# REGULARITY OF GROWTH AND THE CLASS $\mathcal{S}$ 

D. DRASIN


#### Abstract

Given $1 / 2 \leq \mu \leq \rho \leq \infty$, there is an entire function $f(z)$ in the Speiser class $\mathcal{S}$ of order $\rho$, lower order $\mu$. $f$ may have as few as three singular values.


## 1. Introduction

An entire (or meromorphic) function $f(z)$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}$ (for Speiser) if its singularities project onto the finite set $A=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q}\right\}$. Thus if $a \notin A$, then whenever $f\left(z_{0}\right)=a$, there are neighborhoods $N_{1} \ni z_{0}$ and $N_{2} \ni a$ such that $f$ has a local inverse $f^{-1}: N_{2} \rightarrow N_{1}$, with $f^{-1}(a)=z_{0}$. The class $\mathcal{S}$ includes most of the familiar analytic/meromorphic functions, and has some remarkable properties, which place $\mathcal{S}$ between rational functions and general meromorphic functions. For example, if $f \in \mathcal{S}$, then the Fatou set of its iterates contains no wandering domain ([4] for entire functions and [1] for meromorphic functions); in addition, if $f \in \mathcal{S}$, the inequality which forms the Nevanlinna second fundamental theorem becomes an asymptotic equality [7].

Our result here shows that this principle has some limitation. Recall that the order $\rho$ (lower order $\mu$ ) of an entire function is

$$
\rho(\mu)=\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left(\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty}\right) \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r}=\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left(\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty}\right) \frac{\log T(r)}{\log r} .
$$

Theorem 1. There exist entire functions in $\mathcal{S}$ of irregular growth: given $1 / 2 \leq$ $\mu<\rho \leq \infty$, there is a function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ of order $\rho$ and lower order $\mu$.

This question was raised by Adam Epstein. That necessarily $\mu \geq 1 / 2$ follows from [2].

Sergiy Merenkov [6] has shown that there are entire functions in $\mathcal{S}$ whose maximum modulus grows arbitrarily rapidly. Even when $\rho=\mu=\infty$, our function will have restricted growth, since $\left\|h^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 1 /(3 \pi)$; however, we are able to specify the behavior of the characteristic $T(r, f)$ (as well as the maximum modulus) rather precisely.

Standard notation (here we assume the variable is $z$, but the notation will also be used with other variables, the context making clear the appropriate choice): $B(r)=\{|z| \leq r\} ; S(r)=\{|z|=r\} ; B=B(1) ; S=S(1)$.
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## 2. A STRIP MAPPING

The construction depends on an explicit mapping (Proposition (1)

$$
\varphi: \Sigma \rightarrow T
$$

where $\Sigma$ is the strip $\{(x, y) ; h(x)<y<h(x)+2 \pi\}$ and $T$ the standard strip, which we take as $\{(u, v) ;|v|<\pi / 2\}$. The function $h$ is smooth, $h^{\prime} \geq 0$, and $h(x) \equiv 0(x \leq 1)$.

With $\mu$ and $\rho$ as in the statement of the theorem, we take $h$ so that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(x)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{x}\left(1+h^{\prime}(s)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} d s \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\liminf _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(x)}{x} \leq \limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(x)}{x}=\rho \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In turn, $h$ determines a strip $\Sigma$ bounded by arcs $\Gamma, \Gamma^{\prime}: \Gamma=\{(x, h(x))\}, \Gamma^{\prime}=$ $\{(x, h(x)+2 \pi)\}, x \in \mathbb{R}$.

In $\S 6, h$ will be constructed in stages, over intervals $J_{n}=\left[x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right]_{n \geq 0}$, where $x_{0} \geq 1$ and the lengths of the $\left\{J_{n}\right\}$ may be taken as large as needed. The exposition is a bit simpler when $\rho<\infty$, but it is more direct to discuss the most general case. Thus choose a sequence $\rho_{n}^{*} \uparrow \rho, \rho_{n}^{*}<\infty$ for all $n$. We then require that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{J_{n}} h^{\prime}(t)=\sqrt{2 \mu-1}+(1 / n), \quad \sup _{J_{n}} h^{\prime}(t)=\sqrt{2 \rho_{n}^{*}-1}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and note that (3) is compatible with the intervals $J_{n}$ being large, and $\eta_{n}$ in (4) small. We suppose à priori that $\left\|h^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 1 /(3 \pi)$, and then introduce a positive sequence $\left\{\eta_{n}\right\}$ so that

$$
\begin{gather*}
h^{\prime}(x)\left|h^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|<\eta_{n}, \quad\left|h^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|<\eta_{n} \quad\left(x \in J_{n}\right) \\
\left|h^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|<\eta_{n} h^{\prime}(x) \text { whenever } x \in J_{n} \text { and } h^{\prime}(x)>1 \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Many estimates contain expressions in which $o(1)$ appears. The estimate

$$
A=o(1) B
$$

is shorthand for the statement that the error terms can be controlled for $x \in J_{n}$ by an expression which depends only on the $\left\{\eta_{n}\right\}$ in (4).

The strip $\Sigma$ admits a natural foliation. For each $x$ construct the perpendicular segment $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}(x)$ to $\Gamma$ connecting boundary points $(x, h(x))$ and $(L(x), h(L(x))+$ $2 \pi) \in \Gamma^{\prime}$ through $\Sigma$, thus implicitly defining $L(x)$, and let $\ell(x)$ be the length of $\mathcal{L}(x)$. It is clear that $L$ is unique: were $u_{0}$ and $t_{0}$ suitable possibilities for $L\left(x_{0}\right)$, $u_{0} \neq x_{0}, t_{0} \neq x_{0}$ with $t_{0}<u_{0}$, then

$$
0<\frac{h\left(u_{0}\right)-h\left(t_{0}\right)}{u_{0}-t_{0}}=-\frac{1}{h^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}
$$

a contradiction since $h$ is nondecreasing.
Lemma 1. L is a smooth function of $x$ with

$$
0 \leq x-L(x) \leq 4 \pi
$$

and

$$
L^{\prime}(x)=1+o(1) \quad(\eta)
$$

Remark. Recall that the qualification $(\eta)$ here and below means that the error terms depend only on the data (4).

Proof. We bound $x-L(x)$. Consider the triangle with vertices

$$
A=(x, h(x)), B=(x, h(x)+2 \pi), C=(L(x), h(L(x))+2 \pi)
$$

and let $D$ be the point in the segment $A B$ with $A B \perp C D$ (since $h^{\prime}>0$ we have that $\Im A<\Im C<\Im B)$.

First suppose that $h^{\prime}(x) \leq 2$. Then $A C$ has slope $<-1 / 2$, and so (the continuation of) this segment meets the horizontal line $\{\Im z=h(x)+2 \pi\}$ at a point $(p, h(x)+2 \pi)$, where $x-p \leq 4 \pi$. Thus, in this case $x-L(x)=|C D|<4 \pi$.

Otherwise, $h^{\prime}(x) \geq 2$, and since $\left\|h^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 1 /(3 \pi)$, we have that $h^{\prime}(t) \geq 1 / 2$ for $x-t<4 \pi$. This means that the horizontal line segment joining $(x, h(x))$ to $(x-4 \pi, h(x))$ passes through $\Gamma^{\prime}$, and so forces $x-L(x) \leq 4 \pi$.

Next, choose $x_{0}, t_{0}$ with $t_{0}=L\left(x_{0}\right)$. Consider for $x$ near $x_{0}$ the function

$$
F(x, t)=h^{\prime}(x)(h(t)-h(x)+2 \pi)-(x-t) .
$$

If $t_{0}=L\left(x_{0}\right)$, it follows that $F\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=0$, and clearly

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}=h^{\prime}(x) h^{\prime}(t)+1 \geq 1
$$

Thus for $x$ near $x_{0}$, the equation $F(x, t)=0$ has a unique solution $t=t(x)$ which is continuous. The implicit function shows that $t(x)$ is smooth:

$$
\frac{d t}{d x}=L^{\prime}(x)=-\frac{F_{x}}{F_{t}}=\frac{1+h^{\prime}(x)^{2}+h^{\prime \prime}(x)(h(x)-h(t)-2 \pi)}{1+h^{\prime}(x) h^{\prime}(t)}
$$

and $L^{\prime}(x)=1+o(1)$, with the error terms as described.

Corollary 1. If $\ell(x)$ is the length of $\mathcal{L}(x)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell(x)=(1+o(1)) \frac{2 \pi}{\sqrt{1+h^{\prime}(x)^{2}}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\Delta$ be the triangle from Lemma 1, so that $\angle(C A B)=\alpha=\tan ^{-1} h^{\prime}(x)$. Moreover, the slope of $C B$ is $(h(x)-h(L(x))) /(x-L(x))=h^{\prime}(\xi)$ with $x-\xi=O(1)$, and so if $\beta=\angle(C B A)$, then $\beta=\pi / 2-\tan ^{-1} h^{\prime}(\xi)$ :

$$
\sin \beta=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+h^{\prime}(\xi)^{2}}}=(1+o(1)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+h^{\prime}(x)^{2}}}
$$

Now $\ell=|A C|$, and the (nearly right)-angle $\angle(A C B)$ opposite the vertical side of $\Delta$ is $\pi-(\alpha+\beta)$; thus the law of sines gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell=\frac{2 \pi}{\sqrt{1+h^{\prime}(\xi)^{2}}} & \cdot \frac{\sqrt{1+h^{\prime}(x)^{2}} \sqrt{1+h^{\prime}(\xi)^{2}}}{1+h^{\prime}(x) h^{\prime}(\xi)} \\
& =(1+o(1)) \frac{2 \pi}{\sqrt{1+h^{\prime}(x)^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

as claimed.
In addition to $L$, we use $L_{1}(x)$, defined so

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(L_{1}(x)\right)=x \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus the point $\left(L_{1}(x), h\left(L_{1}(x)\right)+2 \pi\right)=(x, h(x)+2 \pi)$ lies directly above $(x, h(x))$ on $\Gamma^{\prime} \subset \partial \Sigma$. The existence of $L_{1}$ follows from the discussion of $L$.

Lemma 2. The function $L_{1}$ satisfies

$$
L_{1}(x)-x=2 \pi(1+o(1)) \frac{h^{\prime}(x)}{1+h^{\prime}(x)^{2}} \quad(\eta)
$$

Proof. Consider the triangle with vertices $A:(x, h(x)), B:\left(L_{1}(x), h\left(L_{1}(x)\right)\right)$, $C:(x, h(x)+2 \pi) \equiv\left(L\left(L_{1}(x)\right), h\left(L\left(L_{1}(x)\right)+2 \pi\right)\right)$, so that now

$$
\angle A C B=\tan ^{-1} h^{\prime}\left(L_{1}(x)\right)
$$

Since $L_{1}(x)-x<4 \pi$, the corollary yields

$$
L_{1}(x)-x=\ell\left(L_{1}(x)\right) \sin \beta=(1+o(1)) \frac{2 \pi}{\sqrt{1+h^{\prime}\left(L_{1}(x)\right)^{2}}} \cdot \frac{h^{\prime}\left(L_{1}(x)\right)}{\sqrt{1+h^{\prime}\left(L_{1}(x)\right)^{2}}}
$$

and the conclusion follows from (4).
We next show
Proposition 1. The mapping

$$
\varphi: \mathcal{L}(x) \rightarrow\{\Re w=M(x),|\Im w|<\pi / 2\}
$$

with $\varphi(x, h(x))=(M(x),-\pi / 2)$ and $|d w| /|d z|$ constant on $\mathcal{L}(x)$, is quasiconformal with dilatation

$$
\mu_{\varphi}(z)=\phi_{\bar{z}} / \phi_{z}=o(1) \quad(z \rightarrow \infty, z \in \Sigma) \quad(\eta)
$$

Remark. Our definition of $\varphi$ forces $|d w|=|d z|$ when $z \in \Gamma$, and so (1) and (2) yield that $\lim \sup e^{M(x)}=e^{\rho x}, \lim \inf e^{M(x)}=e^{\mu x}$.

Proof. Consider $\psi=\varphi^{-1}$ and take $w_{0}=u_{0}+i v_{0} \in T$. Then $z_{0}=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=$ $\psi\left(w_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{L}(x)$ where $x$ satisfies the vector equation

$$
z_{0}-(x, h(x))=\frac{v_{0}+\pi / 2}{\pi} \mathcal{L}(x) \quad\left(\left|v_{0}\right|<\pi / 2\right)
$$

If $z^{\prime}=\psi\left(w_{0}+i \tau\right) \in \mathcal{L}(x)$, then $z^{\prime}-z_{0}=(\tau / \pi) \mathcal{L}(x)$ and so

$$
\frac{\partial z}{\partial v}=\frac{\mathcal{L}(x)}{\pi}
$$

Next, with $w_{0}$ and $\tau$ as above, we have that if $\psi\left(w_{0}+\tau\right) \in \mathcal{L}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, then

$$
\psi\left(w_{0}+\tau\right)-\psi\left(w_{0}\right)=\frac{v_{0}+\pi / 2}{\pi}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\mathcal{L}(x)\right)
$$

so (1) and Lemma 1 give that

$$
\frac{\partial z}{\partial u}=\frac{2+o(1)}{1+h^{\prime}(x)^{2}}\left(1, h^{\prime}(x)\right)
$$

and of course $\left(1, h^{\prime}(x)\right)$ is perpendicular to $\gamma$ at $(x, h(x))$. We thus deduce, using (5), that $\left|\psi_{u}\right|^{2}=\left(4 /\left(1+h^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)\right)=(1+o(1))\left|\psi_{v}\right|^{2}$ :

$$
\left|\psi_{u}-i \psi_{v}\right|=o(1)\left|\psi_{u}\right| \quad(\eta)
$$

which yields the proposition.
A minor modification will be made to $\varphi$. Let $\varepsilon(x)$ (to be specified in (17)) be a positive smooth decreasing function such that $\varepsilon(x)=\varepsilon_{0}=\|\varepsilon\|_{\infty}<\pi / 4(x \leq 0)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon^{\prime}(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad(x \rightarrow+\infty) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On recalling (3) we suppose in addition for $x \in J_{n}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon\left(x_{n}\right) \sqrt{2 \rho_{n}^{*}-1}<1 \quad(n \geq 0) ; \quad \varepsilon\left(x_{n}\right) \sqrt{2 \rho_{n}^{*}-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider the (modified) strip

$$
\Sigma^{*}=\{(x, y) ; h(x)+\varepsilon(x) \leq v \leq h(x)+2 \pi\}
$$

For sufficiently small $\varepsilon_{0}$ the mapping $p^{-1}: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^{*}$ :

$$
p^{-1}(x, y)=\left(x, \frac{2 \pi-\varepsilon}{2 \pi} y+\varepsilon\left(1+\frac{h(x)}{2 \pi}\right)\right)
$$

is a $q c$ homeomorphism with dilatation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mu_{p^{-1}}(z)\right|=O\left(\varepsilon(x)\left(1+h^{\prime}(x)\right)\right) \quad(z \in \Sigma) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This will be exploited in $\S 5$.

## 3. The winding

The strip $\Sigma^{*}$ corresponds to a spiraling region in the $Z=\exp z$-plane. Thus $\exp \Sigma^{*}$ is a connected set $\Sigma_{Z}$ whose intersection with each circle $S(R)(R>0)$ is an arc of angular measure $2 \pi-\varepsilon(\log R)$. We first study the composite map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi \equiv \exp \circ \varphi \circ p \circ \log : \Sigma_{Z} \rightarrow\{\Re W=U \geq 0\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which maps $\partial \Sigma_{Z}$ onto the imaginary $W=U+i V$ axis, normalized by $\Phi(0)=0$.
The explicit form of $\varphi$ shows that the $\Phi$-image of $S(R) \cap \Sigma_{Z}$ is an asymptotic semi-circle contained in $\{U \geq 0\}$ with endpoints at the points

$$
\left(0, e^{M\left(L_{1}(\log R)\right)}\right),\left(0,-e^{M(\log R)}\right)
$$

Let $\partial^{-} \Sigma_{Z}, \partial^{+} \Sigma_{Z}$ be the arcs of $\partial \Sigma_{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, chosen such that for each $R>0$, $S(R) \cap \partial^{+} \Sigma_{Z}$ has the larger argument (measured through $\Sigma_{Z}$, this is consistent with the earlier notation $\left.\partial^{ \pm} T\right)$. Let $\tau^{-}, \tau^{+}$be arc-length on $\partial^{-} T, \partial^{+} T$, measured from 0 , and recall that $\Gamma$ is mapped to $\partial^{-} T$ under $\varphi$. Thus (1), (10) and the fact that each line $\{x=$ const. $\}$ is invariant under $p$ show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d(-V)}{d \log R}=e^{M(x)} \frac{1}{2}\left(1+h^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad(x=\log R) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

When doing this computation on $\partial^{+} \Sigma_{Z}$, note that the point on $\partial^{+} \Sigma_{Z} \cap S(R)$ corresponds on $\partial^{+} T$ to $\left(L_{1}(x), h\left(L_{1}(x)\right)+2 \pi\right), x=\log R$. The computation just made, now with the estimates of $L^{\prime}$ from Lemma 1 and $L_{1}(x)-x$ from Lemma 2 now yield that $(x=\log R)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 2) } \quad \frac{d V}{d \log R}=\frac{d}{d x}\left(e^{M\left(L_{1}(x)\right)}\right)=e^{M\left(L_{1}(x)\right)} \frac{1}{2}\left(1+h^{\prime}\left(L_{1}(x)^{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}(1+o(1))  \tag{12}\\
& =(1+o(1)) \frac{d(\log -V)}{d \log R} e^{(1 / 2) \int_{M(x)}^{M\left(L_{1}(x)\right)}\left(1+h^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} d t} \quad\left(z \in \partial^{+} \Sigma_{Z}\right) \quad(\eta)
\end{align*}
$$

Now

$$
H(Z)=\exp \Phi(Z)
$$

maps $\Sigma_{Z}$ onto an unramified cover of $\{|W|>1\}$ with the only singularity of the inverse function being a single logarithmic branch point over $W=\infty$. Our normalization has $H(0)=1$.

We compute $n(R, i)$, the number of solutions to the equation $H(Z)=i$ with $Z \in \Sigma_{Z}$ (these points lie on the boundary of $\Sigma_{Z}$, but the counting function is well defined since, for example, the reflection principle may be applied on $\partial \Sigma_{Z}$ ). Thus if $n^{-}(R, i)$ and $n^{+}(R, i)$ are the number of such points in $B(R)$ whose $\Phi$-image is congruent to $0(\bmod 2 \pi i)$, then (11) and (12) show in turn

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{-}(R, i)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} e^{M(\log R)} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, more significantly,

$$
\begin{align*}
n^{+}(r, i) & =e^{M\left(L_{1}(\log R)\right)} \\
& =(1+o(1)) \exp \left[(1 / 2) \int_{\log R}^{L_{1}(\log R)}\left(1+h^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} d t\right] n^{-}(r, i) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

reflecting the more rapid covering of $S$ from $\partial^{+} \Sigma_{Z}$, due to the asymmetry of $\Sigma$, an effect frequently exploited (for example, see [5]). Lemma 2, (4) and the form of (11) show that the imbalance of coverings in (14) is controlled by
$\exp \left((1 / 2) \int_{\log R}^{L_{1}(\log R)}\left(1+h^{\prime}(s)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} d s\right) \sim \exp \left((1+o(1)) \pi h^{\prime}(x)\left(1+h^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right) \quad(\eta)$.
In addition, if $|a|=1$, we check that $n(R, a)=n^{+}(R, i)+n^{-}(R, i)+O(1)$, the $O(1)$ uniform in $a$. Following the standard Nevanlinna theory, define $N(R, a)$ as

$$
(d / d \log r) N(r, a)=n(r, a)
$$

and (at least if $a \neq 1=H(0)) N(0, a)=0$.
A comparison of this with our controlling property (1) together with the remark following the statement of Proposition 1 at once give the next

Lemma 3. Let the characteristic of $H$ formally be defined as

$$
T(r, H)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} N\left(r, e^{i \phi}\right) d \phi
$$

(when $H$ is meromorphic, this is $H$. Cartan's formula). Then $H$ has order $\rho$ and lower order $\mu$.

In the next section we extend $H$ to be quasiregular in the $z$ plane with singularities over three values, and satisfy the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z)=f\left(\lambda^{-1} \zeta\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is entire and $\lambda$ is a $K$-quasiconformal homeomorphism of the plane. In this situation, we will find that Lemma 3 transfers at once to $f$.

## 4. Extending $H$

We have already noted that the spiraling of $S_{Z}$ in the $Z$-plane is reflected in $H$ covering $S$ faster on $\partial^{+} S_{Z}$, but now exploit (3) to arrange that this holds on the infinitesimal level.

Lemma 4. For $n \geq 1$ we may choose $\eta_{n}>0$ in (4), but sufficiently small to ensure that

$$
\frac{d n^{+}(t)}{d t}>\frac{d n^{-}(t)}{d t} \quad(t>1)
$$

Proof. According to the computations leading to (12), we have

$$
\frac{d n^{+}(t)}{d t}=(1+o(1)) e^{M\left(L_{1}(t)\right)-M(t)} \frac{d n^{-}(t)}{d t}
$$

and on recalling (3), Lemma 2 we have

$$
M\left(L_{1}(t)\right)-M(t)=\pi(1+o(1)) h^{\prime}(t)\left(1+h^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

However, when $t \in J_{n}$, (3) asserts that $h^{\prime}(t)$ has absolute positive upper and lower bounds, while all expressions $o(1)$ are controlled by $\eta_{n}$, which until this moment has not been assigned. We now do this to guarantee that the lemma holds.

For $k \geq 1$ we mark as $Z_{k}^{-}, Z_{k}^{+}$the points on $\partial \Sigma_{Z}$ which correspond to $W= \pm i$ under $H$ as $|Z|$ increases. This is done with $Z_{k}^{ \pm} \in \partial^{ \pm} \Sigma_{Z}$ and $H\left(Z_{1}^{ \pm}\right)= \pm i$. In addition, set $Z_{0}=Z_{0}^{+}=Z_{0}^{-}=0$.

This induces a partition of the $Z$-plane into concentric annuli $A_{k}=\left\{R_{k} \leq|Z| \leq\right.$ $\left.R_{k+1}\right\}$ so that for $k \geq 1$, the $H$-image of $A_{k} \cap \partial^{-} \Sigma_{Z}$ covers $S^{+}:=S(1) \cap\{\Re W \geq 0\}$ or $S^{-}:=S \cap\{\Re W<0\}$ once. Thus, it follows from Lemma 4 that each interval $I_{k}^{+}$of $A_{k} \cap \partial^{-} \Sigma_{Z}$ may be matched to an interval $I_{k}^{+}$of $\partial^{+} \Sigma_{Z}$, having endpoints $Z_{k^{*}}^{+}, Z_{(k+1)^{*}}^{+} \subset \bigcup_{p} Z_{k}^{+}$with the properties:
(1) the $\left\{I_{k}^{+}\right\}$partition $\partial^{+} \Sigma_{Z}$,
(2) the $H$-image of each $I_{k}^{+}$covers $S^{+} \cup S^{-} q=q(k)$ times, and if $I_{k} \cap$ $\{|z|=\log x\} \neq \varnothing$ for some $x \in J_{n}$, then $1<q(k) \leq Q(n)<\infty$ (this requires both upper and lower bounds from (3) for $h^{\prime}(t)$ for $\left.t \in J_{n}\right)$,
(3) $H\left(Z_{k}^{-}\right)=(-1)^{k} i$,
(4) if $\Pi_{1}$ is the projection onto the $|Z|$-coordinate within $\Sigma_{Z}$, then

$$
\Pi_{1}\left(I_{k}^{-}\right) \cap \Pi_{1}\left(I_{k}^{+}\right) \neq \varnothing,
$$

(5) $H\left(Z_{k+1}^{+}\right)=-H\left(Z_{k}^{+}\right)$at the endpoints of each $I_{k}^{-}$and $H$ maps $I_{k}^{-}$to a simple cover of $S^{+}$or $S^{-}$.

Thus $\partial I_{k}^{-}=\left\{Z_{k}^{-}, Z_{k+1}^{-}\right\}$, while only a subsequence of the $\left\{Z_{k}^{+}\right\}$are in $\bigcup_{k} \partial I_{k}^{+}$.
Note from (13) and the overriding condition (4) that (as usual, $x=e^{X}=e^{\Re Z}$ ), the image of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{-} \Sigma \cap\left[x, x+(1+o(1)) \frac{2}{n(x)\left(1+h^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

covers $S(1)$ once.

## 5. Enter $\mathcal{S}$

To extend $H$ to $\Sigma_{Z}^{\prime}$ and have irregular growth will force additional singularies of $H$ over at least two additional points, which, to have $H$ correspond to a function in $\mathcal{S}$, we take as $\pm p$, where $0<p<1$ is fixed (say $p=1 / 2$ ).

As a model, first consider a finite family of coverings of $B=B(1)$ in the $W$-plane in the range $2 \leq j \leq J\left(\rho^{*}\right)<\infty$ (recall (3)), where we write $\rho^{*}$ in place of the more explicit $\rho_{n}^{*}$. This is based on $B_{j}$, the normalized covering of unit disk $B$ given by $W \rightarrow W^{j}$, which has one branch point (order $j-1$ ) over $W=0$. We view $\partial B_{j}$ as being composed of $2 j$ arcs, $j$ alternating over each of $S^{+}:=\{\Re W \geq 0\}$ and $S^{-}:=\{\Re W<0\}$ on a circuit of $\partial B_{j}$.

Although these $\left\{B_{j}\right\}$ would be the simplest class to use, they do not produce irregularity of growth. They are replaced by three related classes of quasiconformal images of the $B_{j}$, which are fused to extend $H$ to $\Sigma_{Z}^{\prime}$.

The first group is $B_{j}^{+}, B_{j}^{-}(j \geq 2)$, and we describe $B_{j}^{-}$; the only change for $B_{j}^{+}$ lies in the corresponding singular points being over $W=+p$. For each $j$ consider first the quasiconformal correspondence

$$
B_{j} \rightarrow B_{j}^{-}(0)
$$

which is the identity on the boundary and has the branch point $W=0$ shifted to $W=-p$. The dilatation of these maps can be taken to be bounded independent of $j$ (we have $W \rightarrow \Phi(W)$ with $\Phi$ qc on $S(1), \Phi(W)=W$ for $W \in S, \Phi(0)=-p$ ). Let $I$ be the (vertical) segment connecting $W= \pm i$, and choose two arcs of $\partial B_{j}$ over $S^{+}$which, on a circuit of $\partial B_{j}$, are separated by a single arc $S^{-}$(there are $j$ ways to do this). Then $B_{j}^{-}$is $B_{j}^{+}(0)$ with these two arcs replaced by arcs over $I$, all other boundary arcs unchanged, so that the map $B_{j}^{+}(0) \rightarrow B_{j}$ covers each point in $B \cap\{\Re W<0\} j$ times, and each point in $B \cap\{\Re W>0\} j-2$ times. The boundary correspondence $B_{j} \rightarrow B_{j}^{-}$remains the identity on all but these two arcs over $I$, while each of the two correspondences $S^{+} \rightarrow I$ rigidly compresses the arc-length element by the ratio $1: \pi$. Thus $B_{j}^{-}$is a simply-connected domain, a quadralateral, whose boundary is the union of the two arcs over $I$, one component projecting on $S^{+}$, with the remaining boundary component covering $S^{+}$a total of $j-2$ times and $S^{-} j$ times. Note that on a circuit of $\partial B_{j}^{-}$, the arc $I$ is traversed twice, each time with the same orientation. In this way we have described a $q c$ map $B_{j} \rightarrow B_{j}^{-}$, and it is straightforward to see that we may arrange dilatation independent of $j$.

The $\left\{B_{j}^{+}\right\}$, as noted above, are constructed in a parallel manner, except that two arcs over $S^{-}$will be replaced by arcs over $I$, and the branch point now lies over $W=p$. The dilatation of these maps is also uniformly bounded for $2 \leq j$, now with $I$ covered twice, each with orientation opposite to that from the $\left\{B_{j}^{-}\right\}$. Finally, we add one (univalent) cover $B^{*}$ which covers $B^{+}:=B \cap\{\Re W>0\}$.

Now recall the arcs $I_{k}^{ \pm}$introduced in $\S 4$, and note that relative to $\Sigma_{Z}^{\prime}$, $\partial^{-} \Sigma_{Z}$ has the larger argument. Using these $I_{k}^{ \pm}$, we divide $\Sigma_{Z}^{\prime}$ into one 'triangle' $Q_{0}$ and quadralaterals $Q_{k}, k \geq 1$. Thus $\partial Q_{0}$ will have as two sides the arcs $\left[Z_{0}, Z_{1}^{ \pm}\right] \subset \partial^{ \pm} \Sigma_{Z}$ as well as the segment $\left[Z_{1}^{-}, Z_{1}^{+}\right]$through $\Sigma_{Z}^{\prime}$. When $k \geq 1, \partial Q_{k}$ consists of $\operatorname{arcs} I_{k}^{-}, I_{k}^{+}$and the segments through $\Sigma_{Z}^{\prime}$ joining these endpoints. In view of (16), it is advantageous to take $\varepsilon(x)$ in (7) with

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon(x) & =\frac{2}{n(x)\left(1+h^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \\
& =(1+o(1)) \frac{4 \pi}{\left(1+h^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} e^{-(1 / 2) \int_{0}^{x}\left(1+h^{\prime}(u)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} d u} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

The strip $\Sigma_{Z}^{\prime}=\bigcup_{k \geq 0} Q_{k}$ will be sent to $B=\{|W|<1\}$ with boundary values compatible with $H$ from $\S 3$, now to be made precise. Let $\sigma$ be the arc length on $I \cup S$ and $s$ be the arc length in the $Z$-plane. First, let $\psi_{0}: Q_{0} \rightarrow S \cap\{W<0\}$ with $d \sigma / d s$ constant on each segment $\left[Z_{0}, Z_{1}^{ \pm}\right]$and the segment $\left[Z_{1}^{+}, Z_{1}^{-}\right] \subset \Sigma_{Z}^{\prime}$, which corresponds under $\psi_{0}$ to $I$.

For the general case we have
Lemma 5. For $k \geq 1$ we may define $\Psi_{k}: Q_{k} \rightarrow B_{j}=B_{j}(k)$, with $2 \leq j(k) \leq$ $J\left(\rho^{*}\right)<\infty$, so that the arc-length correspondence is constant on each boundary segment, and

$$
\left\|\mu_{\Psi k}\right\|_{\infty}<\mu_{k}=\mu\left(\rho^{*}\right)<1
$$

Proof. Let us suppose that $I_{k}^{+} \cap S(\log x) \neq \varnothing$ for some $x \in J_{n}$. We have arranged that the image of $I_{k}^{-}$under $H$ cover $S^{+}$or $S^{-}$once, and that of $I_{k}^{+}$cover $S^{+} \cup S^{-}$ $q(k)$ times where $1 \leq q(k) \leq Q(n)<\infty$. Take $j(k)=1+q(k)$, and factor $\Psi=\Psi_{n}$ as $\Psi: Q_{k} \rightarrow \square \rightarrow B_{j}$ (here $\square$ is a square) again so that the arc-length correspondence is constant on each boundary arc. The dilatation of the map $\square \rightarrow B_{j}$ is readily controlled by $\rho^{*}$ : The two boundary segments of $B_{j}$ corresponding to $I$ are sent to opposite sides of $\square$, while the remaining sides of $\square$ correspond to covering $S^{ \pm}$ once and $(2 q(k)-3)$ times (this asymmetry due to the more rapid covering from $\partial^{+} \Sigma_{Z}=\partial^{-} \Sigma_{Z}^{-}$). The boundary of $Q_{k}$ consists of two line-segments through $\Sigma_{Z}^{\prime}$ and (due to (3)) two near-radial segments on $\partial \Sigma_{Z}^{\prime}$, and (17) is made so that their side-lengths are comparable in a manner independent of $k$ (so long as they are made with $S(\log x) \cap Q_{k} \neq \varnothing$ with $\left.x \in J_{n}\right)$. Although these quadralaterals degenerate as $h^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$, in the range $h^{\prime}<h\left(\rho^{*}\right)$ the mapping sending these arcs to the sides of $\square$ may be taken with dilatation uniformly bounded.

The function $H$ will be extended to $H^{*}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ as

$$
H^{*}(Z)= \begin{cases}H(Z) & \left(Z \in \Sigma_{Z}\right)  \tag{18}\\ \Psi_{k}(Z) & \left(Z \in Q_{k} \subset \Sigma_{Z}^{\prime}\right)\end{cases}
$$

and $H^{*}$ is continuous in the plane.
We now make precise the data in (3) so that $H^{*}$ is transformed to the solution $f$ from (15).

## 6. Beltrami equation

The next lemma follows from normal families.
Lemma A. Corresponding to each $\eta>0, K<\infty$ are $M<\infty, \delta>0$ so that if $\psi$ is a homeomorphism of the plane fixing $z=0,1$ which is $K$-quasiconformal on $\left\{M^{-1}<|z|<M\right\}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S(r)}\left|\mu_{\psi}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta<\delta \quad\left(M^{-1}<r<M\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{\psi}(z)=\left(\psi_{z}(z)+\psi_{\bar{z}}(z)\right) /\left(\psi_{z}(z)-\psi_{\bar{z}}(z)\right)$, then

$$
(1 \leq) \frac{\max _{\theta}\left|\psi\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|}{\min _{\theta}\left|\psi\left(r e^{\theta}\right)\right|}<1+\eta \quad(1 / 2<r<2)
$$

This lemma determines the intervals $J_{n}=\left[x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right]$ through which occur the stages of the construction implicit in (3) and (4). Choose the sequence $\left\{\eta_{n}\right\}$ in accord with Lemma 4 Now consider a fixed $n \geq 0$, and since $h^{\prime}(t)<\rho_{n}^{*}$ when $Q_{k} \cap S(\log x) \neq \varnothing$ for $x \in J_{n}$, we have from Lemma 5 that $\left\|\mu_{\Psi_{k}}\right\|<\kappa_{n}<1$, or $\Psi_{k}$ is $K_{n}:=\left(1+\kappa_{n}\right) /\left(1-\kappa_{n}\right)$-quasiconformal. Lemma A produces sequences $\left\{M_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ which are now used.

To get a lower bound for each $x_{n}$, note from (16) and the second expression in (17): Given any $\varepsilon_{0}$, we may choose $x$ so large that independent of any data of $h(x)$, we have $\varepsilon(x)<\varepsilon_{0}$ if $x>x_{0}\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)$. We thus take $x_{n}$ so that when $x>x_{n}-\log M_{n}$ we have

$$
\varepsilon(x)<\frac{\delta_{n}}{2 K_{n}}
$$

This ensures that if $x>x_{n}-\log M_{n}$ and $S(\log x) \cap\left(Q_{k}\right) \neq \varnothing$,

$$
\int_{S\left(e^{x}\right) \cap \Sigma_{Z}^{\prime}}\left|\mu_{H^{*}}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta<\varepsilon_{0} \cdot K_{n}<(1 / 2) \delta_{n}
$$

On the other hand, we see from the formula (10), Proposition (1) and (9) that

$$
\int_{S(r) \cap \Sigma_{Z}}\left|\mu_{H^{*}}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta
$$

is controlled by $\mu_{p}$ and $\mu_{\varphi}$, and consequently, when $\log r \in J_{n}$, by (3) and $\varepsilon(x)$. Thus when $x \in J_{n}, h^{\prime}(x)$ is bounded by (3) and $\mu_{\varphi}$ is controlled by $\eta=\eta_{n}$, and so we may increase $x_{n}$ if necessary to ensure that

$$
\int_{S(r)}\left|\mu_{H}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta<(1 / 2) \delta_{n} \quad\left(\log r>x_{n}-\log M_{n}\right)
$$

This together with Lemma A implies that the homeomorphic normalized solution $\lambda(z)$ to the Beltrami equation $\lambda_{\bar{z}}=\mu_{H^{*}}(z) \lambda_{z}(z)$ is Hölder continuous with exponent $\tau$ (in fact this exponent may be taken as close to one as desired; we need only that it may be considered independent of $n$ or the choice of $\rho$ in Theorem 1). Thus

$$
\log |\zeta(Z)|=(1+o(1)) \log |Z| \quad(|Z| \rightarrow \infty)
$$

so that the entire function $f$ in (18) has the same order and lower order as $H^{*}$. Since all solutions to $|f(\zeta)|=1$ are related to those of $H^{*}(\zeta) \mid=1$ by $\lambda$, Lemma 3 shows that $f$ has the desired growth. We have constructed $H$ so all singularies are over $\pm p, \infty$, and thus (15) gives us that $f \in S_{3}$.
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