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## Foreword

This is the seventh in a series of survey reports conducted under the auspices of the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences (CBMS). The first appeared in 1965, with subsequent survey reports every five years thereafter. These surveys primarily count fall enrollment in each undergraduate course offered at departments of mathematics and statistics at fouryear colleges and universities and two-year mathematics programs in the United States. They also report on the number of course sections, the number of departmental and program facultyby type of appointment, gender, age, and ethnicity together with the number and gender of baccalaureate degrees awarded by these departments. In addition, policies and practices for advising departmental majors and faculty access to computers are included. Data for this 1995 CBMS report were collected in the Fall 1995, and, except in three instances, are based upon information from this academic period.

This report does not contain any information on graduate programs, except that enrollment in advanced or upper-level undergraduate courses includes all enrollment, not distinguishing between undergraduate or graduate students.

This report consists of a series of tables, each usually accompanied by some descriptive figures highlighting aspects of the data presented in the table, along with written commentary on the data.

Data were aggregated by level of department. PhD mathematics departments are all those mathematics and mathematical sciences departments which award a PhD in their department, MA mathematics departments are those which award a master's degree as the highest degree, and BA departments are those which offer either a bachelor's degree as the highest degree or offer no degree. Data on two-year college mathematics departments programs are reported both in the summary chapter and, specifically, in the last two chapters.

A statistics department is labeled a PhD or a MA department according to the classification of the companion mathematics department. However, only two of the responding PhD statistics departments reported not having a PhD degree in statistics.

A mathematics department is one in which mathematics is the primary discipline, although it may be a multi-titled department. It may also contain subunits in related disciplines. Data from other related depart-
ments, such as operations research or applied mathematics, are reported with the mathematics department at that school.

Because a large amount of the data collected continues to update previous survey data, much historical data are presented in the tables. However, there are many new features in the 1995 CBMS reports including:

- a detailed analysis on the number of course sections in four-year college and university departments of mathematics and statistics giving the percentage of enrollment taught by the four types of instructors: tenured/tenure-eligible faculty, other full-time faculty, part-time faculty, and graduate teaching assistants. These data are presented by type of department and level of course. For mathematics departments these levels are: remedial, precalculus, calculus, and advanced. For two-year mathematics programs, a similar analysis is presented;
- specifically for mainstream and non-mainstream Calculus I and II and for introductory statistics and statistics and probability, a further breakdown of enrollment by type of instructional format: large lecture, regular sections with fewer than 30 students, and those regular sections with larger enrollment. In addition, other features of these courses, such as the percentage of enrollment using a "reform" text or using graphing calculators, are presented;
- a much more detailed profile of both two-year and four-year college and university mathematics and statistics faculty, full-time and part-time, with more emphasis on separating the data by gender, age, and ethnicity;
- information on advising practices for mathematics and statistics departmental majors at four-year colleges and universities;
- information on availability of terminal/computer and Internet access for all levels of mathematics and statistics faculty;
- an analysis of various methods of evaluating teaching for two-year mathematics program faculty;
- a detailed description of the services offered by mathematics laboratories at two-year colleges.

All data in this report were obtained from a stratified random sample of four-year colleges and universities and a separate stratified random sample of two-year colleges. The sample sizes were larger than for any of the previous CBMS surveys and the response rates were good. As with any sample survey there are sampling errors which are controlled by a good sampling design and non-sampling errors such as nonresponse and reporting errors. Further information on the sampling procedures and related items for this study are found in Appendix II.

The report is organized into seven chapters. The first is a summary chapter presenting data from both fouryear colleges and universities and two-year colleges when available. In addition, historical data from previous CBMS surveys are included where pertinent. The commentary accompanying the tables gives references to the tables in subsequent chapters, which give more detailed information. Chapter 2 presents detailed enrollment information for four-year colleges and universities, while chapter 3 presents faculty counts for these institutions. Chapter 4 focuses on a detailed look at six first-year courses at four-year colleges and universities: mainstream and non-mainstream Calculus I and II, elementary statistics and elementary probability and statistics. Chapter 5 concludes the four-year and university data with information on advising practices for mathematics and statistics departmental majors and computer access for mathematics and statistics faculty. Chapters 6 and 7 are devoted to twoyear mathematics program data with chapter 6 concentrating on enrollment numbers and chapter 7 on faculty data.

Except for enrollment numbers, the data in this report are in good agreement with the Fall 1995 data
presented by the Joint American Mathematical Society, Institute of Mathematical Statistics, and Mathematical Association of America Data Committee. The CBMS enrollment numbers are substantially lower than the numbers given in the data committee reports for the same period, Fall 1995. The data committee surveys use less precise statistical techniques than does the CBMS survey.

Separate departments of computer science were not included in this 1995 CBMS survey and report but were included in the 1990 survey and report. For the most part, detailed information on PhD computer science departments is presented annually in the "Taulbee" survey conducted by these departments.

The descriptor "mathematical sciences department", as used in CBMS reports prior to 1985, included computer science. When the National Science Foundation changed its taxonomy to no longer include computer science within the mathematical sciences, the CBMS surveys followed this change. In presenting data in this report from previous CBMS surveys, data from separate computer science departments were excised where possible; if this was not possible, then these data were not used. The only exception is data from the 1970 CBMS report; at that time the contributions from separate departments of computer science were small compared to the contributions from mathematical sciences departments.

Don O. Loftsgaarden was the vice-chair of the survey committee and the consulting statistician. Ann E. Watkins was in charge of the two-year survey and subsequent report, assisted by Stephen Rodi. Donald C. Rung was in charge of the four-year and university survey and its report and was the chair of the survey committee and director of the survey.

## Chapter 1

## Summary

## Data Highlights

From Fall 1990 to Fall 1995, enrollment in undergraduate mathematics courses offered by four-year colleges and universities decreased by 150,000 , a $9 \%$ decline. Specifically, remedial-level course enrollment declined $15 \%$; precalculus enrollment increased $4 \%$; cal-culus-level enrollment declined by $18 \%$, and advancedlevel enrollment declined $19 \%$. Over this same period enrollment in mathematics courses, including statistics, in mathematic progams at two-year colleges increased by $12 \%$ and now accounts for $46 \%$ of all collegiate mathematics enrollment. The number of bachelor's degrees awarded to majors within mathematics (and mathematical sciences) departments and statistics departments in 1994-1995 was nearly the same as in 1989-1990. However, enrollment in undergraduate statistics courses increased by 39,000 , a $23 \%$ increase, while enrollment in computer science courses decreased by 80,000 , a $44 \%$ decline. Overall enrollment decreased by 191,000 , or just under 10\%.

When enrollment in mathematics courses in four-year college and university departments in Fall 1995 is added to the enrollment in mathematics courses in two-year college programs the total is $2,856,000$, which is almost equal to the same total for Fall 1990. The five-year decrease in the four-year and university mathematics enrollment was matched by the increase in the two-year mathematics enrollment over this same period.

While the total number of bachelor's degrees awarded to majors in departments of mathematics and statistics during the period July 1, 1989 to June 30, 1990, declined by about 1550 from the total in 1984-1985, all of this decline, and then some, was in computer science degrees, which decreased by 2850 . Mathematics education degrees (within mathematics departments) increased by 1700, while all other types of mathematics and statistics degrees decreased slightly, by about 400 . Over this five-year period the number of women graduates decreased by 838. Specifically, computer science women graduates decreased by 1052 , and mathematics and statistics women graduates increased by 214 . The overall percentage of women graduates decreased slightly during this period.

The number of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty in four-year colleges and universities stayed at the same levels as in 1990, while the number of other full-time and part-time faculty declined. For two-year colleges the number of full-time faculty, permanent and temporary, increased by $7 \%$. The number of full-time women faculty at four-year colleges and universities showed only a slight increase over 1990 levels; for two-year full-time faculty, the number of women increased significantly. Deaths and retirements of tenured and tenure-eligible mathematics faculty numbered 441 at four-year colleges and universities and 33 at university statistics departments. For two-year college program faculty, the number of deaths and retirements was 274 .

For the first time in this series of CBMS surveys the number of women faculty, both tenured and tenure-eligible, is reported for departments of mathematics and departments of statistics at four-year colleges and universities. There are 1830 tenured women mathematics faculty among the 12,779 tenured faculty ( $14 \%$ ) while there are 1141 tenure-eligible women out of a total of 3329 tenure-eligible faculty $(34 \%)$. For statistics departments the corresponding numbers are 40 among $730(5 \%)$ and 38 out of $191(20 \%)$. Women comprise $40 \%$ of the fulltime faculty in two-year college mathematics programs and $46 \%$ of the faculty less than 35 years of age.

The racial/ethnic composition of both mathematics and statistics faculty at four-year and university departments is little changed over the last five years. In mathematics departments white non-Hispanics account for $87 \%$ of the full-time faculty, with Asian/Pacific Islanders $8 \%$ of the total. No other racial/ethnic group is above $1 \%$. In statistics departments white non-Hispanics are $74 \%$ of the total full-time faculty, Asian/Pacific Islanders are $18 \%$ of the total, Mexican-American, Puerto Rican, and other Hispanics account for $4 \%$ of the total, and all other groups each are $1 \%$ or less.

The number of part-time mathematics faculty at fouryear colleges and universities declined from 6786 in the Fall of 1990 to 5289 in the Fall of 1995. In Fall 1995 parttime faculty taught about $20 \%$ of the undergraduate mathematics enrollment. Within two-year mathematics
programs, the number of part-time faculty in Fall 1995 was 14,266 and they taught about $38 \%$ of the mathematics enrollment.

Tenured and tenure-eligible mathematics faculty at four-year colleges and universities taught a little over half of the undergraduate enrollment, while full-time faculty at two-year colleges taught $62 \%$ of the sections offered. At four-year colleges and universities, $73 \%$ of the enrollment in mainstream Calculus I and II was taught by tenured and tenure-eligible faculty; the two-year figure was $83 \%$ of sections. For four-year colleges and universities, the percentage of enrollment in these two courses taught from a "reform" text was $29 \%$, with $35 \%$ of the enrollment using graphing calculators, while $65 \%$ of the two-year college sections of Calculus I and II used graphing calculators.

For mainstream Calculus I, large lectures with recitation accounted for $22 \%$ of the course enrollment, regular sections with fewer than 30 students accounted for $43 \%$ of the enrollment, and sections with at least 30 students accounted for the remaining $35 \%$. For mainstream Calculus II the corresponding percentages were: large lecture/recitation, $22 \%$, sections with fewer than 30 students, $48 \%$, and sections with 30 or more students, $30 \%$. For both mainstream Calculus I and II combined the percentages were: large lecture/recitation, $22 \%$, sections with fewer than 30 students, $45 \%$, and sections with 30 or more students, $33 \%$.

In non-mainstream Calculus I, large lectures with recitation accounted for $16.5 \%$ of the total course enrollment, sections with fewer than 30 students accounted for another $28.5 \%$, with the remaining $55 \%$ in sections with 30 or more students.

In four-year colleges and universities, about $60 \%$ of the departments assigned majors an advisor each year, and the same number required at least one meeting a year with the assigned advisor, although the PhD universities had a somewhat lower percentage. Over $90 \%$ of the fouryear college and university full-time mathematics faculty had a computer or terminal in their office and about the same percentage had access to the Internet. A quarter of these departments had some departmental computer systems support staff.

## Explanation of the Tables

This chapter contains 27 tables. They summarize twoyear college and four-year college and university Fall 1995 enrollment in all undergraduate courses taught in mathematics or statistics departments in four-year colleges and universities or in mathematics programs in two-year colleges. (In this report, "mathematics departments"
include departments of mathematics, mathematical sciences, mathematics and statistics, applied mathematics, etc. "Statistics departments" mean separate departments of statistics.) This enrollment is reported by general level of course, except in the case of first-year courses in calculus and statistics. In these courses, enrollment totals are subdivided into enrollments in large lectures and in regular sections. The number of baccalaureate degrees awarded to majors within the mathematics and statistics departments for the previous year, 1994-1995, is given.

Some tables report percentage distribution of an overall number; in each of these tables the overall number, the $100 \%$ number, is given, and this overall number has a distinguishing " $100 \%$ " symbol underneath it. Because this " $100 \%$ " number is given, the reader is able to compute actual numbers from the given percentages, if needed. Some tables may contain more than one overall number, but each part of the table, and its accompanying percentage distribution, is clearly labeled.

The numbers and ages of faculty within the mathematics and statistics departments and two-year mathematics programs, including the number of minority faculty, are reported together with the number of faculty who retired or died during the previous year. Full-time faculty are classified according to whether they are tenured, tenure-eligible, or "other", which includes visitors, postdoctoral appointments, and full-time instructors. The number of part-time faculty is also given. In addition, the distribution of enrollment taught by these instructors, as well as graduate assistants, is reported both for the general level of course and the first-year calculus and statistics courses. Additionally, the percentage of calculus enrollment that is taught from a "reform" text is given along with the percentage of enrollment that (1) uses graphing calculators, (2) has writing assignments, (3) has required computer assignments, and (4) is assigned group projects.

Average class contact hours per week for tenured/tenure-eligible faculty are given for both PhD departments of mathematics and statistics and MA and BA departments of mathematics.

Information on advising practices for majors within the department is reported, as is data on computers or terminals available to full-time faculty, together with faculty access to the Internet.

For most tables in this chapter, data are aggregated by either two-year or four-year and university institutions. In later chapters data are reported according to the categories of highest degree offered by the mathematics departments. For example, enrollment is given for (1)
those mathematics departments that offer a PhD in mathematics, (2) those departments that offer a master's degree in mathematics as the highest degree, and (3) four-year colleges.

The data in tables in the summary chapter relating to general course enrollment appear in the first five tables and are labeled "SE. 1 " through "SE. 5 ". These five tables are amplified in the second chapter, Enrollments. (Specific references in the summary tables to tables in later chapters are found in the commentary for each table or set of related tables.)

Data on faculty in the summary chapter appear after the above tables and are labeled "SF.6" through "SF.16", and these tables are amplified in the third chapter, Faculty.

The next subclass of summary tables are those which report on first-year calculus and statistics courses and are labeled "SFY.17" through "SFY.23". They are disaggregated in the fourth chapter, First-Year Courses: Calculus and Statistics.

Finally, the last group of summary tables report on advising policies for departmental majors and faculty access to computers and are labeled "SAC. 24 " through "SAC.27". Further detail is given in the fifth chapter, Advising and Computer Access.
(Chapters 2 through 5 are devoted exclusively to fouryear colleges and universities. Further data on two-year college mathematics programs can be found in in chapters 6 and 7, which are devoted solely to presenting more detailed information on these programs.)

Tables SE. 1 and SE. 2
A United States Office of Education survey in 1960 reported an undergraduate enrollment of 744,000 in fouryear colleges and university departments of mathematics (including mathematical sciences) and statistics departments. In each of the subsequent CBMS surveys, appearing every five years, enrollment rose steadily, reaching its zenith in Fall 1990, when mathematics and statistics departments in four-year colleges and universities reported a combined undergraduate Fall enrollment of $1,970,000$. The comparable 1995 Fall enrollment is $1,779,000$, a decline of nearly $10 \%$. A more detailed analysis shows that mathematics course enrollment decreased by 150,000 , computer science course enrollment declined by 81,000 , while statistics course enrollment increased by 39,000 . The computer science course enrollment is for courses taught by mathematics departments and does not include any enrollment from separate departments of computer science which were not included in this survey-although they were included in the 1990 CBMS survey.

On the other hand, two-year college enrollment in mathematics courses taught within the mathematics programs increased $12 \%$ from 1990 to 1995 and now accounts for $46 \%$ of all collegiate enrollment. The two-
year mathematics programs now account for $46 \%$ of the combined enrollment of $3,277,000$. It is reasonable to project that, by the turn of the century, mathematics enrollment in two-year colleges will equal or exceed enrollment in four-year colleges and universities. The total enrollment in mathematics courses in all institutions is virtually the same as in 1990, with the decrease in the four-year college and university enrollment matched by the increase in the two-year enrollment.

The Fall 1995 total undergraduate enrollment in twoyear and four-year colleges and universities is little changed from Fall 1990. As reported in the Digest For Education Statistics: 1995 (National Center of Educational Statistics, Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education), the 1990 Fall undergraduate enrollment was $11,959,000$, while the Fall 1995 enrollment is estimated to be about $12,000,000$. Overall enrollment is expected to rise over the next decade, with the 1995 enrollment a local minimum.

As demonstrated in the 1990 CBMS survey, and confirmed again by this survey, total fall enrollment in departments of mathematics and statistics at four-year colleges and universities is almost exactly half of their academic year enrollment, based upon the enrollment from the 1994-1995 academic year. The lesser Spring semester enrollment in

TABLE SE. 1 Enrollment (in thousands) in undergraduate Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science courses in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities, in Departments of Statistics at universities and in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1970, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995 and Fall 1995 by department.

|  | Fall enrollment (thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Four-year College and University matics and Statistics Depts 1995 by Dept |  |  |  |  |  |  | Two-year College Mathematics Programs |  |  |  |  |
| Courses | 1970 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 | Math Dept | Stat <br> Dept | 1970 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| Math | 1188 | 1525 | 1619 | 1621 | 1471 | 1469 | 2 | 555 | 925 | 900 | 1241 | 1384 |
| Stat | 92 | 147 | 208 | 169 | 208 | 143 | 65 | 16 | 28 | 36 | 54 | 72 |
| CS | 60 | na | na | 180 | 100 | 99 | 1 | 13 | 95 | 98 | 98 | $43^{\text {** }}$ |
| Total | 1340 | 1672* | 1827* | 1970 | 1779 | 1711 | 68 | 584 | 1048 | 1034 | 1393 | 1498 |

[^0]those institutions with a two-semester calendar is precisely balanced by those institutions on the term or quarter calendar, where the Fall enrollment is substantially less than half the academic year enrollment. Thus, a good estimate for the 1995-1996 academic year enrollment in four-year colleges and universities is obtained by doubling the 1995 Fall totals. No such data were collected for the two-year institutions. Data on the academic calendars collected by this survey are given in Table SE.2.

Further elaborations of these data for four-year colleges and universities are found in chapter 2, Enrollments, especially Table E.2. For two-year colleges, further data are contained in Tables TYR. 1 and TYR. 2 in chapter 6.

Individual course enrollments for four-year colleges and universities are contained in Appendix I, along with historical enrollment data. Individual course enrollments for two-year colleges, with historical data, are found in Table TYR. 3 in chapter 6.


TABLE SE. 2 Type of calendar for four-year colleges and universities and two-year colleges: Fall 1995.

| Type of calendar | Number of four-year <br> colleges and universities | Number of two-year <br> colleges |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Semester | 1072 | 747 |
| 4-1-4 | 184 | 0 |
| Trimester | 4 | 0 |
| Quarter | 109 | 266 |
| Other | 27 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 3 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2 3}$ |

Table SE. 3
Mathematics departments include those titled mathematical sciences, mathematics and statistics, operations research, and applied mathematics, or similar variants. Separate statistics departments have separate data and are so reported in this report. Data are also given for statistics courses taught within mathematical sciences departments under "mathematics department" enrollment but are clearly labeled as statistics course enrollment when enrollment is reported by type of course. Separate computer departments were not surveyed for the 1995 CBMS report, although they were included in the 1990 CBMS survey. However, enrollment in computer science courses taught by mathematical sciences departments is reported under "mathematics department" enrollment, as is statistics course enrollment taught within mathematical sciences departments, as well as statistics course enrollment taught by separate statistics departments.

The mathematics courses that comprise the various lev-els-remedial, precalculus, and calculus-differ between four-year colleges and universities and two-year colleges, making direct comparison of enrollments within these various levels not appropriate. The precise courses that form the various levels of courses for four-year colleges and universities are presented in Appendix I, and, for two-year colleges, are given in Table TYR. 3 in chapter 6 in the twoyear college section.

The decline in total enrollment within mathematics departments, as compared to the 1990 level, is 214,000 , a decrease of $11 \%$. If only mathematics course enrollments are compared, then the decline is 150,000 , or about $9 \%$. By way of comparison, the 1990 mathematics enrollment was the same as the 1985 mathematics enrollment.

Statistics departments, on the other hand, show an increase of 22,000 , nearly a $50 \%$ increase over the 1990 enrollment, and mathematics departments show a $14 \%$ enrollment increase in their statistics enrollment.

Computer science enrollment within mathematics departments plummeted by nearly half.

For the first time, the 1995 CBMS survey collected data on the enrollment in mathematics courses taught outside the departments in four-year colleges and universities. These institutions report outside enrollment of 28,000, which might account for some of the decline in the reme-dial-level enrollment. The two-year colleges report an outside remedial enrollment of 105,000 . Given the difficulty of ascertaining the outside enrollment, this is probably an undercount.

Total enrollment in calculus-level courses declined by 108,000 over 1990 levels, with about half of this decline in non-mainstream calculus. Possible explanations
include declines in enrollment in some majors that require calculus courses and demographic changes universitywide.

For example, enrollments in the first two years of traditional four-year engineering programs did decline between 1990 and 1995 from 167,000 to 154,000, an $8 \%$ drop, and this could account for a portion of the mainstream calculus enrollment decline. (These numbers are from the Engineering Workforce Commission, American Association of Engineering Society's publication, Engineering and Technology Enrollments, Fall 1980-1995. Of the 337 departments reporting such enrollment in 1995, 315 of them were ABET-accredited departments.)

There has been an increase in undergraduate enrollments in the biological sciences, and, typically, these students take fewer calculus courses than do majors in engineering, computer science, and the physical sciences.

The changing mix of university-wide undergraduate enrollment also deserves careful study to determine whether it might be a factor in declining calculus enrollment. For example, in 1978 total undergraduate enrollment in all collegiate institutions was $9,809,000$, divided $49 \%$ male, $51 \%$ female. Fifteen years later, the 1993 total undergraduate enrollment had increased $27 \%$ to $12,483,000$, and it was now $44 \%$ male, $56 \%$ female. During this same period the number of white males remained virtually constant and now are one third of the undergraduate enrollment, down from $40 \%$ in 1978. The gender mix is noteworthy in engineering where women were $18 \%$ of the enrollment in 1994, as compared to $12 \%$ in 1979. (The data in this paragraph are from the National Science Foundation, National Science Board report, "Science and Engineer-ing Indicators 1996".)

The increase in precalculus mathematics enrollment is largely because of a $20 \%$ increase in mathematics in liberal arts course enrollment.

Individual course enrollments for four-year colleges and universities are presented in Appendix I of this report, accompanied by a history of course enrollment obtained from some of the previous CBMS surveys, beginning with 1970 enrollments. More detailed information on enrollment is contained in chapter 2, Enrollments, of this report, as well as in the corresponding enrollment chapter, chapter 6, in the two-year college section of this report. For two-year colleges, individual course enrollments are found in Table TYR. 3 in chapter 6 in the two-year section.

Further elaborations of these data for four-year colleges and universities are found in the tables in chapter 2, Enrollments, especially Table E.2, and, for two-year colleges, in chapter 6.

TABLE SE. 3 Enrollment (in thousands) by level in undergraduate Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science courses in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities, in Departments of Statistics at universities and in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1970, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.

|  | Fall enrollment (thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Four-year College and University Mathematics Depts |  |  |  |  | University Statistics Depts |  |  | Two-year College Mathematics Programs |  |  |  |  |
| Course level | 1970 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 | 1970 | 1990 | 1995 | 1970 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| Math courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Remedial | 101 | 242 | 251 | 261 | 222 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 441 | 482 | 724 | 800 |
| Precalculus | 538 | 602 | 593 | 592 | 613 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 134 | 180 | 188 | 245 | 295 |
| Calculus | 414 | 590 | 637 | 647 | 538 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 59 | 86 | 97 | 128 | 129 |
| Advanced | 135 | 91 | 138 | 119 | 96 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other (2-year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 171 | 218 | 133 | 144 | 160 |
| Total Math | 1188 | 1525 | 1619 | 1619 | 1469 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 555 | 925 | 900 | 1241 | 1384 |
| Stat courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary | na | na | na | 87 | 115 | na | 30 | 49 | 16 | 28 | 36 | 54 | 72 |
| Upper | na | na | na | 38 | 28 | na | 14 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Stat | 60 | na | na | 125 | 143 | 32 | 44 | 65 | 16 | 28 | 36 | 54 | 72 |
| CS courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower | na | na | na | 134 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 95 | 98 | 98 | 43* |
| Middle | na | na | na |  | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Upper | na | na | na | 34 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total CS | 60 | na | na | 180 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 95 | 98 | 98 | 43* |
| Grand Total | 1308 | na | na | 1924 | 1711 | 32 | 46 | 68 | 584 | 1048 | 1034 | 1393 | 1498 |

* The computer science enrollment for 1995 includes only courses taught within mathematics programs. For earlier years it includes estimates of computer science courses taught outside mathematics programs.


FIGURE SE.3.1 Enrollment (in thousands) in undergraduate Mathematics courses in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities by level of course: Fall 1970, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.


Table SE. 4
The total number of bachelor's degrees in mathematics shows little change from 1989-1990 to 1994-1995. However, the number of mathematics degrees, pure and applied, declined by about 800 , while the number of mathematics education degrees increased by $55 \%$. The number of mathematics education degrees awarded by mathematics departments is at an all-time high, suggesting that there is increasing attention by mathematics departments to this area. The number of computer science bachelor's degrees awarded by mathematics departments continues a ten-year decline and is now $28 \%$ of the 1984-1985 figure.

The percentage of women among the degree recipients is little changed from the 1989-1990 figure of $43 \%$. Setting aside the computer science degrees awarded within mathematical sciences departments, the percentage of women in the remaining mathematical sciences degrees also shows little change, from 46\% in 1989-1990 to 45\% in 1994-1995.

Further elaborations of these data for four-year colleges and universities are found in the tables in chapter 2, Enrollments, especially Table E.1, in chapter 2.

TABLE SE. 4 Number of Bachelors Degrees in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities and in Departments of Statistics at universities (combined) between July 1 and June 30 in 1974-75, 1979-80, 1984-85, 1989-90, and 1994-95 by selected majors and by gender for totals in 1989-90 and 1994-95.

| Major | 1974-75 | 1979-80 | 1984-85 | 1989-90 | 1994-95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math (except as reported below) | 18833 | 11541 | 13171 | 13303 | 12456 |
| Math Ed | 4778 | 1752 | 2567 | 3116 | 4829 |
| Statistics | 570 | 467 | 538 | 618 | 1031 |
| Actuarial Math | na | 146 | na | 245 | 620 |
| Operations Research | na | na | 312 | 220 | 75 |
| Joint CS \& Math | na | na | 2519 | 960 | 453 |
| Joint Math \& Stat | na | na | 121 | 124 | 188 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 9 | 794 | 502 |
| Sub-total math, stat \& joint degrees | 24181 | 13906 | 19237 | 19380 | 20154 |
| Number of women | na | na | na | 8847 | 9061 |
| Computer Science degrees | na | na | 8691 | 5075 | 2741 |
| Number of women | na | na | na | 1584 | 532 |
| Total degrees | na | na | 27928 | 24455 | 22895 |
| Number of women | na | na | na | 10431 | 9593 |



FIGURE SE. 4 Number of Bachelors Degrees in Departments of Mathematics at fouryear colleges and universities and in Deparments of Statistics at universities (combined) between July 1 and June 30 in 1989-90 and in 1994-95.

Table SE. 5
It should be noted that the 1990 CBMS report incorrectly labeled the Real Analysis course as Advanced Calculus/Real Analysis, when it should have been labeled Real Analysis only. According to unpublished data from the 1990 CBMS survey, Advanced Calculus was offered by $49 \%$ of mathematics departments and Real Analysis by $43 \%$ of the departments. Because of uncertainty as to whether these separately labeled courses are, in fact, different courses, it is not possible to add these two numbers to obtain the number of departments that offered Advanced

Calculus/Real Analysis in 1990. However, it seems likely that the 1995 figures for the jointly labeled course do not represent any substantial change from 1990.

There is an increase in the use of senior seminars and independent study and an increase in the availability of mathematics for secondary education majors.

This is the only table displaying these data on availability of advanced courses. However, there is similar data on availability of selected courses in two-year colleges in Table TYR. 6 in chapter 6.

TABLE SE. 5 Percentage of Departments of Mathematics offering selected mathematics courses during academic year 1995-96* by type of school and for all schools combined. The same information is given for two consecutive academic years for all departments 198486* and 1989-91*.

|  | Percentage of departments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All depts | All depts | All depts | Univ | Univ | Coll |
|  | $1984-86$ | $1989-91$ | $1995-96$ | (PhD) | (MA) | (BA) |
| Number of departments | 1423 | 1421 | 1396 | 169 | 242 | 985 |
| Modern Algebra | na | 79 | 77 | 97 | 88 | 71 |
| Adv Calc/ Real Analysis | na | na | 70 | 94 | 79 | 64 |
| Geometry | 60 | 72 | 69 | 86 | 90 | 62 |
| Topology | na | 35 | 50 | 63 | 35 | 52 |
| Theory of Numbers | 37 | 39 | 27 | 61 | 50 | 16 |
| Combinatorics | 17 | 17 | 24 | 54 | 33 | 17 |
| Appl Math/ Modeling | 32 | 33 | 35 | 53 | 48 | 29 |
| Intro Operations Res | na | 19 | 24 | 35 | 35 | 20 |
| Foundations of math | 22 | 22 | 24 | 38 | 30 | 19 |
| Math for Sec Teachers | 45 | 34 | 53 | 51 | 59 | 52 |
| Senior sem/ Ind study | na | 42 | 77 | 73 | 60 | 81 |

* Note the time span is two years for 1984-86 and 1989-91 but only one year for 1995-96.


## Table SF. 6

While enrollment in mathematics courses taught within mathematics departments declined by $11 \%$ during the period 1990-1995, in the same period the full-time faculty in mathematics decreased by about half that amount, $6 \%$. Previous CBMS surveys did not separate full-time faculty into tenured/tenure-eligible and other full-time faculty as this survey does. Doctorate-holding faculty, as a percentage of fulltime faculty, made a substantial increase over previous levels. It is plausible to assume that the increased availability of doctorate-holding applicants enabled institutions to replace retiring non-doctoral faculty with doctoral faculty.

Although the number of full-time faculty in statistics shows a $34 \%$ increase over 1990, the number of statis-
tics departments in the survey population increased by $25 \%$. Thus, some of this increase may be due to a more comprehensive list of statistics departments than was available for past CBMS surveys.

Of the 16,108 tenured/tenure-eligible mathematics faculty, 1138 were on leave for Fall 1995, or about 7\%; for statistics departments 56 faculty were on leave, or $6 \%$ of the tenured/tenure-eligible faculty. These data are from the 1995 CBMS survey but are not reported in any table in this report.

Further elaborations of these data are found in the tables in chapter 3, Faculty, especially Tables F.1-F.3, and the ensuing commentary.

TABLE SF. 6 Number of tenured, tenure-eligible and other full-time faculty in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities and in Departments of Statistics at universities by highest degree and in 1995 by tenured and tenure-eligible and other full-time. Also full-time permanent and full-time temporary faculty in two-year college Mathematics Programs: Fall 1970, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995*.

| Faculty | 1970 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 | $1995$ <br> Tenured and tenure-eligible | Other full-time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math Depts <br> Total full-time faculty | $\begin{gathered} 15655 \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16022 \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17849 \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19411 \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18248 \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16108 \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2140 \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Doctoral degree <br> Other degree | $\begin{array}{r} 9744 \\ (62 \%) \\ 5911 \\ (38 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12497 \\ (78 \%) \\ 3525 \\ (22 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13208 \\ (74 \%) \\ 4641 \\ (26 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14963 \\ (77 \%) \\ 4448 \\ (23 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15428 \\ (85 \%) \\ 2820 \\ (15 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14491 \\ (90 \%) \\ 1617 \\ (10 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 937 \\ (44 \%) \\ 1203 \\ (56 \%) \end{array}$ |
| Stat Depts <br> Total full-time faculty | $\begin{array}{r} 700 \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 610 \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 740 \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 735 \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 988 \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 921 \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67 \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ |
| Doctoral degree <br> Other degree | na na | $\begin{array}{r} 587 \\ (96 \%) \\ 23 \\ (4 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 718 \\ (97 \%) \\ 22 \\ (3 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 706 \\ (96 \%) \\ 29 \\ (4 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 880 \\ (89 \%) \\ 108 \\ (11 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 842 \\ (91 \%) \\ 79 \\ (9 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ (57 \%) \\ 29 \\ (43 \%) \end{array}$ |
| Total Math \& Stat Depts | 16355 | 16632 | 18589 | 20146 | 19236 | 17029 | 2207 |


| Two-year colleges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total full-time faculty | 4879 | 5623 | 6277 | 7222 | 7742 | 7578 | 164 |
| Grand total | 21234 | 22255 | 24866 | 27368 | 26978 | 24607 | 2371 |

[^1]

FIGURE SF. 6 Number of full-time faculty by doctorate or other degree in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities: Fall 1970, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.

Table SF. 7
The number of faculty in 1995 in this table is full-time permanent faculty, whereas the numbers for the earlier years are full-time permanent and full-time temporary faculty. From Table SF.6, it is seen that the number of fulltime faculty, permanent and temporary, increased by $7 \%$ from 1990 to 1995. During this same period, enrollment in mathematics courses at two-year colleges increased by $12 \%$. The percentage of doctorate-holding faculty is $17 \%$,
the same percentage as in 1990, although the number of such faculty increased.

This table illustrates the use of " $100 \%$ ". It indicates that the percentages listed with this symbol add up to " $100 \%$ ", except for rounding errors. Usually, the " $100 \%$ " is found alongside the number that represents the actual total.

Further elaborations of these data are found in Tables TYR. 20 and TYR. 21 in chapter 7.

TABLE SF. 7 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by highest degree: Fall 1970, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.

| Highest degree | Percentage of faculty |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| Doctorate | 4 | 11 | 15 | 13 | 17 | 17 |
| Masters | 89 | 82 | 80 | 82 | 79 | 82 |
| Bachelors | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Number of full-time | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| permanent faculty | $\mathbf{4 8 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 7 8}$ |



FIGURE SF. 7 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by highest degree: Fall 1995.

Table SF. 8
This is the first survey to report the number (or percentage) of women faculty by various types of appointment. The number of doctorates granted is taken from the annual reports of the Joint AMS-IMS-MAA Data Committee, while the data on master's degrees are from
the Digest of Educational Statistics.
Further elaborations of these data are found in the tables in chapter 3, Faculty, especially Tables F. 2 and F.3, and in chapter 7, Two-year College Faculty, in the special two-year section of this report.

TABLE SF. 8 Gender among full-time faculty in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities and in Departments of Statistics at universities by type of appointment Fall 1995, among full-time faculty in two-year college Mathematics Programs Fall 1995 and among new PhDs from U.S. Departments of Mathematics and Departments of Statistics 1980-1995. Historical data is also presented for Fall 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990.

| Four-year College and University |  |  |  |  |  | Tenured | Tenureeligible | Other full-time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math Depts <br> Number of full-time faculty <br> Number of women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16863 | 16022 | 17849 | 19411 | 18248 | 12779 | 3329 | 2140 |
|  | 1686 | 2243 | 2677 | 3843 | 3880 | 1830 | 1141 | 909 |
|  | (10\%) | (14\%) | (15\%) | (20\%) | (21\%) | (14\%) | (34\%) | (42\%) |
| Stat Depts <br> Number of full-time faculty Number of women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | na | na | 740 | 735 | 988 | 730 | 191 | 67 |
|  | na | na | 74 | 105 | 107 | 40 | 38 | 29 |
|  |  |  | (10\%) | (14\%) | (11\%) | (5\%) | (20\%) | (43\%) |

July 1, 1980-June 30, 1995 July 1, 1990-June 30, 1995

| Number of PhDs from U.S | th and | Dept |  | 3875 |  |  | 74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of women among | $w$ PhDs |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2642 \\ & 19 \%) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 248 \\ 2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Two-year College Mathematics Programs |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ill-time } \\ & \text { ye <35 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lll-time } \\ & \text { e }<35 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1990 | 1995 | 1995 |
| Number of full-time faculty | 5944 | 5623 | 6277 | 7222 | 989 | 7578 | 938 |
| Number of women | 1248 | 1406 | 1946 | 2455 | 504 | 3031 | 431 |
|  | (21\%) | (25\%) | (31\%) | (34\%) | (51\%) | (40\%) | (46\%) |

Master's Degrees in Mathematics granted in the U.S. in 1992-93 to U.S. residents** 2924
Number of women among new Masters** 1224
(42\%)

[^2]

FIGURE SF.8.1 Percentage women among full-time faculty in Mathematics Departments at four-year colleges and universities and in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.


FIGURE SF.8.2 Number of women among full-time faculty in Mathematics Departments at four-year colleges and universities and in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.


FIGURE SF.8.3 Number of tenured, tenureeligible and other full-time faculty by gender in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities: Fall 1995.

Tables SF. 9 and SF. 10
These data are not directly comparable with previous CBMS data where the age distribution was given for fulltime faculty as opposed to tenured and tenure-eligible faculty of this report. About $50 \%$ of the faculty are 50 years of age or older. In statistics departments tenured and tenure-
eligible faculty are just a bit younger, on average.
Further elaborations of these data for four-year colleges and universities are found in the tables in chapter 3, Faculty, especially Tables F. 4 and F.5. For two-year colleges further data is available in Tables TYR. 32 and TYR. 34 in chapter 7.

TABLE SF. 9 Percentage age distribution of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities by gender. Percentage full-time permanent faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges. Also some average ages are given: Fall 1995.

| Four-year colleges and universities | Percentage of faculty$<3131-35$ 36-40 41-45 46-50 51-55 56-60 61-65 66-70 $\quad>70$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total tenured and tenureeligible faculty | Average age 1995 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tenured men | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 16 | 17 | 8 | 3 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 100\% } \\ 16108^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52.6 \\ & 47.5 \\ & 38.5 \\ & 36.0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Tenured women | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Tenure-eligible men | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Tenure-eligible women | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| All tenured \& tenureeligible faculty | 2 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 19 | 19 | 8 | 3 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 100 \% \\ 16108 \end{gathered}$ | 49.4 |  |
| Two-year colleges | $$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Average age |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1975 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| Full-time permanent faculty | 5 | 8 | 8 | 14 | 22 | 26 | 13 | 5 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 7578 \end{aligned}$ | 41.8 | 43.3 | 45.4 | 47.2 |

0 means less than half of $1 \%$.

* Total for all 4 rows in this block.



FIGURE SF.9.2 Percentage age distribution of full-time permanent faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges. Total full-time permanent faculty is 7578: Fall 1995.

TABLE SF. 10 Percentage age distribution of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty in Departments of Statistics at universities by gender. Also average ages. : Fall 1995.

|  | <31 | 31-35 | 36-40 |  | entage <br> 46-50 | of fac <br> 51-55 | ulty <br> 56-60 | 61-65 | 66-70 | >70 | Total tenured and tenureeligible faculty | Average age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tenured men | 0 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 9 | 4 | 2 |  | 52.4 |
| Tenured women | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100\% | 49.0 |
| Tenure-eligible men | 1 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 921* | 36.1 |
| Tenure-eligible women | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 35.5 |
| Total tenured and tenure-eligible faculty | 2 | 13 | 11 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 9 | 4 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 921 \end{gathered}$ | 48.8 |

0 means less than half of $1 \%$.

* Total for all 4 rows in this block.


FIGURE SF. 10 Percentage age distribution of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty in Departments of Statistics at universities by gender. Total tenured and tenure-eligible faculty is 921: Fall 1995.

Tables SF. 11 and SF. 12
These percentages are little changed over the last five years. For example, the percentage of full-time women faculty was just under $20 \%$ in 1990 and is $20 \%$ in 1995. Asian/Pacific Islanders were $7.9 \%$ of the full-time faculty in 1990 and are $8.2 \%$ in 1995. Within statistics departments the percentage of women among full-time faculty is just over $11 \%$, while Asian/Pacific Islanders are nearly $18 \%$
of full-time faculty. Again, the statistics departments are almost exclusively PhD -granting departments.

Further elaborations of these data for four-year colleges and universities are found in the tables in chapter 3, "Faculty", especially Tables F. 6 and F.7. The corresponding data on faculty for two-year colleges, including age, gender, and ethnic distributions, are found in a series of tables, TYR. 26 through TYR. 34 , in chapter 7.

TABLE SF. 11 Percentage of gender and of racial/ethnic groups among tenured, tenure-eligible, and other full-time faculty in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities: Fall 1995.

|  | Percentage of faculty |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | American Indian/ Alaskan | Asian/ <br> Pacific <br> Islander | Black, <br> not <br> Hispanic | Mexican American, Puerto Rican, other Hispanic | White, <br> not Hispanic | Not known | Number of full-time faculty |
| All schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenured men | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 54 | 1 |  |
| Tenured women | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 |  |
| Tenure-eligible men | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 100\% |
| Tenure-eligible women | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 18248* |
| Other full-time men | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |  |
| Other full-time women | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |
| Total full-time men | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 69 | 1 | 100\% |
| Total full-time women | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 18248** |

0 means less than half of $1 \%$.

* Total for all 6 rows in this block.
** Total for both rows in this block.

TABLE SF. 12 Percentage of gender and of racial/ethnic groups among tenured, tenure-eligible and other full-time faculty in Departments of Statistics at universities: Fall 1995.

|  | Percentage of faculty |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | American <br> Indian/ <br> Alaskan | Asian/ <br> Pacific <br> Islander | Black, not Hispanic | Mexican American, Puerto Rican, other Hispanic | White, not Hispanic | Not known | Number of full-time faculty |
| All schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenured men | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 55 | 1 |  |
| Tenured women | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 |  |
| Tenure-eligible men | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 100\% |
| Tenure-eligible women | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 988* |
| Other full-time men | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Other full-time women | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Total full-time men | 0 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 66 | 1 | 100\% |
| Total full-time women | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 988** |

[^3]Table SF. 13
The number of part-time faculty continues at a high level. In the Enrollments and First-Year Courses: Calculus and Statistics chapters, the number of sections and percentage of enrollment taught by part-time faculty are given, along with an estimate of the full-time-equiv-
alence of part-time faculty.
Further elaborations of these data are found in the tables in chapter 3, Faculty, especially Tables F. 2 and F. 3. For the two-year colleges, Tables TYR.17, TYR.19, and TYR. 25 in chapter 7 contain further elaborations.

TABLE SF. 13 Number of full-time and part-time faculty in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities, in Departments of Statistics at universities and in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges. Number of part-time faculty per 100 full-time faculty is also given: Fall 1970, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.

|  | 1970 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four-year college and university |  |  |  |  |  |
| Math Depts <br> Full-time faculty <br> Part-time faculty <br> No. of part-time per 100 full-time faculty |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15655 | 16022 | 17849 | 19411 | 18248 |
|  | 2436 | 5456 | 7087 | 6786 | 5289 |
|  | 16 | 34 | 40 | 35 | 29 |
| Stat Depts <br> Full-time faculty Part-time faculty <br> No. of part-time per 100 full-time faculty |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 700 | 610 | 740 | 735 | 988 |
|  | 93 | 132 | 118 | 90 | 136 |
|  | 13 | 22 | 16 | 12 | 14 |
| Two-year college Math Programs Full-time faculty |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4879 | 5623 | 6277 | 7222 | 7578 |
| Part-time faculty | 2213 | 6661 | 7433 | 13680 | 14266 |
| No. of part-time per 100 full-time faculty | 45 | 118 | 118 | 189 | 188 |



FIGURE SF.13.1 Number of part-time faculty per 100 full-time faculty in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities and in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1995.


FIGURE SF.13.2 Number of full-time and part-time faculty in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities: Fall 1970, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.


Table SF. 14
This is the first CBMS survey to collect these data. The Asian/Pacific Islander category includes a variety of nationalities, including Indian, and this category is a significant percentage of both the full-time and part-time faculty in statistics departments.

The percentage of women among part-time mathe-
matics faculty, $40 \%$, is nearly double the percentage of women among full-time mathematics faculty, $21 \%$. The comparable numbers for statistics department faculty show that women are $18 \%$ of the part-time faculty as compared to $11 \%$ of the full-time faculty.

Further elaborations of these data are found in the tables in chapter 3, Faculty, especially Table F.8.

TABLE SF. 14 Percentage of gender and of racial/ethnic groups among part-time faculty in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities and in Departments of Statistics at universities: Fall 1995.

|  | Percentage of part-time faculty |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | American <br> Indian/ <br> Alaskan | Asian/ <br> Pacific <br> Islander | Black, not Hispanic | Mexican American, Puerto Rican, other Hispanic | White, not Hispanic | Not known | Number of part-time faculty |
| Math depts <br> Part-time men Part-time women | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ 5289 \end{array}$ |
| Stat depts <br> Part-time men Part-time women | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & (1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (1) } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 136 \end{gathered}$ |

[^4](1) Too few sample cases for a reliable estimate.

## Table SF. 15

The retirement numbers continue to climb. For fouryear colleges and universities, this survey asked for retirements and deaths among tenured and tenureeligible faculty, whereas previous CBMS surveys asked for these data for all full-time faculty, both tenured/tenure-eligible and "other full-time". The age of "other full-time faculty", which includes postdoctoral and other temporary faculty as well as permanent instructors, probably is younger, on the average, than the tenured and tenure-eligible faculty, and so this category of faculty should not contribute significantly to
the overall death and retirement rate. It is hoped that the death and retirement rate for tenured and tenure-eligible faculty gives a better estimate of available tenure-track positions for these institutions.

This is the first table to display the number of tenured/tenure-eligible faculty for PhD , MA, and BA departments of mathematics separately.

This is the only table displaying these data on deaths and retirement for four-year colleges and universities. For two-year colleges, Table TYR. 39 contains more detailed information.

TABLE SF. 15 Number of deaths and retirements of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty from Departments of Mathematics and from Departments of Statistics by type of school and of full-time permanent faculty from Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges from Sept. 1, 1994 to Aug. 31, 1995. Historical data is included when available.

|  | 1979-80 | 1984-85 | 1989-90 | 1994-95 | Number of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math Depts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ(PhD) | na | na | 135 | 172 | 5463 |
| Univ(MA) | na | na | 68 | 132 | 4032 |
| Univ(BA) | na | na | 119 | 137 | 6613 |
| Total deaths and retirements in math depts | 156 | 220 | 322 | 441 | 16108 |
| Total deaths and retirements in stat depts | na | na | 17 | 33 | 921 |
| Two-year colleges |  |  |  |  | Number of full-time permanent faculty |
| Total deaths and retirements in math programs at twoyear colleges |  |  |  | 274 | 7578 |

Table SF. 16
This table presents data by type of department with aggregate totals where appropriate. Tables in the following four-year college and university chapters will be so organized.

In PhD and BA mathematics departments, the average number of weekly contact hours expected of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty is little changed from 1990 levels. However, in MA mathematics departments, $61 \%$ of these departments report weekly teaching assignments of 12 hours or more, as compared to the 1990 figure of $52 \%$.

Among PhD statistics departments, $32 \%$ report teaching assignments exceeding six hours per week, whereas the 1990 CBMS report reported no such PhD statistics departments with more than six hours per week teaching assignments. Again, this may be partially because of the increased coverage of statistics departments in this survey.

This is the only table displaying data on teaching assignments for four-year colleges and universities; for two-year colleges, this information is presented in TYR. 18 in chapter 7.

While Table SF. 16 gives the "expected or typical" teaching assignment for tenured/tenure-eligible faculty, the actual average teaching assignments might be less if teaching duties are reduced because of other duties. Using the data from the CBMS survey, supplemented by other data, it is possible to calculate the average number of sections actually taught by tenured/tenure-eligible faculty in Fall 1995 by the various types of departments. Such a calculation is done in the commentary to Table E. 12 in chapter 2 . For completeness the summary numbers are repeated here. In Fall 1995 PhD departments of mathematics had an average number of 2.30 sections taught per tenured/tenure-eligible faculty; for MA departments of mathematics it was 3.08, and for BA departments of mathematics it was 3.14 . These averages include both undergraduate and graduate courses, with estimates for the later sections obtained from the annual surveys conducted by the AMS-IMS-MAA Data Committee. The number of tenured/tenure-eligible faculty used to compute these averages excludes those faculty on leave in Fall 1995.

TABLE SF. 16 Percentage of departments having various weekly teaching assignments in classroom contact hours for tenured and tenure-eligible faculty in Departments of Mathematics and Departments of Statistics by type of school: Fall 1995.

|  | Percentage of departments having various contact hours |  |  |  |  |  | Number of schools |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < 6 hrs | 6 hrs | 7-8 hrs | 9-11 hrs | 12 hrs | $>12 \mathrm{hrs}$ |  |
| Math dedts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ(PhD) | 17 | 50 | 23 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 169 |
| Univ(MA) | 1 | 3 | 13 | 23 | 51 | 10 | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 242 |
| College(BA) | 0 | 0 | 4 | 28 | 40 | 27 | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 985 |
| Stat depts <br> Univ(PhD) | 15 | 53 | 25 | 5 | 2 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 67 |



FIGURE SF. 16 Percentage of Departments of Mathematics and Departments of Statistics having various weekly teaching assignments in classroom contact hours for tenured and tenure-eligible faculty by type of school: Fall 1995.

## Table SFY. 17

As might be expected, tenured and tenure-eligible mathematics faculty teach less of the remedial enrollment, do teach more precalculus enrollment, and teach over $70 \%$ of the calculus enrollment. However, in the lowerlevel statistics and computer science courses, tenured and tenure-eligible faculty teach at least $60 \%$ of the enrollment in each of the two disciplines. A word of caution should be given. The CBMS surveys do not collect data on graduate programs. Especially in PhD-granting departments, substantial faculty activity is within the graduate program and is not reflected in the CBMS data. In the Enrollments and First Year Courses: Calculus and Statistics chapters, further elaborations of this table are presented by type of
institution and level of calculus course.
Further elaborations of these data are found in the tables in chapter 2, Enrollments, especially Tables E. 3 through E.9.

The summary chapter does not contain any data on number of sections offered. For four-year colleges and universities, however, there is a summary table for these data, Table E.10, and a table, E.11, on section size, both of which appear in chapter 2, Enrollments. Further elaborations of these data on sections appear in a series of tables, E. 12 through E.18, in this same Enrollments chapter. For two-year colleges Table TYR. 9 in chapter 6 contains further detail.


FIGURE SFY. 17 Enrollment in undergraduate Mathematics courses in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities by level of course and type of instructor: Fall 1995.

TABLE SFY. 17 Percentage of enrollment in undergraduate Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science courses in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities, in Departments of Statistics at universities and percentage of sections in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by type of instructor and level of course: Fall 1995.

|  | Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |  | Statistics Departments |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ Other Part- Graduate <br> tenure- full- time TAs <br> eligible time   <br>     <br>     |  |  |  | Total Math dept enrollment (thousands) | Tenured/ <br> tenure- <br> eligible | Other fulltime | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Part- } \\ & \text { time } \end{aligned}$ | Graduate <br> TAs | Total Stat dept enrollment (thousands) |
| Math courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Remedial level | 14 | 14 | 46 | 26 | 100\% |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 222 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Precalculus level | 40 | 18 | 21 | 22 | 100\% |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 613 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calculus level | 71 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 100\% |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 538 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advanced level | 100* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100\% |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 96 |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Math Courses | 51 | 14 | 19 | 17 | 100\% |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1469 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stat courses <br> Elem. level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 63 | 7 | 19 | 11 | 100\% | 41 | 12 | 9 | 38 | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | 115 |  |  |  |  | 49 |
| Upper level | 100* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100\% | 100* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |  |  |  | 16 |
| All Stat Courses | 70 | 6 | 15 | 7 | 100\% | 56 | 9 | 7 | 29 | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | 143 |  |  |  |  | 65 |
| Computer Science courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower level | 60 | 16 | 24 | 1 | 100\% |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 74 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middle level | 79 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 100\% |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper level | 100* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100\% |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $12$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Computer | 67 | 14 | 18 | 0 | 100\% |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Science Courses |  |  |  |  | 99 |  |  |  |  |  |
| All courses | 54 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 100\% | 56 | 9 | 7 | 29 | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1711 |  |  |  |  | 68 |
| Two-year colleges All courses | 62** |  | $38^{* * *}$ | 0 | 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1498 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^5]
## Table SFY. 18

While the 1990 CBMS data on mainstream calculus were not as precise as the information obtained in this survey, it is possible to make some comparisons. Assuming that the average enrollment of the large lecture with recitation in mainstream Calculus I was the same in 1990 as reported in 1995, the number of students enrolled in Calculus I in large lectures with recitation declined from 81,000 ( $80 \%$ of total Calculus I enrollment) in 1990 to 42,500 ( $48 \%$ of total Calculus I enrollment) in 1995. It should be noted that mainstream Calculus I and II are offered in the large lecture with recitation format almost
exclusively in the PhD -granting universities.
The data from the 1995 survey show that almost all of the regular section enrollment in mainstream Calculus I and II is in sections of 60 or fewer students. Regular sections in these two courses with enrollment greater than 60 account for only $1.5 \%$ of the total enrollment in regular sections of these two courses. A further elaboration of this table for four-year colleges and universities is found in Table FY. 1 in chapter 4, First-Year Courses: Calculus and Statistics. For two-year colleges, Table TYR. 9 contains more detail.


FIGURE SFY. 18 Enrollment in Mainstream Calculus I taught by tenured and tenure-eligible, other fulltime, part-time, and graduate teaching assistants in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities by size of sections: Fall 1995.

TABLE SFY. 18 Percentage of enrollment in Mainstream Calculus I and Mainstream Calculus II taught by tenured and tenure-eligible, other full-time, part-time, and graduate teaching assistants in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities by size of sections and percentage of sections taught by full-time and part-time in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1995. Also total enrollments and average section sizes.


Table SFY. 19
The large lecture with recitation format is not much different than the regular section format in the use of the various pedagogical techniques, except for use of graphing calculators. Both for mainstream Calculus I and II graphing calculators are used significantly less in large lectures than in small sections.

While the use of a "reform" material as the primary text in mainstream Calculus II is half that of mainstream Calculus I, it should be observed that this is the fall (offsemester) course and probably uses the same text as the previous year's Calculus I course. If a "reform" text were newly adopted for Calculus I, then this might account for
some of the difference.
From 1990 to 1995, there has been a dramatic increase in the number of students using graphing calculators, from $3 \%$ to $37 \%$ in mainstream Calculus I, and an almost equally large increase in assigning group projects with more modest, but still substantial, increases in the other two categories.

For four-year colleges and universities, a further elaboration of this table appears in Table FY. 2 in chapter 4, First-Year Courses: Calculus and Statistics. For twoyear colleges, an elaboration is found in Tables TYR. 10 and TYR. 11 in chapter 6.

TABLE SFY. 19 Percentage of enrollment in Mainstream Calculus I and Mainstream Calculus II taught using various reform methods in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities by size of sections and percentage of sections taught using various reform methods in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges. Also total enrollments and average section sizes: Fall 1995. (1990 percentages are of sections whereas 1995 percentages are of enrollment.)

|  | Percentage of enrollment |  |  |  |  | Enrollment (thousands) | Average section size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four-year colleges and universities | taught <br> from a <br> "reform" <br> text* | using graphing calculators | having writing assignments | having required computer assignments | having assigned group projects |  |  |
| Mainstream Calculus I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large lecture with recitation | 30 | 25 | 23 | 19 | 28 | 42 | 99 |
| Regular section <30 | 30 | 40 | 22 | 16 | 23 | 83 | 24 |
| Regular section $\geq 30$ | 25 | 42 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 67 | 36 |
| Course total | 29 | 37 | 22 | 18 | 23 | 192 | 33 |
| 1990 percentage of sections | na | 3 | 10 | 9 | 3 |  |  |
| Mainstream Calculus II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large lecture with recitation | 15 | 17 | 19 | 17 | 21 | 18 | 85 |
| Regular section <30 | 23 | 34 | 34 | 19 | 24 | 40 | 21 |
| Regular section $\geq 30$ | 16 | 30 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 25 | 37 |
| Course total | 19 | 29 | 24 | 17 | 20 | 83 | 30 |
| 1990 percentage of sections | na | 2 | 9 | 7 | 2 |  |  |
| Total Mainstream Calculus I \& II | 26 | 35 | 23 | 18 | 22 | 275 | 32 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two-year colleges |  |  | Percentage of | sections |  |  |  |
| Mainstream Calculus I | na | 66 | 20 | 23 | 22 | 58 | 25 |
| Mainstream Calculus II | na | 63 | 13 | 26 | 22 | 23 | 23 |
| Total Mainstream Calculus I \& II | na | 65 | 18 | 24 | 22 | 81 | 24 |
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FIGURE SFY.19.3 Percentage of enrollment in Mainstream Calculus II taught using various reform methods in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities by size of sections: Fall 1995.


FIGURE SFY.19.4 Percentage of sections in Mainstream Calculus I and Mainstream Calculus II taught using various reform methods in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1995.

Table SFY. 20
In contrast with the pattern of enrollment in mainstream calculus, the comparable figures for non-mainstream Calculus I are quite different. For this course, the large lecture with recitation format accounted for $36 \%$ of the enrollment in 1990 and accounts for $32 \%$ of the enrollment in 1995. Part-time faculty and graduate assistants
teach about a third of the enrollment in non-mainstream calculus, about double that for mainstream calculus.

A further elaboration of this table for four-year colleges and universities appears in Table FY. 3 in chapter 4, First-Year Courses: Calculus and Statistics. Table TYR. 9 gives more detail for two-year college mathematics programs.

TABLE SFY. 20 Percentage of enrollment in Non-Mainstream Calculus I and Non-Mainstream Calculus II taught by tenured and tenure-eligible, other full-time, part-time, and graduate teaching assistants in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities by size of sections and percentage of sections taught by full-time and part-time in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1995. Also total enrollment and average section sizes.



FIGURE SFY. 20 Enrollment in Non-mainstream Calculus I taught by tenured and tenure-eligible, other full-time, part-time, and graduate teaching assistants in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities by size of sections: Fall 1995.

## Table SFY. 21

In mainstream calculus courses, "reform" material, writing, or group projects, or computer assignments are used more frequently as teaching tools than they are in nonmainstream calculus courses. Only in the use of graphing calculators are the two calculus sequences comparable.

A more detailed presentation of these four-year colleges and university data appears in Tables FY. 4 in chapter 4, First-Year Courses: Calculus and Statistics. For two-year colleges, more detail is presented in TYR. 10 and TYR. 11 in chapter 6.

TABLE SFY. 21 Percentage of enrollment in Non-Mainstream Calculus I taught using various reform methods in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities by size of sections and percentage of sections taught using various reform methods in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1995. Also total enrollments and average section sizes.

|  | Percentage of enrollment |  |  |  |  | Enrollment Average <br> (thousands) <br> section  <br> size  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four-year colleges and universities | taught <br> from a <br> "reform" <br> text* | using graphing calculators | having writing assignments | having required computer assignments | having assigned group projects |  |  |
| Non-Mainstream Calculus I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large lecture/recitation | 6 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 16.0 | 106 |
| Regular section <30 | 4 | 18 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 27.5 | 24 |
| Regular section $\geq 30$ | 13 | 34 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 53.5 | 44 |
| Course total | 10 | 26 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 97.0 | 39 |
| Two-year colleges |  |  | rcentage of s | ections |  |  |  |
| Non-Mainstream Calculus I | na | 44 | 17 | 8 | 20 | 26 | 26 |
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FIGURE SFY. 21 Percentage of enrollment in Non-mainstream Calculus I taught using various reform methods in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities by size of sections: Fall 1995.

Tables SFY. 22 and SFY. 23
These two tables give enrollment information on the two first-year statistics courses, with Table SFY. 22 presenting data on mathematics departments and Table SFY. 23 on statistics departments.

It should be noted that $95 \%$ of the statistics enrollment within statistics departments is in PhD-granting departments. On the other hand, almost $70 \%$ of the total sta-
tistics enrollment in both mathematics and statistics departments is in mathematics departments, a majority within mathematics departments at four-year colleges. Thus, it is difficult to make comparisons between summary data for statistics departments and summary data for mathematics departments. A better comparison is between PhD departments in the two disciplines. For example, the percentage of students in elementary sta-

TABLE SFY. 22 Percentage of enrollment in Elementary Statistics (no calculus prerequisite) and Probability and Statistics (no calculus prerequisite) taught by tenured and tenure-eligible, other full-time, part-time, and graduate teaching assistants in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities by size of sections: Fall 1995. Percentage of sections in Elementary Statistics (with or without probability) in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1995. Also percentage of students (or sections for two-year colleges) having required computer assignments, total enrollments, and average section sizes.

|  | Percentage of enrollment taught by |  |  |  | Enrollment (thousands) | Percent of students having required computer assigns. | Average section size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four-year colleges and universities | Tenured and tenureeligible | Other full-time | Part-time | Graduate teaching assistants |  |  |  |
| Elementary Statistics (no calculus prereq.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large lecture/recitation | 56 | 26 | 18 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 4.5 \end{gathered}$ | 20 | 165 |
| Regular section <30 | 81 | 4 | 13 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 25.0 \end{gathered}$ | 53 | 24 |
| Regular section $\geq 30$ | 59 | 8 | 22 | 12 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 67.5 \end{gathered}$ | 51 | 38 |
| Course total | 65 | 7 | 19 | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 92.0 \end{gathered}$ | 51 | 33 |
| Probability \& Statistics (no calculus prereq.) <br> All sections | 61 | 6 | 15 | 19 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 18.0 \end{gathered}$ | 40 | 31 |
| Total Elem. Prob. \& Stat. courses | 64 | 7 | 18 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 115.0 \end{aligned}$ | 49 | 33 |
| Two-year colleges | Percentage of sections taught by <br> Full-time <br> Part-time |  |  |  | Enrollment (thousands) | Percent of sections having required computer assigns. | Average section size |
| Elementary Statistics (with or without probability) | 69 |  | 31 |  | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 69 \end{gathered}$ | 47 | 28 |

tistics courses taught by tenured and tenure-eligible faculty in PhD statistics departments, $46 \%$, should be compared with the percentage of students in courses taught by tenured and tenure-eligible faculty in PhD mathematics departments, $29 \%$. These numbers appear in subsequent tables.

There is a large percentage of students in statistics
courses-over half-who have required computer assignments. This is the first CBMS survey to collect these data.

Further four-year and university elaborations of these tables appear in Tables FY. 5 and FY. 6 in chapter 4, FirstYear Courses: Calculus and Statistics, and additional information for two-year mathematics programs is found in Tables TYR. 9 and TYR. 10 in chapter 6.


FIGURE SFY. 22 Enrollment in Elementary Statistics (no calculus prerequisite) taught by tenured and tenure-eligible, other full-time, part-time, and graduate teaching assistants in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities by size of sections: Fall 1995.

TABLE SFY. 23 Percentage of enrollment in Elementary Statistics (no calculus prerequisite) and Probability and Statistics (no calculus prerequisite) taught by tenured and tenure-eligible, other full-time, part-time, and graduate teaching assistants in Departments of Statistics at universities by size of sections. Also percentage of students having required computer assignments, total enrollments, and average section sizes: Fall 1995.



FIGURE SFY. 23 Enrollment in Elementary Statistics (no calculus prerequisite) taught by tenured and tenure-eligible, other full-time, part-time, and graduate teaching assistants in Departments of Statistics at four-year colleges and universities by size of sections: Fall 1995.

## Tables SAC. 24 and SAC. 25

Because this is the first CBMS survey to collect data on advising practices for mathematics and statistics departmental majors, there are no comparative data from previous CBMS surveys. In Table SAC. 24 the four advising options listed are mutually exclusive, so that the various percents total $100 \%$, except for rounding errors. Likewise, the second part of the table giving the frequency of meeting with a department advisor also has mutually exclusive categories. In addition, the percent-
age of tenured or tenure-eligible faculty who were assigned advising duties for Fall 1995 is also given. In Table SAC. 25 the primary source of advising information for each of the three areas, non-teaching careers, K-12 teaching and graduate school are, again, mutually exclusive, as they are defined as the primary advising source. Hence, each row adds to $100 \%$.

More four-year colleges and university data on these topics are presented in Tables AC.1, AC.2, and AC. 3 in chapter 5, Advising and Computer Access.

TABLE SAC. 24 Percentage of Departments of Mathematics and of Departments of Statistics assigning departmental advisors by level of departmental majors and frequency of meetings. Also percentage of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty assigned to advise departmental majors: Fall 1995.

| Departments | Mathematics | Statistics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of departments where | Percentage of departments where |
| Departmental majors are assigned a departmental advisor each year <br> Departmental majors are assigned a departmental advisor in their 1st and 2nd years only <br> Departmental majors are assigned a departmental advisor in their 3rd and 4th years only <br> Other methods are used to advise departmental majors | 59 <br> 7 <br> 28 <br> 5 | 63 <br> 18 <br> 9 <br> 11 |
| Number of departments | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 1396 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 75 \end{gathered}$ |
| Meetings with departmental advisor: <br> No meetings are required <br> There is at least one required <br> There is at least one required meeting in students' 3rd and 4th years only | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & 60 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | 41 <br> 59 <br> 0 |
| Number of departments | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 1396 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 75 \end{gathered}$ |
| Number of tenured and tenureeligible faculty | 16108 | 921 |
| Percentage of faculty assigned to advise undergraduate departmental majors in Fall 1995 | 54 | 22 |

TABLE SAC. 25 Primary source of various advising information for departmental majors in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities and in Departments of Statistics at universities: Fall 95.

|  | Percentage of departments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Topic | Departmental advisor | Career services office | Outside speakers | Club for majors | Other | Total no. of departments |
| Math Depts <br> Non-teaching careers | 50 | 46 | 1 | 3 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 1396 \end{gathered}$ |
| K-12 teaching | 76 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 16 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 1396 \end{gathered}$ |
| Graduate school | 94 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 1396 \end{aligned}$ |
| Stat Depts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-teaching careers | 73 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 100\% 75 |
| K-12 teaching | 37 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 |
| Graduate school | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table SAC. 26
These data have not been collected in previous CBMS surveys.

It is clear that almost all four-year college and university mathematics and statistics faculty have full access to computers or terminals in their office and, equally, have access to the Internet. Any such institution without these features would be far from the norm. The percentage of
two-year college faculty with access to a computer or terminal in their office is smaller, with about three fourths of the faculty having such access.

A further elaboration of these data by type of four-year and university institution is contained in Table AC. 4 in chapter 5, Advising and Computer Access. For further information on two-year college mathematics programs, see Table TYR. 42 .

TABLE SAC. 26 Computers or terminals available to and access to Internet for full-time faculty in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities, in Departments of Statistics at universities and in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1995.

|  | Number of <br> faculty | Percentage <br> of faculty |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Math Depts | 18248 | $100 \%$ |
| Have a computer or terminal |  |  |
| in office |  |  |
| Have access to a computer or |  |  |
| terminal elsewhere on campus |  |  |
| Have access to Internet |  |  |$\quad$| Stat Depts |
| :--- |
| Have a computer or terminal <br> in office |
| Have access to a computer or <br> terminal elsewhere on campus |
| Have access to Internet |
| Two-year college <br> Mathematics Programs <br> Have a computer or terminal <br> in office <br> Have access to a computer or <br> terminal elsewhere on campus <br> Have access to Internet |
| 7578 |

Table SAC. 27
These data have not been collected in previous CBMS surveys.

A further elaboration of these data by type of four-year institution is contained in Table AC. 5 in chapter 5, Advising and Computer Access.

TABLE SAC. 27 Availability of departmental computer systems support staff in Departments of Mathematics at four-year colleges and universities and in Departments of Statistics at universities: Fall 1995.

|  | Number of <br> departments | Percentage of <br> departments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of FTE computer <br> systems support staff |  |  |
| Math Depts | 1396 | $100 \%$ |
| 0 |  | 76 |
| 1 |  | 19 |
| 2 | 75 | $100 \%$ |
| 3 or more |  | 22 |
| Stat Depts |  | 59 |
| 0 |  | 12 |
| 1 |  | 7 |

## Chapter 2 Enrollments

## Data Highlights

Since 1990, enrollment in calculus-level courses declined by $32 \%$ in BA departments of mathematics and $22 \%$ in PhD departments of mathematics; MA departments of mathematics showed a slight increase. The percentage of enrollment in calculus-level courses taught by tenured and tenure-eligible faculty is $62 \%$ for PhD departments of mathematics, $76 \%$ for MA departments of mathematics, and $81 \%$ for BA departments of mathematics. Average section sizes for courses beyond remedial level declined somewhat over 1990 levels. Within mathematical sciences departments, the percentage of sections of mathematics courses taught by tenured and tenure-eligible faculty is $58 \%$, but for statistics courses this percentage rises to $77 \%$, and for computer science courses it is $72 \%$. The actual number of sections taught by part-time mathematics facultyis given and, when divided by the average number of sections taught per tenured/tenure-eligible faculty, gives a full-time-equivalent (fte) estimate for part-time mathematics faculty of 3667 . This analysis is presented in the commentary for Tables E. 13 through E. 18.

## Explanation of the Tables

There are 18 tables in this chapter which present enrollment by level of course and type of department classified by the highest mathematics degree offered by the department. Those mathematics departments offering only a bachelor's degree or no mathematics degree are labeled BA departments, those offering master's degrees as the highest degree are designated MA departments, and those offering a doctor's degree in mathematics are called PhD departments. A statistics department is labeled a PhD or a MA department according to the classification of the companion mathematics department. However, only two of the responding PhD statistics departments reported not having a PhD degree in statistics.

While historical data is presented primarily in the summary chapter, Table E.2. does contain corresponding enrollment taken from the 1990 CBMS survey.

The specific courses that comprise the various levels of mathematics courses-remedial, precalculus, calculus, and advanced math, as well as the various levels of statistics and computer science courses-are found in Appendix I, which contains detailed enrollment by course and historical data from previous CBMS surveys.

Enrollment information on mainstream and nonmainstream Calculus I and II, as well as elementary statistics and probability and statistics, by instructional format and type of department, is presented in chapter 4, First-Year Calculus Courses: Calculus and Statistics.

## Table E. 1

This is an elaboration of Table SE. 4 in chapter 1, Summary.

The percentage of women among computer science baccalaureate degrees continues to be about half of the corresponding percentage for mathematics baccalaureate degrees. Because of this and because four-year college mathematics departments award the majority (65\%) of the computer science degrees awarded by mathematics departments, these departments have the lowest percentage of women graduates among mathematics departments.

Graduates in mathematics education within mathematics departments are about equally divided between males and females. In the previous 1990 CBMS survey, which reported the bachelor's degrees awarded by mathematics departments from July 1, 1989, to June 30, 1990, the percentage of women receiving mathematics education degrees (in mathematics departments) was $64 \%$, as compared with the 1995 percentage of $49 \%$. The number of such degrees increased dramatically, from 3116 in the 1989-1990 period to 4829 in 1994-1995.

TABLE E. 1 Bachelor's Degrees in Mathematics, Mathematics Education, Statistics, and Computer Science in Departments of Mathematics and in Departments of Statistics awarded between July 1, 1994 and June 30, 1995 by gender of degree recipient and type of school.

|  | Math Depts |  |  |  | Stat Depts |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bachelor's Degrees in Math and Stat Depts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | Univ (MA) | Coll (BA) | Total <br> Math <br> Depts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | Univ <br> (MA) | Total <br> Stat <br> Depts | Total Math \& Stat Depts |
| Mathematics majors (including Act Sci, Oper Res, and joint degrees) Men <br> Women <br> Total Math Degrees | $\begin{array}{r} 2867 \\ 1933 \\ (40 \%) \\ 4800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2235 \\ 1833 \\ (45 \%) \\ 4068 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2956 \\ 2470 \\ (46 \%) \\ 5426 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8058 \\ 6236 \\ (44 \%) \\ 14294 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 8058 \\ 6236 \\ (44 \%) \\ 14294 \end{array}$ |
| Mathematics Education majors <br> Men <br> Women <br> Total Math Ed Degrees | $\begin{array}{r} 403 \\ 527 \\ (57 \%) \\ 930 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 701 \\ 831 \\ (54 \%) \\ 1532 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1346 \\ 1021 \\ (43 \%) \\ 2367 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2450 \\ 2379 \\ (49 \%) \\ 4829 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2450 \\ 2379 \\ (49 \%) \\ 4829 \end{array}$ |
| Statistics majors <br> Men <br> Women <br> Total Stat Degrees | $\begin{array}{r} 162 \\ 162 \\ (50 \%) \\ 324 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ 47 \\ (48 \%) \\ 97 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ 22 \\ (45 \%) \\ 49 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 239 \\ 231 \\ (49 \%) \\ 470 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 264 \\ 157 \\ (37 \%) \\ 421 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \\ 58 \\ (41 \%) \\ 140 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 346 \\ 215 \\ (38 \%) \\ 561 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 585 \\ 446 \\ (43 \%) \\ 1031 \end{array}$ |
| Computer Science majors <br> Men <br> Women <br> Total CS Degrees | $\begin{array}{r} 155 \\ 45 \\ (22 \%) \\ 200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 522 \\ 245 \\ (32 \%) \\ 767 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1532 \\ 242 \\ (14 \%) \\ 1774 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2209 \\ 532 \\ (22 \%) \\ 2741 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2209 \\ 532 \\ (22 \%) \\ 2741 \end{array}$ |
| Total Degrees - Men Total Degrees - Women | $\begin{array}{r} 3587 \\ 2667 \\ (43 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3508 \\ 2956 \\ (46 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5861 \\ 3755 \\ (39 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12956 \\ 9378 \\ (42 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 264 \\ 157 \\ (37 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \\ 58 \\ (41 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 346 \\ 215 \\ (38 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13302 \\ 9593 \\ (42 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total All Degrees | 6254 | 6464 | 9616 | 22334 | 421 | 140 | 561 | 22895 |

The number of baccalaureate graduates who were mathematics majors remained virtually unchanged over this five-year period: 14,827 in 1989-1990 and 14,294 in 1994-1995.

Baccalaureate degrees in statistics increased substantially, from 670 in 1989-1990 to 1031 in 1994-1995, with most of the increase in statistics departments, where the number of degrees awarded went from 337 to 561.

Computer science baccalaureate degrees awarded to mathematical sciences majors continued to decline from the peak year of 1984-1985, when 8646 such degrees were awarded. In 1989-1990there were 5075 such degrees awarded, and in 1994-1995 there were 2741 such degrees awarded.


FIGURE E.1.1 Bachelor's Degrees in Departments of Mathematics awarded between July 1, 1994 and June 30, 1995 by gender of degree recipient and by type of school.


FIGURE E.1.2 Bachelor's Degrees in Mathematics, Mathematics Education, Statistics, and Computer Science in Departments of Mathematics awarded between July 1, 1994 and June 30, 1995 by type of school.

## Table E. 2

This is an elaboration of the 1995 enrollment data contained in Tables SE. 1 and SE. 3 in chapter 1, Summary.

Especially in four-year colleges, the spectrum of courses offered by the mathematics department is broad, encompassing a substantial enrollment in statistics and computer science courses as well as in mathematics. The enrollment in statistics courses grew substantially since 1990 to the point where the statistics enrollment in four-year college mathematics
departments and the statistics enrollment in all separate statistics departments, mostly PhD departments, are now about equal in number. In turn, this number is equal to the enrollment in computer science courses taught in four-year college mathematics departments.

As in the 1990 CBMS survey, the preponderance of statistics course enrollment-almost $70 \%$-is still within the mathematics departments, with the remaining $30 \%$ in separate statistics departments. In Fall 1990 separate statistics departments taught $25 \%$ of all statistics enrollments.

TABLE E. 2 Enrollment (thousands) in undergraduate Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science courses in Departments of Mathematics and in Departments of Statistics by level of course and type of school: Fall 1995. Also full-time faculty: Fall 1995. (Numbers in parentheses are 1990enrollments.)


Within mathematics departments, enrollment in computer science courses declined from an estimated 273,000 in Fall 1985 to 180,000 in Fall 1990 to the present Fall 1995 figure of 99,000 , which is $36 \%$ of the 1985 number.
At the PhD institutions, enrollment in calculus-level courses fell by 73,000 over 1990 levels, a $22 \%$ decline. A detailed comparison with the 1990 enrollment shows that mainstream Calculus I enrollment declined by $17 \%$, while enrollment in mainstream Calculus II declined by $11 \%$. Non-mainstream calculus enrollment declined by $29 \%$. Total enrollment in the traditional sec-ond-year courses, mainstream Calculus III and IV, Linear Algebra and Differential Equations, declined by $26 \%$, nearly uniformly across each course.

At BA colleges, enrollment in calculus-level courses declined by 38,000 over 1990 levels, or $20 \%$. However, total enrollment in all calculus-level courses, except for non-mainstream Calculus I, were only slightly lower
than the 1990 totals, but non-mainstream Calculus I enrollment declined by 30,000 .

While the CBMS surveys contain no enrollment in graduate-level courses, the enrollment count in advanced and upper-level undergraduate courses does not distinguish between undergraduate or graduate enrollees. Consequently, some of the decline in enrollment in these courses at PhD departments of mathematics may be attributed to the already observed decline in graduate enrollment at these schools. For a recent report on this decline, see James W. Maxwell and Don O. Loftsgaarden, Recent Trends in Graduate Admissions in Mathematics Departments (Notices Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 213-216).

Individual course enrollments for four-year colleges and universities are contained in Appendix I, along with historical enrollment data. Individual course enrollments, with historical data, for two-year colleges are found in Table TYR. 3 in chapter 6.


FIGURE E.2.1 Enrollment (thousands) in undergraduate Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science courses in Departments of Mathematics by level of course and type of school: Fall 1995.


FIGURE E.2.2 Enrollment (thousands) in undergraduate Statistics courses in Departments of Mathematics and Departments of Statistics by level of course and type of school: Fall 1995.

Table E. 3
This is an elaboration of Table SFY. 17 in chapter 1, Summary.

This is the first CBMS survey to collect data on the type of instructors who taught each undergraduate course listed in the survey form, except for advanced and upper-level courses. Instructors were grouped according to the following categories: tenured/tenureeligible faculty, other full-time faculty, part-time faculty, and graduate teaching assistants. Part-time
faculty included those who were full-time in the institution but part-time within the department, as well as those who were part-time faculty at the institution. For summary purposes it was assumed that all upper- and advanced-level courses were taught by tenured/tenureeligible faculty. (Again, percentages in each row within a box total $100 \%$, except for rounding errors.) A more detailed breakdown by level of course and type of institution is found in the next six tables.

TABLE E. 3 Percentage of enrollment in undergraduate Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science courses taught by tenured and tenure-eligible, other full-time, part-time and graduate teaching assistants in Departments of Mathematics and in Departments of Statistics by type of school: Fall 1995.

|  | Percent of enrollment in math courses taught by |  | Percent of enrollment in stat courses taught by |  |  |  |  | Percent of enrollment in CS courses taught by |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ Other Part- Grad   <br> tenure- full- time TAs  <br> eligible time   | Math enroll. (1000s) | Tenure tenure eligible | Other <br> full- <br> time | Parttime | Grad <br> TAs | Stat enroll. (1000s) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Tenure } \\ \text { tenure } \\ \text { eligibl } \end{array}$ | Other <br> full- <br> time | Part- <br> time | Grad <br> TAs | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CS } \\ \text { enroll. } \\ (1000 \mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ |
| Math <br> Depts Univ (PhD) | $\begin{array}{llll}39 & 14 & 13 & 33\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 587 \end{gathered}$ | 52 | 6 | 10 | 33 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 33 \end{gathered}$ | 82 | 6 | 12 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ |
| Univ (MA) | $\begin{array}{llll} 49 & 16 & 22 & 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 426 \end{gathered}$ | 75 | 9 | 12 | 4 | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ 42 \end{array}$ | 63 | 17 | 19 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ |
| Coll (BA) | $65 \quad 10 \quad 24 \quad 0$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 456 \end{gathered}$ | 77 | 5 | 19 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 68 \end{gathered}$ | 68 | 14 | 19 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Stat <br> Depts Univ (PhD) Univ (MA) | Number of math courses taught is too small for reliable estimates. | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 2 \\ 100 \% \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $55$ $63$ | $8$ $21$ | $6$ $15$ | 30 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ 62 \\ 100 \% \\ 3 \end{array}$ |  | ber o ses ta small ble es | CS ught is or timates |  | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 0 \\ 100 \% \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |



## Tables E.4-E. 9

These tables are an elaboration of Tables SFY.17, SFY. 18 and SFY. 19 in chapter 1, Summary.

This series of tables gives the percentage of enrollment taught by type of institution and type of instructor, with each table devoted to a specific level of courses in mathematics, statistics, and computer science. Perhaps the most contrasting data occur in the precalculus courses where tenured and tenure-eligible faculty at PhD universities teach $18 \%$ of the enrollment and the corresponding number for master's-granting universities is $42 \%$, while at four-year colleges $63 \%$ of the enrollment is taught by tenured and tenure-eligible faculty. A similar disparity occurs in the elemen-tary-level statistics courses.

The percentage of calculus-level enrollment taught by such faculty does not differ nearly as much as the precalculus percentages. In the calculus-level courses,
tenured and tenure-eligible faculty teach $62 \%$ of enrollment in PhD universities, $76 \%$ of the enrollment in mas-ter's-granting universities, and $81 \%$ of the enrollment in four-year colleges. In chapter 4, First-Year Courses: Calculus and Statistics, similar data are presented for the first two mainstream and non-mainstream calculus courses, as well as the elementary-level statistics courses.

Each row in the main box in these tables totals $100 \%$, except for rounding errors.

Further elaborations of Table E. 6 by type of institution, type of calculus course, and method of instruction appear in Tables FY. 1 and FY. 3 in chapter 4, FirstYear Courses: Mathematics and Statistics.

Further elaborations of Table E. 7 by type of institution, type of statistics course, and method of instruction appear in Tables FY. 5 and FY. 6 in chapter 4, FirstYear Courses: Calculus and Statistics.

TABLE E. 4 Percentage of enrollment in Remedial level courses taught in Departments of Mathematics by type of instructor and type of school: Fall 1995.

|  | Percentage of enrollment taught by |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ <br> tenure- <br> eligible | Other <br> full-time | Part-time | Graduate <br> teaching <br> assistants | Total <br> enrollment <br> (thousands) |
| Math Depts <br> Univ(PhD) | 1 | 12 | 33 | 54 | $100 \%$ <br> 60 |
| Univ(MA) | 12 | 16 | 41 | 30 | $100 \%$ <br> 84 |
| College(BA) | 26 | 12 | 61 | 1 | $100 \%$ <br> 78 |
| Total | 14 | 14 | 46 | 26 | $100 \%$ <br> 222 |

TABLE E. 5 Percentage of enrollment in Precalculus level courses taught in Departments of Mathematics by type of instructor and type of school: Fall 1995.

|  | Percentage of enrollment taught by |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ <br> tenure- <br> eligible | Other <br> full-time |  | Part-time <br> Graduate <br> teaching <br> assistants | Total <br> enrollment <br> (thousands) |
| Math Depts <br> Univ(PhD) | 18 | 17 | 16 | 49 | $100 \%$ <br> 222 |
| Univ(MA) | 42 | 22 | 24 | 12 | $100 \%$ <br> 193 <br> $100 \%$ |
| College(BA) | 63 | 14 | 23 | 0 | 198 |
| Total | 40 | 18 | 21 | 22 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| 613 |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE E. 6 Percentage of enrollment in Calculus level courses taught in Departments of Mathematics by type of instructor and type of school: Fall 1995.

|  | Percentage of enrollment taught by |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured// <br> tenure- <br> eligible | Other <br> full-time | Part-time | Graduate <br> teaching <br> assistants | Total <br> enrollment <br> (thousands) |
| Math Depts <br> Univ(PhD) | 62 | 13 | 7 | 19 | $100 \%$ <br> 264 <br> Univ(MA) |
|  | 76 | 11 | 11 | 2 | $100 \%$ <br> 124 |
| College(BA) | 81 | 7 | 12 | 0 | $100 \%$ <br> 150 |
| Total | 71 | 11 | 9 | 10 | $100 \%$ <br> 538 |

TABLE E. 7 Percentage of enrollment in Elementary Level Statistics courses taught in Departments of Mathematics and in Departments of Statistics by type of instructor and type of school: Fall 1995.

|  | Percentage of enrollment taught by |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ <br> tenure- <br> eligible | Other <br> full-time | Part-time | Graduate <br> teaching <br> assistants | Total <br> enrollment <br> (thousands) |
| Math Depts <br> Univ(PhD) | 31 | 8 | 15 | 47 | $100 \%$ <br> 23 |
| Univ(MA) | 70 | 11 | 14 | 5 | $100 \%$ <br> 35 |
| College(BA) | 72 | 4 | 23 | 0 | $100 \%$ <br> 57 |
| Total Math Depts | 63 | 7 | 19 | 11 | $100 \%$ <br> 115 |
| Stat Depts |  |  |  |  | 41 |
| Univ(PhD) | 40 | 11 | 9 | $400 \%$ |  |
| Univ(MA) | 63 | 21 | 15 | 0 | 46 <br> $100 \%$ <br> 3 |
| Total Stat Depts | 41 | 12 | 9 | 38 | $100 \%$ <br> 49 |

TABLE E. 8 Percentage of enrollment in Lower Level Computer Science courses taught in Departments of Mathematics by type of instructor and type of school: Fall 1995.

|  | Percentage of enrollment taught by |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ <br> tenure- <br> eligible | Other <br> full-time | Part-time | Graduate <br> teaching <br> assistants | Total <br> enrollment <br> (thousands) |
| Math Depts <br> Univ(PhD) | 73 | 9 | 18 | 0 | $100 \%$ <br> 4 |
| Univ(MA) | 54 | 20 | 24 | 2 | $100 \%$ <br> 18 |
| College(BA) | 61 | 15 | 25 | 0 | $100 \%$ <br> 52 |
| Total | 60 | 16 | 24 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| 74 |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE E. 9 Percentage of enrollment in Middle Level Computer Science courses taught in Departments of Mathematics by type of instructor and type of school: Fall 1995.

|  | Percentage of enrollment taught by |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ tenureeligible | Other full-time | Part-time | Graduate teaching assistants | Total enrollment (thousands) |
| Math Depts Univ(PhD) |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Univ(MA) | 68 | 20 | 13 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |
| College(BA) | 82 | 17 | 1 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 79 | 18 | 4 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |

## Tables E.10, E.11, and E. 12

These three tables have no precursors in the Summary chapter.

Also: These data have not been collected in prior CBMS surveys. Table E. 10 gives the number of sections offered, and Table E. 11 gives the corresponding section sizes. Percentages of sections taught by various types of instructors and by type of institution are presented in Table E.12. Tables E. 13 through E. 18 elaborate on the data presented in Table E.12.

As seen in Table E.10, advanced and upper-level sections in mathematics, statistics, and computer science courses are $18 \%$ of the total sections offered for PhD mathematics departments, $15 \%$ for MA mathematics departments, $19 \%$ for four-year colleges, and $42 \%$ in PhD statistics departments.

Both MA and BA mathematics departments devote considerable effort to teaching statistics and computer science courses. Sections of statistics and com-
puter science courses for these two types of institutions account for $21 \%$ of all their sections.

In Table E.10, there are several entries for number of sections, mostly in MA departments of statistics, for which the corresponding enrollment has been reported as 0 in previous enrollment tables. This arises when the enrollment is less than 500 , which is then rounded to 0 . For the sake of completeness, Table E. 10 includes the number of sections for these low-enrollment courses.

With enrollment levels below those in 1990, average section sizes have declined somewhat, especially in upper-level and advanced courses.

For the purposes of this survey, it is assumed that all upper- and advanced-level mathematics, statistics, and computer science courses were taught by tenured and tenure-eligible faculty. No data were collected on the type of faculty who taught advanced or upper-level courses.

TABLE E. 10 Number of sections of undergraduate Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science courses in Departments of Mathematics and in Departments of Statistics by level of course and type of school: Fall 1995.


In Ph.D mathematics departments, tenured/tenureeligible faculty taught a total of 7811 sections of undergraduate mathematical sciences courses. The second report of the AMS-IMS-MAA Data Committee (Notices Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 43, no. 8, pp. 848-858), gives the enrollment in graduate-level courses for Fall 1995 as 28,000 . A previous report of this data committee in 1991 gave the average graduate section enrollment at both PhD (and MA) departments of mathematics as 10, giving an estimate of 2800 sections of graduate-level courses. (The CBMS survey does not survey graduate enrollment.) Thus, graduate-level courses account for a little over a quarter of the faculty teaching assignments in Ph.D mathematics departments. The total number of sections taught in PhD mathematics departments, 10,611, when divided by the number of tenured/tenure-eligible faculty not on leave of 4989, gives an average teaching assignment of 2.13 sections per faculty.
(Information on the number of tenured/tenure-eligible faculty by type of department is given in Table E. 2 and, in more detail, in Tables F.1-F. 3 in chapter 3.

The number of tenured/tenure-eligible faculty on leave by type of department is given in the commentary accompanying Tables F.1-F. 3 in chapter 3.)

According to the aforementioned AMS-IMS-MAA Data Committee report, MA departments of mathematics had a Fall 1995 graduate enrollment of 18,000, and the number of graduate sections totaled 1800. The CBMS survey shows that there were 9973 undergraduate sections taught by tenured/tenure-eligible faculty, which gives a total of 11,773 sections. The number of tenured/tenure-eligible faculty not on leave for Fall 1995 is 3822 , and dividing these two numbers gives an average of 3.08 sections per tenured/tenure-eligible faculty.

A similar computation for BA departments of mathematics, using only undergraduate course enrollment, gives an average number of sections taught by tenured/tenure-eligible faculty as 3.14.

The CBMS survey count of tenured/tenure-eligible faculty does not distinguish among faculty with administrative or other duties who might have reduced teaching duties.

TABLE E. 11 Average section size for undergraduate Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science courses in Departments of Mathematics and in Departments of Statistics by level of course and type of school: Fall 1995. Also all departments' average section sizes for 1985,1990, 1995.

(1) Enrollment in these classes was less than 500.

TABLE E. 12 Percentage of sections of undergraduate Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science courses taught by tenured and tenure-eligible, other full-time, part-time and graduate teaching assistants in Departments of Mathematics and Departments of Statistics by type of school: Fall 1995.


## Tables E.13-E. 18

These tables further elaborate on the number of sections taught by various types of instructors, by type of institution, and by level of course.

Table E. 13 shows quite clearly that in Fall 1995 regular faculty in BA mathematics departments were much more involved in teaching remedial mathematics than regular faculty in PhD mathematics departments. In the former, $25 \%$ of remedial sections were taught by tenured and tenure-eligible faculty, while the comparable figure for PhD mathematics departments is $1 \%$. In Table E. 14 a similar difference is seen in the precalculus courses with $63 \%$ of precalculus sections taught by tenured and tenure-eligible faculty at fouryear colleges versus $17 \%$ for PhD mathematics departments. In these departments, graduate assistants taught the majority of precalculus sections, $51 \%$. Overall, $43 \%$ of precalculus sections were taught by tenured or tenure-eligible faculty.

For calculus-level courses in mathematics departments, $72 \%$ of the sections (and $71 \%$ of the enrollment) are taught by tenured and tenure-eligible faculty.

An exception to this pattern is seen in the lowerlevel computer science courses, where tenured and tenure-eligible mathematics faculty teach $61 \%$ of the sections offered in mathematics departments.

These tables can be used to estimate the teaching contributions of the part-time mathematics faculty in terms of full-time equivalent (fte) faculty, using the average teaching assignment for tenured/tenureeligible faculty just computed in the previous commentary.

For the initial computation, remedial-level mathematics courses will not be included in this part-time faculty fte computation.

Beginning this analysis with the PhD mathematics departments and first applying it to calculus-level courses, Table E. 15 shows that there were 451 sections of calculus-level courses taught by part-time faculty. Dividing this by 2.13 sections per tenured/tenure-eligible faculty member ratio obtained above, gives a figure of 212 fte faculty. Applying this formula to the the precalculus-level courses, the lower-level statistics courses and, finally, the lower- and middle-level computer science courses makes the part-time mathematics faculty contributions in these courses equal to 444 fte faculty. Adding the two numbers, we obtain a part-time mathematics faculty teaching fte number of 656 for PhD mathematics departments.

The same analysis applied to master's mathematics departments, using the ratio of 3.08 sections per tenured/tenure-eligible faculty obtained above, gives a part-time mathematics faculty fte total of 153 for cal-culus-level courses and 563 fte for the other four levels of courses, for a total part-time fte total of 716 mathematics faculty.

At the four-year mathematics departments, the ratio of sections per tenured/tenure-eligible faculty member is 3.14 , which gives a faculty fte of 260 for calcu-lus-level courses and 855 for the other non-remedial courses. This is a total fte of 1115 .

The total part-time fte mathematics faculty for all four-year colleges and universities and for all departmental courses beyond the remedial level is 2487 . For just the calculus-level courses, the fte number is 625 .

Applying the same analysis to the remedial-level mathematics courses gives an additional part-time fte faculty of 263 for PhD mathematics departments, 359 for MA mathematics departments, and 576 for BA mathematics departments. Thus, the total part-time mathematics faculty has an equivalent fte count of 3685 , which is $23 \%$ of the tenured and tenure-eligible mathematics faculty.

Using the data found in Tables E. 13 to E.18, parttime mathematics faculty taught $16 \%$ of the non-remedial enrollment of $1,353,000$, and $19 \%$ if remedial enrollment is included. While the 1990 CBMS report does not have this level of detail, it did report that $16 \%$ of the sections offered in Fall 1990 by four-year and university departments of mathematics were taught by part-time mathematics faculty, while the corresponding number for Fall 1995 is $17 \%$, indicating that the percentage use of part-time faculty has remained about the same.

However, the actual number of part-time faculty declined from 6786 part-time mathematics faculty in Fall 1990 to 5289 part-time mathematics faculty in Fall 1995, a decrease of $22 \%$. While this is twice the percentage decline in enrollment within mathematics departments over this five-year period, the figures in the preceding paragraphs suggest that the percent of part-time teaching is little changed from 1990 to 1995. The number of part-time faculty is difficult to interpret because of the diverse teaching assignments for part-time faculty. Dividing the fte part-time faculty count of 3616 by the actual part-time faculty head count of 5289 (given in Table SF. 13 in chapter 1, Summary) gives a ratio of about .7, suggesting that .7, not $1 / 2$, is the proper multiplier in converting the number of part-time faculty to their fte equivalent, absent the type of data available in this report.

For summary purposes it was assumed that all upper- and advanced-level courses were taught by tenured/tenure eligible faculty. (The percentages in these tables account for $100 \%$ of the teaching, but the numbers may not total $100 \%$ because of rounding.)

The use of part-time faculty is quite a bit less in PhD statistics departments. There were 122 part-time faculty reported for Fall 1995.

TABLE E. 13 Number of sections of Remedial level courses in Departments of Mathematics by type of instructor and type of school:
Fall 1995.

|  | Number of sections |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ <br> tenure- <br> eligible | Other <br> full-time | Part-time | Graduate <br> teaching <br> assistants | Total <br> sections |
| Math Depts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ(PhD) | 20 | 191 | 561 | 891 | 1663 |
| Univ(MA) | 327 | 439 | 1107 | 797 | 2670 |
| College(BA) | 728 | 344 | 1808 | 33 | 2913 |
| Total | 1075 | 974 | 3476 | 1721 | 7246 |

TABLE E. 14 Number of sections of Precalculus level courses in Departments of Mathematics by type of instructor and type of school:
Fall 1995.

|  | Number of sections |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Tenured/ <br> tenure- <br> eligible | Other <br> full-time | Part-time | Graduate <br> teaching <br> assistants | Total <br> sections |
| Math Depts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ(PhD) | 886 | 878 | 834 | 2660 | 5258 |
| Univ(MA) | 2415 | 1250 | 1367 | 641 | 5673 |
| College(BA) | 4458 | 956 | 1613 | 9 | 7036 |
| Total | 7759 | 3084 | 3814 | 3310 | 17967 |

TABLE E. 15 Number of sections of Calculus level courses in Departments of Mathematics by type of instructor and type of school: Fall 1995.

|  | Number of sections |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Tenured/ <br> tenure- <br> eligible | Other <br> full-time | Part-time | Graduate <br> teaching <br> assistants | Total <br> sections |
| Math Depts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ(PhD) | 3576 | 702 | 451 | 1332 | 6061 |
| Univ(MA) | 3301 | 450 | 472 | 57 | 4280 |
| College(BA) | 5594 | 520 | 818 | 0 | 6932 |
| Total | 12471 | 1672 | 1741 | 1389 | 17273 |

TABLE E. 16 Number of sections of Elementary Level Statistics courses in Departments of Mathematics and in Departments of Statistics by type of instructor and type of school: Fall 1995.

|  | Number of sections |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tenured/ <br> tenure- <br> eligible | Other <br> full-time | Part-time | Graduate <br> teaching <br> assistants | Total <br> sections |
| Math Depts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ(PhD) | 167 | 27 | 76 | 281 | 551 |
| Univ(MA) | 713 | 114 | 151 | 50 | 1028 |
| College(BA) | 1451 | 77 | 423 | 0 | 1951 |
| Total Math Depts | 2331 | 218 | 650 | 331 | 3530 |
| Stat Depts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ(PhD) | 274 | 130 | 70 | 274 | 748 |
| Univ(MA) | 47 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 72 |
| Total Stat Depts | 321 | 145 | 80 | 274 | 820 |

TABLE E. 17 Number of sections of Lower Level Computer Science courses in Departments of Mathematics by type of instructor and type of school: Fall 1995.

|  | Number of sections |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Tenured/ <br> tenure- <br> eligible | Other <br> full-time | Part-time | Graduate <br> teaching <br> assistants | Total <br> sections |
| Math Depts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ(PhD) | 94 | 15 | 28 | 0 | 137 |
| Univ(MA) | 453 | 144 | 183 | 16 | 796 |
| College(BA) | 1503 | 290 | 638 | 0 | 2431 |
| Total | 2050 | 449 | 849 | 16 | 3364 |

TABLE E. 18 Number of sections of Middle Level Computer Science courses in Departments of Mathematics by type of instructor and type of school: Fall 1995.

|  | Number of sections |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Tenured/ <br> tenure- <br> eligible | Other <br> full-time | Part-time | Graduate <br> teaching <br> assistants | Total <br> sections |
| Math Depts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ(PhD) | 39 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 48 |
| Univ(MA) | 166 | 48 | 31 | 0 | 245 |
| College(BA) | 567 | 75 | 9 | 0 | 651 |
| Total | 772 | 126 | 46 | 0 | 944 |

## Chapter 3 <br> Faculty

## Data Highlights

The number of full-time mathematics faculty declined by 1163 over 1990 levels, a $6 \%$ decline. However, the number of tenured faculty increased slightly. Because tenure-eligible and other full-time faculty were aggregated in the 1990 CBMS survey, it is not possible to discern how the decline is distributed between tenure-eligible and other full-time positions. The largest decline occurred in the BA departments of mathematics, where there were 705 fewer tenure-eligible and other full-time faculty than were reported in 1990. The number of tenured faculty increased by 40 at these departments.

The number of faculty in statistics departments, mostly PhD departments, increased substantially over 1990 levels. However, the number of statistics departments included in the population for the 1995 CBMS survey was significantly larger than for the 1990CBMS survey, which might account for some of the increase. However, there is no doubt that statistics enrollment, and faculty, have enjoyed a nice increase over the last five years.

Both Tables F. 2 and F. 3 contain relevant data from the 1990 CBMS survey. For mathematics departments, the percentage of women among tenured faculty is smallest for PhD departments, just over $7 \%$, and highest at BA departments, just over 20\%, with MA departments in the middle with nearly $16 \%$ tenured women faculty. The corresponding percentages of women among tenure-eligible faculty is: PhD departments $20 \%$, BA departments $43 \%$, and MA departments $29 \%$.

There is little difference among the average ages of faculty in the three types of mathematics departments, each hovering around 49 years. The statistics department faculty have about the same average age as well.

Minority representation among mathematics faculty is low, except for Asian/Pacific islanders. White, non-Hispanic faculty comprise between $82 \%$ and $93 \%$ of mathematics faculty at each of the three types of departments. Statistics faculty at PhD departments are $75 \%$ white, non-Hispanic, $18 \%$ Asian/Pacific islanders, and 6\% Mexican-American, Puerto Rican, and other Hispanics.

## Explanation of the Tables

This chapter contains eight tables presenting data on four-year college and university faculty in mathematics and statistics departments, broken down by type of department.

Respondents to this CBMS survey were asked to partition their faculty into four non-overlapping groups: tenured, tenure-eligible, other full-time, and part-time. An instructor was part-time or full-time according to his or her budget designation within the department only, notwithstanding any other institutional position. The group "other full-time" includes all those full-time faculty not specifically tenured or tenure-eligible, i.e., on the tenure track. It includes, then, such appointments as continuing instructor, onesemester full-time appointment, and any postdoctoral position.

The number and percentage of women among the various classifications of faculty and among the ethnic and age categories are mostly new with this survey. Thus, there are not many historical comparisons available. As in previous chapters, if a table primarily presents percentages the $100 \%$ number is given and it is accompanied by the $100 \%$ symbol.

## Tables F.1-F. 3

These tables are an elaboration of Tables SF.6, SF.7, and SF. 8 in chapter 1, Summary.

While the total full-time mathematics faculty decreased by $6 \%$ from 1990 levels, this table gives an indication that this decrease is mostly in other than tenured/tenure-eligible faculty. In 1990, the number of tenured mathematics faculty was 12,688 , as compared to the 1995 number of 12,779 . While previous CBMS surveys did not count the number of tenure-eligible faculty, the 1995 figure of 3329 tenure-eligible faculty is $26 \%$ of the tenured faculty, which seems a reasonable percentage. It is probable, then, that the decrease in full-time faculty is mostly in the "other fulltime" category, which includes postdoctoral appointments, full-time visitors, full-time non-tenure eligible faculty, etc.

As mentioned previously, the 1990 CBMS surveys did not separate full-time faculty into the three groups, tenured, tenure-eligible, and other full-time, as does this survey. This report makes comparisons with the 1990 CBMS survey where possible.

Previous CBMS reports contain data on the percentage of women faculty. Surveys prior to 1990 did not divide the departments by highest mathematics
degree, which makes detailed comparisons with the 1995 survey not possible. However, overall comparisons are still possible. The 1985 survey reported that women were $14 \%$ of the full-time mathematics department faculty and $10 \%$ of the statistics department faculty. The 1980 CBMS survey reported that $14 \%$ of the mathematical sciences faculty were women, up from $10 \%$, as reported in the 1975 CBMS survey. However, in both of these latter two surveys the mathematical sciences faculty was the aggregate mathematics, statistics, and computer science faculty, and neither survey reported data for the separate discipline faculty.

The number of statistics department faculty for Fall 1995 is considerably higher than the 1990 figure, but, again, the list of statistics departments was improved over previous CBMS surveys, so it is difficult to assess the increased statistics numbers.

The number of tenured/tenure-eligible mathematics faculty on leave for Fall 1995 is $474(9 \%$ of the tenured/tenure-eligible faculty) for PhD departments, $210(5 \%)$ for MA departments, and 454 (7\%) for BA departments. For PhD statistics departments the number is 45 (5\%). These data are from the 1995 CBMS survey and do not appear in any of the tables.


FIGURE F. 1 Percentage having Doctorate among tenured, tenure-eligible, other full-time and part-time faculty in Departments of Mathematics by type of school: Fall 1995.

TABLE F. 1 Number of tenured, tenure-eligible, other full-time and part-time faculty in Departments of Mathematics and Departments of Statistics by highest degree and type of school: Fall 1995. (Number of women in parentheses)


TABLE F. 2 Number of tenured, tenure-eligible, other full-time and part-time faculty in Departments of Mathematics by gender and type of school: Fall 1995. Also some 1990 data.

|  | Univ (PhD) |  |  | Univ (MA) |  |  | College (BA) |  |  | Totals |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ten- <br> ured | Ten- Other ure fullelig time | Part- <br> time | Tenured | Ten- Other ure fullelig time | Parttime | Tenured | Ten- Other ure fullelig time | Parttime | Ten- <br> ured | Ten- Other ure fullelig time | Part- <br> time | Total |
| Men | 4356 | 614491 | 673 | 2719 | 577352 | 766 | 3874 | 997388 | 1721 | 109492 | 21881231 | 3160 | 17528 |
| Women | 335 | 158267 | 392 | 501 | 235381 | 690 | 994 | 748261 | 1047 | 18301 | 1141909 | 2129 | 6009 |
| Total 1995 | 4691 | 772758 | 1065 | 3220 | 812733 | 1456 | 48681 | 1745649 | 2768 | 127793 | 33292140 | 5289 | 23537 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | 4781 | 1646* | 1129 | 3079 | 1979* | 2052 | 4828 | 3098* | 3605 | 12688 | 6723* | 6786 | 26197 |
| Women $1990$ |  | 662** |  |  | 1148** |  |  | 2045** | na |  | 3855** | na |  |

* This number is the total of tenure-eligible and other full-time.
** This number is the total of tenured, tenure-eligible and other full-time.


FIGURE F. 2 Percentage women among tenured, tenure-eligible, other fulltime and part-time faculty in Departments of Mathematics by type of school: Fall 1995.

TABLE F. 3 Number of tenured, tenure-eligible, other full-time and part-time faculty in Departments of Statistics by gender and type of school: Fall 1995. Also some 1990 data.


* This number is the total of tenure-eligible and other full-time.
** This number is the total of tenured, tenure-eligible and other full-time.



## Tables F. 4 and F. 5

These tables are an elaboration of tables SF. 9 and SF. 10 in chapter 1, Summary.

Within each of the three types of mathematics departments ( $\mathrm{PhD}, \mathrm{MA}$, and BA) used to present data, the individual percentages-and the "total" row per-centages-total $100 \%$, except for rounding errors. For example, in PhD mathematics departments Table F. 4 shows that $3 \%$ of the tenured male faculty are between the ages of 31 and 35 inclusive. This is $3 \%$ of the total PhD department mathematics faculty of 5463 , or 164 such faculty.

These two tables cannot be directly compared to previous CBMS age data because of a change in faculty categories used in this survey. Previously, tenured, tenure-eligible, and other full-time faculty were collectively reported as a single group for the age data. For this survey only tenured and tenure-eligible were included in this age data. Thus, the overall median age of 50 for mathematics faculty in Fall 1995 compares to the median age of 46 for the full-time mathematics faculty reported in the 1990 CBMS survey. It is hoped that this new age data will give a more accurate picture of the need for new faculty over the next decade as the average age of tenured faculty increases.

TABLE F. 4 Percentage age distribution of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty in Departments of Mathematics by type of school and gender: Fall 1995.

|  | <31 | 31-35 | 36-40 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per } \\ 41-45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rcentage } \\ & 46-50 \end{aligned}$ | of fac <br> 51-55 |  | 61-65 | 66-70 | >70 | Total tenured/ tenure-eligible faculty | Average <br> age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Univ(PhD) <br> Tenured men <br> Tenured women <br> Tenure-eligible men <br> Tenure-eligible women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 3 | 8 | 11 | 11 | 15 | 17 | 10 | 5 | 1 |  | 52.1 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100\% | 48.0 |
|  | 0 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5463 * | 35.5 |
|  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 35.5 |
| Total Univ(PhD) | 1 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 16 | 18 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 100\% | 49.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5463 |  |
| Univ (MA) <br> Tenured men <br> Tenured women <br> Tenure-eligible men <br> Tenure-eligible women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 11 | 14 | 16 | 8 | 2 | 0 |  | 52.2 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100\% | 50.5 |
|  | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4032* | 38.8 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 37.4 |
| Total Univ(MA) | 2 | 7 | 12 | 15 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 100\% | 49.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4032 |  |
| Coll(BA) <br> Tenured men Tenured women Tenure-eligible men Tenure-eligible women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 |  | 26 | - | 8 | 17 | 1 | 7 | 62 |  |  | 53.4 |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100\% | 47.2 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6613* | 40.1 |
|  | 1 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 36.7 |
| Total Coll(BA) | 4 | 12 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 23 | 21 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 100\% | 48.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6613 |  |

0 means less than half of $1 \%$.

* Total for all 4 rows in this block.


FIGURE F.4.1 Percentage age distribution of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty in Departments of Mathematics with PhD programs by gender. Total tenured and tenure-eligible faculty is 5463 : Fall 1995.


FIGURE F.4.2 Percentage age distribution of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty in Departments of Mathematics with MA programs by gender. Total tenured and tenure-eligible faculty is 4032: Fall 1995.


FIGURE F.4.3 Percentage age distribution of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty in Departments of Mathematics with BA programs by gender. Total tenured and tenure-eligible faculty is 6613: Fall 1995

TABLE F. 5 Percentage age distribution of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty in Departments of Statistics by type of school and gender: Fall 1995.

|  | <31 | 31-35 | 36-40 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per } \\ 41-45 \end{array}$ | centage $46-50$ | of facu 51-55 |  | 61-65 | 66-70 | >70 | Total tenured/ tenure-eligible faculty | Average age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Univ(PhD) <br> Tenured men Tenured women Tenure-eligible men Tenure-eligible women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 14 | 13 | 16 | 8 | 4 | 2 |  | 52.3 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100\% | 49.0 |
|  | 2 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 820* | 35.3 |
|  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 35.5 |
| Total Univ(PhD) | 2 | 14 | 11 | 14 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 100\% | 48.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 820 |  |
| Univ (MA) <br> Tenured men <br> Tenured women <br> Tenure-eligible men <br> Tenure-eligible women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 8 | (1) | 8 | 32 | 11 | 18 | 0 | 0 |  | 53.6 |
|  |  | 0 | (1) | (1) | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100\% | 43.0 |
|  | 05 | 5 | 1) | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101* | 38.0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total Unlv(MA) | 0 | 5 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 32 | 11 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 100\% | 50.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 101 |  |

0 means less than half of $1 \%$.

* Total for all 4 rows in this block.
(1) Too few sample cases for a reliable estimate.


FIGURE F. 5 Percentage age distribution of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty in Departments of Statistics with PhD programs by gender. Total tenured and tenureeligible faculty is 820: Fall 1995.

## Tables F. 6 and F. 7

These tables are an elaboration of Tables SF. 11 and SF. 12 in chapter 1, Summary.

The percentage of women in PhD mathematics departments continues to be less than the percentages in the other two types of mathematics departments. Tenured and tenure-eligible women are $7 \%$ of the fulltime faculty in PhD mathematics departments as com-
pared to $15 \%$ for MA mathematics departments and $21 \%$ for BA mathematics departments.

For PhD statistics departments the number of Asian/Pacific Islanders is $18 \%$, with white, nonHispanic accounting for $73 \%$ of full-time faculty. For these same departments tenured and tenure-eligible women are $9 \%$ of the full-time faculty.

TABLE F. 6 Percentage of gender and of racial/ethnic groups among tenured, tenure-eligible, and other fulltime faculty in Departments of Mathematics by type of school: Fall 1995.

|  | Percentage of faculty |  |  |  |  |  | Number of tenured/ tenureeligible and other full-time faculty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | American <br> Indian/ <br> Alaskan | Asian/ <br> Pacific Islander | Black, <br> not <br> Hispanic | Mexican American, Puerto Rican, other Hispanic | White, not Hispanic | Not known |  |
| $\operatorname{Univ}(\mathrm{PhD})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenured men | 0 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 61 | 1 |  |
| Tenured women | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |
| Tenure-eligible men | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 100\% |
| Tenure-eligible women | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6221* |
| Other full-time men | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |  |
| Other full-time women | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |
| Total full-time men | 0 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 73 | 1 | 100\% |
| Total full-time women | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 6221** |
| $\operatorname{Univ}(\mathrm{MA})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenured men | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 48 | 1 |  |
| Tenuredwomen | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |  |
| Tenure-eligible men | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 100\% |
| Tenure-eligible women | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4765* |
| Other full-time men | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 |  |
| Other full-time women | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 |  |
| Total full-time men | 0 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 62 | 2 | 100\% |
| Total full-time women | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 4765** |
| Coll(BA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenured men | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 1 |  |
| Tenured women | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 |  |
| Tenure-eligible men | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 100\% |
| Tenure-eligible women | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7262* |
| Other full-time men | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |  |
| Other full-time women | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  |
| Total full-time men | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 70 | 1 | 100\% |
| Total full-time women | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 7262** |

[^8]TABLE F. 7 Percentage of gender and of racial/ethnic groups among tenured, tenure-eligible, and other full-time faculty in Departments of Statistics by type of school: Fall 1995.

|  | Percentage of faculty |  |  |  |  |  | Number of tenured/ tenure-eligible and other full-time faculty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | American <br> Indian/ <br> Alaskan | Asian/ <br> Pacific <br> Islander | Black, <br> not <br> Hispanic | Mexican American, Puerto Rican, other Hispanic | White, not Hispanic | Not known |  |
| Univ(PhD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenured men | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 55 | 0 |  |
| Tenured women | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |  |
| Tenure-eligible men | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 100\% |
| Tenure-eligible women | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 876* |
| Other full-time men | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Other full-time women | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Total full-time men | 0 | 16 | 1 | 5 | 67 | 0 | 100\% |
| Total full-time women | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 876** |
| Univ(MA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenured men | 0 | 13 | 0 | (1) | 58 | (1) |  |
| Tenured women | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |  |
| Tenure-eligible men | 0 | 8 | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100\% |
| Tenure-eligible women | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 112* |
| Other full-time men | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1) | 0 |  |
| Other full-time women | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1) | 0 |  |
| Total full-time men | 0 | 20 | (1) | (1) | 60 | (1) | 100\% |
| Total full-time women | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 112** |

0 means less than half of $1 \%$.
(1) Too few sample cases for a reliable estimate.

* Total for all 6 rows in this block.
** Total for both rows in this block.

Table F. 8
This table is an elaboration of Tables SF. 13 and SF. 14 in chapter 1, Summary.

The percentages within each of the large boxes total $100 \%$, except for possible rounding errors. These data were not collected in previous CBMS surveys.

TABLE F. 8 Percentage of gender and of racial/ethnic groups among part-time faculty in Departments of Mathematics and in Departments of Statistics by type of school: Fall 1995.

|  | Percentage of part-time faculty |  |  |  |  |  | Number of part-time faculty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | American Indian/ Alaskan | Asian/ <br> Pacific Islander | Black, not Hispanic | Mexican American, Puerto Rican, other Hispanic | White, not Hispanic | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { known } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Math Depts <br> Univ(PhD) <br> Part-time men <br> Part-time women | 0 | $5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & 29 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 12 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 1065^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\operatorname{Univ}(\mathrm{MA})$ <br> Part-time men Part-time women | 0 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47 \\ 36 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 1456^{\star} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Coll(BA) <br> Part-time men Part-time women | 0 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 2768^{\star} \end{aligned}$ |
| Total part-time men <br> Total part-time women | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ | $4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 5289^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stat Depts <br> Univ(PhD) <br> Part-time men <br> Part-timewomen |  | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ (1) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | (1) <br> (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 122^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| Univ(MA) <br> Part-time men Part-time women | Too few sample cases for reliable estimates |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ 14^{\star} \end{array}$ |
| Total part-time men Total part-time women | 0 | $19$ (1) | $7$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1) \\ & (1) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 136^{*} \end{gathered}$ |

0 means less than half of $1 \%$.

* Total for both rows in this block.
(1) Too few sample cases for a reliable estimate.


## Chapter 4

## First-Year Courses: Calculus and Statistics

## Data Highlights

By and large, this chapter contains data not previously collected in CBMS surveys. It shows that, in PhD departments, just under half, $48 \%$, of mainstream Calculus I enrollment was taught in the large lecture/recitation format. Considering only the enrollment in the regular section format of mainstream Calculus I, tenured/tenure-eligible faculty taught just under $50 \%$ of the enrollment in these sections at PhD departments, as compared to $77 \%$ of the enrollment at MA departments and $84 \%$ of the enrollment at BA departments.

On the other hand, there is little difference among departments in the percent of enrollment in mainstream Calculus I that were taught from a "reform" text: $27 \%$ for PhD departments, $31 \%$ for MA departments, and $29 \%$ for BA departments. However, MA departments have the largest percentage of mainstream Calculus I enrollment using graphing calculators, $44 \%$, followed by BA departments at $39 \%$, and PhD departments at $33 \%$. All of these numbers represent substantial increases over the 1990 percentages. For example, in 1990 graphing calculators were used by no more than $3 \%$ of the sections of mainstream Calculus I, no matter the type of department.

All of the percentages in the above paragraph are somewhat lower for mainstream Calculus II and quite a bit lower for non-mainstream Calculus I.

Among the various types of departments there is a marked difference in the way that the two statistics courses, elementary statistics and probability and statistics (no calculus prerequisite), were taught. For example, just over a fifth of the students enrolled in elementary statistics at PhD mathematics departments were in a large lecture/recitation format, while at PhD statistics departments this percentage was $33 \%$. On the other hand, $29 \%$ of the enrollment of this course is taught by tenured/tenure-eligible faculty at PhD mathematics departments, and at PhD statistics depart-
ments the corresponding percentage is $46 \%$. Finally, $42 \%$ of the students enrolled in this course in PhD mathematics departments have required computer assignments, while this figure rises to $61 \%$ for PhD statistics departments.

## Explanation of the Tables

This chapter contains six tables, all in a landscape format, that present data on mainstream and nonmainstream Calculus I and II, elementary statistics, and probability and statistics with no calculus prerequisite.

These tables present data by the different types of departments: PhD, MA, and BA. Whenever one of these tables gives data on the percentage of enrollment taught by various kinds of faculty, the percentages for each type of department total $100 \%$. For example, in Table FY.1, in the first row of data titled "Large lecture with recitation", the percentages given for PhD universities: $76,17,5$, and 2 , total $100 \%$ (except for rounding errors). The $100 \%$ enrollment for this large lecture course at PhD mathematics departments is 40,500 . The actual enrollment, not percentage of enrollment, taught by tenured/tenure-eligible faculty is computed by multiplying 76\% (expressed in decimal form .76) and 40,500 , which gives an actual enrollment of 30,780. Tables FY.1,FY.3,FY.5, andFY.6 have similar presentations.

In contrast, Tables FY. 2 and FY. 4 give percentages which do not total $100 \%$. Instead they report on categories of enrollment which may overlap. For example, the same student may be taught from a "reform" text, use a graphing calculator, and be assigned writing assignments, and, so, would be counted in each category.

Only Table FY. 2 contains data from the 1990CBMS survey on the percent of sections of mainstream Calculus I and II that use graphing calculators, have writing assignments, have required computer assignments, and have assigned group projects.

TABLE FY. 1 Percentage of enrollment in Mainstream Calculus I and Mainstream Calculus II taught by tenured/tenure-eligible, other full-time, parttime, and graduate teaching assistants in Departments of Mathematics by size of sections and type of school: Fall 1995. Also total enrollments (in thousands) and average section sizes.


## Tables FY. 1 and FY. 2

These tables are an elaboration of Tables SFY. 18 and SFY. 19 in chapter 1, Summary.

These tables give detailed information on the different kinds of instructors who teach mainstream Calculus I and II and what instructional format is used to teach these courses at the various types of institutions. While there are striking differences in some of the percentages according
to the type of institution and format of the course, the number of students for each percentage should be considered when making comparisons. When the actual number of students is considered, instead of percentages, some of the differences are moderated. For example, Table FY. 2 shows that in BA departments of mathematics the percentage of students enrolled in regular sections of mainstream Calculus I using graphing calculators was $29 \%$ for those regular sections with fewer than 30
students, but $63 \%$ for those regular sections with 30 or more students. The total enrollment was 48,000 for the smaller enrollment sections and 18,000 for the larger enrollment sections. This means that nearly 14,000 students enrolled in the smaller enrollment sections used graphing calculators, as compared to a little over 11,000 students in the larger enrollment sections who used graphing calculators.

A second example is, again, in the use of graphing calculators in mainstream Calculus I, this time in PhD universities. The percentage of students varies greatly according to the instructional format, but the actual number of students in each format who use graphing calculators varies little, ranging between 8400 and 10,100 .

TABLE FY. 2 Percentage of enrollment in Mainstream Calculus I and Mainstream Calculus II taught using various reform methods in Departments of Mathematics by size of sections and type of school: Fall 1995. Also total enrollments (thousands) and average section sizes.


* The primary text (or set of notes etc.) generally reflects the pedagogical principles of the reform calculus movement.


FIGURE FY.1.1 Enrollment in Mainstream Calculus I in Departments of Mathematics by type of instructor and type of school: Fall 1995.


| $\square$ | Graduate TAs |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | Part-time |
| $\square$ | Other full-time |
| $\square$ | Tenured/ <br> tenure-eligible |

FIGURE FY.1.2 Enrollment in Mainstream Calculus II in Departments of Mathematics by type of instructor and type of school: Fall 1995.


FIGURE FY.2.1 Percentage of enrollment in Mainstream Calculus I taught using various reform methods in Departments of Mathematics by type of school: Fall 1995.


FIGURE FY.2.2 Percentage of enrollment in Mainstream Calculus II taught using various reform methods in Departments of Mathematics by type of school: Fall 1995.

Tables FY. 3 and FY. 4
These tables are an elaboration of Tables SFY. 20 and SFY. 21 in chapter 1, Summary.

In PhD mathematics departments, graduate teaching assistants teach $32 \%$ of the students enrolled in the non-mainstream calculus, while at the

MA and BA mathematics departments part-time faculty teach about the same percentage of students enrolled in these same courses.
There seems to be some use of "reform" material in small sections of non-mainstream Calculus I taught at PhD universities, but little use of such material elsewhere in the non-mainstream calculus courses.

TABLE FY. 3 Percentage of enrollment in Non-mainstream Calculus I and Non-mainstream Calculus II taught by tenured/tenure-eligible, other fulltime, part-time, and graduate teaching assistants in Departments of Mathematics by size of sections and type of school: Fall 1995. Also total enrollments (in thousands) and average section sizes.


＊The pri toxt（or set of notes etc．）generally reflects the pedagogical principles of the reform obloulus movemest．

| Oठ 88 tG | OZ LZ＜ | 아 8 ㅇ | H． 28 | カレ t | 七\＆OZ Sō | 98 ） | 1 IV 3s．nnoo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{lll} 0.2 & 091 & 08: \\ 931 & 001 & 9.7 \\ 0.0 & 00 & 091 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { OO } & \underline{0} & 9 \\ \text { LI } & 1 & 0 \\ \partial & 0 & 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \varepsilon & \underline{0} & \overline{0} \\ \text { Gt } & 0 & L \\ 0 & 0 & \underline{O} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 0 & G & O \\ 0 & & O \\ 1+ & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overline{0} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 00 & 1: & 0 \\ 0 & & \\ 0 \overline{0} & \forall 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dagger \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 0 & 0 & t z \\ 0 & 0 & \leq \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$ |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Tables FY. 5 and FY. 6

These tables are an elaboration of Tables SFY. 22 and SFY. 23 in chapter 1, Summary.

While there are some differences in the way these two courses are offered between PhD statistics departments and the three types of mathematics departments, the one large difference is the percentage of students who have required computer assignments. In PhD statistics departments, $60 \%$ of the students in these two courses have required computer assign-
ments, compared to $39 \%$ of students enrolled in these courses in PhD mathematics departments. Perhaps this disparity has to do with the type of faculty offering the courses. In PhD mathematics departments, $31 \%$ percent of students enrolled in these two courses are taught by tenured or tenure-eligible faculty, while the comparable figure for statistics PhD departments is $41 \%$. On the other hand, it could be the result of different approaches to the course by the two departments.

TABLE FY. 5 Percentage of enrollment in Elementary Statistics (no calculus) and Probability and Statistics (no calculus) taught by tenured/tenureeligible, other full-time, part-time, and graduate teaching assistants in Departments of Mathematics by size of sections and type of school: Fall 1995. Also percentage of students in classes requiring computer assignments, total enrollments (in thousands) and average section sizes.


TABLE FY. 6 Percentage of enrollment in Elementary Statistics (no calculus) and Probability and Statistics (no calculus) taught by tenured/tenure-eligible, other full-time, part-time, and graduate teaching assistants in Departments of Statistics by size of sections and type of school: Fall 1995. Also percentage of students in classes requiring computer assignments, total enrollments (in thousands) and average section sizes.



FIGURE FY. 6 Enrollment in Elementary Statistics (no calculus) in Departments of Statistics with PhD programs by type of instructor: Fall 1995.


FIGURE FY. 5 Enrollment in Elementary Statistics (no calculus) in Departments of Mathematics by type of instructor and type of school: Fall 1995.

## Chapter 5

## Advising and Computer Access

## Data Highlights

This chapter presents a general overview of advising practices for undergraduate departmental majors. In just over half of BA departments undergraduate mathematics majors are assigned an advisor each year. This percentage is $75 \%$ for MA departments, and $67 \%$ for PhD departments. Again, in about half of all mathematics departments, departmental majors are required to have at least one meeting per year with a department advisor. At PhD mathematics departments, tenured/tenure-eligible faculty are not likely to be involved with undergraduate advising, with only $27 \%$ of such faculty having such duties. This is in contrast to MA and BA departments, where $67 \%$ and $68 \%$ of the tenured/tenure-eligible faculty have advising duties.

Most full-time faculty have a computer or terminal in their office, with a low of $91 \%$ at MA schools to a high of $98 \%$ at PhD departments of statistics. Most of the remaining faculty have access to a computer or terminal elsewhere on campus. At BA schools, $88 \%$ of the mathematics faculty have access to the Internet, and this percentage increases to $90 \%$ for MA schools and $94 \%$ at PhD schools. PhD statistics departments have $97 \%$ of faculty with Internet access.

About half of the PhD mathematics departments have one fte computer systems support staff on the departmental budget, although $12 \%$ of PhD departments have at least three such fte staff on their budget.

## Explanation of Tables

This chapter contains five tables which present data on advising practices for departmental majors and faculty computer access.

In Tables AC. 1 and AC.2, the percentages in each column within each box total $100 \%$. Each of the row descriptors are meant to be mutually exclusive.

In some institutions, departmental majors are formally identified during the second year and, so, may not be assigned a mathematics department advisor prior to this. "Other" methods of advising majors were not recorded.

In MA and Ph.D departments, the faculty participation in the advising of graduate students was not included in these tables, and, so, the percentage of faculty involved in advising undergraduate majors understates the actual advising duties of faculty.

## Tables AC. 1 and AC. 2

These tables are an elaboration of Table SAC. 25 in chapter 1, Summary.

For each type of department, the choices listed in each table within each data box are mutually exclusive, so that the column percentages within each data box add up to $100 \%$, aside from possible rounding errors. Because these are the first such data collected by the CBMS survey on advising practices, it is difficult to
assess the implications of these data. The director of the CBMS survey is not aware of any comparable data from other surveys, either in the mathematical sciences or, for that matter, in any other academic discipline. This survey asked about advising practices for departmental majors only; some faculty may advise undergraduates before they declare a formal major or advise graduate students, but these duties were not included in this survey.

TABLE AC. 1 Percentage of Departments of Mathematics assigning departmental advisors by level of departmental majors, frequency of meetings and type of school. Also percentage of tenured and tenure-eligible faculty assigned to advise departmental majors: Fall 1995.

| Departments | Univ (PhD) | Univ (MA) | Coll (BA) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of departments where | Percentage of departments where | Percentage of departments where |
| Departmental majors are assigned a departmental advisor each year | 67 | 75 | 53 |
| Departmental majors are assigned a departmental advisor in their 1st and 2nd years only | 5 | 5 | 8 |
| Departmental majors are assigned a departmental advisor in their 3rd and 4th years only | 16 | 11 | 35 |
| Other methods are used to advise departmental majors | 12 | 9 | 5 |
|  | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Number of departments | 169 | 242 | 985 |
| Meetings with departmental advisor: |  |  |  |
| No meetings are required | 36 | 45 | 45 |
| There is at least one required | 49 | 48 | 48 |
| There is at least one required meeting in students' 3rd and 4th years only | 16 | 8 | 8 |
|  | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Number of departments | 169 | 242 | 985 |
| Number of tenured and tenureeligible faculty | 5463 | 4032 | 6613 |
| Percentage of tenured and tenureeligible faculty assigned to advise undergraduate departmental majors in Fall 1995 | 27 | 67 | 68 |

TABLE AC. 2 Percentage of Departments of Statistics assigning departmental advisors by level of departmental majors, frequency of meetings and type of school. Also percentage of tenured and tenureeligible faculty assigned to advise departmental majors: Fall 1995.

| Departments | Univ (PhD) | Univ (MA) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of departments where | Percentage of departments where |
| Departmental majors are assigned a departmental advisor each year <br> Departmental majors are assigned a departmental advisor in their 1st and 2nd years only <br> Departmental majors are assigned a departmental advisor in their 3rd and 4th years only <br> Other methods are used to advise departmental majors | 61 <br> 17 <br> 10 <br> 13 | 75 <br> 25 <br> 0 <br> 0 |
| Number of departments | $100 \%$ <br> 67 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ |
| Meetings with departmental advisor: <br> No meetings are required <br> There is at least one required <br> There is at least one required meeting in students' 3rd and 4th years only | 41 <br> 59 <br> 0 | 38 <br> 63 <br> 0 |
| Number of departments | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 67 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ |
| Number of tenured and tenureeligible faculty | 820 | 101 |
| Percentage of tenured and tenureeligible faculty assigned to advise undergraduate departmental majors in Fall 1995 | 13 | 100 |

Table AC. 3
This table is an elaboration of Table SAC. 25 in chapter 1, Summary.

Because this is a report on the primary source of advising information, each row total $100 \%$, aside from
rounding errors. In advising on $\mathrm{K}-12$ teaching, it is not surprising that a large percentage of departmental majors are advised by "other" parts of the institution, mostly, it is presumed, in the School of Education.

TABLE AC. 3 Percentage of Departments of Mathematics and Departments of Statistics having various primary sources of advising information for departmental majors by type of school: Fall 95.


Table AC. 4
This table is an elaboration of Table SAC. 26 in chapter 1, Summary.

The first two figures within each box give the percentage of faculty with access to a computer in their office, or if not there, then somewhere on campus and are mutually exclusive. For example, $92 \%$ of the mathematics faculty in PhD mathematics departments have a computer or terminal in their office (and pos-
sibly have access elsewhere as well) and of the remaining $8 \%$ of the faculty, half (4\%) have access not in their office but elsewhere on campus. The figures show that almost all faculty have some kind of access, and that a lesser, but still large, percentage of faculty have access to the Internet. There is little difference in availability of computers or access to the Internet across the different types of departments. Again, this material was not collected in past CBMS surveys.

TABLE AC. 4 Percentage of Departments of Mathematics and Departments of Statistics having computers or terminals available to and access to Internet for full-time faculty by type of school: Fall 1995.

|  | Number of <br> full-time <br> faculty Percentage <br> of full-time <br> faculty |
| :---: | :---: |
| Math Depts <br> Univ (PhD) <br> Have a computer or terminal in office <br> Have access to a computer or terminal elsewhere on campus Have access to Internet | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 92 \end{gathered}$ <br> 4 <br> 94 |
| Univ (MA) <br> Have a computer or terminal in office <br> Have access to a computer or terminal elsewhere on campus <br> Have access to Internet | $4765 \quad$$100 \%$ <br> 91 <br> 8 <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |
| Coll(BA) <br> Have a computer or terminal in office <br> Have access to a computer or terminal elsewhere on campus <br> Have access to Internet |  |
| Stat Depts <br> Univ (PhD) <br> Have a computer or terminal in office <br> Have access to a computer or terminal elsewhere on campus <br> Have access to Internet | 876 $100 \%$ <br> 98  <br>  0 <br>   <br>  97 |
| Univ (MA) <br> Have a computer or terminal in office <br> Have access to a computer or terminal elsewhere on campus Have access to Internet | 112 100\% <br> 0 <br> 94 |

Table AC. 5
This table is an elaboration of Table SAC. 27 in chapter 1,Summary.

These figures are for departmental computer support staff and are fte figures. Departments may well
have support staff for their computer systems that are based outside the department. This survey did not collect information on such support staff, only those staff who were departmental support staff, that is, funded from the departmental budget.

TABLE AC. 5 Percentage of Departments of Mathematics and Departments of Statistics having departmental computer systems support staff by type of school: Fall 1995.

|  | Univ (PhD) | Univ (MA) | Coll (BA) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of FTE computer systems support staff | Number of 'Percentage of departments 1 departments | Number of departments | Number of ${ }^{1}$ Percentage of departments |
| Math Depts | 169 100\% | 242 100\% | 985 100\% |
| 0 | 34 | 70 | 85 |
| 1 | 48 | 22 | 14 |
| 2 | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 or more | 12 | 6 | 0 |
| Stat Depts | 67 100\% | 8 100\% |  |
| 0 | 19 | 50 |  |
| 1 | 60 | 50 |  |
| 2 | 13 | 0 |  |
| 3 or more | 8 | 0 |  |

## Chapter 6

## Enrollment, Course Offerings, and Instructional Practices in Two-Year College Mathematics Programs

This chapter reports estimated enrollment and instructional practices in courses offered in Fall 1995 in the approximately 1023 two-year college mathematics programs in the United States. Total enrollment in two-year colleges, average class size, trends in availability of mathematics courses, enrollment in mathematics courses offered outside of mathematics programs, and services available to mathematics students are also included in this chapter. The data are compared with the results of the 1966, 1970, 1975, 1980, 1985, and 1990 CBMS surveys.

This survey did not include the approximately 600 (mostly small) two-year colleges that operate for profit, many of which do not have mathematics programs.

Unlike previous surveys, computer science courses taught outside the mathematics program and the faculty who taught them were not considered part of the "mathematics program" in the 1995 survey. So except for Tables TYR. 15 and TYR.16, this report does not include computer science courses taught, for example, by a separate computer science department.

The numbers given for two-year colleges in this report were projected from a stratified random sample of 250 non-profit two-year colleges with mathematics programs. Survey forms were returned by 163 colleges ( $65 \%$ of the sample), 156 public and 7 private. For more information on the sampling procedure used in this survey, see Appendix II. A copy of the two-year college questionnaire may be found in Appendix V.

## Highlights

- Although the number of students enrolled in twoyear colleges dropped $8 \%$ between 1990 and 1994, enrollment in courses taught in two-year college mathematics programs continued to climb.
- Two-year colleges accounted for $46 \%$ of all collegiate mathematics enrollment.
- Enrollment in remedial classes accounted for over half of mathematics program enrollment. However, courses at the remedial level accounted for less than half of the overall increase in enrollment in mathematics courses from 1990 to 1995.
- Mathematics courses that showed big percentage increases were pre-algebra, elementary algebra, college algebra, precalculus, mathematics for elementary school teachers, and elementary statistics. Large percentage drops in enrollment occurred in arithmetic, non-mainstream calculus, finite mathematics, and mathematics for liberal arts.
- Courses such as linear algebra, mathematics for liberal arts, and mathematics for elementary school teachers were offered at fewer than half of the twoyear colleges with mathematics programs.
- The average section size in all mathematics courses was 25.5 and the average section size of individual courses did not vary much from that. Fewer than $1 \%$ of sections had an enrollment above 60.
- Part-time faculty members were $65 \%$ of the total faculty and taught $38 \%$ of the sections. This percentage varied by type of course, with part-time faculty members teaching $47 \%$ of remedial courses and $17 \%$ of mainstream calculus courses.
- The predominant instructional method continued to be the standard lecture method in all except some computer science courses. The graphing calculator was widely used in precalculus and calculus courses. Group projects were a part of about one in five calculus courses, as was a writing component.
- Virtually all two-year colleges with mathematics programs had diagnostic or placement testing. Ninetythree percent had a math lab or tutorial center.


## Enrollment, Class Size, and Course Offerings

Trends in the number of two-year college students, 1966-1994

About 5,400,000 students were enrolled in two-year colleges in Fall 1994. Between 1990 and 1994, the number of students enrolled in two-year colleges in the United States fell 8\% (see Table TYR.1). Enrollment in two-year colleges in Fall 1994 constituted $38 \%$ of the
total enrollment in postsecondary institutions [National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), available on the NCES web page]. The IPEDS survey found that the vast majority of two-year college students ( $94 \%$ ) were enrolled in public colleges rather than in private or for-profit colleges.

TABLE TYR. 1 Total enrollment and percentage part-time in two-year colleges: Fall 1966, 1970, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1994.

| 1966 |  | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1994 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of students | $1,464,099$ | $2,499,837$ | $4,069,279$ | $4,825,931$ | $4,730,235$ | $5,850,803$ | $5,396,636$ |
| Percentage part-time | 46 | 48 | 54 | 63 | 65 | 65 | 64 |

Source 1966-1990: Community, Junior, and Technical College Directory, 1967, 1972, 1976, 1981, 1986, and 1991, AACJC, One Dupont Circle, NW, Washington, DC 20036.
Source 1994: American Association of Community Colleges, 1994 Fall Survey.


FIGURE TYR. 1 Total enrollment in two-year colleges: Fall 1966, 1970, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.

Trends in enrollment in two-year college mathematics programs, 1966-1995

While overall two-year college enrollment dropped, enrollment in mathematics courses, including statistics, in mathematics programs continued to climb, increasing by $12 \%$ in five years.

Table TYR. 2 includes enrollment only in mathematics courses and does not include computer science courses even if taught within the mathematics program. Thus, the enrollments in Table TYR. 2 for the years 1966-1990 are less than those in similar tables in previous reports that included computer science enroll-
ments inside and outside the mathematics program. For this report those enrollments were subtracted from the total so that the data from 1966-1990 are comparable to 1995, when the survey didn't collect information on computer science enrollments outside the mathematics program.

The survey found that the average two-year college with a mathematics program had 12 students who were mathematics majors and intended to transfer to a four-year college or university. This was less than a quarter of one percent of all two-year college students.

TABLE TYR. 2 Enrollments in mathematics courses in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1966, 1970, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.

|  | 1966 | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Enrollment | 343,000 | 571,000 | 864,000 | 953,000 | 936,000 | $1,295,000$ | $1,456,000$ |



TABLE TYR. 3 Enrollment (in thousands) in mathematics and computer science courses in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1966, 1970, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.

| Course number | Course | 1966 | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Remedial level Arithmetic/Basic mathematics | 32 | 57 | 100 | 146 | 142 | 147 | 134 |
| 2 | Pre-algebra | na | na | na | na | na | 45 | 91 |
| 3 | Elementary algebra (high school level) | 35 | 65 | 132 | 161 | 181 | 262 | 304 |
| 4 | Intermediate algebra (high school level) | 37 | 60 | 105 | 122 | 151 | 261 | 263 |
| 5 | Geometry (high school level) Precalculus level | 5 | 9 | 9 | 12 | 8 | 9 | 7 |
| 6 | College algebra (level is above Int. algebra) | 52 | 52 | 73 | 87 | 90 | 153 | 186 |
| 7 | Trigonometry | 18 | 25 | 30 | 33 | 33 | 39 | 43 |
| 8 | College algebra \& trigonometry (combined) | 15 | 36 | 30 | 41 | 46 | 18 | 17 |
| 9 | Precalculus/elementary functions | 7 | 11 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 33 | 48 |
| 10 | Analytic geometry Calculus level | 4 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| 11 | Mainstream calculus I | $\{40$ | 58 | 62 | 73 | $80\}$ | $\} \begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 23 \\ & 14\end{aligned}$ | 58 |
| 12 | Mainstream calculus II |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |
| 13 | Mainstream calculus III |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| 14 | Non-mainstream calculus I | na | na | $\{8$ | 9 | 13 , |  | 26 |
| 15 | Non-mainstream calculus II | na | na |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 16 | Differential equations Other math courses | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| 17 | Linear algebra | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| 18 | Discrete mathematics | na | na | na | na | 0) | 1 | 3 |
| 19 | Finite mathematics | 3 | 12 | 12 | 19 | 21 | 29 | 24 |
| 20 | Mathematics for liberal arrs/math apprec | 22 | 57 | 72 | 19 | 11 | 35 | 38 |
| 21 | Mathematics for elementary school teachers | 16 | 25 | 12 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 16 |
| 22 \& 23 | Business math | 17 | 28 | 70 | 57 | 33 | 26 | 25 |
| 24 | Technical mathematics (non-calculus based) | 19 | 26 | 46 | 66 | 31 | 17 | 17 |
| 25 | Technical mathematics (calculus based) Statistics | 1 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| 26 | Elementary statistics (with or without prob.) | 4 | 11 | 23 | 20 | 29 | 47 | 69 |
| 27 | Probability (with or without statistics) Computing | 1 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 3 |
| 28 | Data processing | na | na | na | na | 36 | 21 | 2* |
| 29 | Computers and society | na | na | na | na | na | 10 | 10 |
| 30 | Introduction to software packages | na | na | na | na | na | na | 21 |
| 31 | Issues in computer science | na | na | na | na | na | na | (1) |
| 32 | Computer programming I | 3 | 10 | 6 | 58 | 37 | 32 | 6 |
| 33 | Computer programming II | na | na | na | na | 5 | 8 | 1 |
| 34 | Advanced programming and data structures | na | na | na | na | 6 | 3 | 1 |
| 35 | Database management systems | na | na | na | na | na | 4 | 1 |
| Other math and computer science courses |  | 10 | 17 | 36 | 64 | 28 | 43 | 30 |
| Total |  | 348 | 584 | 874 | 1048 | 1034 | 1393 | 1498 |

[^9]
## Trends in enrollment in specific courses, 1966-1995

Remediation still comprises over half of mathematics program enrollment. However, Tables TYR. 3 and TYR. 4 show that courses at the remedial level accounted for less than half of the overall increase in enrollment in mathematics courses from 1990 to 1995. Enrollment in remedial-level courses increased $10 \%$, but enrollment in precalculus-level courses increased by $20 \%$.

Mathematics courses that showed big percentage increases were pre-algebra, elementary algebra, college algebra, precalculus, mathematics for elementary
school teachers, and elementary statistics. Enrollment in pre-algebra more than doubled in five years. Enrollment in elementary statistics continued its rapid growth, having gone from only 4,000 students in Fall 1966 to 69,000 students in Fall 1995. For the first time this made it larger than enrollment in the first semester of mainstream calculus. For every 100 twoyear college students who began a calculus sequence (mainstream, non-mainstream, or outside mathematics programs) in Fall 1995, there were 95 who enrolled in introductory statistics or probability (inside or outside mathematics programs).

TABLE TYR. 4 Enrollment (in thousands) in mathematics and computer science courses by type of course in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1966, 1970, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.

| Course numbers | Type of course | 1966 | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 | Remedial | $\begin{array}{r} 109 \\ (32 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 191 \\ (33 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 346 \\ (40 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 441 \\ (42 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 482 \\ (47 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 724 \\ (52 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 800 \\ (53 \%) \end{array}$ |
| 6-10 | Precalculus | $\begin{array}{r} 96 \\ (28 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 134 \\ (23 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 152 \\ (17 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 180 \\ (17 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 188 \\ (18 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 245 \\ (18 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 295 \\ (20 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| 11-16 | Calculus | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \\ (12 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \\ (10 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \\ (8 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \\ (8 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97 \\ (9 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 128 \\ (9 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 129 \\ (9 \%) \end{array}$ |
| 28-35 | Computing | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ (2 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ (1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \\ (9 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 98 \\ (10 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98 \\ (7 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43^{*} \\ (3 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| 26-27 | Statistics | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ (3 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ (3 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ (3 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \\ (3 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54 \\ (4 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72 \\ (5 \%) \end{array}$ |
| 17-25,36 | Other | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \\ (26 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 171 \\ (29 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 266 \\ (31 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 218 \\ (21 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 133 \\ (13 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 144 \\ (10 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 160 \\ (11 \%) \end{array}$ |
| 1-36 Tota | al all courses | $\begin{array}{r} 348 \\ (100 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 584 \\ (100 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 874 \\ (100 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1048 \\ (100 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1034 \\ (100 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1393 \\ (100 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1498 \\ (100 \%) \end{array}$ |

Note: This table was constructed using TABLE TYR.3. Notice that the breakdown into type of course is different from that in Table SE. 3 and Appendix I for four-year colleges and universities.

* The computing enrollment for 1995 includes only courses taught within Mathematics Programs. For earlier years it includes estimates of enrollments in computer science courses taught outside Mathematics Programs.

Large percentage drops in enrollment occurred in arithmetic, non-mainstream calculus, finite mathematics, and mathematics for liberal arts.

The most common courses listed by mathematics program heads in the "other" category were specific computer courses such as a course in APL, mathematics for health careers, and a second semester of technical mathematics. A few two-year colleges offered courses in the use of computer software that can be helpful in studying and using mathematics.

Mainstream calculus includes the calculus courses taught to mathematics, physics, and engineering majors. Non-mainstream calculus includes the "soft" calculus courses most often taught to biology, behavioral sciences, and business majors.

Computer science enrollments in 1995 cannot be compared with those of previous surveys, because those surveys included courses taught outside the mathematics program.


FIGURE TYR. 4 Enrollment (in thousands) in mathematics and computer science courses by type of course in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1966, 1970, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.

> * The computing enrollment for 1995 includes only courses taught within Mathematics Programs. For earlier years it includes estimates of enrollments in computer science courses taught outside Mathematics Programs.

## Trends in availability of mathematics courses

Tables TYR. 5 and TYR. 6 show that students in many two-year colleges will not be able to complete the lower division mathematics requirements of certain majors. Courses such as linear algebra, mathematics for liberal arts, and mathematics for elementary school teachers were offered at fewer than half of the twoyear colleges with mathematics programs.

Just $17 \%$ of two-year college mathematics programs offered a high school-level geometry course in Fall 1995. The enrollment in this course was extremely small compared to the two courses-elementary algebra and intermediate algebra-that traditionally flank it in the high school curriculum.

TABLE TYR. 5 Percentage of the 1023two-year college Mathematics Programs teaching selected mathematics courses at least once in 1994-1995 or 1995-1996.

| Course <br> number | Course | Percentage of two-year <br> colleges teaching course |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Arithmetic/Basic math | 70 |
| 2 | Pre-algebra | 46 |
| 3 | Elem. algebra | 85 |
| 4 | Intermediate algebra | 84 |
| 5 | Geometry | 17 |
| 6 | College algebra | 79 |
| 7 | Trigonometry | 71 |
| 8 | College algebra \& trig | 17 |
| 9 | Precalculus/elem.fns. | 39 |
| 10 | Analyticgeometry | 7 |
| 11 | Mainstream calculus I | 83 |
| 12 | Mainstream calculus II | 79 |
| 13 | Mainstream calculus III | 65 |
| 14 | Non-mainstream calculus I | 52 |
| 15 | Non-mainstream calculus II | 10 |
| 16 | Differential eqs. | 53 |
| 17 | Linear algebra | 30 |
| 18 | Discrete math | 12 |
| 19 | Finite math | 31 |
| 20 | Math. for lib arts/math apprec | 46 |
| 21 | Math for elem. school teachers | 43 |
| 22 | Business math (not transferable | 28 |
| 23 | for credit towards bachelor's) |  |
| 24 | Business math (transferable for | 11 |
| 25 | Techit towards bachelor's) |  |
| 26 | Technical math (not calculus based) | 33 |
| 27 | Elem. statistics (calculus based) | 11 |
|  | 80 |  |

TABLE TYR. 6 Percentage of the 1023two-year college Mathematics Programs teaching selected mathematics courses: Fall 1970, 1985, 1990, 1995.

| Course <br> number | Course | Percentage oftwo-year <br> colleges teaching course |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1970 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| 11 | Mainstream calculus I | na | na | na | 83 |
| 16 | Differential equations | 49 | 40 | 53 | 53 |
| 17 | Linear algebra | 17 | 24 | 34 | 30 |
| 18 | Discrete mathematics | na | 3 | 21 | 12 |
| 19 | Finite mathematics | 19 | 27 | 46 | 31 |
| 20 | Math for liberal arts/math apprec | na | 25 | 35 | 46 |
| 21 | Math for elem school teachers | 48 | 31 | 32 | 43 |
| 24 | Technical math (non-calculus based) | 41 | 42 | 36 | 33 |
| 25 | Technical math (calculus based) | 19 | 18 | 6 | 11 |
| 26 | Elementary statistics | 41 | 61 | 69 | 80 |

Average number of students per section
Tables TYR. 7 and TYR. 8 show that in Fall 1995 the average section size in all mathematics courses was 25.5 , and the average section size of individual courses did not vary much from that. Fewer than $1 \%$ of sec-
tions had an enrollment above 60. In 1990, the average section size was 27.8 . The decrease in average section size can be attributed largely to remedial-level sections, which dropped from an average of 29 in 1990 to 25.7 in 1995.

TABLE TYR. 7 Average section size by type of course in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges and percentage of sections with enrollment above 60: Fall 1995.

| Course <br> numbers | Type of <br> course | Average <br> section size | Percentage of sections <br> with enrollment above 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-5$ | Remedial | 25.7 | 1.3 |
| $6-10$ | Precalculus | 28.0 | 0.2 |
| $11-16$ | Calculus | 23.5 | 0.1 |
| $28-35$ | Computer | 22.9 | 1.4 |
| $26-27$ | Statistics | 27.9 | 0.7 |
| $1-36$ | All courses | 25.5 | 0.8 |

For names of specific courses see TABLE TYR. 3

TABLE TYR. 8 Average section size for selected two-year college mathematics courses: Fall 1995.

| Course <br> number | Course | Average <br> section size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Remedial |  |  |
| 1 | Arithmetic/basic math | 21.7 |
| 2 | Pre-algebra | 22.9 |
| 3 | Elementary algebra | 26.4 |
| 4 | Intermediate algebra | 28.8 |
|  | $\quad$ Precalculus Level |  |
| 6 | College algebra | 28.5 |
| 9 | Precalculus/elem.functions | 29.1 |
|  | $\quad$ Other Courses |  |
| 11 | Mainstream calculus I | 25.0 |
| 12 | Mainstream calculus II | 23.2 |
| 13 | Mainstream calculus III | 19.0 |
| 14 | Non-mainstream calculus I | 25.6 |
| 17 | Linear algebra | 18.7 |
| 20 | Math for lib. arts/math apprec | 25.1 |
| 21 | Math for elem. school teachers | 23.8 |
| 26 | Elementary statistics | 27.9 |

## Courses taught by part-time faculty members

Part-time faculty members were $65 \%$ of the total faculty (see Table TYR.17) and taught $38 \%$ of the sections. This percentage varied by type of course, as shown in Table TYR.9, with part-time faculty members teaching
$47 \%$ of remedial courses and $17 \%$ of mainstream calculus courses. In 1990, part-time faculty members taught $42 \%$ of the sections. In 1985, the percentage was $28 \%$.

TABLE TYR. 9 Number of sections and number and percentage of sections taught by parttime faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by type of course: Fall 1995.

| Course <br> numbers | Type of course | Number <br> of <br> sections | Number of <br> sections taught by <br> part-time faculty | Percentage of <br> sections taught by <br> part-time faculty |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-5$ | Remedial | 31155 | 14768 | 47 |
| 6-10 | Precalculus | 10540 | 3109 | 29 |
| $11-13$ | Mainstream calculus | 4066 | 698 | 17 |
| $14-15$ | Non-main calculus | 1085 | 257 | 24 |
| $16-18$ | Advanced math | 707 | 113 | 16 |
| $19-23$ | Service courses | 4214 | 1284 | 30 |
| $24-25$ | Technical math | 1024 | 414 | 40 |
| $26-27$ | Statistics | 2566 | 809 | 32 |
| $28-35$ | Computer science | 1864 | 623 | 33 |
| $1-36$ | All courses combined | 58749 | 22569 | 38 |

For names of specific courses see TABLE TYR.3.


FIGURE TYR.9.1 Number of sections of mathematics and computer science courses taught by full-time and parttime faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by type of course: Fall 1995.


FIGURE TYR.9.2 Fraction of sections of mathematics and computer science courses taught by full-time and part-time faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by type of course: Fall 1995.

TABLE TYR. 10 Percentage of sections using various instructional methods by course in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: F2H 1305.

|  | Percentage of sections that |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | use graphing calculators | include a writing component such as reports or projects | require computer assignments | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { assign } \\ \text { group } \\ \text { projects } \end{array}$ | meet at least once a week in a classroom equipped with computers for students | are taught mostly by the standard lecture method | are taught <br> mostly by <br> computer- <br> aided <br> instruction | are <br> taught <br> by television | Number <br> of sections |
| 1 Arithmetic/Basic math | (1) | 5 | 12 | 9 | 17 | 67 | 3 | 0) | 6166 |
| 2 Pre-algebra | 1 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 13 | 76 | 2 | (1) | 3980 |
| 3 Elem. algebra | 4 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 75 | 1 | (1) | 11553 |
| 4 Intermediate algebra | 17 | 7 | 3 | 11 | 7 | 81 | (1) | (D | 9148 |
| 5 Geometry | 13 | 9 | 9 | 15 | 5 | 73 | 7 | (1) | 307 |
| 6 College algebra | 38 | 10 | 8 | 13 | 4 | 88 | 1 | (1) | 6523 |
| 7 Trigonometry | 49 | 11 | 9 | 14 | 2 | 89 | (1) | (1) | 1700 |
| 8 College algebra \& trig | 51 | 11 | 25 | 17 | 15 | 66 | 1 | (1) | 596 |
| 9 Precalculus/elem fns. | 55 | 9 | 10 | 15 | 8 | 82 | 0) | (1) | 1633 |
| 10 Analytic geometry | 65 | 0 | 18 | 12 | 12 | 65 | 0) | 0) | 88 |
| 11 Mainstream calculus I | 65 | 20 | 23 | 22 | 15 | 82 | 3 | (1) | 2325 |
| 12 Mainstream calculus II | 63 | 13 | 16 | 18 | 12 | 84 | 3 | (1) | 1008 |
| 13 Mainstream calculus III | 63 | 16 | 26 | 22 | 18 | 86 | 4 | 1 | 733 |
| 14 Non-mainstream calculus I | 44 | 17 | 8 | 20 | 5 | 88 | (1) | (1) | 1010 |
| 15 Non-mainstream calculus II | 52 | 16 | 22 | 22 | 13 | 79 | (1) | (1) | 75 |
| 16 Differential eqs. | 41 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 13 | 78 | 3 | (1) | 337 |
| 17 Linear algebra | 43 | 21 | 27 | 28 | 13 | 88 | (1) | (1) | 247 |
| 18 Discrete math | 25 | 42 | 44 | 39 | 42 | 61 | 3 | (1) | 123 |
| 19 Finite math | 26 | 5 | 20 | 9 | 3 | 89 | 0) | (1) | 863 |
| 20 Math for lib arts/math apprec | 7 | 24 | 16 | 17 | 6 | 81 | 1 | (1) | 1531 |
| 21 Math for elem. schl teachers | 22 | 48 | 17 | 54 | 10 | 79 | (1) | (D | 654 |
| 22 Business math (not trans.) | 3 | 9 | 5 | 14 | 9 | 66 | (1) | 2 | 903 |
| 23 Business math (trans.) | 30 | 11 | 16 | 18 | 11 | 83 | 8 | (1) | 263 |
| 24 Tech math (not calc. based) | 27 | 7 | 3 | 13 | 5 | 71 | (1) | (1) | 901 |
| 25 Tech Math (calculus based) | 25 | 18 | 4 | 4 | (1) | 65 | (1) | (1) | 123 |
| 26 Elem. statistics | 29 | 39 | 46 | 29 | 21 | 94 | 8 | 1 | 2477 |
| 27 Probability | 50 | 51 | 45 | 31 | 34 | 75 | 2 | (1) | 89 |
| 28 Data processing | (1) | (1) | 43 | (1) | 43 | 43 | 8 | (1) | 84 |
| 29 Computers and society | (1) | 73 | 92 | 24 | 74 | 58 | 7 | (1) | 427 |
| 30 Intro to software packages | (1) | 5 | 86 | 2 | 95 | 15 | 29 | (1) | 916 |
| 31 Issues in computer science | (1) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | (1) | (1) | 3 |
| 32 Computer programming I | (1) | 37 | 89 | 20 | 68 | 63 | 16 | (1) | 271 |
| 33 Computer programming II | (1) | 33 | 88 | 24 | 92 | 43 | 37 | (1) | 69 |
| 34 Adv. prog. \& data structures | (1) | 23 | 83 | 13 | 83 | 70 | 13 | (1) | 39 |
| 35 Database manag. systems. | (1) | (1) | 22 | 19 | 19 | 22 | (1) | (1) | 55 |
| 36 Other courses | 9 | 23 | 35 | 17 | 29 | 69 | 5 | (1) | 1528 |
| 1-36 All courses combined | 20 | 11 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 77 | 2 | (1) | 58749 |

(1) less than half of $1 \%$

## Instructional Practices

Table TYR. 10 gives the percentage of sections that used various instructional practices in the different courses. The predominant method was the standard lecture method in all except some computer science courses. Computer science courses tended to meet in a room equipped with computers for students and computer assignments were required. The graphing calculator was used widely in precalculus and calcu-
lus courses. Very few sections of any course were taught by television and very few were taught by com-puter-aided instruction, except for some computer science courses.

Table TYR. 11 gives the percentage of calculus sections that assigned group projects and that had a writing component. There was a large increase in both categories between 1990 and 1995.

TABLE TYR. 11 Percentage of calculus sections in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges that assign group projects and that have a writing component: Fall 1990, 1995.

|  | Percentage of sections that <br> assign group projects |  | Percentage of sections that <br> have a writing component |  | Number of <br> sections |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course <br> number | Course | 1990 | 1995 | 1990 | 1995 | 1990 | 1995 |
| 11 | Main. Calculus I | 4 | 22 | 5 | 20 | 2062 | 2325 |
| 12 | Main. Calculus II | 3 | 18 | 4 | 13 | 1004 | 1008 |
| 13 | Main. Calculus III | 0 | 22 | 4 | 16 | 782 | 733 |
| 14 | Non-Main. Calc. I | 5 | 20 | 4 | 17 | 1148 | 1010 |
| 15 | Non-Main. Calc. II | 2 | 22 | 2 | 16 | na | 75 |

## Services Available to Students

services to students. Other services mentioned by
Table TYR. 12 gives the percentage of two-year col- mathematics program heads included e-mail for stuleges with mathematics programs that offered various

TABLE TYR. 12 Percentage of the 1023 two-year colleges offering various services to students: Fall 1995.

| Service | Percentage of two-year <br> colleges offering service |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Diagnostic or placement testing } \\ \text { Math lab or tutorial center } \\ \text { Advising by a member of the } \\ \text { mathematics faculty } \\ \text { Opportunities to compete in math } \\ \text { contests } \\ \text { Honors sections } \\ \text { Mathematics club } \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Special mathematics programs to } \\ \text { encourage minorities }\end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Lectures/colloquia for students, not } \\ \text { part of math club } \\ \text { Special mathematics programs to } \\ \text { encourage women }\end{array} \\ \text { Other }\end{array}\right] 23$ |  |

## Math labs

Ninety-three percent of two-year colleges with mathematics programs had a math lab or tutorial center.

Table TYR. 13 gives the services available within the math labs. More than half of math labs offered tutoring by students, media such as videotapes, computer-
aided instruction, and computer software. (The 1990 CBMS survey found that computer facilities were more common in the larger two-year colleges.) The math labs increasingly are staffed by students and paraprofessionals (see Table TYR.14).

TABLE TYR. 13 Percentage of the 950 two-year colleges with math lab or tutorial center that offer various services to students in the math lab or tutorial center: Fall 1995.

| Service offered in math lab/ <br> tutorial center | Percentage of two-year colleges <br> with math labs/tutorial centers <br> that offer the service |
| :--- | :---: |
| Computer-aided instruction <br> Computer software such as <br> computer algebra systems <br> or statistical packages <br> Media such as videotapes <br> Tutoring by students | 69 |
| Tutoring by paraprofessionals | 65 |
| Tutoring by part-time |  |
| mathematics faculty | 70 |
| Tutoring by full-time |  |
| mathematics faculty | 84 |
| Other | 38 |

TABLE TYR. 14 Percentage of two-year colleges using various sources of personnel for math labs: Fall 1985,1990, 1995.

|  | Percentage of two-year <br> colleges using source |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| Students | 48 | 73 | 84 |
| Full-time members of |  |  |  |
| mathematics staff | 38 | 46 | 38 |
| Paraprofessionals <br> Part-time members of <br> mathematics staff | 34 | 51 | 58 |



FIGURE TYR. 14 Percentage of two-year colleges using various sources of personnel for math labs: Fall 1985,1990, 1995.

## Placement into courses

In $70 \%$ of the colleges, a student must speak with an advisor before registering for his or her first mathematics course. In another $10 \%$ of the colleges, whether advisement was mandatory depends on the course in which the student wanted to register.

Virtually all ( $98 \%$ ) two-year colleges with mathematics programs had diagnostic or placement testing to help students decide which course to take. In 76\% of those colleges, the exams were used for mandatory placement into mathematics courses. In the others, placement was advisory.

In $22 \%$ of the colleges, a student may enroll in a mathematics course without completely satisfying the recommendations/prerequisites for the course (such as having a certain placement test score or passing a prerequisite course). In another $12 \%$ of the colleges, bypassing some of the prerequisites is possible for some courses, but not for others.

## Mathematics Courses Taught Outside Mathematics Programs

It has long been the case in two-year colleges that a significant number of mathematics courses are
taught by other departments. From 1970 to 1995, enrollment in mathematics courses outside mathematics programs increased by $115 \%$ while enrollment in mathematics courses inside mathematics programs increased by $155 \%$. In 1970, the outside enrollments were $12 \%$ of those within mathematics programs. In 1995 these enrollments were $11 \%$ of those within mathematics programs.
Previous reports had higher percentages because many computer science courses were included in the outside enrollments. The estimates in Tables TYR. 15 and TYR. 16 do not include computer science and data processing courses.

Just over half of the outside enrollments were in remedial courses taught in a developmental studies division or learning center. Much of the rest of the outside enrollment was in business math taught in a business division. Tables TYR. 15 and TYR. 16 give the enrollments in mathematics courses that were offered outside mathematics programs. These enrollments were estimated by mathematics program heads. Thus, they are not as accurate as the numbers given for enrollment within mathematics programs.

TABLE TYR. 15 Estimated enrollment (in thousands) in mathematics courses taught outside of Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1970, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.

| Course | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Arithmetic/Pre-algebra | 14 | 27 | 18 | 18 | 42 | 54 |
| Elem algebra (high school) | na | na | na | na | 38 | 41 |
| Int algebra (high school) | na | na | na | na | 27 | 10 |
| College algebra | na | na | na | na | 6 | 2 |
| Trig or precalc (college) | 6 | 17 | 29 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Calculus or Diff eqs | $(1)$ | 4 | 8 | $(1)$ | 4 | 1 |
| Business math | 36 | 53 | 70 | 50 | 32 | 26 |
| Statistics \& probability | 6 | 14 | 12 | 7 | 15 | 9 |
| Technical math | na | na | 25 | 23 | 10 | 8 |
| Other | 9 | 12 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
|  | 71 | 127 | 172 | 105 | 181 | 153 |

(1) less than 500


FIGURE TYR. 15 Estimated enrollment (in thousands) in mathematics courses taught outside of Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1990, 1995.

TABLE TYR. 16 Estimated enrollment (in thousands) in mathematics courses taught outside of Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by division where taught: Fall 1995.

| Course | Natural <br> Sciences | Occupational <br> Programs | Business | Social <br> Sciences | Developmental <br> Studies/ <br> Learning Center | Other |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arithmetic/Pre-algebra | 9 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 40 | 2 | 54 |
| Elem algebra (high sch) | 7 | $0)$ | 0 | 0 | 33 | 1 | 41 |
| Int algebra (high sch) | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | $(1)$ | 10 |
| College algebra | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Trig or Precalc (college) | $(1)$ | $(1)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Calculus or Diff eqs | 1 | 0 | $0)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Business math | 2 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| Statistics \& probability | $(1)$ | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| Technical math | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| Other | 0 | $(1)$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | $(1)$ | 1 |
| Total | 27 | 7 | 32 | 3 | 78 | 6 | 153 |

(1) less than 500

## Chapter 7

## Faculty and Administration in Two-Year College Mathematics Programs

This chapter reports the number, teaching conditions, education, professional activities, and age, gender, and ethnicity of the faculty in two-year college mathematics programs in Fall 1995. Information on mobility into, within, and out of two-year college mathematics program teaching positions is also included.

The data are compared with those from the 1966, 1970, 1975, 1980, 1985, and 1990 CBMS surveys.

Unlike previous surveys, the mathematics faculty surveyed in 1995 does not include those who teach in a computer science program that is separate from the mathematics program.

For more information on the sampling procedure used in this survey, see Appendix II. A copy of the twoyear college questionnaire may be foundin Appendix V.

## Highlights

- About 7600 people taught full-time in two-year college mathematics programs in the United States in Fall 1996. The number of part-time faculty members was almost double that.
- Part-time faculty members taught $38 \%$ of all sections. In addition, $48 \%$ of full-time permanent two-year college mathematics faculty members taught extra hours for extra pay at their own two-year college.
- A master's degree was the terminal degree of $82 \%$ of the full-time permanent two-year college mathematics faculty.
- Forty percent of full-time permanent faculty members in mathematics programs at two-year colleges were women and $13 \%$ were ethnic minorities.
- Sixty-one percent of full-time facultymembers had a private fully enclosed office and $76 \%$ had a computer in their office. Only $14 \%$ of part-time faculty members had their own desk.
- The need for remediation was classified as a major problem by $63 \%$ of mathematics program heads, a larger percentage than for any other problem. Low student motivation and low success rate in developmental/remedial courses were second and third.
- A traditional mathematics or mathematics/computer science department was found in fewer than half of the two-year colleges with mathematics programs. More common was a division structure, where mathematics is combined with science or other disciplines.
- In $30 \%$ of two-year colleges, remedial/developmental mathematics courses were administered separately from the mathematics department/program.


## The Number and Teaching Assignments of Full-time and Part-time Mathematics Program Faculty

Trends in the number of full-time permanent and part-time mathematics program faculty members

Table TYR. 17 shows that the number of full-time permanent facultymembers in two-year college mathematics programs was 7578 in 1995 and the number of part-time faculty members was 14,266 . The faculty increased by 356 full-timers and 586 part-timers from 1990 to 1995.

Part-time faculty members made up $65 \%$ of the twoyear college mathematics program faculty. This is the same percentage as in 1990. However, the percentage was $54 \%$ in 1980 and $31 \%$ in 1970.

Part-time faculty members taught $38 \%$ of all sections (see Table TYR.9). Not surprisingly, $79 \%$ of mathematics programs heads classified "need to use parttime faculty for too many courses" as somewhat of a problem or a major problem (see Table TYR.46).

In Fall 1995,there were 164full-time temporary faculty members such as sabbatical replacements.

TABLE TYR. 17 Number of full-time permanent and part-time faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1966, 1970, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.

| 1966 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1970 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |  |  |  |
| Full-time permanent faculty | 2677 | 4879 | 5944 | 5623 | 6277 | 7222 | 7578 |
| Part-time faculty | 1318 | 2213 | 3411 | 6661 | 7433 | 13680 | 14266 |



## Teaching assignment of full-time permanent and part-time faculty

The average required teaching assignment for a full-time permanent two-year college mathematics faculty member in 1995 was 15.8 hours a week (Table TYR.18). In 1990, it was 14.7 hours and in 1985, 16.1 hours. (Previous CBMS surveys have found regional differences, with average teaching assignmenthighest in the west and lowest in the New England/mid-Atlantic states.)

About 6990 (49\%) of the 14,266 part-time faculty members taught six units or more at that college. In $39 \%$ of the colleges, office hours were required of parttime faculty. Of these, $11 \%$ gave extra pay for the office hours. In $60 \%$ of the colleges, part-time faculty were paid on the same pay scale as full-time faculty members who teach extra hours for extra pay, in 5\% of the colleges part-timers were paid more, and in $35 \%$ of the colleges they were paid less.

TABLE TYR. 18 Teaching assignment for full-time permanent faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1995.

| Teaching assignment <br> in contact hours | $\leq 9$ | $10-12$ | $13-15$ | $16-18$ | $19-21$ | $\geq 22$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of two- <br> year colleges | 2 | 2 | 68 | 14 | 14 | $0)$ |

- Average contact hours for full-time permanent faculty: 15.8
- Percentage of the full-time permanent faculty who teach extra hours for extra pay at their two-year college: 48\%
- Average number of extra hours for extra pay: 4.4
(1) less than half of $1 \%$


FIGURE TYR.18.1 Teaching assignment for full-time permanent faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1995.


FIGURE TYR.18.2 Teaching assignment for fulltime permanent faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1990, 1995.

Extra teaching by full-time faculty and other occupations of part-time faculty

Table TYR. 18 also shows that $48 \%$ of all full-time permanent two-year college mathematics faculty members taught extra hours for extra pay at their own two-year college. (In addition, 7\% taught at other schools.) A slight majority of them taught three units or fewer. The average number of extra hours for extra pay for faculty members who taught at their own college was 4.4. In 1990, the percentage was $44 \%$ and the average number of hours was 4.7.

Thirty-five percent of part-time two-year college facultymembers were not employed full time elsewhere and were not graduate students (Table TYR.19). In 1990, the percentage was $27 \%$ and in 1985 it was $21 \%$. The percentage who were employed full time in a high school continued to drop from $37 \%$ in 1985 to $30 \%$ in 1990 to $28 \%$ in 1995. Mathematics program heads estimated that 3052 ( $21 \%$ ) of the 14,266 part-time faculty members were seeking full-time permanent employment in a two-year college.

TABLE TYR. 19 Percentage of part-time faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges having various other occupations: Fall 1995.

| Other occupations of part-time faculty | Percentage of <br> part-time faculty |
| :--- | :---: |
| Employed full-time in: |  |
| a high school | 28 |
| another department at the same college | 6 |
| another two-year college | 2 |
| a four-year college | 3 |
| industry or other | 20 |
| Graduate student | 5 |
| No full-time employment and not a graduate | 35 |
| student |  |
| Number of part-time faculty | $100 \%$ |

## Education of Two-Year College Mathematics Program Faculty

## Highest degree of full-time permanent faculty

A master's degree was the terminal degree of $82 \%$ of the full-time permanent two-year college mathematics faculty. As shown in Table TYR.20, the percentage of faculty with a doctorate remained at $17 \%$. The percentage whose terminal degree is a bachelor's degree continued to approach zero.

Nineteen percent of new hires for 1995-1996 had a doctorate (see Table TYR.36). Thus, the percentage of new hires with doctorates was about the same as the per-
centage of full-time permanent faculty with doctorates. However, there is some indication that two-year colleges are hiring more new full-time facultymembers with doctorates than they did previously. Previous CBMS surveys have found that two-year colleges hire very few people with doctorates and that people earn their doctorates while on the job. The 1990 survey found, for example, that $2 \%$ of new hires had doctorates.

Table TYR. 21 gives the field of highest degree of fulltime permanent two-year college mathematics faculty. Sixty-six percent of the master's degrees were in mathematics. Thirty-five percent of the doctorates were in mathematics.

TABLE TYR. 20 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by highest degree: Fall 1970, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.

|  | Percentage of full-time permanent faculty |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Highest degree | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| Doctorate | 4 | 11 | 15 | 13 | 17 | 17 |
| Masters | 89 | 82 | 80 | 82 | 79 | 82 |
| Bachelors | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Number of full-time | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| permanent faculty | 4879 | 5944 | 5623 | 6277 | 7222 | 7578 |



FIGURE TYR. 20 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by highest degree: Fall 1970, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.

TABLE TYR. 21 Percentage of 7578 full-time permanent faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by field and highest degree: Fall 1995.

|  | Percentage having as highest degree |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Field | Doctorate | Masters | Bachelors | Total |
| Mathematics | 6 | 54 | 1 | 61 |
| Mathematics Education | 7 | 17 | 0 | 24 |
| Statistics | $(1)$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Computer Science | $(1)$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Otherfields | 3 | 6 | $(1)$ | 9 |
|  |  | 17 | 82 | 1 |

(1) less than half of $1 \%$.

Highest degree of full-time temporary and parttime faculty

As shown in Table TYR.22, the percentage of fulltime temporary and part-time two-year college faculty with a doctorate remained steady at $7 \%$. The percentage with a bachelor's degree as their terminal degree was $18 \%$.

Table TYR. 23 gives the field of highest degree of fulltime temporary and part-time two-year college mathematics faculty. Fifty-seven percent of the master's degrees were in mathematics. Forty-three percent of the doctorates were in mathematics.

TABLE TYR. 22 Percentage of part-time faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by highest degree: Fall 1970, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.

|  | Percentage of part-time faculty |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Highest degree | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| Doctorate | 9 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| Masters | 77 | 79 | 76 | 65 | 65 | 76 |
| Bachelors | 14 | 17 | 17 | 28 | 27 | 18 |
| Number of part- | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| time faculty | 2213 | 3411 | 6661 | 7433 | 13680 | 14266 |

TABLE TYR. 23 Percentage of 14430 full-time temporary and part-time faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by field and highest degree: Fall 1995.

|  | Percentage having as highest degree |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Field | Doctorate | Masters | Bachelors | Total |
| Mathematics | 3 | 43 | 12 | 58 |
| Mathematics Education | 1 | 19 | 3 | 23 |
| Statistics | $(1)$ | 1 | $0)$ | 1 |
| Computer Science | $(1)$ | 2 | $0)$ | 2 |
| Other fields | 3 | 11 | 3 | 17 |
|  | 7 | 76 | 18 | $100 \%$ |

(1) less than half of $1 \%$.


FIGURE TYR. 22 Percentage of part-time faculty in two-year college Mathematics Programs by highest degree: Fall 1970, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.
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TABLE TYR. 25 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty and full-time temporary and part-time faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by gender: Fall 1995. Also U.S. master's degrees in mathematics granted to U.S. residents by gender: 1992-93.

|  | Percentage of |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\|c\|$ <br> full-time <br> permanent faculty | full-time temporary <br> and part-time faculty | Master's degrees in mathematics granted in <br> the U.S. in 1992-93 to U.S. residents* |
|  | 60 | 59 | 58 |
|  | 40 | 41 | 42 |
|  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Total | 7578 | 14430 | 2924 |

* 1995 Digest of Education Statistics. National Center for Education Statistics.


## Ethnicity and gender of full-time permanent

 two-year college mathematics program facultyThirteen percent of full-time permanent faculty were members of ethnic minorities (Table TYR.26). African-Americans made up the largest group, comprising $5 \%$ of the total full-time permanent faculty (Table TYR.27). The percentage of women among ethnic group minorities didn't vary much from the $40 \%$ overall percentage except that $34 \%$ of Asian/Pacific Islanders are women (Table TYR.28). Every ethnic group except non-Hispanic white was proportionally
larger among the full-time permanent faculty who were under age 40 than among the entire full-time permanent faculty. Similarly, every ethnic group except non-Hispanic white was proportionally larger among the full-time permanent faculty who were under age 40 than among those to whom master's degrees in mathematics were granted in 1992-1993 (Table TYR.29).

For 1995-1996, $17 \%$ of the new hires were ethnic minorities (see Table TYR.37).

TABLE TYR. 26 Percentage and number of ethnic minority full-time permanent faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.

|  | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of ethnic minorities <br> among full-time permanent faculty | 7 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 13 |
| Number of full-time permanent <br> ethnic minority faculty | 416 | 450 | 753 | 1155 | 948 |
| Number of full-time permanent <br> faculty | 5944 | 5623 | 6277 | 7222 | 7578 |
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TABLE TYR. 28 Number and percentage of full-time permanent faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by ethnic group and number and percentage women by ethnic group: Fall 1995.

| Ethnic group | Number of full- <br> time permanent <br> faculty | Percentage of full- <br> time permanent <br> faculty | Number of full- <br> time permanent <br> women | Percentage <br> women by <br> ethnic group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian, Pacific Islander | 326 | $4 \%$ | 110 | $34 \%$ |
| Black (non-Hispanic) | 362 | $5 \%$ | 152 | $42 \%$ |
| American Indian, Eskimo, | 19 | $(1)$ | 6 | $32 \%$ |
| Aleut | 230 | $3 \%$ | 97 | $42 \%$ |
| Mexican American, Puerto <br> Rican or other Hispanic | 6566 | $87 \%$ | 2619 | $40 \%$ |
| White (non-Hispanic) | 74 | $1 \%$ | 16 | $12 \%$ |
| Status not known | 7578 | $100 \%$ | 2999 | $40 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

(1) less than half of $1 \%$

TABLE TYR. 29 Percentage of full-time faculty and of full-time faculty under age 40 in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by ethnic group: Fall 1995. Also U.S. master's degrees in mathematics granted to U.S. residents by ethnic group in 1992-1993.

| Ethnic Group | Percentage of |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | full-time permanent faculty | full-time permanent faculty under age $40^{\prime}$ | Master's degrees in mathematics granted in the U.S. in 1992-93 to U.S. residents* |
| Asian, Pacific Islander | 4 | 8 | 7 |
| Black (non-Hispanic) | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| American Indian, Eskimo, Aleut | (1) | 0) | (1) |
| Mexican American, Puerto Rican or other Hispanic | 3 | 6 | 2 |
| White (non-Hispanic) | 87 | 80 | 87 |
| Status not known | 1 | 0) | 0 |
|  | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Total | 7578 | 1570 | 2924 |

(1) less than half of $1 \%$
*1995 Digest of Education Statistics. National Center for Education Statistics.

Ethnicity and gender of full-time temporary and part-time two-year college mathematics program faculty

Fourteen percent of full-time temporary and parttime faculty were members of ethnic minorities (Table

TYR.30). African-Americans made up the largest group, comprising $5 \%$ of the total full-time temporary and parttime faculty (Table TYR.31).

TABLE TYR. 30 Percentage of ethnic minority full-time temporary and part-time faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1995.

| Percentage of ethnic minorities among full- <br> time temporary and part-time faculty | $14 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of full-time temporary and part-time <br> faculty | 14430 |

TABLE TYR. 31 Number and percentage of full-time temporary and part-time faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by ethnic group and number and percentage women by ethnic group: Fall 1995.

| Ethnic group | Number of full- <br> time temporary <br> and part-time <br> faculty | Percentage of full- <br> time temporary <br> and part-time <br> faculty | Number of full- <br> time temporary <br> and part-time <br> women | Percentage <br> women by <br> ethnic group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian, Pacific Islander | 619 | $4 \%$ | 248 | $40 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black (non-Hispanic) | 763 | $5 \%$ | 298 | $39 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian, Eskimo, <br> Aleut | 14 | $0)$ | 8 | $57 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mexican American, Puertc <br> Rican or other Hispanic | 458 | $3 \%$ | 163 | $36 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White (non-Hispanic) | 11792 | $82 \%$ | 4949 | $42 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status not known | 785 | $5 \%$ | 257 | $37 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14430 | $100 \%$ | 5923 | $41 \%$ |

(1) less than half of $1 \%$

## Age distribution of full-time permanent twoyear college mathematics program faculty

The average age of full-time two-year college mathematics program faculty continued to climb and in Fall 1995 was 47.2 years. In 1990, it was 45.4 years. As shown in Table TYR.32, the percentage under age 40
slid gradually from $47 \%$ in 1975 to $21 \%$ in 1995 .
Women were more heavily represented in the younger age groups (Table TYR.33) and were a majority in the 35-44 year old group. Ethnic minorities also tended to be younger than the faculty as a whole (Table TYR.34).

TABLE TYR. 32 Percentage and number of full-time permanent faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by age: Fall 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.

| Age | Percentage of full-time permanent faculty |  |  |  |  |  | Number of full-time permanent faculty |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 |  | 1995 | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| <30 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 535 | 281 | 314 | 361 | 358 |
| 30-34 | 18 | 15 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 1070 | 843 | 690 | 578 | 580 |
| 35-39 | 20 | 24 | 18 | 10 |  | 8 | 1188 | 1350 | 1130 | 722 | 633 |
| 40-44 | 15 | 18 | 24 | 21 |  | 14 | 892 | 1012 | 1506 | 1517 | 1044 |
| 45-49 | 13 | 16 | 18 | 22 |  | 22 | 773 | 900 | 1130 | 1589 | 1672 |
| 50-54 | 13 | 10 | 13 | 21 |  | 26 | 773 | 562 | 816 | 1517 | 1933 |
| 55-59 | 8 | 7 | 7 |  |  | 13 | 475 | 394 | 439 | 578 | 966 |
| >59 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 238 | 281 | 252 | 360 | 391 |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  | 00\% | 5944 | 5623 | 6277 | 7222 | 7578 |



FIGURE TYR. 32 Percentage distribution of full-time permanent faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by age: Fall 1995.

TABLE TYR. 33 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by age and by gender; also percentage women by age: Fall 1995.

| Age | Percentage of full-time permanent faculty |  | Percentage women by age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men |  |
| < 35 | 6 | 7 | 46 |
| 35-44 | 12 | 11 | 53 |
| 45-54 | 18 | 29 | 38 |
| > 54 | 4 | 14 | 23 |
| Overall | 40\% | 60\% | 40\% |



FIGURE TYR. 33 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by gender and age: Fall 1995.

TABLE TYR. 34 Percentage of ethnic minority full-time permanent faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by age: Fall 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.

|  | Percentage of ethnic minority full-time permanent faculty |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| $<35$ | 28 | 27 | 24 | 18 |
| $35-44$ | 38 | 46 | 43 | 26 |
| $45-54$ | 30 | 20 | 29 | 35 |
| $>54$ | 4 | 7 | 4 | 21 |
| Number of ethnic minority | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| full-time permanent faculty | 450 | 753 | 1155 | 948 |

## Demographics of Full-Time Permanent Faculty Newly Hired for 1995-1996

An estimated 350 people were newly hired as fulltime permanent facultymembers in mathematics programs at two-year colleges for the academic year 1995-1996 (Table TYR.35). In 1990, the corresponding number of full-time permanent hires was almost 600. In 1995, $30 \%$ were hired directly out of graduate school-about the same percentage as in 1990. However in 1995, $62 \%$ of the new full-time permanent
hires had previously taught in that program either full time or part time. This percentage is up from 47\% in 1990.

New faculty rarely come from secondary schools. This was not the case 20 years ago. A 1979 survey found that more than $60 \%$ of all mathematics faculty in two-year colleges had previously taught in secondary schools. (Robert McKelvey, Donald J. Albers, Shlomo Liebeskind, and Don O. Loftsgaarden, An Inquiry into the Graduate Training Needs of Two-Year

TABLE TYR. 35 Number and percentage of full-time permanent faculty newly hired for Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges for 1995-1996 by source.

| Source | Number of hires | Percentage of hires |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Graduate school <br> Part-time or full-time temporary <br> employment at your college | 68 | 30 |
| Teaching in a four-year college |  |  |
| or university |  |  |
| Teaching in another two-year | 63 | 19 |
| college | 48 | 18 |
| Unemployed <br> Nonacademic employment <br> Teaching in a secondary school | 33 | 14 |
| Total |  |  |

TABLE TYR. 36 Number and percentage of full-time permanent faculty newly hired for Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges for 1995-96 by highest degree.

| Highest degree | Number of hires | Percentage of hires |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Doctorate | 67 | 19 |
| Masters | 280 | 80 |
| Bachelors | 2 | 1 |
| Total | 350 | $100 \%$ |

College Teachers of Mathematics, Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium, 1979.)

Nineteen percent of the new hires had a doctorate, up from $2 \%$ in 1990 (Table TYR.36). As mentioned previously, this increase may reflect the relatively high unemployment rate for new PhDs in mathematics during 1990-1995. For 1995-1996, $44 \%$ of the new hires were women.

Table TYR. 37 shows that non-Hispanic whites made
up $82 \%$ of new hires for 1995-1996. Thirteen percent were Asian/Pacific Islander. African-Americans were $1 \%$ and Hispanics $3 \%$. Table TYR. 38 gives the ages of new hires. The average age was about 35 .

Note that the 1990 percentages include full-time temporary hires, but the 1995 percentages do not include this group. Information about gender, ethnicity, and age of new hires was not collected in previous surveys.

TABLE TYR. 37 Number and percentage of full-time permanent faculty newly hired for Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges for 1995-96 by ethnic group.

| Ethnic group | Number of hires | Percentage of hires |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Asian, Pacific Islander | 43 | 13 |
| Black(non-Hispanic) | 6 | 1 |
| Mexican American, Puerto <br> Rican or other Hispanic | 6 | 3 |
| White (non-Hispanic) | 288 | 81 |
| Other | 7 | 2 |
|  | 350 | $100 \%$ |

Percentage of hires who were women: 44\%

TABLE TYR. 38 Number and percentage of full-time permanent faculty newly hired for Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges for 1995-96 by age.

| Source | Number of hires | Percentage of hires |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 30 | 104 | 30 |
| $30-34$ | 127 | 36 |
| $35-39$ | 29 | 8 |
| $40-44$ | 47 | 13 |
| $45-49$ | 17 | 5 |
| $50-54$ | 14 | 4 |
| $55-59$ | 9 | 3 |
| 60 and over | 350 | $100 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |

## Outflow of Full-Time Permanent Faculty

During the academic year 1994-1995, 402 people left their full-time permanent two-year college mathematics teaching positions. For 1989-1990, the number who left was 317 and for 1984-1985 it was 449. In 1994-1995, about $68 \%$ left due to death or retire-
ment (Table TYR.39). The "other" category includes reasons for leaving that varied from disability to immigration problems to termination for poor performance. From Tables TYR. 32 and TYR. 38 , we can infer that people begin to leave in fairly large numbers after age 50 .

TABLE TYR. 39 Outflow of full-time permanent faculty from Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges for 1994-1995.

| Status | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Died or retired | 274 |
| Teaching in a four-year <br> college or university <br> Teaching in another two- <br> year college <br> Teaching in a secondary <br> school <br> Left for a nonacademic <br> position <br> Returned to graduate <br> school <br> Other <br> Unknown <br>  | 27 |

## Services Available to Mathematics Program Faculty

For the first time, the 1995 CBMS survey collected information on office and computer facilities available to faculty members. Table TYR. 40 gives the office
facilities available to full-time permanent faculty members. Sixty-one percent had a private fully enclosed office. Table TYR. 41 gives the ${ }_{\text {availability }}$ of desks to part-time faculty memberrs. Only $14 \%$ had their own desk.

TABLE TYR. 40 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by type of office: Fall 1995.

| Office | Percentage of full- <br> time permanent faculty |
| :--- | :---: |
| Private, fully enclosed office | 61 |
| Two-person, fully enclosed office | 27 |
| Other office facilities, including cubicles | 12 |
| No desk or office | $(1)$ |

(1) less than half of $1 \%$

TABLE TYR. 41 Percentage of part-time faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by desk availability: Fall 1995.

| Desk availability | Percentage of <br> part-time faculty |
| :--- | :---: |
| Have their own desk <br> Share a desk with one other <br> person <br> Share a desk with two or more <br> other people. | 14 |
| Have no desk |  |

Seventy-six percent of the full-time permanent faculty had a computer or terminal in their office (Table TYR.42), and $55 \%$ used e-mail.

The teaching of permanent full-time mathematics faculty members is periodically evaluated in $100 \%$ of two-year colleges. The most common method of eval-
uating teaching is evaluation formscompleted by students, which were used by $97 \%$ of two-year college mathematics programs. Observation of classes by faculty or administrators, self-evaluation, and evaluation of written course materials were also common (Table TYR.43).

TABLE TYR. 42 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by computer facilities available: Fall 1995.

| Computer facilities | Percentage of full-time <br> permanent faculty |
| :--- | :---: |
| Computer or terminal in office | 76 |
| No computer or terminal in office, but <br> shared computers or terminals nearby | 21 |
| No convenient access or no access at <br> all to computers or terminals | 3 |

Note: $55 \%$ of full-time permanent faculty use email.

TABLE TYR. 43 Percentage of the 1023 Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges using various methods of evaluating teaching: Fall 1995.

| Method of evaluating teaching | Percentage of Mathematics Programs <br> at two-year colleges using the method |
| :---: | :---: |
| Evaluation forms completed by students | 97 |
| Observation of classes by other faculty | 55 |
| members or department chair |  |
| Self-evaluation such as teaching portfolios | 44 |
| Observation of classes by division head (if | 43 |
| different from chair) or other administrator | 49 |
| Evaluation of written course material such |  |
| as lesson plans, syllabus, or exams | 10 |
| Other methods |  |

## Professional Activities of Full-Time <br> Permanent Two-Year College Mathematics Program Faculty

Some form of continuing education is required of full-time permanent faculty members in $20 \%$ of twoyear college mathematics programs. Typically, this continuing education consists of in-house activities. A few two-year colleges require sixcollege credits within a five- to seven-year period.

Full-time permanent two-year college mathematics teachers were generally active in professional activities (Table TYR.44). Seventy-three percent attended at
least one professional meeting during the 1994-1995 academic year. Another $64 \%$ regularly read articles in professional journals. One out of five gave a talk at a professional meeting.

Activities that have increased in the last twenty years include attending at least one professional meeting and giving a talk at a professional meeting. (The American Mathematical Association of Two-Year Colleges (AMATYC) was founded in 1975 and in 1996 had about 2200 members-not all of them two-year college teachers.) Activities that have declined in the last twenty years include taking an upper division or graduate mathematics class and publishing a textbook.

TABLE TYR. 44 Percentage of full-time permanent faculty in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges who participated in various professional activities during academic year 1994-1995. Historical data for 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995.

|  | Percentage of full-time permanent faculty |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| Attended at least one <br> professional meeting | 47 | 59 | 70 | 67 | 73 |
| Took an upper division or <br> graduate mathematics class | 21 | 22 | 31 | 15 | 12 |
| Attended a mini-course or <br> short course | na | na | 31 | 27 | 34 |
| Gave a talk at a professional <br> meeting | 9 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 20 |
| Regularly read articles in <br> professional journals | 47 | 57 | 72 | 57 | 64 |
| Had an expository article <br> published <br> Had a research article <br> published | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| Had a textbook published <br> Received a new grant from <br> outside their college <br> Received a new grant from <br> their college | na | na | 3 | 4 | 2 |

## Problems in Two-Year College Mathematics Programs

As was the case in 1985 and 1990, the need for remediation was classified as a major problem by more program heads than was any other problem (Table TYR.45). Low student motivation and low success rate in developmental/remedial courses were second and
third. Table TYR. 46 gives the percentage of program heads who rated each category as a major problem, somewhat of a problem, or minor/no problem in 1995. Problems not in the table that were mentioned by several mathematics program heads include inadequate pay for part-time faculty members, lack of office space for part-time faculty members, and a nonsupportive administration.

TABLE TYR. 45 Percentage of program heads classifying various problems as "major" in Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges: Fall 1985, 1990, 1995.

|  | Percentage of program heads <br> classifying problem as major |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Problem | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 |
| Too many students needing remediation | 60 | 65 | 63 |
| Low student motivation | na | 38 | 51 |
| Low success rate in develoomental/remedial courses | na | na | 34 |
| Faculty salaries too low | na | na | 31 |
| Need to use part-time faculty for too many courses | na | na | 30 |
| Inadequate computer facilities for student services | na | na | 23 |
| Inadequate computer facilities for faculty use | 27 | 7 | 22 |
| Inadequatetravel funds for faculty | 41 | 26 | 21 |
| Inadequate departmental support services (secretary, etc.) | 41 | 26 | 15 |
| Low success rate in transfer-level courses | na | na | 15 |
| Inadequate classroom space | 21 | 18 | 14 |
| Inadequate office space | 19 | 16 | 14 |
| Class sizes too large | 27 | 10 | 11 |
| Maintaining vitality of faculty | 39 | 22 | 11 |
| Staffing computer science courses | 34 | 8 | 8 |
| Coordinating mathematics courses with high schools | 19 | 9 | 8 |
| Too few students who intend to transfer actually do | na | na | 7 |
| Lack of curricular flexibility because of transfer requirements | na | 10 | 6 |
| Staffing statistics courses | na | na | 4 |

TABLE TYR. 46 Percentage of program heads of Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges classifying various problems by severity: Fall 1995.

|  | Percentage of program heads <br> classifying problems as |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Problem | minor or no <br> problem | somewhat <br> of a problem | major <br> problem |
| Too many students needing remediation | 7 | 30 | 63 |
| Low student motivation | 9 | 40 | 51 |
| Low success rate in developmental/remedial courses | 23 | 44 | 34 |
| Faculty salaries too low | 27 | 42 | 31 |
| Need to use part-time faculty for too many courses | 21 | 49 | 30 |
| Inadequate computer facilities for student services | 38 | 39 | 23 |
| Inadequate computer facilities for faculty use | 51 | 27 | 22 |
| Inadequate travel funds for faculty | 40 | 40 | 21 |
| Inadequate departmental support services (secretary, etc.) | 57 | 29 | 15 |
| Low success rate in transfer-level courses | 43 | 43 | 15 |
| Inadequate classroom space | 54 | 32 | 14 |
| Inadequate office space | 62 | 25 | 14 |
| Class sizes too large | 56 | 33 | 11 |
| Maintaining vitality of faculty | 53 | 35 | 11 |
| Staffing computer science courses | 73 | 19 | 8 |
| Coordinating mathematics courses with high schools | 73 | 25 | 8 |
| Too few students who intend to transfer actually do | 67 | 26 | 7 |
| Lack of curricular flexibility because of transfer requirements | 70 | 25 | 6 |
| Staffing statistics courses | 71 | 26 | 4 |

## Administration of Mathematics Programs in Two-Year Colleges

Seventy-three percent of two-year colleges operate under the semester system with almost all of the rest on the quarter system (Table TYR.47). Forty-three percent of mathematics programs were administered as "departments." A division structure, where mathematics is combined with science or other disciplines, was found in over half of two-year colleges with mathematics programs (Table TYR.48). As a result, the person who filled out the survey form, who was supposed
to be "the person who is directly in charge of the mathematics program or department" varied from a regular mathematics faculty member to a mathematics department chair to a person from the humanities in charge of an arts and sciences division. On average, the person who filled out the form had been in charge of the mathematics program for six years. Forty-two percent had been in charge for three years or less.

In 30\% of two-year colleges, remedial/developmental mathematics courses were administered separately from the mathematics department/program.

TABLE TYR. 47 Percentage of Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by type of academic calendar: Fall 1995.

| Academic <br> calendar | Percentage of Mathematics <br> Programs at two-year colleges |
| :--- | :---: |
| Semester | 73 |
| Trimester | 0 |
| Quarter | 26 |
| Other | 1 |
|  | $100 \%$ |

TABLE TYR. 48 Percentage of Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges by type of administrative structure: Fall 1995.

| Administrative structure | Percentage of Mathematics Programs at two-year colleges |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics department | 31 |
| Mathematics and computer science department | 12 |
| Mathematics and science department or division | 34 |
| No department or division structure | 1 |
| Other (mostly department or division with mathematics and other disciplines) | 22 |
|  | 100\% |

Appendix I
Enrollment in Department Courses in Four-Year Colleges, Universities:
Fall 1970, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995
FALL 1995
TABLE A-1
Enrollment in Mathematics Courses (thousands)

|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1995 Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Math Dept. |  |  |  | Stat. Dept. |  |  |  |
| COURSES | 1970 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 | Univ. (PhD) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ. } \\ & \text { (MA) } \end{aligned}$ | Coll. <br> (BA) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Subtotal } \\ \text { Math } \\ \text { Dept. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Univ. <br> (PhD) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ. } \\ & \text { (MA) } \end{aligned}$ | Coll. <br> (BA) | Subtotal <br> Stat <br> Dept. |
| Remedial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Arithmetic | 4 | 14 | 15 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Gen. Math (Basic Skills) | 19 | 49 | 31 | 17 | 13 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| 3. High School Elem. Alg. | 25 | 74 | 75 | 68 | 56 | 14 | 25 | 17 | 56 |  |  |  |  |
| 4. High School Int. Alg. | 50 | 104 | 130 | 170 | 131 | 35 | 54 | 42 | 131 |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Other Remedial | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 15 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal <br> Remedial | 98 | 241 | 251 | 261 | 222 | 60 | 84 | 78 | 222 |  |  |  |  |
| Precalculus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Coll. Alg. | 92 | 160 | 150 | 202 | 195 | 70 | 68 | 56 | 194 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 7. Trigonometry | 31 | 38 | 37 | 37 | 42 | 22 | 12 | 8 | 42 |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Comb. Coll. Alg. \& Trig. | 113 | 61 | 78 | 35 | 45 | 13 | 12 | 20 | 45 |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Elem. Function Pre Calc | 38 | 72 | 74 | 72 | 83 | 36 | 18 | 29 | 83 |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Anal. Geo. | 10 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 11. Math for Lib. Arts | 74 | 63 | 59 | 53 | 74 | 18 | 24 | 32 | 74 |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Finite Math | 47 | 95 | 88 | 80 | 59 | 24 | 18 | 17 | 59 |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Bus. Math | 18 | 48 | 37 | 37 | 40 | 18 | 15 | 7 | 40 |  |  |  |  |
| 14. Math for Ele. Sch. Teachers | 89 | 44 | 54 | 62 | 59 | 14 | 21 | 24 | 59 |  |  |  |  |
| 15. Other Precal | 30 | 14 | 13 | 8 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal Precalculus | 542 | 603 | 593 | 592 | 614 | 222 | 193 | 198 | 613 | 1 |  |  |  |

NOTE: Read numbers in braces from top to bottom. For example, $\left\{{ }_{0}^{2}\right.$ is 20 (in thousands). The numbers represent total enrollment for all courses included within the upper and lower horizontal lines.

FALL 1995
TABLE A-1

Enrollment in Mathematics Courses (thousands)


Enrollment in Mathematics Courses (thousands)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1995 Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Math Dept. |  |  |  | Stat. Dept. |  |  |  |
| COURSES | 1970 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 |  | 1995 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ. } \\ & (\mathrm{PhD}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ. } \\ & \text { (MA) } \end{aligned}$ | Coll. <br> (BA) | Subtotal <br> Math <br> Dept. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ. } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ. } \\ & \text { (MA) } \end{aligned}$ | Coll. <br> (BA) | Subtotal <br> Stat <br> Dept. |
|  |  |  |  | Ma Sc <br> Dept. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stat } \\ & \text { Dept. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28. Combinatorics | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 29. Actuarial Math. | N/A | N/A | N/A | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 30. Logic/Foundation of Math. | 14 | 4 | 6 | 2 |  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 31. Discrete <br> Structures | N/A | N/A | 7 | 3 |  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 32. History of Mathematics | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 33. Geometry | 13 | 4 | 7 | 8 |  | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| 34. Math. for Sec. Sch. Teachers | 7 | 1 | 5 | 4 |  | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 35. Adv. Calc. I, II, Real Analysis | 31 | 15 | 19 | 16 |  | 11 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 36. Adv. Math. for Engr. \& Physics | 12 | 14 | 10 | 10 |  | 8 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| 37. Adv. Linear Alg. | \{ 5$\}$ | $\{8\}$ |  | $\{9\}$ |  | 4 | 3 | 1 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 38. Vector Anal. |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 1 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 39. Adv. Diff. Equations | N/A | 1 | 4 | 2 |  | 3 | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 40. Partial Diff. Equations | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 41. Numerical Analysis | N/A | 10 | 13 | 8 |  | 6 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| 42. App. Math. (Math. Modeling) | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 |  | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 43. Complex Variables | 7 | 3 | 5 | 4 |  | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 44. Topology | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 45. Senior Sem./Ind. Study in Math. | N/A | 4 | 2 | 2 |  | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 46. Other Adv. Level Courses | 7 | 6 | 7 | 11 |  | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 |  |  |  |  |

FALL 1995
TABLE A-1

Enrollment in Mathematics Courses (thousands)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1995 Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Math Dept. |  |  |  |  | Stat. Dept. |  |  |
| COURSES | 1970 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 |  | 1995 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ. } \\ & (\mathrm{PhD}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ. } \\ & \text { (MA) } \end{aligned}$ | Coll. <br> (BA) | Subtotal <br> Math <br> Dept. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ. } \\ & (\mathrm{PhD}) \end{aligned}$ | Univ. Coll. <br> (MA) (BA) |  | Subtotal <br> Stat <br> Dept. |
|  |  |  |  | Ma Sc <br> Dept. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stat } \\ & \text { Dept. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adv. Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58. Int. to Oper. <br> Research |  |  |  | 4 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 59. Int. to Lin. Programming | $\{N / A\}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 2 \\ \} \end{array}\right\}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l} 1 \\ 0 \end{array}\right.$ | 3 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 60. Other Oper. <br> Research |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal Advanced Math | 135 | 91 | 138 | 119 | 1 | 96 | 41 | 25 | 30 | 96 |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics Total | 1188 | 1525 | 1619 | 1619 | 2 | 1471 | 587 | 426 | 456 | 1469 | 2 |  |  |  |

FALL 1995
TABLEA-2

Enrollment in Statistics Courses (thousands)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1995 Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Math Dept. |  |  |  |  | Stat. Dept. |  |  |
| COURSES | 1970 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 |  | 1995 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ. } \\ & (\mathrm{PhD}) \end{aligned}$ | Univ. <br> (MA) | Coll, <br> (BA) | Sub <br> total <br> Math <br> Dept. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ. } \\ & (\mathrm{PhD}) \end{aligned}$ |  | Coll. <br> (BA) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Sub } \\ \text { total } \\ \text { Stat } \\ \text { Dept. } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Ma Sc <br> Dept. | Stat <br> Dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Statistics Courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elem. Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47. Ele. Stat. (no Calc. Prereq. | 36 | 87 | 115 | 61 | 23 | 132 | 17 | 29 | 51 | 97 | 33 | 2 |  | 35 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 48. Prob. \& } \\ & \text { Stat. (no } \\ & \text { Cal. Prereq.) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 21 | 17 | 29 | 26 | 7 | 26 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 18 | 7 | 1 |  | 8 |
| 49. Other Elem. Level | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 6 |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |
| Subtotal Elem. Level | 57 | 104 | 144 | 87 | 30 | 164 | 23 | 35 | 57 | 115 | 46 | 3 |  | 49 |
| Upper Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50. Math. Stat. (Calc. Prereq.) | 16 | 16 | 24 | 13 | 4 | 16 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 12 | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| 51. Probability (Calc. Prereq.) | 11 | 13 | 15 | 11 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 2 |  |  | 2 |
| 52. Stochastic Processes | 0 | N/A | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53. Appl. Stat. Analysis | 7 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| 54. Design \& Anal. of Experiments | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| 55. Regression (and Correlation) | N/A | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| 56. Sen. Seminar/ <br> Ind. Studies in Stat. | N/A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57. Other Up. Lev. Statistics | 1 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 5 |  |  | 5 |
| Subtotal Upper Level | 36 | 43 | 63 | 38 | 14 | 44 | 10 | 7 | 11 | 28 | 16 |  |  | 16 |
| Statistics <br> Total | 93 | 147 | 207 | 125 | 44 | 208 | 33 | 42 | 68 | 143 | 62 | 3 |  | 65 |

FALL 1995
TABLE A-3

Enrollment in Computer Science Courses ${ }^{(a)}$ (thousands)

|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1995 Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Math Dept. |  |  |  |  | Stat. Dept. |  |  |
| COURSES | 1970 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 | Univ. (PhD) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ. } \\ & \text { (MA) } \end{aligned}$ | Coll. (BA) | Subtotal Math Dept. | Univ. <br> (PhD) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ. } \\ & \text { (MA) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coll. } \\ & \text { (BA) } \end{aligned}$ | Subtotal Stat Dept. |
| Computer Science Courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61. Computers \& Society | N/A | N/A | 69 | 34 | 14 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 62. Intro. to Software Packages | N/A | N/A | N/A | 28 | 18 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 17 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 63. Issues in Comp. Sci. | N/A | N/A | N/A | 1 | 6 |  |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 64. Com. Prog. I } \\ & \left(C 1011^{\prime} 91\right)^{b} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 38 | 154 | 129 | 33 | 17 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 17 |  |  |  |  |
| 65. Com. Prog. II $\left(C 102^{\prime} 91\right)^{b}$ | N/A | 32 | 28 | 8 | 5 |  | 2 | 3 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 66. Adv. Prog. \& Data Str. | N/A | N/A | 15 | 5 | 4 |  | 1 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 67. Database Man. Systems | N/A | N/A | 7 | 3 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 68. Discrete <br> Mathematics | N/A | N/A | 12 | 3 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 69. Other lower level service courses | N/A | N/A | 90 | 19 | 7 |  | 1 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal Lower Level | 38 | 186 | 350 | 134 | 75 | 4 | 18 | 52 | 74 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Middle Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70. Intro. to Comp. Systems | 26 | 16 | 18 | 2 | 6 |  | 1 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| 71. Assembly Lang. Prog. | N/A | N/A | 24 | 6 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 72. Intro. to Comp. Organization | 3 | 12 | 14 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 73. Intro. to File Processing (CS5) | N/A | 7 | 10 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74. Other Mid. Level Courses | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 4 |  | 1 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal MiddleLevel | 29 | 35 | 66 | 12 | 13 |  | 3 | 10 | 13 |  |  |  |  |

(a) 1970, 1980 and 1985 enrollments are combined from both departments of Mathematical Sciences and separate departments of Computer Science; 1990 and 1995 enrollments are from Mathematical Sciences Departments only.
(b) Refers to courses described in Computing Curriculum 1991, Report of the ACM/IEEE-CS Joint Curriculum Task Force, ACM 1991 Survey.

FALL 1995
TABLE A-3

Enrollment in Computer Science Courses ${ }^{(a)}$ (thousands)

|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1995 Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Math Dept. |  |  |  |  | Stat. Dept. |  |  |
| COURSES | 1970 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ. } \\ & \text { (PhD) } \end{aligned}$ | Univ. (MA) | Coll. (BA) | Subtotal Math Dept. | Univ. (PhD) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ. } \\ & \text { (MA) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coll. } \\ & (\mathrm{BA}) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 75. All Upper Level Courses Combined | 39 | 100 | 142 | 34 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Computer Science | 106 | 321 | 558 | 180 | 100 | 6 | 25 | 68 | 99 |  | 1 |  |  |

(a) 1970, 1980 and 1985 enrollments are combined from both departments of Mathematical Sciences and separate departments of Computer Science; 1990 and 1995 enrollments are from Mathematical Sciences Departments only.

## Appendix II

## Sampling and Estimation Procedures

## Sampling Procedures

The sampling frame for the 1995 CBMS survey consisted of those two-year and four-year colleges and universities in the U.S. that teach undergraduate mathematics classes. There are 2419 such institutions. Sources used in constructing the sampling frame included (1) the sampling frame for the 1990 CBMS survey; (2) the 1995 Mathematical Sciences Professional Directory published by the American Mathematical Society; (3) the HEP 95 Higher Education Directory] (4) Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education; (5) A Classification of Institutions of Higher Education, 1994 edition, The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching; (6) Schools Offering Degrees in Statistics in the United States and Canada, 1995 edition, American Statistical Association; and (7) personal contacts. Two-year pri-vate-for-profit schools were not included in this study.

Institutions were classified according to the highest degree, doctoral (PhD), master's (MA), or bachelor's (BA), offeredby the main mathematics department or as a twoyear college. The abbreviations in parentheses are generic and stand for any form of doctorate, master's, or bachelor's in mathematics, respectively. This is true throughout the report. This is the same classification used for the 1990 CBMS survey. It is also the same classification used by the AMS-IMS-MAA Data Committee (except for the addition of the two-year colleges) in conducting its annual surveys of departments of mathematics and departments of statistics which are published in the

Notices oftheAmericanMathematicalSociety. This classification is quite appropriate for surveying mathematics departments, and it also enables comparisons between the annual surveys and the CBMS survey.

Four-year colleges and universities were divided into 20 strata according to control (public or private), the classification mentioned above (PhD, MA, and BA), and institutional enrollment. Two-year colleges were handled separately from four-year colleges and universities. Two-year colleges were divided into 10 strata based on control (public or private) and institutional enrollment. Standard statistical procedures were used to draw a stratified random sample of 350 four-year colleges and universities and 250 two-year colleges.

To divide the sample size of 350 among the 20 strata in the four-year college and university population, the variable "institutional enrollment" was used. For an optimal allocation, sample sizes for each stratum must be proportional to $N_{i} * \sigma_{i}$, where $N_{i}$ is the number of schools in stratum $i$ and $\sigma_{i}$ is the standard deviation of enrollments in stratum $i$. Since institutional enrollment was also used in forming the strata, schools of similar size are found in each stratum. Strata that contain large schools have more variability in enrollments (larger $\sigma_{i}$ 's) than those containing small schools and hence receive a larger portion of the sample. Thus schools with larger enrollments were more likely to be in the sample than schools with smaller enrollments, and this is seen in Table A2.2. Similar remarks can be made for the two-year colleges.

TABLE A2.1 Number of strata, number of schools and sample sizes by type of school: Fall 1995.

|  | Number of <br> strata | Population <br> (number of schools) | Sample <br> (number of schools) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Universities (PhD) | 7 | 169 | 112 |
| Universities (MA) | 5 | 242 | 120 |
| Four-year colleges (BA) | 8 | 985 | 117 |
| Two-year colleges | 10 | 1023 | 250 |
| Total | 30 | 2419 | 599 |

Four-year colleges and universities are classified according to the highest degree offered by the main Mathematics Department. The four-year college (BA) group contains all 4-year schools that offer BA Degrees in Mathematics or offer no degree in Mathematics.

Two separate questionnaires were used, one for fouryear colleges and universities and one for two-year colleges. Copies of these two questionnaires are found in Appendices IV and V. Questionnaires were mailed to the main mathematics department or mathematics program
at each school in the sample. A statistics department or an additional mathematics department were included in the sample only if the main mathematics department was in the sample. Summary information for the statistics departments is found in Table A2.2.

TABLE A2.2 Population and sample sizes for Statistics Departments at universities by type of school*: Fall 1995.

|  | Population <br> (number of departments) | Sample size |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Universities (PhD) | 63 | 44 |
| Universities (MA) | 8 | 6 |
| Total | 71 | 50 |

> * Schools are classified according to the highest degree of the main Mathematics Department.

Table A2.3 contains a further breakdown of the sampling frame giving population sizes, sample sizes, number of respondents, and response rates. After comparing results in this survey with comparable
results from various other surveys and censuses and generally finding good agreement, there is good reason to believe that the departments that responded to this survey are very representative of the population.

TABLE A2.3 Population sizes, sample sizes, respondents and response rates by type of school and department: Fall 1995

| Departments | Number of departments | Number in the sample | Respondents | Response rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University (PhD) <br> Mathematics <br> Statistics | $\begin{aligned} & 169 \\ & 63 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 112 \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \% \\ & 68 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| University (MA) <br> Mathematics <br> Statistics | $\begin{gathered} 242 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 120 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \% \\ & 83 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Four-year colleges (BA) <br> Mathematics | 985 | 117 | 67 | 57\% |
| Total Mathematics Departments Total Statistics Departments | $\begin{gathered} 1396 \\ 71 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 349 \\ 50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 231 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \% \\ & 70 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Two-year colleges <br> Mathematics Programs | 1023 | 250 | 163 | 65\% |

## Estimation Procedures

Course enrollments and other information found in this report were projected from the sample to totals for all institutions in the sampling frame. In nearly all cases, the results are for Fall 1995. All projected enrollments in courses in four-year colleges and universities are based on the enrollments in courses taught in the departments sampled in this survey. No attempt was made to collect data on enrollments in mathematics courses that were taught by other departments at the institutions. A limited attempt was made at two-year colleges to estimate such enrollments, and these enrollments are reported separately in this report.

Projections were made using standard procedures for stratified random samples. For example, if stra-
tum $\boldsymbol{l}$ contains $N_{i}$ schools of which $n_{i}$ schools respond with a total enrollment of $E_{i}$ for Course A, then the projected total enrollment in Course A in stratum i is given by:

$$
\left(N_{i} / n_{i}\right) * E_{i}
$$

Totals of interest are then computed by adding estimates for appropriate strata.

Data from any additional mathematics departments at a sampled school were combined with data from the main mathematics department before any projections were made. The data and projections for statistics departments were kept apart from mathematics departments and are reported separately in this report.

## Appendix III

## List of Responders to the Survey

## Universities with PhD Programs in Mathematics

Adelphi University
Mathematics \& Computer Science
American University
Mathematics \& Statistics
Boston University
Mathematics
Bowling Green State University
Mathematics \& Statistics
Brigham Young University
Mathematics
Brigham Young University Statistics
Brown University Mathematics
Brown University
Division of Applied Mathematics
Bryn Mawr College Mathematics
California Institute of Technology Mathematics
California Institute of Technology Control \& Dynamical Systems
Catholic University of America Mathematics
Clarkson University
Mathematics \& Computer Science
Clemson University
Mathematical Sciences
Colorado School of Mines Mathematics \& Computer Science
Colorado State University Mathematics
Colorado State University Statistics
Columbia University Statistics
Cornell University Operations Research \& Industrial Engineering School
Cornell University Biometrics
Duke University Mathematics

Emory University
Mathematics \& Computer Science
Florida State University Mathematics
Florida State University Statistics

George Washington University
Mathematics
George Washington University Statistics
Georgia Institute of Technology
School of Mathematics
Harvard University Statistics
Illinois State University Mathematics
Indiana University-Bloomington Mathematics
Indiana University-Purdue University at Indianapolis Mathematical Sciences
Iowa State University Mathematics

Iowa State University Statistics
Kansas State University Statistics
Louisiana State University-Baton Rouge Mathematics
Michigan State University Mathematics
Michigan State University Statistics \& Probability
Montana State University Mathematical Sciences
New Mexico State University Mathematical Sciences
New York University-Courant Institute Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences
North Dakota State University Mathematics

North Dakota State University Computer Science \& Operations Research

## Northern Illinois University

Mathematical Sciences

Ohio State University
Mathematics
Ohio State University Statistics
Ohio University-Athens Mathematics
Old Dominion University
Mathematics \& Statistics
Oregon State University
Mathematics
Pennsylvania State University-University Park
Mathematics
Pennsylvania State University-University Park Statistics
Polytechnic University
Mathematics \& Physics
Portland State University
Mathematical Sciences
Purdue University-West Lafayette Mathematics
Rice University Computational \& Applied Mathematics
Rice University Statistics
Southern Illinois University-Carbondale Mathematics
Southern Methodist University Mathematics
Southern Methodist University Statistical Science
SUNY at Buffalo Mathematics
Syracuse University Mathematics
Temple University Statistics

Texas A\&M University-College Station Statistics
Texas Tech University Mathematics
University of Alabama in Birmingham Mathematics
University of Alabama in Huntsville Mathematical Sciences

University of California-Davis Mathematics
University of California-Irvine Mathematics
University of California-Los Angeles Mathematics
University of California-Riverside Mathematics

University of California-Riverside Statistics
University of California-Santa Cruz Mathematics
University of Denver Statistics \& Operations Research
University of Florida Statistics
University of Georgia Statistics
University of Illinois at Chicago Mathematics, Statistics \& Computer Science
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Mathematics
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Statistics
University of Kansas Mathematics
University of Maryland-College Park Mathematics
University of Massachusetts at Amherst Mathematics \& Statistics
University of Memphis Mathematical Sciences
University of Michigan-Ann Arbor Mathematics
University of Minnesota-Minneapolis School of Mathematics
University of Minnesota-Minneapolis School of Statistics
University of Mississippi Mathematics
University of Missouri at Columbia Mathematics
University of Missouri at Columbia Statistics
University of Missouri at Kansas City Mathematics \& Statistics
University of Montana Mathematical Sciences
University of Nebraska-Lincoln Mathematics \& Statistics
University of New Hampshire Mathematics
University of New Mexico Mathematics \& Statistics
University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill Mathematics
University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill Statistics
University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill Operations Research

## University of Northern Colorado Mathematical Sciences

University of Notre Dame Mathematics
University of Pennsylvania Mathematics
University of Pennsylvania Statistics
University of Rochester Statistics
University of South Carolina-Columbia Statistics
University of Southwestern Louisiana Mathematics
University of Southwestern Louisiana Statistics
University of Texas at Arlington Mathematics
University of Toledo Mathematics
University of Utah Mathematics
University of Virginia Mathematics
University of Virginia Institute of Applied Mathematics \& Mechanics
University of Virginia Division of Statistics
University of Washington Mathematics
University of Wisconsin-Madison Mathematics
University of Wisconsin-Madison Statistics
University of Wyoming Statistics
Washington University Mathematics
Western Michigan University Mathematics \& Statistics

## Universities with Master's Programs in Mathematics

Appalachian State University Mathematical Sciences
Arkansas State University Mathematics \& Computer Science
Ball State University Mathematical Sciences
Baylor University Mathematics
Bucknell University Mathematics

California Polytechnic State University-San Luis Obispo
Mathematics
California Polytechnic State University-San
Luis Obispo
Statistics
California State Polytechnic University-
Pomona
Mathematics
California State University-Fresno Mathematics
California State University-Fullerton Mathematics
California State University-Long Beach Mathematics
California State University-Los Angeles Economics \& Statistics
California State University-Northridge Mathematics
California State University-Sacramento Mathematics \& Statistics
Central Missouri State University Mathematics \& Computer Science
Central Washington University
Mathematics
Chadron State College
Mathematical Sciences
Cleveland State University
Mathematics
College of the Atlantic Mathematics
College of William \& Mary Mathematics
Creighton University Mathematics \& Computer Science
CUNY-Herbert H Lehman College
Mathematics \& Computer Science
CUNY-Queens CoUege Mathematics
East Carolina University Mathematics
Eastern Michigan University Mathematics
Fairleigh Dickinson University-Teaneck
Mathematics \& Computer Science
Fordham University Mathematics
Fort Hays State University Mathematics \& Computer Science
George Mason University Applied \& Engineering Statistics
George Mason University Operations Research \& Engineering

Indiana State University
Mathematics \& Computer Science
Indiana University-Purdue University
at Fort Wayne
Mathematical Sciences
Iona College
Mathematics
Jacksonville University
Mathematics
James Madison University Mathematics
Kutztown University of Pennsylvania Mathematics \& Computer Science
Loyola University of Chicago Mathematical Sciences
Marist College
Computer Science \& Mathematics Division
Miami University
Mathematics \& Statistics
Millersville University of Pennsylvania Mathematics
Mills College
Mathematics \& Computer Science
Mississippi College
Mathematics \& Computer Science
National-Louis University
Mathematics
Norfolk State University Mathematics
North Carolina A \& T State University Mathematics
Northern Arizona University Mathematics
Pacific Lutheran University Mathematics
Rivier College Mathematics \& Computer Science
Roosevelt University School of Science \& Mathematics
Saint Cloud State University Mathematics
Saint Cloud State University Statistics
San Francisco State University Mathematics
San Jose State University Mathematics \& Computer Science
Santa Clara University Mathematics
South Dakota State University Mathematics \& Statistics
Southeast Missouri State University Mathematics

Southern Illinois University-Edwardsville Mathematics \& Statistics
Southwest Missouri State University Mathematics
Southwest Texas State University Mathematics
Stephen F Austin State University Mathematics \& Statistics
SUNY-College at Potsdam Mathematics
Tennessee Technological University Mathematics
Texas A\&M University-Corpus Christi Computing \& Mathematical Science
Texas Woman's University
Mathematics \& Computer Science
Trenton State College Mathematics \& Statistics
Trinity College
Mathematics
Troy State University-Dothan
Mathematics \& Science
University of Akron
Mathematical Sciences
University of Arkansas at Little Rock Mathematics \& Statistics
University of Central Florida Mathematics
University of Louisville Mathematics
University of Maryland-Eastern Shore Mathematics \& Computer Science
University of Massachusetts at Dartmouth Mathematics
University of Massachusetts at Lowell Mathematical Sciences
University of Minnesota-Duluth Mathematics \& Statistics
University of Missouri at St Louis Mathematics \& Computer Science
University of Nebraska-Omaha Mathematics
University of Nevada-Las Vegas Mathematical Sciences
University of Nevada-Reno Mathematics
University of New Orleans Mathematics
University of North Carolina-Charlotte Mathematics
University of Northern Iowa Mathematics

University of Southern Mississippi
Mathematics
University of Southern Mississippi Computer Science \& Statistics
University of Texas-Pan American Mathematics \& Computer Science
University of Vermont
Mathematics \& Statistics
University of West Alabama Mathematics
University of Wisconsin-Oshkosh Mathematics
Virginia Commonwealth University Mathematical Sciences
Webster University Mathematics \& Computer Science
Worcester Polytechnic Institute Mathematical Sciences
Wright State University Mathematics \& Statistics
Youngstown State University Mathematics

## Colleges with No Graduate Programs in Mathematics

## Alverno College <br> Mathematics

Arkansas Technical University
Mathematics
Augsburg College
Mathematics
Barry University
Mathematics \& Computer Science
Bentley College Mathematical Sciences

## Berea College

Mathematics
California State University-Dominguez Mathematics
Castleton State College Mathematics
Concord College
Mathematical Sciences
Concordia University
Mathematics
Concordia University-Wisconsin
Division of Sciences
CUNY-College of Staten Island Mathematics
East Stroudsburg University of
Pennsylvania
Mathematics
Eastern Connecticut State University
Mathematics \& Computer Science

Eastern Oregon State College
Mathematics \& Computer Science
Florida A \& M University
Mathematics
Furman University
Mathematics
Georgetown University Mathematics
GMI Engineering \& Management Institute Science \& Mathematics
Hollins College Mathematics \& Statistics
Kentucky Wesleyan College
Mathematics, Physics \& Computer Science
Lander College
Division of Mathematics \& Computer Science
Linfield College
Mathematics
Longwood College
Mathematics \& Computer Science
Loyola Marymount University Mathematics
Macalester College
Mathematics \& Computer Science
Marian College
Mathematics \& Natural Science
Mayville State University Mathematics
Montana State University-Northern
Science \& Mathematics
Nebraska Wesleyan University
Mathematics \& Computer Science
New York Institute of Technology-Main
Campus
Mathematics
Norwich University
Mathematics
Olivet Nazarene University Mathematics
Ottawa University
Mathematics \& Physics
Otterbein College
Mathematical Sciences
Pennsylvania State University-Capital
Mathematical Sciences \& Computer Science
Pepperdine University
Division of Natural Sciences
Rockhurst College
Mathematics, Computer Science \& Physics
Sacred Heart University
Mathematics \& Science
Saint Olaf College
Mathematics

## Siena College

Mathematics
Slippery Rock University of Pennsylvania Mathematics
Southeastern Louisiana University Mathematics
Southern Oregon State College Mathematics
Southern Utah University Mathematical Sciences
Suffolk University Mathematics \& Computer Science
SUNY-College of Technology Mathematics
SUNY-College at Purchase Mathematics
The Citadel Mathematics \& Computer Science
University of Alaska-Anchorage Mathematical Sciences
University of Houston-Downtown Computing \& Mathematical Sciences
University of Indianapolis Mathematics
University of Maine-Machias Division of Sciences
University of Maryland-University College Computer \& Mathematical Sciences

## University of Michigan-Dearborn

 Mathematics \& StatisticsUniversity of North Alabama Mathematics \& Computer Science
University of San Francisco Mathematics
University of Scranton Mathematics
University of Tennessee-Chattanooga Mathematics
University of the South Mathematics \& Computer Science
University of Wisconsin-Green Bay Mathematics
University of Wisconsin-La Crosse Mathematics
University of Wisconsin-River Falls Mathematics \& Computer Systems
Washburn University of Topeka Mathematics \& Statistics
Wayne State College Division of Mathematics \& Science
West Georgia College Mathematics \& Computer Science

West Liberty State College
Mathematics, Physics \& Physical Science

## Two-Year Respondents

American River College
Mathematics, Engineering \& Drafting
Ancilla College
Division of Science \& Mathematics

## Andrew College

Mathematics
Angelina College
Science \& Mathematics Division
Anne Arundel Community College
Mathematics Division
Antelope Valley College
Mathematics \& Science Division
Arkansas State University-Beebe Mathematics \& Science Division
Baltimore City Community College Mathematics
Beaufort County Community College Mathematics
Bee County College
Mathematics \& Physics Division
Belleville Area College Mathematics
Bladen Community College Mathematics
Blue Mountain Community College Mathematics
Brookhaven College
Mathematics Program
Broward Community College Mathematics
Bucks County Community College Mathematics \& Computer Science
Cabrillo College
Mathematics, Science \& Engineering Division
Cayuga Community College
Mathematics, Engineering, Drafting \& Design
Community College of Chicago-Wilbur
Wright CoUege
Mathematics
Central Florida Community College Mathematics \& Science Division
Chaffey CoUege
Mathematics
Charles Stewart Mott Community College Division of Science \& Mathematics
Cincinnati State Technical \& Community College Mathematics

City College of San Francisco Mathematics
Clackamas Community College Mathematics
College of Lake County Engineering, Mathematics \& Physical Science
Collin County Community College Mathematics
Community College of Allegheny CountySouth Campus
Mathematics
Copiah/Lincoln Junior College
Mathematics
Cosumnes River College
Mathematics Program
Cumberland County College Mathematics, Physical Science, Technology
CUNY-Borough of Manhattan Community College
Mathematics Program
CUNY-Bronx Community College
Mathematics \& Computer Science
CUNY-Kingsborough Community College Mathematics \& Computer Science
CUNY-New York City Technical College Mathematics
CUNY-Queensborough Community College
Mathematics \& Computer Science
Darton College
Science \& Mathematics
Des Moines Area Community College Mathematics
Diablo Valley College
Mathematics \& Computer Science
Dixie College
Mathematics
Eastern Arizona College
Mathematics
Eastfield College
Business \& Mathematics Division
Edison State Community College Mathematics Program
Edmonds Community College Mathematics
El Camino College
Mathematics \& Physical Science Division
El Paso Community College
Arts \& Sciences Division
Essex Community College
Mathematics \& Computer Science
Frederick Community College
Mathematics, Computer Science \&
Engineering

Genesee Community College
Mathematics \& Science Division
Georgia Military College Natural Science \& Mathematics
Gloucester County College
Mathematics, Science, \& Technologies
Golden West College
Mathematics
Grand Rapids Community College
Mathematics Division
Grayson County College Mathematics
Green River Community College Division of Mathematics
Harrisburg Area Community College Mathematics, Engineering \& Technology Division
Hartnell College
Mathematics \& Science
Haywood Community College
Mathematics Program
Houston Community College-Northwest Campus
Mathematics
Houston Community College-Southeast Campus Mathematics
Jamestown Community College Mathematics \& Computer Science
Jefferson Community College Natural Science \& Mathematics Division
Jefferson Davis State Junior College Mathematics
Johnson County Community College Mathematics
Kansas City Kansas Community College Mathematics \& Sciences
Kellogg Community College Science \& Mathematics

Kishwaukee College Mathematics
LaGuardia Community College Mathematics
Lake Michigan College Mathematics \& Science
Lakeland Community College Mathematics Program
Lakewood Community College Mathematics
Lane Community College Mathematics

Laney College
Mathematics

Laredo Community College Mathematics
Las Positas College Mathematics Program
Leeward Community College Mathematics \& Natural Science
Lorain County Community College Division of Science \& Mathematics
Lord Fairfax Community College Instructional Services Division
Los Angeles City College Mathematics
Los Angeles Trade Technical College Mathematics \& Science
Los Medanos College Mathematics
Louisiana State University-Eunice Mathematics Program
Macomb Community College Mathematics
Massasoit Community College Mathematics
Mayland Community College Mathematics
Metropolitan Community College Mathematics Program
Miami Dade Community College North Mathematics \& Physics
Middlesex County College Mathematics
Milwaukee Area Technical College Mathematics
Mineral Area College Mathematics
Montgomery College-Rockville Campus Mathematics
Montgomery County Community College Mathematics, Science \& Technology Division
Moraine Park Technical College Mathematics Program
Mount Aloysius College Microcomputer, Science \& Mathematics
Mount Hood Community College Mathematics Division
Mount San Antonio College
Mathematics, Astronomy \& Computer Science
Napa Valley College Mathematics
Navarro College Mathematics Program
New Hampshire Technical College at Laconia Mathematics Program

Newbury College
Arts, Sciences \& Communication
North Harris College
Mathematics
North Lake College
Mathematics Program
Northern Oklahoma College
Mathematics Program
Northern Virginia Community College
Mathematics, Science \& Engineering Division
Northwest College
Mathematics
Northwest Shoals Community College
Mathematics Program
Odessa College
Mathematics \& Engineering
Oklahoma City Community College Mathematics
Oklahoma State Univiversity-Oklahoma City
Mathematics
Orange Coast College Mathematics
Palm Beach Community College
Business \& Mathematics Division
Palomar College
Mathematics
Parkland College
Mathematics \& Computer Science
Patrick Henry Community College Mathematics
Pennsylvania State University-Berks Academic Affairs
Pennsylvania State University-Ogontz Mathematics
Pensacola Junior College
Mathematics
Phoenix College
Mathematics
Pima Community College/West Campus Mathematics
Portland Community College Mathematics
Prince George's Community College Mathematics \& Engineering
Rancho Santiago College Mathematics
Richard Bland College Mathematics
Ricks College
Mathematics \& Computer Science
Roanoke/Chowan Community College Mathematics Program

Rose State College Engineering \& Science Division

## San Antonio College

 MathematicsSan Joaquin Delta College Science \& Mathematics Division
San Juan College Mathematics, Science \& Allied Health
Santa Barbara City College Mathematics
Santa Fe Community College Mathematics
Schenectady County Community College Mathematics \& Natural Science
Schoolcraft College Mathematics
Shoreline Community College Mathematics
Sinclair Community College Mathematics
Southeast Community College-Lincoln Campus
Mathematics Program
Southwestern Michigan College Mathematics \& Science
Spartanburg Technical College Mathematics Program
Spokane Falls Community College Mathematics
Saint Petersburg Junior College Mathematics
Saint Philip's College Mathematics
Standing Rock Community College Mathematics Program
Suffolk Community College-Ammerman Campus
Mathematics
Suomi College
Mathematics \& Science
Tarrant County Junior College Mathematics

## Temple Junior College

Mathematics
Thomas Nelson Community College
Mathematics Program
Tidewater Community College Mathematics \& Science
Tulsa Junior College
Science \& Mathematics
Umpqua Community College Mathematics
Union County College Mathematics
Victoria College Mathematics
Vincennes University Mathematics
Virginia Western Community College Mathematics \& Natural Science Division
Vista College Mathematics Program
Wallace Community College-Hanceville Mathematics Program
Walters State Community College Mathematics Division
Waubonsee Community College Mathematics \& Science
Westark Community College Division of Mathematics, Science \& Engineering
Western Oklahoma State College Mathematics \& Science
Westmoreland County Community College Mathematics
William Rainey Harper College Mathematics \& Science
Wilson County Technical Institute General Education
Yuba College Division of Mathematics \& Science

# Appendix IV Four-Year College Survey 

Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences
SURVEY OF UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS
in the
MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
1995

## GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

You are asked to report on undergraduate programs in the mathematical sciences (including applied mathematics, statistics, operations research) and computer science under the direction of your department. This questionnaire is being sent to each department in the mathematical sciences on your campus. Do not include data for branches or campuses of your institution that are budgetarily separate from your department.

Because departments vary in course offerings and faculty composition, some questions (or parts of questions) may not be applicable to your department. Please read the instructions carefully and complete all pertinent questions.

If you have any questions, please contact Don Rung, Survey Director, by phone at $814-865-3611$ or by email at rung@math.psu.edu.

Please return your completed questionnaire by November 1, 1995, to:
CBMS Survey
Attn: Michael Neuschatz
American Institute of Physics
One Physics Ellipse
College Park, MD 20740-3834

1. Name of your institution:

Name of your department: $\qquad$
2. A. Your department offers programs leading to the following degrees (check all boxes that apply):

|  | None | Baccalaureate | Master's | Doctora |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics | [_I |  |  | I__I |
| Statistics | 11 |  | \|__1 |  |
| Computer Science |  |  |  |  |

B. Your academic calendar is:
I I Semester $\quad \square$ Trimester $\quad$ — Quarter $\quad \overline{1}$ ] 4-1-4 $\quad \square$ Other (specify)
3. Regular Undergraduate Program Courses, Fall 1995

The following instructions apply throughout Question 3. Please read them carefully before you begin filling out the tables.

- The undergraduate courses in the following tables are listed in approximate catalogue order in four groups corresponding to mathematics, statistics, operations research, and computer science. The format for reporting information about courses differs somewhat from section to section, with more information asked about calculus coiurses, less for the advanced courses.
- Throughout Question 3. count each lecture offering with separately scheduled recitiation/problem sessions as one section. For certain courses, primarily for the mainstream calculus series, a row is provided in which to list, for the same course, all lecture sections with recitation/problem sessions separately from all sections without recitation/problem sessions.
- Faculty holding joint appointments with another department should be counted in column \#4 if they are tenured or tenure-eligible within your department; otherwise, report them in column \#5 or \#6 according to their budget level within your department.
- Report a section of a course as taught by a Graduate Teaching Assistant(TA) only when that course is taught independently by the TA; that is, the course is the TA's "own" course.

|  |  |  | Of the number in Column \#3, how many sections are taught by: (note: column \#3 = \#4+\#5+\#6+\#7) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Course (or equivalent) <br> (1) | Total Enrollment Fall 95 (2) | Total Number of Sections (3) | Tenured or Tenureeligible Faculty (4) | Other Full-time Faculty (5) | Parttime Faculty $\qquad$ <br> (6) | Graduate Teaching Assist. <br> (7) $\qquad$ |
| 3.A. MATHEMATICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Remedial Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Arithmetic/Basic Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Pre-algebra |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Elementary Algebra (high school) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Intermediate Algebra (high school) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Other remedial level courses <br> (* Also see Question 3E, page 7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Introductory Level, including pre-calculus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. College Algebra |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Trigonometry |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. College Algebra \& Trig. (combined) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Elementary Functions, Precalculus Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Analytic Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. Mathematics for Liberal Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Finite Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Business Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14. Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15. Other introductory level courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |

3. Regular Undergraduate Program Courses, Fall 1995 (continued)

|  |  |  | Of the number in Col. 3, how many sections are taught by: |  |  |  | Of the number in Col. 3, how many sections: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Course (or equivalent) <br> (1) | $\qquad$ |  | Tenured or Tenureeligible Faculty (4) | Other <br> Full-time <br> Faculty <br> (5) | Parttime Faculty | Graduate Teaching Assist. <br> (7) | use a <br> "reform" text ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> (8) | graphing calculators (9) | include writing <br> components <br> such as <br> reports or <br> projects <br> (10) | require computer assignments (11) | assign group projects |
| 3.A. MATHEMATICS(cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Mainstream ${ }^{\text {a Calculus I: }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16.1. Lecture with separately scheduled recit./problem sessions ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16.2. Regular sections with enrollments of 60 or less |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16.3. Regular sections with enrollments above 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17. Mainstream Calculus II: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.1. Lecture with separately scheduled recit./problem sessions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.2. Regular sections with enrollments of 60 or less |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.3. Regular sections with enrollments above 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18. Mainstream Calculus III (andIV, etc): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18.1. Lecture with separately scheduled recit./problem sessions ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18.2. Regular sections with enrollments of 60 or less |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18.3. Regular sections with enrollments above 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19. Non-Mainstream Calculus I: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19.1. Lecture with separately scheduled recit./problem sessions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19.2. Regular sections with enrollments of 60 or less |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19.3. Regular sections with enrollments above 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

a A calculus course is mainstream if it leads to the usual upper division mathematical sciences courses.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Include all sections for which the primary text (or set of notes, etc.) generally reflect the pedagogical principals of the reform calculus movement
c Remember: A calculus class along with its recitation/problem sessions is to be counted as one section.
3. Regular Undergraduate Program Courses, Fall 1995 (Continued)

|  |  |  | Of the number in Col. 3, how many sections are taught by: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Course (or equivalent) <br> (1) | Total Enrollment Fall 95 $\qquad$ (2) | Total Number of Sections (3) |  | Graduate <br> Teaching Assist. <br> (7) |
| 3.A. MATHEMATICS (cont.) |  |  |  |  |
| Calculus Level |  |  |  |  |
| 20. Non-mainstream Calculus II (and III, etc.) |  |  |  |  |
| 21. Differential Equations |  |  |  |  |
| 22. Discrete Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| 23. Linear Algebra or Matrix Theory |  |  |  |  |
| 24. Other calculus level courses |  |  |  |  |
| Advanced Level |  |  | If not offered in Fall 95, is it scheduled in Winter/Spring 96 ? $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{es}) / \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{o})$ <br> (4) |  |
| 25. Introduction to Proofs |  |  |  |  |
| 26. Modern Algebra I (and II) |  |  |  |  |
| 27. Number Theory |  |  |  |  |
| 28. Combinatorics |  |  |  |  |
| 29. Actuarial Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| 30. Logic/Foundations of Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| 31. Discrete Structures |  |  |  |  |
| 32. History of Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| 33. Geometry |  |  |  |  |
| 34. Mathematics for Secondary School Teachers (methods, etc.) |  |  |  |  |
| 35. Advanced Calculus I (and II) and/or Real Analysis |  |  |  |  |
| 36. Advanced Mathematics for Engineering and Physics |  |  |  |  |
| 37. Advanced Linear Algebra |  |  |  |  |
| 38. Vector Analysis |  |  |  |  |
| 39. Advanced Differential Equations |  |  |  |  |
| 40. Partial Differential Equations |  |  |  |  |

3. Regular Undergraduate Program Courses, Fall 1995 (Continued)

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Name of Course } \\ \text { (or equivalent) } \\ \text { (1) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total } \\ \text { Enrollment } \\ \text { Fall 95 } \\ (2)\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Sections } \\ (3)\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { If not offered in Fall 95, } \\ \text { is it scheduled in } \\ \text { Winter/Spring 96? } \\ \text { Y(es)/N(o) } \\ (4)\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.A. MATHEMATICS (cont.) |  |  |  |
| Advanced Level (cont.) |  |  |  |$]$


|  |  |  | Of the number in Col. 3, how many sections require computer assignments <br> (4) | Of the number in Col. 3, how many sections are taught by: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Course (or equivalent) <br> (1) | Total Enrollment Fall 95 <br> (2) | Total Number of Sections (3) |  | Tenured or Tenureeligible Faculty (5) | Other Full-time Faculty $\qquad$ <br> (6) | Parttime Faculty | Graduate Teaching Assist. <br> (8) |
| 3.B. STATISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47. Elementary Statistics: (no calculus prerequisite) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47.1. Lecture with separately scheduled recit./problem sessions ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47.2. Regular sections with enrollments of 60 or less |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47.3. Regular sections with enrollments above 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48. Probability and Statistics (no calculus prerequisite) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49. Other elementary level courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^11]3. Regular Undergraduate Program Courses, Fall 1995 (Continued)

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Name of Course } \\ \text { (or equivalent) } \\ \text { (1) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total } \\ \text { Enrollment } \\ \text { Fall 95 } \\ \text { Upper Level }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Sections } \\ (3)\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { If not offered in Fall 95, } \\ \text { is it scheduled in } \\ \text { Winter/Spring 96? } \\ \text { Y(es)/N(o) }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(4)$ |  |  |  |$]$| (3) |
| :--- |


|  |  |  | Of the number in Col. 3, how many sections are taught by: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Course (or equivalent) <br> (1) | Total Enrollment Fall 95 (2) | Total Number of Sections (3) | Tenured or Tenureeligible Faculty <br> (4) | Other Full-time Faculty (5) | Parttime Faculty <br> (6) | Graduate Teaching Assist. <br> (7) |
| 3.D. COMPUTER SCIENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61. Computers and Society |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 62. Introduction to Software Packages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63. Issues in Computer Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64. Computer Programming I $\left(\text { C } 1011^{\prime} 91\right)^{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65. Computer Programming II $\left(\text { C } 102 \text { '91) }{ }^{a}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66. Advanced Programming \& Data Structures |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^12]3. Regular Undergraduate Program Courses, Fall 1995 (Continued)

|  |  |  | Of the number in Col. 3, how many sections are taught by: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Course (or equivalent) <br> (1) | Total <br> Enrollment <br> Fall 95 <br> (2) | Total Number of Sections (3) | Tenured or Tenureeligible Faculty (4) | Other Full-time Faculty (5) | Parttime Faculty <br> (6) | Graduate Teaching Assist. <br> (7) |
| 3.D. COMPUTERSCIENCE (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower Level (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67. Database Management Systems |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68. Discrete Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69. Other lower level courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middle Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70. Intro. to Computer Systems |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 71. Assembly Language Programming |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 72. Intro. to Computer Organization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 73. Intro. to File Processing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74. Other middle level courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75. All upper level courses combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 3.E. Outside Remedial Enrollment

If any of the remedial level courses (Numbers 1-5, Question \#3A, page 2) are taught outside of your department (but within your institution) and have not been reported in Question \#3A, report the total of all such outside enrollments for Fall 1995. $\qquad$

## 4. Previous Year's Enrollment Figures:

Responses to this question will be used to project total enrollment for the current academic year, 1995-96, by the pattern of enrollment for the previous academic year. 1994-95.

The total student enrollment in your undergraduate courses was $\qquad$ for fall 1994 and was $\qquad$ for the entire academic year 1994-95.

## 5. Mathematical Sciences Faculty Profile, Fall 1995

## 5.A. Faculty Counts, Fall 1995

In each of tables 5.A. 1 and 5.A. 2 report the number of faculty that belong in each box. Include all departmental faculty according to tenure or tenure-eligible status, distinguishing between such faculty on leave and not on leave. For faculty members with joint appointments, report them as Tenured or Tenure-eligible ifthat describes their status within your department; otherwise, report them as Other Full-time or Part-time according to their budget level within your department for fall 1995. Do not report any TA's in any of the Tables for Question 5.
If your institution does not recognize tenure, please check here $\square$ then report full-time faculty who are "permanent" in the Tenuredcolumn, otherwise use the Other full-time column.

Note: Tables 5.A. 1 and 5.A. 2 count the same population of faculty, and should have the same total when summed.

|  |  | Type of Appointment: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.A.1 By Highest Degree and Gender |  | Tenured |  | Tenure-eligible |  | Other full-time | Part-time (not TAs) |
|  |  | Not on leave | On leave | Not on leave | On leave |  |  |
| With doctorate | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Without doctorate | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Type of Appointment: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5.A.2 By Ethnic/racial Status <br> and Gender | Tenured | Tenure-eligible | Other <br> full-time | Part-time <br> (not TAs) |  |
| American Indian, <br> Eskimo, Aleut | Male |  |  |  |  |
|  | Female |  |  |  |  |
| Asian, <br> Pacific Islander | Male |  |  |  |  |
|  | Female |  |  |  |  |
| Black <br> (non-Hispanic) | Male |  |  |  |  |
|  | Female |  |  |  |  |
| Mexican American, <br> Puerto Rican, or <br> other Hispanic | Male |  |  |  |  |
|  | Female |  |  |  |  |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |
| (non-Hispanic) |  |  |  |  |  |

## 5.B Faculty Age Profile

For the tenured and tenure-eligible faculty reported in 5.A, report the number that belong in each of the boxes below. If your institution does not recognize tenure, please use the Tenured faculty line to report on your "permanent" full-time faauly.

| fauuly. |  | Year of Birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faculty Category |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1926 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1926- \\ & 1930 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1931- \\ & 1935 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1936- \\ & 1940 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1941- \\ & 1945 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1946- \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1951- \\ & 1955 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1956- \\ & 1960 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1961- \\ & 1965 \end{aligned}$ | After 1965 |
| Tenured faculty | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenure-eligible faculty | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 5.C Retirements and Deaths

For the period from 1 September 1994 through 31 August 1995, report the number of your tenured or tenureeligible faculty [if your institution does not recognize tenure, report on those who are "permanent" full-time] who:
retired from full-time service $\qquad$ died while in full-time service $\qquad$ .

## 6. Departmental Information

## 6.A Teaching Load

For fall 1995, the expected (or typical) teaching load for the tenured or tenure-eligible faculty reported in Question 5.A above is $\qquad$ classroom contact hours per week.

## 6.B Office Facilities

For the tenured or tenure-eligible faculty reported in Question 5.A, how many have:
a private, fully enclosed office? $\qquad$
a two-person, fully enclosed office?
other office facilities? $\qquad$

## 6.C Departmental Baccalaureate Degrees

6.C.1 Report the number of your departmental majors awarded a baccalaureate degree by your institution, between July 1, 1994 and June 30, 1995 (include double majors): $\qquad$
6.C. 2 Of the number in 6.C.1, report the number who majored in:
(enter each major only once. Use the "Other" category for any major that does not fit the existing categories)

| Area of Major | Male | Female |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mathematics (including applied) |  |  |
| Mathematics Education |  |  |
| Statistics |  |  |
| Computer Science |  |  |
| Actuarial Mathematics |  |  |
| Operations Research |  |  |
| Joint Computer Science and Mathematics |  |  |
| Joint Mathematics and Statistics |  |  |
| Other tracks in your department |  |  |

## 6.D Undergraduate Advising within the department.

6.D. 1 Which intended or declared departmental majors are assigned a department advisor?

Mark (X) all that apply.All first and second year intended or declared departmental majors.


All third and fourth year departmental majors.
If none of the above apply, then check one of the following:

A. Departmental majors primarily are advised by an advising office.

B. Departmental majors are advised in a variety of ways not covered in the above categories.

IF YOU CHECKED EITHER A. OR B. ABOVE, PLEASE SKIP TO QUESTION 6D. 3
6.D. 2 How often are department majors required to meet with their departmental advisor in formally scheduled meetings? Mark (X) all that apply.There are no such required meetings.
$\square 1$
There is at least one required, formally scheduled meeting per year.
11
There is at least one required, formally scheduled meeting during the student's third and fourth years.
6.D. 3 How many of your tenured and tenure-eligible faculty are assigned to advise undergraduate departmental majors this fall? $\qquad$
6.D. 4 Which of the following groups have primary responsibility for informing your departmental majors about the following topics? Mark (X) only one column in each row.

|  | Primary Source of Information |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Topic | Department <br> advisor | Career services office | Outside <br> speakers | Math club | Other |
| Non-teaching careers |  |  |  |  |  |
| K-12 teaching |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduate school |  |  |  |  |  |

## 6.E Departmental Computer Facilities

6.E. 1 Of the total full-time faculty reported in Q 5.A.1, how many have a computer, or terminal to a computer, in their office? $\qquad$ Of this number, how many have access from their offices to the Internet? $\qquad$
6.E. 2 Among the full-time faculty in your department not counted above, how many have access to a computer or terminal only at other locations on campus? $\qquad$
Of this number, how many have access to the Internet through such shared machines? $\qquad$
6.E. 3 Does your department have any departmental staff for computer systems support? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No If yes, what is the total full-time-equivalent (FTE) of this staff? $\qquad$ FIE
7. The approximate number of hours required to complete this questionnaire was: $\qquad$
If you have found some questions) difficult to interpret or answer, please let us know. We welcome comments or suggestions for future surveys.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


Information supplied by: $\qquad$

Title and Department: $\qquad$

Institution and Campus: $\qquad$

Street
City
State
Zip

Telephone: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$

```
Please return completed questionnaire by
November 1, 1995, to:
CBMS Survey, ATTN: Michael Neuschatz
American Institute of Physics
One Physics Ellipse
College Park, MD 20740-3834
```

Thanks to all who helped in completing this survey; I appreciate the time spent.


# Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences <br> SURVEY OF MATHEMATICS PROGRAMS <br> in <br> TWO-YEAR COLLEGES <br> 1995 

## GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire should be completed by the person who is directly in charge of the mathematics program or department on your campus. Do not include data for branches or campuses of your institution that are geographically or budgetarily separate.

Report on all of the courses and instructors in your college that fall under the general heading of the mathematics program or department. Include all mathematics, statistics, and computer science courses taught within the mathematics program or department. Except in Question 3, do not include courses
taught in other departments, learning centers, or remedial/ developmental programs separate from the mathematics program or department. If your college does not have a departmental or divisional structure, consider the group of all mathematics instructors to be the "mathematics department" for the purpose of this survey.

If you have any questions, please contact Ann Watkins, Associate Director for Two-Year Colleges, by phone at 818-885-2781 or by email at awatkins@csun.edu.

Please return your completed questionnaire in the enclosed postage-paid envelope by November 1, 1995, to:

CBMS Survey
Attn: Michael Neuschatz
American Institute of Physics
One Physics Ellipse
College Park, MD 20740-3834

1. A. Name of your two-year college:

If your two-year college is part of a larger organization, identify this organization (or its main campus):
B. Your academic calendar is: $\square$ Semester $\square$ Trimester $\square$ Quarter | $\mid$ Other (specify): $\qquad$
C. How is the mathematics program administered at yourtwo-year college?

I_I mathematics department
I_ I mathematics and computer science department
 mathematics and science department or division
_ I no department or division structure
_ I other (specify): $\qquad$
D. Are remedial/developmental mathematics courses administered separately from the mathematics department/program? $\square$ Yes $\square$ |No
E. How many mathematics majors are there at your college who intend to transfer to a four-year college or university? $\qquad$
2. Courses Offered By Your Mathematics Department/Program in Fall 1995

If the titles of courses listed below do not coincide exactly with those at your college, use your bestjudgement about where to list your courses. Use the additional spaces at the end of the table to write in the names of courses that do not fit reasonably under a listed title.

|  |  |  | List the number of sections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Course (or equivalent) | Total number of students enrolled Fall 1995 | Total number of sections Fall 1995 | $\begin{gathered} \text { with } \\ \text { enroll- } \\ \text { ment } \\ \text { above } \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | taught <br> by part- <br> time <br> faculty ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | using graphing calculators | that include a writing component such as reports or projects | that require computer assignments | that assign group projects | that meet at least once a week in a classroom equipped with computers for students | that are taught mostly by the standard lecture method | that are taught mostly by computeraided instruction | that are taught by television ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | If not offered in Fall 1995, was this course offered in 19941995 or is it scheduled for Spring 1996? |
| 1. Arithmetic/Basic Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Pre-Algebra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Elementary Algebra (high school level) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Intermediate Algebra (high school level) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Geometry (high school level) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. College Algebra (level is beyond Intermediate Algebra) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Trigonometry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. College Algebra and Trigonometry, combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Precalculus/Elementary Functions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.Analytic Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. Calculus I (typically for math, physics, engineering majors) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Calculus II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Calculus III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

a Do not include full-time faculty teaching overload
b or another "distance" method where the instructor is not present
2. Courses Offered by Your Mathematics Department/Program in Fall 1995 (continued)

|  |  |  | List the number of sections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Course (or equivalent) | Total number of students enrolled Fall 1995 | Total number of sections Fall 1995 | with enrollment above 60 | taught by parttime faculty ${ }^{a}$ | using graphing calculators | that include a writing component such as reports or projects | that require computer assignments | that assign group projects | that meet at least once a week in a classroom equipped with computers for students | that are taught mostly by the standard lecture method | that are taught mostly by computeraided instruction | that are taught by television ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | If not offered in Fall 1995, was this course offered in 19941995 or is it scheduled for Spring 1996? |
| 14. Non-Mainstream Calculus ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15. Non-Mainstream Calculus II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Differential Equations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17. Linear Algebra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18. Discrete Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19. Finite Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20. Mathematics for Liberal Arts/ Math Appreciation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21. Mathematics for Elementary School Teachers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22. Business Math (not a transfer course to four-year colleges) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23. Business Math (transfer course) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | t |  |  |  |
| 24. Non-Calculus-Based Technical Math (not a transfer course) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25. Calculus-Based Technical Math (transfer course) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

a Do not include full-time faculty teaching overload.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Or another "distance" method where the instructor is not present.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Typically for business, biology, social science majors.
2. Courses Offered by Your Mathematics Department/Program in Fall 1995 (continued)

|  |  |  | List the number of sections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Course (or equivalent) | Total number of students enrolled Fall 1995 | Total number of sections Fall 1995 | with enrollment above 60 | taught <br> by parttime facultya | using graphing calculators | that include <br> a writing component such as reports or projects | that require computer assignments | that assign group projects | that meet at least once a week in a classroom equipped with computers for students | that are taught mostly by the standard lecture method | that are taught mostly by computeraided instruction | that are taught by television ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | If not offered in Fall 1995, was this course offered in 19941995 or is it scheduled for Spring 1996? |
| 26. Elementary Statistics (with or without probability) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27. Probability (with or without statistics) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{4}$ | $1=$ | - |  |  | - | - |  |
| 28. Data Processing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29. Computers and Society |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30. Intro to Software Packages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31. Issues in Computer Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32. Computer Programming I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33. Computer Programming II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34. Advanced Programming and Data Structures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35. Database Management Systems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36. Other courses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $5 \cdot$ |  |  |  | - $4^{3}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^13]
## 3. Enrollments Outside Your Mathematics Department/Program in Fall 1995

List all mathematics/statistics/computer science enrollments at your college that are not taught in the mathematics department/program and so not listed in \#2. If no courses are offered, enter "0". Please consult appropriate sources outside the math program such as schedules or heads of these programs to get good estimates of enrollments.

|  | Enrollment in courses given by department or division |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course | Natural Sciences | Occupational Programs | Business | Social Sciences | Computer Science | Developmental Studies/ Learning Center | Other |
| 1. Arithmetic/Pre-Algebra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Elementary Algebra (high school level) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Intermediate Algebra (high school level) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. College Algebra (level is beyond intermediate algebra) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Trigonometry or Precalculus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Calculus or Differential Equations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Business Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Statistics/Probability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Computer Science and Programming |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Data Processing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. Technical Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Other: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 4. Mathematics Faculty in the Mathematics Department or Program

A. Number of full-time permanent faculty members (faculty tenured or tenure-track or on the permanent staffing table), including those on leave: $\qquad$

1. What is the expected weekly teaching load in classroom contact hours for members of your full-time permanent faculty? $\qquad$
2. How many of these full-time permanent faculty members teach extra hours for extra pay at your college? $\qquad$ at other schools? $\qquad$

Number of these who teach at your college 1-3 hours extra weekly
4-6 hours extra weekly
7 or more hours extra weekly
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
B. Number of full-time temporary faculty members (such as sabbatical replacements): $\qquad$
4. Mathematics Faculty in the Mathematics Department or Program(continued)
C. Number of part-time faculty members: $\qquad$
Number of part-time faculty members who teach six or more hours a week: $\qquad$
D. Of your part-time faculty, how many are:

| Employed Full-time in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High School | Another <br> Two-year <br> College | Another <br> Department <br> of your <br> College | Four-year <br> College | Industry <br> or <br> Other | Graduate <br> Students | Not Graduate <br> Students and <br> Not Employed <br> Full-time <br> Anywhere | Total Number <br> of Part-time <br> Faculty |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

E. Are office hours required of part-time faculty?
$\qquad$ 1 Yes, without extra payNo
F. Are part-time faculty typically paid on the same pay scale as full-time faculty members who teach extra hours for extra pay?
 No, part-timers paid more $\square$ No, part-timers paid less
G. Of your full-time temporary and part-time faculty, how many are seeking full-time permanent employment in a two-year college? $\qquad$
5. Faculty Educational Level, by Subject Field

In each of the following tables, write the number of faculty members in each box.
Please be sure the totals match those given earlier.
A. Full-time Permanent Faculty (including those on leave)

Total: $\qquad$

|  | Major Field of Graduate Degree |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Highest Degree | Mathematics | Statistics | Computer <br> Science | Mathematics <br> Education | Other |
| Doctorate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Master's |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor's |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than Bachelor's |  |  |  |  |  |

B. Full-time Temporary and Part-time Faculty

Total: $\qquad$

|  | Major Field of Graduate Degree |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Highest Degree | Mathematics | Statistics | Computer <br> Science | Mathematics <br> Education | Other |
| Doctorate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Master's |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor's |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than Bachelor's |  |  |  |  |  |

## 7. Faculty by Gender and Ethnicity/Race

In each of the following tables, write the number of faculty members in each box.
Please be sure the totals match those given earlier.
A. Full-time Permanent Faculty (including those on leave)

Total: $\qquad$

| Ethnic/Racial Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian, <br> Pacific Islander | Black <br> (non-Hispanic) | American Indian, <br> Eskimo, Aleut |  | Mexican American, <br> Puerto Rican or <br> other Hispanic | White <br> (non-Hispanic) | Status not <br> known |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

B. Full-time Temporary and Part-time Faculty

Total: $\qquad$

| Ethnic/Racial Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian, Pacific Islander |  | Black (non-Hispanic) |  | American Indian, Eskimo, Aleut |  | Mexican American, Puerto Rican or other Hispanic |  | White (non-Hispanic) |  | Status not known |  |
| Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 8. Faculty Age Profile

Include only full-time permanent faculty (including those on leave)
Total: $\qquad$

|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Under <br> 30 | $30-34$ | $35-39$ | $40-44$ | $45-49$ | $50-54$ | $55-59$ | 60 and <br> over |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian, <br> Pacific Islander |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black <br> (non-Hispanic) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian, <br> Eskimo, Aleut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mexican American, <br> Puerto Rican or <br> other Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White <br> (non-Hispanic) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status not known |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 9. Faculty Employment and Mobility

A. How many of your full-time permanent faculty members were newly appointed on a full-time permanent basis this year (1995-1996)? $\qquad$
B. What was the main activity of these newly appointed full-time permanent faculty members during the previous year, 1994-1995? (Select one.)
attending graduate school $\qquad$ teaching in a four-year college or university $\qquad$ teaching in another two-year college $\qquad$ teaching in a secondary school $\qquad$ part-time or full-time temporary employment by your college $\qquad$ nonacademic employment $\qquad$
unemployed $\qquad$
status unknown $\qquad$
C. How many of your new full-time permanent appointments had previously taught in your department either part-time or full-time? $\qquad$
D. Please give the following for each of your new full-time permanent appointments for 1995-1996. Add more lines if necessary.

|  | Age | Gender | Ethnicity/ <br> Race | Highest <br> Educational <br> Level |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Hire \#1 |  |  |  |  |
| New Hire \#2 |  |  |  |  |
| New Hire \#3 |  |  |  |  |

E. How many of your faculty who were full-time permanent in the previous year (1994-1995) are no longer part of your full-time permanent faculty? $\qquad$

List the number who:
died or retired
are teaching in a four-year college or university $\qquad$
are teaching in another two-year college $\qquad$
are teaching in a secondary school $\qquad$
left for a nonacademic position $\qquad$
returned to graduate school $\qquad$
other (specify) $\qquad$
unknown $\qquad$
10. Professional Activities of Permanent Full-Time Faculty
A. Estimate the number of full-time permanent members of your mathematics department or program who in the past year:
attended at least one professional meeting $\qquad$
took an upper division or graduate mathematics course
attended a minicourse or short course $\qquad$
gave a talk at a professional meeting $\qquad$
regularly read articles in professional journals $\qquad$
had an expository article published $\qquad$
had a research article published $\qquad$
had a textbook published $\qquad$
received a new grant from outside your college $\qquad$ received a new grant from your college $\qquad$
B. Is some form of continuing education required of your full-time permanent faculty members? $\qquad$ if so, what?

## 11. Services Available to Mathematics Faculty

A. Of your permanent full-time faculty members, list the number who have
a private, fully enclosed office $\qquad$
a two-person, fully enclosed office $\qquad$
other office facilities, including cubicles $\qquad$ no desk or office $\qquad$
B. How many of your part-time faculty members have
their own desk?
a desk shared with one other person? $\qquad$
a desk shared with more than one other person? $\qquad$ no desk? $\qquad$
C. How many of your permanent full-time faculty members have a computer or terminal in their office? $\qquad$ no computer or terminal in their office, but shared computers or terminals nearby? no convenient access or no access at all to computers or terminals?
D. How many of your permanent full-time faculty members have internet access available to them at the college? $\qquad$ How many use e-mail? $\qquad$
E. Is the teaching of permanent full-time mathematics faculty members periodically evaluated? $\square$ I No If yes, check all that apply:
observation of classes by other faculty members or department chair
evaluation forms completed by students
evaluation of written course material such as lesson plans, syllabus, or exams
self-evaluation such as teaching portfolios
other (specify):

## 12. Services Available to Students

## A. Math Lab/Tutorial Center

Does your college operate a math lab or tutorial center? $\quad$ Yes $\quad$ |No
If so, check the services that are available to students in your math lab or tutorial center:

computer-aided instructioncomputer software such as computer algebra systems or statistical packagesmedia such as videotapes
tutoring by studentstutoring by paraprofessionalstutoring by part-time mathematics faculty tutoring by full-time mathematics faculty other (specify): $\qquad$

## B. Placement

Must every student speak with an advisor before registering for his or her first mathematics course at your college?
$\square$ Yes $\quad$ No $\quad$ Depends on the course

May a student enroll in a math course he or she wants to take, even if he or she has not completely satisfied the recommendations/prerequisites for the course (such as having a certain placement test score or passing a prerequisite course)? $\square$ Yes $\mid$ |No $\mid$ | Depends on the course

Does your college offer diagnostic or placement testing to students? $\square$
Yes $\square$ JNo
If so, are these exams used for mandatory placement into mathematics courses?
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No

## C. Other Services to Mathematics Students

Please check the services that are available to your mathematics students.honors sectionsmathematics clubspecial mathematics programs to encourage womenspecial mathematics programs to encourage minoritiesopportunities to compete in math contestsspecial mathematics lectures/colloquia, not part of a math clubadvising by a member of the mathematics facultyother (specify):

## 9. Problems of the 90 's

Below are some concerns cited by departments. Please rate each by placing a check in the box appropriate for your math program.

|  | Minor <br> or no problem | Somewhat <br> of a problem | Major <br> Problem |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maintaining vitality of faculty |  |  |  |
| Staffing computer science courses |  |  |  |
| Staffing statistics courses |  |  |  |
| Need to use part-time faculty for too many courses |  |  |  |
| Faculty salaries too low |  |  |  |
| Class sizes too large |  |  |  |
| Low student motivation |  |  |  |
| Too many students needing remediation |  |  |  |
| Low success rate in developmental/remedial courses |  |  |  |
| Low success rate in transfer-level courses |  |  |  |
| Too few students who intend to transfer actually do |  |  |  |
| Inadequate departmental support services (secretary, etc.) |  |  |  |
| Inadequate travel funds for faculty |  |  |  |
| Inadequate computer facilities for faculty use |  |  |  |
| Inadequate computer facilities for student use |  |  |  |
| Inadequate office space |  |  |  |
| Inadequate classroom space |  |  |  |
| Coordinating mathematics courses with high schools |  |  |  |
| Lack of curricular flexibility because of transfer requirements |  |  |  |
| Other (specify): |  |  |  |

Your name: $\qquad$
Title: $\qquad$ Academic Field: $\qquad$

Telephone: $\qquad$ e-mail: $\qquad$
How long have you been in charge of the mathematics department or program?
If you have found any of the above questions difficult to interpret or to answer, let us know. We welcome comments or suggestions for future surveys.

Please return the completed questionnaire by November 1, 1995 to:
CBMS Survey
American Institute of Physics
One Physics Ellipse
College Park, MD 20740-3834

Thank you for taking the time to complete this (long) survey.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ar EWarkins } \\
& \text { Stephen B. Rodi }
\end{aligned}
$$

This report presents data collected in a statistically designed survey of four-year college and university departments of mathematics (and mathematical sciences), university departments of statistics and mathematics programs at two-year colleges for Fall 1995. The data are presented by departments classified by the highest mathematics degree offered. There is a separate section on two-year college mathematics programs. The report presents detailed information on course enrollments and departmental and program faculty with a special emphasis on gender and ethnic status. A special feature is a detailed profile of firstyear calculus and statistics courses. For these courses, extensive enrollment data are given for the various instructional formats-large lecture and small- and medium-size regular sections. Additional enrollment information on the use of "reform" texts, graphing calculators, group projects, etc., is presented. These data are unique to this survey.

The data are presented in a series of easy-to-read tables, with each of the tables entirely self contained, with general data in the first chapter followedby more specific information in succeeding chapters. Most of the tables have illustrative figures and an accompanying text giving background information to better place in context the data presented in the table.

Two chapters are devoted specifically to data on twoyear college mathematics programs with detailed information on enrollment and faculty not available from any other source.

This report presents a careful and well-presented analysis of the state of undergraduate mathematics and statistics courses, as well as the faculty who teach these courses. It is a valuable guide for assessing today's activities and tomorrow's trends.

## Survey Sponsor

The Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences 1529Eighteenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036
Member Organizations
American Mathematical Association
of Two-Year Colleges
American Mathematical Society
American Statistical Association
Association for Symbolic Logic
Association for Women in Mathematics
Association of State Supervisors of Mathematics
Institute for Operations Research and the ManagementSciences
Institute of Mathematical Statistics
Mathematical Association of America
National Association of Mathematicians
National Council of Supervisors of Mathematics
National Council of Teachers of Mathematics
Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics
Society of Actuaries
Chairperson: John Dossey

## 1995 CBMS Survey Committee

John D. Fulton, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
Don O. Loftsgaarden, Vice Chair and Associate Survey Director for Statistical Analysis, University of Montana
David J. Lutzer, College of William and Mary
Ingram Olkin, Stanford University
Stephen Rodi, Assistant Survey Director for TwoYear Colleges, Austin Community College
Donald C. Rung, Chair and Survey Director, The Pennsylvania State University
Wilbur Smith, North Carolina Agricultural and Technical State University
AnnE. Watkins, Associate Survey Director for Two-Year Colleges, California State University, Northridge


[^0]:    * 1980 and 1985 totals do not include Computer Science enrollments in Mathematics and Statistics Departments.
    ** The computer science enrollment for 1995 includes only courses taught within mathematics programs. For earlier years it includes estimates of computer science courses taught outside mathematics programs.

[^1]:    * Prior to 1995 tenured, tenure-eligible and other full-time were aggregated at four-year colleges and universities.

[^2]:    * Annual reports of the AMS-IMS-MAA Data committee, AMS Notices 1980-1995.
    ** 1995 Digest of Education Statistics. Table 260. National Center for Education Statistics.

[^3]:    0 means less than half of $1 \%$.

    * Total for all 6 rows in this block.
    ** Total for both rows in this block.

[^4]:    0 means less than half of $1 \%$.

[^5]:    * This survey assumed all advanced and upper level courses were taught by tenured or tenure-eligible faculty.
    ** 62 is percentage of sections taught by full-time permanent and full-time temporary faculty.
    *** 38 is percentage of sections taught by part-time faculty.
    0 means less than half of $1 \%$.

[^6]:    * The primary text (or set of notes etc.) generally reflects the pedagogical principles of the reform calculus movement.

[^7]:    * The primary text (or set of notes etc.) generally reflects the pedagogical principles of the reform calculus movement.

[^8]:    0 means less than half of $1 \%$.

    * Total for all 6 rows in this block.
    ** Total for both rows in this block.

[^9]:    (1) means fewer than 500 and na means not available.

    Mainstream calc is for math, physics, sci \& engr; non-mainstream for bio, soc \& mgmt sci.

    * The computing enrollments for 1995 include only courses taught within Mathematics Programs. For earlier years they include estimates of enrollment in computer science courses taught outside Mathematics Programs.

[^10]:    Mathe ma ics Pmorams at two－year ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{g}$ os by ef hnioity：Fall 1882，1885
    1990，1905
    

[^11]:    a Remember: An elementary statistics class along with its recitation/problem sessions is to be counted as one section.

[^12]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Refers to courses described in Computing Curriculum 1991, Report of the ACM/IEEE-CS Joint Curriculum Task Force, ACM 1991

[^13]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Do not include full-time faculty teaching overload.
    b Or another "distance" method where the instructor is not present.

