 | 139 | 23.1 |
| Joint Statistics and Computer Science Men | 46 | 6.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 46 | 6.0 |
| Women | 18 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 18 | 2.2 |
| Percentage of women | 28\% | 0.0 | 0\% | na | 28\% | 0.0 |
| Total Joint Statistics and Computer Science degrees | 64 | 7.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 64 | 7.9 |
| Joint Statistics and Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 124 | 13.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 124 | 13.4 |
| Women | 72 | 7.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 72 | 7.1 |
| Percentage of women | 37\% | 0.0 | 0\% | na | 37\% | 0.0 |
| Total Joint Statistics and Mathematics degrees | 196 | 20.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 196 | 20.2 |
| Joint Statistics and (Business or Economics) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 116 | 19.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 116 | 19.8 |
| Women | 84 | 10.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 84 | 10.5 |
| Percentage of women | 42\% | 0.0 | 0\% | na | 42\% | 0.0 |
| Total Joint Statistics and (Business or Economics) degrees | 200 | 29.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 200 | 29.8 |
| Statistics Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Women | 3 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| Percentage of women | 60\% | 0.0 | 0\% | na | 60\% | 0.0 |
| Total Statistics Education degrees | 5 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.0 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 62 | 10.2 | 29 | 14.1 | 90 | 17.4 |
| Women | 47 | 7.4 | 12 | 5.8 | 59 | 9.4 |
| Percentage of women | 43\% | 0.0 | 29\% | na | 39\% | 0.0 |
| Total Other degrees | 109 | 16.3 | 41 | 19.9 | 149 | 25.7 |
| Total degrees - Men | 965 | 58.1 | 90 | 18.9 | 1055 | 61.1 |
| Total degrees - Women | 737 | 40.0 | 55 | 10.9 | 792 | 41.5 |
| Percentage of women | 43\% | 0.0 | 38\% | 0.0 | 43\% | 0.0 |
| Total all degrees | 1702 | 96.3 | 145 | 29.40 | 1847 | 100.7 |
| Total degrees - Women | 40.0 | 10.9 | 41.5 |  |  |  |
| Percentage of women | 0.6\% | 2.1\% | 0.5\% |  |  |  |
| Total all degrees | 96.3 | 29.4 | 100.7 |  |  |  |


| Table E.1.C. |  |  |  |  |  | Annual <br> Survey | SE | CBMS | SE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institutions with a: | 13477 | 70.0 | 10256 | 1405.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral Mathematics Departments | 4701 | 141.0 | 5383 | 1143.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Masters Mathematics Departments | 12204 | 270.0 | 10595 | 1892.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor's Mathematics Departments | 30382 | 348.0 | 26234 | 2849.0 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table E.1.D. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Institutions with a: | CBMS | SE |
| Doctoral Mathematics Department | 10256 | 1405.5 |
| Masters Mathematics Department | 5383 | 1143.6 |
| Bachelor's Mathematics Department | 10595 | 1892.1 |
| Grand Total | 26234 | 2849.0 |


| TABLE E. 2 | Fall 2015 (2005, 2010) enrollments (in 1000s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mathematics Departments |  |  |  | Statistics Departments |  |  |
|  | Univ (PhD) | Univ <br> (MA) | Coll <br> (BA) | Total Math Depts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | Univ (MA) | Total Stat Depts |
| Mathematics Courses Precollege | 80 | 48 | 125 | 253 |  |  |  |
| SE | 16.0 | 11.2 | 18.1 | 26.5 |  |  |  |
| Introductory (incl. Precalc) | 408 | 226 | 365 | 1000 |  |  |  |
| SE | 53.8 | 37.6 | 46.2 | 79.6 |  |  |  |
| Calculus level | 474 | 157 | 176 | 807 |  |  |  |
| SE | 45.8 | 36.6 | 21.4 | 62.3 |  |  |  |
| Advanced Mathematics | 81 | 30 | 43 | 154 |  |  |  |
| SE | 10.1 | 4.2 | 5.4 | 12.2 |  |  |  |
| Total Math courses | 1043 | 461 | 709 | 2213 |  |  |  |
| SE | 95.1 | 72.8 | 73.8 | 139.7 |  |  |  |
| Statistics Courses Introductory Statistics | 57 | 62 | 134 | 253 | 78 | 16 | 94 |
| SE | 9.2 | 11.6 | 14.4 | 20.2 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.9 |
| Upper Statistics | 17 | 24 | 20 | 60 | 45 | 5 | 50 |
| SE | 2.0 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 6.1 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 2.3 |
| Total Stat Courses | 74 | 85 | 154 | 313 | 124 | 20 | 144 |
| SE | 10.9 | 15.4 | 15.7 | 24.2 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 4.0 |
| Computer Science Courses <br> Lower Computer Science | 4 | 5 | 36 | 45 |  |  |  |
| SE | 2.2 | 2.3 | 6.3 | 7.0 |  |  |  |
| Middle Computer Science | 1 | 2 | 14 | 16 |  |  |  |
| SE | 0.3 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 3.4 |  |  |  |
| Upper Computer Science | 0 | 2 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |
| SE | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Total CS courses | 5 | 8 | 55 | 68 |  |  |  |
| SE | 2.4 | 4.0 | 9.8 | 10.8 |  |  |  |
| Total all courses | 1122 | 554 | 918 | 2594 | 124 | 20 | 144 |
| SE | 104.7 | 80.0 | 88.8 | 157.4 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 4.0 |


| TABLE E. 3 | Number of sections: Fall 2015 (Fall 2010) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mathematics Departments |  |  |  | Statistics Departments |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | Univ <br> (MA) | Coll <br> (BA) | Total Math Depts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | Univ <br> (MA) | Total Stat Depts |
| Mathematics Courses Precollege level | 2235 | 1578 | 4206 | 8020 |  |  |  |
| SE | 387.5 | 418.2 | 523.5 | 764.2 |  |  |  |
| Introductory (incl. Precalc) | 8245 | 6999 | 16948 | 32192 |  |  |  |
| SE | 962.1 | 1161.0 | 4678.9 | 4895.9 |  |  |  |
| Calculus | 8323 | 4579 | 8285 | 21186 |  |  |  |
| SE | 933.5 | 752.3 | 951.6 | 1523.0 |  |  |  |
| Advanced Mathematics | 3676 | 2633 | 4461 | 10771 |  |  |  |
| SE | 511.7 | 917.7 | 648.6 | 1233.0 |  |  |  |
| Total Math courses | 22479 | 15788 | 33901 | 72168 |  |  |  |
| SE | 2372.3 | 2596.1 | 5724.3 | 6669.5 |  |  |  |
| Statistics Courses Introductory Statistics | 1319 | 1493 | 4562 | 7374 | 1256 | 238 | 1494 |
| SE | 253.4 | 304.2 | 445.8 | 572.3 | 74.1 | 34.6 | 81.8 |
| Upper Statistics | 752 | 1432 | 1776 | 3960 | 796 | 174 | 970 |
| SE | 107.2 | 538.6 | 716.9 | 903.0 | 36.0 | 23.9 | 43.2 |
| Total Stat Courses | 2072 | 2925 | 6338 | 11334 | 2052 | 412 | 2464 |
| SE | 334.5 | 610.0 | 922.7 | 1141.8 | 88.1 | 51.6 | 102.1 |
| Computer Science Courses <br> Lower Computer Science | 109 | 186 | 1987 | 2282 |  |  |  |
| SE | 56.4 | 86.4 | 380.9 | 394.6 |  |  |  |
| Middle Computer Science | 31 | 69 | 1128 | 1227 |  |  |  |
| SE | 13.8 | 41.4 | 294.2 | 297.5 |  |  |  |
| Upper Computer Science | 0 | 84 | 375 | 460 |  |  |  |
| SE | 0.0 | 43.0 | 86.1 | 96.2 |  |  |  |
| Total CS courses | 140 | 339 | 3490 | 3970 |  |  |  |
| SE | 59.8 | 157.4 | 691.8 | 712.0 |  |  |  |
| Total all courses | 24692 | 19053 | 43728 | 87472 | 2052 | 412 | 2464 |
| SE | 2664.0 | 2630.5 | 6314.2 | 7261.3 | 88.1 | 51.6 | 102.1 |


| TABLE E. 4 | Four-year Mathematics Departments |  | Two-year Mathematics Departments |  | Statistics Departments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distance- learning Enrollments | Other Enrollments | Distancelearning Enrollments | Other Enrollments | Distance- learning Enrollments | Other Enrollments |
| Precollege Level | 8405 | 244475 | 89035 | 693252 |  |  |
| SE | 1941.0 | 25721.2 | 16109.0 | 55794.2 |  |  |
| College Algebra, Trigonometry, \& Pre-Calculus | 45226 | 954356 | 55227 | 390066 |  |  |
| SE | 9043.3 | 74355.6 | 7414.6 | 34706.3 |  |  |
| Calculus I (mainstream and non-mainstream) | 8968 | 346343 | 7455 | 84537 |  |  |
| SE | 3757.9 | 30642.4 | 1617.4 | 9007.5 |  |  |
| Calculus II (mainstream and non-mainstream) | 3410 | 125126 | 1813 | 32523 |  |  |
| SE | 1957.3 | 10653.8 | 480.4 | 3617.2 |  |  |
| Differential Equations \& Linear Algebra | 1492 | 137567 | 480 | 13559 |  |  |
| SE | 555.9 | 11250.9 | 350.7 | 1797.9 |  |  |
| Introductory Statistics | 18696 | 234558 | 30608 | 220671 | 4291 | 89620 |
| SE | 3859.4 | 18627.2 | 4236.1 | 54738.0 | 535.4 | 2924.5 |


| TABLE E.5 | Number of calculus-level sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ <br> tenure-eligible | Other <br> full-time | Part- <br> time | Graduate <br> Teaching <br> Assistant | Unknown | Total <br> Sections |
| Mathematics <br> Departments <br> Univ (PhD) | 2803 | 2962 | 733 | 1370 | 454 | 8323 |
| SE | 317.3 | 459.1 | 105.4 | 225.0 | 79.6 | 933.5 |
| Univ (MA) | 2365 | 994 | 797 | 84 | 339 | 4579 |
| SE | 269.7 | 225.2 | 339.8 | 20.2 | 195.2 | 752.3 |
| Coll (BA) | 5896 | 1078 | 585 | 0 | 727 | 8285 |
| SE | 592.4 | 247.6 | 122.8 | 0.0 | 297.1 | 951.6 |
| Total | 11064 | 5034 | 2115 | 1454 | 1520 | 21186 |
| SE | 720.5 | 567.6 | 376.3 | 226.8 | 363.2 | 1523.0 |


| TABLE E.6 | Number of introductory statistics sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ <br> tenure-eligible | Other <br> full-time | Part-timeGraduate <br> Teaching <br> Assistant | Unknown | Total <br> Sections |  |
| Mathematics <br> Departments <br> Univ (PhD) | 268 | 392 | 239 | 245 | 175 | 1319 |
| SE | 79.2 | 89.0 | 75.1 | 81.2 | 98.4 | 253.4 |
| Univ (MA) | 781 | 467 | 216 | 0 | 29 | 1493 |
| SE | 196.6 | 99.7 | 69.9 | 0.0 | 20.4 | 304.2 |
| Coll (BA) | 2006 | 725 | 1389 | 30 | 411 | 4562 |
| SE | 236.8 | 121.5 | 201.7 | 20.3 | 98.4 | 445.8 |
| Total | 3055 | 1584 | 1844 | 275 | 615 | 7374 |
| SE | 304.6 | 180.6 | 221.2 | 83.7 | 153.4 | 572.3 |
| Statistics <br> Departments <br> Univ (PhD) | 136 | 281 | 111 | 466 | 263 | 1256 |
| SE | 11.3 | 19.0 | 13.4 | 45.2 | 39.6 | 74.1 |
| Univ (MA) | 75 | 97 | 33 | 3 | 31 | 238 |
| SE | 20.0 | 17.4 | 7.2 | 0.9 | 8.7 | 34.6 |
| Total | 210 | 378 | 144 | 468 | 295 | 1494 |
| SE | 23.0 | 25.7 | 15.2 | 45.2 | 40.5 | 81.8 |

TABLE E. 7

| Mathematics Departments | Sections taught by TTE | Total sections | Statistics Departments | Sections taught by TTE | Total sections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advanced Mathematics courses <br> Univ (PhD) | 2519 | 3676 |  |  |  |
| SE | 334.6 | 511.7 |  |  |  |
| Univ (MA) | 1769 | 2633 |  |  |  |
| SE | 279.5 | 917.7 |  |  |  |
| Coll (BA) | 3236 | 4461 |  |  |  |
| SE | 383.6 | 648.6 |  |  |  |
| Total advanced mathematics | 7525 | 10771 |  |  |  |
| SE | 578.1 | 1233.0 |  |  |  |
| Advanced Statistics courses <br> Univ (PhD) | 452 | 752 | Advanced Statistics courses <br> Univ (PhD) | 394 | 796 |
| SE | 84.9 | 107.2 | SE | 18.9 | 36.0 |
| Univ (MA) | 656 | 1432 | Univ (MA) | 1010 | 1776 |
| SE | 133.3 | 538.6 | SE | 20.5 | 23.9 |
| Coll (BA) | 1010 | 1776 |  |  |  |
| SE | 145.8 | 716.9 |  |  |  |
| Total advanced statistics | 2118 | 3960 | Total advanced statistics | 533 | 970 |
| SE | 215.0 | 903.0 | SE | 27.9 | 43.2 |
| Total all advanced courses | 9643 | 14731 | Total all advanced courses | 533 | 970 |
| SE | 758.3 | 1559.5 | SE | 27.9 | 43.2 |


| TABLE E.8 | Number of lower-level computer science sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ <br> tenure-eligible/ <br> permanent | Other <br> full-time | Part-time | Graduate <br> Teaching <br> Assistant | Unknown | Total <br> Sections |
| Mathematics <br> Departments <br> Univ (PhD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE | 30 | 71 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 109 |
| Univ (MA) | 15.4 | 40.1 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.4 |
| SE | 112 | 48 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 186 |
| Coll (BA) | 50.4 | 29.1 | 23.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 86.4 |
| SE | 167.0 | 114.6 | 71.3 | 0.0 | 205.1 | 380.9 |
| Total | 1042 | 458 | 311 | 0 | 472 | 2282 |
| SE | 175.1 | 124.9 | 75.2 | 0.0 | 205.1 | 394.6 |


| TABLE E.9 | Number of middle-level computer science sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ <br> tenure-eligible/ <br> permanent | Other <br> full-time | Part-timeGraduate <br> Teaching <br> Assistant | Unknown | Total <br> Sections |  |
| Mathematics <br> Departments <br> Univ (PhD) | 17 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 31 |
| SE | 7.6 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 13.8 |
| Univ (MA) | 55 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| SE | 30.9 | 3.9 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.4 |
| Coll (BA) | 549 | 311 | 161 | 0 | 107 | 1128 |
| SE | 151.1 | 141.3 | 77.2 | 0.0 | 96.8 | 294.2 |
| Total | 621 | 316 | 174 | 0 | 116 | 1227 |
| SE | 154.4 | 141.3 | 77.6 | 0.0 | 97.1 | 297.5 |



| TABLE E. 11 | Average recitation section size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For Lecture/Recitation Courses | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | Univ <br> (MA) | College (BA) |
| Calculus Courses Mainstream Calculus I | 31 | 34 | 17 |
| SE | 1.4 | 14.5 | 3.8 |
| Mainstream Calculus II | 29 | 14 | 9 |
| SE | 1.5 | 7.4 | 3.9 |
| Other Calculus I | 36 | 16 | 9 |
| SE | 1.7 | 12.3 | 3.0 |
| Introductory Statistics in Mathematics Depts | 33 | 19 | 26 |
| SE | 4.0 | 10.2 | 2.7 |
| in Statistics Depts | 25 | 28 | na |
| SE | 3.5 | 2.9 | na |


| TABLE F. 1 | Univ (PhD) |  |  |  |  | Univ (MA) |  |  |  |  | Coll (BA) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured | Tenureeligible | OFT | Postdocs | Parttime | Tenured | Tenureeligible | OFT | Postdocs | Parttime | Tenured | Tenureeligible | OFT | Postdocs | Parttime |
| Mathematics Depts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral Faculty | 4591 | 998 | 2336 | 1150 | 588 | 2309 | 608 | 398 | 31 | 441 | 4780 | 1582 | 747 | 137 | 911 |
| SE | 57.8 | 20.1 | 63.8 | 53.0 | 25.0 | 70.8 | 30.2 | 41.4 | 9.6 | 74.1 | 152.9 | 68.5 | 96.9 | 27.9 | 92.9 |
| Doctoral (F) | 635 | 260 | 652 | 234 | 151 | 587 | 244 | 307 | 3 | 148 | 1346 | 614 | 420 | 51 | 289 |
| SE | 15.2 | 10.2 | 19.8 | 12.7 | 8.7 | 26.1 | 19.5 | 17.9 | 2.3 | 30.9 | 60.8 | 34.8 | 40.1 | 13.2 | 35.1 |
| Non-doctoral Faculty | 5 | 0 | 833 | 0 | 857 | 56 | 10 | 942 | 0 | 1469 | 238 | 93 | 2005 | 0 | 3416 |
| SE | 1.1 | 0.0 | 34.4 | - | 54.3 | 10.1 | 3.5 | 62.9 | - | 114.0 | 31.1 | 19.1 | 124.1 | - | 192.3 |
| Non-doctoral (F) | 2 | 0 | 480 | 0 | 361 | 18 | 9 | 540 | 0 | 686 | 99 | 45 | 882 | 0 | 1612 |
| SE | 0.6 | 0.0 | 21.3 | - | 22.1 | 5.3 | 3.4 | 40.1 | - | 55.2 | 17.9 | 10.7 | 56.0 | - | 94.0 |
| Total Mathematics | 4596 | 998 | 3170 | 1150 | 1445 | 2365 | 618 | 1339 | 31 | 1911 | 5018 | 1675 | 2752 | 137 | 4326 |
| SE | 57.7 | 20.1 | 67.4 | - | 62.9 | 71.8 | 30.7 | 76.6 | - | 136.4 | 154.8 | 70.1 | 192.1 | - | 238.5 |
| Total Mathematics (F) | 637 | 260 | 1133 | 234 | 512 | 605 | 252 | 847 | 3 | 835 | 1445 | 659 | 1303 | 51 | 1901 |
| SE | 15.2 | 10.2 | 29.7 | - | 24.7 | 26.2 | 20.5 | 43.3 | - | 62.7 | 63.0 | 36.3 | 68.4 | - | 105.9 |
| Statistics Depts | Univ (PhD) |  |  |  |  | Univ (MA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral Faculty | 649 | 220 | 226 | 113 | 91 | 123 | 40 | 13 | 3 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE | 28.4 | 9.7 | 21.1 | 14.7 | 16.9 | 17.2 | 9.7 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 7.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral (F) | 137 | 71 | 107 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 19 | 8 | 0 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE | 7.9 | 4.9 | 7.6 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 6.5 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-doctoral Faculty | 0 | 0 | 143 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | - | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | - | 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-doctoral (F) | 0 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | - | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | - | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Statistics | 649 | 220 | 369 | 113 | 128 | 123 | 40 | 32 | 3 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE | 28.4 | 9.7 | 21.3 | - | 19.8 | 17.2 | 9.7 | 6.5 | - | 7.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Statistics (F) | 137 | 71 | 237 | 22 | 38 | 16 | 19 | 16 | 0 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE | 7.9 | 4.9 | 8.0 | - | 6.3 | 6.5 | 5.1 | 3.3 | - | 4.3 |  |  |  |  |  |


| TABLE F.1.1 | Tenured | Tenureeligible | OFT | Postdocs | Parttime |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics Depts | Univ (PhD) + Univ (MA) + Coll (BA) |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral Faculty | 11681 | 3188 | 3481 | 1317 | 1940 |
| SE | 178.1 | 77.5 | 123.2 | 60.7 | 121.4 |
| Doctoral (F) | 2568 | 1118 | 1379 | 288 | 588 |
| SE | 67.9 | 41.2 | 48.2 | 18.5 | 47.6 |
| Non-doctoral Faculty | 298 | 103 | 3780 | 0 | 5742 |
| SE | 32.7 | 19.5 | 143.3 | - | 230.0 |
| Non-doctoral (F) | 120 | 54 | 1903 | 0 | 2659 |
| SE | 18.7 | 11.2 | 72.0 | - | 111.2 |
| Total Mathematics | 11979 | 3291 | 7261 | 1317 | 7682 |
| SE | 180.1 | 79.1 | 217.5 | 60.7 | 281.9 |
| Total Mathematics (F) | 2688 | 1171 | 3282 | 288 | 3248 |
| SE | 69.9 | 42.9 | 86.2 | 18.5 | 125.5 |
| Statistics Depts | Univ (PhD) + Univ (MA) |  |  |  |  |
| Doctoral Faculty | 772 | 260 | 239 | 116 | 112 |
| SE | 33.2 | 13.7 | 21.5 | 14.8 | 18.3 |
| Doctoral (F) | 153 | 90 | 115 | 22 | 25 |
| SE | 10.3 | 7.1 | 8.7 | 4.0 | 5.9 |
| Non-doctoral Faculty | 0 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 43 |
| SE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 | - | 7.2 |
| Non-doctoral (F) | 0 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 21 |
| SE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | - | 4.3 |
| Total Statistics | 772 | 260 | 401 | 116 | 155 |
| SE | 33.2 | 13.7 | 22.3 | 14.8 | 21.3 |
| Total Statistics (F) | 153 | 90 | 253 | 22 | 46 |
| SE | 10.3 | 7.1 | 8.6 | 4.0 | 7.6 |


| TABLE F. 2 | Univ (PhD) |  |  |  | Univ (MA) |  |  |  | Coll (BA) |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured | Tenureeligible | Other full-time | Postdocs | Tenured | Tenureeligible | Other full-time | Postdocs | Tenured | Tenureeligible | Other full-time | Postdocs | Tenured | Tenureeligible | Other full-time | Postdocs |
| Men, 2015 | 3958 | 739 | 2037 | 916 | 1760 | 366 | 493 | 28 | 3573 | 1015 | 1450 | 85 | 9292 | 2120 | 3979 | 1030 |
| SE | 54.9 | 15.4 | 49.0 | 42.4 | 63.6 | 23.8 | 47.6 | 9.4 | 115.2 | 50.0 | 150.8 | 17.0 | 142.5 | 57.5 | 165.5 | 46.7 |
| Women, 2015 | 637 | 260 | 1133 | 234 | 605 | 252 | 847 | 3 | 1445 | 659 | 1303 | 51 | 2688 | 1171 | 3282 | 288 |
| SE | 15.2 | 10.2 | 29.7 | 12.7 | 26.2 | 20.5 | 43.3 | 2.3 | 63.0 | 36.3 | 68.4 | 13.2 | 69.9 | 42.9 | 86.2 | 18.5 |
| Total, 2015 | 4596 | 998 | 3170 | 1150 | 2365 | 618 | 1339 | 31 | 5018 | 1675 | 2752 | 137 | 11979 | 3291 | 7261 | 1317 |
| SE | 57.7 | 20.1 | 67.4 | 53.0 | 71.8 | 30.7 | 76.6 | 9.6 | 154.8 | 70.1 | 192.1 | 27.9 | 180.1 | 79.1 | 217.5 | 60.7 |


| TABLE F.3 | Doctoral Statistics Departments |  |  |  | Masters Statistics Departments |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured | Tenure- <br> eligible | Other <br> full-time | Postdocs | Tenured | Tenure- <br> eligible | Other <br> full-time | Postdocs | Tenured | Tenure- <br> eligible | Other <br> full-time | Postdocs |
| Men, 2015 | 512 | 148 | 132 | 91 | 107 | 21 | 16 | 3 | 618 | 170 | 148 | 94 |
| SE | 25.0 | 9.1 | 15.8 | 12.6 | 11.6 | 7.8 | 4.6 | 2.1 | 27.6 | 11.9 | 16.4 | 12.7 |
| Women, 2015 | 137 | 71 | 237 | 22 | 16 | 19 | 16 | 0 | 153 | 90 | 253 | 22 |
| SE | 7.9 | 4.9 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 5.1 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 7.1 | 8.6 | 4.0 |
| Total, 2015 | 649 | 220 | 369 | 113 | 123 | 40 | 32 | 3 | 772 | 260 | 401 | 116 |
| SE | 28.4 | 9.7 | 21.3 | 14.7 | 17.2 | 9.7 | 6.5 | 2.1 | 33.2 | 13.7 | 22.3 | 14.8 |


| TABLE F. 4 <br> (Standard errors only) | $<30$ $\%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 30- \\ & 34 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 35- \\ & 39 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 40- \\ 44 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 45- \\ & 49 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50- \\ & 54 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55- \\ & 59 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60- \\ & 64 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65- \\ & 69 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $>69$ $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics Depts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ (PhD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenured Men | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Tenured Women | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Tenure-eligible men | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tenure-eligible women | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Univ (PhD) | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Univ (MA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenured Men | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Tenured Women | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Tenure-eligible men | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tenure-eligible women | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Univ (MA) | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Coll (BA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenured Men | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Tenured Women | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Tenure-eligible men | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tenure-eligible women | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Coll (BA) | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Statistics Depts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ (MA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenured Men | 2.0 | 4.0 | 9.9 | 11.5 | 12.9 | 14.6 | 12.6 | 13.6 | 10.0 | 9.6 |
| Tenured Women | 0.0 | 4.7 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 9.2 | 8.8 | 7.6 | 8.6 | 6.2 | 3.1 |
| Tenure-eligible men | 5.6 | 9.6 | 9.1 | 6.9 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tenure-eligible women | 4.9 | 8.9 | 6.7 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Univ (MA) | 1.8 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 2.2 |
| Univ (PhD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenured Men | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| Tenured Women | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| Tenure-eligible men | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tenure-eligible women | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Total Univ (PhD) | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 |


| TABLE F. 5 | Percentage of Full-time Faculty |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Asian <br> \% | Black, not Hispanic \% | Mexican <br> American/ Puerto Rican/ other Hispanic \% | White, not Hispanic \% | AIAN or NHPI \% | Unknown \% |
| PhD Mathematics Departments All full-time men | 15 | 1 | 3 | 55 | 0 | 2 |
| SE | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| All full-time women | 5 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 1 |
| SE | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| MA Mathematics Departments <br> All full-time men | 11 | 2 | 3 | 46 | 0 | 2 |
| SE | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| All full-time women | 6 | 1 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 1 |
| SE | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| BA Mathematics Departments All full-time men | 6 | 2 | 1 | 53 | 0 | 2 |
| SE | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| All full-time women | 4 | 1 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 1 |
| SE | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| All Statistics Departments All full-time men | 22 | 1 | 2 | 45 | 0 | 2 |
| SE | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| All full-time women | 11 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 1 |
| SE | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 |


| TABLE F. 6 | Percentage of part-time Faculty |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asian } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Black, not Hispanic \% | Mexican American/ Puerto Rican/ other Hispanic \% | White, not Hispanic \% | AiAN or NHPI | Unknown \% |
| PhD Mathematics Departments All part-time men | 8 | 2 | 2 | 47 | 0 | 4 |
| SE | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| All part-time women | 5 | 1 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 2 |
| SE | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| MA Mathematics Departments All part-time men | 5 | 3 | 4 | 38 | 0 | 7 |
| SE | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.6 |
| All part-time women | 2 | 1 | 2 | 34 | 0 | 5 |
| SE | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| BA Mathematics Departments <br> All part-time men | 3 | 3 | 1 | 45 | 0 | 4 |
| SE | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| All part-time women | 2 | 1 | 1 | 35 | 1 | 4 |
| SE | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| All Statistics Departments All part-time men | 11 | 2 | 1 | 55 | 0 | 3 |
| SE | 1.9 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| All part-time women | 8 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| SE | 2.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |



| TABLE FY. 1 | Percentage of sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ tenureeligible \% |  |  | Other full-time \% |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Part-time } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Graduate teaching assistants \% |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unknown } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Average Section Size |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Enrollment } \\ (1000 \mathrm{~s}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Course \& Department Type | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA |
| Mainstream Calculus I <br> Lecture with separate recitation | 28 | 32 | 75 | 48 | 26 | 18 | 12 | 24 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 6 | 98 | 45 | 26 | 93 | 40 | 12 |
| SE | 3.6\% | 3.7\% | 4.8\% | 4.6\% | 6.2\% | 4.6\% | 2.6\% | 7.6\% | 1.3\% | 1.8\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 8.2\% | 3.9\% | 7.6 | 11.3 | 1.4 | 10.9 | 17.7 | 2.8 |
| Sections that meet as a class | 26 | 62 | 72 | 31 | 26 | 8 | 12 | 7 | 10 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 32 | 30 | 23 | 39 | 18 | 51 |
| SE | 2.8\% | 10.9\% | 4.4\% | 5.4\% | 9.5\% | 2.0\% | 4.6\% | 2.4\% | 1.6\% | 5.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 3.4\% | 3.6\% | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 10.5 | 3.9 | 7.7 |
| Other sections | 27 | 0 | 35 | 32 | 0 | 65 | 7 | 100 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| SE | 19.4\% | . | 63.4\% | 48.5\% | . | 63.4\% | 4.9\% | . | 0.0\% | 24.3\% | . | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | . | 0.0\% | 1.7 | . | 10.8 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Mainstream Calculus I | 27 | 44 | 72 | 38 | 25 | 11 | 12 | 18 | 9 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 9 | 60 | 38 | 24 | 134 | 58 | 63 |
| SE | 1.8\% | 6.3\% | 3.7\% | 4.1\% | 5.1\% | 1.9\% | 2.9\% | 5.4\% | 1.4\% | 4.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 4.4\% | 3.0\% | 5.0 | 6.8 | 0.8 | 13.6 | 16.5 | 8.2 |
| Mainstream Calculus II <br> Lecture with separate recitation | 33 | 66 | 65 | 52 | 11 | 23 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 90 | 37 | 22 | 54 | 13 | 5 |
| SE | 4.2\% | 7.9\% | 15.4\% | 4.2\% | 3.0\% | 10.6\% | 1.2\% | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 5.5 | 4.5 | 1.9 | 9.3 | 2.9 | 1.5 |
| Sections that meet as a class | 27 | 60 | 69 | 38 | 18 | 15 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 9 | 38 | 28 | 20 | 21 | 7 | 24 |
| SE | 3.9\% | 10.0\% | 7.6\% | 4.0\% | 7.8\% | 4.8\% | 2.2\% | 2.3\% | 1.9\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 8.8\% | 5.2\% | 2.7 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 5.9 | 2.1 | 4.5 |
| Other sections | 38 | NA | 100 | 25 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 |  | NA | 0 |  | NA | 0 | 29 | NA | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| SE |  |  | 0.0\% | . | . | 0.0\% |  | - | 0.0\% |  | . | 0.0\% |  | . | 0.0\% | . |  | 10.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Mainstream Calculus II | 30 | 64 | 69 | 44 | 14 | 17 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 64 | 33 | 20 | 76 | 21 | 29 |
| SE | 2.9\% | 5.7\% | 7.0\% | 2.1\% | 3.6\% | 5.0\% | 0.9\% | 5.1\% | 1.6\% | 3.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 4.1\% | 4.3\% | 3.9 | 3.1 | 1.0 | 8.5 | 3.9 | 5.2 |
| Total Mainstream Calculus I \& II | 28 | 50 | 71 | 40 | 22 | 13 | 10 | 16 | 7 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 9 | 62 | 37 | 23 | 210 | 79 | 92 |
| SE | 2.0\% | 5.4\% | 4.5\% | 3.2\% | 4.3\% | 2.6\% | 1.8\% | 4.7\% | 1.2\% | 3.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 4.2\% | 3.2\% | 4.5 | 5.6 | 0.7 | 20.9 | 19.4 | 12.9 |



| TABLE FY. 3 | Percentage of sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ tenureeligible \% |  |  | Other full-time \% |  |  | Part-time \% |  |  | Graduate teaching assistants \% |  |  | Unknown \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Average <br> Section <br> Size | Enrollment (1000s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Course \& Mathematics Department Type | PhD | MA | BA |  |  |  | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA | PhD | MA | BA |
| Introductory Statistics (F1) (non-calculus) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lecture with separate recitation | 17 | 49 | 43 | 52 | 39 | 19 |  |  |  | 3 | 8 | 19 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 4 | 19 | 141 | 41 | 31 | 15 | 9 | 18 |
| SE | 7.5\% | 10.2\% | 8.7\% | 9.2\% | 9.2\% | 3.4\% | 2.9\% | 5.4\% | 5.5\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 4.2\% | 8.8\% | 24.5 | 10.1 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| Sections that meet as a class | 13 | 46 | 42 | 31 | 38 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 34 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 8 | 30 | 39 | 26 | 26 | 34 | 85 |
| SE | 3.6\% | 6.2\% | 3.3\% | 8.5\% | 8.1\% | 2.4\% | 5.3\% | 4.6\% | 3.2\% | 8.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 10.2\% | 0.5\% | 2.0\% | 4.2 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 4.7 | 9.0 | 10.4 |
| Other sections | 9 | NA | 38 | 91 | NA | 49 | 0 | NA | 13 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 2 | NA | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SE | 66.1\% | \% | 36.8\% | 66.1\% |  | 51.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 15.4\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 18.5 |  | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Introductory Statistics (non-calculus) | 13 | 46 | 42 | 34 | 38 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 32 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 9 | 42 | 39 | 27 | 41 | 43 | 104 |
| SE | 3.4\% | 5.0\% | 3.3\% | 7.1\% | 6.5\% | 2.2\% | 4.7\% | 3.8\% | 2.7\% | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 9.2\% | 1.1\% | 2.1\% | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 5.4 | 9.1 | 11.1 |
| Introductory Statistics (F2) (calculus prerequisite for non-majors/minors) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lecture with separate recitation | 54 | 86 | 41 | 29 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 59 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 53 | 79 | 27 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| SE | 7.4\% | 15.1\% | 30.4\% | 13.0\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 30.4\% | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 5.2 | 17.0 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.6 |
| Sections that meet as a class | 37 | 71 | 69 | 24 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 17 | 12 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 33 | 31 | 27 | 5 | 8 | 11 |
| SE | 13.9\% | 9.1\% | 8.1\% | 10.2\% | 5.7\% | 9.2\% | 8.8\% | 13.5\% | 5.8\% | 10.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.4\% | 3.4 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 3.6 |
| Other sections | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 34 | NA | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SE |  |  | . | . |  | . |  |  |  | . | . |  | . |  | . |  |  |  | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Introductory Statistics (calculus) | 43 | 74 | 63 | 24 | 10 | 8 | 15 | 14 | 22 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 37 | 40 | 27 | 7 | 13 | 14 |
| SE | 11.3\% | 6.9\% | 7.6\% | 6.6\% | 4.6\% | 7.2\% | 6.1\% | 9.4\% | 9.3\% | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 1.5\% | 5.0\% | 2.5 | 6.2 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 4.1 |
| Statistics for Pre-service Teachers (F3,F4) | 23 | 76 | 29 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 27 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 23 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| SE | 14.8\% | \% 22.8\% | 58.8\% | 21.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 25.5\% | 0.0\% | 12.7\% | 0.0\% | 58.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1 | 4.6 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Probability \& Statistics (non-Calculus) (F5) | 46 | 32 | 27 | 0 | 34 | 31 | 54 | 13 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 13 | 34 | 38 | 31 | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| SE | 28.3\% | 7.9\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 15.3\% | 15.8\% | 28.3\% | 19.0\% | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 11.6\% | 11.0 | 16.6 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.7 |
| Total, all introductory statistics courses for nonmajors | 20 | 52 | 44 | 30 | 31 | 16 | 18 | 14 | 30 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 9 | 40 | 39 | 27 | 53 | 58 | 123 |
| SE | 3.8\% | 5.0\% | 3.1\% | 6.3\% | 5.1\% | 2.2\% | 3.9\% | 3.5\% | 2.8\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 6.9\% | 1.4\% | 2.1\% | 3.3 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 8.0 | 11.6 | 12.9 |


| TABLE FY. 4 | Percentage of sections taught by |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average Section Size |  | Enrollment <br> (1000s) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ tenure-eligible \% |  | Otherfull-time(with PhD)$\%$ |  | Otherfull-time(without PhD)$\%$ |  | Part-time$\%$ |  | Graduate teaching assistants \% |  | Unknown$\%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Course \& Statistics Department Type | PhD | MA | PhD | MA | PhD | MA | PhD | MA | PhD | MA | PhD | MA | PhD | MA | PhD | MA |
| Introductory Statistics (non-Calculus for nonmajors/minors ) (E1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lecture with separate recitation | 6 | 8 | 9 | 26 | 9 | 18 | 6 | 21 | 38 | 3 | 32 | 26 | 57 | 96 | 35 | 5 |
| SE | 1.1\% | 2.4\% | 1.1\% | 11.2\% | 1.0\% | 3.4\% | 1.1\% | 4.6\% | 4.4\% | 1.6\% | 4.2\% | 11.0\% | 3.7 | 20.5 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| Sections that meet as a class | 17 | 40 | 16 | 4 | 9 | 35 | 11 | 15 | 41 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 66 | 53 | 18 | 7 |
| SE | 2.4\% | 7.4\% | 2.4\% | 2.3\% | 1.2\% | 8.2\% | 1.9\% | 4.4\% | 4.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 2.9\% | 3.0 | 6.9 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Other sections | 0 | NA | 3 |  | 3 | NA | 42 | NA | 52 | NA | 0 | NA | 20 | NA | 1 | 0 |
| SE | 0.0\% |  | 13.5\% |  | 12.1\% |  | 4.1\% |  | 21.4\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 2.1 |  | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Total Introductory Statistics (non-Calculus) | 9 | 31 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 30 | 9 | 16 | 40 | 1 | 23 | 11 | 58 | 65 | 54 | 12 |
| SE | 1.0\% | 6.3\% | 1.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.8\% | 5.5\% | 1.2\% | 3.1\% | 2.9\% | 0.6\% | 2.9\% | 3.9\% | 2.6 | 7.6 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
| Introductory Statistics (calculus prerequisite for non-majors/minors ) (E2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lecture with separate recitation | 14 | 17 | 24 | 17 | 7 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 27 | 58 | 73 | 57 | 10 | 1 |
| SE | 2.7\% | 17.6\% | 3.9\% | 5.9\% | 0.9\% | 8.8\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 29.2\% | 8.5 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 0.3 |
| Sections that meet as a class | 31 | 41 | 22 | 0 | 6 | 48 | 8 | 4 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 54 | 68 | 5 | 2 |
| SE | 4.2\% | 11.9\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 10.5\% | 1.2\% | 1.9\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 8.5 | 19.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Other sections | 5 | NA | 33 | NA | 2 | NA | 0 | NA | 60 | NA | 0 | NA | 26 | NA | 1 | 0 |
| SE | 9.9\% |  | 9.9\% |  | 5.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 24.8\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 11.8 |  | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Total Introductory Statistics (Calculus) | 18 | 33 | 25 | 5 | 6 | 36 | 9 | 3 | 29 | 0 | 14 | 23 | 59 | 65 | 16 | 3 |
| SE | 2.4\% | 9.0\% | 2.2\% | 1.9\% | 0.9\% | 6.9\% | 1.8\% | 1.3\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 9.3\% | 4.5 | 11.9 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
| Statistics for Pre-service Teachers (E3,E4) | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| SE | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Probability \& Statistics (non-Calculus) (E5) | 6 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 102 | 40 | 4 |  |
| SE | 2.6\% |  | 2.6\% |  | 3.6\% |  | 1.6\% |  | 4.0\% |  | 4.3\% |  | 18.4 |  | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| Total, all introductory probability \& statistics courses | 11 | 31 | 14 | 9 | 8 | 32 | 9 | 14 | 37 | 1 | 21 | 13 | 59 | 65 | 74 | 15 |
| SE | 1.0\% | 6.1\% | 1.1\% | 2.4\% | 0.7\% | 4.8\% | 1.1\% | 2.6\% | 2.4\% | 0.4\% | 2.8\% | 4.2\% | 2.7 | 6.7 | 2.3 | 1.8 |


| TABLE FY. 5 | Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | SE | Univ (MA) | SE | Colleg <br> e (BA) | SE | All Depts. Combi ned | SE |
| Percentage of departments that offer introductory statistics course with no calculus prerequisite | 50 | 4.4 | 78 | 5.5 | 83 | 5.8 | 78 | 3.9 |
| Number of different kinds of introductory statistics courses for non-majors with no calculus prerequisite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 61 | 11.9 | 69 | 10.0 | 74 | 6.6 | 72 | 5.4 |
| 2 | 35 | 11.9 | 23 | 8.5 | 23 | 6.5 | 24 | 5.2 |
| 3 | 4 | 3.1 | 4 | 1.8 | 2 | 1.1 | 3 | 0.9 |
| More than 3 | . |  | 4 | 3.8 | 0 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.6 |
| Of those that offer the course, the percentage of departments in which the majority of sections use real data for the following percentages of class sessions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-20\% | 21 | 8.4 | 29 | 14.0 | 28 | 7.6 | 28 | 6.0 |
| 21-40\% | 13 | 12.0 | 31 | 7.0 | 23 | 5.5 | 23 | 4.3 |
| 41-60\% | 26 | 7.4 | 19 | 8.0 | 18 | 4.4 | 19 | 3.5 |
| 61-80\% | 12 | 4.5 | 2 | 1.6 | 14 | 4.4 | 12 | 3.4 |
| 81-100\% | 29 | 7.9 | 18 | 5.4 | 18 | 4.8 | 19 | 3.9 |
| Percentage of departments where the majority of sections use in-class demonstrations in the following percentages of class sessions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-20\% | 21 | 8.7 | 23 | 14.3 | 18 | 3.9 | 19 | 3.6 |
| 21-40\% | 26 | 12.3 | 17 | 7.2 | 22 | 5.9 | 22 | 4.8 |
| 41-60\% | 20 | 7.3 | 33 | 9.0 | 21 | 3.5 | 23 | 2.9 |
| 61-80\% | 16 | 4.9 | 17 | 5.4 | 17 | 5.1 | 17 | 4.0 |
| 81-100\% | 18 | 6.4 | 9 | 4.8 | 21 | 4.0 | 19 | 3.2 |
| Percentage of departments using the following kinds of technology in the majority of sections: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graphing calculators | 57 | 9.3 | 77 | 9.0 | 66 | 5.7 | 67 | 4.7 |
| Statistical packages | 48 | 12.8 | 64 | 10.4 | 45 | 6.6 | 48 | 5.5 |
| Educational software | 29 | 6.6 | 55 | 6.7 | 52 | 5.9 | 50 | 4.8 |
| Applets | 16 | 8.8 | 30 | 12.9 | 24 | 4.8 | 24 | 4.2 |
| Spreadsheets | 66 | 10.8 | 72 | 9.6 | 67 | 5.9 | 68 | 4.6 |
| Web-based resources | 42 | 8.9 | 65 | 8.7 | 49 | 6.5 | 50 | 5.2 |
| Classroom response systems | 4 | 3.3 | 12 | 5.6 | 6 | 3.0 | 6 | 2.4 |
| Online textbooks | 41 | 7.9 | 48 | 9.9 | 39 | 6.3 | 41 | 5.1 |
| Online videos | 26 | 7.7 | 32 | 10.0 | 32 | 5.4 | 31 | 4.5 |
| Percentage of departments where the majority of sections require assessments beyond homework, exams, and quizzes | 19 | 5.4 | 22 | 8.1 | 45 | 5.8 | 39 | 4.9 |


| TABLE FY. 6 | Statistics Departments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | SE | Univ (MA) | SE | All Depts. Combined | SE |
| Percentage of departments that offer Introductory Statistics for non-majors/minors with no calculus prerequisite | 97 | 1.6 | 85 | 5.1 | 94 | 1.7 |
| Number of different kinds of introductory statistics courses for non-majors with no calculus prerequisite <br> 1 <br> 2 <br> 3 <br> More than 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 26 \\ & 21 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9 \\ & 3.1 \\ & 2.8 \\ & 3.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 23 \\ & 23 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.9 \\ & 6.0 \\ & 6.0 \\ & 5.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 26 \\ & 22 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | 2.8 2.8 2.6 2.6 |
| Of those that offer the course, the percentage of departments in which the majority of sections use real data the following percentages of the time: $\begin{gathered} \text { 0-20\% } \\ 21-40 \% \\ 41-60 \% \\ 61-80 \% \\ 81-100 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 12 \\ & 16 \\ & 16 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | 2.9 2.2 1.8 2.9 3.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & 10 \\ & 40 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.6 \\ & 6.6 \\ & 5.0 \\ & 8.1 \\ & 5.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 14 \\ & 15 \\ & 21 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | 2.7 2.2 1.7 2.9 2.9 |
| Percentage of departments where the majority of sections use in-class demonstrations in the following percentages of class sessions: $\begin{gathered} \text { 0-20\% } \\ 21-40 \% \\ 41-60 \% \\ 61-80 \% \\ 81-100 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 7 \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 2.9 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 0.9 \\ & 3.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ 40 \\ 10 \\ . \\ 20 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 7.6 8.1 5.0 . 6.6 | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ 23 \\ 21 \\ 5 \\ 39 \end{gathered}$ | 2.3 2.9 2.6 0.7 2.9 |
| Percentage of departments using following kinds of technology in the majority of sections <br> Graphing calculators <br> Statistical packages <br> Educational software <br> Applets <br> Spreadsheets <br> Web-based resources <br> Classroom response systems <br> Online textbooks <br> Online videos | 46 65 53 45 52 74 55 51 38 | 3.5 3.1 3.5 3.6 3.5 2.7 3.6 3.5 3.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 75 \\ & 55 \\ & 27 \\ & 64 \\ & 45 \\ & 33 \\ & 45 \\ & 27 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 7.4 6.4 7.8 7.0 7.5 7.8 7.0 7.8 7.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \\ & 68 \\ & 53 \\ & 41 \\ & 55 \\ & 68 \\ & 50 \\ & 50 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | 3.2 2.8 3.2 3.2 3.2 2.7 3.2 3.2 3.1 |
| Percentage of departments where the majority of sections require assessments beyond homework, exams, and quizzes | 35 | 3.5 | 25 | 6.4 | 32 | 3.1 |


| TABLE FY.7 | Mathematics Depts |  |  |  | Statistics Depts |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Univ <br> (PhD) | Univ <br> (MA) | College <br> (BA) | Total | Univ <br> (PhD) | Univ <br> (MA) | Total |  |
| Conditional probability | 92 | 90 | 72 | 76 | 85 | 75 | 83 |  |
| SE | 5.5 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 6.4 | 2.5 |  |
| SE | Simulation to explore randomness | 50 | 84 | 45 | 51 | 76 | 67 | 73 |
| Resampling techniques | 12.5 | 6.3 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 7.0 | 2.6 |  |
| SE | 9 | 34 | 21 | 22 | 50 | 8 | 39 |  |


| TABLE FY.8 | No graduate <br> degree in statistics | Masters degree <br> in statistics | PhD degree in <br> statistics |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |
| Univ (PhD) | 52 | 29 | 18 |
| SE | 10.3 | 9.9 | 7.8 |
| SE (MA) | 48 | 35 | 17 |
| Coll (BA) | 8.3 | 7.2 | 5.1 |
| SE | 68 | 5.6 | 14 |
| Total Math Depts | 64 | 21 | 4.3 |
| SE | 4.5 | 4.4 | 15 |


| TABLE FY.9 | Mathematics Depts |  |  |  | Statistics Depts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Univ <br> (PhD) | Univ <br> (MA) | College <br> (BA) | Total | Univ <br> (PhD) | Univ <br> (MA) | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average estimated outside <br> enrollment | 710 | 196 | 68 | 134 | 306 | 496 | 328 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 114.6 | 35.4 | 6.8 | 17.2 | 34.4 | 124.0 | 32.6 |
| Estimated outside national <br> enrollment | 34369 | 20217 | 34988 | 89574 | 6038 | 1296 | 7334 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SE | 8830.9 | 4938.4 | 4723.2 | 11166.1 | 724.3 | 465.7 | 861.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TABLE TYE. 2 | 2015 | SE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics \& Statistics <br> enrollments in TYCs | $2,012,000$ | $118,000.0$ |


| TABLE TYE. 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course <br> Number | Type of course | 2015 | SE |
|  | Precollege level |  |  |
| 1 | Arithmetic \& Basic Mathematics | 71 | 14.1 |
| 2 | Pre-algebra | 127 | 16.3 |
| 3 | Elementary Algebra (High School level) | 277 | 26.9 |
| 4 | Intermediate Algebra (High School level) | 299 | 29.8 |
| 5 | Geometry (High School level) | 8 | 3.0 |
|  | Precalculus level |  |  |
| 6 | College Algebra (above Intermediate Algebra) | 292 | 29.0 |
| 7 | Trigonometry | 51 | 6.7 |
| 8 | College Algebra \& Trigonometry (combined) | 13 | 2.7 |
| 9 | Introduction to Mathematical Modeling | 2 | 1.3 |
| 10 | Precalculus/Elem Functions/Analytic Geometry | 87 | 13.3 |
|  | Calculus level |  |  |
| 11 | Mainstream Calculus I | 66 | 6.5 |
| 12 | Mainstream Calculus II | 34 | 3.8 |
| 13 | Mainstream Calculus III | 19 | 2.2 |
| 14 | Non-mainstream Calculus I | 26 | 7.1 |
| 15 | Non-mainstream Calculus II | 0 | 0.1 |
| 16 | Differential Equations | 7 | 1.3 |
|  | Other mathematics courses |  |  |
| 17 | Linear Algebra | 7 | 1.1 |
| 18 | Discrete Mathematics | 5 | 2.1 |
| 19 | Elementary Statistics (with or w/o Probability) | 251 | 54.9 |
| 20 | Probability (with or w/o Statistics) | 28 | 15.3 |
| 21 | Finite Mathematics | 40 | 19.4 |
| 22 | Mathematics for Liberal Arts | 97 | 14.0 |
| 23 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I | 12 | 1.8 |
| 24 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers II | 3 | 0.9 |
| 25 | Other Mathematics Courses for Teacher Preparation | 1 | 0.5 |
| 26 | Business Mathematics (not transferable) | 16 | 3.8 |
| 27 | Business Mathematics (transferable) | 10 | 2.8 |
| 28 | Technical Math (non-calculus-based) | 21 | 4.7 |
| 29 | Technical Math (calculus-based) | 3 | 1.7 |
| 30 | Other Mathematics Courses (not transferable) | 31 | 8.8 |
| 31 | Other Mathematics Courses (transferable) | 12 | 4.6 |
|  | Total all Two-year College math courses | 1918 | 114.6 |

TABLE TYE. 4

| Course <br> numbers | Type of course | 2015 | SE |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $1-5$ | Precollege Level | 782 <br> $(41 \%)$ | 64.7 |
| $6-10$ | Precalculus Level | 445 | 39.4 |
| $11-16$ | Calculus Level | $(23 \%)$ | 152 |
|  | Statistics, Probability | 15.2 |  |
| $19-20$ | Remaining Courses | 280 <br> $(15 \%)$ <br> 259 <br> $(13 \%)$ | 59.6 |
| $17-18 \&$ |  |  |  |
| $21-31$ |  | 1918 | 114.6 |
| $1-31$ | Total, all courses | $(100 \%)$ |  |


| TABLE TYE. 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Course <br> number | Type of course | Fall | SE |
| 1 | Arithmetic \& Basic Mathematics | 3010 | 4.8 |
| 2 | Pre-algebra | $44 \%$ | 4.8 |
| 3 | Elementary Algebra (High School level) | $75 \%$ | 5.3 |
| 4 | Intermediate Algebra (High School level) | $72 \%$ | 4.6 |
| 5 | Geometry (High School level) | $8 \%$ | 1.5 |
| 6 | College Algebra (above Intermediate Algebra) | $79 \%$ | 4.1 |
| 7 | Trigonometry | $57 \%$ | 4.9 |
| 8 | College Algebra \& Trigonometry (combined) | $20 \%$ | 4.4 |
| 9 | Introduction to Mathematical Modeling | $5 \%$ | 2.7 |
| 10 | Precalculus/ Elementary Functions/ Analytic Geometry | $54 \%$ | 6.3 |
| 11 | Mainstream Calculus I | $80 \%$ | 6.3 |
| 12 | Mainstream Calculus II | $65 \%$ | 3.8 |
| 13 | Mainstream Calculus III | $54 \%$ | 4.3 |
| 14 | Non-mainstream Calculus I | $26 \%$ | 4.4 |
| 15 | Non-mainstream Calculus II | $0 \%$ | 0.2 |
| 16 | Differential Equations | $25 \%$ | 3.7 |
| 17 | Linear Algebra | $24 \%$ | 3.9 |
| 18 | Discrete Mathematics | $12 \%$ | 2.4 |
| 19 | Elementary Statistics (with or w/o Probability) | $83 \%$ | 5.8 |
| 20 | Probability (with or w/o Statistics) | $5 \%$ | 2.8 |
| 21 | Finite Mathematics | $23 \%$ | 4.6 |
| 22 | Mathematics for Liberal Arts | $62 \%$ | 5.1 |
| 23 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I | $41 \%$ | 5.4 |
| 24 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers II | $17 \%$ | 3.7 |
| 25 | Other Mathematics Courses for Teacher Preparation | $4 \%$ | 2.0 |
| 26 | Business Mathematics (not transferable) | $25 \%$ | 5.4 |
| 27 | Business Mathematics (transferable) | $12 \%$ | 3.0 |
| 28 | Technical Mathematics (non-calculus-based) | $23 \%$ | 4.5 |
| 29 | Technical Mathematics (calculus-based) | 3.3 |  |
| 30 | Other Mathematics Courses (not transferable) | 4.8 |  |
| 31 | Other Mathematics Courses (transferable) | 3.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| TABLE TYE. 6 |  | Percentage of two-year <br> colleges teaching course |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Course <br> number | Type of course | 2015 | SE |
| 11 | Mainstream Calculus I | 80 | 6.3 |
| 16 | Differential Equations | 25 | 3.7 |
| 17 | Linear Algebra | 24 | 3.9 |
| 18 | Discrete Mathematics | 12 | 2.4 |
| 19 | Elementary Statistics (with or w/o Probability) | 83 | 5.8 |
| 21 | Finite Mathematics | 23 | 4.6 |
| 22 | Mathematics for Liberal Arts | 62 | 5.1 |
| 23 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I | 41 | 5.4 |
| 28 | Technical Mathematics (non-calculus-based) | 38 | 4.5 |
| 29 | Technical Mathematics (calculus-based) | 9 | 3.3 |


| TABLE TYE. 7 |  | 2015 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course numbers | Type of course | Average section size | SE | Percentage of sections with size > 30 | SE |
| 1-5 | Precollege Level | 19.2 | 4.2 | 19\% | 4.6 |
| 6-10 | Precalculus Level | 24.7 | 0.8 | 31\% | 3.7 |
| 11-16 | Calculus Level | 25.4 | 0.9 | 34\% | 4.1 |
| 19-20 | Elem. Statistics, Probability | 25.5 | 4.8 | 33\% | 8.7 |
| 1-31 | Total, all courses | 21.7 | 2.1 | 25\% | 3.1 |

TABLE TYE.7.1

| Course <br> number | Type of course | 2015 average <br> section size | SE | Percentage of 2015 <br> departments with average <br> size $>30$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-5$ | Precollege Level | 22.6 | 1.3 | $18 \%$ |
| $6-10$ | Precalculus Level | 20.1 | 0.9 | $9 \%$ |
| $11-16$ | Calculus Level | 18.7 | 3.5 | $18 \%$ |
| $19-20$ | Statistics, Probability | 22.5 | 1.3 | $21 \%$ |
| $1-31$ | Total, all courses | 20.7 | 0.7 | $17 \%$ |

TABLE TYE. 8

| Course number | Type of course | Average section size | SE | Course number | Type of course | Average section size | SE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Arithmetic \& Basic Mathematics | 20 | 1.4 | 17 | Linear Algebra | 23 | 1.6 |
| 2 | Pre-algebra | 24 | 1.4 | 18 | Discrete Mathematics | 27 | 1.7 |
| 3 | Elementary Algebra (High School level) | 23 | 0.9 | 19 | Elementary Statistics (with or w/o Probability) | 25 | 5.1 |
| 4 | Intermediate Algebra (High School level) | 15 | 8.9 | 20 | Probability (with or w/o Statistics) | 35 | 11.2 |
| 5 | Geometry (High School level) | 30 | 3.5 | 21 | Finite Mathematics | 28 | 1.8 |
| 6 | College Algebra (above Intermediate Algebra) | 25 | 0.9 | 22 | Mathematics for Liberal Arts | 20 | 4.5 |
| 7 | Trigonometry | 24 | 1.3 | 23 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I | 19 | 1.1 |
| 8 | College Algebra \& Trigonometry (combined) | 25 | 2.5 | 24 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers II | 19 | 1.6 |
| 9 | Introduction to Mathematical Modeling | 10 | 3.2 | 25 | Other Mathematics Courses for Teacher Preparation | 16 | 3.2 |
| 10 | Precalculus/Elem Functions/Analytic Geometry | 26 | 1.3 | 26 | Business Math (not transferable) | 19 | 2.0 |
| 11 | Mainstream Calculus I | 26 | 1.1 | 27 | Business Math (transferable) | 24 | 2.0 |
| 12 | Mainstream Calculus II | 26 | 1.1 | 28 | Technical Math (non-calculus-based) | 15 | 1.8 |
| 13 | Mainstream Calculus III | 24 | 1.5 | 29 | Technical Math (calculusbased) | 20 | 6.3 |
| 14 | Non-mainstream Calculus I | 26 | 1.4 | 30 | Other Mathematics Courses (not transferable) | 22 | 2.8 |
| 15 | Non-mainstream Calculus II | 26 |  | 31 | Other Mathematics Courses (transferable) | 21 | 3.2 |
| 16 | Differential Equations | 22 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |

TABLE TYE.8. 1

| Course number | Type of course | Average section size | SE | Course number | Type of course | Average section size | SE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Arithmetic \& Basic Mathematics | 18 | 2.1 | 17 | Linear Algebra | 17 | 10.9 |
| 2 | Pre-algebra | 20 | 3.1 | 18 | Discrete Mathematics | 24 | 0.9 |
| 3 | Elementary Algebra (High School level) | 23 | 1.3 | 19 | Elementary Statistics (with or w/o Probability) | 19 | 3.0 |
| 4 | Intermediate Algebra (High School level) | 22 | 1.4 | 20 | Probability (with or w/o Statistics) | 26 | 14.1 |
| 5 | Geometry (High School level) | NA |  | 21 | Finite Mathematics | 23 | 1.6 |
| 6 | College Algebra (above Intermed. Alg.) | 20 | 1.4 | 22 | Mathematics for Liberal Arts | 20 | 3.4 |
| 7 | Trigonometry | 15 | 2.5 | 23 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I | 14 | 2.4 |
| 8 | College Algebra \& Trigonometry (combined) | 13 | 3.0 | 24 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers II | 13 | 2.5 |
| 9 | Introduction to Mathematical Modeling | 23 | 7.0 | 25 | Other Mathematics Courses for Teacher Preparation | NA |  |
| 10 | Precalculus/Elem Functions/Analytic Geometry | 20 | 1.5 | 26 | Business Math (not transferable) | 19 | 2.3 |
| 11 | Mainstream Calculus I | 17 | 2.4 | 27 | Business Math (transferable) | 18 | 3.2 |
| 12 | Mainstream Calculus II | 14 | 3.0 | 28 | Technical Math (non-calculus-based) | 16 | 1.9 |
| 13 | Mainstream Calculus III | 11 | 5.1 | 29 | Technical Math (calculusbased) | 27 |  |
| 14 | Non-mainstream Calculus I | 24 | 2.7 | 30 | Other Mathematics Courses (not transferable) | 17 | 2.1 |
| 15 | Non-mainstream Calculus II | NA |  | 31 | Other Mathematics Courses (transferable) | 21 | 2.1 |
| 16 | Differential Equations | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |


| TABLE TYE. 9 |  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course number | Type of course | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { sections } \end{aligned}$ | SE | Number of sections taught by part-time faculty | SE | Percentage of sections taught by part-time faculty | SE |
| 1-5 | Precollege level | 36108 | 6792.6 | 16515 | 1716.5 | 46\% | 9.6 |
| 6-10 | Precalculus level | 15793 | 1369.8 | 5173 | 744.1 | 33\% | 2.9 |
| 11-13 | Mainstream Calculus | 4396 | 351.3 | 666 | 111.3 | 15\% | 2.2 |
| 14-15 | Non-mainstream Calculus | 882 | 223.5 | 254 | 61.7 | 29\% | 10.2 |
| 16-18 | Advanced level | 761 | 98.6 | 62 | 24.0 | 8\% | 2.9 |
| 19-20 | Statistics, Probability | 9661 | 1838.1 | 1977 | 217.5 | 21\% | 4.8 |
| 21-27 | Service courses | 7014 | 1325.3 | 2053 | 295.8 | 29\% | 5.0 |
| 28-29 | Technical mathematics | 1433 | 287.5 | 501 | 170.4 | 35\% | 9.9 |
| 30-31 | Other mathematics courses | 1845 | 647.9 | 813 | 294.8 | 44\% | 8.0 |
| 1-31 | Total, all courses | 77893 | 7814.8 | 28014 | 2771.6 | 36\% | 3.9 |


| TABLE TYE. 10 |  | Percentage of sections taught that |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course <br> Number | Type of course | Have common Department exams \% | SE | Use a Homework Management system \% | SE | Total number of on-campus sections in fall 2015 | SE |
| 1 | Arithmetic \& Basic Mathematics | 67 | 9.7 | 72 | 8.7 | 3070 | 638.0 |
| 2 | Pre-algebra | 64 | 6.8 | 80\% | 4.8 | 4986 | 704.9 |
| 3 | Elementary Algebra (High School level) | 61 | 5.1 | 68\% | 5.5 | 10198 | 963.3 |
| 4 | Intermediate Algebra (High School level) | 38 | 20.4 | 43\% | 23.2 | 17580 | 6488.9 |
| 5 | Geometry (High School level) | 45 | 21.5 | 32\% | 16.6 | 274 | 96.5 |
| 6 | College Algebra (above Intermed. Algebra) | 49 | 5.7 | 68\% | 4.3 | 10333 | 1077.8 |
| 7 | Trigonometry | 19 | 4.0 | 53\% | 5.2 | 1900 | 209.6 |
| 8 | College Algebra \& Trigonometry (combined) | 15 | 9.3 | 50\% | 10.3 | 499 | 120.6 |
| 9 | Introduction to Mathematical Modeling | 5 | 5.8 | 47\% | 48.7 | 116 | 65.1 |
| 10 | Precalculus/Elem <br> Functions/Analytic Geometry | 31 | 9.4 | 61\% | 7.7 | 2947 | 427.9 |
| 11 | Mainstream Calculus I | 12 | 2.8 | 36\% | 4.1 | 2405 | 206.0 |
| 12 | Mainstream Calculus II | 14 | 3.7 | 32\% | 5.1 | 1241 | 112.5 |
| 13 | Mainstream Calculus III | 14 | 5.0 | 33\% | 6.0 | 749 | 79.9 |
| 14 | Non-mainstream Calculus I | 9 | 4.0 | 66\% | 13.1 | 880 | 223.5 |
| 15 | Non-mainstream Calculus II | 0 | . | 0\% |  | 2 | 2.2 |
| 16 | Differential Equations | 5 | 3.1 | 25\% | 6.7 | 311 | 49.0 |
| 17 | Linear Algebra | 4 | 2.2 | 22\% | 7.0 | 280 | 38.9 |
| 18 | Discrete Mathematics | 6 | 4.8 | 13\% | 8.2 | 169 | 62.2 |
| 19 | Elementary Statistics (with or w/o Probability) | 39 | 14.1 | 55\% | 12.0 | 8915 | 1671.8 |
| 20 | Probability (with or w/o <br> Statistics) | 65 | 58.6 | 65\% | 58.6 | 745 | 462.7 |
| 21 | Finite Mathematics | 10 | 3.7 | 77\% | 17.7 | 1291 | 612.5 |
| 22 | Mathematics for Liberal Arts | 43 | 16.1 | 57\% | 12.3 | 3996 | 1015.3 |
| 23 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I | 27 | 7.5 | 30\% | 6.1 | 514 | 88.8 |
| 24 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers II | 32 | 13.5 | 48\% | 12.1 | 118 | 28.3 |
| 25 | Other Mathematics Courses for Teacher Preparation | 42 | 42.2 | 79\% | 23.3 | 51 | 26.5 |
| 26 | Business Math (not transferable) | 24 | 9.8 | 38\% | 10.5 | 670 | 146.7 |
| 27 | Business Math (transferable) | 14 | 12.3 | 23\% | 8.9 | 373 | 101.6 |
| 28 | Technical Math (non-calculusbased) | 41 | 10.9 | 48\% | 9.5 | 1265 | 283.1 |
| 29 | Technical Math (calculusbased) | 13 | 11.6 | 47\% | 17.2 | 168 | 57.8 |
| 30 | Other Mathematics Courses (not transferable) | 58 | 16.0 | 75\% | 10.7 | 1348 | 431.6 |
| 31 | Other Mathematics Courses (transferable) | 21 | 13.8 | 79\% | 16.5 | 497 | 249.9 |


| TABLE TYE. 11 | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pathways course | Yes | SE | No | SE | Fall 2015 Enrollment | SE |
| Implememted a Pathways course sequence | 58 | 5.1 | 42 | 5.1 |  |  |
| Implemented Pathways course in: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Foundations | 51 | 7.2 | 49 | 7.2 | 76338 | 18490.4 |
| b. Quantative Reasoning/Literacy | 59 | 8.2 | 41 | 8.2 | 45203 | 12093.0 |
| c. Statistics | 63 | 6.2 | 37 | 6.2 | 56342 | 11787.2 |
| d. Other | 32 | 9.2 | 68 | 9.2 | 14631 | 5345.3 |


| TA | TYE.11.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area of change and activity | Pre-College: Arithmetic, PreAlgebra, Beginning Algebra, Intermediate Algebra | SE | Statistics | SE | CollegeLevel NonSTEM: <br> College <br> Algebra, Math for Liberal Arts, Finite Math, Quantitative Reasoning | SE | College-Level STEM: <br> College Algebra/ <br> Trigonometry, <br> Precalculus, <br> Calculus and above | SE |
| Content |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| i) | Students collect, organize, and analyze real data | 12 | 4.1 | 36\% | 4.8 | 20 | 5.3 | 13 | 3.3 |
| ii) | Student solves contextually-based problems/applications | 26 | 5.2 | 31\% | 4.7 | 34 | 6.3 | 38 | 5.1 |
| iii) | Course includes modeling | 15 | 4.6 | 21\% | 4.2 | 23 | 3.9 | 29 | 6.3 |
| iv) | Course focuses on quantitative reasoning | 27 | 5.1 | 23\% | 4.3 | 36 | 6.1 | 16 | 4.0 |
| v) | Course has less symbol manipulation and more emphasis on conceptual understanding | 19 | 4.4 | 23\% | 4.6 | 28 | 4.4 | 8 | 2.9 |
| Delivery Methods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| i) | Emporium model | 33 | 4.7 | 2\% | 1.0 | 5 | 1.7 | 6 | 2.6 |
| ii) | Students complete prescribed modules | 36 | 5.3 | 4\% | 2.2 | 3 | 1.1 | 7 | 2.7 |
| iii) | Flipped Classroom | 16 | 3.2 | 9\% | 2.9 | 16 | 4.5 | 15 | 3.8 |
| iv) | Accelerated pace | 43 | 6.5 | 6\% | 2.4 | 6 | 1.6 | 6 | 1.8 |
| v) | Slower pace | 11 | 3.3 | 1\% | 0.5 | 5 | 2.0 | 2 | 1.9 |
| Instructional Strategies routinely include: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| i) | Group work | 35 | 5.9 | 30\% | 4.1 | 35 | 5.2 | 24 | 3.7 |
| ii) | Use of handheld devices | 15 | 4.0 | 26\% | 4.7 | 25 | 4.1 | 26 | 5.3 |
| iii) | Use of computer programs or internet | 46 | 6.6 | 31\% | 4.6 | 36 | 5.7 | 34 | 5.4 |
| iv) | Use of Excel spreadsheets | 9 | 2.9 | 31\% | 3.5 | 18 | 4.3 | 5 | 1.6 |
| v) | Guided questioning and less lecturing | 27 | 5.0 | 17\% | 4.0 | 26 | 5.5 | 19 | 3.3 |
| vi) | Active learning strategies | 38 | 4.0 | 33\% | 4.1 | 42 | 5.1 | 33 | 4.3 |


| TABLE TYE. 12 |  | 2015 |  | 2015 |  | 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course Number | Type of course | Total Enrollments (1000s) | SE | Distance Enrollments (1000s) | SE | Percentage Distance Enrollments | SE |
| 1 | Arithmetic \& Basic Mathematics | 71 | 14.1 | 9 | 4.1 | 13\% | 5.3 |
| 2 | Pre-algebra | 127 | 16.3 | 9 | 2.4 | 7\% | 1.7 |
| 3 | Elementary Algebra (High School level) | 277 | 26.9 | 38 | 9.9 | 14\% | 2.7 |
| 4 | Intermediate Algebra (High School level) | 299 | 29.8 | 33 | 4.6 | 11\% | 1.0 |
| 5 | Geometry (High School level) | 8 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0\% | 0.0 |
| 6 | College Algebra (above Intermed. Algebra) | 292 | 29.0 | 38 | 5.5 | 13\% | 1.4 |
| 7 | Trigonometry | 51 | 6.7 | 4 | 0.9 | 9\% | 1.6 |
| 8 | College Algebra \& Trigonometry (combined) | 13 | 2.7 | 1 | 0.3 | 7\% | 2.5 |
| 9 | Introduction to Mathematical Modeling | 2 | 1.3 | 1 | 0.7 | 46\% | 8.1 |
| 10 | Precalculus/ Elementary Functions/ Analytic Geometry | 87 | 13.3 | 10 | 2.8 | 12\% | 2.3 |
| 11 | Mainstream Calculus I | 66 | 6.5 | 4 | 0.9 | 6\% | 1.3 |
| 12 | Mainstream Calculus II | 34 | 3.8 | 2 | 0.5 | 5\% | 1.2 |
| 13 | Mainstream Calculus III | 19 | 2.2 | 1 | 0.4 | 4\% | 1.9 |
| 14 | Non-mainstream Calculus I | 26 | 7.1 | 3 | 1.1 | 13\% | 3.6 |
| 15 | Non-mainstream Calculus II | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0\% | . |
| 16 | Differential Equations | 7 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.1 | 1\% | 1.1 |
| 17 | Linear Algebra | 7 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.3 | 6\% | 4.9 |
| 18 | Discrete Mathematics | 5 | 2.1 | 1 | 0.4 | 13\% | 6.0 |


| TABLE TYE. 12 |  | 2015 |  | 2015 |  | 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course <br> Number | Type of course | Total Enrollments (1000s) | SE | Distance Enrollments (1000s) | SE | Percentage Distance Enrollments | SE |
| 19 | Elementary Statistics (with or w/o Probability) | 251 | 54.9 | 31 | 4.2 | 12\% | 3.8 |
| 20 | Probability (with or w/o Statistics) | 28 | 15.3 | 2 | 1.5 | 9\% | 3.4 |
| 21 | Finite Mathematics | 40 | 19.4 | 4 | 1.5 | 11\% | 3.8 |
| 22 | Math for Liberal Arts | 97 | 14.0 | 19 | 4.0 | 19\% | 2.5 |
| 23 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers I | 12 | 1.8 | 2 | 0.5 | 17\% | 4.1 |
| 24 | Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers II | 3 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.4 | 32\% | 6.6 |
| 25 | Other Mathematics Courses for Teacher Preparation | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0\% | 0.0 |
| 26 | Business Math (not transferable) | 16 | 3.8 | 3 | 1.5 | 21\% | 7.4 |
| 27 | Business Math (transferable) | 10 | 2.8 | 1 | 0.4 | 11\% | 2.9 |
| 28 | Technical Math (non-calculus) | 21 | 4.7 | 3 | 0.8 | 12\% | 3.5 |
| 29 | Technical Math (calculus) | 3 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.2 | 6\% | 4.5 |
| 30 | Other Math Courses (not transferable) | 31 | 8.8 | 2 | 0.9 | 7\% | 3.1 |
| 31 | Other Math Courses (transferable) | 12 | 4.6 | 1 | 0.5 | 13\% | 6.2 |
|  | Total Enrollments | 1918 | 114.6 | 225 | 24.7 | 12\% | 1.0 |


| TABLE TYE.12.1 | Percent | SE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Award transfer credit for distance learning not taught by faculty at your instituion <br> a. Yes <br> b. No | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.1 \\ & 5.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| B. Limit distance learning credits that can be counted toward graduation <br> a. Yes <br> b. No | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \\ & 0.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| C. Department taught distance learning courses in 2013-2015 <br> a. Yes <br> b. No | $\begin{aligned} & 87 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \\ & 4.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| D. Instructional materials created by: <br> a. Faculty <br> b. Commercially produced materials <br> c. Combination of both | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 19 \\ & 67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \\ & 3.9 \\ & 5.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| E. Format of majority of distance learning <br> a. Complete online <br> b. Hybrid <br> c. Other | $\begin{gathered} 69 \\ 22 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.7 \\ & 5.0 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| F. Requirements of distance learning faculty to meet with students <br> a. Never <br> b. For scheduled meetings <br> c. Specified office hours per week <br> d. Not applicable | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 12 \\ 32 \\ 51 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \\ & 3.2 \\ & 6.6 \\ & 8.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| G. How distance learning students take majority of tests <br> a. Not monitored <br> b. Online, but using monitoring technology <br> c. At monitored testing site <br> d. Combination of above | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 10 \\ & 47 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \\ & 3.5 \\ & 5.1 \\ & 6.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| H. Distance learning practices <br> a. Same exams as in face-to-face <br> b. Same outlines as in face-to-face <br> c. Same course projects <br> d. More course projects than in non-distance learning course | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \\ & 97 \\ & 77 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.0 \\ & 2.6 \\ & 4.5 \\ & 3.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| I. Distance learning instructors evaluated in same way <br> a. Yes <br> b. No | $\begin{gathered} 93 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \\ & 3.1 \end{aligned}$ |


| TABLE TYE.12.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of course | No challenge | SE | Somewhat of a challenge | SE | Somewhat of a challenge | SE |
| A. Maintaining a standard and reliable network/user platform. | 54 | 6.3 | 38 | 6.2 | 8 | 2.4 |
| B. Maintaining a level of rigor in distance learning mathematics courses equivalent to courses offered face-to-face. | 42 | 4.3 | 41 | 4.7 | 17\% | 4.7 |
| C. Faculty knowledge about technology. | 56 | 6.3 | 35 | 6.0 | 8\% | 5.1 |
| D. Student success rates in online distance mathematics courses are lower than face-to-face courses with similar content. | 22 | 5.7 | 38 | 5.7 | 40\% | 5.5 |
| E. Student success rates in online distance mathematics courses are higher than face-to-face courses with similar content. | 62 | 6.0 | 33 | 6.3 | 4\% | 2.2 |


| TABLE TYE.13 | 2015 | SE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Opportunity/Service | $94 \%$ | 2.7 |
| A. Diagnostic or placement testing | $78 \%$ | 4.3 |
| a. Colleges that usually require placement tests of <br> first-time enrollees <br> b. Colleges that periodically assess the effectiveness of <br> their placement tests | $79 \%$ | 3.8 |
| B. Advising by a member of the mathematics faculty | $49 \%$ | 5.7 |
| C. Opportunities to compete in mathematics contests | $40 \%$ | 4.7 |
| D. Honors sections | $28 \%$ | 4.2 |
| E. Mathematics club | $32 \%$ | 4.7 |
| F. Special mathematics programs to encourage minorities | $15 \%$ | 3.1 |
| G. Lectures/colloquia for students, not part of math club | $21 \%$ | 4.1 |
| H. Special mathematics programs to encourage women | $15 \%$ | 3.2 |
| I. K-12 outreach opportunities | $46 \%$ | 4.4 |
| J. Undergraduate research opportunities | $17 \%$ | 3.3 |
| K. Independent mathematics studies | $41 \%$ | 5.6 |
| L. Other | $5 \%$ | 3.5 |


| TABLE TYE．14 |  | Enrollment（in 1000s） |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Course <br> Number | Type of course | 2015 | SE |
| $1-2$ | Arithmetic \＆Basic Math，Pre－algebra | 38 | 10.7 |
| 3 | Elementary Algebra（High School level） | 36 | 9.7 |
| 4 | Intermediate Algebra（High School level） | 27 | 9.6 |
| $19-20$ | Elementary Statistics，Probability | 13 | 2.2 |
| $26-27$ | Business Mathematics | 7 | 4.0 |
| $28-29$ | Technical Mathematics | 8 | 2.3 |
| Total |  |  | 129 |


| $0 \cdot 0$ | OL | $0 \cdot 0$ | O1 | 00 | 9 | 00 | ع01 | ¢！ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90 | $\downarrow$ | 0.0 | 0 | でし | $\varepsilon$ | $0 \cdot 7$ | $\dagger$ | sэ！̣ешәцłеW ןеэ！ичэə」 | 6て－8乙 |
| 00 | 0 | O＇Z | 9 | 00 | 0 | E\％ | 0 | sэ！̣ешәц⿺𠃊卜 ssəu！sng | Lて－9乙 |
| $\nabla^{\circ} \varepsilon$ | L | 60 | $\varepsilon$ | 10 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 乙 |  | 02－61 |
| to | $\downarrow$ | 000 | 0 | 00 | 0 | 96 | $\angle Z$ | （əəથઇ ןочэ્ડ <br>  | $\downarrow$ |
| ＊ 0 | $\downarrow$ | 0.0 | 0 | 9\％ | 乙 | 96 | $\downarrow \varepsilon$ | （ןへə｜ <br>  | $\varepsilon$ |
| ＊ 0 | $\downarrow$ | 10 | 0 | $\bullet^{*} \downarrow$ | $\tau$ | 9．01 | $9 \varepsilon$ | едqəбןе <br>  | て－レ |
| $\exists S$ |  | $\exists S$ | ssəu！sng | $\exists \mathrm{S}$ |  | $\exists \mathrm{S}$ |  | әsınoつ fo əd＜」 | dəqunN əs．noう |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TABLE TYE. 16 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics Outside of the Mathematics Department | 2015 | SE |  |
| Percentage of Two-year Colleges with some <br> precollege mathematics courses outside of <br> mathematics department control | 32 | 5.3 |  |
| Course <br> number | Type of Course |  |  |
| $1-2$ | Arithmetic \& Basic Math, Pre-algebra | 23 | 4.9 |
| 3 | Elementary Algebra (High School level) | 22 | 5.2 |
| 4 | Intermediate Algebra (High School level) | 16 | 4.5 |


| TABLE TYF. 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Two-Year Colleges | 2015 |
| Full-time permanent faculty | 8314 |
| SE | 839.5 |
| Full-time continuing faculty | 1221 |
| SE | 267.9 |
| Other full-time faculty | 266 |
| SE | 73.3 |
| Part-time faculty paid by TYC | 17888 |
| SE | 1908.8 |
| Part-time, paid by third party | 2359 |
| SE | 528.2 |


| TABLE TYF. 2 | Teaching assignment in weekly contact hours |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <10 | 10 to 12 | 13 to 15 | 16 to 18 | 19 to 21 | >21 |
| Percentage of two-year colleges | 3 | 10 | 68 | 8 | 6 | 5 |
| SE | 2.2 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 1.5 |
| Full-time Permanent Faculty |  |  |  |  | Estimate | SE |
| A. Average weekly contact hours: |  |  |  |  | 18 | 1.8 |
| B. Percentage who teach extra hours for extra pay at their own two-year college: |  |  |  |  | 74 | 3.0 |
| C. Percentage teaching 1-3 extra hours for extra pay: |  |  |  |  | 38 | 2.7 |
| D. Percentage teaching 4-6 extra hours for extra pay: |  |  |  |  | 39 | 2.3 |
| E. Percentage teaching 7 or more extra hours for extra pay: |  |  |  |  | 23 | 2.1 |
| Part-time Faculty |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F. Percentage who teach 6 or more hours weekly: |  |  |  |  | 64 | 2.1 |
| G. Percentage of two-year colleges requiring part-time faculty to hold office hours: |  |  |  |  | 29 | 6.1 |


| TABLE TYF. 3 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number no longer part of 2015-2016 faculty | 612 |
| SE | 131.5 |
| Total full-time permanent faculty, fall 2015 | 8314 |
| SE | 839.5 |


| TABLE TYF. 4 | Percentage of full-time <br> permanent faculty |
| :--- | :---: |
| Highest degree | 2015 |
| Doctorate | 15 |
| SE | 1.5 |
| SE | 80 |
| Master's <br> Sarhelor's <br> Number of full-time <br> permanent faculty <br> SE | 2.9 |


| TABLE TYF. 5 | Percentage having as highest degree |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Field of degree | Doctorate | Master's | Bachelors | Total Percent in Field |
| Mathematics | 9 | 60 | 4 | 73 |
| SE | 1.2 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 2.3 |
| Statistics | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 |
| SE | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.4 |
| Mathematics Education | 2 | 11 | 0 | 13 |
| SE | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 1.7 |
| Other fields | 2 | 6 | 0 | 9 |
| SE | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 1.1 |
| Total Percentage by highest degree | 15 | 80 | 5 | 100 |
| SE | 1.5 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 0.0 |


| TABLE TYF. 6 | Percentage of parttime faculty |
| :---: | :---: |
| Highest degree | 2015 |
| Doctorate | 7 |
| SE | 0.8 |
| Master's | 76.0 |
| SE | 2.8 |
| Bachelor's | 17.0 |
| SE | 2.9 |
| Total | 100\% |
| SE |  |
| Number of part-time faculty | 20247 |
| SE | 2182.9 |


| TABLE TYF. 7 | Percentage having as highest degree |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Field of degree | Doctorate | Master's | Bachelors | Total Percent in Field |
| Mathematics | 4 | 45 | 8 | 58 |
| SE | 0.7 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 3.9 |
| Mathematics Education | 1 | 16 | 3 | 19 |
| SE | 0.3 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 2.2 |
| Statistics | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| SE | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.7 |
| Other fields | 2 | 12 | 6 | 19 |
| SE | 0.4 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 2.7 |
| Total Percentage by highest degree | 7 | 76 | 17 | 100\% |
| SE | 0.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 0.0 |


| TABLE TYF.8 | Estimate | SE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Men | 3969 | 402.70 |
|  | $48 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Women | 4345 | 475.50 |
|  | $52 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Total | 8314 | 839.50 |
|  | $100 \%$ |  |


| TABLE TYF.9 | Percentage of |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full-time <br> permanent <br> faculty | Part-time <br> faculty |
| Men | 48 | $47 \%$ |
| SE | $2.0 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| Women | 52 | $53 \%$ |
| SE | $2.0 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| SE |  |  |
| Total Number | 8314 | 17888 |
| SE | 839.5 | 1908.8 |


| TABLE TYF. 10 | 2015 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Percentage of ethnic minorities among full-time <br> permanent faculty | $23 \%$ |
| SE | $2.2 \%$ |
| Number of full-time permanent ethnic minority <br> faculty | 1876 |
| SE | 289.3 |
| Number of full-time permanent faculty | 8314 |


| TABLE TYF.11 | Percentage of full-time <br> permanent faculty |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnic Group | 2015 | SE |
| American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut | 0 | 0.1 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 9 | 1.1 |
| Black (non-Hispanic) | 6 | 0.9 |
| Mexican American/Puerto Rican/ other Hispanic | 6 | 1.4 |
| White (non-Hispanic) | 75 | 4.1 |
| Status unknown | 3 | 1.0 |
| Number of full-time permanent faculty |  | 8314 |


| TABLE TYF.12 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnic Group | Number of full-time <br> permanent faculty | Percentage of <br> ethnic group in full- <br> time permanent <br> faculty | Percentage of <br> women in ethnic <br> group |
| American Indian, Alaskan Native | 27 | 0 | 18 |
| SE | 10.2 | 0.1 | 26.2 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 754 | 9 | 27 |
| SE | 110.8 | 1.1 | 7.2 |
| Black or African American (non- <br> Hispanic) | 525 | 6 | 41 |
| Mexican American,Puerto Rican or <br> other Hispanic | 80.4 | 0.9 | 7.6 |
| SE | 124.9 | 6 | 33 |
| White (non-Hispanic) | 6202 | 7.4 | 9.7 |
| SE | 597.6 | 4.1 | 42 |
| Status not known or other | 291 | 3 | 2.7 |
| SE | 80.8 | 1.0 | 35 |
| Total | 8314 | $100 \%$ | 13.7 |
| SE | 839.5 | 0.0 | 52 |


| TABLE TYF.13 | Percentage among |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | All full-time permanent <br> faculty | Full-time permanent <br> faculty under age 40 |
| SE | $23 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| White (non-Hispanic) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| SE | $74 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Unknown | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| SE | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| SE | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Number |  | 20214 |
| SE | 839.5 | 2045 |


| TABLE TYF.14 | 2015 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Percentage of ethnic minorities among part-time faculty | 20 |
| SE | 1.4 |
| Number of part-time faculty | 17888 |
| SE | 1908.8 |


| TABLE TYF.15 |  | Percentage of |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnic Group | Number of <br> part-time faculty | Ethnic group among <br> all part-time faculty | Women within <br> ethnic group |
| American Indian, Alaskan Native | 46 | 0 | 80 |
| SE | 29.4 | 0.2 | 34.3 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 1341 | 7 | 49 |
| SE | 284.1 | 1.3 | 4.4 |
| SE | 1009 | 6 | 41 |
| Mexican American,Puerto Rican or other African American (non-Hispanic) | 187.8 | 1073 | 6 |
| Hispanic | 258.1 | 1.2 | 6.1 |
| SE | 12531 | 70 | 42 |
| White (non-Hispanic) | 1413.9 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| SE | 1888 | 11 | 55 |
| Status not known or other | 502.7 | 2.6 | 1.9 |
| SE | 17888 | $100 \%$ | 59 |
| Total | 1908.8 | 0.0 | 7.0 |
| SE |  |  | 53 |

## TABLE TYF. 16

| Age | Percentage of full- <br> time permanent <br> faculty |  | Number of full-time <br> permanent faculty |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SE | 2015 | SE |  |
| $30-34$ | 4 | 1.2 | 363 | 104.6 |
| $35-39$ | 14 | 1.1 | 529 | 100.8 |
| $40-44$ | 14 | 1.7 | 1159 | 182.9 |
| $45-49$ | 18 | 1.9 | 1479 | 229.5 |
| $50-54$ | 16 | 1.8 | 1357 | 219.6 |
| $55-59$ | 13 | 1.7 | 1055 | 157.0 |
| $>59$ | 15 | 1.3 | 1219 | 152.9 |
| Total | $100 \%$ |  | 8314 | 839.5 |

TABLE TYF. 17

|  | Percentage of full-time permanent <br> faculty |  | Percentage of women in <br> age group | SE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Women | $S E$ | Men | SE | 56 |
| $<35$ | 6 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.2 | 50 |
| $35-$ | 14 | 0.4 | 14 | 0.5 | 58 |
| 44 | 19 | 0.6 | 14 | 0.5 | 46 |
| 54 | 13 | 0.4 | 15 | 0.5 | 1.6 |
| $>54$ | 52 | 1.6 | 48 | 1.6 |  |
| Total | 52 |  | 1.6 |  |  |


| TABLE TYF.18 | 2015 | SE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of new faculty from: | 37 | 7.4 |
| A. Graduate School | 4 | 1.9 |
| B. Teaching in a four-year college or university | 19 | 5.4 |
| C. Teaching in another two-year college | 1 | 1.0 |
| D. Teaching in a secondary school | 26 | 5.5 |
| E. Part-time or full-time temporary employment at the same college | 1 | 0.8 |
| F. Nonacademic employment | 4 | 4.0 |
| G. Unemployed | 9 | 4.7 |
| F. Unknown | $100 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total | 451 | 82.7 |


| TABLE TYF.19 | Percentage of New Hires |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Highest Degree | $2015-2016$ | SE |
| Doctorate | 9 | 3.2 |
| Master's | 87 | 4.2 |
| Bachelor's | 0 | 0.0 |
| Unknown | 4 | 2.6 |
| Total |  | $100 \%$ |


| TABLE TYF. 20 | Percentage of new hires |  | Percentage of women in <br> ethnic group for 2015-2016 <br> new hires |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnic Group | $2015-2016$ | SE | $2015-2016$ | SE |  |  |
| American Indian | 0 | 0.0 | na | na |  |  |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 4 | 1.8 | 11 | 12.1 |  |  |
| Black or Arican American (non-Hispanic) | 2 | 1.5 | 54 | 59.0 |  |  |
| Mexican Americank, Puerto Rican, or | 3 | 2.2 | 33 | 64.0 |  |  |
| other Hispanic | 82 | 4.9 | 63 | 7.3 |  |  |
| White (non-Hispanic) | 3 | 2.0 | 33 | 29.1 |  |  |
| Other | 5 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Unknown | 55 | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of women among all new hires |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TABLE TYF.21 | Percentage of two-year <br> colleges in fall 2015 | SE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Colleges that require teaching <br> evaluations for all full-time faculty <br> Colleges that require teaching <br> evaluations for all part-time faculty | 100 | 0.0 |


| TABLE TYF. 22 | Percentage of programs using evaluation method for |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method of evaluating teaching | Part-time faculty | SE | Full-time faculty | SE |
| A. Observation of classes by other faculty | 64 | 4.6 | 75 | 5.0 |
| B. Observation of classes by division head (if different <br> from chair) or other administrator | 62 | 5.5 | 45 | 5.3 |
| C. Evaluation forms completed by students <br> D. Evaluation of written course material such as lesson <br> plans, syllabus, or exams <br> E. Self-evaluation such as teaching portfolios | 94 | 2.7 | 95 | 2.7 |
| F. Written Peer Evaluations | 57 | 6.2 | 53 | 6.9 |
| G. Other methods | 62 | 5.5 | 23 | 4.2 |


| TABLE TYF.23 | Faculty Development 2015 | SE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of institutions requiring continuing <br> education or professional development for full-time <br> permanent faculty | 82 | 3.6 |
|  | Percentage of <br> permanent faculty <br> in fall 2015 | SE |
| How Faculty Meet Professional Development <br> Requirements | 62 | 1.6 |
| A. Activities provided by employer | 33 | 1.6 |
| B. Activities provided by professional associations | 3 | 0.7 |
| C. Publishing books or research or expository papers | 3 | 0.4 |
| D. Continuing graduate education |  |  |


| TABLE TYF. 24 | Percentage of program heads classifying problem as major |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Problem | 2015 | SE |
| A. Maintaining vitality of faculty <br> B. Dual-enrollment courses <br> C. Staffing statistics courses | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 7 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \\ & 3.1 \\ & 2.3 \end{aligned}$ |
| D. Students don't understand demands of college work <br> E. Need to use part-time faculty for too many courses <br> F. Faculty salaries too low | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 15 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.9 \\ & 3.4 \\ & 6.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| G. Class sizes too large <br> H. Low student motivation <br> I. Too many students needing remediation | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 57 \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 \\ & 8.1 \\ & 5.3 \end{aligned}$ |
| J. Lack of student progress from developmental to advanced courses <br> K. Low success rate in transfer-level courses <br> L. Too few students who intend to transfer actually do | $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ 14 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.5 \\ & 3.5 \\ & 2.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| M. Inadequate travel funds for faculty <br> N . Inadequate classroom facilities for use of technology <br> O. Inadequate computer facilities for part-time faculty use | $\begin{gathered} \hline 25 \\ 4 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.3 \\ & 1.6 \\ & 1.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| P. Inadequate computer facilities for student services <br> Q. Heavy classroom duties prevent personal \& teaching enrichment by faculty <br> R. Coordinating mathematics courses with high schools | 6 13 21 | 1.7 3.5 5.1 |
| S. Lack of curricular flexibility because of transfer rules <br> T. Other barriers than inhibit curricular changes <br> U. Maintaining high and consistent expectations across sections | $2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 3.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| V. High cost of textbooks <br> W. Lack of flexibility in curricular redesign <br> X. Maintaining common standards between distance learning and related courses | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.3 \\ & 2.1 \\ & 0.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Y. Use of distance education | 4 | 2.9 |


| TABLE TYF. 25 | Percentage of program heads classifying problems as |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Problem | minor or no problem | SE | somewhat of a problem | SE | major problem | SE |
| A. Maintaining vitality of faculty | 60 | 6.7 | 33 | 5.3 | 7 | 3.7 |
| B. Dual-enrollment courses | 57 | 4.1 | 36 | 4.7 | 7 | 3.1 |
| C. Staffing statistics courses | 63 | 4.0 | 31 | 4.1 | 5 | 2.3 |
| D. Students don't understand demands of college work | 7 | 3.2 | 31 | 4.7 | 62 | 4.9 |
| E. Need to use part-time faculty for too many courses | 47 | 5.5 | 38 | 3.7 | 15 | 3.4 |
| F. Faculty salaries too low | 22 | 4.8 | 39 | 6.1 | 39 | 6.8 |
| G. Class sizes too large | 70 | 3.4 | 24 | 3.1 | 5 | 2.3 |
| H. Low student motivation | 9 | 3.6 | 34 | 5.9 | 57 | 8.1 |
| I. Too many students needing remediation | 2 | 0.8 | 33 | 5.3 | 64 | 5.3 |
| J. Lack of student progress from developmental to advanced courses | 15 | 4.2 | 48 | 4.2 | 36 | 5.5 |
| K. Low success rate in transfer-level courses | 32 | 5.0 | 54 | 5.3 | 14 | 3.5 |
| L. Too few students who intend to transfer actually do | 47 | 5.9 | 45 | 5.8 | 8 | 2.0 |
| M. Inadequate travel funds for faculty | 44 | 4.8 | 31 | 3.1 | 25 | 4.3 |
| N. Inadequate classroom facilities for use of technology | 70 | 4.9 | 26 | 5.0 | 4 | 1.6 |
| O. Inadequate computer facilities for part-time faculty use | 63 | 4.4 | 31 | 4.4 | 7 | 1.8 |
| P. Inadequate computer facilities for student services | 70 | 4.9 | 24 | 4.9 | 6 | 1.7 |
| Q. Heavy classroom duties prevent personal \& teaching enrichment by faculty | 43 | 4.6 | 43 | 4.9 | 13 | 3.5 |
| R. Coordinating mathematics courses with high schools | 28 | 4.2 | 52 | 4.0 | 21 | 5.1 |
| S. Lack of curricular flexibility because of transfer rules | 52 | 4.8 | 46 | 4.7 | 2 | 0.8 |
| T. Other barriers than inhibit curricul changes | 61 | 4.1 | 32 | 4.2 | 7 | 3.0 |
| U. Maintaining high and consistent expectations across sections | 48 | 5.2 | 44 | 5.9 | 8 | 3.0 |
| V. High cost of textbooks | 11 | 3.2 | 35 | 4.9 | 54 | 5.3 |
| W. Lack of flexibility in curricular redesign | 55 | 6.2 | 41 | 6.4 | 4 | 2.1 |
| X. Maintaining common standards between distance learning and related courses | 57 | 6.4 | 41 | 6.4 | 2 | 0.9 |
| Y. Use of distance education | 53 | 6.4 | 43 | 7.6 | 4 | 2.9 |


| TABLE TYF.26 | Percentage of <br> Mathematics Programs |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Administrative structure | 2015 | SE |
| Mathematics Department | 52 | 5.4 |
| Mathematics and computer science | 10 | 2.7 |
| Mathematics and science | 28 | 5.0 |
| Other department or division structure | 6 | 2.4 |
| None of the above or unknown | 4 | 1.4 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Before 2010, the category was "tenured/tenure-eligible"; the word "permanent" was added in 2010. In 2015, the word "permanent" was deleted.
    ${ }^{2}$ "Full-time" includes full-time permanent, full-time continuing, and other full-time faculty at two-year colleges. For a detailed explanation of these terms, see page 1 in Chapter 7.

    * Beginning in 2005, the CBMS survey asked departments to specify the number of upper-division sections and the number taught by tenured and tenure-eligible faculty. The deficit from $100 \%$ is reported as "unknown."

    Some rows do not sum to $100 \%$ due to round-off. Note: zero means less than one-half of one percent.

[^1]:    Percentage sums across rows may differ from $100 \%$ due to round-off.
    ${ }^{1}$ Before 2010, the category was "tenured/tenure-eligible"; the word "permanent" was added in 2010. In 2015, the word "permanent" was deleted.
    2 "Full-time" includes full-time permanent, full-time continuing, and other full-time faculty at two-year colleges. For a detailed explanation of these terms, see page 1 in Chapter 7.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Before 2010, the category was "tenured/tenure-eligible"; the word "permanent" was added in 2010. In 2015, the word "permanent" was deleted.
    ${ }^{2}$ The 2010 survey asked for "Non-Mainstream Cal I, II, and III, etc". - the data here are our best estimate for Calculus II, III, etc.
    Previous surveys asked only for Non-Mainstream Calculus II.
    3 "Full-time" includes full-time permanent, full-time continuing, and other full-time faculty at two-year colleges. For a detailed explanation of these terms, see page 1 in Chapter 7.

    Sums of percentages across rows may differ from $100 \%$ due to round-off.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Before 2010, the category was "tenured/tenure-eligible"; the word "permanent" was added in 2010. In 2015, the word "permanent" was deleted.
    ${ }^{2}$ This course was called "Elementary Statistics" in previous CBMS surveys.
    ${ }^{3}$ F1 is the statistics course number on the four-year mathematics survey form.
    ${ }^{4}$ "Full-time" includes full-time permanent, full-time continuing, and other full-time faculty at two-year colleges. For a detailed explanation of these terms, see page 1 in Chapter 7.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Paid by two-year colleges. In fall 2000, there were an additional 776 part-time faculty in two-year colleges who were paid by a third party (e.g. by a school district for a dual-enrollment course). In 2005, the number paid by a third party was 1915, in 2010 the number paid by a third party was 2323 , and in 2015 the number paid by a third party was 2359.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Report Tables 323.40 and 323.50 from Digest of Education Statistics 2015, National Center for Education Statistics, https://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/current_tables.asp.
    ${ }^{2}$ This table includes masters-level statistics departments. The comparable table in CBMS2010, Table S.16, p. 38, does not.

[^6]:    Note: 0 means less than half of $1 \%$. Round-off may cause some marginal totals to appear inaccurate.
    ${ }^{1}$ Average ages for fall 2005 and fall 2010 from CBMS2010 S.18, p. 43.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes the federal categories American Indian or Alaskan Native (AIAN) and Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (NHPI).

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ The question on dual enrollments did not differentiate between mainstream and non-mainstream calculus. To provide comparable data, the column for "Other enrollments" also combines mainstream and non-mainstream calculus even though separate statistics are shown elsewhere in this report.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ In 2010, the CBMS survey added the word "permanent" to the description "tenured/tenure eligible" that was used previously. In 2015 the word "permanent" was deleted.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data for the first three rows are from Table 303.70 for the NCES publication "Digest of Education Statistics: 2016." The full report has not been released, but selected tables are available. These data were downloaded in June 2017 from https://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d16/tables/dt16_303.70.asp?current=yes. Data for the percentage parttime for public institutions are from Projections of Education Statistics to 2024, Table 14, available from https://nces.ed.gov/pubs2016/2016013.pdf

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data for 2005, 2010, and 2015 include only public two-year colleges. 2015 data include 94,000 dual enrollments from Table SP. 18 and 225,000 distance enrollments from Table TYE. 12 .

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mainstream calculus is for mathematics, physics, science \& engineering. Non-mainstream calculus is for biological, social, and management sciences.
    ${ }^{2}$ In 2005 and earlier surveys there was a single course listed as Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers.
    ${ }^{3}$ This course was not listed in 2005 and earlier surveys.
    ${ }^{4}$ In 2005 and earlier surveys there was a single course listed as Other Mathematics Courses.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ In 2005 and earlier there was a single course listed as Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers; the enrollment for that course is listed here.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ For names of specific courses see Table TYE.3.
    ${ }^{2}$ For specific course section size see Table TYE.8.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ For names of specific courses see Table TYE.3.
    ${ }^{2}$ For specific course section size see Table TYE.8.1.

[^16]:    Note: $0 \%$ means less than one-half of one percent.
    ${ }^{1}$ Does not include dual enrollments.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ Report Tables 323.40 and 323.50 from Digest of Education Statistics 2016, National Center for Education
    Statistics, https://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/current_tables.asp.

[^18]:    In the next several pages you will enter data about courses your department is teaching. For each course that is taught, you will be asked to enter the fall 2015 enrollment and the number of sections of the course. Depending upon the type of course, you will be asked about distance learning enrollment and the numbers of each kind of faculty (tenure eligible, part time, etc.) who are teaching the course. Also, you may not teach some of your advanced courses in every term; for those courses we also ask whether the course was offered in spring 2015 or will be offered in spring 2016 (please combine the winter and spring terms if your institution uses the quarter system); please answer these questions regardless of whether you offer the

    ## The following instructions apply throughout Sections E, F, and G (pages 8-23).

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Students receive the majority of their instruction online, or by computer software, or by other technology where the instructor is NOT physically present, including
    MOOCs that are offered for credit.
    ${ }^{2}$ Do not include any dual enrollment courses, i.e., courses taught on a high school campus by a high school instructor for which high school students may obtain both high school credit and, simultaneously, college credit through your institution.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ A "double major" is a student who completes the degree requirements of two separate majors, one in mathematics and one in another program or department. A "joint major" is a student who completes a single major in your department that integrates courses from mathematics and some other program or department and typically requires fewer credit hours that the sum of the credit hours required by the separate majors.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ In this question, the term "dual enrollment courses" is used to mean courses taught on a high school campus, by high school teachers, for which high school students may obtain high school credit and, simultaneously, college credit through your institution.

[^22]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Distance learning courses are courses offered by your institution for credit, in which the majority of instruction occurs with the instructor and the students
    separated by time and/or place (e.g., courses in which the majority of the course is taught online or by computer software or other technologies, including
    b These students are not included in column a.
    These students are not included in column a.
    Do not include full-time mathematics faculty te
    college.
    ${ }^{d}$ Only count sections where these tools are an integral part of the course

[^23]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Distance learning courses are courses offered by your institution for credit, in which the majority of instruction occurs with the instructor and the students separated by time and/or place (e.g., courses in which the majority of the course is taught online or by computer software or other technologies, including MOOCs (a MOOC is a "massive open online course"))
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ These students are not included in column a.
    ${ }^{\text {co Do }}$ not include full-time mathematics faculty teaching an overload section in this column. Include only part-time faculty, reported in B8a, i.e., those paid by your college.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The

[^24]:    d Do not count the same course in both lines F19 and F20

[^25]:    Students receive the majority of their instruction online, or by computer software, or by other technology where the instructor is NOT physically present, including
    MOOCs that are offered for credit. MOOCs that are offered for credit.

    Do not include any dual-enrollment courses, i.e., courses taught on a high school campus by a high school instructor for which high school students may obtain both high school credit and, simultaneously, college credit through your institution.

    Count faculty with joint appointments in column (d) or (e) if more than 50 percent of their fall 2015 teaching assignments are within your department, and in column (f)
    otherwise.
    ${ }_{5}$ Report an introductory statistics class along with its recitation/problem/laboratory sessions as one section in column (c) of E1-1 and E2-1.
    ${ }^{6}$ Example: suppose your department offers four 100 -student sections of a course and that each is divided into five discussion sessions that meet separately from the lectures. Report 4*5=20 recitation/problem/laboratory sessions associated with the course, even if each discussion meets several times per week.

