

## RELATIVELY AND INNER UNIFORM DOMAINS

JUSSI VÄISÄLÄ

ABSTRACT. We generalize the concept of a uniform domain in Banach spaces into two directions. (1) The ordinary metric  $d$  of a domain is replaced by a metric  $e \geq d$ , in particular, by the inner metric of the domain. (2) The uniformity condition is supposed to hold only for certain pairs of points of the domain. We consider neargeodesics and solid arcs in these domains. Applications to the boundary behavior of quasiconformal maps are given. In particular, we study maps between domains of the form  $E \times B$ , where  $E$  is a Banach space and  $B$  is a ball.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Uniform domains in euclidean  $n$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  were introduced by O. Martio and J. Sarvas [MaS] in 1979, and independently by P.W. Jones [Jo1]. The notion was generalized for domains in Banach spaces by the author [Vä6]. There are plenty of equivalent characterizations for uniform domains. We recall the definition based on the quasihyperbolic metric. The alternative geometric approach will be considered in 2.16.

Let  $E$  be a real Banach space with  $\dim E \geq 2$ , and let  $G \subsetneq E$  be a domain. For  $x \in G$  we let  $\delta(x) = \delta_G(x)$  denote the distance  $d(x, \partial G)$  between  $x$  and the boundary  $\partial G$  of  $G$ . The *quasihyperbolic* (QH) *length* of a rectifiable arc  $\gamma \subset G$  is the line integral

$$(1.2) \quad l_k(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \frac{|dx|}{\delta(x)}.$$

The QH *distance* between points  $a, b \in G$  is

$$(1.3) \quad k(a, b) = k_G(a, b) = \inf l_k(\gamma)$$

over all rectifiable arcs  $\gamma$  joining  $a$  and  $b$  in  $G$ . The function  $k = k_G$  is the QH *metric* of  $G$ .

For real numbers  $r, s$  we use the notation:

$$r \wedge s = \min(r, s), \quad r \vee s = \max(r, s).$$

For  $a, b \in G$  we write

$$(1.4) \quad r_G(a, b) = \frac{|a - b|}{\delta(a) \wedge \delta(b)}, \quad j_G(a, b) = \log(1 + r_G(a, b)).$$

---

Received by the editors September 18, 1997 and, in revised form, April 14, 1998.  
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30C65.

By a basic estimate for the QH metric [GP, 2.1], [Vä5, 2.2(1)], we have always

$$(1.5) \quad j_G(a, b) \leq k_G(a, b).$$

Let  $c \geq 1$ . The domain  $G$  is said to be *quasihyperbolically* (QH) *c-uniform* if

$$(1.6) \quad k_G(a, b) \leq cj_G(a, b)$$

for all  $a, b \in G$ .

Replacing the distance  $|a - b|$  in (1.4) by the *inner length distance*

$$(1.7) \quad \lambda(a, b) = \lambda_G(a, b) = \inf l(\gamma)$$

over all arcs  $\gamma$  joining  $a$  and  $b$  in  $G$ , we obtain a larger class of domains, called QH *inner c-uniform domains*. These have been recently considered by Z. Balogh and A. Volberg [BV1],[BV2], and by M. Bonk, J. Heinonen and P. Koskela [BHK]. In [BV1], these domains are called *uniformly John domains*, and the definition is slightly different from the above; see 3.13.

In order to handle these and some other properties simultaneously, we assume that  $e$  is a metric of  $G$  such that

$$(1.8) \quad |a - b| \leq e(a, b) \leq \lambda_G(a, b)$$

for all  $a, b \in G$ . We say that  $G$  is QH  $(c, e)$ -uniform if

$$(1.9) \quad k_G(a, b) \leq cj_G(a, b; e)$$

for all  $a, b \in G$ , where

$$(1.10) \quad j_G(a, b; e) = \log(1 + r_G(a, b; e)), \quad r_G(a, b; e) = \frac{e(a, b)}{\delta(a) \wedge \delta(b)}.$$

The case  $e(a, b) = |a - b|$  gives ordinary QH  $c$ -uniform domains; the case  $e = \lambda_G$  gives the QH inner  $c$ -uniform domains.

Throughout the paper,  $e$  will be a metric of  $G$  satisfying (1.8) (unless  $e = 2.718281\dots$  in obvious cases). For example,  $e$  may be the *inner diameter metric*  $\varrho_G$ , defined by

$$(1.11) \quad \varrho_G(a, b) = \inf d(\gamma)$$

over all arcs  $\gamma$  joining  $a$  and  $b$  in  $G$ ; here  $d(\gamma)$  is the diameter of  $\gamma$  in the norm metric. If  $e \leq e'$  are two metrics, then every QH  $(c, e)$ -uniform domain is trivially QH  $(c, e')$ -uniform.

For example, balls and half spaces are QH  $c$ -uniform with a universal  $c$ . A disk in the plane with a radial slit is QH inner uniform but not QH uniform. A parallel strip in the plane is not QH inner uniform.

In this paper we consider *relative* versions of uniformity and inner uniformity. If  $A \subset G$  and if (1.6) holds for all  $a, b \in A$ , we say that  $G$  is QH  $c$ -uniform *relative to*  $A$ , or briefly, QH  $c$ -uniform *rel*  $A$ . If  $R > 0$  and if  $G$  is QH  $c$ -uniform *rel*  $A$  for all  $A \subset G$  with diameter  $d(A) \leq R$ , we say that  $G$  is *R-boundedly* QH  $c$ -uniform. Equivalently, this means that (1.6) holds whenever  $a, b \in G$  and  $|a - b| \leq R$ .

Following the usual practice, we omit the parameters in this and later terminology if we do not want to specify their values. For example, a domain is *boundedly* QH uniform if it is *R-boundedly* QH  $c$ -uniform for some  $R$  and  $c$ .

The corresponding relative QH inner and  $(c, e)$ -uniformity properties are defined in the obvious way. For example,  $G$  is *R-boundedly*  $(c, e)$ -uniform if (1.9) holds whenever  $e(a, b) \leq R$ .

For example, a parallel strip in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is  $R$ -boundedly QH  $c$ -uniform with some constant  $c = c_R$  for all  $R > 0$ . More generally, let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be Banach spaces and let  $B_2$  be the unit ball of  $E_2$ . Then the domain  $G_0 = E_1 \times B_2$  is  $R$ -boundedly QH  $c_R$ -uniform for all  $R > 0$ .

The purpose of this paper is to develop a theory of relatively and boundedly uniform and inner uniform domains. In particular, we study neargeodesics and solid arcs in these domains.

The general theory of uniform domains and their generalizations in a Banach space  $E$  is given in Section 2, and the special case  $E = \mathbb{R}^n$  is considered in Section 3. Since I hope that these sections can be used in the future as a “handbook” on uniform domains and their generalizations, the results are given in a rather general form. We also give in 2.42 a new characterization of uniform domains, based on the invariance of neargeodesics under domain enlarging. Section 4 deals with coarsely quasihyperbolic maps  $f : G \rightarrow G'$ ; the definition is recalled in 4.2. In the case  $E = \mathbb{R}^n$ , this class contains all quasiconformal maps and also the pseudo-isometries of Thurston [Th, 5.9]. The main result 4.8 gives a sufficient condition for  $f$  to have a limit at a point  $b \in \partial G$ . In Section 5 we consider the special case where the domain is of the form  $G_0 = E_1 \times B_2$ . For example, if  $f : G_0 \rightarrow G_0$  is  $C$ -coarsely  $M$ -QH and if  $\dim E_1 \geq 2$ , then  $f$  extends to a homeomorphism  $\bar{f} : \bar{G}_0 \rightarrow \bar{G}_0$  with  $\bar{f}(\infty) = \infty$ . Moreover,  $\bar{f}$  is quasisymmetric rel  $\partial G_0$ , and the horizontal and the vertical distortions of  $f$  are controlled by  $(M, C)$ .

As a special case we get results on  $K$ -quasiconformal maps of  $G_0 = \mathbb{R}^p \times B^q \subset \mathbb{R}^{p+q}$ . Alternatively, these results could be obtained by using moduli of suitable path families. However, the author believes that in the theory of quasiconformal maps, one should try to find alternative proofs for various results by replacing the path families by quasihyperbolic arguments, since this would often give stronger results, where (1) quasiconformality is replaced by solidity or by coarse quasihyperbolicity and (2)  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is replaced by an arbitrary Banach space.

The basic theory of uniform and relatively uniform domains is valid in all normed spaces. However, in the applications to the boundary behavior of maps, the completeness of the space is essential.

1.12. *Notation.* Throughout the paper,  $E$  will denote a real Banach space with  $\dim E \geq 2$ , and  $G$  is always a *proper* subdomain of  $E$ . The norm of a vector  $x \in E$  is written as  $|x|$ . For balls and spheres we use the fairly standard notation

$$B(a, r) = \{x : |x - a| < r\}, \quad \bar{B}(a, r) = \{x : |x - a| \leq r\}, \\ S(a, r) = \{x : |x - a| = r\}.$$

The center  $a$  can be omitted if it is the origin. In particular,  $B(1)$  is the open unit ball of  $E$ .

By an *arc* we mean a set homeomorphic to a real interval, which may be closed, open, or half open. We write  $\gamma : a \curvearrowright b$  if  $\gamma$  is an arc with endpoints  $a$  and  $b$ . This notation also gives an orientation for  $\gamma$  such that  $a$  is the first point. If  $x$  and  $y$  are points of an arc  $\gamma$ , we let  $\gamma[x, y]$  denote the compact subarc of  $\gamma$  between  $x$  and  $y$ . For half open subarcs we use the obvious notation  $\gamma[x, y)$ .

Notation like  $ab/xyz$  means  $(ab)/(xyz)$ .

If  $\varrho$  is a metric of a set  $X$ , we let  $\varrho(A)$  denote the  $\varrho$ -diameter of a set  $A \subset X$ , and  $\varrho(A, B)$  is the  $\varrho$ -distance between nonempty subsets  $A, B$  of  $X$ . In a Banach space  $E$  we use the alternative notation  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ , and thus  $d(A)$  denotes the ordinary diameter of a set  $A \subset E$ .

I thank the referee for useful comments and corrections.

## 2. RELATIVE, BOUNDED AND INNER UNIFORMITY

2.1. *Summary.* An alternative title for this section could be: Handbook on uniform domains and their generalizations. We extend the theory of uniform and inner uniform domains in a Banach space to domains that are uniform relative to a subset or boundedly uniform. The proofs are often rather easy modifications of the absolute (nonrelative) case. In addition to the QH approach given in the introduction, we define relative and bounded uniformity in terms of cigars. In 2.42 we give a new characterization for uniform domains in terms of neargeodesics. This is applied in 2.44 to show that uniform domains are subinvariant under QH maps.

2.2. **Terminology.** We recall some concepts introduced in [Vä6]. Let  $h \geq 0$  and let  $\gamma$  be an arc in a metric space  $(X, \varrho)$ . The  $h$ -coarse length of  $\gamma$  is defined as

$$l(\gamma, h) = \sup \sum_{j=1}^k \varrho(x_{j-1}, x_j),$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite sequences  $(x_0, \dots, x_k)$  of successive points of  $\gamma$  such that  $\varrho(x_{j-1}, x_j) \geq h$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . If there is no such sequence, then  $l(\gamma, h) = 0$ . For  $h = 0$  we obtain the ordinary length  $l(\gamma) = l(\gamma, 0)$  of  $\gamma$ . If  $\gamma$  is compact and  $h > 0$ , then  $l(\gamma, h) < \infty$ . The basic theory of coarse length is given in [Vä6, Sec. 4].

We shall use the coarse length in the case where  $X = G \subsetneq E$  is a domain and  $\varrho = k$  is the QH metric of  $G$ , defined in 1.1. We let  $l_k(\gamma, h)$  denote the  $h$ -coarse QH length of an arc  $\gamma \subset G$ . Then  $l_k(\gamma, 0) = l_k(\gamma)$  is the QH length of  $\gamma$ , defined by (1.2).

A metric space  $(X, \varrho)$  is  $c$ -quasiconvex if each pair of points  $a, b \in X$  can be joined by an arc  $\gamma \subset X$  with  $l(\gamma) \leq c\varrho(a, b)$ . In particular, an arc  $\gamma \subset X$  is  $c$ -quasiconvex if and only if  $l(\gamma[x, y]) \leq c\varrho(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in \gamma$ .

An arc  $\gamma$  in a domain  $G$  is a  $c$ -neargeodesic if  $\gamma$  is  $c$ -quasiconvex in the QH metric. In other words,

$$(2.3) \quad l_k(\gamma[x, y]) \leq ck(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in \gamma$ . Given points  $a, b \in G$  and a number  $c > 1$ , we can always join  $a$  and  $b$  by a  $c$ -neargeodesic in  $G$ ; see [Vä6, 3.3]. If  $\dim E < \infty$ , this is possible with  $c = 1$ ; then  $\gamma$  is a *geodesic* of the QH metric.

More generally, let  $h \geq 0$  and  $c \geq 1$ . An arc  $\gamma \subset G$  is  $(c, h)$ -solid in  $G$  if

$$(2.4) \quad l_k(\gamma[x, y], h) \leq ck(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in \gamma$ . Thus  $(c, 0)$ -solid arcs are  $c$ -neargeodesics. Alternatively, the solid arcs can be characterized as coarsely bilipschitz images of real intervals. We prove this in 2.7, but the result is not needed in this paper.

Let  $e$  be a metric of  $G$  satisfying (1.8). Recall from 1.12 that  $e(A)$  is the  $e$ -diameter of a set  $A \subset G$ . If  $e \leq \varrho_G$  and  $A$  is connected, then  $e(A) = d(A)$  is independent of  $e$  by [Vä4, 2.13], but  $\lambda(A)$  can be larger. On the other hand, the length of an arc  $\gamma \subset G$  in each metric  $e$  is easily seen to be equal to the ordinary length  $l(\gamma)$ .

The following two results are  $\lambda$ -versions of [Vä5, 2.2(1)] and [Vä6, 4.5]. In fact, the proofs of the original results also give these stronger versions. Of course, they are true if  $\lambda$  is replaced by any metric  $e \leq \lambda$ . The first one is well known.

**2.5. Lemma.** For all  $a, b \in G$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} k(a, b) &\geq j_G(a, b; \lambda) = \log \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda_G(a, b)}{\delta(a) \wedge \delta(b)} \right), \\ \lambda_G(a, b) &\leq (\delta(a) \wedge \delta(b))(e^{k(a, b)} - 1). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**2.6. Lemma.** Suppose that  $r > 0$  and that  $\gamma$  is a  $(c, h)$ -solid arc in  $G \cap (\partial G + \bar{B}(r))$ . Then

$$\lambda_G(\gamma) \leq Mr(h \vee l_k(\gamma, h)),$$

where  $M = M(h) = (e^h - 1)/h$  for  $h > 0$  and  $M(0) = 1$ . If  $h = 0$ , that is, if  $\gamma$  is a  $c$ -neargeodesic, then

$$l(\gamma) \leq rl_k(\gamma). \quad \square$$

**2.7. Proposition.** Let  $J$  be a real interval, and let  $g : J \rightarrow G$  be an embedding such that

$$(2.8) \quad (|x - y| - C)/M \leq k(gx, gy) \leq M|x - y| + C$$

for all  $x, y \in J$ . Then  $\gamma = gJ$  is a  $(c, h)$ -solid arc in  $G$  with  $c = 2M(M + 1)$ ,  $h = (2M + 1)C$ . Conversely, if  $\gamma \subset G$  is a  $(c, h)$ -solid arc, there is an interval  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$  and a homeomorphism  $g : J \rightarrow \gamma$  such that (2.8) holds with  $M = c$ ,  $C = 2h$ .

*Proof.* The first part of the proposition follows directly from [Vä6, 4.11]. We prove the second part in the case where  $\gamma$  has precisely one endpoint  $a$ ; the other cases are obtained by easy modifications.

Choose inductively successive points  $x_0, x_1, \dots$  of  $\gamma$  such that  $x_0 = a$  and  $x_j$  is the first point after  $x_{j-1}$  with  $k(x_{j-1}, x_j) = h$ . If no such point exists for  $j = N$ , the process stops, and we get a finite sequence  $x_0, \dots, x_{N-1}$ . In this case we set  $J = [0, Nh)$ , otherwise  $J = [0, \infty)$ .

Set  $\Delta_j = [(j-1)h, jh)$ , and choose homeomorphisms  $g_j : \Delta_j \rightarrow \gamma[x_{j-1}, x_j)$  with  $g_j((j-1)h) = x_{j-1}$ . In the finite case, we also choose a homeomorphism  $g_N : \Delta_N \rightarrow \gamma \setminus \gamma[a, x_{N-1})$ . We show that the map  $g : J \rightarrow \gamma$ , defined by  $g|_{\Delta_j} = g_j$ , satisfies (2.8).

Suppose that  $x \in \Delta_j$  and  $y \in \Delta_k$  with  $k = j + s \geq j$ . If  $s \leq 1$ , then  $k(gx, gy) \leq 2h$ . Assume that  $s \geq 2$ . Now  $(s-1)h \leq |x - y| \leq (s+1)h$ , and

$$k(gx, gy) \leq 2h + \sum_{i=j+1}^{k-1} |x_{i-1} - x_i| = (s+1)h \leq |x - y| + 2h,$$

which implies the second inequality of (2.8). Since  $k(x_{i-1}, x_i) = h$  for all  $i$ , we have  $l_k(\gamma[gx, gy], h) \geq (s-1)h$ . By the  $(c, h)$ -solidity of  $\gamma$ , this implies that  $(s-1)h \leq ck(gx, gy)$ , and we obtain the first inequality of (2.8) with  $M = c$ ,  $C = 2h$ .  $\square$

**2.9. Quasihyperbolic  $\psi$ -uniformity.** Let  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a homeomorphism. A domain  $G$  is QH  $\psi$ -uniform if

$$(2.10) \quad k_G(a, b) \leq \psi(r_G(a, b))$$

for all  $a, b \in G$ . For notation, see (1.3) and (1.4). In the special case  $\psi(t) = c \log(1+t)$ , we obtain the QH  $c$ -uniform domains defined in 1.1. The terminology is somewhat ambiguous, but we shall always use  $\psi$  for functions and  $c$  for constants.

For example, every convex domain is QH  $\psi$ -uniform with  $\psi(t) = t$ . However, to get interesting results we must assume that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} = 0.$$

Functions  $\psi$  with this property are called *slow*. For example, the function  $\psi(t) = c \log(1+t)$  is slow. In fact, if  $G$  is QH  $\psi$ -uniform with a slow  $\psi$ , then  $G$  is QH  $c$ -uniform with a constant  $c = c(\psi)$  [Vä6, 6.16].

If  $A \subset G$  and if (2.10) holds for all  $a, b \in A$ , we say that  $G$  is QH  $\psi$ -uniform relative to  $A$ , or briefly QH  $\psi$ -uniform rel  $A$ . If  $R > 0$  and if (2.10) holds for all  $a, b \in G$  with  $|a - b| \leq R$ , then  $G$  is said to be  $R$ -boundedly QH  $\psi$ -uniform. For  $\psi(t) = c \log(1+t)$ , we obtain the corresponding QH  $c$ -uniform properties defined in 1.1.

Inner and  $e$ -versions of QH  $\psi$ -uniformity are defined in the obvious way. For example,  $G$  is QH  $(\psi, e)$ -uniform if

$$k_G(a, b) \leq \psi(r_G(a, b; e))$$

for all  $a, b \in G$ , where  $r_G(a, b; e)$  is defined in (1.10). Furthermore,  $G$  is  $R$ -boundedly QH  $(\psi, e)$ -uniform if this is true whenever  $e(a, b) \leq R$ . For  $e = \lambda$  we obtain the properties QH inner  $\psi$ -uniform, QH inner  $\psi$ -uniform rel  $A$ , and  $R$ -boundedly QH inner  $\psi$ -uniform.

Given a slow function  $\psi$  and numbers  $c \geq 1$ ,  $h \geq 0$ , we let  $M_1(c, h, \psi)$  denote the smallest number  $M_1 \geq 1$  such that

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{cases} t > M(h)c\psi(2t), & \text{if } t > M_1, \\ \psi^{-1}(h/c) \leq 2M_1, \end{cases}$$

where  $M(h) = (e^h - 1)/h$  is the number of 2.6.

**2.12. Solid arcs in uniform domains.** Solid arcs and neargeodesics play an important role in the free quasiworld, since the solidity property of arcs is preserved by freely quasiconformal maps and, more generally, by solid and coarsely QH maps. It is therefore useful to have some knowledge of the geometric properties of these arcs. For uniform domains, such properties were established in [Vä6, Sec. 6]. Our next goal is to obtain relative, bounded, and  $e$ -versions of these results.

We start with two central lemmas. Roughly speaking, the first result says that a solid arc must *escape* the boundary of the domain; it cannot travel a long distance near the boundary. In the second lemma we show that if a solid arc *dives* from a distance  $\delta(x_0)$  to the distance  $\delta(x) = q\delta(x_0)$  with sufficiently small  $q$ , then the life of  $\gamma$  after the point  $x$  is fairly short.

The proofs of both lemmas follow the proofs of the original results [Vä6, 6.10, 6.11]. Since QH  $\psi$ -uniform implies QH inner  $\psi$ -uniform and since  $d(\gamma) \leq \lambda(\gamma)$ , they are stronger than the original versions.

**2.13. Escape lemma.** *Suppose that  $\psi$  is slow, that  $G$  is QH inner  $\psi$ -uniform rel  $A$ , and that  $\gamma \subset A \cap (\partial G + \bar{B}(r))$  is a  $(c, h)$ -solid arc in  $G$ . Then*

$$(2.14) \quad \lambda_G(\gamma) \leq 4M_1r,$$

where  $M_1 = M_1(c, h, \psi)$  is defined by (2.11). This is also true if  $G$  is  $R$ -boundedly QH inner  $\psi$ -uniform and if  $\gamma \subset G \cap (\partial G + \bar{B}(R/4M_1))$ . In this case we have  $\lambda_G(\gamma) \leq R$ .

If  $h = 0$ , then (2.14) is replaced by  $l(\gamma) \leq 4M_1r$ .

*Proof.* Assume first that  $h > 0$ . We may assume that  $\gamma$  is a compact arc with endpoints  $a$  and  $b$ . We first show that if  $\delta(a) \wedge \delta(b) \geq r/2$ , then  $\lambda(\gamma) \leq M_1 r$ . Setting  $t = \lambda(\gamma)/r$  we get

$$l_k(\gamma, h) \leq ck(a, b) \leq c\psi(r_G(a, b; \lambda)) \leq c\psi(2t).$$

If  $c\psi(2t) \leq h$ , then  $2t \leq \psi^{-1}(h/c) \leq 2M_1$  by (2.11). If  $c\psi(2t) \geq h$ , Lemma 2.6 gives

$$tr = \lambda(\gamma) \leq Mr(h \vee c\psi(2t)) = Mrc\psi(2t).$$

By (2.11) this implies that  $t \leq M_1$ .

In the general case we choose  $a_0 \in \gamma$  such that  $\delta(a_0)$  is maximal. We may assume that  $\delta(a_0) = r$ . Considering  $a_0$  as the first point of the arc  $\gamma' = \gamma[a_0, a]$  we divide  $\gamma'$  into subarcs  $\gamma_j = \gamma[a_{j-1}, a_j]$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq N$ , where  $a_j$  is the last point of  $\gamma'$  with  $\delta(a_j) = 2^{-j}r$ , and  $a_N = a$ . By the special case considered above we have  $\lambda(\gamma_j) \leq 2^{-j+1}M_1 r$  for all  $j$ , and hence  $\lambda(\gamma') < 2M_1 r$ . Similarly  $\lambda(\gamma[a_0, b]) < 2M_1 r$ , and (2.14) follows.

Observe that if  $\gamma$  is a compact arc, then (2.14) holds as a strict inequality.

Suppose that  $G$  is  $R$ -boundedly QH inner  $\psi$ -uniform and that  $\gamma \subset G \cap (\partial G + \bar{B}(R/4M_1))$ . If  $\lambda(\gamma) \leq R$ , then  $\gamma$  is QH inner  $\psi$ -uniform rel  $\gamma$ , and (2.14) follows by the first part of the lemma. If  $\lambda(\gamma) > R$ , there is a compact subarc  $\beta \subset \gamma$  with  $\lambda(\beta) = R$ . Since  $\beta$  is  $(c, h)$ -solid, the first part of the lemma gives the contradiction  $R = \lambda(\beta) < 4M_1 R/4M_1 = R$ .

The proof for the case  $h = 0$  is similar but easier.  $\square$

**2.15. Diving lemma.** *Suppose that  $\psi$  is slow and that  $c \geq 1$ ,  $h \geq 0$ . Then there is  $q = q(c, h, \psi) \in (0, 1)$  with the following property:*

*Let  $G$  be a QH inner  $\psi$ -uniform domain rel  $A$ , and let the arc  $\gamma \subset A$  be  $(c, h)$ -solid in  $G$  with endpoints  $a_0$  and  $a_1$ . If  $x \in \gamma$  and  $\delta(x) \leq q\delta(a_0)$ , then for  $\gamma_x = \gamma[x, a_1]$  we have*

$$\lambda_G(\gamma_x) \leq 4M_1 \delta(x)/q,$$

where  $M_1 = M_1(c, h, \psi)$  is defined by (2.11). This is also true if  $G$  is  $R$ -boundedly QH inner  $\psi$ -uniform and  $\delta(a_0) \leq R/4M_1$ .

If  $h = 0$ , then  $l(\gamma_x) \leq 4M_1 \delta(x)/q$ .

*Proof.* Writing  $K = 2(h \vee c\psi(4M_1))$ , we show that the lemma holds with  $q = e^{-K}$ .

In the situation of the lemma we set  $r = \delta(x)/q$ . It suffices to show that  $\gamma_x \subset \partial G + \bar{B}(r)$ , since the result then follows from the Escape lemma 2.13.

Assume that  $\gamma_x \not\subset \partial G + \bar{B}(r)$ . Since  $\delta(a_0) \geq r$ , there are  $x_1, x_2 \in \gamma$  such that  $\delta(x_1) = \delta(x_2) = r$  and  $x \in \gamma[x_1, x_2] \subset \partial G + \bar{B}(r)$ . Suppose first that  $h > 0$ . Then  $\lambda(x_1, x_2) \leq \lambda(\gamma) \leq 4M_1 r$  by 2.13. Since  $G$  is QH inner  $\psi$ -uniform rel  $\gamma$  and since  $\gamma$  is  $(c, h)$ -solid, we obtain

$$l_k(\gamma[x_1, x], h) \leq l_k(\gamma[x_1, x_2], h) \leq ck(x_1, x_2) \leq c\psi(r_G(x_1, x_2; \lambda)) \leq c\psi(4M_1 r).$$

By 2.5 this implies that

$$\log(1 + |x_1 - x|/qr) \leq k(x_1, x) \leq h \vee l_k(\gamma[x_1, x], h) \leq K/2.$$

On the other hand,  $|x_1 - x| \geq \delta(x_1) - \delta(x) = (1 - q)r$ , and hence  $1 + |x_1 - x|/qr \geq q$ . These estimates give the contradiction  $K \leq K/2$ .

The proof for the case  $h = 0$  is similar.  $\square$

**2.16. Geometric approach to uniform domains.** We recall the approach to uniform domains based on cigars. Let  $\gamma \subset E$  be an arc with endpoints  $a$  and  $b$ . For  $x \in \gamma$  we set

$$\zeta_d(x) = \zeta_d(x, \gamma) = d(\gamma[a, x]) \wedge d(\gamma[x, b]).$$

If  $\gamma$  is rectifiable, we also define the function

$$\zeta_l(x) = \zeta_l(x, \gamma) = l(\gamma[a, x]) \wedge l(\gamma[x, b]).$$

For  $c \geq 1$ , the sets

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cig}_d(\gamma, c) &= \bigcup \{B(x, \zeta_d(x)/c) : x \in \gamma \setminus \{a, b\}\}, \\ \text{cig}_l(\gamma, c) &= \bigcup \{B(x, \zeta_l(x)/c) : x \in \gamma \setminus \{a, b\}\} \end{aligned}$$

are the *diameter  $c$ -cigar* and the *length  $c$ -cigar*, respectively, with core  $\gamma$ . The length cigar is only defined for a rectifiable  $\gamma$ .

Let  $G$  be a domain and let  $\gamma \subset G$  be a rectifiable arc with endpoints  $a$  and  $b$ . We say that  $\gamma$  satisfies the *uniformity conditions* in  $G$  with a constant  $c \geq 1$ , or briefly,  $\gamma$  is  *$c$ -uniform* in  $G$ , if

- (1)  $\text{cig}_l(\gamma, c) \subset G$ ,
- (2)  $l(\gamma) \leq c|a - b|$ .

Condition (1) is the *cigar condition*, and (2) is the *turning condition*. Alternatively, (1) can be written as

$$(1') \quad \zeta_l(x, \gamma) \leq c\delta(x)$$

for all  $x \in \gamma$ .

A domain  $G$  is said to be a  *$c$ -uniform domain* if each pair  $a, b \in G$  can be joined by a rectifiable arc  $\gamma$  satisfying the  $c$ -uniformity conditions (1) and (2). For a set  $A \subset G$ , we say that  $G$  is  *$c$ -uniform rel  $A$*  if each pair  $a, b \in A$  has this property. If  $R > 0$  and if each pair  $a, b \in G$  with  $|a - b| \leq R$  has this property, then  $G$  is said to be  *$R$ -boundedly  $c$ -uniform*.

The  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  domains considered by Jones [Jo2] are closely related to the  $R$ -boundedly  $c$ -uniform domains. See 3.14.

The  *$e$ -versions* of these properties are obtained by replacing (2) by the condition (2e)  $l(\gamma) \leq ce(a, b)$ .

A rectifiable arc  $\gamma \subset G$  with endpoints  $a, b$  is said to be  *$(c, e)$ -uniform* in  $G$  if it satisfies (1) and (2e). If  $e = \lambda$ , then  $\gamma$  is *inner  $c$ -uniform* in  $G$ . The domain  $G$  is  *$(c, e)$ -uniform* if each pair  $a, b \in G$  can be joined by a  $(c, e)$ -uniform arc. The properties  $(c, e)$ -uniform rel  $A$ ,  $R$ -boundedly  $(c, e)$ -uniform, inner  $c$ -uniform, inner  $c$ -uniform rel  $A$ , and  $R$ -boundedly inner  $c$ -uniform are defined in the obvious way. For example,  $G$  is  $R$ -boundedly  $(c, e)$ -uniform if each pair  $a, b$  with  $e(a, b) \leq R$  can be joined by a  $(c, e)$ -uniform arc.

The extreme cases  $e = d|G$  and  $e = \lambda_G$  are the most interesting. The intermediate case  $e = \varrho_G$ , defined by (1.11), appears in the literature but usually together with a modified cigar condition (1), where  $\text{cig}_l$  is replaced by  $\text{cig}_d$  or by the distance cigar defined by the function  $\zeta(x, \gamma) = |x - a| \wedge |x - b|$ . If  $\dim E < \infty$ , these variations yield the same class of domains as  $e = \lambda$ , but this is not true in arbitrary Banach spaces. These questions will be considered in Section 3.

The following result follows immediately from the definitions:

**2.17. Theorem.** *A  $c$ -uniform domain is  $c$ -quasiconvex and inner  $c$ -uniform. Conversely, if  $G$  is  $c_0$ -quasiconvex and inner  $c$ -uniform, then  $G$  is  $cc_0$ -uniform.  $\square$*

**2.18. Examples.** 1. Recall that  $G$  is a  $c$ -John domain if each pair  $a, b \in G$  can be joined by an arc  $\gamma$  satisfying the cigar condition (1) of 2.16. Hence an inner  $c$ -uniform domain is  $c$ -John. If  $C$  is any compact subset of the line segment  $[0, e_1] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , then  $B^2 \setminus C$  is  $c$ -John with a universal  $c$ , but it need not be inner uniform. This example is due to J. Heinonen.

2. On the other hand, every simply connected  $c$ -John domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is inner  $c_1$ -uniform with  $c_1 = c_1(c)$ ; cf. [BV1, p. 43].

3. More examples of inner uniform domains can be constructed by locally bilipschitz maps; see Theorem 2.21.

4. Let  $F$  be the ray  $\{te_1 : t \geq 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . The domain  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus F$  is inner uniform but not uniform. Let  $D$  be the parallel strip  $\{(x, y) : |y| < 1\}$ . Then  $D \setminus F$  is not inner uniform but it is  $R$ -boundedly inner  $c_R$ -uniform with some constant  $c_R$  for each  $R > 0$ .

5. It is well known that bounded convex domains are uniform. We shall later make use of the following explicit version of this result.

**2.19. Theorem.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a convex domain and that  $B(x_0, R_1) \subset G \subset B(x_0, R_2)$ . Then  $G$  is  $c$ -uniform with  $c = 2R_2/R_1$ .*

*Proof.* We first show that the function  $\delta : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is concave, that is,

$$(2.20) \quad \delta((1-t)a + tb) \geq (1-t)\delta(a) + t\delta(b)$$

for all  $a, b \in G$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Write  $x = (1-t)a + tb$ ,  $R = (1-t)\delta(a) + t\delta(b)$ . Let  $w \in E$  with  $|w| < 1$ . Then the points  $a' = a + \delta(a)w$  and  $b' = b + \delta(b)w$  lie in  $G$ . By convexity,  $z = (1-t)a' + tb' \in G$ . Since  $z = x + R w$ , we obtain  $x + B(R) \subset G$ , and (2.20) follows.

We may assume that  $x_0 = 0$ . Let  $a, b \in G$ ,  $a \neq b$ . Set  $r = |a - b|/2$  and  $z = (a + b)/2$ . We consider two cases.

*Case 1.*  $|z| \geq r$ . Set  $y = z - rz/|z|$ . We show that the arc  $\gamma = [a, y] \cup [y, b]$  has the uniformity properties. Since

$$l(\gamma) = |a - y| + |y - b| \leq |a - z| + |z - y| + |y - z| + |z - b| = 4r = 2|a - b|,$$

the turning condition holds with the constant 2.

To prove the cigar condition it suffices to show that  $|x - a| \leq c\delta(x)$  for  $x \in [a, y]$ . Write  $x = (1-t)a + ty$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . By the concavity of  $\delta$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x) &\geq (1-t)\delta(a) + t\delta(y) \\ &\geq t\delta(y) \geq t(1-r/|z|)\delta(z) + tr\delta(0)/|z| \geq trR_1/R_2 = 2tr/c. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|x - a| = t|a - y| \leq 2tr \leq c\delta(x).$$

*Case 2.*  $|z| \leq r$ . Now we set  $\gamma = [a, 0] \cup [0, b]$ . Since  $|a| \leq |a - z| + |z| \leq 2r$  and  $|b| \leq 2r$ , we again get  $l(\gamma) \leq 2|a - b|$ . If  $x = (1-t)a \in [a, 0]$ , then

$$\delta(x) \geq (1-t)\delta(a) + t\delta(0) \geq tR_1 = 2R_2t/c,$$

and we again obtain

$$|x - a| = t|a| \leq 2rt \leq 2R_2t \leq c\delta(x). \quad \square$$

**2.21. Theorem.** *Suppose that  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  is a homeomorphism between domains  $G \subset E$  and  $G' \subset E'$ , and that  $f$  is locally  $M$ -bilipschitz. If  $G$  is inner  $c$ -uniform, then  $G'$  is inner  $M^2c$ -uniform.*

*Proof.* For each rectifiable arc  $\alpha \subset G$ , we have  $l(\alpha)/M \leq l(f\alpha) \leq Ml(\alpha)$ . Since  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are  $M$ -Lipschitz on every line segment in the domains and since the spaces are complete, we have  $d(x, \partial G)/M \leq d(fx, \partial G') \leq Md(x, \partial G)$  for all  $x \in G$ ; cf. [Vä5, 4.8]. The theorem follows now easily.  $\square$

**2.22. Comparison of the QH and the cigar approach.** We want to compare the concepts defined in 2.16 with the QH uniformity properties introduced in 1.1 and in 2.10. It is well known that the properties “ $c$ -uniform” and “QH  $c$ -uniform” are quantitatively equivalent for a domain  $G$ . This was proved by F.W. Gehring and B.G. Osgood in [GO]; a free version appears in [Vä6, 6.16]. Moreover, these properties are quantitatively equivalent to QH  $\psi$ -uniformity with a slow  $\psi$ .

We want to prove relative and bounded  $e$ -versions of these results. In 2.23 we show that if  $G$  is a domain and if  $\gamma \subset G$  is a compact  $(c, e)$ -uniform arc, then the endpoints  $a$  and  $b$  of  $\gamma$  satisfy the QH uniformity condition

$$k(a, b) \leq 7c^3 j_G(a, b; e).$$

Hence each  $(c, e)$ -uniformity property in the cigar sense implies the corresponding QH  $(c, e)$ -uniformity property with  $c \mapsto 7c^3$ .

In the converse direction, the situation is more complicated. We show in 2.31 that if  $\gamma \subset G$  is a  $c$ -neargeodesic with endpoints  $a, b$ , and if  $k(a, b) \leq C$ , then  $\gamma$  satisfies the uniformity conditions with a constant  $c_1 = c_1(c, C)$ . From an example in 2.28 we see that  $c$  cannot be chosen to be independent of  $C$ . On the other hand, it is well known ([GO],[Vä6]) that if  $G$  is QH  $c$ -uniform, then each compact  $c_0$ -neargeodesic satisfies the  $c_1$ -uniformity conditions with  $c_1 = c_1(c, c_0)$ . We show that the corresponding result holds for  $(c, e)$ -uniformity rel  $A$ , assuming that  $A$  satisfies a QH quasiconvexity condition. Moreover, an  $R$ -bounded version is true if  $R$  is allowed to change.

**2.23. Theorem.** *Suppose that  $\gamma$  is a  $(c, e)$ -uniform arc in  $G$  with endpoints  $a, b$ . Then*

$$(2.24) \quad k(a, b) \leq l_k(\gamma) \leq c_1 j_G(a, b; e) \leq c_1 k(a, b)$$

with  $c_1 = c_1(c) \leq 7c^3$ .

*Proof.* The first inequality is trivial, and the last one follows from 2.5. It remains to prove the middle inequality. Write  $\delta = \delta(a) \wedge \delta(b)$  and  $r = r_G(a, b; e) = e(a, b)/\delta$ . We consider two cases.

*Case 1.*  $r \leq 1/2c$ . For every  $x \in \gamma$  we have

$$|x - a| \leq l(\gamma) \leq ce(a, b) = c\delta r \leq \delta(a)/2,$$

and hence  $\delta(x) \geq \delta(a)/2$ . Consequently,

$$l_k(\gamma) \leq 2l(\gamma)/\delta(a) \leq 2ce(a, b)/\delta(a) \leq 2cr.$$

Moreover, since  $r \leq 1/2c \leq 1/2 < 1$ , we obtain  $r \log 2 \leq \log(1+r) = j_G(a, b; e)$ , and hence (2.24) holds with  $c_1 = 2c/\log 2 < 3c$ .

*Case 2.*  $r > 1/2c$ . Write  $L = l(\gamma)$ , and let  $x_0 \in \gamma$  be the point with  $l(\gamma[a, x_0]) = L/2$ . Since  $L \geq \lambda(a, b) \geq e(a, b) = \delta r > \delta/2c$ , there is  $a_1 \in \gamma[a, x_0]$  with  $l(\gamma[a, a_1]) = \delta/4c$ . Since  $\gamma[a, a_1] \subset \bar{B}(a, \delta(a)/4)$ , we can argue as in Case 1 to obtain

$$l_k(\gamma[a, a_1]) \leq \frac{2l(\gamma[a, a_1])}{\delta(a)} = \frac{\delta}{2c\delta(a)} \leq \frac{1}{2c} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

For the arc  $\beta = \gamma[a_1, x_0]$ , the cigar condition implies that

$$l_k(\beta) \leq c \int_{\beta} \frac{|dx|}{l(\gamma[a, x])} = c \int_{\delta/4c}^{L/2} \frac{ds}{s} = c \log \frac{2cL}{\delta}.$$

Considering similarly the second half  $\gamma[x_0, b]$  of  $\gamma$  we obtain the estimate  $l_k(\gamma) \leq 1 + 2c \log(2cL/\delta)$ . By the turning condition and by the inequality  $\log(Mr) \leq M \log(1+r)$ , valid for  $M \geq 1$ , this yields

$$l_k(\gamma) \leq 1 + 2c \log(2c^2r) \leq 1 + 4c^3 j_G(a, b; e).$$

Since  $r > 1/2c$ , we have

$$j_G(a, b; e) > \log(1 + 1/2c) > 1/3c.$$

These estimates give (2.24) with  $c_1 = 3c + 4c^3 \leq 7c^3$ .  $\square$

**2.25. Theorem.** *Suppose that  $\gamma \subset G$  is a rectifiable compact arc, that  $\text{cig}_l(\gamma, c) \subset G$  and that  $\gamma$  is  $c$ -quasiconvex in the inner metric  $\lambda_G$ . Then  $\gamma$  is a  $c_1$ -neargeodesic in  $G$  with  $c_1 = c_1(c) \leq 7c^3$ .*

*Proof.* Since each subarc of  $\gamma$  is  $c$ -uniform in  $G$ , the theorem follows from 2.23.  $\square$

**2.26. Remark.** It follows from 2.25 that if  $\text{cig}_l(\gamma, c) \subset G$  and if  $\gamma$  is  $c$ -quasiconvex in the norm metric, then  $\gamma$  is a  $7c^3$ -neargeodesic in  $G$ .

**2.27. Theorem.** *If  $G$  is  $(c, e)$ -uniform rel  $A$ , then  $G$  is QH  $(7c^3, e)$ -uniform rel  $A$ . If  $G$  is  $R$ -boundedly  $c$ -uniform, then  $G$  is  $R$ -boundedly QH  $(7c^3, e)$ -uniform.*

*Proof.* This is a corollary of 2.23.  $\square$

**2.28. Example.** It is natural to ask whether the inequality  $k(a, b) \leq c j_G(a, b)$  for a pair of points  $a, b$  implies that these points can be joined by a  $c_1$ -uniform arc in  $G$  with  $c_1 = c_1(c)$ . The following example shows that the answer is negative.

Let  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be the domain  $\{x : x_1 < 0 \text{ or } 1 < |x_2| < 3\}$ . Let  $c \geq 1$ , and set  $t = e^{-10c}$ ,  $a = (2c, 1+t)$ ,  $b = (2c, -1-t)$ . Then  $j_G(a, b) = \log(1 + |a-b|/t) > \log(1/t) = 10c$ . Let  $\beta$  be the broken line with vertices  $a$ ,  $(2c, 2)$ ,  $(-1, 2)$ ,  $(-1, -2)$ ,  $(2c, -2)$ ,  $b$ . Then

$$k(a, b) \leq l_k(\beta) \leq 2 \log(1/t) + 4c + 6 \leq 30c < 3j_G(a, b).$$

In other words,  $G$  is QH 3-uniform rel  $\{a, b\}$ . However, it is easy to see that if  $\gamma \subset G$  is an arc joining  $a$  and  $b$ , then  $\gamma$  satisfies neither of the  $c$ -uniformity conditions.

We give several results which show that with additional hypotheses, a QH uniformity condition like  $k(a, b) \leq c j_G(a, b)$  implies that a neargeodesic with endpoints  $a, b$  satisfies the uniformity conditions.

**2.29. Cigar theorem.** *Suppose that  $G$  is QH  $(\psi, e)$ -uniform rel  $A$  with a slow  $\psi$  and that  $\gamma \subset A$  is a compact  $c$ -neargeodesic in  $G$ . Then  $\gamma$  is  $(c_1, e)$ -uniform in  $G$  with  $c_1 = c_1(c, \psi)$ . This is also true if  $G$  is  $R$ -boundedly QH  $(\psi, e)$ -uniform and  $\gamma \subset G \cap (\partial G + \bar{B}(R/4M_1))$ , where  $M_1 = M_1(c, 0, \psi)$  is defined by (2.11).*

*Proof.* Let  $a_0$  and  $a_1$  be the endpoints of  $\gamma$ , and let  $x_0 \in \gamma$  be a point with maximal  $\delta(x_0)$ . Let  $q = q(c, 0, \psi)$  be the number given by the Diving lemma 2.15. If  $x \in \gamma[a_0, x_0]$  and  $\delta(x) \leq q\delta(x_0)$ , then 2.15 implies that

$$l(\gamma[a_0, x]) \leq 4M_1\delta(x)/q.$$

If  $x \in \gamma[a_0, x_0]$  and  $\delta(x) \geq q\delta(x_0)$ , then the Escape lemma 2.13 with  $r = \delta(x_0)$  gives

$$l(\gamma[a_0, x]) \leq 4M_1\delta(x_0) \leq 4M_1\delta(x)/q.$$

Considering similarly the arc  $\gamma[a_1, x_0]$  we see that  $\text{cig}_l(\gamma, c_1) \subset G$  with  $c_1 = c_1(c, \psi) = 4M_1/q$ .

To prove the turning condition we may assume that  $\delta(a_0) \leq \delta(a_1)$ . Set  $t = e(a_0, a_1)$  and  $r = \delta(a_0)$ . We must show that  $l(\gamma) \leq c_2t$  with  $c_2 = c_2(c, \psi)$ . We consider two cases.

*Case 1.*  $r \leq t$ . We may assume that  $l(\gamma) > 2t$ . Choose points  $b_0, b_1 \in \gamma$  such that  $l(\gamma[a_0, b_0]) = l(\gamma[a_1, b_1]) = t$ . Then  $e(a_0, b_0) \leq \lambda(a_0, b_0) \leq t$  and similarly  $e(a_1, b_1) \leq t$ . Since  $\delta(b_j) \geq t/c_1$  by the cigar condition, we obtain

$$e(b_0, b_1) \leq e(b_0, a_0) + e(a_0, a_1) + e(a_1, b_1) \leq 3t \leq 3c_1(\delta(b_0) \wedge \delta(b_1)).$$

Since  $G$  is QH  $(\psi, e)$ -uniform rel  $\gamma$ , this implies that

$$(2.30) \quad k(b_0, b_1) \leq \psi(r_G(b_0, b_1; e)) \leq \psi(3c_1).$$

For each  $x \in \gamma[b_0, b_1]$  this yields

$$k(x, b_0) \leq l_k(\gamma[b_0, b_1]) \leq ck(b_0, b_1) \leq c\psi(3c_1).$$

By [Vä5, 2.2(1)] or by 2.5 we further obtain  $|x - b_0| \leq \delta(b_0)(e^{c\psi(3c_1)} - 1)$ . Since  $\delta(b_0) \leq \delta(a_0) + |a_0 - b_0| \leq r + t \leq 2t$ , this gives

$$\delta(x) \leq \delta(b_0) + |x - b_0| \leq \delta(b_0)e^{c\psi(3c_1)} \leq c_3t$$

with  $c_3 = c_3(c, \psi)$ . Hence

$$l(\gamma[b_0, b_1]) \leq c_3tl_k(\gamma[b_0, b_1]) \leq cc_3tk(b_0, b_1).$$

This and (2.30) give the turning condition  $l(\gamma) \leq c_2t$  with  $c_2 = 2 + cc_3\psi(3c_1)$ .

*Case 2.*  $r > t$ . This case is independent of the uniformity properties of  $G$ . The proof of [Vä6, 6.12] gives  $l(\gamma) \leq c_2|a_0 - a_1|$  with  $c_2 = \max\{ue^{2c/u} - 1 : u \geq 1\}$ .  $\square$

**2.31. Lemma.** *Let  $\gamma$  be a  $c$ -neargeodesic in  $G$  with endpoints  $a, b$  such that  $k(a, b) \leq C$ . Then  $\gamma$  is  $c_1$ -uniform in  $G$  with  $c_1 = c_1(c, C)$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $r = \delta(a)$  and  $B = B(a, r/2)$ . We consider two cases.

*Case 1.*  $\gamma \subset B$ . Since  $r/2 \leq \delta(x) \leq 2r$  for all  $x \in B$ , we have by [Vä5, 2.5]

$$l(\gamma) \leq 2rl_k(\gamma) \leq 2rck(a, b) \leq 4c|a - b|,$$

which is the turning condition. For all  $x \in \gamma$ , this implies the cigar condition

$$\zeta_l(x, \gamma) \leq l(\gamma)/2 \leq 2c|a - b| \leq cr \leq 2c\delta(x).$$

*Case 2.*  $\gamma \not\subset B$ . Choose a point  $y \in \gamma \setminus B$  and set  $t = |a - b|$ . By (1.5) we have  $k(a, y) \geq j_G(a, y) \geq \log \frac{3}{2} > 1/3$ , and hence

$$1/3 < l_k(\gamma) \leq ck(a, b).$$

If  $t \leq r/2$ , then [Vä5, 2.5] gives  $k(a, b) \leq 2t/r$ , and hence

$$(2.32) \quad r \leq 6ct,$$

which is trivially true also in the case  $t \geq r/2$ .

Set  $L = l(\gamma)$ , and let  $\gamma^0 : [0, L] \rightarrow \gamma$  be the arclength parametrization with  $\gamma^0(0) = a$ . For each  $0 \leq s \leq L$  we have

$$\delta(\gamma^0(s)) \leq \delta(a) + |a - \gamma^0(s)| \leq r + s,$$

and hence

$$l_k(\gamma) \geq \int_0^L \frac{ds}{r+s} > \log \frac{L}{r}.$$

Since  $l_k(\gamma) \leq ck(a, b) \leq cC$ , this and (2.32) imply the turning condition  $L \leq 6ce^{cC}t$ .

For each  $x \in \gamma$  we have by [Vä5, 2.2(1)]

$$\log \frac{r}{\delta(x)} \leq k(a, x) \leq l_k(\gamma) \leq ck(a, b) \leq cC.$$

Since  $t \leq re^{k(a,b)} \leq re^C$ , we obtain the cigar condition

$$\zeta_l(x, \gamma) \leq L/2 \leq 3ce^{cC}t \leq 3ce^{3cC}\delta(x). \quad \square$$

**2.33. Theorem.** *Suppose that  $A \subset G$  and that each pair of points in  $A$  can be joined by a  $c_0$ -neargeodesic  $\gamma \subset A$  of  $G$ . Let  $d|G \leq e \leq \lambda_G$ . Then the following conditions are quantitatively equivalent:*

- (1)  $G$  is  $(c, e)$ -uniform rel  $A$ .
- (2)  $G$  is QH  $(c, e)$ -uniform rel  $A$ .
- (3)  $k(x, y) \leq cj_G(x, y; e) + c'$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .
- (4)  $G$  is QH  $(\psi, e)$ -uniform rel  $A$  with a slow  $\psi$ .

*Proof.* By quantitiveness we mean that the quantities of each condition depend only on  $c_0$  and on the quantities of another condition.

The implications (3)  $\Leftarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) are trivial, (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) holds for all  $A \subset G$  by 2.23, the proof for (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is given in [Vä6, 6.15], and (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) follows from 2.29.  $\square$

**2.34. Remarks.** 1. The case  $A = G$ ,  $e = d|G$  of 2.33 was given in [Vä6, 6.16]. The case  $A = G$ ,  $e = \lambda$  gives four quantitatively equivalent conditions for the inner  $c$ -uniformity of  $G$ .

A different new characterization of the classical case  $e = d|G$ , based on subinvariance of neargeodesics, will be given in 2.42.

2. It follows from Theorem 2.33 that one can replace the condition “QH inner  $\psi$ -uniform” by “inner  $c$ -uniform” in the case  $A = G$  of the Escape lemma 2.13 and of the Diving lemma 2.15. Similarly, one can replace the condition “QH  $(\psi, e)$ -uniform” in the case  $A = G$  of the Cigar theorem 2.29 by “ $(c, e)$ -uniform”. In the rest of the paper, we often apply these modifications without further notice.

We next turn to the bounded version of 2.33.

**2.35. Lemma.** *Suppose that  $G$  is  $R$ -boundedly QH  $(\psi, e)$ -uniform with a slow  $\psi$ . Suppose also that  $\gamma$  is a  $c$ -neargeodesic in  $G$  with endpoints  $a_0, a_1$ , and that  $e(a_0, a_1) \leq R/25M_1$ , where  $M_1 = M_1(c, 0, \psi)$  is given by (2.11). Then  $\gamma$  is a  $(c_1, e)$ -uniform arc in  $G$  with  $c_1 = c_1(c, \psi)$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $R_1 = R/25M_1$  and  $t = e(a_0, a_1)$ . We may assume that  $\delta(a_0) \leq \delta(a_1)$ . If  $\delta(a_1) \geq 2R_1$ , then  $|a_0 - a_1| \leq e(a_0, a_1) \leq \delta(a_1)/2$ . This implies that  $k(a_0, a_1) \leq 1$ , and the assertion follows from 2.31. Thus we may assume that  $\delta(a_1) \leq 2R_1$ . Similarly, we may assume that  $t \geq \delta(a_1)/2$ , since otherwise we again get  $|a_0 - a_1| \leq \delta(a_1)/2$ .

Orient  $\gamma$  so that  $a_0$  is the first point. If  $\gamma \subset \partial G + \bar{B}(3R_1)$ , the assertion follows from the Cigar theorem 2.29, since  $3R_1 < R/4M_1$ . Hence we may assume that  $\delta(x) > 3R_1$  for some  $x \in \gamma$ . Let  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  be the first and the last point of  $\gamma$  with  $\delta(x_0) = \delta(x_1) = 3R_1$ . Set  $\gamma_j = \gamma[a_j, x_j]$  for  $j = 0, 1$ . We first show that there is  $c_0 = c_0(c, \psi) \geq 1$  such that

$$(2.36) \quad l(\gamma[a_j, x]) \leq c_0\delta(x)$$

for all  $x \in \gamma_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1$ .

Let  $q = q(c, 0, \psi) \in (0, 1)$  be the number given by the Diving lemma 2.15. If  $\delta(x) \leq q\delta(x_0) = 3qR_1$ , then (2.36) follows from 2.15 with  $c_0 = 4M_1/q$ . Assume that  $\delta(x) \geq 3qR_1$ . Since the Escape lemma 2.13 gives

$$(2.37) \quad l(\gamma_j) \leq 12M_1R_1,$$

(2.36) again holds with  $c_0 = 4M_1/q$ .

For  $j = 0, 1$ , let  $b_j \in \gamma$  be the point with  $l(\gamma[a_j, b_j]) = t$ . Since  $t \leq R_1$ , we have  $b_j \in \gamma_j$ . Since (2.36) gives  $\delta(b_j) \geq t/c_0$  and since

$$e(b_0, b_1) \leq \lambda(b_0, a_0) + e(a_0, a_1) + \lambda(a_1, b_0) \leq 3t < R,$$

we get  $r_G(b_0, b_1; e) \leq 3c_0$  and  $k(b_0, b_1) \leq \psi(3c_0)$ . Let  $c_2 = c_1(c, C_1)$  be the constant of Lemma 2.31 with  $C_1 = \psi(3c_0)$ . Then the arc  $\beta = \gamma[b_0, b_1]$  is  $c_2$ -uniform in  $G$ . Hence  $l(\beta) \leq c_2|b_0 - b_1| \leq c_2e(b_0, b_1) \leq 3c_2t$ , and we obtain the turning condition

$$(2.38) \quad l(\gamma) \leq (2 + 3c_2)t.$$

To prove the cigar condition, let  $x \in \gamma$ . If  $x \in \gamma_0 \cup \gamma_1$ , then (2.36) implies the cigar condition  $\zeta_l(x, \gamma) \leq c_0\delta(x)$ . Assume that  $x \in \gamma_2 = \gamma[x_0, x_1]$ . By (2.37) we have

$$e(x_0, x_1) \leq \lambda(x_0, a_0) + e(a_0, a_1) + \lambda(a_1, x_1) \leq 24M_1R_1 + R_1 \leq 25M_1R_1 = R.$$

Hence  $r_G(x_0, x_1; e) < 9M_1$ , which implies that  $k(x_0, x_1) < \psi(9M_1)$ . By 2.31,  $\gamma_2$  is  $c_3$ -uniform in  $G$  with  $c_3 = c_1(c, \psi(9M_1))$ . We show that

$$c_3\delta(x) \geq R_1$$

for all  $x \in \gamma_2$ . If  $|x - x_0| \wedge |x - x_1| \geq R_1$ , this follows from the cigar condition for  $\gamma_2$ . If  $|x - x_j| \leq R_1$ , for  $j = 0$  or  $j = 1$ , then  $c_3\delta(x) \geq \delta(x) \geq \delta(x_j) - R_1 = 2R_1 > R_1$ . In view of (2.38) we get

$$\zeta_l(x, \gamma) \leq l(\gamma)/2 < (1 + 2c_2)R_1 \leq (1 + 2c_2)c_3\delta(x). \quad \square$$

**2.39. Theorem.** *Suppose that  $G$  is  $R$ -boundedly QH  $(\psi, e)$ -uniform with a slow  $\psi$ . Then there are  $M_2 = M_2(\psi) \geq 1$  and  $c = c(\psi) \geq 2$  such that  $G$  is  $R_1$ -boundedly  $(c, e)$ -uniform with  $R_1 = R/M_2$ .*

*Proof.* Since each pair of points in  $G$  can be joined by a 2-neargeodesic, this follows from 2.35 with  $M_2 = 25M_1(2, 0, \psi)$  and  $c = c_1(2, \psi)$ .  $\square$

**2.40. Theorem.** *For a domain  $G$  and for a metric  $e$ , the following conditions are quantitatively equivalent:*

- (1)  $G$  is  $R$ -boundedly  $(c, e)$ -uniform.
- (2)  $G$  is  $R$ -boundedly QH  $(c, e)$ -uniform.
- (3)  $G$  is  $R$ -boundedly QH  $(\psi, e)$ -uniform with a slow function  $\psi$ .

*Proof.* Trivially (2) implies (3) with the same  $R$  and with  $\psi(t) = c \log(1 + t)$ . By 2.39, (3) implies (1) with  $R \mapsto R/M_2(\psi)$  and  $c = c(\psi)$ . By 2.27, (1) implies (2) with the same  $R$  and with  $c \mapsto 7c^3$ .  $\square$

**2.41. Enlarging the domain.** Suppose that  $G \subset D$  are proper subdomains of  $E$ . A neargeodesic in  $G$  need not be a neargeodesic in  $D$ . We next show that this is true if  $G$  is a uniform domain, and that this property in fact characterizes uniform domains. Contrary to the theory hitherto, this result seems to have no inner version.

**2.42. Theorem.** *For a domain  $G$ , the following conditions are quantitatively equivalent:*

- (1)  $G$  is  $c$ -uniform.
- (2) If  $c_0 \geq 1$  and if  $\gamma$  is a  $c_0$ -neargeodesic in  $G$ , then  $\gamma$  is a  $c_1$ -neargeodesic in every domain  $D \supset G$  with  $c_1 = c_1(c_0)$ .
- (3) If  $\gamma$  is a 2-neargeodesic in  $G$  and if  $y \in E \setminus G$ , then  $\gamma$  is a  $c$ -neargeodesic in  $E \setminus \{y\}$ .

*Proof.* Trivially (2) implies (3) with  $c = c_1(2)$ . It suffices to prove the quantitative implications (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Suppose that  $\gamma$  is a  $c_0$ -neargeodesic in  $G$  and that  $G \subset D \subsetneq E$ . Each subarc  $\beta$  of  $\gamma$  is also a  $c_0$ -neargeodesic in  $G$ . By the Cigar theorem 2.29 (and by 2.34),  $\beta$  is  $c_2$ -uniform in  $G$  with a constant  $c_2 = c_2(c, c_0)$ . The turning condition implies that  $\gamma$  is  $c_2$ -quasiconvex in the norm metric. By 2.26,  $\gamma$  is a  $7c_2^3$ -neargeodesic in  $D$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $a, b \in G$ . Choose a 2-neargeodesic  $\gamma$  joining  $a$  and  $b$  in  $G$ . Let  $y \in E \setminus G$ . Since  $\gamma$  is a  $c$ -neargeodesic in  $D = E \setminus \{y\}$  and since  $D$  is a  $c'$ -uniform domain with a universal  $c'$  by [Vä6, 6.5],  $\gamma$  is  $c_2$ -uniform in  $D$  with  $c_2 = c_2(c)$  by 2.29. Since this holds for all  $y \in E \setminus G$ , the arc  $\gamma$  is  $c_2$ -uniform in  $G$ .  $\square$

**2.43. Subinvariance.** It is well known that uniform domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are subinvariant under quasiconformal maps. By this we mean that if  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  is a  $K$ -quasiconformal map between domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and if  $D \subset G$  and  $G'$  are  $c$ -uniform, then  $fD$  is  $c'$ -uniform with  $c' = c'(c, K, n)$ . This follows from the corresponding result for QED domains [FHM, p. 121] and from [Vä2, 5.6]. An alternative proof is based on the fact that the uniform domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are precisely the BMO extension domains.

We do not know whether the corresponding result holds for freely quasiconformal maps in Banach spaces. However, we can use 2.42 to prove that uniform domains are subinvariant under QH maps. Recall that a homeomorphism  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  is  $M$ -QH if  $f$  is  $M$ -bilipschitz in the QH metric.

**2.44. Theorem.** *Suppose that  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  is  $M$ -QH, that the domain  $G' \subset E'$  is  $c$ -uniform, and that  $D \subset G$  is  $c$ -uniform. Then  $fD$  is  $c'$ -uniform with  $c' = c'(c, M)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma$  be a 2-neargeodesic in  $fD$ , and let  $y \in E' \setminus fD$ . By 2.42, it suffices to show that  $\gamma$  is a  $c'$ -neargeodesic in  $E' \setminus \{y\}$  with  $c' = c'(c, M)$ . We let  $c_1, c_2, \dots$  denote constants depending only on  $(c, M)$ . The restriction  $f_D : D \rightarrow fD$  of  $f$  is  $4M^2$ -QH by [Vä5, 4.7]. Hence the arc  $\alpha = f^{-1}\gamma$  is a  $c_1$ -neargeodesic in  $D$  with  $c_1 = 32M^4$ . By 2.42,  $\alpha$  is a  $c_2$ -neargeodesic in  $G \setminus f^{-1}\{y\}$ . Hence  $\gamma$  is a  $c_3$ -neargeodesic in  $G' \setminus \{y\}$  with  $c_3 = 16M^4c_2$ . Since  $G' \setminus \{y\}$  is  $c_4$ -uniform by [Vä6, 6.7],  $\gamma$  is a  $c_5$ -neargeodesic in  $E' \setminus \{y\}$  by 2.42.  $\square$

**2.45. Coarse cigar theorem.** *Suppose that  $G$  is QH inner  $\psi$ -uniform rel  $A$  with a slow  $\psi$  and that  $\gamma \subset A$  is a  $(c, h)$ -solid arc in  $G$  with endpoints  $a_0, a_1$ . Then*

- (1)  $\text{cig}_d(\gamma, c_1) \subset G$ ,
- (2)  $d(\gamma) \leq c_1|a_0 - a_1| \vee 2r(e^h - 1)$ ,

where  $c_1 = c_1(c, h, \psi)$  and  $r = \delta(a_0) \wedge \delta(a_1)$ . This is also true if  $G$  is  $R$ -boundedly QH inner  $\psi$ -uniform and  $\gamma \subset G \cap (\partial G + \bar{B}(R/4M_1))$ , where  $M_1 = M_1(c, h, \psi)$  is defined by (2.8).

*Proof.* The first part is again proved almost verbatim as the absolute case in [Vä6, 6.22]. Note, however, that [Vä6, 6.22] has unnecessary parentheses in (2). The second part follows from the first part and from 2.13, which gives  $d(\gamma) \leq R$ .  $\square$

**2.46. Uniform spaces.** Suppose that  $X$  is a metric space. If  $\gamma \subset X$  is a rectifiable compact arc, we can define  $\text{cig}_l(\gamma, c)$  as in 2.16. We say that  $X$  is a  $c$ -uniform space if each pair of points in  $X$  can be joined by an arc  $\gamma$  such that the closure of  $\text{cig}_l(\gamma, c)$  is complete.

If  $e$  is a metric of a domain  $G \subset E$  such that  $d|G \leq e \leq \lambda_G$  and if  $(G, e)$  is a  $c$ -uniform space, then  $G$  is a  $c$ -uniform domain in the sense of 2.16. Conversely, if  $G$  is a  $c$ -uniform domain, then  $(G, e)$  is a  $c'$ -uniform space for all  $c' > c$ .

### 3. FINITE-DIMENSIONAL SPACES

**3.1. Summary.** In this section we mainly consider the case  $\dim E = n < \infty$ , with the exception of 3.12. Remember that we always assume that  $n \geq 2$ . By a result of F. John (see [MiS, 3.3]), there is a linear bijection  $f : E \rightarrow R^n$  with  $|x| \leq |fx| \leq \sqrt{n}|x|$  for all  $x \in E$ . Hence we shall assume without an essential loss of generality that  $E = R^n$ .

It is well known that uniform domains in  $R^n$  can be characterized in terms of diameter and distance cigars. With rather obvious modifications, the proofs extend to  $(c, e)$ -uniform domains, in particular, to inner uniform domains. We also show that in the turning condition, one can replace the inner length metric  $\lambda_G$  by the inner diameter metric  $\varrho_G$ . In fact, we show that the inner metrics  $\varrho_G$  and  $\lambda_G$  are bilipschitz equivalent in a class of domains, called airy domains, which contains all John domains. We also show that the uniform domains are precisely the domains which remain inner uniform under all Möbius maps.

For simplicity, we do not formulate relative and bounded versions of these results.

**3.2. Airy sets.** We say that a set  $A \subset E$  is  $c$ -airy,  $c \geq 1$ , if for each  $a \in A$  and  $0 < t < d(A)/2$  there is a rectifiable arc  $\gamma \subset A$  joining  $a$  to a point  $b$  such that  $l(\gamma) \leq t$  and  $B(b, t/c) \subset A$ .

John domains, and hence inner uniform domains are airy by Lemma 3.3 below. The planar domain  $\{(x, y) : y \neq 0 \text{ or } 0 < x < 1\}$  is 1-airy but not a John domain.

**3.3. Lemma.** *A  $c$ -John domain is  $2c$ -airy.*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in G$  and let  $0 < t < d(G)/2$ . Then there is  $b \in G$  with  $|a - b| > t$ . Since  $G$  is  $c$ -John, we can join  $a$  and  $b$  by an arc  $\gamma$  with  $\text{cig}_l(\gamma, c) \subset G$ . Since  $l(\gamma) \geq |a - b| > t$ , there is  $x \in \gamma$  with  $l(\gamma[a, x]) = t/2$ , and then  $\delta(x) \geq t/2c$ .  $\square$

**3.4. Theorem.** *Suppose that  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a  $c$ -airy domain. Then  $\lambda_G \leq c' \varrho_G$  with  $c' = c'(c, n)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in G$ , and set  $M = \varrho_G(a, b)$ . We must find an arc  $\gamma : a \curvearrowright b$  with  $l(\gamma) \leq c'M$ .

Choose an arc  $\alpha : a \curvearrowright b$  with  $d(\alpha) < 2M$  and successive points  $a = x_0, \dots, x_N = b$  of  $\alpha$  such that  $[x_{j-1}, x_j] \subset G$  for all  $j$ . Setting  $t = M/2$  we have  $0 < t < d(G)/2$ . Since  $G$  is  $c$ -airy, we can join each  $x_j$  to a point  $y_j$  by an arc  $\alpha_j$  such that

$$l(\alpha_j) \leq t, \quad \delta(y_j) \geq t/c.$$

Set  $Y = \{y_j : 0 \leq j \leq N\}$  and  $U = B(Y, t/c)$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the family of all components of  $U$ .

*Fact 1.*  $\#\mathcal{P} \leq (6c)^n$ .

To prove this, observe that the volume of each member of  $\mathcal{P}$  is at least  $\Omega_n(M/2c)^n$ , where  $\Omega_n$  is the volume of the unit  $n$ -ball. Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is disjoint, this implies that  $m(U) \geq (\#\mathcal{P})\Omega_n(M/2c)^n$ . On the other hand,  $U$  is contained in the ball  $B(a, R)$  with

$$R = d(\alpha) + t + t/c \leq 2M + M/2 + M/2 = 3M.$$

Hence  $m(U) \leq \Omega_n(3M)^n$ , and Fact 1 follows.

*Fact 2.* If  $V \in \mathcal{P}$  and if  $u, v \in V \cap Y$ , then there is an arc  $\beta : u \curvearrowright v$  in  $V$  such that  $l(\beta) \leq c_1M$  with a constant  $c_1 = c_1(c, n)$ .

For  $z \in Y \cap V$  we write  $B(z) = B(z, t/c)$ . Since  $V$  is connected, there is a finite sequence  $u = z_0, \dots, z_k = v$  in  $Y \cap V$  such that  $B(z_{j-1})$  meets  $B(z_j)$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Passing to a subsequence we may assume that  $B(z_i)$  and  $B(z_j)$  are disjoint whenever  $|i - j| \geq 2$ . Then the balls  $B(z_j)$  with even  $j$  are disjoint. Since the number of these balls is at least  $k/2$ , we get

$$(k/2)\Omega_n(t/c)^n \leq m(V) \leq m(U) \leq \Omega_n(3M)^n,$$

and hence  $k \leq 2(6c)^n$ . Now the union of the segments  $[z_{j-1}, z_j]$  is an arc  $\beta : u \curvearrowright v$  with

$$l(\beta) \leq 2tk/c = kM/c \leq c_1M,$$

where  $c_1 = 2 \cdot 6^n c^{n-1}$ , and Fact 2 is proved.

We define integers  $-1 = j_0 < j_1 < \dots < j_s = N$  and distinct members  $V_1, \dots, V_s$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  as follows. Let  $V_1$  be the member containing  $y_0$ , and let  $j_1$  be the largest number  $j$  such that  $y_j \in V_1$ . If  $j_1 = N$ , then  $s = 1$  and the process stops. Otherwise we let  $V_2 \in \mathcal{P}$  be the set containing  $y_{j_1+1}$ . We set  $j_2 = \max\{j : y_j \in V_2\}$  and continue in this manner until we obtain  $j_s = N$ .

For each  $1 \leq i \leq s$  we apply Fact 2 to find an arc  $\beta_i \subset V_i$  joining the points  $y_{j_{i-1}+1}$  and  $y_{j_i}$ . Let  $\gamma_0$  be the union of these arcs, the line segments  $[x_{j_i}, x_{j_{i+1}}]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s-1$ , and the arcs  $\alpha_r$  for  $r = 0, j_1, j_1+1, j_2, j_2+1, \dots, j_s = N$ . Then  $\gamma_0$  is connected and contains  $a$  and  $b$ . Since  $s \leq (6c)^n$  by Fact 1, the total length of all these arcs is at most

$$sc_1M + sd(\alpha) + 2st \leq (6c)^n(3 + c_1)M = c'M.$$

Hence  $\gamma_0$  contains an arc  $\gamma : a \curvearrowright b$  with  $l(\gamma) \leq c'M$ .  $\square$

**3.5. Theorem.** *Suppose that  $G \subset R^n$  is an inner  $c$ -uniform domain. Then there is  $c_1 = c_1(c, n)$  such that  $G$  is  $(c_1, e)$ -uniform for all metrics  $e$  with  $\varrho_G \leq e \leq \lambda_G$ .*

*Proof.* As a  $c$ -John domain,  $G$  is  $2c$ -airy by 3.3. Hence  $\lambda_G \leq c'\varrho_G$ , where  $c' = c'(2c, n)$  is given by 3.4. Consequently, the theorem holds with  $c_1 = c'$ .  $\square$

**3.6. Example.** We show that 3.4 does not hold in the Hilbert space  $E = l_2$ . Let  $e_1, e_2, \dots$  be the orthonormal basis of  $E$ , and let  $Z$  be the union of all segments  $[e_j, e_{j+1}]$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the neighborhood  $G = B(Z, 1/10)$  is airy, and  $\varrho_G(G) < \infty$ ,  $\lambda_G(G) = \infty$ .

A more convincing example is given by the union  $D$  of this  $G$  and all cones  $V_j = \{x : d(x, L_j) < |x - e_j|/2\}$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $L_j = \{te_j : t \geq 1\}$ . Now  $D$  is airy and unbounded,  $\varrho_D(e_1, e_j) = \sqrt{2}$ , and  $\lambda_D(e_1, e_j) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .

**3.7. Lemma.** *A  $c$ -John domain  $G \subset E$  satisfies the condition  $(2c+1)$ -LLC<sub>2</sub>: If  $x_0 \in G$  and  $r > 0$ , then each pair of points in  $G \setminus B(x_0, (2c+1)r)$  can be joined by an arc in  $G \setminus B(x_0, r)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in G \setminus B(x_0, (2c+1)r)$  and choose an arc  $\alpha : a \curvearrowright b$  with  $\text{cig}_l(\alpha, c) \subset G$ . If there is  $x \in \alpha \cap B(x_0, r)$ , then  $\zeta_l(x, \alpha) > 2cr$ , and hence  $\delta(x) > 2r$ . This implies that  $\delta(x_0) > r$ , and we obtain the desired arc by replacing a subarc of  $\alpha$  by an arc in  $S(x_0, r)$ .  $\square$

**3.8. Bounded turning.** A domain  $G$  is of  $c$ -bounded turning if each pair  $a, b \in G$  can be joined by an arc  $\gamma \subset G$  such that  $d(\gamma) \leq c|a - b|$ . Then  $\varrho_G \leq cd|G$ .

**3.9. Theorem.** *For a domain  $G \subset R^n$ , the following conditions are  $n$ -quantitatively equivalent:*

- (1)  $G$  is  $c$ -uniform.
- (2)  $G$  is inner  $c$ -uniform and of  $c$ -bounded turning.
- (3) If  $g$  is a Möbius map of  $R^n \cup \{\infty\}$  with  $gG \subset R^n$ , then  $gG$  is inner  $c$ -uniform.

*Proof.* By  $n$ -quantitativeness we mean that the constants  $c$  in the conditions depend only on each other and on  $n$ .

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) follows from the fact that a Möbius image of a  $c$ -uniform domain is  $c_1$ -uniform with  $c_1 = c_1(c)$  (see [Vä6, 6.24]).

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): By 3.5,  $G$  is  $(c_1, \varrho_G)$ -uniform with  $c_1 = c_1(c, n)$ . Since  $\varrho_G \leq cd|G$ ,  $G$  is  $cc_1$ -uniform.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Trivially,  $G$  is inner  $c$ -uniform. To prove the bounded turning let  $a, b \in G$ . We may assume that there is  $x_0 \in [a, b] \setminus G$ . Set  $r = |a - x_0| \vee |b - x_0|$  and let  $g$  be the inversion in the sphere  $S(x_0, r)$ . Since  $gG$  is inner  $c$ -uniform and hence  $c$ -John, it follows from 3.7 that there is an arc  $\alpha : ga \curvearrowright gb$  in  $gG \setminus B(x_0, r/c_1)$  where  $c_1 = 2c + 1$ . Then  $g\alpha : a \curvearrowright b$  in  $G \cap \bar{B}(x_0, c_1r)$ , and  $d(g\alpha) \leq 2c_1r \leq 2c_1|a - b|$ . Hence  $G$  is of  $2c_1$ -bounded turning.  $\square$

**3.10. Diameter and distance cigars.** Let  $\gamma \subset E$  be an arc with endpoints  $a$  and  $b$ . For  $c \geq 1$ , the length cigar  $\text{cig}_l(\gamma, c)$  and the diameter cigar  $\text{cig}_d(\gamma, c)$  were defined in 2.16; the former is only defined if  $\gamma$  is rectifiable. In addition, we consider the *distance cigar*

$$\text{cig}_{\text{dist}}(\gamma, c) = \bigcup \{B(x, (|x - a| \wedge |x - b|)/c) : x \in \gamma\}.$$

We always have

$$\text{cig}_{\text{dist}}(\gamma, c) \subset \text{cig}_d(\gamma, c) \subset \text{cig}_l(\gamma, c).$$

It is well known that uniform domains in  $R^n$  can be characterized in terms of diameter cigars [Ma, 4.5] or distance cigars [Vä3, 2.10]. The turning condition  $l(\gamma) \leq c|a-b|$  is then replaced by the inequality  $d(\gamma) \leq c|a-b|$ . In arbitrary Banach spaces, diameter and distance cigars still give the same class of domains, but this is strictly larger than the class of uniform domains. For example, the domain  $G \subset l_2$  of Example 3.6 is not uniform although it satisfies the corresponding condition with diameter cigars.

We next give the corresponding results for inner uniformity, using the turning condition  $d(\gamma) \leq c\varrho_G(a, b)$ .

**3.11. Theorem.** *For a domain  $G \subset R^n$ , the following conditions are  $n$ -quantitatively equivalent:*

- (1)  $G$  is inner  $c$ -uniform.
- (2) Each pair of points  $a, b \in G$  can be joined by an arc  $\gamma$  such that  $\text{cig}_d(\gamma, c) \subset G$  and  $d(\gamma) \leq c\varrho_G(a, b)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that (1) is true and that  $a, b \in G$ . By 3.4 there is  $c_1 = c_1(c, n)$  such that  $\lambda_G \leq c_1\varrho_G$ . Let  $\gamma : a \curvearrowright b$  be an arc satisfying the  $c$ -uniformity conditions in  $G$ . Since  $\text{cig}_d(\gamma, c) \subset \text{cig}_l(\gamma, c)$  and since

$$d(\gamma) \leq l(\gamma) \leq c\lambda_G(a, b) \leq cc_1\varrho_G(a, b),$$

(2) holds with the constant  $cc_1$ .

Conversely, assume that (2) is true and that  $a, b \in G$ . Choose an arc  $\gamma : a \curvearrowright b$  satisfying (2). Using a straightening technique of Martio and Sarvas [MaS, 2.7] we can replace  $\gamma$  by an inscribed polygonal arc  $\alpha$  such that  $\text{cig}_l(\alpha, c_2) \subset \text{cig}_d(\gamma, c)$  and  $l(\alpha) \leq c_2d(\gamma)$  with some constant  $c_2 = c_2(c, n)$ . Now  $l(\alpha) \leq c_2d(\gamma) \leq cc_2\varrho_G(a, b) \leq cc_2\lambda_G(a, b)$ , and hence (1) holds with the constant  $cc_2$ .  $\square$

**3.12. Theorem.** *For a domain  $G \subset E$ , the following conditions are quantitatively equivalent:*

- (1) Each pair of points  $a, b \in G$  can be joined by an arc  $\gamma$  such that  $\text{cig}_d(\gamma, c) \subset G$  and  $d(\gamma) \leq c\varrho(a, b)$ .
- (2) Each pair of points  $a, b \in G$  can be joined by an arc  $\gamma$  such that  $\text{cig}_{\text{dist}}(\gamma, c) \subset G$  and  $d(\gamma) \leq c\varrho(a, b)$ .

*Proof.* Observe that contrary to the other results of this section, the theorem holds in all Banach spaces  $E$ .

Trivially (1) implies (2) with the same  $c$ . Conversely, assume that (2) is true. Since the argument is well known (see [Vä3, 2.18]), we omit some details. Let  $a, b \in G$ , and set  $2r = \delta(a) \wedge \delta(b)$ ,  $M = \varrho_G(a, b)$ . Fix points  $a_1 \in S(a, r)$ ,  $b_1 \in S(b, r)$ . If  $M \leq 2r$ , we can choose  $\gamma = [a, b]$ . If  $2r \leq M \leq 12r$ , we choose an arc  $\beta : a_1 \curvearrowright b_1$  satisfying (2). Then  $\gamma = [a, a_1] \cup \beta \cup [b, b_1]$  satisfies in the obvious sense the path version of (1) with the constant  $72c$ .

We can thus assume that  $M \geq 12r$ . Since  $d(G) > \varrho_G(a, b) = M$ , there is  $z \in G$  with  $|z - a| \wedge |z - b| > M/4$ . Let  $N \geq 2$  be the unique integer with  $3 \cdot 2^N r \leq M < 3 \cdot 2^{N+1} r$ . Set  $a_0 = a$ ,  $A_1 = [a_0, a_1]$ , and choose inductively points  $a_j$  and arcs  $A_j : a_{j-1} \curvearrowright a_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq N$  as follows: Assuming that  $a_i \in S(a, 2^{i-1}r)$  and  $A_i \subset \bar{B}(a, 2^{i-1}r)$  have been defined for  $i \leq j$ , we choose an arc  $\alpha_j : a_j \curvearrowright z$  satisfying (2) and let  $a_{j+1}$  be the first point of  $\alpha_j$  in  $S(a, 2^j r)$ ; then

$A_{j+1} = \alpha_j[a_j, a_{j+1}]$ . A similar construction gives points  $b_j \in S(b, 2^{j-1}r)$  and arcs  $B_j : b_{j-1} \curvearrowright b_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq N$ . Choosing an arc  $\beta : a_N \curvearrowright b_N$  satisfying (2) we get in the obvious way a path  $\gamma$  joining  $a$  and  $b$  in  $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_N \cup \beta \cup B_N \cup \dots \cup B_1$ . This satisfies the path version of (1) with the constant  $96c^3$ .  $\square$

3.13. *Remark.* Balogh and Volberg [BV1] say that a domain  $G \subset R^2$  is *uniformly John* if there is  $c$  such that condition (2) of 3.12 holds. By 3.11 and 3.12 we see that for domains  $G \subset R^n$ , this is  $n$ -quantitatively equivalent to inner  $c$ -uniformity.

3.14. *Remark.* Jones [Jo2] says that a domain  $G \subset R^n$  is an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  domain with  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\delta > 0$  if each pair  $a, b \in G$  with  $|a - b| < \delta$  can be joined by a rectifiable arc  $\gamma$  such that  $l(\gamma) \leq |a - b|/\varepsilon$  and

$$\zeta_J(x) = \frac{|x - a||x - b|}{|a - b|} \leq d(x, \partial G)/\varepsilon$$

for all  $x \in \gamma$ . We show that this property is  $n$ -quantitatively equivalent to  $R$ -bounded  $c$ -uniformity. Setting  $\zeta(x) = |x - a| \wedge |x - b|$  we have

$$(3.15) \quad 1/\zeta_J(x) \leq 1/|x - b| + 1/|x - a| \leq 2/\zeta(x).$$

In the other direction, assuming the turning condition  $l(\gamma) \leq c|a - b|$  we get

$$|x - a| \vee |x - b| \leq l(\gamma) \leq c|a - b|,$$

and hence

$$\zeta_J(x) \leq c\zeta(x) \leq c\zeta_I(x).$$

From this it follows that an  $R$ -boundedly  $c$ -uniform domain is an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  domain with  $\delta = R$ ,  $\varepsilon = c^{-2}$ . Conversely, an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  domain is  $R$ -boundedly  $c$ -uniform with  $R = \delta/2$ ,  $c = c(\varepsilon, n)$ . To see this, let  $a, b \in G$  with  $|a - b| \leq R$ , and let  $\gamma : a \curvearrowright b$  be an arc satisfying the  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  condition. Then  $\text{cig}_{\text{dist}}(\gamma, 2/\varepsilon) \subset G$  by (3.15). A variation of the proof of 3.9 gives an arc  $\beta : a \curvearrowright b$  such that  $\text{cig}_d(\beta, c_1) \subset G$  and  $d(\beta) \leq c_1|a - b|$  with some  $c_1 = c_1(\varepsilon)$ ; the proof only makes use of pairs with distance less than  $2R = \delta$ . Finally, the straightening technique of [MaS, 2.7] again gives the desired  $c$ -uniform arc  $\alpha : a \curvearrowright b$  with  $c = c(\varepsilon, n)$ .

#### 4. BOUNDARY EXTENSION

4.1. *Summary.* We study the question: When does a coarsely quasihyperbolic map  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  have a limit at a given boundary point? This question has been rather extensively studied for quasiconformal maps in  $R^n$ . In the free quasiworld, it is known that CQH maps between uniform domains have homeomorphic extensions to the closures. For nonuniform domains, there are hardly any earlier results.

4.2. **Definitions.** We first recall the basic terminology for maps in the free quasiworld. Let  $E$  and  $E'$  be Banach spaces, and let  $G \subset E$  and  $G' \subset E'$  be domains with QH metrics  $k = k_G$  and  $k' = k_{G'}$ . Let  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  be a homeomorphism. If  $M \geq 1$ ,  $C \geq 0$ , and

$$(k(x, y) - C)/M \leq k'(fx, fy) \leq Mk(x, y) + C,$$

then  $f$  is said to be  $C$ -coarsely  $M$ -quasihyperbolic or briefly  $(M, C)$ -CQH. The map is  $M$ -quasihyperbolic if  $M$ -QH and if this holds with  $C = 0$ . In other words,  $f$  is  $M$ -bilipschitz in the QH metric. If  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a homeomorphism and if

$$\varphi^{-1}(k(x, y)) \leq k'(fx, fy) \leq \varphi(k(x, y))$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ , then  $f$  is  $\varphi$ -solid. If  $f$  defines a  $\varphi$ -solid map  $f_D : D \rightarrow fD$  for each proper subdomain  $D \subsetneq G$ , then  $f$  is *freely  $\varphi$ -quasiconformal*. This notion makes sense also in the case  $G = E$ ,  $G' = E'$ . Between these classes, we have the following quantitative implications:

$$M\text{-QH} \Rightarrow \text{freely } \varphi\text{-quasiconformal} \Rightarrow \varphi\text{-solid} \Rightarrow (M, C)\text{-CQH};$$

see [Vä6, 4.14]. Alternatively, the freely quasiconformal maps can be characterized by the property that for some  $(M, C)$ , each  $f_D : D \rightarrow fD$  is  $(M, C)$ -CQH [Vä7, 2.21]. In the case  $E = E' = \mathbb{R}^n$ , the freely quasiconformal maps are just the quasiconformal maps in the ordinary sense.

We shall consider the boundary behavior of CQH maps. Hence all results hold for the other three classes as well, and for quasiconformal maps of domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

The closure  $\bar{A}$  and the boundary  $\partial A$  of a set  $A \subset E$  is always taken in the extended space  $\bar{E} = E \cup \{\infty\}$ , where the neighborhoods of  $\infty$  are the complements of closed bounded sets. In particular,  $\infty \in \partial A$  if and only if  $A$  is unbounded.

Let  $c \geq 1$  and  $h \geq 0$ . A domain  $G$  is said to be *locally  $(c, h)$ -solidly connected*, or  $(c, h)$ -LSC, at a point  $b \in \partial G$  if each neighborhood  $U$  of  $b$  contains a neighborhood  $V$  of  $b$  such that every pair of points in  $V \cap G$  can be joined by an arc  $\gamma \subset U \cap G$  such that  $\gamma$  is  $(c, h)$ -solid in  $G$ .

For example, a Jordan domain  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is  $(4, 0)$ -LSC at each boundary point. This is seen by mapping  $G$  conformally onto the unit disk and using the fact that hyperbolic geodesics are 4-near-geodesics. More examples of the LSC property are given by the following result:

**4.3. Theorem.** *An  $R$ -boundedly  $c$ -uniform domain is  $(c', 0)$ -LSC at each finite boundary point for all  $c' > 1$ . An unbounded  $c$ -uniform domain is  $(c_0, 0)$ -LSC at  $\infty$  with a universal constant  $c_0 < 145$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $G$  is  $R$ -boundedly  $c$ -uniform. By 2.40,  $G$  is  $R$ -boundedly QH  $\psi$ -uniform with the slow function  $\psi = 7c^3 \log(1+t)$ . Let  $M_1 = M_1(c, 0, \psi)$  be the number given by (2.11), and let  $0 < r < R/50M_1$ . Suppose that  $1 < c' \leq 2$ , that  $b \in \partial G$ ,  $b \neq \infty$ , and that  $x, y \in G \cap B(b, r)$ . Choose a  $c'$ -near-geodesic  $\gamma : x \rightsquigarrow y$ . By 2.35,  $\gamma$  is  $c_1$ -uniform in  $G$  with  $c_1 = c_1(c)$ . The turning condition implies that  $\gamma \subset B(b, (c_1 + 1)r)$ . Hence  $G$  is  $(c', 0)$ -LSC at  $b$ .

Next assume that  $G$  is unbounded and  $c$ -uniform. We may assume that  $0 \in \partial G$ . Let  $u : E \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow E \setminus \{0\}$  be the inversion  $ux = x/|x|^2$ . Since  $u$  is  $\eta$ -quasimöbius with  $\eta(t) = 81t$  by [Vä2, 1.6], the domain  $uG$  is uniform by [Vä6, 6.26]. By the first part of the theorem,  $uG$  is  $(c', 0)$ -LSC at the origin for each  $c' > 1$ . By [Vä8, 2.9],  $u$  defines a 12-QH map  $f : G \rightarrow uG$ . Hence  $f^{-1}$  maps each  $c'$ -near-geodesic of  $uG$  onto a  $c_0$ -near-geodesic of  $G$  with  $c_0 = 144c'$ , and the theorem follows.  $\square$

**4.4. Remarks.** 1. If  $G$  is  $(c, h)$ -LSC at  $b \in \partial G$ , then  $G$  is locally connected at  $b$ , that is,  $b$  has arbitrarily small neighborhoods  $U$  such that  $U \cap G$  is connected. In 4.6 we show that the converse is not true.

2. A parallel strip in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is  $R$ -boundedly  $c_R$ -uniform for all  $R > 0$ , but it is not even locally connected at  $\infty$ . However, domains  $G_0 = E_1 \times B_2$  are LSC at  $\infty$ , where  $B_2$  is a ball in a space  $E_2$  and  $\dim E_1 \geq 2$ . The proof will be given in 5.11. For example, a domain between two parallel planes in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is LSC at  $\infty$ .

3. We get more examples of the LSC property by auxiliary maps and by the following result:

**4.5. Theorem.** *Suppose that  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  in  $(M, C)$ -CQH and that  $b \in \partial G$  and  $b' \in \partial G'$  are points such that  $f$  extends to a homeomorphism  $G \cup \{b\} \rightarrow G' \cup \{b'\}$ . If  $G$  is  $(c, h)$ -LSC at  $b$ , then  $G'$  is  $(c', h')$ -LSC at  $b'$  with  $(c', h')$  depending only on  $(c, h, M, C)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f$  maps  $(c, h)$ -solid arcs onto  $(c', h')$ -solid arcs by [Vä6, 4.15], the result follows from the definition of the LSC property.  $\square$

**4.6. Example.** We construct a domain  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , which is locally connected at  $0 \in \partial G$  but not LSC at 0. Define  $g : (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $g(t) = e^{-1/t}$ , and set  $A = \bar{B}^2 \setminus \{(x, y) : 0 < x \leq 1, |y| < g(x)\}$ . The domain  $G = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus A$  is locally connected at the origin.

Let  $c \geq 1$  and  $h \geq 0$ . Then there is  $r = r(c, h) > 0$  such that no arc in  $B(r) \cap G$  is  $(c, h)$ -solid and joins a point in  $[0, e_3]$  to a point in  $[0, -e_3]$ . We omit the elementary but lengthy proof. Thus  $G$  is not  $(c, h)$ -LSC at the origin.

**4.7. Remark.** Fattening slightly the set  $A$  in 4.6 we obtain an example where  $A$  is a topological 3-cell. With a Möbius map we get a Jordan domain in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that is not LSC at one boundary point. Recall from 4.2 that Jordan domains in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are LSC at all boundary points.

We next give the main result of this section. We let  $\lambda' = \lambda_{G'}$  denote the inner metric of  $G'$ .

**4.8. Theorem.** *Suppose that  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  is CQH and that  $G$  is LSC at  $b \in \partial G$ . Suppose also that  $d|_{G'} \leq e \leq \lambda'$  and that*

- (1) *for each bounded set  $A \subset G'$  there is  $c_A \geq 1$  such that  $G'$  is QH  $(c_A, e)$ -uniform rel  $A$ ,*
- (2)  *$e(A) < \infty$  for each bounded  $A \subset G'$ .*

*Then  $f$  has a limit at  $b$ .*

*Condition (2) holds automatically if  $e = d|_{G'}$  or if  $G'$  is bounded or if  $\dim E' < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  is  $(M, C)$ -CQH and that  $G$  is  $(c, h)$ -LSC at  $b$ . Assume that  $f$  does not have a limit at  $b$ .

Suppose first that  $b \neq \infty$ . For  $r > 0$  we write  $U(r) = B(b, r)$ . Fix a point  $x_0 \in G$ . Since  $\infty$  is not a limit of  $f$  at  $b$ , there is  $R > 0$  such that  $fU(r)$  meets  $B(fx_0, R)$  for all  $r > 0$ . Furthermore, there is  $t > 0$  such that  $d(fU(r)) > t$  for all  $r > 0$ , since otherwise  $\lim_{x \rightarrow b} f(x)$  exists by the completeness of  $E'$ .

Let  $r > 0$ . Since  $G$  is  $(c, h)$ -LSC at  $b$ , there is  $s \in (0, r)$  such that points of  $U(s)$  can be joined by a  $(c, h)$ -solid arc of  $G$  in  $U(r)$ . Pick  $x \in U(s)$  with  $fx \in B(fx_0, R)$  and then  $y \in U(s)$  with  $|fx - fy| \geq t/2$ . Let  $\alpha \subset U(r)$  be a  $(c, h)$ -solid arc in  $G$  with endpoints  $x$  and  $y$ . By [Vä6, 4.15], the arc  $f\alpha$  is  $(c', h')$ -solid in  $G'$  with  $(c', h')$  depending on  $(c, h, M, C)$ .

Orient  $\alpha$  so that  $x$  is its first point. Let  $z$  be the first point of  $\alpha$  with  $|fx - fz| = t/2$ . Let  $z_0 \in \alpha[x, z]$  be a point where  $\delta'(fz_0) = d(fz_0, \partial G')$  is maximal. Write  $r' = \delta'(fz_0)$ ,  $\gamma = f\alpha[x, z]$ , and  $A = G' \cap B(fx_0, R + t/2)$ . Since  $G'$  is  $(c_A, e)$ -uniform rel  $A$  and since  $\gamma \subset G' \cap \bar{B}(fx, t/2) \subset A$ , we can apply 2.27 and the Escape lemma 2.13 with  $G \mapsto G'$ ,  $r \mapsto r'$  to obtain an estimate  $d(\gamma) \leq M_2 r'$  with a constant  $M_2$  depending on  $(c, h, M, C, c_A)$  but not on  $r$ . Hence  $r' \geq d(\gamma)/M_2 \geq t/2M_2$ , which

yields

$$r_{G'}(fx_0, fz_0; e) \leq \frac{e(A)}{\min(t/2M_2, \delta'(f(x_0)))} = c_1 < \infty,$$

by (2), where  $c_1$  does not depend on  $r$ . Since  $G'$  is  $(c_A, e)$ -uniform rel  $A$ , and since  $fx_0, fz_0 \in A$ , this and 2.27 imply that  $k'(fx_0, fz_0) \leq c_A \log(1 + c_1) = c_2$ . Since  $f$  is  $(M, C)$ -CQH, we obtain

$$k(x_0, z_0) \leq Mc_2 + C.$$

On the other hand,

$$k(x_0, z_0) \geq \log \frac{\delta(x_0)}{\delta(z_0)} \geq \log \frac{\delta(x_0)}{r}.$$

As  $r \rightarrow 0$ , these inequalities give a contradiction.

If  $b = \infty$ , we can use the same argument replacing  $U(r)$  by  $G \setminus \bar{B}(1/r)$ . For  $r < 1/|x_0|$  we then have

$$k(x_0, z_0) \geq \log \frac{|x_0 - z_0|}{\delta(x_0)} \geq \log \frac{1/r - |x_0|}{\delta(x_0)} \rightarrow \infty$$

as  $r \rightarrow 0$ .

If  $e = d|G'$ , then (2) is trivially true. Suppose that  $G'$  is bounded and that  $a, b \in G'$ . Since  $G'$  is  $(c_0, e)$ -uniform with  $c_0 = c_{G'}$  by (1), we can join  $a$  and  $b$  by an arc  $\beta$  such that  $\text{cig}_l(\beta, c_0) \subset G'$ . Let  $x \in \beta$  be the point with  $l(\beta[a, x]) = l(\beta)/2$ . Then  $B(x, l(\beta)/2c_0) \subset G'$ , which implies that

$$e(a, b) \leq \lambda'(a, b) \leq l(\beta) \leq c_0 d(G').$$

Hence  $e(G') \leq c_0 d(G') < \infty$ . If  $\dim E' < \infty$ , then (2) follows from (1) and from Lemma 4.9 below.  $\square$

**4.9. Lemma.** *Suppose that  $\dim E < \infty$ , that  $G \subset E$  is a domain, that  $c \geq 1$ , and that  $A \subset G$  is a bounded set such that each pair of points in  $A$  can be joined by an arc  $\gamma$  with  $\text{cig}_l(\gamma, c) \subset G$ . Then  $A$  is bounded in the metric  $\lambda_G$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\lambda(A) = \infty$ . Choose a ball  $B(R)$  containing  $A$  and a sequence of points  $x_0, x_1, \dots$  in  $A$  such that  $\lambda(x_i, x_j) \geq 2R$  for  $i \neq j$ . For  $j \geq 1$  join  $x_j$  and  $x_0$  by an arc  $\gamma_j$  with  $\text{cig}_l(\gamma_j, c) \subset G$ . Then  $l(\gamma_j) \geq 2R$  for all  $j$ . Let  $y_j \in \gamma_j$  be the point with  $l(\gamma[x_j, y_j]) = R/4$ . Then the balls  $B_j = B(y_j, R/4c)$  lie in  $G$ . If  $B_i$  meets  $B_j$ , we can join  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  by an arc  $\alpha \subset \gamma[x_i, y_i] \cup [y_i, y_j] \cup \gamma[y_j, x_j] \subset G$ , and we obtain the contradiction

$$\lambda(x_i, x_j) \leq l(\alpha) \leq R/2 + R/2c \leq R < 2R \leq \lambda(x_i, x_j).$$

Hence the balls  $B_j$  are disjoint. Since they lie in  $B(2R)$  and since  $\dim E < \infty$ , this leads to a contradiction, and the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**4.10. Theorem.** *Suppose that  $G$  and  $G'$  are domains in  $R^n$  with  $G'$  inner uniform, and that  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  is CQH, for example, quasiconformal. If  $G$  is LSC at  $b \in \partial G$ , then  $f$  has a limit at  $b$ . If  $G$  is uniform, then  $f$  has a continuous extension to  $\bar{G}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from 4.8 and 4.3.  $\square$

**4.11. Theorem.** *Suppose that  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  is CQH, that  $G$  is LSC at  $b \in \partial G$ , and that  $G'$  is convex. Then  $f$  has a limit at  $b$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A \subset G'$  be bounded. Choose a ball  $B$  containing  $A$ . The domain  $G' \cap B$  is uniform by 2.19, and hence QH  $\psi$ -uniform with a slow  $\psi$ . The theorem follows from 4.8 with  $e = d|G'$ .  $\square$

**4.12. Example.** We show that Theorem 4.8 does not hold without condition (2). Let  $E$  be a separable Hilbert space with orthonormal base  $e_1, e_2, \dots$ . We consider  $e_1$  as vertical, and let  $G$  denote the lower half space  $\{x : x \cdot e_1 < 0\}$ . Set  $T = \{x : x \cdot e_1 = 0\}$ . For  $k \geq 2$ , let  $B_k$  be the disk  $T \cap B(4ke_k, k)$ . Define a 4-bilipschitz function  $u : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $u(x) = 4(k - |x - 4ke_k|)$  for  $x \in B_k$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , and by  $u(x) = 0$  elsewhere. Let  $P : E \rightarrow T$  be the orthogonal projection. Define a homeomorphism  $f_1 : G \rightarrow G_1$  by  $f_1(x) = x + u(Px)e_1$ . The image domain  $G_1$  is the union of  $G$ , the disks  $B_k$ , and the conical domains  $V_k$  with base  $B_k$  and vertex  $v_k = 4k(e_k + e_1)$ . Since  $f_1^{-1}(x) = x - u(Px)e_1$ , we see that  $f_1$  is 5-bilipschitz.

For each  $k \geq 2$ , we can easily find a homeomorphism  $g_k : \bar{V}_k \rightarrow \bar{V}'_k$  such that  $g_k|_{B_k} = \text{id}$ ,  $g_kv_k = 0$ , the domains  $V'_k$  are disjoint subdomains of the upper half space, and the maps  $g_k$  are  $M$ -bilipschitz for some  $M$  independent of  $k$ . The maps  $g_k|_{V_k}$  extend by identity to a homeomorphism  $g : G_1 \rightarrow G'$ , where  $G'$  is the union of  $G$  and all  $B_k$  and  $V'_k$ . Since  $g$  is locally  $M$ -bilipschitz, we obtain a locally  $5M$ -bilipschitz homeomorphism  $f = g \circ f_1 : G \rightarrow G'$ . By [Vä5, 4.8],  $f$  is  $25M^2$ -quasihyperbolic. The half space  $G$  is uniform. By 2.21, the domain  $G'$  is inner uniform. Hence the conditions of 4.8 are satisfied with  $e = \lambda'$ , except for (2). Since  $f$  has no limit at  $\infty$ , we see that condition (2) cannot be omitted.

**4.13. Local uniformity.** We say that a domain  $G$  is *locally  $c$ -uniform* at a point  $b \in \partial G$  if  $b$  has a neighborhood  $U$  such that  $G$  is  $c$ -uniform rel  $U \cap G$ , that is, each pair  $x, y \in U \cap G$  can be joined by an arc  $\gamma$  which is  $c$ -uniform in  $G$ .

A  $c$ -uniform domain is trivially locally  $c$ -uniform at each boundary point. Conversely, if  $G$  is bounded and locally  $c$ -uniform at each boundary point, then  $G$  is a uniform domain. This was proved by P. Alestalo [Al], but the result is not needed in this paper. The domain  $G_0 = E_1 \times B_2$  is locally  $c$ -uniform with a universal  $c$  at each finite boundary point but not at  $\infty$ . This difference will be used in Section 5 to prove that if  $\dim E_1 \geq 2$ , then every CQH map  $G_0 \rightarrow G_0$  must fix the point at infinity. The proof is based on 4.15 below.

**4.14. Theorem.** *Suppose that  $G$  is locally  $c_0$ -uniform at a finite boundary point  $b$ . Then there is  $r_0 > 0$  such that if  $0 < r \leq r_0$  and if  $\gamma$  is a  $(c, h)$ -solid arc in  $G$  with endpoints in  $B(b, r)$ , then  $\gamma \subset B(b, M_2r)$ , where  $M_2$  depends on  $(c, h, c_0)$ . Moreover,  $G$  is  $(c, 0)$ -LSC at  $b$  for each  $c > 1$ .*

*Proof.* Choose a neighborhood  $U$  of  $b$  such that  $G$  is  $c_0$ -uniform rel  $U \cap G$ . Then  $G$  is QH  $7c_0^3$ -uniform rel  $U \cap G$  by 2.27. Let  $r_1 > 0$  be such that  $\bar{B}(b, r_1) \subset U$ , and let  $M_1 = M_1(c, h, \psi)$  be the constant given by (2.11) for  $\psi(t) = 7c_0^3 \log(1 + t)$ . We show that the theorem holds with  $r_0 = r_1/(1 + 4M_1)$  and with a suitable  $M_2$ .

Let  $0 < r \leq r_0$ , and let  $\gamma$  be a  $(c, h)$ -solid arc in  $G$  with endpoints  $a_0, a_1 \in B(b, r)$ . We let  $c_1, c_2, \dots$  denote constants depending only on  $(c, h, c_0)$ .

We may assume that  $\gamma \not\subset B(b, (1 + 4M_1)r)$ , since otherwise the theorem holds with  $M_2 = 1 + 4M_1$ . Let  $x_0$  be the first point of  $\gamma$  after  $a_0$  such that  $|x_0 - b| = (1 + 4M_1)r$ . If  $\gamma[a_0, x_0] \subset \partial G + \bar{B}(r)$ , it follows from the Escape lemma 2.13 that  $d(\gamma[a_0, x_0]) \leq 4M_1r$ . This gives the contradiction

$$|b - x_0| \leq |b - a_0| + |a_0 - x_0| < r + 4M_1r = |b - x_0|.$$

Consequently,  $\gamma[a_0, x_0] \not\subset \partial G + \bar{B}(r)$ . Considering similarly the other endpoint  $a_1$ , we find points  $b_0, b_1 \in \gamma$  such that  $\delta(b_j) = r$  and such that  $\gamma[a_j, b_j] \subset \bar{B}(b, (1 + 4M_1)r)$ ,  $j = 0, 1$ . Then

$$r_G(b_0, b_1) = \frac{|b_0 - b_1|}{r} \leq 2(1 + 4M_1) = c_1.$$

Since  $b_0, b_1 \in U$ , this implies that  $k(b_0, b_1) \leq \psi(c_1)$ . Setting  $\beta = \gamma[b_0, b_1]$  we thus have  $l_k(\beta, h) \leq c\psi(c_1) = c_2$ .

Let  $y \in \beta$ . If  $k(y, b_0) \leq h$ , then [Vä5, 2.2(1)] gives  $|y - b_0| \leq \delta(b_0)e^{k(y, b_0)} \leq re^h$ . If  $k(y, b_0) \geq h$ , then

$$l_k(\beta, h) \geq k(y, b_0) \geq \log \frac{|y - b_0|}{r}.$$

Hence  $|y - b_0| \leq re^{c_2}$ . Setting  $c_3 = e^{h \vee c_2}$  we thus have  $|y - b_0| \leq c_3 r$  in each case. Consequently,

$$|y - b| \leq |y - b_0| + |b_0 - b| < (c_3 + 1 + 4M_1)r,$$

and the first part of the theorem is proved with  $M_2 = c_3 + 1 + 4M_1$ . The second part is a corollary of the first part.  $\square$

**4.15. Theorem.** *Suppose that  $G$  is locally  $c$ -uniform at a finite boundary point  $b$ . Then there is no open solid arc  $\gamma \subset G$  such that  $\gamma \cup \{b\}$  is a Jordan curve. If  $G$  is uniform, this also holds in the case  $b = \infty$ .*

*Proof.* The first part follows from 4.14. If  $G$  is uniform, we can make use of an auxiliary inversion to reduce the case to the first part.  $\square$

## 5. MAPS OF DOMAINS $G_0 = E_1 \times B_2$

**5.1. Summary.** Throughout this section we assume that  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are Banach spaces of dimensions  $\geq 1$ , and we let  $B_j$  denote the unit ball of  $E_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Other balls in  $E_j$  are written as  $B_j(x, r)$ . We set  $E = E_1 \times E_2$  and  $G_0 = E_1 \times B_2$ . For example,  $G_0$  may be the infinite tube  $\mathbb{R} \times B^2$  or the domain  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times B^1$  between two parallel planes in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . In  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the domain  $G_0$  is  $\mathbb{R}^p \times B^{n-p}$  with  $1 \leq p \leq n-1$ . The author knows of no previous article dealing with the intermediate case  $2 \leq p \leq n-2$ .

We study CQH maps between these domains. If  $G'_0 = E'_1 \times B'_2$  is another such domain, and if there is a CQH map  $f : G_0 \rightarrow G'_0$ , we show that  $\dim E_1 = \dim E'_1$  and  $\dim E_2 = \dim E'_2$ . Hence we mainly consider self maps  $f : G_0 \rightarrow G_0$ . If  $\dim E_1 \geq 2$ , then  $f$  extends to a homeomorphism  $\bar{f} : \bar{G}_0 \rightarrow \bar{G}_0$  with  $\bar{f}(\infty) = \infty$ . We also show that  $\bar{f}$  is quasimetric rel  $\partial G_0$  and estimate the horizontal and vertical distortions of  $f$ .

**5.2. Norm of  $E$ .** We say that a norm  $|x|$  of  $E = E_1 \times E_2$  is *admissible* if

$$(5.3) \quad |x_1| \vee |x_2| \leq |x| \leq |x_1| + |x_2|$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in E$ . Throughout this section we assume that the norm of  $E$  is admissible. If  $E_1 = \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $E_2 = \mathbb{R}^q$ , we use the euclidean norm  $|x| = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{1/2}$ , and we identify  $E = \mathbb{R}^{p+q}$ . Since  $|x_1| + |x_2| \leq 2(|x_1| \vee |x_2|)$ , all admissible norms are bilipschitz equivalent.

Let  $P_1 : E \rightarrow E_1$  and  $P_2 : E \rightarrow E_2$  be the projections. From (5.3) it follows that the operator norms  $|P_1|$  and  $|P_2|$  are equal to 1. Moreover, the natural embeddings  $E_1 \rightarrow E$  and  $E_2 \rightarrow E$  are isometries. Hence we can identify  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  with the

subspaces  $E_1 \times \{0\}$  and  $\{0\} \times E_2$  of  $E$ . Then we can write  $x = P_1x + P_2x$  for each  $x \in E$ .

**5.4. Quasihyperbolic metric of  $G_0$ .** Since  $\partial G_0 = (E_1 \times \partial B_2) \cup \{\infty\}$ , we have  $\delta(x) = d(x, \partial G_0) = 1 - |x_2| \leq 1$  for each  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in G_0$ . Let  $k = k_{G_0}$  be the QH metric of  $G_0$ . We list some obvious properties of  $k$ . Let  $a \in E_1$  and  $u \in \partial B_2$ .

(1) If  $0 \leq s \leq t < 1$ , then

$$k(a + su, a + tu) = \log \frac{1-s}{1-t}.$$

In particular,  $k(a, a + tu) = \log(1/(1-t))$ .

(2) The segment  $[a, a + u]$  is a QH geodesic.

(3) If  $a, b \in E_1$ , then  $k(a, b) = |a - b|$ .

(4) If  $x, y \in G_0$  and if  $\delta(x), \delta(y) \geq r$ , then  $rk(x, y) \leq |x - y| \leq k(x, y)$ .

(5) Every line  $L \subset E_1$  is a QH geodesic of  $G_0$ . If  $x \in G_0$ , the line  $L + x$  is a  $c$ -neargeodesic with  $c = 1/\delta(x)$ .

**5.5. Lemma.** *Suppose that  $\alpha \subset E_1$  is a  $c$ -quasiconvex arc with endpoints  $a, a'$  and that  $u \in \partial B_2$ . Then the arc  $\gamma = [a, a + u] \cup \alpha$  is a  $2c$ -neargeodesic of  $G_0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $0 < t < 1$  and set  $\gamma_0 = \alpha \cup [a, a + tu]$ . In view of (2) and (3) of 5.4, it suffices to show that

$$(5.6) \quad l_k(\gamma_0) \leq 2ck(a', a + tu).$$

Let  $\beta : a' \curvearrowright a + tu$  be a rectifiable arc in  $G_0$ . Since  $\delta(x) \leq 1$  in  $G_0$ , we have  $l_k(\beta) \geq l(\beta) \geq |a - a'|$ . Moreover,

$$l_k(\beta) = \int_{\beta} \frac{|dx|}{1 - |P_2x|} \geq \int_0^t \frac{dr}{1-r} = \log \frac{1}{1-t};$$

a rigorous proof of the inequality can be carried out by 5.3 and 5.7 of [Vä1]. Hence

$$2l_k(\beta) \geq |a - a'| + \log \frac{1}{1-t} \geq l(\alpha)/c + \log \frac{1}{1-t} \geq l_k(\gamma_0)/c,$$

and (5.6) follows.  $\square$

**5.7. Lemma.** *Suppose that  $\alpha \subset E_1$  is a  $c$ -quasiconvex arc with endpoints  $a, a'$  and that  $u, u' \in \partial B_2$ . If  $|a - a'| \geq 2$ , then  $\gamma = [a, a + u] \cup \alpha \cup [a', a' + u']$  is a  $2c$ -neargeodesic of  $G_0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t, t' \in [0, 1)$  and set  $b = a + tu$ ,  $b' = a' + t'u'$ . Write  $\gamma_0 = \gamma[b, b']$  and suppose that  $\beta : b \curvearrowright b'$  is a rectifiable arc in  $G_0$ . In view of 5.5, it suffices to show that  $l_k(\gamma_0) \leq 2cl_k(\beta)$ .

Let  $x_0 \in \beta$  be a point where  $\delta(x_0)$  is maximal, and set  $r = \delta(x_0)$ . Then  $(1-t) \vee (1-t') \leq r \leq 1$ . For the arcs  $\sigma = \beta[b, x_0]$  and  $\sigma' = \beta[x_0, b']$  we have as in 5.5

$$l_k(\sigma) \geq \log \frac{r}{1-t}, \quad l_k(\sigma') \geq \log \frac{r}{1-t'}.$$

Moreover,  $l_k(\beta) \geq |a - a'|/r$ . Hence  $2l_k(\beta) \geq g(r)$  where

$$g(r) = \frac{|a - a'|}{r} + \log \frac{r}{1-t} + \log \frac{r}{1-t'}.$$

Since  $|a - a'| \geq 2$ , we see by elementary calculus that  $g$  is decreasing on  $(0, 1]$ . Hence

$$2cl_k(\beta) \geq cg(1) \geq l(\alpha) + \log \frac{1}{1-t} + \log \frac{1}{1-t'} = l_k(\gamma_0). \quad \square$$

**5.8. Lemma.** *There is a universal constant  $c_0$  such that each pair  $a, b \in G_0$  can be joined by an arc  $\gamma$  that is  $c_0$ -quasiconvex both in the norm metric and in the QH metric of  $G_0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $|P_1a - P_1b| \geq 2$ , the arc  $\gamma = [a, P_1a] \cup [P_1a, P_1b] \cup [P_1b, b]$  is a 2-neargeodesic of  $G_0$  by 5.7. It is easy to verify that  $\gamma$  is 2-quasiconvex in the norm metric.

Suppose that  $|P_1a - P_1b| < 2$ , and set  $z = (P_1a + P_1b)/2$ . Then  $a$  and  $b$  lie in the convex domain  $D = B_1(z, 1) \times B_2$ . Since  $B(z, 1) \subset D \subset B(z, 2)$ , the domain  $D$  is 4-uniform by 2.19. Let  $\gamma : a \curvearrowright b$  be a 2-neargeodesic of  $D$ . Applying the part (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) of 2.42 with the substitution  $G \mapsto D$ ,  $D \mapsto G_0$  we see that  $\gamma$  is a  $c_0$ -neargeodesic of  $G_0$  with a universal  $c_0$ . Moreover by the Cigar theorem 2.29, each subarc of  $\gamma$  is  $c_1$ -uniform in  $D$  with a universal  $c_1$ , and hence  $\gamma$  is  $c_1$ -quasiconvex in the norm metric.  $\square$

**5.9. Lemma.** *Let  $F$  be a normed vector space with  $\dim F \geq 2$ , and let  $B$  be a ball (open or closed) in  $F$ . Then each pair of points in  $F \setminus B$  can be joined by a 5-quasiconvex arc in  $F \setminus B$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $B$  is centered at the origin. Let  $a, b \in F \setminus B$  with  $|a| = r \leq R = |b|$ . Setting  $y = rb/R$  we have  $|y| = r$ . Since the sphere  $S(r)$  is 2-quasiconvex by [Sc, 5F], there is an arc  $\alpha : a \curvearrowright y$  in  $S(r)$  with  $l(\alpha) \leq 2|a - y|$ . It suffices to show that  $l(\gamma) \leq 5|a - b|$  for  $\gamma = \alpha \cup [y, b]$ . We have

$$l(\gamma) \leq 2|a - y| + |y - b| \leq 2|a - b| + 3|y - b|.$$

Since  $|y - b| = R - r \leq |a - b|$ , we obtain  $l(\gamma) \leq 5|a - b|$ .  $\square$

**5.10. Proposition.** *For each  $R > 0$ , the domain  $G_0$  is  $R$ -boundedly  $(R + 2)$ -uniform.*

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in G_0$  with  $|a - b| \leq R$ . For  $z = (P_1a + P_1b)/2$ , the domain  $D = G_0 \cap B(z, 1 + R/2)$  is convex and contains  $a$  and  $b$ . Moreover,  $B(z, 1) \subset D \subset B(z, 1 + R/2)$ . Hence  $D$  is  $(R + 2)$ -uniform by 2.19, and the proposition follows.  $\square$

**5.11. Proposition.** *The domain  $G_0$  is locally 4-uniform and  $(c, 0)$ -LSC at each finite boundary point for all  $c > 1$ . If  $\dim E_1 \geq 2$ , then  $G_0$  is  $(c_0, 0)$ -LSC at  $\infty$  with a universal constant  $c_0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $b = (b_1, b_2) \in \partial G_0 \setminus \{\infty\}$ , and set  $D = B_1(b_1, 1) \times B_2 = P_1^{-1}B_1(b_1, 1) \cap G_0$ . Then  $B(b_1, 1) \subset D \subset B(b_1, 2)$ , and hence  $D$  is 4-uniform by 2.19. Consequently,  $G_0$  is locally 4-uniform at  $b$ . The LSC property follows from 4.3 and 5.10.

Suppose that  $\dim E_2 \geq 2$ . Let  $R > 0$  and let  $x, y \in G_0 \setminus (\bar{B}_1(R + 2) \times B_2)$ . It suffices to show that  $x$  and  $y$  can be joined by a  $c_0$ -neargeodesic of  $G_0$  in  $G_0 \setminus (\bar{B}_1(R) \times B_2)$ . Writing  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2)$  we consider two cases.

*Case 1.*  $|x_1 - y_1| < 2$ . The domain  $D = B_1(x_1, 2) \times B_2$  is convex and 6-uniform by 2.19. Let  $\gamma : x \curvearrowright y$  be a 2-neargeodesic of  $D$ . By 2.42, we again see that  $\gamma$  is a  $c_0$ -neargeodesic of  $G_0$  with a universal  $c_0$ . Moreover,  $\gamma \subset G_0 \setminus (\bar{B}_1(R) \times B_2)$ .

*Case 2.*  $|x_1 - y_1| \geq 2$ . By 5.9, we can join  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  by a 5-quasiconvex arc  $\alpha \subset E_1 \setminus B_1(R)$ . Then  $\gamma = [x, x_1] \cup \alpha \cup [y_1, y]$  joins  $x$  and  $y$  in  $G_0 \setminus (B_1(R) \times B_2)$ , and  $\gamma$  is a 10-neargeodesic by 5.7.  $\square$

**5.12. Theorem.** *The domain  $G_0$  is CQH equivalent to a uniform domain if and only if  $\dim E_1 = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\dim E_1 = 1$ , let  $a \in E_1$  be a unit vector, and let  $H$  be the half space  $\{(ta, x) : t > 0, x \in E_2\}$  of  $E = E_1 \times E_2$ . Then  $H$  is a uniform domain, and the map  $f : H \rightarrow G_0$ , defined by

$$fx = \frac{x}{|x|} + a \log \frac{1}{|x|},$$

is 3-QH in the norm  $|x| = |x_1| + |x_2|$  (see [Vä9, 8.14]).

Next assume that  $\dim E_2 \geq 2$  and that  $f : G_0 \rightarrow G$  is a CQH map onto a uniform domain  $G$ . Since  $G_0$  and  $G$  are LSC at all boundary points by 4.3 and 5.11, and since  $G_0$  is boundedly uniform by 5.10, it follows from 4.8 that  $f$  extends to a homeomorphism  $\bar{f} : \bar{G}_0 \rightarrow \bar{G}$ . Choose a line  $L \subset E_1$ . Since  $L$  is a geodesic of  $G_0$ ,  $fL$  is a solid open arc in  $G$ . Moreover,  $fL \cup \{\bar{f}(\infty)\}$  is a Jordan curve. This is a contradiction by 4.15.  $\square$

**5.13. Theorem.** *Suppose that  $G_0 = E_1 \times B_2$  and  $G'_0 = E'_1 \times B'_2$  are domains as in 5.1 and that  $f : G_0 \rightarrow G'_0$  is CQH. Then  $f$  has a limit at every finite boundary point of  $G_0$ , and  $\dim E_1 = \dim E'_1$ ,  $\dim E_2 = \dim E'_2$ . If  $\dim E_1 \geq 2$ , then  $f$  extends to a homeomorphism  $\bar{f} : \bar{G}_0 \rightarrow \bar{G}'_0$  with  $\bar{f}(\infty) = \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $b \in \partial G_0$ ,  $b \neq \infty$ . Then  $G$  is LSC at  $b$  by 5.11, and  $G'_0$  is boundedly uniform by 5.10. Hence  $f$  has a limit at  $b$  by 4.8.

If  $\dim E_1 = 1$ , then  $\dim E'_1 = 1$  by 5.12, and hence  $\dim E_2 = \dim E'_2$  (either both are infinite or both are finite and equal).

Suppose that  $\dim E_1 \geq 2$ . Then  $\dim E'_1 \geq 2$ . Since  $G_0$  and  $G'_0$  are now LSC also at  $\infty$  by 5.11,  $f$  extends to a homeomorphism  $\bar{f} : \bar{G}_0 \rightarrow \bar{G}'_0$  by 4.8. Let  $L \subset E_1$  be a line. As in the proof of 5.12,  $fL$  is an open solid arc in  $G'_0$ , and  $fL \cup \{\bar{f}(\infty)\}$  is a Jordan curve. From 4.15 and 5.11 it follows that  $\bar{f}(\infty) = \infty$ .

Since  $\bar{f}$  defines a homeomorphism of  $E_1 \times \partial B_2$  onto  $E'_1 \times \partial B'_2$ , the sets  $\partial B_2$  and  $\partial B'_2$  are homotopy equivalent. Hence  $\dim E_2 = \dim E'_2$ , which implies that  $\dim E_1 = \dim E'_1$ .  $\square$

**5.14. Corollary.** *If  $1 \leq p < q < n$ , the domains  $\mathbb{R}^p \times B^{n-p}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^q \times B^{n-q}$  are not quasiconformally equivalent.*  $\square$

**5.15. Remark.** Consider the case  $\dim E_1 = 1$  of 5.13. Replacing the one-point extension  $\bar{G}_0 = (E_1 \times \bar{B}_2) \cup \{\infty\}$  by the two-point extension  $G^* = (E_1 \times \bar{B}_2) \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$  in the usual way, it is easy to see that  $f$  extends to a homeomorphism  $f^* : G^* \rightarrow G'^*$ . For example, one can make use of auxiliary QH maps of  $G_0$  and  $G'_0$  onto half spaces; see the proof of 5.12.

**5.16. Conventions.** In the rest of the paper we consider self maps of  $G_0$ . In all results henceforth we assume that:

- (1)  $G_0 = E_1 \times B_2$  as in 5.1.
- (2)  $f : G_0 \rightarrow G_0$  is an  $(M, C)$ -CQH map.
- (3) Either  $\dim E_1 \geq 2$  or  $|fx| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ .

From (3) it follows that  $f$  extends to a homeomorphism  $\bar{f} : \bar{G}_0 \rightarrow \bar{G}_0$  with  $\bar{f}(\infty) = \infty$ .

We estimate the vertical and horizontal distortions of  $f$  in 5.17 and 5.18, and show that  $f$  is coarsely bilipschitz in the norm metric in 5.19. In 5.22 we prove a result called the Fundamental lemma, which is used in 5.24 to show that  $\bar{f}$  is quasisymmetric rel  $\partial G_0$ . In 5.26 we prove that if  $f$  is freely quasiconformal, then  $f$  is quasisymmetric.

**5.17. Theorem.** *If  $a \in G_0$ , then  $\delta(fa) \geq \mu(\delta(a), M, C) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $r = \delta(a)$  and choose a line  $L$  through  $a$ , parallel to  $E_1$ . Then  $L$  is a  $(1/r)$ -neargeodesic of  $G_0$  by 5.4(5). Hence  $fL$  is a  $(c, h)$ -solid arc with  $(c, h)$  depending on  $(r, M, C)$ . By 5.10,  $G_0$  is 1-boundedly 3-uniform and hence, by 2.27, 1-boundedly QH  $\psi$ -uniform with  $\psi(t) = 189 \log(1+t)$ . Let  $M_1 = M_1(c, h, \psi)$  be the number given by (2.11).

If  $\delta(fa) \geq 1/4M_1$ , there is nothing to prove. Assume that  $\delta(fa) \leq 1/4M_1$ . Since  $\bar{f}(\infty) = \infty$ , the arc  $fL$  converges to  $\infty$  at both ends. If  $\delta(x) < 1/4M_1$  for all  $x \in fL$ , then  $fL$  is bounded by the Escape lemma 2.13. Hence there is  $x_0 \in fL$  with  $\delta(x_0) \geq 1/4M_1$ . Let  $q = q(c, h, \psi) > 0$  be the number given by the Diving lemma 2.15. If  $\delta(x) \leq q\delta(x_0)$  for some  $x \in fL$ , then the  $x$ -component of  $fL \setminus \{x_0\}$  is bounded by 2.15. Hence  $\delta(x) > q\delta(x_0)$  for all  $x \in fL$ , and the theorem holds with  $\mu = q/4M_1$ .  $\square$

**5.18. Theorem.** *If  $a, b \in G_0$  with  $P_1a = P_1b$ , then  $|fa - fb| \leq c_1(M, C)$ .*

*Proof.* By the triangle inequality we may assume that  $P_2a = 0$ . Set  $x = f^{-1}P_1fb$ ,  $\gamma' = [fx, fb]$ ,  $\gamma = f^{-1}\gamma'$ . Then  $\gamma'$  is a QH geodesic, and hence  $\gamma$  is  $(c, h)$ -solid with  $(c, h)$  depending on  $(M, C)$ . Let  $M_1 = M_1(c, h, \psi)$  be as in the preceding proof, and set  $r = 1/5M_1$ . Since  $\delta(fx) = 1$ , 5.17 gives  $\delta(x) \geq \mu = \mu(1, M, C) > 0$ . We consider three cases.

*Case 1.*  $|b - x| \leq 1$ . Now  $|a - x| \leq |a - b| + |b - x| \leq 2$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} |fa - fb| &\leq |fa - fx| + 1 \leq k(fa, fx) + 1 \leq Mk(a, x) + C + 1 \\ &\leq M|a - x|/\mu + C + 1 \leq 2M/\mu + C + 1. \end{aligned}$$

*Case 2.*  $\delta(b) \geq r$ . Now  $k(a, b) \leq |a - b|/r \leq 1/r = 5M_1$ , and hence

$$|fa - fb| \leq k(fa, fb) \leq Mk(a, b) + C \leq 5MM_1 + C.$$

*Case 3.*  $|b - x| \geq 1$  and  $\delta(b) \leq r$ . If  $z \in \gamma$  and if  $\gamma[b, z] \subset \partial G_0 + \bar{B}(r)$ , then the Escape lemma 2.13 gives

$$|b - z| \leq 4M_1r = 4/5 \leq |b - x|.$$

Hence there is the first point  $z$  of  $\gamma$  such that  $\delta(z) = r$ . Since  $|a - z| \leq |a - b| + |b - z| < 2$ , we get

$$k(a, z) \leq |a - z|/r < 2/r = 10M_1,$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} |fa - fb| &\leq |fa - fz| + 1 \leq k(fa, fz) + 1 \\ &\leq Mk(a, z) + C + 1 \leq 10MM_1 + C + 1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**5.19. Theorem.** *The map  $f : G_0 \rightarrow G_0$  is  $C_1$ -coarsely  $M$ -bilipschitz in the norm metric with  $C_1 = C_1(M, C)$ . That is,*

$$(|x - y| - C_1)/M \leq |fx - fy| \leq M|x - y| + C_1$$

for all  $x, y \in G_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x = (x_1, x_2)$ ,  $y = (y_1, y_2) \in G_0$ . By 5.18 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |fx - fy| &\leq |fx - fx_1| + |fx_1 - fy_1| + |fy_1 - fy| \leq k(fx_1, fy_1) + 2c_1 \\ &\leq Mk(x_1, y_1) + C + 2c_1 \leq M|x - y| + C + 2c_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f^{-1}$  is  $(M, C)$ -CQH, the theorem follows.  $\square$

**5.20. Quasisymmetry.** We recall the basic definitions of quasisymmetry. Let  $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a homeomorphism. By a *triplet* in a metric space  $(X, \varrho)$  we mean a triple  $T = (x, y, z)$  of distinct points in  $X$ , and we write

$$|T| = \varrho(x, y)/\varrho(x, z).$$

An embedding  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  into a metric space  $Y$  is  $\eta$ -*quasisymmetric* if  $|fT| \leq \eta(|T|)$  for each triplet  $T$  in  $X$ . If  $\dot{X} = X \cup \{\infty\}$  is the one-point extension of  $X$  and if  $f : \dot{X} \rightarrow \dot{Y}$  is an embedding such that  $f(\infty) = \infty$  and  $f$  defines an  $\eta$ -quasisymmetric map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , we also say that  $f$  is  $\eta$ -quasisymmetric.

If  $A \subset X$ , a triplet  $(x, y, z)$  in  $X$  is said to be a *triplet in  $(X, A)$*  if either  $x \in A$  or  $\{y, z\} \subset A$ . An embedding  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\eta$ -*quasisymmetric rel  $A$*  if  $|fT| \leq \eta(|T|)$  for each triplet  $T$  in  $(X, A)$ .

We want to show that the map  $\bar{f} : \bar{G}_0 \rightarrow \bar{G}_0$  is quasisymmetric rel  $\partial G_0$ . The corresponding result for uniform domains was given in [Vä6, 7.9]. The proof was based on [Vä6, Fundamental lemma 7.3]. We give a variation of the latter result in 5.22. First we prove a simple consequence of 5.19.

**5.21. Lemma.** *Let  $T = (x, y, z)$  be a triplet in  $G_0$  with  $|x - z| \geq 2C_1$ , where  $C_1$  is given by (5.19). Then  $|fT| \leq 2M^2|T| + M$ .*

*Proof.* By 5.19 we get

$$\begin{aligned} |fx - fy| &\leq M|x - y| + C_1 \leq M|T||x - z| + |x - z|/2, \\ |fx - fz| &\geq (|x - z| - C_1)/M \geq |x - z|/2M, \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows.  $\square$

**5.22. Fundamental lemma.** *Suppose that  $T = (x, y, z)$  is a triplet in  $G_0$  such that  $|T| \leq 1$  and  $k(x, z) \geq 2C \vee \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $|fT| \leq H(M, C)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C_1 = C_1(M, C)$  be the number given by 5.19. If  $|x - z| \geq 2C_1$ , the result follows from 5.21. Hence we may assume that  $|x - z| \leq 2C_1$ .

Write  $|x - z| = t$ ,  $|fx - fz| = t'$ . We want to find an estimate  $|fx - fy| \leq Ht'$ . Let  $L_0 \subset E_1$  be a line containing  $P_1fx$  and  $P_1fy$ , and let  $L$  be a component of  $L_0 \setminus \{P_1fy\}$ , not containing  $P_1fx$ . The arc  $\alpha' = [fy, P_1fy] \cup L$  is a 2-neargeodesic of  $G_0$  by 5.5.

By 5.8 there is an arc  $\beta' : fx \curvearrowright fz$ , which is  $c_0$ -quasiconvex both in the norm metric and in the QH metric of  $G_0$  with a universal constant  $c_0$ . The arcs  $\alpha = f^{-1}\alpha'$  and  $\beta = f^{-1}\beta'$  are  $(c, h)$ -solid with  $(c, h)$  depending on  $(M, C)$ .

By 5.10,  $G_0$  is  $2C_1$ -boundedly  $(2C_1 + 2)$ -uniform, and hence, by 2.27,  $2C_1$ -boundedly QH  $\psi$ -uniform with a slow function  $\psi$  depending only on  $(M, C)$ . Let

$M_1 = M_1(c, h, \psi)$  be the number given by (2.11), and set  $r = t/5M_1$ . Let  $z_1$  be the first point of  $\beta$  with  $|x - z_1| = t$ , and set  $\beta_0 = \beta[x, z_1]$ . Let  $y_1$  be the first point of  $\alpha$  with  $|y - y_1| = t$ , and set  $\alpha_0 = \alpha[y, y_1]$ .

*Fact 1.*  $\alpha_0 \not\subset \partial G_0 + \bar{B}(r)$  and  $\beta_0 \not\subset \partial G_0 + \bar{B}(r)$ .

Assume that  $\alpha_0 \subset \partial G_0 + \bar{B}(r)$ . Since  $r \leq 2C_1/5M_1$ , the Escape lemma 2.13 implies that  $d(\alpha_0) \leq 4M_1r = 4t/5 < t$ . Since  $d(\alpha_0) \geq |y - y_1| = t$ , this is a contradiction. The second part is proved similarly.

By Fact 1 we can choose points  $y_0 \in \alpha_0$  and  $z_0 \in \beta_0$  such that  $\delta(y_0) \wedge \delta(z_0) \geq r$ . Then

$$|y_0 - z_0| \leq |y_0 - y| + |y - x| + |x - z_0| \leq 3t.$$

Hence  $k(y_0, z_0) \leq |y_0 - z_0|/r \leq 3t/r = 15M_1$ , which yields

$$(5.23) \quad k(fy_0, fz_0) \leq 15MM_1 + C = c_1$$

with  $c_1 = c_1(M, C)$ .

*Fact 2.*  $\delta(fx) \leq 8Mt'$ .

Assume that  $\delta(fx) > 8Mt'$ . Since  $\delta(fx) > 2t' = 2|fx - fz|$ , we have  $k(fx, fz) \leq 2t'/\delta(fx) < 1/4M$ , and we get the contradiction

$$1/4 + C \leq (2C) \vee (1/2) \leq k(x, z) \leq Mk(fx, fz) + C < 1/4 + C.$$

*Fact 3.*  $|fx - fy| \leq (32Mt') \vee 6|fx - fy_0|$ .

We assume that  $|fx - fy| > 32Mt'$  and show that  $|fx - fy| \leq 6|fx - fy_0|$ . We consider two cases.

*Case 1.*  $|fy_0 - P_1fy| \leq 1$ . If  $fy_0 \in [fy, P_1fy]$ , then  $|fy_0 - fy| < \delta(fy_0)$ . If  $fy_0 \in L$ , then  $|fy_0 - fy| \leq |fy_0 - P_1fy| + |P_1fy - fy| \leq 2 = 2\delta(fy_0)$ , and thus we always have  $|fy_0 - fy| \leq 2\delta(fy_0)$ . Since Fact 2 gives

$$\delta(fy_0) \leq \delta(fx) + |fx - fy_0| \leq 8Mt' + |fx - fy_0| \leq |fx - fy|/4 + |fx - fy_0|,$$

we obtain

$$|fx - fy| \leq |fx - fy_0| + |fy_0 - fy| \leq |fx - fy|/2 + 3|fx - fy_0|,$$

and hence  $|fx - fy| \leq 6|fx - fy_0|$ .

*Case 2.*  $|fy_0 - P_1fy| \geq 1$ . Now  $P_1fy \in [P_1fx, fy_0] \subset L_0$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} |fx - fy| &\leq |P_1fx - P_1fy| + 2 \leq 2|P_1fx - P_1fy| + 2|P_1fy - fy_0| \\ &= 2|P_1fx - fy_0| \leq 2|fx - fy_0|, \end{aligned}$$

and Fact 3 is proved.

We turn to the proof for the estimate  $|fx - fy| \leq Ht'$ . If  $|fx - fy| \leq 32Mt'$ , it holds with  $H = 32M$ . By Fact 3 we may thus assume that  $|fx - fy| \leq 6|fx - fy_0|$ . Using [Vä5, 2.2(1)], (5.23), Fact 2, and the  $c_0$ -quasiconvexity of  $\beta'$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |fx - fy_0| &\leq |fy_0 - fz_0| + |fx - fz_0| \leq \delta(fz_0)e^{k(fy_0, fz_0)} + |fx - fz_0| \\ &\leq (\delta(fx) + |fx - fz_0|)e^{c_1} + |fx - fz_0| \\ &\leq 8Me^{c_1}t' + (e^{c_1} + 1)c_0t' = c_2t' \end{aligned}$$

with  $c_2 = c_2(M, C)$ . Hence  $|fx - fy| \leq 6c_2t'$ .  $\square$

Recall that in the following results, we assume that  $f$  and  $G_0$  satisfy the conditions of 5.16.

**5.24. Theorem.** *The map  $\bar{f} : \bar{G}_0 \rightarrow \bar{G}_0$  is  $\eta$ -quasisymmetric rel  $\partial G_0$  with  $\eta$  depending only on  $(M, C)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T = (x, y, z)$  be a triplet in  $(\bar{G}_0 \setminus \{\infty\}, \partial G_0 \setminus \{\infty\})$ . By symmetry and by [Vä6, 5.8], it suffices to find an estimate  $|fT| \leq \eta(|T|)$ , where  $\eta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is increasing, but  $\eta(t)$  need not converge to 0 as  $t \rightarrow 0$ .

Choose a sequence of triplets  $T_n = (x_n, y_n, z_n)$  in  $G_0$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ,  $y_n \rightarrow y$ ,  $z_n \rightarrow z$ . Write  $R = 2C \vee \frac{1}{2}$ . Since  $\delta(x_n) \rightarrow 0$  or  $\delta(z_n) \rightarrow 0$ , we may assume that  $|x_n - z_n| \geq e^R(\delta(x_n) \wedge \delta(z_n))$  for all  $n$ . This implies that  $k(x_n, z_n) \geq R$ . Fix  $n$  and choose successive points  $x_n = a_0, \dots, a_N = y_n$  of the line segment  $[x_n, y_n] \subset G_0$  such that  $a_1$  is the last point with  $|a_1 - x_n| \leq |x_n - z_n|$ , and for  $j \geq 2$ ,  $a_j$  is the last point with  $|a_j - a_{j-1}| \leq |a_{j-1} - x_n|$ . By the Fundamental lemma 5.22 we have  $|fa_1 - fx_n| \leq H|fx_n - fz_n|$  with a constant  $H = H(M, C)$ . If  $N = 1$ , this gives  $|fT_n| \leq H$ . If  $N \geq 2$ , the Fundamental lemma gives by induction

$$\begin{aligned} |fa_{j+1} - fx_n| &\leq |fa_{j+1} - fa_j| + |fa_j - fx_n| \leq (H+1)^j |fa_1 - fx_n| \\ &\leq H(H+1)^j |fx_n - fz_n| \end{aligned}$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq N-1$ , and hence  $|fT_n| \leq H(H+1)^{N-1}$ . Since

$$|x_n - y_n| = \sum_{j=1}^N |a_{j-1} - a_j| \geq (N-1)|a_1 - x_n| = (N-1)|x_n - z_n|,$$

this implies that  $|fT_n| \leq H(H+1)^{|T_n|}$ . As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , this gives the desired estimate  $|fT| \leq \eta(|T|)$  with  $\eta(t) = H(H+1)^t$ .  $\square$

**5.25. Corollary.** *The induced map  $\partial G_0 \rightarrow \partial G_0$  is  $\eta$ -quasisymmetric with  $\eta$  depending only on  $(M, C)$ .*  $\square$

**5.26. Theorem.** *If  $f$  is freely quasiconformal, then  $f$  is  $\eta$ -quasisymmetric with  $\eta = \eta_\varphi$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $G_0$  is convex, it suffices to show that  $f$  is weakly  $H$ -quasisymmetric with  $H = H(\varphi)$  (see [Vä6, 5.5]). Let  $T = (x, y, z)$  be a triplet in  $G_0$  with  $|T| \leq 1$ . We must find an estimate  $|fT| \leq H(\varphi)$ .

By [Vä6, 4.14],  $f$  is  $(M, 1/4)$ -CQH with some  $M = M(\varphi)$ . If  $k(x, z) \geq 1/2$ , the desired estimate follows from the Fundamental lemma 5.22. If  $k(x, z) \leq 1/2$ , then

$$|x - y|/\delta(x) \leq e^{k(x,y)} - 1 \leq \sqrt{e} - 1 = q < 1.$$

Since  $f$  is  $q$ -locally  $\eta_\varphi$ -quasisymmetric by [Vä5, 5.10], we have  $|fT| \leq \eta(1)$ .  $\square$

**5.27. Corollary.** *If  $f : \mathbb{R}^p \times B^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p \times B^q$  is  $K$ -quasiconformal and if  $p \geq 2$ , then  $f$  is  $\eta$ -quasisymmetric with  $\eta = \eta_{K,p,q}$ .*  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [Al] P. Alestalo, Quasisymmetry in product spaces and uniform domains, Licentiate's thesis, University of Helsinki, 1991 (Finnish).
- [BV1] Z. Balogh and A. Volberg, Geometric localization, uniformly John property and separated semihyperbolic dynamics, Ark. Mat. 34, 1996, 21–49. MR **97i**:30033
- [BV2] ———, Boundary Harnack principle for separated semihyperbolic repellers, harmonic measure applications, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 12, 1996, 299–336. MR **97m**:31001
- [BHK] M. Bonk, J. Heinonen and P. Koskela, Uniformizing Gromov hyperbolic spaces (in preparation).

- [FHM] J.L. Fernández, J. Heinonen and O. Martio, Quasilinear and conformal mappings, *J. Analyse Math.* 52, 1989, 117–132. MR **90a**:30017
- [GO] F.W. Gehring and B.G. Osgood, Uniform domains and the quasihyperbolic metric, *J. Analyse Math.* 36, 1979, 50–74. MR **81k**:30023
- [GP] F.W. Gehring and B.P. Palka, Quasiconformally homogeneous domains, *J. Analyse Math.* 30, 1976, 172–199. MR **55**:10676
- [Jo1] P.W. Jones, Extension theorems for BMO, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 29, 1980, 41–66. MR **81b**:42047
- [Jo2] ———, Quasiconformal mappings and extendability of functions in Sobolev spaces, *Acta Math.* 147, 1981, 71–88. MR **83i**:30014
- [Ma] O. Martio, Definitions for uniform domains, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 5, 1980, 197–205. MR **82c**:30028
- [MaS] O. Martio and J. Sarvas, Injectivity theorems in plane and space, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 4, 1979, 383–401. MR **81i**:30039
- [MiS] V. D. Milman and G. Schechtman, Asymptotic theory of finite-dimensional normed spaces, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1200, Springer-Verlag, 1986. MR **87m**:46038
- [Sc] J.J. Schäffer, *Geometry of spheres in normed spaces*, Marcel Dekker, 1976. MR **57**:7120
- [Th] W. P. Thurston, *The geometry and topology of three-manifolds*, Mimeographed notes, Princeton University, 1980.
- [Vä1] J. Väisälä, Lectures on  $n$ -dimensional quasiconformal mappings, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 229, Springer-Verlag, 1971. MR **56**:12260
- [Vä2] ———, Quasimöbius maps, *J. Analyse Math.* 44, 1984/85, 218–234. MR **87f**:30059
- [Vä3] ———, Uniform domains, *Tôhoku Math. J.* 40, 1988, 101–118. MR **89d**:30027
- [Vä4] ———, Quasiconformal maps of cylindrical domains, *Acta Math.* 162, 1989, 201–225. MR **90f**:30034
- [Vä5] ———, Free quasiconformality in Banach spaces. I, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 15, 1990, 355–379. MR **92d**:30012
- [Vä6] ———, Free quasiconformality in Banach spaces. II, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 16, 1991, 255–310. MR **94c**:30028
- [Vä7] ———, Free quasiconformality in Banach spaces. III, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 17, 1992, 393–408. MR **94c**:30029
- [Vä8] ———, Free quasiconformality in Banach spaces IV, *Analysis and Topology*, ed. by C. Andreian Cazacu et al., World Scientific (to appear).
- [Vä9] ———, The free quasiworld, *Proceedings of the fifth Finnish-Polish-Ukrainian summer school in complex analysis in Lublin 1996* (to appear).

MATEMATIKAN LAITOS, HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO, PL 4, YLIOPISTONKATU 5, 00014 HELSINKI, FINLAND

*E-mail address:* `jvaisala@cc.helsinki.fi`