

## CHARACTERIZATION OF THE RANGE OF THE RADON TRANSFORM ON HOMOGENEOUS TREES

ENRICO CASADIO TARABUSI, JOEL M. COHEN, AND FLAVIA COLONNA

(Communicated by Mark Freidlin)

**ABSTRACT.** This article contains results on the range of the Radon transform  $R$  on the set  $\mathcal{H}$  of horocycles of a homogeneous tree  $T$ . Functions of compact support on  $\mathcal{H}$  that satisfy two explicit *Radon conditions* constitute the image under  $R$  of functions of finite support on  $T$ . Replacing functions on  $\mathcal{H}$  by distributions, we extend these results to the non-compact case by adding decay criteria.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We study the Radon transform  $R$  on the set  $\mathcal{H}$  of horocycles of a homogeneous tree  $T$ , and describe its image on various function spaces. We show that the functions of compact support on  $\mathcal{H}$  that satisfy two explicit *Radon conditions* constitute the image under  $R$  of functions of finite support on  $T$ . Larger domains and ranges are described by adding decay criteria to the domain and range, although we show that functions on  $\mathcal{H}$  need to be replaced by distributions.

The **Radon transform (RT)** for short), in its original formulation by Radon [R], associates to each (sufficiently nice) function on  $\mathbf{R}^2$  its one-dimensional Lebesgue integrals along all affine straight lines. This transform has been receiving considerable attention for its highly applicable nature and intrinsic interest, leading to a variety of generalizations.

In  $\mathbf{H}^2$  lines correspond to two essentially different kinds of one-dimensional submanifolds: geodesics and horocycles, giving rise to two different RTs (cf. [H]).

Homogeneous trees are widely regarded as discrete counterparts of  $\mathbf{H}^2$ , as well as objects of thorough study in harmonic analysis in their own right. Exactly like  $\mathbf{H}^2$ , they feature two distinct kinds of RTs, namely the **geodesic RT** (a.k.a. the **X-ray transform**, since it is reminiscent of the CAT-scan procedure (cf. [BC])), and the **horocyclic RT**. Several of the standard RT issues in this setting have been investigated over time by various authors: e.g., [BCCP], [A] for injectivity and inversion, [CCP2] for range characterization, and [CC] for function space setting for the geodesic RT; [BP], [BFP], [CCP1] for injectivity and inversion of the horocyclic

---

Received by the editors October 15, 1998.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 44A12; Secondary 05C05, 43A85.

*Key words and phrases.* Radon transform, homogeneous trees, horocycles, range characterizations, distributions.

Supported in part by an Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellowship and NSF grant DMS 95-01056.

RT (part of the results therein are rewritten in [CMS] for the Abel transform, which is a multiple of the RT). Another related transform has been studied recently by Cowling and Setti.

In this work, we pursue a description of the range of the horocyclic RT  $R$  on a homogeneous tree  $T$  of degree  $q + 1$  with  $q \geq 2$ . We first state two natural explicit relations (one of which had already been observed in [BFPP] and [BFP] for radial functions) for functions on the space  $\mathcal{H}$  of horocycles of  $T$ . We then show that among compactly supported functions on  $\mathcal{H}$ , these conditions completely characterize the range of  $R$  on finitely supported functions on (the set of vertices of)  $T$ . Similar descriptions are valid for the range of  $R$  on larger function spaces, although distributions on  $\mathcal{H}$  need then to be taken into account. All the results with complete proofs can be found in [CCC].

We thank Hillel Furstenberg for many useful conversations and for his insights into the problem.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

The boundary  $\Omega$  of  $T$  is the set of equivalence classes of infinite paths under the relation  $[v_0, v_1, \dots] \simeq [v_1, v_2, \dots]$ . For any vertex  $u$ , we denote by  $[u, \omega]$  the (unique) path starting at  $u$  in the class  $\omega$ . Then  $\Omega$  can be identified with the set of paths starting at  $u$ . Each  $\omega \in \Omega$  induces an orientation on the edges of  $T$ :  $[u, v]$  is positively oriented if  $v \in [u, \omega]$ .

For  $\omega \in \Omega$ , and  $u, v \in T$ , define the **horocycle index**  $\kappa_\omega(u, v)$  as the number of positively oriented edges minus the number of negatively oriented edges in the path from  $u$  to  $v$ . Given  $u \in T$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the **horocycle through  $u$  touching  $\omega$**  is the set  $\{v : \kappa_\omega(u, v) = 0\}$ . More generally, for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the **horocycle of index  $n$  touching  $\omega$  with respect to  $u$**  is  $h_{\omega, n}^u = \{w \in T : \kappa_\omega(u, w) = n\}$ . Then the set of vertices may be decomposed as  $\coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_{\omega, n}^u$ .

For  $u$  fixed, the map  $(n, \omega) \mapsto h_{\omega, n}^u$  is a one-to-one correspondence between  $\mathbb{Z} \times \Omega$  and the set  $\mathcal{H}$  of horocycles.

**Definition 1.** The  $L^1$ -**horocyclic Radon transform**  $R$  on  $T$  is given by  $Rf(h) = \sum_{v \in h} f(v)$  for  $f \in L^1 T$ , and  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ .

For  $u, v \in T$ , set  $S(u, v) = \{h \in \mathcal{H} : \exists \omega \in \Omega \text{ s.t. } h = h_{\omega, 0}^u, v \in [u, \omega]\}$ . The topology generated by the sets  $S(u, v)$  makes  $\mathcal{H}$  totally disconnected. Then  $\mathcal{H}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z} \times \Omega$ , where  $\Omega$  is endowed with the compact topology generated by  $I_v^u = \{\omega \in \Omega : v \in [u, \omega]\}$ . For any  $u \in T$ , there is a measure  $\mu^u$  on  $\Omega$ :  $\mu^u(I_v^u) = 1/c_{d(u, v)}$ .

The family of horocycles through a fixed  $\omega$  does not depend on the choice of the reference vertex  $u$ , but indices do:  $h_{\omega, n}^v = h_{\omega, n + \kappa_\omega(u, v)}^u$ .

For simplicity of notation, we fix a root  $e$  throughout, and set  $h_{\omega, n} = h_{\omega, n}^e$ ,  $\mu = \mu^e$ ,  $d\omega = d\mu^e(\omega)$ ,  $k(v, \omega) = \kappa_\omega(e, v)$ , and  $I_v = I_v^e$ . Notice that  $d\mu^v(\omega) = q^{k(v, \omega)} d\omega$ .

For  $\omega \in \Omega$ , let  $\omega_n \in [e, \omega]$  be the vertex of length  $n$ . For  $v \in T$ , and  $0 \leq n \leq |v|$ , let  $v_n \in [e, v]$  be the vertex of length  $n$ . For  $v \in T$  and  $n \geq |v|$ , the set  $D_n(v) = \{u : |u| = n \text{ and } u_{|v|} = v\}$  is the set of **descendants** of  $v$  of length  $n$ .

**Definition 2.** For a function  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , we define the **Radon conditions** as follows:

( $R_1$ )  $\sum_n \varphi(h_{\omega, n}^v)$  is independent of  $v$  and  $\omega$ .

( $R_2$ ) For any  $v \in T$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi(h_{\omega,n}^v) d\mu^v \omega = q^{-n} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(h_{\omega,-n}^v) d\mu^v \omega.$$

**Proposition 1.** *If  $f \in L^1 T$ , then  $Rf$  is a continuous function satisfying the Radon conditions.*

There are, however, continuous functions satisfying the Radon conditions that are of the form  $Rf$  for  $f \notin L^1 T$ .

Proposition 1 is proved by showing first that the Radon conditions are satisfied for the function  $\varphi = R\chi_u$ , where  $\chi_u$  is the characteristic function of  $\{u\}$ , and then extending linearly.

Fix  $v \in T$ . For  $0 \leq t \leq |v|$ , let  $I_v^t = \{\omega \in \Omega : k(v, \omega) = 2t - |v|\}$ . Then for  $t \neq |v|$ ,  $I_v^t = I_{v_t} - I_{v_{t+1}}$ ,  $I_v^{|v|} = I_v$ , and  $\Omega = \coprod_{t=0}^{|v|} I_v^t$ . Using the relations  $h_{\omega,n}^v = h_{\omega,n+k(v,\omega)}$  and  $d\mu^v \omega = q^{k(v,\omega)} d\omega$ , condition ( $R_2$ ) may be rewritten as

$$(R'_2) \quad \sum_{t=0}^{|v|} q^{2t-|v|} \int_{I_v^t} \varphi(h_{\omega,n+2t-|v|}) = q^{-n} \sum_{t=0}^{|v|} q^{2t-|v|} \int_{I_v^t} \varphi(h_{\omega,-n+2t-|v|}) d\omega.$$

In §3, we characterize the range of the RT on the set of functions on  $T$  of finite support, and then in §4, after defining  $Rf$  as a distribution on  $\mathcal{H}$ , we obtain a similar characterization for the case of  $f$  of infinite support.

### 3. FUNCTIONS OF COMPACT SUPPORT

**Theorem 1.** *The image of  $R$  on the space of functions on  $T$  of finite (i.e. compact) support is the space of functions on  $\mathcal{H}$  of compact support satisfying the Radon conditions.*

The proof is based on the use of a generalization of radially:

**Definition 3.** Let  $N$  be a non-negative integer.

- (1) A function  $f$  on  $T$  is  **$N$ -radial** if for all  $v \in T$  with  $|v| \geq N$ ,  $f(v)$  depends only on  $v_N$  and  $|v|$ .
- (2)  $f$  has **radius**  $N$  if  $\{v : |v| \leq N\}$  is the smallest disk centered at  $e$  containing the support of  $f$  (so  $f(v) = 0$  for  $|v| > N$ ).
- (3) A function  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is  **$N$ -radial** if  $\varphi(h_{\omega,n})$  depends only on  $\omega_N$  and  $n$ .
- (4)  $\varphi$  has **radius**  $N$  if  $[-N, \dots, N] \times \Omega$  is the smallest such set containing the support of  $\varphi$  (so  $\varphi(h_{\omega,n}) = 0$  for  $|n| > N$ ).

In particular, a 0-radial function on  $T$  is what is generally called *radial*.

We actually prove a more precise version of Theorem 1, specifically that the image under  $R$  of the set of functions on  $T$  of radius less than or equal to  $N$  is the set of continuous functions on  $\mathcal{H}$  of radius less than or equal to  $N$  satisfying the Radon conditions. This result is established by means of Propositions 2 and 3, whose proofs are outlined below.

For  $N \geq 0$ , let  $E^N$  be the set of  $N$ -radial functions on  $\mathcal{H}$  of radius less than or equal to  $N$  satisfying ( $R_1$ ) and ( $R_2$ ).

**Proposition 2.**  $E^N = E^{N-1} \oplus \bigoplus_{|v|=N} \mathbb{C} R\chi_v$ .

It follows by induction that  $E^N$  is the image under  $R$  of the set of functions of radius less than or equal to  $N$ .

**Proposition 3.** *If  $\varphi$  is a function on  $\mathcal{H}$  of compact support satisfying the Radon conditions, then there exists  $N$  such that  $\varphi \in E^N$ .*

Let  $\{v^1, \dots, v^{c_N}\}$  be an enumeration of the vertices of length  $N$ . If  $v \in T$ ,  $|v| \leq N$ , let  $A_v^t = \{j : I_{v^j} \subset I_v^t\}$ . Thus  $I_v^t = \prod_{j \in A_v^t} I_{v^j}$ . If  $j_0$  is the index such that  $v = v^{j_0}$ , then  $A_v^N = \{j_0\}$ . Observe that  $\{1, 2, \dots, c_N\} = \prod_{t=0}^{|v|} A_v^t$  and recall that  $\Omega = \prod_{t=0}^{|v|} I_v^t$ . Let  $\varphi \in E^N$ , and set  $a_{n,j} = \varphi(h_{\omega,n})$  for  $\omega_N = v^j$ . Then  $(R'_2)$  becomes

$$(R''_2) \quad \sum_{t=0}^M q^{2t} \sum_{j \in A_v^t} a_{n+2t-M,j} = q^{-n} \sum_{t=0}^M q^{2t} \sum_{j \in A_v^t} a_{-n+2t-M,j},$$

for  $|v| = M \leq N$ .

The proof of Propositions 2 and 3 is based on repeated applications of  $(R''_2)$  for various values of  $n$  and  $M$ . For instance, if we set  $M = N$  and  $n = 2N$ , the left-hand side of  $(R''_2)$  reduces to  $\sum_{j \in A_v^0} a_{N,j}$ , since  $n + 2t - M > N$  except for  $t = 0$ . On the right-hand side,  $a_{-n+2t-M} = 0$ , except for  $t = M = N$ , leaving just  $\sum_{j \in A_v^N} a_{-N,j}$ , which is  $a_{-N,j_0}$ , where  $v = v^{j_0}$ . Thus  $\sum_{j \in A_v^0} a_{N,j} = a_{-N,j_0} q^N$ . In particular, if  $a_{N,j} = 0$  for all  $j$ , then  $a_{-N,j} = 0$  for all  $j$ .

If  $\varphi \in E^N$ , then the function  $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi - \sum_{j=1}^{c_N} a_{M,j} R(\chi_{v^j})$  has the property that  $\tilde{\varphi}(h_{\omega,n}) = 0$  for  $n = N$  as well as for  $|n| > N$ . Hence  $\tilde{a}_{N,j} = 0$  for all  $j$ , and so, by what we just proved,  $\tilde{a}_{-N,j} = 0$  for all  $j$ . Thus  $\tilde{\varphi} \in E^{N-1}$ , proving Proposition 2.

Now let  $\varphi$  be a function with compact support satisfying the Radon conditions. Since topologically  $\mathcal{H} \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \Omega$  with  $\Omega$  compact, there is some positive integer  $N$  such that the support of  $\varphi$  is contained in  $[-N, N] \times \Omega$ , i.e.  $\varphi(h_{\omega,n}) = 0$  for  $|n| > N$ . Then  $\varphi$  has radius less than or equal to  $N$ . Again using  $(R''_2)$ , it is possible to show that  $\varphi$  is  $N$ -radial. Thus  $\varphi \in E^N$ , proving Proposition 3, and hence Theorem 1.

#### 4. NON-COMPACT SUPPORT

In this section we develop a parallel theory for distributions on  $\mathcal{H}$  and define certain **decay conditions** for functions on  $T$  and distributions on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

For  $r > 0$ , define  $\mathcal{A}_r$  as the class of all functions  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying the **decay condition**:

$$\sum_{n=|v|}^{\infty} t^n \left| \sum_{u \in D_n(v)} f(u) \right| < \infty \quad \forall t \in [0, r), \quad \forall v \in T.$$

Observe that  $L^1 T \subset \mathcal{A}_1$ , since for  $f \in L^1 T$  and  $0 \leq t < 1$ ,

$$\sum_{n=|v|}^{\infty} t^n \left| \sum_{u \in D_n(v)} f(u) \right| \leq \sum_{n=|v|}^{\infty} \sum_{u \in D_n(v)} |f(u)| \leq \sum_{u \in T} |f(u)| = \|f\|_1.$$

The elementary measurable sets in  $\mathcal{H}$  can be generated by all sets of the form  $\{h_{\omega,n} \in \mathcal{H} : \omega \in I_v\}$ , which may be identified with  $\{n\} \times I_v$ . A **distribution** on  $\mathcal{H}$  is an element of the dual of the vector space generated by the characteristic functions of the elementary measurable sets of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Thus, since  $I_v = \prod_{u=-v} I_u$ , we may think of a distribution on  $\mathcal{H}$  as a function  $\varphi$  on the sets  $\{n\} \times I_v$  satisfying the

property

$$\varphi(\{n\} \times I_v) = \sum_{u^- = v} \varphi(\{n\} \times I_u).$$

If  $f \in L^1T$ , then  $Rf$  is defined on each horocycle and is bounded. By abuse of notation, we define  $Rf$  as the distribution given by

$$Rf(\{n\} \times I_u) = \int_{I_u} Rf(h_{\omega,n}) d\omega.$$

Now for a larger class of functions on  $T$ , this leads to the following definition of the Radon transform as a distribution:

**Definition 4.** For a function  $f$  on  $T$ , let

$$Rf(\{n\} \times I_u) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|v|=m} f(v) R\chi_v(\{n\} \times I_u),$$

if this is defined for all  $u \in T$ , and all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

This definition is consistent with the previous formula, since

$$f = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|v|=m} f(v) \chi_v.$$

We extend the Radon conditions to the case of distributions as follows:

- (R<sub>1</sub>)  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(\{n\} \times I_v) / \mu(I_v)$  is independent of  $v$ .
- (R<sub>2</sub>) For all  $v \in T$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\sum_{t=0}^{|v|} q^{2t-|v|} \varphi(\{n+2t-|v|\} \times I_v^t) = q^{-n} \sum_{t=0}^{|v|} q^{2t-|v|} \varphi(\{-n+2t-|v|\} \times I_v^t).$$

For  $r > 0$ , define  $\mathcal{B}_r$  as the class of all distributions  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfying the **decay condition**:

$$\sum_{n=|v|}^{\infty} t^n q^n |\varphi(\{n\} \times I_v)| < \infty \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, r], v \in T.$$

**Theorem 2.** For  $r > 1/\sqrt{q}$ ,  $R(\mathcal{A}_r)$  is the set of all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_r$  satisfying the Radon conditions.

A distribution  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is  **$N$ -radial** if  $\varphi(\{n\} \times I_v)$  depends only on  $n$  and  $v_N$ .

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on the use of  $N$ -radial functions and  $N$ -radial distributions. Given a positive number  $r$ , and a non-negative integer  $N$ , let  $\mathcal{A}_r^N$  be the space of  $N$ -radial functions in  $\mathcal{A}_r$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}_r^N$  be the space of  $N$ -radial distributions in  $\mathcal{B}_r$ . The key result in proving Theorem 2 is the following

**Proposition 4.** For  $r > 1/\sqrt{q}$ , the image of the Radon transform on  $\mathcal{A}_r^N$  is the set of all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_r^N$  satisfying the Radon conditions.

The following example shows that the use of distributions is necessary:

**Example.** Let  $l_1, \dots, l_q$  be complex numbers of absolute value one, such that  $\sum_{j=1}^q l_j = 2/3$ , and set  $l_{q+1} = l_1$ . Label the vertices as follows: let  $x_1, \dots, x_{q+1}$  be the vertices of length 1. If  $v \neq e$  has already been labeled, write the immediate descendants of  $v$  as  $vx_1, \dots, vx_q$ . Thus a typical vertex  $v$  of length  $N$  is labeled as

$x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_N}$ , where the  $i_j$  are between 1 and  $q$ , except for  $i_1$  which can also be  $q+1$ . Then define  $f(v)$  as  $l_{i_1} \dots l_{i_N} \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^N$ ,  $f(e) = 1$ . Thus

$$\left| \sum_{u \in D_n(v)} f(u) \right| = |f(v)|(8/9)^{n-N} = \left(\frac{8}{9}\right)^n \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^N.$$

If  $0 < t < 9/8$ , then  $\sum_n t^n \left(\frac{8}{9}\right)^n \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^N$  converges, and so  $f \in \mathcal{A}_{9/8}$ . By Theorem 2,  $Rf \in \mathcal{B}_{9/8}$ .

On the other hand, we now show that  $Rf$  cannot be evaluated at any horocycle. A horocycle  $h_{\omega,n}$  is the disjoint union of the sets  $D_{n+2k}(\omega_{n+k}) - D_{n+2k}(\omega_{n+k+1})$  over the set of all non-negative integers  $k$ , for  $n \geq 0$ . Now

$$\left| \sum_{v \in D_{n+2k}(\omega_{n+k})} f(v) \right| = \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^n \left(\frac{32}{27}\right)^k$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{v \in D_{n+2k}(\omega_{n+k+1})} f(v) \right| = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^n \left(\frac{32}{27}\right)^k.$$

Since the second sum has a larger absolute value, the absolute value of the difference is at least  $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^n \left(\frac{32}{27}\right)^k$ . Thus the series for defining  $Rf(h_{\omega,n})$  does not converge, for  $n \geq 0$ . For  $n < 0$ ,

$$h_{\omega,n} = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (D_{n+2k}(\omega_k) - D_{n+2k}(\omega_{k+1})),$$

and the same conclusion holds. Since point evaluation cannot be defined,  $Rf$  cannot be induced by a function.

## REFERENCES

- [A] G. Ahumada Bustamante, *Analyse harmonique sur l'espace des chemins d'un arbre*, Thesis of Doctorat d'État, Univ. of Paris-Sud (Orsay), 1988.
- [BC] C. A. Berenstein, E. Casadio Tarabusi, *Integral geometry in hyperbolic spaces and electrical impedance tomography*, SIAM. J. Appl. Math. **56** (1996), 755–764. MR **97d**:53073
- [BCCP] C. A. Berenstein, E. Casadio Tarabusi, J. M. Cohen, M. A. Picardello, *Integral geometry on trees*, Amer. J. Math. **113** (1991), 441–470. MR **92g**:05066
- [BFPp] W. Betori, J. Faraut, M. Pagliacci, *The horicycles of a tree and the Radon transform*, preliminary version of [BFP].
- [BFP] ———, *An inversion formula for the Radon transform on trees*, Math. Z. **201** (1989), 327–337. MR **90k**:22004
- [BP] W. Betori, M. Pagliacci, *The Radon transform on trees*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (6) **5** (1986), 267–277. MR **87h**:05073
- [CCC] E. Casadio Tarabusi, J. M. Cohen, F. Colonna, *The range of the horocyclic Radon transform on a homogeneous tree*, preprint.
- [CCP1] E. Casadio Tarabusi, J. M. Cohen, A. M. Picardello, *The horocyclic Radon transform on non-homogeneous trees*, Israel J. Math. **78** (1992), 363–380. MR **94b**:44002
- [CCP2] ———, *Range of the X-ray transform on trees*, Adv. Math. **109** (1994), 153–167. MR **96a**:05043a
- [CC] J. M. Cohen, F. Colonna, *The functional analysis of the X-ray transform on trees*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **14** (1993), 123–138. MR **94a**:05048
- [CMS] M. Cowling, S. Meda, A. G. Setti, *An overview of harmonic analysis on the group of isometries of a homogeneous tree*, preprint.

- [H] S. Helgason, *The Radon transform*, Progr. Math., vol. 5, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1980. MR **83f**:43012
- [R] J. Radon, *Über die Bestimmung von Funktionen durch ihre Integralwerte längs gewisser Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Ber. Verh. Sächs. Akad. Wiss. Leipzig, Math.-Phys. Kl. **69** (1917), 262–277; reprinted in [H, pp. 177–192].

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “G. CASTELNUOVO”, UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA “LA SAPIENZA”,  
PIAZZALE A. MORO 2, 00185 ROMA, ITALY

*E-mail address:* `casadio@alpha.science.unitn.it`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742

*E-mail address:* `jmc@math.umd.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY, 4400 UNIVERSITY  
DRIVE, FAIRFAX, VA 22030

*E-mail address:* `fcolonna@osf1.gmu.edu`