UNIVERSAL TRIPLE MASSEY PRODUCTS ON ELLIPTIC CURVES AND HECKE’S INDEFINITE THETA SERIES

V. PASOL AND A. POLISHCHUK

Abstract. Generalizing [10] we express universal triple Massey products between line bundles on elliptic curves in terms of Hecke’s indefinite theta series. We show that all Hecke’s indefinite theta series arise in this way.
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Introduction

Roughly speaking, Massey products are certain operations on cohomology of dg-algebras (or dg-categories). We are interested in triple Massey products for morphisms in derived categories of coherent sheaves on elliptic curves. More precisely, we want to look only at those Massey products that can be constructed universally and hence give rise to modular forms. A relation between such Massey products and Hecke’s indefinite theta series (introduced and studied by Hecke in [3], [4]) was first observed in [10]. In the present paper we investigate this connection for a broader class of universal Massey products. Our main result is that coefficients of universal Massey products between line bundles on elliptic curves are always Hecke’s indefinite theta series and that the space of Hecke’s series is spanned by such coefficients (see Theorems 1.2 and 1.3).

The original definition of Hecke’s theta series associated with quadratic forms of signature (1, 1) uses the summation over the cone of positive lattice elements modulo the action of a subgroup of finite index in the group of automorphisms. In this paper we will use a different set of series described in [11], where the summation is taken over a rational subcone of the cone of positive lattice elements (see Section 1). According to the main theorem of [11] these series span the same space of q-series as Hecke’s indefinite theta series.

The main concept that allows to unite Massey products with indefinite theta series is that of Fukaya product for a configuration of circles in a symplectic torus (see [1] and [2] for more general discussion of Fukaya categories). According to
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the homological mirror conjecture (see [5]), proved for this case in [14] and [13], the natural $A_\infty$-category structure on vector bundles over an elliptic curves can be matched with the $A_\infty$-structure provided by the Fukaya product. Our Theorem 1.2 follows essentially from the observation that the summation pattern over a rank-2 lattice appearing in a Fukaya product corresponding to a quadruple of circles in a symplectic torus, is the same pattern that is used to form the indefinite theta series of [11]. To prove Theorem 1.3 we have to investigate the combinatorics of this relation in more detail.

Our results provide a geometric interpretation for all Hecke’s indefinite theta series. We expect that this picture should be very useful for the study of such series, in the same way as the geometrical interpretation of usual theta series has become an indispensable tool in their study. In particular, we believe that this framework can be used to describe all linear relations between indefinite theta series (this problem was raised by Hecke in [4]).

Notation. We use the term $q$-series for formal power series in $q^{1/n}$ for some integer $n > 0$. When $q$ is specialized to a complex number $\exp(2\pi i \tau)$, we implicitly assume that $q^{1/n} = \exp(2\pi i \tau/n)$. For a pair of integer numbers $a$ and $b$ we denote by $\gcd(a, b)$ their greatest common divisor. We use the shorthand $(\sum_{i \in I_1} - \sum_{i \in I_2})a_i$ for the expression $\sum_{i \in I_1} a_i - \sum_{i \in I_2} a_i$.

1. Definitions and Results

1.1. Hecke’s indefinite theta series. By a lattice in $\mathbb{Q}^2$ we mean a free abelian subgroup of rank 2 in $\mathbb{Q}^2$.

Definition. We say that a complex-valued function $f$ on $\mathbb{Q}^2$ is doubly periodic if there exists a pair of lattices $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2 \subset \mathbb{Q}^2$ such that $f$ is supported on $\Lambda_2$ and is $\Lambda_1$-periodic.

Let $Q(m, n) = am^2 + 2bmn + cn^2$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-valued indefinite quadratic form on $\mathbb{Q}^2$ (so $b^2 > ac$) such that $a$, $b$ and $c$ are positive. Let $f(m, n)$ be a doubly periodic complex-valued function on $\mathbb{Q}^2$. We impose the following condition on $Q$ and $f$:

$$f(Ax) = f(Bx) = -f(x)$$

(1.1)

for every $x \in \mathbb{Q}^2$, where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -\frac{2b}{a} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{2b}{c} & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we define the indefinite theta series associated with $Q$ and $f$ to be the $q$-series

$$\Theta_{Q, f}(q) = \left( \sum_{m \geq 0, n \geq 0} - \sum_{m < 0, n < 0} \right) f(m, n)q^{Q(m, n)/2}.$$

It is easy to see that this series converges for $|q| < 1$. The equations (1.1) are equivalent to the condition that sums of $f(m, n)q^{Q(m, n)/2}$ over any vertical or
horizontal line in $\mathbb{Q}^2$ are zero (see [11]). It follows that for every pair of irrational real numbers $c_1$ and $c_2$ one has

$$\Theta_{Q,f}(q) = \sum_{(m+c_1)(n+c_2)>0} \text{sign}(m+c_1)f(m,n)q^{(m,n)/2}.$$ 

In [11] we considered only series $\Theta_{Q,f}(q)$ associated with $(Q,f)$ such that $Q/2$ takes integer values on the support of $f$. All such series are modular of weight 1 with respect to some subgroup $\Gamma_0(N) \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Furthermore, as was shown in [11] the space they span coincides with the span of Hecke’s indefinite theta series. In the present work we do not impose the integrality restriction, so we get series with rational powers of $q$ (but with bounded denominators). Still, all these series are modular of weight 1 with respect to some congruence subgroup $\Gamma(N) \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

1.2. Massey products on a single elliptic curve. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve, $L$ be a line bundle of positive degree $d$ on $E$. Then the space of global sections $H^0(E, L)$ is an irreducible representation of a certain Heisenberg group $G(L)$ (see [9] or [8]). A theta structure for $L$ is an isomorphism $G(L)$ with the standard Heisenberg group $G_d$ generated by $U_1$ and $U_2$ and the central subgroup $\mu_d = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^* : \zeta^d = 1\}$ with relations

$$U_1^d = U_2^d = 1, \quad U_1 U_2 = \exp(2\pi i/d) U_2 U_1.$$ 

A choice of such a structure defines a canonical (up to rescaling) isomorphism of $H^0(E, L)$ with the standard model of the irreducible representation of $G_d$. Hence, we get a canonical basis $(e_0, \ldots, e_{d-1})$ in $H^0(E, L)$ up to a rescaling $(e_0, \ldots, e_{d-1}) \mapsto (\lambda e_0, \ldots, \lambda e_{d-1})$. Namely, $e_0$ is the unique (up to rescaling) vector stabilized by $U_1$ and $e_{d-1} = U_1^d e_0$.

Now let $L_1$, $L_2$ and $L$ be a triple of line bundles over $E$ of positive degrees $d_1$, $d_2$ and $d$, where $d > d_1$, $d > d_2$ and $d_0 := d_1 + d_2 - d > 0$. Let us denote $L_0 = L_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes L^{-1}$. The triple Massey product associated with this data is a linear map

$$MP: K \to H^0(L_0),$$

where

$$K = \ker((m_2 \otimes \text{id}, \text{id} \otimes m_2) : H^0(L_1) \otimes H^1(L^{-1}) \otimes H^0(L_2) \to H^1(L_1 \otimes L^{-1}) \otimes H^0(L_2) \otimes H^0(L_1) \otimes H^1(L^{-1} \otimes L_2)),$$

with $m_2$ denoting the natural double product operation. Let us recall the definition of $MP$ using Dolbeaut description of cohomology. Given an element $\sum_i x_i \otimes y_i \otimes z_i \in K$, we can represent $y_i$’s by $(0,1)$-forms $\tilde{y}_i$ with values in $L^{-1}$. Then we have

$$\sum_i m_2(x_i, \tilde{y}_i) \otimes z_i = \sum_j \partial(t_j) \otimes z_j',$$

$$\sum_i x_i \otimes m_2(\tilde{y}_i, z_i) = \sum_k x_k' \otimes \partial(s_k)$$
with some \( x_k' \in H^0(L_1), \) \( z_j' \in H^0(L_2), \) \( t_j \in C^\infty(L_1 \otimes L^{-1}) \) and \( s_k \in C^\infty(L^{-1} \otimes L_2). \)

Now by definition we have

\[
MP \left( \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i \otimes z_i \right) := \sum_j m_2(t_j, z_j') - \sum_k m_2(x_k', s_k) \in H^0(L_0).
\]

One can also give a purely algebraic definition (see Section 1.5).

Let us assume in addition that all the line bundles \( L_1, L_2, L \) and \( L_0 \) are equipped with theta structures. Then we can choose some canonical bases \( (e_1, \ldots, e_{d_1}) \) in \( H^0(E, L_1), (f_1, \ldots, f_{d_2}) \) in \( H^0(E, L_2), (g_1, \ldots, g_d) \) in \( H^1(E, L^{-1}) \), and \( (h_1, \ldots, h_{d_0}) \) in \( H^0(E, L_0). \)

**Definition.** A collection of complex constants \( c = (c_{ijk}), \) where \( (i, j, k) \) varies through \( \mathbb{Z}/d_1 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/d_2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/d_3 \mathbb{Z} \), is called a Massey system for \( (L_1, L_2, L) \) if we have \( \sum_{ijk} c_{ijk} e_i \otimes f_j \otimes g_k \in K. \) This is equivalent to the equation

\[
(m_2 \otimes \text{id}, \text{id} \otimes m_2) \left( \sum_{i,j,k} c_{ijk} e_i \otimes g_j \otimes f_k \right) = 0. \tag{1.2}
\]

Note that the condition (1.2) does not depend on the choices of canonical bases. For every Massey system \( c \) the corresponding Massey product

\[
MP \left( \sum_{i,j,k} c_{ijk} e_i \otimes g_j \otimes f_k \right) \in H^0(L_0) \tag{1.3}
\]

is well defined and hence we can also consider coefficients

\[
\left\langle MP \left( \sum_{i,j,k} c_{ijk} e_i \otimes g_j \otimes f_k \right), h_l \right\rangle, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}/d_0 \mathbb{Z}, \tag{1.4}
\]

of this element with respect to the base \( (h_l) \). Below we are going to study the relative analogue of this construction when the elliptic curve and the line bundles on it vary in a family.

**1.3. Universal Massey products.** Now let \( \pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow S \) be a family of elliptic curves and \( \mathcal{L} \) a line bundle on \( \mathcal{E} \) of relative degree \( d \). Assume that a relative theta structure for \( \mathcal{L} \) is chosen. Then we have a \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-torsor \( \text{Bases}(\mathcal{L}) \) over \( S \) such that its fiber of \( s \in S \) is the set of canonical bases in \( H^0(E_s, L_s) \), where \( E_s \subseteq \mathcal{E} \) is the elliptic curve corresponding to \( s \in S \) and \( L_s = \mathcal{L}|_{E_s} \). Let \( L \text{Bases}(\mathcal{L}) \) be the line bundle over \( S \) associated with \( \mathbb{G}_m \). Note that we also have \( L \text{Bases}(\mathcal{L}) \simeq (\pi_* L)^{U_1} \), where the action of \( U_1 \) on \( \pi_* L \) is induced by the theta structure for \( \mathcal{L} \). The degree \( d \) map \( \text{Bases}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \det \pi_* \mathcal{L} \) sending a basis \( e_1, \ldots, e_d \) to \( e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_d \) induces an isomorphism

\[
L \text{Bases}(\mathcal{L})^d \simeq \det R\pi_* \mathcal{L}, \tag{1.5}
\]

where \( \det R\pi_* \mathcal{L} \) is the determinant line bundle on \( S \) (since \( d > 0 \), it is the determinant of the vector bundle \( \pi_* \mathcal{L} \)). Similarly, if \( \mathcal{L} \) is a line bundle on \( \mathcal{E} \) of negative relative degree \( d \) and \( L \text{Bases}(\mathcal{L}) \) is the line bundle on \( S \) associated with the \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-torsor of canonical bases in \( H^1(E_s, L_s) \), then the isomorphism (1.5) still holds.

Now assume that we have a triple of line bundles \( \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2 \) and \( \mathcal{L} \) over \( \mathcal{E} \) of positive relative degrees \( d_1, d_2 \) and \( d \), where \( d > d_1, d > d_2 \) and \( d_0 := d_1 + d_2 - d > 0 \). Let
us denote $L_0 = L_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes L^{-1}$. Assume that all the line bundles $L_1$, $L_2$, $L$ and $L_0$ are equipped with relative theta structures. Then for every point $s \in S$ we can consider the triple Massey product on $E_s$ associated with the restrictions of $L_1$, $L_2$ and $L$ to $E_s$. Furthermore, as we will show later (see Lemma 1.4), there exists a vector bundle $K$ over $S$ such that $K|_s$ is the source of the corresponding Massey product, so that we have a global morphism of vector bundles

$$MP: K \rightarrow \pi_* L_0$$

inducing the Massey product at every point. Now we want to use relative theta structures on our bundles to produce line subbundles in $K$. Recall that these structures allow us to choose canonical bases of various $H^0$ and $H^1$ spaces over members $E_s$ of our family.

**Definition.** We say that a collection of constants $c = (c_{ijk})$ (independent of $s \in S$), where $(i, j, k)$ varies through $\mathbb{Z}/d_1 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/d_2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/d_3 \mathbb{Z}$, is a universal Massey system for $(L_1, L_2, L)$ if $c$ is a Massey system for the restrictions of these line bundles to $E_s$ for every $s$. Equivalently, the vanishing condition (1.2) should hold for all $s \in S$.

For every such a system the elements (1.3) define a morphism $MP(c): L_{\text{Bases}(L_1)} \otimes L_{\text{Bases}(L_2)} \rightarrow \pi_* L_0$ that we call a universal Massey product over $S$. Similarly, constants (1.4) define sections of a certain line bundle on $S$:

$$MP(c)_I \in H^0(S, L_{\text{Bases}(L_1)^{-1}} \otimes L_{\text{Bases}(L_2)^{-1}} \otimes L_{\text{Bases}(L_0)})$$

that we call coefficients of the above universal Massey product over $S$.

Let $e: S \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be the relative neutral point on $\mathcal{E}$ and let us set $\omega = e^* \omega_{\mathcal{E}/S}$, where $\omega_{\mathcal{E}/S}$ is the relative canonical bundle. We assume in addition that all our line bundles $L_1$, $L_2$ and $L$ are trivialized along the zero section and are symmetric up to torsion, i.e., $[-1]^* L \otimes L^{-1}$ is torsion, etc.

**Lemma 1.1.** In the above situation one has the following equality in the group $\text{Pic}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$

$$-[L_{\text{Bases}(L_1)}] - [L_{\text{Bases}(L_2)}] - [L_{\text{Bases}(L^{-1})}] + [L_{\text{Bases}(L_0)}] = [\omega].$$

**Proof.** In $\text{Pic}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ one has

$$[\det R \pi_* L_1] = -d_1 \cdot [\omega]/2$$

(see e.g., [7, Ch. VIII]). Hence, $[L_{\text{Bases}(L_1)}] = -[\omega]/2$. Applying similar formulas for other line bundles we immediately get the result. \hfill $\square$

The above computation makes it reasonable to conjecture that coefficients of universal Massey products for appropriate line bundles $L_1$, $L_2$ and $L$ over some moduli stack of elliptic curves (with additional structures) define modular forms of weight 1 with respect to appropriate congruence subgroups. Furthermore, it is plausible that the techniques of [7] can be used to prove this algebraically. However, we will check this by directly expressing these coefficients in terms of Hecke’s indefinite theta series.
1.4. Formulation of the results. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the standard family of elliptic curves parametrized by the upper half-plane $\mathfrak{H}$, i.e., $\mathcal{E} = (\mathfrak{H} \times \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{Z}^2$, where $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ acts by $(\tau, z) \mapsto (\tau, z + m\tau + n)$. There is a natural action of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on the family $\mathcal{E} \to \mathfrak{H}$ given by

$g(\tau, z) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a\tau + b \\ c\tau + d \end{array} \right),$

where $g = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right)$. We are going to consider an equivariant version of the previous construction so that the resulting universal Massey product will be a section of a line bundle on the quotient-stack of $\mathfrak{H}$ by an appropriate congruence-subgroup of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

For every integer $N$ and a pair of real numbers $(r, s)$ we denote by $L(N)[r, s]$ the line bundle on $\mathcal{E}$ obtained by taking the quotient of the trivial bundle $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ over $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathbb{C}$ by the following action of $\mathbb{Z}^2$:

$$(m, n) \cdot (\tau, z, \lambda) = (\tau, z + m\tau + n, \lambda \cdot \exp(-\pi i Nm^2 \tau - 2\pi i Nmz - 2\pi i m(rt + s))).$$

When we fix $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}$ we will denote by $L(N)[r, s]$ the line bundle of degree $d$ on the elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}_\tau = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}_\tau + \mathbb{Z})$ obtained from $L(N)[r, s]$ by restriction. For the remainder of this section we assume that $r$ and $s$ are rational. Then the line bundle $L(N)[r, s]$ has a natural equivariant structure with respect to the subgroup $\Gamma_{1,2} \cap \Gamma[r, s] \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, where $\Gamma_{1,2} \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ consists of matrices such that $ab$ and $cd$ are even, and $\Gamma[r, s]$ is the subgroup consisting of $g \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $g^t \left( \begin{array}{cc} r & s \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$.

This equivariant structure corresponds to the following action of the above subgroup on $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$:

$$g(\tau, z, \lambda) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a\tau + b \\ c\tau + d \end{array} \right), \lambda \cdot \exp \left( \pi i N \left( \frac{cz^2}{ct + d} + 2\pi i r\tau + s \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{ct + d} \right) \right).$$

It is easy to see that we have canonical isomorphisms

$$L(N + N')[r + r', s + s'] \simeq L(N)[r, s] \otimes L(N')[r', s']$$

compatible with equivariant structures.

Note that the line bundle $L(N)[r, s]$ has relative degree $N$. For $N \neq 0$ the natural theta structure on it is given by the following action of the standard Heisenberg group $G_N$:

$$U_1(\tau, z, \lambda) = (\tau, z - 1/N, \lambda),$$

$$U_2(\tau, z, \lambda) = \left( \tau, z - \frac{\tau}{N}, \lambda \cdot \exp \left( -\pi i \frac{z}{N} + 2\pi i z + 2\pi i \frac{r\tau + s}{N} \right) \right).$$

One can check its compatibility with the action of an appropriate congruence-subgroup of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. The corresponding action of $G_N$ on sections of $L(N)[r, s]$
is given by
\[ U_1 f(\tau, z) = f(\tau, z + 1/N), \]
\[ U_2 f(\tau, z) = f(\tau, z + \tau N) \exp\left( \pi i \frac{\tau}{N} + 2\pi i \frac{r\tau + s}{N} \right). \]

One can easily check that for \( N > 0 \) the functions
\[ \theta_{N,k}[r, s](\tau, z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp\left( \pi i \frac{\tau}{N} m^2 + 2\pi i m \left( z + \frac{r\tau + s}{N} \right) \right), \]
where \( k = 0, \ldots, N - 1 \), descend to a canonical basis of the space of global sections of the restriction of \( \mathcal{L}(N)[r, s] \) to every member of the family \( \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{B} \). Furthermore, the functional equation for theta functions shows that the functions
\[ F_{N,k}[r, s] := \exp\left( \pi i \frac{\tau}{N} r^2 \right) \cdot \theta_{N,k}[r, s], \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.6) \]
descend to sections of \( \mathcal{L}(N)[r, s] \otimes \mathcal{M} \), where \( \mathcal{M} \) is a line bundle on \( \mathcal{B} \) equipped with an action of some congruence subgroup of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) and an equivariant morphism \( \mathcal{M}^S \simeq \omega_{E/\mathcal{B}}^{-1/2} \). Thus, we obtain an equivariant isomorphism of line bundles
\[ L \text{ Bases}(\mathcal{L}(N)[r, s]) \simeq \omega_{E/\mathcal{B}}^{-1/2} \quad (1.7) \]

By Serre duality we also get similar isomorphism for \( N < 0 \).

Let \((d_1, d_2, d)\) be positive integers satisfying assumptions of Section 1.3. Let us also fix a quadruple of rational numbers \((v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2)\). With these data we associate line bundles \( \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2 \) and \( \mathcal{L}_0 \) on \( \mathcal{E} \) as follows:
\[ \mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}(d_1)[d_1 v_1, d_1 w_1], \]
\[ \mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L}(d_2)[d_2 v_2, d_2 w_2], \]
\[ \mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{L}(d_0)[0, 0], \quad (1.8) \]

We set \( \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes \mathcal{L}_0^{-1} \), so that there is a canonical isomorphism
\[ \mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{L}(d)[d_1 v_1 - d_2 v_2, d_1 w_1 - d_2 w_2]. \]

Using isomorphisms (1.7) coefficients of universal Massey products associated with \((\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L})\) can be viewed as functions on the upper half-plane satisfying the modular functional equation of weight 1. The following theorem identifies these coefficients with certain Hecke’s indefinite theta series.

**Theorem 1.2.** For every universal Massey system \( \mathbf{c} \) for \((\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L})\) and every \( l \in \mathbb{Z}/d_0\mathbb{Z} \) we have
\[ MP(\mathbf{c})_l = \Theta_{Q, f_{\mathbf{c},l}}(q), \]
where \( q = \exp(2\pi i \tau) \),
\[ Q(m, n) = Q_{d_1, d_2, a}(m, n) = \frac{1}{d} \left[ d_1 (d - d_1) m^2 + 2d_1 d_2 mn + d_2 (d - d_2) n^2 \right], \]
and \( f_{\mathbf{c},l} \) is a certain doubly periodic function on \( \mathbb{Q}^2 \) such that the data \((Q, f_{\mathbf{c},l})\) satisfy the conditions of Section 1.1.

The explicit form of the function \( f_{\mathbf{c},l} \) is given by (2.15). Our second result shows that every Hecke’s series comes from a universal Massey product.
Theorem 1.3. For every data \((Q, f)\) as in Section 1.1 there exist positive integers \((d, d_1, d_2)\) satisfying the inequalities
\[ d - d_1 > 0, \quad d - d_2 > 0, \quad d_1 + d_2 - d > 0, \]
and a universal Massey system \(c\) for \((\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L})\), where
\[ \mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}(d_1)[0, 0], \quad \mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L}(-d_2)[0, 0], \quad \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(d)[0, 0], \]
such that
\[ \Theta_{Q,f}(q) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}/d_0\mathbb{Z}} MP(c)_l. \]

1.5. Continuity of Massey products. In this section we recall an algebraic definition of Massey products considered above. As a consequence we will derive that they vary continuously with parameters.

First, we observe that in the situation of section 1.2 there is an isomorphism
\[ H^0(L_1) \otimes H^1(L^{-1}) \otimes H^0(L_2) \cong \text{Ext}^1(X, Y), \]
where \(X = H^0(L_1)^* \otimes L_1\) and \(Y = H^0(L_2) \otimes L_1 \otimes L^{-1}\). Let
\[ 0 \to Y \to V \to \text{Ext}^1(X, Y) \to 0 \]
be the universal extension, and let
\[ 0 \to Y \to V_K \to K \otimes X \to 0 \]
be the induced extension corresponding to the inclusion \(K \subset \text{Ext}^1(X, Y)\). Note that we have natural morphisms \(\alpha: \mathcal{O}_E \to X\) and \(\beta: Y \to L_2 \otimes L_1 \otimes L^{-1} \cong L_0\).

It is easy to check that \(K\) coincides with the subspace of elements \(e \in \text{Ext}^1(X, Y)\) such that \(e \circ \alpha = 0\) and \(\beta \circ e = 0\). Furthermore, one has
\[ MP(e) = MP(\alpha, e, \beta), \]
where the Massey products in the RHS are of the type considered in [10, Sect. 6.1]. Hence, from Proposition 6.1 of loc. cit. we obtain the following interpretation of the map \(MP\). Let
\[ \tilde{\alpha}: K \otimes \mathcal{O}_E \to V_K \]
be the unique lifting of the map \(\text{id} \otimes \alpha: K \otimes \mathcal{O}_E \to K \otimes X\), and let
\[ \tilde{\beta}: V_K \to L_0 \]
be the unique map extending \(\beta: Y \to L_0\). Then the Massey product \(MP: K \to H^0(L_0)\) coincides with the map of the spaces of global sections induced by the morphism
\[ \tilde{\beta} \circ \tilde{\alpha}: K \otimes \mathcal{O}_E \to L_0. \]

Now assume that we are in the situation of section 1.3, so we have a family \(\pi: E \to S\) of elliptic curves equipped with line bundles \((\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L})\). Then the relative version of the above considerations leads to the following result.

Lemma 1.4. There exists a vector bundle \(K\) over \(S\) and a morphism of vector bundles \(MP: K \to \pi_* L_0\) which restricts to the above morphism \(MP: K \to H^0(E, L_0)\) on every fiber of \(\pi\).
Proof. Our inequalities on $d_1$, $d_2$ and $d$ imply that $\max(d_1, d_2) > 1$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $d_2 > 1$. Now we are going to rewrite the definition of $K \subset \text{Ext}^1(X, Y)$ for a single elliptic curve $E = \pi^{-1}(s)$ in such a form that it will become clear that it produces a vector bundle $\mathcal{K}$ over $S$. Let us define coherent sheaves $X'$ and $Y'$ on $E$ from exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_E \to X \to X' \to 0,$$

$$0 \to Y' \to Y \to L_0 \to 0$$

(surjectivity of $\beta$ follows from the assumption $d_2 > 1$). Since $d_1 > d_0$, we have $\text{Hom}(X, L_0) = 0$, hence the kernel of the map

$$\text{Ext}^1(X, Y) \to \text{Ext}^1(X, L_0): e \mapsto \beta \circ e$$

can be identified with $\text{Ext}^1(X, Y')$. Now by definition, $K$ is the kernel of the map

$$\text{Ext}^1(X, Y') \to \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_E, Y') \quad (1.9)$$

induced by $\alpha$ and by the embedding $Y' \to Y$. Since $d_1 < d$, one has $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_E, Y') \subset \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_E, Y) = 0$. Hence, we have exact sequences

$$0 \to \text{Ext}^1(X', Y') \to \text{Ext}^1(X, Y') \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_E, Y') \to 0,$$

$$0 \to \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_E, L_0) \to \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_E, Y') \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_E, Y) \to 0.$$

The map (1.9) is equal to the composition $f \circ g$, so from these exact sequences we get the following description of $K$ as an extension:

$$0 \to \text{Ext}^1(X', Y') \to K \to H^0(L_0) \to 0.$$

Since $\text{Hom}(X', Y') \subset \text{Hom}(X, Y) = 0$, we see that there is a vector bundle $\mathcal{K}'$ over $S$ with the fiber $\text{Ext}^1(X', Y')$ over $s$. Now $\mathcal{K}$ can be constructed as an extension of $\pi_*L_0$ by $\mathcal{K}'$.

Later we will apply this lemma for the family of line bundles (1.8) depending on real parameters $(v_1, v_2)$ (keeping the elliptic curve and the parameters $(w_1, w_2)$ constant). Then the corresponding Massey systems vary in a vector bundle over $\mathbb{R}^2$ and the Massey product is a continuous map from the total space of this bundle to the vector space $H^0(L_0)$.

2. Calculations

We follow the method developed in [10]. The basic idea is to use homological mirror symmetry to relate the Massey products considered above to triple Fukaya products for symplectic tori, so we start by reviewing this relation.

2.1. Connection with Fukaya products. Let $\tau$ be an element of the upper half-plane and let $E = E_\tau$ be the corresponding elliptic curve. The mirror partner of $E$ is the torus $T = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ with the complexified symplectic form $\omega = -2\pi i \tau \, dx \wedge dy$.

We will work with the subcategory $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ in the Fukaya category of $(T, \omega)$ described in Section 2.1 of [10]. Recall that objects of $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ are pairs $(\vec{t}, t)$, where $\vec{t} \subset T$ is the image of a nonvertical line $\ell \in \mathbb{R}^2$ of rational slope, and $t$ is a real number. The space of morphisms between $(\vec{t}_1, t_1)$ and $(\vec{t}_2, t_2)$ is defined only when $\vec{t}_1 \neq \vec{t}_2$ and is
set to be $\bigoplus_{P \in \pi^{-1}(P')} \mathbb{C}[P]$. This space has degree 0 if the slope of $\ell_1$ is smaller than the slope of $\ell_2$ and degree 1 otherwise. By definition $m_1 = 0$ while the operation $m_k$ for $k \geq 2$ is defined using certain summation over $(k+1)$-gons (see [10, Sect. 2.1]). Below we will only use operations $m_2$ (preserving degree) and $m_3$ (lowering degree by 1). A little subtlety here is that operations $m_k$ are defined only for transversal configurations, so one has to change the axiomatics slightly (see [6, Sect. 4.3]).

The main theorem of [13] extending the result of [14] gives an $A_\infty$-equivalence between $\mathcal{F}_s$ and the natural $A_\infty$-category whose objects are stable bundles on $E$ (the latter $A_\infty$-structure is obtained from the dg-category structure given by the standard enhancement on the derived category of coherent sheaves). Let us recall how this equivalence is defined for line bundles on $E$ (which correspond to lines of integer slope on $T$).

A line bundle $L(N)[r, s]$ on $E$ (see Section 1.4) corresponds to a pair $(\ell_{N,r}, -s)$, where $\ell_{N,r}$ is the line $\{(x, Nx - r), x \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. The identification of morphism spaces is defined as follows. Assume that $N_1 < N_2$. Then we have

$$\text{Hom}(L(N_1)[r_1, s_1], L(N_2)[r_2, s_2]) \simeq H^0(E, L(N_2 - N_1)[r_2 - r_1, s_2 - s_1]),$$

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_s}(\ell_{N_1, r_1}, -s_1), (\ell_{N_2, r_2}, -s_2)) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/(N_2 - N_1)\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}[P_k],$$

where

$$P_k = \left(\frac{k + r_2 - r_1}{N_2 - N_1}, \frac{N_1k + N_1r_2 - N_2r_1}{N_2 - N_1}\right) \in \ell_{N_1, r_1} \cap \ell_{N_2, r_2}$$

are points of intersection between the corresponding lines on $T$. The isomorphism between the above two morphism spaces sends the basis of theta functions

$$\theta_{N_2 - N_1, k}[r_2 - r_1, s_2 - s_1], \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}/(N_2 - N_1)\mathbb{Z},$$

do the former space to the basis

$$e(k) = e_{N_1, N_2}[r_1, r_2; s_1, s_2](k) := \exp\left(-\pi i \frac{(r_2 - r_1)^2}{N_2 - N_1} - 2\pi i \frac{(s_2 - s_1)(r_2 - r_1)}{N_2 - N_1}\right)[P_k]$$

of the latter space.

In the case $N_2 > N_1$ the spaces of morphisms have degree 1 and the identification uses Serre duality to reduce to the previous case.

Our Massey product coincides with the restriction of the triple product

$$m_3: H^0(E, L_1) \otimes H^1(E, L^{-1}) \otimes H^0(E, L_2) \to H^0(L_0)$$

to $K$ (see [12, Sect. 1.1]). Also, Massey products are preserved by $A_\infty$-equivalences (see e.g. Proposition 1.1 of [12]). Hence, using the above equivalence with $\mathcal{F}_s$ we derive that in the situation of section 1.4 the coefficients $MP(e)(r)$ can be computed as follows. Let $L$ (resp., $L_0, L_1, L_2$) be the restriction of $\mathcal{L}$ (resp., $\mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$) to $E = E_r$. Then our Massey product can be expressed in terms of the triple product

$$m_3: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_s}(O_0, O_1) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_s}(O_1, O_2) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_s}(O_2, O_3) \to \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_s}(O_0, O_3),$$

where $(O_0, O_1, O_2, O_3)$ is the quadruple of objects in the Fukaya category corresponding to the line bundles $(O_E, L_1, L_1 \otimes L^{-1} \simeq L_2^{-1} \otimes L_0, L_0)$. More precisely,
using the above dictionary we find
\[ O_0 = (\overline{t}_{0,0}, 0), \quad O_1 = (\overline{t}_{d_1,d_1v_1}, -d_1w_1), \]
\[ O_2 = (\overline{t}_{d_1,-d,d_2v_2}, -d_2w_2), \quad O_3 = (\overline{t}_{d_0,0}, 0). \]
Assume that \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) are sufficiently generic, so that these 4 objects form a transversal configuration on the torus \( \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2 \) (i.e., no three of them have a common intersection point). Consider the bases \( (e_{ab}(k)) \) of the spaces \( \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_a, O_b) \) defined by (2.1). Then the basis (1.6) of \( H^0(E, L_1) \) corresponds to
\[ \exp(\pi i r d_1 v_1^2) \cdot e_{01}(i), \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}/d_1 \mathbb{Z}. \]
Similarly finding the correct bases of other spaces we arrive to the following

**Lemma 2.1.** A collection \( \mathbf{c} = (c_{ijk}) \) is a Massey system for \( (L_1, L_2, L) \) iff the system of equations
\[
\sum_{ij} c_{ijk} m_2(e_{01}(i), e_{12}(j)) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}/d_2 \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.2}
\]
\[
\sum_{jk} c_{ijk} m_2(e_{12}(j), e_{23}(k)) = 0, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}/d_1 \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.3}
\]
holds. Assume that \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) are generic. Then for a Massey system \( \mathbf{c} \) one has
\[ MP(\mathbf{c})(\tau) = \exp(\pi i Q(v_1, v_2)) \cdot \sum_{ijk} c_{ijk} m_3(e_{01}(i), e_{12}(j), e_{23}(k)), \]
where \( Q \) is the quadratic form introduced in Theorem 1.2.

Now our plan is to compute explicitly the double and triple products appearing in this lemma. After rewriting the above formula for \( MP(\mathbf{c})(\tau) \) in a suitable form we will be able to get rid of the genericity assumption on \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \). Finally, we will apply these considerations to the universal Massey systems.

### 2.2. Double products
From now on we are going to switch to a slightly different notation for theta functions (similar to the one adopted in [10]): for a subset \( I \subset \mathbb{Q} \) of the form \( I = a \mathbb{Z} + b \), where \( a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \) and \( a \neq 0 \), we set
\[ \theta_I(z, \tau) = \sum_{m \in I} \exp(\pi i m^2 + 2\pi i mz). \]
The relation with the notation of section 1.4 is the following:
\[ \theta_{N,k}[r, s](z, \tau) = \theta_{Nz+k}\left(z + \frac{r\tau + s}{N}, \frac{\tau}{N}\right). \]
Let us set \( p_1 = dd_1/(d - d_1) \) and \( p_2 = dd_2/(d - d_2) \).

**Lemma 2.2.** For \( i \in \mathbb{Z}/d_1 \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z}/d_2 \mathbb{Z}, \) and \( k \in \mathbb{Z}/d_2 \mathbb{Z} \) one has
\[ m_2(e_{01}(i), e_{12}(j)) = \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}/(d - d_1) \mathbb{Z}} \theta_{I_1(u,i,j)}(p_1 x_1, p_1 \tau) e_{02}(u), \]
\[ m_2(e_{12}(j), e_{23}(k)) = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}/(d - d_2) \mathbb{Z}} \theta_{I_2(v,j,k)}(p_2 x_2, p_2 \tau) e_{13}(v), \]
An easy computation shows that if \( m \) should satisfy the following additional periodicity:

\[
\begin{aligned}
I_1(u, i, j) &= \left\{ m \in \mathbb{Q} : m \equiv \frac{-i}{d_1} \mod \mathbb{Z}, \quad \frac{dm}{d-d_1} \equiv \frac{-u}{d-d_1} \mod \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \\
I_2(v, j, k) &= \left\{ m \in \mathbb{Q} : m \equiv \frac{j}{d_1} \mod \mathbb{Z}, \quad \frac{dm}{d-d_2} \equiv \frac{v}{d-d_2} + \frac{k}{d_2} \mod \mathbb{Z} \right\}.
\end{aligned}
\]

**Proof.** Formula (2.5) of \cite{10} in our case gives

\[
m_2(c_{01}(i), c_{12}(j)) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}/I_1} \theta_{c_{1},-\frac{u}{d}+m(p_1x_1,p_1\tau)} c_{02}(i+j-md),
\]

where \( I_1 = I_1(0, 0, 0) \). This easily implies the first identity. The second formula is derived in the same way. \( \square \)

In the remainder of this section we assume that \( v_1 = v_2 = w_1 = w_2 = 0 \). Then a collection \((c_{ijk})\) is a universal Massey system iff the following system of equations is satisfied identically in \( \tau \):

\[
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{ij} c_{ijk} \theta_{I_1(u, i, j)}(0, p_1\tau) &= 0 \quad \text{where } k \in \mathbb{Z}/d_2 \mathbb{Z}, \quad u \in \mathbb{Z}/(d-d_1) \mathbb{Z}, \quad (2.4) \\
\sum_{jk} c_{ijk} \theta_{I_2(v, j, k)}(0, p_2\tau) &= 0 \quad \text{where } i \in \mathbb{Z}/d_1 \mathbb{Z}, \quad v \in \mathbb{Z}/(d-d_2) \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.5)
\end{aligned}
\]

We would like to rewrite these equations in the form similar to (1.1). Set \( d \mathbb{Z}^3 := d_1 \mathbb{Z} \times d_2 \mathbb{Z} \times d_1 \mathbb{Z} \). We can view \( c = (c_{ijk}) \) as a \( d \mathbb{Z}^3 \)-periodic function on \( \mathbb{Q}^3 \) supported on \( \mathbb{Z}^3 \), by setting \( c_{ijk} = 0 \) for \((i, j, k) \in \mathbb{Q}^3 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^3 \). Then the coefficient with \( q^{p_1 m^2/2} \) in the left-hand side of (2.4) comes from the terms in the sums defining \( \theta_{I_1(u, i, j)} \) corresponding to \( m \) and to \(-m\). It is easy to check that \( I_1(u, i, j) \) is nonempty iff \( u \equiv i + j \mod (d_1 \mathbb{Z}) \), where \( g_1 = \gcd(d_1, d) \). Note also that if we fix \( m \) and \( u \) then the condition \( m \in I_1(u, i, j) \) determines the pair \((i, j) \in \mathbb{Q}/d_1 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Q}/d_1 \mathbb{Z}\) uniquely. An easy computation shows that if \( m \in I_1(u, i, j) \) then

\[
-m \in I_1 \left( u, \frac{-2d_1 u}{d-d_1} - i, \frac{2du}{d-d_1} - j \right).
\]

Hence, (2.4) is equivalent to the following system of equations on a \( d \mathbb{Z}^3 \)-periodic function \( c \) on \( \mathbb{Q}^3 \) supported on \( \mathbb{Z}^3 \):

\[
c_{i,j,k} = -c_{-2d_1 u \frac{1}{d-d_1} - i, \frac{2du}{d-d_1} - j, k}, \quad (2.6)
\]

for every \((i, j, k) \in \mathbb{Q}^3 \) and \( u \in \mathbb{Z}/(d-d_1) \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( i + j \equiv u \mod (g_1 \mathbb{Z}) \).

Comparing the above equations for \((i, j, k, u) \) and \((i, j, k, g_1 + u) \) we derive that \( c \) should satisfy the following additional periodicity:

\[
c_{i,j,k} = c_{1, -\frac{2d_1 u}{d-d_1} - i, \frac{2du}{d-d_1} - j, k}, \quad (2.7)
\]
Since \( c \) is supported on \( \mathbb{Z}^3 \) this is possible only if \( \frac{2d_1g_1}{d-d_1} \) is an integer (then \( \frac{2d_2g_2}{d-d_2} \) is necessarily also an integer). Once the periodicity (2.7) is satisfied we can replace \( u \) with \( i + j \) in (2.6) and get an equivalent condition

\[
    c_{i,j,k} = -c \cdot \frac{g_1}{d-d_1} i - \frac{2d_1g_1}{d-d_1} j - \frac{2d_2g_2}{d-d_2} k
\]

(2.8)

for \((i, j, k) \in \mathbb{Q}^3\). Repeating this procedure with equation (2.5) we obtain the periodicity condition

\[
    c_{i,j,k} = c_{i,j,k} + \frac{2d_1g_1}{d-d_1} k - \frac{2d_2g_2}{d-d_2} k
\]

(2.9)

where \( g_2 = \gcd(d_2, d) \), together with the equation

\[
    c_{i,j,k} = -c_i \cdot \frac{g_1}{d-d_1} i + \frac{2d_1g_1}{d-d_1} j - \frac{2d_2g_2}{d-d_2} k
\]

(2.10)

Summarizing we obtain the following result.

**Lemma 2.3.** Assume that \( v_1 = v_2 = w_1 = w_2 = 0 \). Then for a \( d\mathbb{Z}^3 \)-periodic function \( c \) on \( \mathbb{Q}^3 \) supported on \( \mathbb{Z}^3 \) the system of equations (2.4), (2.5) is equivalent to the system (2.7), (2.8), (2.9), (2.10). A nonzero solution exists only if

\[
    \frac{2d_1g_1}{d-d_1} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2d_2g_2}{d-d_2} \in \mathbb{Z},
\]

where \( g_1 = \gcd(d_1, d) \) and \( g_2 = \gcd(d_2, d) \).

2.3. **Triple product.** Define the lattice

\[
    \Lambda = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{Q}^2: d_1\lambda_1 + (d-d_2)\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{d}\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad (d-d_1)\lambda_1 + d_2\lambda_2 \in d\mathbb{Z}\}
\]

and the sublattice \( \Lambda^0 = \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 \). Let us also consider the cone \( C = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2: x_1x_2 > 0\} \).

**Lemma 2.4.** Assume that \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) are generic. Then for a collection of constants \( c = (c_{i,j,k}) \) one has

\[
    \sum_{ijk} c_{ijk}m_3(e_{01}(i), e_{12}(j), e_{23}(k)) = \sum_{i,j,k,l \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \Theta_{ijkl}e_{03}(l)
\]

with

\[
    \Theta_{ijkl} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda^0(i,j,k,l) \cap (C-v)} \text{sign}(\lambda_1 + v_1) \exp[\pi i Q(\lambda) + 2\pi i \lambda \cdot (\tau v + w)],
\]

where \( Q \) is the quadratic form appearing in Theorem 1.2, \( \lambda \cdot v = \frac{1}{2}(Q(x + y) - Q(x) - Q(y)) \) is the associated bilinear form, \( v = (v_1, v_2) \), \( w = (w_1, w_2) \), and

\[
    \Lambda^0(i, j, k, l) = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2: \lambda_1 \equiv \frac{i}{d_1} - \frac{l}{d_0} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}, \lambda_2 \equiv \frac{l}{d_0} - \frac{k}{d_2} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}, \frac{d_1\lambda_1 + (d-d_2)\lambda_2}{d} \equiv \frac{j}{d} - \frac{k}{d_2} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}} \right\}.
\]
Proof. Applying formula (2.9) from [10] we find
\[
\sum_{i,j,k} c_{ijk} m_3(e_{01}(i), e_{12}(j), e_{23}(k)) = \sum_{(m,n)\in \Lambda^0} \Theta_{mn} c_{03}(i + j + k + d_0 n),
\]
where
\[
\Theta_{mn} = \sum_{\lambda \in (\Lambda^0 + (m,n) + u_{(i,j,k)}) \cap (C - v)} \text{sign}(\lambda_1 + v_1) \exp[\pi i r Q(\lambda) + 2\pi i \lambda \cdot (\tau v + w)],
\]
and
\[
u(i, j, k) = \left(\frac{i}{d_1} - \frac{i + j + k}{d_0}, \frac{i + j + k}{d_0} - \frac{k}{d_2}\right).
\]
It remains to collect terms with a given basis element \(c_{03}(l)\). \qed

2.4. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let us first fix \(\tau\) and assume that \(v_1\) and \(v_2\) are sufficiently generic real numbers. Then Lemmata 2.1 and 2.4 imply that for a Massey system \(c\) one has
\[
MP(c)_l = \exp(\pi i r Q(v)) \sum_{ijk} c_{ijk} \Theta_{ijk}, \tag{2.11}
\]
We are going to rewrite this expression in the form similar to \(\Theta_{Q,f}\). As in section 2.2, it is convenient to extend the function \((i, j, k) \mapsto c_{ijk}\) by zero from \(\mathbb{Z}^3/d\mathbb{Z}^3\) to \(\mathbb{Q}^3/d\mathbb{Z}^3\) (recall that \(d\mathbb{Z}^3 = d_1 \mathbb{Z} \times d_2 \mathbb{Z} \times d_3 \mathbb{Z}\)). Let us fix \(l \in \mathbb{Z}/d_0 \mathbb{Z}\) and consider the map
\[
\phi_l: \mathbb{Q}^2 \to \mathbb{Q}^3/d\mathbb{Z}^3, \quad (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \mapsto \left(d_1 \lambda_1 + \frac{d_1 l}{d_0}, d_2 \lambda_2 - d_1 \lambda_1 - \frac{dl}{d_0}, -d_2 \lambda_2 + \frac{d_2 l}{d_0}\right).
\]
It is easy to check that we have \(\lambda \in \Lambda^0(i, j, k, l)\) iff \(\phi_l(\lambda) = (i, j, k)\). Hence, we can rewrite (2.11) as follows:
\[
MP(c)_l = \exp(\pi i r Q(v)) \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^3/r(C - v)} \text{sign}(\lambda_1 + v_1) c_{\phi_l(\lambda)} \exp[\pi i r Q(\lambda) + 2\pi i \lambda \cdot (\tau v + w)], \tag{2.12}
\]
where \(\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)\). We claim that the sums of the function
\[
\lambda \mapsto c_{\phi_l(\lambda)} \exp[\pi i r Q(\lambda) + 2\pi i \lambda \cdot (\tau v + w)]
\]
over vertical and horizontal lines in \(\mathbb{Q}^2\) are zero. This is equivalent to the following system of identities:
\[
\sum_{ijk} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda^0(i, j, k, l) \cap L_r(a)} \exp[\pi i r Q(\lambda) + 2\pi i \lambda \cdot (\tau v + w)] = 0, \quad a \in \mathbb{Q}, \ r = 1, 2, \tag{2.13}
\]
where \(L_r(a) = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2): \lambda_1 = a\}\). To prove the identity corresponding to \(r = 2\) let us change the variables \((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)\) to \((m_1, \lambda_2)\) where
\[
m_1 = \frac{d_2 \lambda_2 + (d - d_1) \lambda_1}{d}.
\]
It is easy to check that in these new variables the quadratic form \(Q\) takes the form
\[
Q(m_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{d_1 d}{d - d_1} m_1^2 - \frac{d_0 d}{d - d_1} \lambda_2.
\]
On the other hand, the conditions defining \( \Lambda^0(i, j, k, l) \) become

\[
\Lambda^0(i, j, k, l) = \left\{ (m_1, \lambda_2) : m_1 \equiv \frac{i}{d_1} + \frac{j}{d} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}, \\
\lambda_2 \equiv \frac{l}{d_0} - \frac{k}{d_2} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}, \\
\frac{dm_1 - d_0\lambda_2}{d - d_1} \equiv \frac{i}{d_1} - \frac{k}{d_2} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}} \right\}.
\]

Hence, the set \( \Lambda^0(i, j, k, l) \cap L_2(a) \) is empty unless

\[
a \equiv \frac{l}{d_0} - \frac{k}{d_2} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.
\]

This congruence implies that

\[
d_0 a \equiv -d_0k \equiv \frac{(d - d_1)k}{d_2} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.
\]

Hence, there exists \( u \in \mathbb{Z}/(d - d_1)\mathbb{Z} \) such that

\[
\frac{u}{d - d_1} \equiv \frac{d_0 a}{d - d_1} - \frac{k}{d_2} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.
\]

In this situation we have

\[
\Lambda^0(i, j, k, l) \cap L_2(a) = \{(m_1, a) : -m_1 \in I_1(u, i, j)\}.
\]

Now using the above formula for \( Q(m_1, \lambda_2) \) one can easily verify that for fixed \( k \in \mathbb{Z}/d_2\mathbb{Z} \) the identity

\[
\sum_{ij} c_{ij} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda^0(i, j, k, l) \cap L_2(a)} \exp[\pi i \tau Q(\lambda) + 2\pi i \lambda \cdot (\tau v + w)] = 0
\]

is either trivial (when \( \Lambda^0(i, j, k, l) = \emptyset \) for all \((i, j)\)) or equivalent to (2.4) with \( u \) chosen as above. Summing over all \( k \) we derive (2.13) for \( r = 2 \). The proof for \( r = 1 \) is very similar: one should start by changing the variables \((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)\) to \((\lambda_1, m_2)\), where

\[
m_2 = \frac{d_1\lambda_1 + (d - d_2)\lambda_2}{d}.
\]

It follows that the summation pattern in the sum (2.12) can be replaced with the pattern used to define indefinite theta series (see Section 1.1):

\[
\exp(-\pi i \tau Q(v))MP(c)_l = \left( \sum_{\lambda_1 \geq 0, \lambda_2 \geq 0} - \sum_{\lambda_1 < 0, \lambda_2 < 0} \right) c_{\phi_1(\lambda)} \exp[\pi i \tau Q(\lambda) + 2\pi i \lambda \cdot (\tau v + w)].
\]

Now we claim that this formula holds also for arbitrary \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) (not necessarily generic). Indeed, by Lemma 1.4 the Massey product \( MP(c)_l \) is a continuous function of \((c, v_1, v_2)\) varying in a total space of a vector bundle over \( \mathbb{R}^2 \). Since the right-hand side of (2.14) also depends continuously on \((c, v_1, v_2)\) our claim follows.
Finally, let us consider the situation of Theorem 1.2, so we assume that \( c \) is a universal Massey system (and \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) are rational). Making the change of variables \( x = \lambda + v \) and using (2.13) we can rewrite (2.14) as follows:

\[
MP(c)_l = \exp\left(-2\pi i v \cdot w\right) \left( \sum_{x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0} - \sum_{x_1 < 0, x_2 < 0} \right) c_{\phi(x-v)} \exp(\pi i \tau Q(x) + 2\pi i x \cdot w)
\]

\[
= \left( \sum_{x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0} - \sum_{x_1 < 0, x_2 < 0} \right) f(x) q^{Q(x)/2},
\]

where for \( x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \) we set

\[
f(x) = f_{c,l}(x) = c_{\phi_l(x-v)} \exp(2\pi i (x - v) \cdot w).
\]

As we have seen above the sums of \( f(x) q^{Q(x)/2} \) over all vertical and horizontal lines in \( \mathbb{Q}^2 \) are zero. Hence, \( f \) satisfies (1.1) and we are done. \( \square \)

2.5. Proof of Theorem 1.3. We start by analyzing in more detail the correspondence \( c \mapsto f_{c,l} \) constructed in the proof of Theorem 1.2. In what follows we assume that the parameters \( v \) and \( w \) are equal to zero.

It is convenient to change the meaning of the parameter \( l \) by allowing it to run through \( \mathbb{Q} \), so that our previous expressions containing \( l \) should be viewed as \( d_0 \mathbb{Z} \)-periodic functions of \( l \) supported on \( \mathbb{Z} \). Let us consider the homomorphism

\[
\phi: \mathbb{Q}^3 \to \mathbb{Q}^3, \quad (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l) \mapsto \left( d_1 \lambda_1 + \frac{d_1 l}{d_0}, d_2 \lambda_2 - d_1 \lambda_1 - \frac{d_2 l}{d_0}, -d_2 \lambda_2 + \frac{d_2 l}{d_0} \right).
\]

For \( l \in \mathbb{Z} \) it is related to the homomorphisms \( \phi_l \) introduced in the proof of Theorem 1.2:

\[
\phi_l(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \phi(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l) \mod d\mathbb{Z}^3.
\]

It is easy to see that \( \phi \) is invertible and that

\[
\phi^{-1}(i, j, k) = \left( \frac{i}{d_1} - \frac{i + j + k}{d_0}, \frac{-k}{d_2} + \frac{i + j + k}{d_0}, i + j + k \right).
\]

Let us set \( \Gamma := \phi^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}^3) \). The above formula for \( \phi^{-1} \) shows that \( \Gamma \) is contained in \( \mathbb{Q}^2 \times \mathbb{Z} \), so we can write

\[
\Gamma = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(l) \times \{l\},
\]

with some \( \Gamma(l) \subset \mathbb{Q}^2 \). Since the restriction of \( \phi \) to \( \mathbb{Q}^2 \times \{l\} \) is essentially \( \phi_l \), we obtain that

\[
\Gamma(l) = \bigcup_{(i,j,k) \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \Lambda^0(i, j, k, l).
\]

Let us also consider the lattice

\[
\Gamma = p_{12}(\Gamma) = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(l),
\]
where \( p_{12} : \mathbb{Q}^3 \to \mathbb{Q}^2 \) is the projection on the first two components. When we need to show the dependence of \( \Gamma \) on \((d_1, d_2, d)\) we will write \( \Gamma_{d_1,d_2,d} \). From the relation 
\[
\Gamma = p_{12}\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}^3)
\]
we have \( \Gamma_{Nd_1,Nd_2,Nd} = \frac{1}{N} \Gamma_{d_1,d_2,d} \).

Let us set \( \tilde{\Lambda} := \phi^{-1}(d\mathbb{Z}^3) \). One can easily check that 
\[ p(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \Lambda, \]
where \( \Lambda \) was defined in Section 2.3. It will turn out to be crucial for us that unlike \( \Gamma \), the lattice \( \Lambda \) does not change when we rescale \((d_1, d_2, d)\) to \((Nd_1, Nd_2, Nd)\).

Set \( e_3 = (0, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{Q}^3 \). Note that we have the inclusions \( d_0\mathbb{Z}e_3 \subset \tilde{\Lambda} \subset \tilde{\Gamma} \). Let us denote by \( p : \tilde{\Gamma} / d_0\mathbb{Z}e_3 \to \Gamma \) the map induced by the projection \( p_{12} \). Then \( p \) is surjective with the kernel
\[
\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}^3) \cap \mathbb{Q}e_3 / d_0\mathbb{Z}e_3 = \frac{d_0}{g}\mathbb{Z}e_3 / d_0\mathbb{Z}e_3,
\]
where \( g \) is the greatest common divisor of \( d, d_1 \) and \( d_2 \). As before we view \( c = (c_{ijk}) \) as a \( d\mathbb{Z}^3 \)-periodic function on \( \mathbb{Q}^3 \) supported on \( \mathbb{Z}^3 \). For such a function we have
\[
f_c := \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}/d_0\mathbb{Z}} f_{c,t} \equiv p_0 \phi^* c,
\]
where \( \phi^* \) denotes the pull-back and \( p_0 \) the push-forward (the summation over fibers of \( p \)).

The homomorphisms \( \phi \) and \( p_{12} \) induce isomorphisms
\[
\mathbb{Z}^3 / \left( d\mathbb{Z}^3 + \frac{d_0}{g}\mathbb{Z} \phi(e_3) \right) \cong \tilde{\Gamma} / \left( \frac{d_0}{g}\mathbb{Z}e_3 + \tilde{\Lambda} \right) \cong \Gamma / \Lambda,
\]
so we get a natural identification between the space of \( (d\mathbb{Z}^3 + \frac{d_0}{g}\mathbb{Z} \phi(e_3)) \)-periodic functions on \( \mathbb{Z}^3 \) and the space of \( \Lambda \)-periodic functions on \( \Gamma \). Hence, if \( c \) is \( \frac{d_0}{g}\mathbb{Z} \phi(e_3) \)-periodic then \( \frac{1}{g}f_c \) is the \( \Lambda \)-periodic function on \( \Gamma \) corresponding to \( c \) under the above identification. Furthermore, as we have seen in the proof of Theorem 1.2, if \( c \) is a universal Massey system then \( f_c \) satisfies (1.1). The following lemma asserts that the converse is also true for \( c \) satisfying some additional periodicity conditions.

**Lemma 2.5.** Assume that
\[
\frac{2d_1g_1}{d-d_1} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2d_2g_2}{d-d_2} \in \mathbb{Z},
\]
where \( g_1 = \gcd(d_1, d) \) and \( g_2 = \gcd(d_2, d) \), and let us define the following elements in \( \tilde{\Gamma} \):
\[
z_1 = \left( \frac{2d_1g_1}{d_0(d-d_1)}, \frac{2g_1}{d_0}, 2g_1 \right), \quad z_2 = \left( -\frac{2g_2}{d_0}, \frac{2d_1g_2}{d_0(d-d_2)}, 2g_2 \right).
\]
Denote by \( \Delta \subset \tilde{\Gamma} \) the subgroup generated by \( \frac{d_0}{g}e_3, z_1, z_2 \). Let \( C(\mathbb{Z}^3 / (\phi(\Delta) + d\mathbb{Z}^3)) \) be the space of \( (\phi(\Delta) + d\mathbb{Z}^3) \)-periodic functions \( c = (c_{ijk}) \) on \( \mathbb{Z}^3 \) such that systems of equations (2.4) and (2.5) hold identically in \( \tau \). Let also \( F(\Gamma / (p_{12}(\Delta) + \Lambda)) \) be
the space of \((p_{12}(\Delta) + \Lambda)\)-periodic functions \(f\) on \(\mathbb{Q}^2\) with support in \(\Gamma\) satisfying (1.1). Then the map
\[
C(\mathbb{Z}^3/d\mathbb{Z}^3 + \phi(\Delta)) \rightarrow F(\Gamma/(p_{12}(\Delta) + \Lambda)), \quad c \mapsto f_c
\]
is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since the map \(c \mapsto \frac{1}{2}f_c\) is induced by the isomorphism (2.16), it is clear that it transforms \(\phi(\Delta)\)-periodicity to \(p_{12}(\Delta)\)-periodicity. Therefore, we only have to check that if \(c\) is a \(\phi(\Delta) + d\mathbb{Z}^3\)-periodic function on \(\mathbb{Z}^3\) such that \(f_c\) satisfies (1.1), then (2.4) and (2.5) hold for \(c\). Now we observe that the periodicity of \(c\) with respect to the subgroups \(Z\phi(z_1)\) and \(Z\phi(z_2)\) is exactly the periodicity given by equations (2.7) and (2.9). Hence, by Lemma 2.3 it suffices to check that \(c\) satisfies equations (2.8) and (2.10). Consider the operators \(\tilde{A} = A \times \text{id}\) and \(\tilde{B} = B \times \text{id}\) on \(\mathbb{Q}^3 = \mathbb{Q}^2 \times \mathbb{Q}\), where
\[
A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -\frac{2d_1}{d-b} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{d-b} & 0 \\ \frac{2d_2}{d-b} & -1 \end{pmatrix}
\]
are the operators appearing in (1.1) for our quadratic form \(Q\). Our assertion follows immediately from the fact that the operators on \((i, j, k)\) entering in equations (2.8) and (2.10) are exactly \(\phi\tilde{A}\phi^{-1}\) and \(\phi\tilde{B}\phi^{-1}\).

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.3. Let \(Q(m, n) = am^2 + 2bmn + cn^2\), where \(a, b\) and \(c\) are positive rational numbers such that \(D = b^2 - ac > 0\). Note that we can change the variables \((m, n)\) to \((xm, yn)\), where \(x\) and \(y\) are positive rational numbers, and change the data \((Q, f)\) accordingly without changing the series \(\Theta_{Q,f}(q)\). Hence, we are allowed to rescale \((a, b, c)\) to \((x^2a, xyb, y^2c)\). Since \(b^2/ac > 1\) using such rescaling we can achieve that \(b > a\) and \(b > c\). Now let us define positive rational numbers \((d_1, d_2, d)\) by setting
\[
d_1 = \frac{D}{b - c}, \quad d_2 = \frac{D}{b - a}, \quad d = \frac{d_1d_2}{b} = \frac{D^2}{b(b - a)(b - c)}.
\]
Then \(Q = Q_{d_1, d_2, d}\) and the inequalities \(d > d_1, d > d_2\) and \(d_0 = d_1 + d_2 - d > 0\) hold (the last inequality follows from the formula \(d_0 = \frac{4D}{d_d}\)). Making the change of variables \(m = Nm', n = Nn'\) we find
\[
\Theta_{Q,f} = \Theta_{N^2Q,f'} = \Theta_{Q_{N^2d_1, N^2d_2, N^2d}}
\]
where \(f'(m', n') = f(Nm', Nn')\). Assume that \(f\) is supported on a lattice \(T \subset \mathbb{Q}^2\) and is \(T^0\)-periodic for a sublattice \(T^0 \subset T\). Then \(f'\) is supported on \(\frac{1}{N}T\) and is \(\frac{1}{N}T^0\)-periodic. If we choose \(N\) sufficiently divisible then all the numbers
\[
N^2d_1, N^2d_2, N^2d, \frac{2d_1g_1}{d - d_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2d_2g_2}{d - d_2}
\]
will become integers and the following inclusions will hold:
\[
p_{12}(\Delta) + \Lambda \subset \frac{1}{N}T^0, \quad \frac{1}{N}T \subset \frac{1}{N^2}T^0 \Gamma_{d_1, d_2, d} = \Gamma_{N^2d_1, N^2d_2, N^2d}.
\]
Note that the lattice \( p_{12}(\Delta) + \Lambda \) does not change when we rescale \((d_1, d_2, d)\). Hence, renaming \((N^2d_1, N^2d_2, N^2d, f')\) to \((d_1, d_2, d, f)\) we reduce ourselves to the situation when \( Q = Q_{d_1, d_2, d} \), where \((d_1, d_2, d)\) are integers satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 2.5, and the function \( f \) is \((p_{12}(\Delta) + \Lambda)\)-periodic and is supported on \( \Gamma \).

Applying Lemma 2.5 we find a universal Massey system \( c \) such that \( f = f_c \). Finally, as we have shown in the proof of Theorem 1.2, one has

\[
\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} / d_0 \mathbb{Z}} MP(c)_l = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} / d_0 \mathbb{Z}} \Theta_{Q,f_c,l}(q) = \Theta_{Q,f_c}(q).
\]

\[\Box\]
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