

TOPOLOGICAL OBSTRUCTIONS TO GRAPH COLORINGS

ERIC BABSON AND DMITRY N. KOZLOV

(Communicated by Ronald L. Graham)

ABSTRACT. For any two graphs G and H Lovász has defined a cell complex $\text{Hom}(G, H)$ having in mind the general program that the algebraic invariants of these complexes should provide obstructions to graph colorings. Here we announce the proof of a conjecture of Lovász concerning these complexes with G a cycle of odd length. More specifically, we show that

If $\text{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, G)$ is k -connected, then $\chi(G) \geq k + 4$.

Our actual statement is somewhat sharper, as we find obstructions already in the nonvanishing of powers of certain Stiefel-Whitney classes.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the central questions of graph theory is to find lower bounds for the number of colors in an admissible coloring of the set of vertices of a graph (a coloring is called admissible if any 2 vertices that are connected by an edge get different colors). The minimal possible number of colors in an admissible coloring is called the **chromatic number** of the graph, and is denoted by $\chi(G)$. In 1978 L. Lovász solved the Kneser conjecture by finding geometric obstructions of Borsuk-Ulam type to graph colorings.

Theorem 1.1 (Kneser-Lovász, [1, 2]). *Let $\Gamma_{k,n}$ be the graph whose vertices are all k -subsets of $[n]$, and edges are all pairs of disjoint k -subsets; here $1 \leq k \leq n/2$. Then $\chi(\Gamma_{k,n}) = n - 2k + 2$.*

The hard part was to show the inequality $\chi(\Gamma_{k,n}) \geq n - 2k + 2$. Lovász' idea to achieve that was to associate a simplicial complex $\mathcal{N}(G)$, called the neighborhood complex, to an arbitrary graph G , and then use the connectivity information of the topological space $\mathcal{N}(G)$ to find obstructions to the colorability of G .

To define $\mathcal{N}(G)$ we need some notations. For any graph G , let $V(G)$ denote the set of its vertices, and $E(G) \subseteq V(G) \times V(G)$ the set of its edges. Since all the graphs in this paper are undirected, $(x, y) \in E(G)$ implies $(y, x) \in E(G)$. We allow our graphs to contain loops. For a graph G we distinguish between looped and unlooped complements, namely we let $\mathcal{L}G$ be the graph defined by $V(\mathcal{L}G) = V(G)$, $E(\mathcal{L}G) = (V(G) \times V(G)) \setminus E(G)$, while \overline{G} is the graph defined by

Received by the editors May 17, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 05C15; Secondary 57M15, 55N91, 55T99.

Key words and phrases. Graphs, chromatic number, graph homomorphisms, Stiefel-Whitney classes, equivariant cohomology, free action, spectral sequences, obstructions, Kneser conjecture, Borsuk-Ulam theorem.

$V(\overline{G}) = V(G)$, $E(\overline{G}) = E(\mathcal{L}G) \setminus \{(v, v) \mid v \in V(G)\}$. For a graph G and $S \subseteq V(G)$ we denote by $G[S]$ the graph on the vertex set S induced by G , that is $V(G[S]) = S$, $E(G[S]) = (S \times S) \cap E(G)$.

The complex $\mathcal{N}(G)$ can then be defined by taking as its vertices all nonisolated vertices of G , and as its simplices all the subsets of $V(G)$ which have a common neighbor; in other words, the maximal simplices of $\mathcal{N}(G)$ are $\mathcal{N}(v)$, for $v \in V(G)$, where $\mathcal{N}(v) = \{w \in V(G) \mid (v, w) \in E(G)\}$ is the set of all neighbors of v .

Theorem 1.2 (Lovász, [2]). *Let G be a graph without loops, such that $\mathcal{N}(G)$ is k -connected; then $\chi(G) \geq k + 3$.*

Numerous papers followed Lovász' pioneering work; see [4] for a good recent survey. Generalizing the original line of thought, for any pair of graphs G and H , Lovász has defined a cell complex $\text{Hom}(G, H)$.

Recall that a **graph homomorphism** is a map $\phi : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ such that $\phi \times \phi : E(G) \rightarrow E(H)$, that is, if $x, y \in V(G)$ are connected by an edge, then $\phi(x)$ and $\phi(y)$ are also connected by an edge. We denote the set of all homomorphisms from G to H by $\text{Hom}(G, H)$.

Definition 1.3. $\text{Hom}(G, H)$ is a polyhedral complex whose cells are indexed by all functions $\eta : V(G) \rightarrow 2^{V(H)} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ such that if $(x, y) \in E(G)$, for any $\tilde{x} \in \eta(x)$ and $\tilde{y} \in \eta(y)$ we have $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in E(H)$.

The closure of a cell η consists of all cells indexed by $\tilde{\eta} : V(G) \rightarrow 2^{V(H)} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, which satisfy $\tilde{\eta}(v) \subseteq \eta(v)$, for all $v \in V(G)$.

In particular, the set of vertices of $\text{Hom}(G, H)$ is precisely $\text{Hom}(G, H)$.

As Proposition 2.1 shows, already the complexes $\text{Hom}(K_2, G)$ contain the homotopy type information of the neighbourhood complexes. This observation makes one try to look for obstructions coming from maps from other graphs than K_2 .

In this paper we announce the proof of the following conjecture of Lovász, which is a natural next step, after Theorem 1.2, in the general program of finding topological obstructions to the existence of the graph homomorphisms.

Theorem 1.4. *Let G be a graph, and let $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $r \geq 1$, $k \geq -1$. If $\text{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, G)$ is k -connected, then $\chi(G) \geq k + 4$.*

Here, C_{2r+1} is a $(2r + 1)$ cycle, i.e., a graph defined by $V(C_{2r+1}) = \mathbb{Z}/(2r + 1)\mathbb{Z}$,

$$E(C_{2r+1}) = \{([x], [x + 1]), ([x + 1], [x]) \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}/(2r + 1)\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

To state our main result we need more notations. Let X be a CW complex with a free \mathbb{Z}_2 -action. By the general theory of principal bundles, there exists a \mathbb{Z}_2 -equivariant map $\tilde{w} : X \rightarrow S^\infty$, where \mathbb{Z}_2 acts on S^∞ by the antipodal map, and the induced map $w : X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\infty$ is unique up to homotopy. This in turn induces a canonical algebra homomorphism $w^* : H^*(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\infty; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^*(X/\mathbb{Z}_2; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Let $z \in H^1(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\infty; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ denote the nontrivial cohomology class; then $H^*(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\infty; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2[z]$ as graded algebras. We denote $w^*(z) \in H^1(X/\mathbb{Z}_2; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ by $\varpi_1(X)$, and call it the **first Stiefel-Whitney class** of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -space X . Clearly, $\varpi_1^k(X) = w^*(z^k)$.

Let \mathbb{Z}_2 act on C_{2r+1} by mapping $[x] \in \mathbb{Z}/(2r + 1)\mathbb{Z}$ to $[-x]$, and let $\gamma \in \text{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, C_{2r+1})$ denote the corresponding graph homomorphism. Furthermore, let \mathbb{Z}_2 act on K_m for $m \geq 2$, by swapping the vertices 1 and 2 and fixing the vertices $3, \dots, m$; here, K_m is the graph defined by $V(K_m) = [m]$, $E(K_m) = \{(x, y) \mid x, y \in [m], x \neq y\}$. These induce free \mathbb{Z}_2 -actions on $\text{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, G)$ and $\text{Hom}(K_m, G)$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let G be a graph.*

- (a) *If $\varpi_1^k(\mathrm{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, G)) \neq 0$, then $\chi(G) \geq k + 3$.*
- (b) *If $\varpi_1^k(\mathrm{Hom}(K_m, G)) \neq 0$, then $\chi(G) \geq k + m$.*

Since we know that for a k -connected space X with a free \mathbb{Z}_2 -action $\varpi_1^{k+1}(X) \neq 0$, Theorem 1.5 implies Theorem 1.4 as well as the following corollary.

Corollary 1.6. *Let G be a graph, and let $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $m \geq 2$, $k \geq -1$. If $\mathrm{Hom}(K_m, G)$ is k -connected, then $\chi(G) \geq k + m + 1$.*

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank László Lovász and Péter Csorba for insightful discussions. The second author acknowledges support by the University of Washington, Seattle, the Swiss National Science Foundation, and the University of Bern.

2. HOMOTOPY TYPE OF THE Hom COMPLEXES

First, we remark two properties of the Hom complexes:

- (1) Cells of $\mathrm{Hom}(G, H)$ are direct products of simplices. More specifically, each η as in Definition 1.3 is a product of $|V(G)|$ simplices, having dimensions $|\eta(x)| - 1$, for $x \in V(G)$.
- (2) $\mathrm{Hom}(H, -)$ is a covariant, while $\mathrm{Hom}(-, H)$ is a contravariant functor from **Graphs** to **Top**, where **Graphs** is a category having graphs as objects, and graph homomorphisms as morphisms. If $\phi \in \mathcal{H}om(G, G')$, then we shall denote the induced topological maps as $\phi^H : \mathrm{Hom}(H, G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(H, G')$ and $\phi_H : \mathrm{Hom}(G', H) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(G, H)$.

Proposition 2.1. *$\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{N}(G)$.*

If Proposition 2.1 coupled with Theorem 1.2 were to be interpreted as that the obstructions to colorability found by Lovász in 1978 stem from the graph K_2 , then the idea behind the Lovász Conjecture could be thought of as that the next natural class of obstructions should come from the odd cycles, C_{2r+1} .

The next proposition is the crucial step in the proof of Theorem 1.5(b).

Proposition 2.2. *$\mathrm{Hom}(K_m, K_n)$ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of $(n - m)$ -dimensional spheres.*

Next, we need a technical Quillen-type lemma. For any small category C (in particular a finite poset) we denote by $\Delta(C)$ the realization of the nerve of that category. For any finite poset P , we let P^{op} denote the finite poset which has the same set of elements as P , but the opposite partial order. Also, for any finite poset P , whenever the subset of the elements of P is regarded as a poset, the partial order is taken to be induced from P .

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\phi : P \rightarrow Q$ be a map of finite posets. Consider a list of possible conditions on ϕ .*

Condition (A). *For every $q \in Q$, $\Delta(\phi^{-1}(q))$ is contractible.*

Condition (B). *For every $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$ with $\phi(p) \geq q$ the poset $\phi^{-1}(q) \cap P_{\leq p}$ has a maximal element.*

Condition (B^{op}). *Let $\phi^{op} : P^{op} \rightarrow Q^{op}$ be the poset map induced by ϕ . We require that ϕ^{op} satisfies Condition (B).*

Then

- (1) If ϕ satisfies (A) and either (B) or (B^{op}) , then ϕ is a homotopy equivalence.
- (2) If ϕ satisfies (B) and (B^{op}) , and Q is connected, then for any $q, q' \in Q$ we have $\Delta(\phi^{-1}(q)) \simeq \Delta(\phi^{-1}(q'))$. Furthermore, we have a fibration homotopy long exact sequence:

$$(2.1) \quad \dots \longrightarrow \pi_i(\Delta(\phi^{-1}(q))) \longrightarrow \pi_i(\Delta(P)) \longrightarrow \pi_i(\Delta(Q)) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Proof. The argument is based on using Quillen's Theorems A and B (see [3, pp. 85, 89]) for the induced map $\text{Bd } \phi : \text{Bd } P \rightarrow \text{Bd } Q$, where Bd denotes the barycentric subdivision, that is the poset of all the chains in the given poset. The details will appear elsewhere. \square

Proposition 2.4. *If G and H are graphs and u and v are vertices of G such that $N(v) \subseteq N(u)$, then $i : G - v \hookrightarrow G$ induces a homotopy equivalence $i_H : \text{Hom}(G, H) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G - v, H)$.*

Proof. It suffices to apply proposition 2.3(1) to the cellular map $i_H : \text{Hom}(G, H) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G - v, H)$, which forgets the colors of v . \square

Corollary 2.5. *If T is a tree with at least one edge, then the map $i_{K_n} : \text{Hom}(T, K_n) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_2, K_n)$ induced by any inclusion $i : K_2 \hookrightarrow T$ is a homotopy equivalence. Furthermore, if F is a forest, then $\text{Hom}(\overline{F}, K_n) \simeq \text{Hom}(K_m, K_n)$, where m is the maximal cardinality of an independent set in F .*

3. Hom_+ AND FILTRATIONS

For a finite graph H , let H_+ be the graph obtained from H by adding an extra vertex, called the base vertex, and connecting it by edges to all the vertices of H_+ including itself.

Definition 3.1. Let G and H be two graphs. The simplicial complex $\text{Hom}_+(G, H)$ is defined to be the link in $\text{Hom}(G, H_+)$ of the homomorphism that maps every vertex of G to the base vertex in H_+ .

So $\text{Hom}_+(G, H)$ is just like $\text{Hom}(G, H)$ with the difference that we also allow empty lists of colors, i.e., the cells are functions $\eta : V(G) \rightarrow 2^{V(H)}$, which also makes it simplicial. We remark that $\text{Hom}_+(H, -)$ is a covariant functor from **Graphs** to **Top**.

Proposition 3.2. *$\text{Hom}_+(G, H)$ is isomorphic to the independence complex of $G \times \mathbb{C}H$. In particular, $\text{Hom}_+(G, K_n)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Ind}(G)^{*n}$, where $*$ denotes the simplicial join.*

The chain complex of $\text{Hom}_+(G, K_n)$ has a natural filtration. For each simplex of $\text{Hom}_+(G, K_n)$, $\eta : V(G) \rightarrow 2^{V(K_n)}$, define the support of η to be $\text{supp } \eta = V(G) \setminus \eta^{-1}(\emptyset)$. In fact, the same definition for supp holds for any $\text{Hom}_+(G, H)$. One concise way to phrase it is to simply consider the map $t^G : \text{Hom}_+(G, H) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_+(G, \mathbb{C}K_1) \simeq \Delta_{|V(G)|-1}$ induced by the homomorphism $t : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}K_1$.

We can now filter $C_*(\text{Hom}_+(G, K_n))$ by the cardinalities of the support. Namely,

$$F_{-1} = 0, F_0 = \langle |\text{supp } \eta| = 1 \rangle, \dots, F_k = \langle |\text{supp } \eta| \leq k + 1 \rangle, \dots,$$

that is F_k is the chain subcomplex of $C_*(\text{Hom}_+(G, K_n))$ generated by all simplices whose support set has cardinality at most $k + 1$.

Clearly, for any k ,

$$F_k/F_{k-1} = C_* \left(\coprod_{S \subseteq V(G), |S|=k+1} \text{Hom}(G[S], K_n) \right) [k],$$

where the brackets $[-]$ denote shifting. In particular, $F_{|V(G)|-1} = C_*(\text{Hom}_+(G, K_n))$, and $F_{|V(G)|-1}/F_{|V(G)|-2} = C_*(\text{Hom}(G, K_n))[|V(G)|-1]$.

Next, we describe a natural filtration on $C_*(\text{Hom}_+(C_{2r+1}, K_n)/\mathbb{Z}_2; \mathbb{Z})$. Let $c, a_1, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_r$ denote the vertices of C_{2r+1} so that $\gamma(a_i) = b_i$, for any $i \in [r]$, and $(c, a_1), (a_i, a_{i+1}) \in E(C_{2r+1})$, for any $i \in [r-1]$. Identify $V(C_{2r+1})$ with the vertices of an abstract simplex Δ_{2r} of dimension $2r$. We subdivide Δ_{2r} by adding r more vertices, denoted c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r , and defining a new abstract simplicial complex $\tilde{\Delta}_{2r}$ on the set $\{c, a_1, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_r, c_1, \dots, c_r\} = V(\tilde{\Delta}_{2r})$. The simplices of $\tilde{\Delta}_{2r}$ are all the subsets of $V(\tilde{\Delta}_{2r})$ which do not contain the subset $\{a_i, b_i\}$, for any $i \in [r]$.

One can think of this new complex $\tilde{\Delta}_{2r}$ as the one obtained from Δ_{2r} by representing it as a join $\{c\} * [a_1, b_1] * \dots * [a_r, b_r]$, inserting one additional vertex into the middle of each $[a_i, b_i]$, and then taking the join of $\{c\}$ and the subdivided intervals. For $\tilde{\sigma} \in \tilde{\Delta}_{2r}$ we define $\vartheta(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \Delta_{2r}$ by $\vartheta(\tilde{\sigma}) = (\tilde{\sigma} \setminus \{c_1, \dots, c_r\}) \cup \bigcup_{c_i \in \tilde{\sigma}} \{a_i, b_i\}$.

$\tilde{\Delta}_{2r}$ has an additional property: if a simplex of $\tilde{\Delta}_{2r}$ is γ -invariant, then it is fixed pointwise. This allows us to introduce a simplicial structure on $\tilde{\Delta}_{2r}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ by taking the orbits of the simplices of $\tilde{\Delta}_{2r}$ as the simplices of $\tilde{\Delta}_{2r}/\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Let us now describe a chain complex $\tilde{C}_*(\text{Hom}_+(C_{2r+1}, K_n))$, which comes from a different triangulation of the topological space $\text{Hom}_+(C_{2r+1}, K_n)$. The chain complex consists of vector spaces over \mathbb{Z}_2 . The generators are pairs (η, σ) , where $\eta \in \text{Hom}_+(C_{2r+1}, K_n)$, and $\sigma \in \tilde{\Delta}_{2r}$, such that $\vartheta(\sigma) = \text{supp } \eta$. Such a pair corresponds to the cell $\eta \cap \text{supp}^{-1}(\sigma)$. The codimension 1 boundary of (η, σ) is the sum of the following generators:

- (1) $(\tilde{\eta}, \sigma)$, if $\text{supp } \tilde{\eta} = \text{supp } \eta$, and $\tilde{\eta} \in \partial \eta$;
- (2) $(\eta, \tilde{\sigma})$, if $\tilde{\sigma} \in \partial \sigma$, and $\vartheta(\sigma) = \vartheta(\tilde{\sigma})$;
- (3) $(\tilde{\eta}, \sigma \setminus \{x\})$, if $x \in V(\tilde{\Delta}_{2r})$, $Y = \vartheta(\{x\}) \setminus \vartheta(\sigma \setminus \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$, where $\tilde{\eta}$ is obtained from η by setting η to be \emptyset on the vertex set $\eta^{-1}(Y)$, and where we require that all the values of $\eta|_{\eta^{-1}(Y)}$ have cardinality 1.

Here ∂ denotes the codimension 1 boundary. The degree of (η, σ) in

$$\tilde{C}_*(\text{Hom}_+(C_{2r+1}, K_n))$$

is given by

$$\deg(\eta, \sigma) = |\sigma| - 1 + \sum_{v \in V(C_{2r+1}), |\eta(v)| \neq 0} (|\eta(v)| - 1) = \deg \eta + |\sigma| - |\vartheta(\sigma)|.$$

\mathbb{Z}_2 acts on $\tilde{C}_*(\text{Hom}_+(C_{2r+1}, K_n))$ and we let $\tilde{C}_*^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\text{Hom}_+(C_{2r+1}, K_n))$ denote its subcomplex consisting of the invariant chains. By construction of the subdivision, $\tilde{C}_*^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\text{Hom}_+(C_{2r+1}, K_n))$ is a chain complex for a triangulation of the space $\text{Hom}_+(C_{2r+1}, K_n)/\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Just like before, we consider the natural filtration $(\tilde{F}_{-1} \subseteq \tilde{F}_0 \subseteq \dots)$ on the chain complex $\tilde{C}_*^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\text{Hom}_+(C_{2r+1}, K_n))$ by the cardinality of σ . This time, for any k

$$\tilde{F}_k/\tilde{F}_{k-1} = C_* \left(\coprod_{\sigma} (\text{Hom}(G[\vartheta(\sigma)], K_n)/\mathbb{Z}_2) \coprod_{\tau} \text{Hom}(G[\vartheta(\tau)], K_n) \right) [k],$$

where the first coproduct is taken over all $\sigma \in \tilde{\Delta}_{2r}$ which are \mathbb{Z}_2 -invariant, and the second coproduct is taken over all $\tau \in \tilde{\Delta}_{2r}$ which are not \mathbb{Z}_2 -invariant. In addition $|\sigma| = |\tau| = k + 1$ is required.

4. THE SPECTRAL SEQUENCES AND THE PROOF OF THE LOVÁSZ CONJECTURE

We shall show that

$$(4.1) \quad (\tilde{\iota}_{K_n})_{n-2} : H_{n-2}(\mathrm{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n)/\mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H_{n-2}(\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, K_n)/\mathbb{Z}_2)$$

is a 0-map, where the homology is taken with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_2 . Here $\iota : K_2 \hookrightarrow C_{2r+1}$ is either of the two \mathbb{Z}_2 -equivariant inclusion maps which take the vertices of K_2 to $\{a_r, b_r\}$. It induces a \mathbb{Z}_2 -equivariant map $\iota_{K_n} : \mathrm{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(K_2, K_n)$, and hence also the quotient map

$$\tilde{\iota}_{K_n} : \mathrm{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(K_2, K_n)/\mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Note that if $(\tilde{\iota}_{K_n})_{n-2}$ is a 0-map, then so is

$$(\tilde{\iota}_{K_n})^{n-2} : H^{n-2}(\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, K_n)/\mathbb{Z}_2; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^{n-2}(\mathrm{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n)/\mathbb{Z}_2; \mathbb{Z}_2),$$

since we are working over a field. By the functoriality of the Stiefel-Whitney classes, this implies that $\varpi_1^{n-2}(\mathrm{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n)) = 0$, which is a crucial fact for our proof of Lovász Conjecture. We shall sketch the full computation for the case of n odd. For even n the Lovász Conjecture follows already from (4.2); see the discussion following (4.2).

The E^1 -tableau of the first spectral sequence is given by $E_{d,s}^1 = H_d(F_s, F_{s-1})$. From our remarks concerning this filtration it follows that each $E_{d,s}^1$ is a direct sum of the appropriate homology groups of $\mathrm{Hom}(H, K_n)$, where H is a subgraph of C_{2r+1} . Since all proper subgraphs of C_{2r+1} are forests, we know the first tableau, except for the $(2r)$ th row, by Corollary 2.5. It can be shown (we omit the technical details) that the only part of the spectral sequence which is relevant for the computation of the homology groups of $\mathrm{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n)$ up to dimension $n - 2$, consists of two chain complexes:

$$D_*^0 : E_{0,0}^1 \rightarrow E_{1,1}^1 \rightarrow E_{2,2}^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_{2r-1,2r-1}^1$$

and

$$D_*^1 : E_{n-2,0}^1 \rightarrow E_{n-1,1}^1 \rightarrow E_{n,2}^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_{n-2+2r-1,2r-1}^1,$$

where all the differentials are d^1 from the spectral sequence. To start with, D_*^0 is isomorphic to the chain complex of the $(2r - 1)$ -skeleton of the $(2r)$ -simplex Δ_{2r} , thus having only the homology in dimensions 0 and $2r - 1$. We can conclude that $E_{0,0}^2 = \mathbb{Z}$, while $E_{1,1}^2 = E_{2,2}^2 = \dots = E_{2r,2r}^2 = 0$, hence $E_{2r,2r}^1 = \mathbb{Z}$.

The analysis of D_*^1 is a little more interesting. By taking the induced subgraphs, we identify subsets of $V(C_{2r+1})$ with collections of arcs on a circle (some arcs of length 1), such that every two arcs are separated by at least one vertex. The detailed analysis using Corollary 2.5 shows that the generators of the vector spaces in D_*^1 can be indexed with pairs (S, A) , where $S \subseteq V(C_{2r+1})$, and A a connected component of $C_{2r+1}[S]$ with at least one edge. We call such components *arcs*, and we call this specific A a *marked arc*. The dimension of (S, A) is $|S|$. The boundary of (S, A) is the sum (with appropriate signs) over all pairs obtained by deleting an element of S . One can work out that, if the deleted element is not in A , then the arc remains the same, while a deleted element which is in A contributes to the

boundary a sum of two pairs, depending on which piece of A is taken as a new arc, where the pieces of length 1 give 0 contribution.

We can now filter D_*^1 by the length of the marked arc A . By the previous argument, the relative chain complexes will split into subcomplexes each corresponding to a particular marked arc. All these subcomplexes are acyclic (they are reduced chain complexes of simplices) except for two, namely, those corresponding to $S = V(C_{2r+1}) \setminus \{c\}$ and $S = V(C_{2r+1}) \setminus \{a_r, b_r\}$.

The complete computation of the first differential shows that

$$(4.2) \quad H_i(\text{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n); \mathbb{Z}_2) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2, & \text{if } i = 0, n-3, n-2, \\ 0, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-4. \end{cases}$$

Additionally, one can see that $H_i(\text{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, for $1 \leq i \leq n-4$, and $H_{n-2}(\text{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n); \mathbb{Z}) = H_{n-3}(\text{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, for n odd, while $H_{n-2}(\text{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, $H_{n-3}(\text{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$, for n even.

We remark that from this computation Lovász Conjecture follows easily in the case of n even. The essence is to show that there is no \mathbb{Z}_2 -map of S^{n-2} into $\text{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n)$. If this map existed, then, combined with the canonical map $\text{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_2, K_n)$, induced by $K_2 \hookrightarrow C_{2r+1}$, it would yield a \mathbb{Z}_2 -map of degree 0 of S^{n-2} into itself, which is impossible.

We assume for the rest of the paper that n is odd. In this case one can show that the \mathbb{Z}_2 -action on $H_{n-3}(\text{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n); \mathbb{Z})$ is always multiplication by -1 .

The E^1 -tableau of the second spectral sequence is given by $E_{d,s}^1 = H_d(\tilde{F}_s, \tilde{F}_{s-1})$. This time each $E_{d,s}^1$ splits as a vector space over \mathbb{Z}_2 into direct sums of

$$H_i(\text{Hom}(H, K_n); \mathbb{Z}_2),$$

where H is an induced subgraph of C_{2r+1} , and of $\text{Hom}(H, K_n)/\mathbb{Z}_2$, where H is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -invariant induced subgraph of C_{2r+1} . The detailed analysis of the first tableau of this spectral sequence is rather technical and will appear elsewhere. We sketch here the basic steps of the argument.

(1) We single out the generator ρ in $E_{n-2+r,r}^1$ which is the contribution of $\{c, c_1, \dots, c_r\}$. Let $\tilde{E}_{n-2+r,r}^1$ denote the part of $E_{n-2+r,r}^1$ which is spanned by the rest of the generators.

(2) Analysis of the boundary of ρ shows that if $(\tilde{\ell}_{K_n})_{n-2}$ is not a 0-map, then the rank of $d^1 : E_{n-2+r,r}^1 \rightarrow E_{n-3+r,r-1}^1$ is one higher than the rank of $\tilde{d}^1 : \tilde{E}_{n-2+r,r}^1 \rightarrow E_{n-3+r,r-1}^1$. This is because the boundary of other generators of $E_{n-2+r,r}^1$ does not contain the generator in $E_{n-3+r,r-1}^1$ indexed by $\{c_1, \dots, c_r\}$. Indeed, the only place for it to be would be in the boundary of the generators indexed by $\{x, c_1, \dots, c_r\}$, where $x = a_i$ or $x = b_i$, but omitting x is a double covering map, which is a 0-map on the \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology.

(3) The differential $d^1 : E_{n-2+r,r+1}^1 \rightarrow E_{n-3+r,r}^1$ is a 0-map, because q_{n-3} in (4.3) below is a 0-map.

(4) The chain complex

$$E_{n-2+r,r+1}^1 \rightarrow E_{n-1+r,r+2}^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_{n-3+2r,2r}^1$$

is a chain complex of $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ over \mathbb{Z}_2 . Hence $E_{n-2+r,r+1}^2 = \mathbb{Z}_2$, and so $d^2 : E_{n-2+r,r+1}^2 \rightarrow E_{n-3+r,r-1}^2$ has rank 1.

(5) By means of technical computations we can show that the sequence

$$E_{n-4+r,r-2}^1 \rightarrow E_{n-3+r,r-1}^1 \rightarrow \widetilde{E}_{n-2+r,r}^1$$

has homology \mathbb{Z}_2 in the middle term. Altogether, this shows equation (4.1).

It remains to see that

$$(4.3) \quad q_{n-3} : H_{n-3}(\mathrm{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n); \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H_{n-3}(\mathrm{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n)/\mathbb{Z}_2; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

is a 0-map.

Proof of (4.3). First let us see (4.3) over integers. Take

$$\zeta \in H_{n-3}(\mathrm{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n); \mathbb{Z}).$$

By our previous computations $\gamma^{K_n}(\zeta) = -\zeta$, this means that $q_{n-3}(\gamma^{K_n}(\zeta)) = -q_{n-3}(\zeta)$. On the other hand, q_{n-3} commutes with the \mathbb{Z}_2 -action, that is

$$q_{n-3}(\gamma^{K_n}(\zeta)) = q_{n-3}(\zeta),$$

which yields $q_{n-3}(\zeta) = 0$.

Second, by the universal coefficient theorem the map

$$\tau : H_{n-3}(\mathrm{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow H_{n-3}(C_*(\mathrm{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

is injective and functorial. In our concrete situation, this map is also surjective, hence the claim follows from the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{n-3}(\mathrm{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 & \xrightarrow{0\text{-map}} & H_{n-3}(\mathrm{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n)/\mathbb{Z}_2; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ \tau \downarrow \text{iso} & & \downarrow \\ H_{n-3}(\mathrm{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n); \mathbb{Z}_2) & \xrightarrow{q_{n-3}} & H_{n-3}(\mathrm{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_n)/\mathbb{Z}_2; \mathbb{Z}_2) \quad \square \end{array}$$

Proof of the Lovász Conjecture. If the graph G is $(k+3)$ -colorable, then there exists a homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow K_{k+3}$. It induces a \mathbb{Z}_2 -equivariant map $\phi^{C_{2r+1}} : \mathrm{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_{k+3})$. Since the Stiefel-Whitney classes are functorial and $\varpi_1^{k+1}(\mathrm{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, K_{k+3})) = 0$, the existence of the map $\phi^{C_{2r+1}}$ implies that $\varpi_1^{k+1}(\mathrm{Hom}(C_{2r+1}, G)) = 0$, which is a contradiction to the assumption of the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Kneser, Aufgabe 300, *Jber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein.* **58** (1955).
- [2] L. Lovász, *Kneser's conjecture, chromatic number, and homotopy*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **25** (1978), no. 3, 319–324. MR **81g**:05059
- [3] D. Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory I*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 341, Springer-Verlag, 1973, pp. 77–139. MR **49**:2895
- [4] G. M. Ziegler, *Generalized Kneser coloring theorems with combinatorial proofs*, Invent. Math. **147** (2002), 671–691. MR **2003d**:05088

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON
E-mail address: babson@math.washington.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN
E-mail address: kozlov@math.kth.se
Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Bern, Switzerland
E-mail address: kozlov@math-stat.unibe.ch