

## CLASSIFICATION OF ADMISSIBLE NILPOTENT ORBITS IN SIMPLE REAL LIE ALGEBRAS $E_{6(6)}$ AND $E_{6(-26)}$

ALFRED G. NOËL

ABSTRACT. This paper completes the classification of admissible nilpotent orbits of the noncompact simple exceptional real Lie algebras. The author has previously determined such orbits for exceptional real simple Lie algebras of inner type. Here he uses the same techniques, with some modifications, to classify the admissible nilpotent orbits of  $E_{6(6)}$  and  $E_{6(-26)}$  under their simply connected Lie groups.

### INTRODUCTION

The admissible nilpotent orbits of real simple Lie algebras are now classified. For classical Lie algebras the classification was achieved by J. Schwartz [Sch] and T. Ohta [O] in 1987 and 1991 respectively. The author has, recently, determined such orbits for real exceptional Lie algebras of inner type [No1]. This paper completes the classification. The general approach is the following: the problem of determining admissible nilpotent orbits of a simple real Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with Lie group  $G$  is translated into that of classifying admissible nilpotent orbits of the complex symmetric space  $\mathfrak{p}_c$  attached to  $\mathfrak{g}$ . This is made possible by the Kostant-Sekiguchi bijection between real  $G$  nilpotent orbits on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and complex  $K_c$ -nilpotent orbits on  $\mathfrak{p}_c$ , where  $K_c$  is a connected subgroup of  $G_c$ , the complexification of  $G$  [Se], and by the fact that the bijection preserves admissibility of associated orbits [Sch]. We add that Monica Nevins [Ne] has determined such orbits for classical  $p$ -adic Lie groups. She has also computed admissible orbits of some simple real exceptional Lie groups using methods from  $p$ -adic groups. The study of these orbits is important because they seem to be good candidates for which a general method for quantization, as predicted by the Orbit method, could be established. The reader may consult [K], [A-K], [D], [V], [V1] for more information.

### CLASSIFICATION ADMISSIBLE ORBITS OF $E_{6(6)}$ AND $E_{6(-26)}$

Because of Schwartz's results we will deal only with the admissibility of nilpotent orbits in symmetric spaces. For more details the reader may consult our paper on the admissibility of nilpotent orbits of exceptional real Lie algebras of inner type [No1].

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a real semisimple Lie algebra with adjoint group  $G$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  its complexification. Also let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$  be a Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Finally, let  $\theta$  be the

---

Received by the editors May 18, 2001 and, in revised form, August 17, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 17B20, 17B70.

*Key words and phrases*. Admissible, nilpotent orbits, reductive Lie algebras.

corresponding Cartan involution of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\sigma$  be the conjugation of  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$  with regard to  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}$  where  $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}$  are obtained by complexifying  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  respectively. Denote by  $K_\mathbb{C}$  the connected subgroup of the adjoint group  $G_\mathbb{C}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ , with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}$ .

A triple  $(x, e, f)$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$  is called a standard triple if  $[x, e] = 2e$ ,  $[x, f] = -2f$  and  $[e, f] = x$ . If  $x \in \mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}$ ,  $e$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}$ , then  $(x, e, f)$  is a normal triple. It is a result of Kostant and Rallis [K-R] that any nilpotent  $e$  of  $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}$  can be embedded in a standard normal triple  $(x, e, f)$ . Moreover,  $e$  is  $K_\mathbb{C}$ -conjugate to a nilpotent  $e'$  inside of a normal triple  $(x', e', f')$  with  $\sigma(e') = f'$  [Se]. The triple  $(x', e', f')$  will be called a *Kostant-Sekiguchi* or KS-triple. From the representation theory of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$  has the following eigenspace decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}^{(j)} \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}^{(j)} = \{z \in \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C} \mid [x, z] = jz\}.$$

Similarly we have

$$\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^{(j)} \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^{(j)} = \{z \in \mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C} \mid [x, z] = jz\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^{(j)} \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^{(j)} = \{z \in \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C} \mid [x, z] = jz\}.$$

Moreover, the centralizers of  $e$  in  $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}$  decompose as

$$\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^e = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^e \cap \mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^{(j)}) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} (\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^e \cap \mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^{(j)})$$

and

$$\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^e = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^e \cap \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^{(j)}) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} (\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^e \cap \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^{(j)}).$$

Maintaining the above notation, let  $e$  be nonzero nilpotent in  $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}$ . Then  $K_\mathbb{C}^e$ , the centralizer of  $e$  in  $K_\mathbb{C}$ , acts as  $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^e$ . Define the character  $\delta_e$  of  $K_\mathbb{C}^e$  as

$$\delta_e(g) = (\det(g|_{\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^e}))^{-1} \quad g \in K_\mathbb{C}^e.$$

**Definition [V1].** A representation  $\chi$  of  $K_\mathbb{C}^e$  is said to be admissible if its differential is half the differential of  $\delta_e$ . The nilpotent  $e$  is admissible if  $K_\mathbb{C}^e$  has at least one admissible representation.

Takuya Ohta [O] shows that  $\delta_e$  acts trivially on the unipotent part of  $K_\mathbb{C}^e$  and gives an explicit description of the differential of  $\delta_e$  on the reductive centralizer  $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^{(x,e,f)}$  as follows:

**Lemma 1 (Ohta).** *Suppose that  $\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}$  is a toral subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}$  containing  $x$ . Then the centralizer  $\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}^e$  of  $e$  in  $\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}$  is a toral subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}^{(x,e,f)}$  and  $d\delta_e$  on  $\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}^e$  is given by*

$$d\delta_e(t) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \text{tr}(ad(t)|_{\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^i}) - \sum_{i \geq 2} \text{tr}(ad(t)|_{\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^i}) = - \sum_{i \geq 1} \text{tr}(ad(t)|_{\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^i}) + \sum_{i \geq 2} \text{tr}(ad(t)|_{\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^i}).$$

We shall modify the above expression in order to define  $d\delta_e$  in terms of imaginary roots only. Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a fundamental Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$ , where

$\mathfrak{t}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{s} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . We have the following decompositions in the root spaces of  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$  generated by the roots of  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C} &= \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \text{ compact imaginary}} \mathbb{C}X_\alpha \oplus \sum_{(\alpha, \theta\alpha) \text{ complex pairs}} \mathbb{C}(X_\alpha + \theta(X_\alpha)), \\ \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C} &= \mathfrak{s}_\mathbb{C} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \text{ noncompact imaginary}} \mathbb{C}X_\alpha \oplus \sum_{(\alpha, \theta\alpha) \text{ complex pairs}} \mathbb{C}(X_\alpha - \theta(X_\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $X_\alpha$  is a nonzero vector of the root space  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}^\alpha$ . An imaginary root  $\alpha$  is compact (noncompact) if its root space  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}^\alpha$  lies in  $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}$  ( $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}$ ). See ([Kn]) for more details.

From the above expression we see that

$$2d\delta_e(t) = 2\left\{ \sum_{i \geq 2} \text{tr}(ad(t)|_{\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^i}) - \sum_{i \geq 2} \text{tr}(ad(t)|_{\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^i}) \right\} + \text{tr}(ad(t)|_{\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^1}) - \text{tr}(ad(t)|_{\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^1}).$$

It follows that the contribution of the complex pairs cancels out. Therefore we only need to evaluate imaginary roots on  $t \in \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}^e$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_i &:= \{\alpha \text{ compact imaginary: } \alpha(x) = i\}, \\ \Psi_i &:= \{\beta \text{ noncompact imaginary: } \beta(x) = i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$2d\delta_e(t) = 2\left\{ \sum_{i \geq 2} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_i} \alpha(t) - \sum_{\beta \in \Psi_i} \beta(t) \right) \right\} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_1} \alpha(t) - \sum_{\beta \in \Psi_1} \beta(t).$$

This is the version of  $d\delta_e$  that we shall use below. We will use the following lemma to decide admissibility of nilpotent orbits.

**Lemma 2** (Ohta). *Let  $\mathfrak{t}_1$  be a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^{(x,e,f)}$  and  $T_1$  the corresponding connected subgroup of  $(K_\mathbb{C}^{(x,e,f)})_0$  the identity component of  $K_\mathbb{C}^{(x,e,f)}$ . Then  $e$  is admissible if and only if there exists a character,  $\chi$ , of  $T_1$  such that  $\delta_e(g) = (\chi(g))^2$  for all  $g \in T_1$ .*

Our strategy will be to find a maximal torus  $\mathfrak{t}_1$  in  $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^{(x,e,f)}$  and to compute  $d\delta_e(t)$  where  $t$  is a generic element of  $\mathfrak{t}_1$ . If  $\frac{1}{2}\delta_e$  is integral, that is, exponentiates to a character of  $T_1$ , then  $e$  is admissible.

Since all even nilpotents and all “noticed” nilpotents, that is, nilpotents  $e$  for which  $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^{(x,e,f)} = 0$  [No], are admissible ([Sch], [O]) we will study only non-even and non-noticed nilpotent orbits.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C} = E_6$  and  $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_6\}$  the Bourbaki simple roots of  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ . Define an involution  $\theta$  on  $\Delta$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\alpha_1) &= \alpha_6 & \theta(\alpha_2) &= \alpha_2 & \theta(\alpha_3) &= \alpha_5, \\ \theta(\alpha_4) &= \alpha_4 & \theta(\alpha_5) &= \alpha_3 & \theta(\alpha_6) &= \alpha_1. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we require that:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(X_{\alpha_1}) &= X_{\alpha_6} & \theta(X_{\alpha_2}) &= X_{\alpha_2} & \theta(X_{\alpha_3}) &= X_{\alpha_5}, \\ \theta(X_{\alpha_4}) &= X_{\alpha_4} & \theta(X_{\alpha_5}) &= X_{\alpha_3} & \theta(X_{\alpha_6}) &= X_{\alpha_1}. \end{aligned}$$

It is well known ([Kn]) that  $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}$  is of type  $F_4$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}$  is the symmetric space associated to real form  $E_{6(-26)}$  of  $E_6$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}$  be the Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$

associated with the root system generated by  $\Delta$ . Then  $\mathfrak{t}_c = \mathfrak{h}_c \cap \mathfrak{k}_c$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{k}_c$ . The simple roots of  $(\mathfrak{k}_c, \mathfrak{t}_c)$  are

$$\beta_1 = \alpha_2 \quad \beta_2 = \alpha_4 \quad \beta_3 = \frac{\alpha_3 + \alpha_5}{2} \quad \beta_4 = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_6}{2}.$$

From Djoković [D1] we know that  $E_{6(-26)}$  has exactly two nilpotent orbits of which only the minimal one is not even. There are no noticed orbits.

**Theorem 1.** *The nilpotent orbits of  $E_{6(-26)}$  are admissible.*

*Proof.* Since the trivial and the even maximal orbit are admissible, it suffices to show that the minimal orbit is also admissible. From Schwartz’s theorem it is equivalent to show that the minimal orbit of  $K_c$  on  $\mathfrak{p}_c$  is admissible. First we compute the neutral element associated with the orbit. Using the label in [D1] we solve the following system of equations:  $\beta_1(x) = 0, \beta_2(x) = 0, \beta_3(x) = 0, \beta_4(x) = 1$ . We obtain  $x = 2H_{\beta_1} + 4H_{\beta_2} + 3H_{\beta_3} + 2H_{\beta_4}$  where  $H_{\beta_i} \in \mathfrak{t}_c$  denotes the coroot of  $\beta_i$ . In the  $\Delta$  basis  $x = 2H_{\alpha_1} + 2H_{\alpha_2} + 3H_{\alpha_3} + 4H_{\alpha_4} + 3H_{\alpha_5} + 2H_{\alpha_6}$ . The nilpotent is

$$\begin{aligned} e &= X_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+2\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6} - \theta X_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+2\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6} \\ &= X_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+2\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6} + \epsilon X_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+2\alpha_4+2\alpha_5+\alpha_6} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\epsilon = \pm 1$  in a given Chevalley basis and

$$f = X_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+2\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6)} + \epsilon X_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+2\alpha_4+2\alpha_5+\alpha_6)}.$$

We can find  $\mathfrak{t}_c^1 = \mathbb{C}H_{\alpha_2} \oplus \mathbb{C}H_{\alpha_4} \oplus \mathbb{C}(H_{\alpha_3} + H_{\alpha_5})$ , a maximal torus of  $\mathfrak{k}_c^{(x,e,f)}$  which is of type  $B_3$ . To compute  $2d\delta_e$  we use the appropriate subset of compact imaginary roots given in Table 1. There are no noncompact imaginary roots. A computation shows that  $d\delta_e$  acts trivially on  $\mathfrak{t}_c^1$ . Hence  $\delta_e$  has a square root and  $e$  is admissible.  $\square$

TABLE 1. Compact imaginary roots of  $E_{6(-26)}$

1.	$\pm\alpha_2$
2.	$\pm\alpha_4$
3.	$\pm(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4)$
4.	$\pm(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)$
5.	$\pm(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)$
6.	$\pm(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_5)$
7.	$\pm(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)$
8.	$\pm(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)$
9.	$\pm(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)$
10.	$\pm(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)$
11.	$\pm(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 3\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)$
12.	$\pm(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 3\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)$

Maintaining the above notation, define  $\beta_0 = \beta_1 + 2\beta_2 + 3\beta_3 + 2\beta_4$ . Then roots  $-\beta_0, \beta_4, \beta_3, \beta_2$  form a fundamental root system  $\Delta'$  of type  $C_4$ . There is a unique involution  $\theta'$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  which is equivalent to  $\theta$  except that  $\theta'(X_{\alpha_2}) = -X_{\alpha_2}$  which makes  $\alpha_2$  a noncompact imaginary root. See Djoković [D1] for more details. We shall refer to  $\theta'$  as  $\theta$ . Thus we have a new Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with the new

Cartan involution  $\theta$ . In this new decomposition  $\mathfrak{k}_c$  is of type  $C_4$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_c$  is the symmetric space associated with the split real form  $E_{6(6)}$  of  $E_6$  and  $\Delta'$  generates a root system for  $\mathfrak{k}_c$ . Furthermore, the new involution retains  $\mathfrak{k}_c$  as Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{k}_c$  (see [D1] page 199 for more details).

The following two tables contain the compact and noncompact imaginary roots of  $E_{6(6)}$ . Observe that  $\theta$  define the same Vogan diagram given in [Kn] on page 361 where Proposition 6.104 allows us to decide which imaginary roots are compact or noncompact.

TABLE 2. Compact imaginary roots of  $E_{6(6)}$ 

1.	$\pm\alpha_4$
2.	$\pm(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)$
3.	$\pm(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)$
4.	$\pm(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 3\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)$

TABLE 3. Noncompact imaginary roots of  $E_{6(6)}$ 

1.	$\pm\alpha_2$
2.	$\pm(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4)$
3.	$\pm(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)$
4.	$\pm(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_5)$
5.	$\pm(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)$
6.	$\pm(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)$
7.	$\pm(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)$
8.	$\pm(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 3\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + \alpha_6)$

**Structure constants and the action of  $\theta$ .** We shall need a Chevalley Basis for  $E_6$  where the action of  $\theta$  on a root vector  $X_\alpha$  is made precise. We shall sketch the construction of the Chevalley basis. For more information the reader may consult the papers of Djoković [D1] and Kurtzke [Ku]. Let  $N = 36$  be the number of positive roots of  $E_6$  in the root system  $\Phi$  generated by  $\Delta$ . Then  $\theta$  is an involutory permutation of the roots in  $\Phi$ . Here  $\theta(H_\alpha) = H_{\theta(\alpha)}$  where  $H_\alpha$  is the coroot of  $\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$ . For any two nonzero root vectors  $X_\alpha$  and  $X_\beta$  we require:

- (i)  $[X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}] = H_\alpha$ ,
- (ii)  $[X_\alpha, X_\beta] = c_{\alpha+\beta}X_{\alpha+\beta}$ ,  $c_{\alpha+\beta} = 0$  if  $\alpha + \beta \notin \Phi$ ; otherwise, we have  $c_{\alpha+\beta} = \epsilon(\alpha, \beta)(q + 1)$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are the largest non-negative integers such that  $\beta + p\alpha$ ,  $\beta - q\alpha \in \Phi$  and  $\epsilon(\alpha, \beta) = \pm 1$ . The  $H_\alpha$ 's and the  $X_\alpha$ 's form a basis of  $E_6$ . All  $[X_\alpha, X_\beta]$  are determined up to  $\epsilon(\alpha, \beta)$ .

There is a natural ordering of the positive roots of  $E_6$  which can be described as follows:

$$\alpha_i \lesssim \alpha_j \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq 6.$$

For  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^6 k_i \alpha_i$  define  $\text{height}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^6 k_i$ . We can extend the previous ordering on the set of positive roots  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{N-1}, \alpha_N\}$  by requiring that

$$\text{height}(\alpha_i) \leq \text{height}(\alpha_j) \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq N.$$

As shown by J. F. Kurtzke [Ku], if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are positive roots, then we can choose:

- (iii)  $\epsilon(\alpha, \beta) = 1 \iff \alpha \succ \beta$ ,
- (iv)  $\epsilon(\alpha, \beta) = -\epsilon(\beta, \gamma)$  whenever  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are consecutively linked in the Bourbaki Dynkin diagram [Bo].

We specify  $\epsilon(\alpha_1, \alpha_3) = 1$ . Then all other  $\epsilon(\alpha, \beta)$  are uniquely determined by (iii) and (iv) (See [Ku], [D2]). We use the tables in Djoković [D2] to compute  $c_{\alpha, \beta}$ . Furthermore, we can define:

(v)  $\theta X_\alpha = \xi(\alpha) X_{\theta(\alpha)}$ .

Since  $\xi(\alpha_i) = 1$  for  $\alpha = 1, 3, 4, 5, 6$  and  $\xi(\alpha_2) = -1$ , we can compute all  $\xi(\alpha)$ 's using the fact that  $\xi(-\alpha) = \xi(\alpha)$  and if  $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$ , then (see [D2])

(1)  $\xi(\gamma) c_{\alpha, \beta} = \xi(\alpha) \xi(\beta) c_{\theta(\alpha), \theta(\beta)}$ .

We can now proceed with classification.

**Theorem 2.** *All the non-even non-noticed nilpotent orbits of the algebra  $E_{6(6)}$  under its simply connected group are admissible.*

*Proof.* See Table 4 below. □

The admissible nonzero, non-even and non-noticed nilpotent orbits of  $E_{6(6)}$  are listed in Table 4. The technique used is that of the proof of Theorem 1. Here we used subsets of the roots given in Tables 2 and 3 to compute  $2d\delta_e$ . Since the adjoint group of  $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}$  is not simply connected, we used the weight space of  $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}$  to search for a possible square root for  $\delta_e$  when necessary. In table 4, for each orbit of  $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}$  we give Djokovic's label and the normal triple  $(x, e, f)$ ; we do not need to write  $f$  down because we can deduce it from  $e$  by replacing every root vector  $X_\beta$  by  $X_{-\beta}$ . The maximal torus  $\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}^1$  and the value of  $d\delta_e(z) = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i z_i$ , where  $r = \text{rank of } K_\mathbb{C}^{(x,e,f)}$ , are also given. If  $e$  is not admissible under the adjoint group but is admissible under the simply connected cover, we say that  $e$  is **sc-admissible**.

The fundamental weights of  $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}$  are  $\lambda_1 = -\beta_0 + \beta_4 + \beta_3 + \beta_2/2$ ,  $\lambda_2 = -\beta_0 + 2\beta_4 + 2\beta_3 + \beta_2$ ,  $\lambda_3 = -\beta_0 + 2\beta_4 + 3\beta_3 + 3\beta_2/2$  and  $\lambda_4 = -\beta_0 + 2\beta_4 + 3\beta_3 + 2\beta_2$ . The character  $\chi$  is the differential of a square root of  $\delta_e$ .

*Remark.* The only time the Chevalley basis in Table 4 is needed in a non-trivial manner is in the computation associated with orbit #8. In that case the calculations which show that  $[e, f] = x$  are more involved. We shall explain below.

The nilpotent orbit #8 is represented by

$$e = X_\alpha + X_\beta - \theta X_\alpha - \theta X_\beta + X_\gamma$$

where

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \quad \beta = -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4, \quad \gamma = -\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - 2\alpha_4 - \alpha_5.$$

Hence

$$\theta(\alpha) = \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6, \quad \theta(\beta) = -\alpha_2 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5 - \alpha_6, \quad \theta(\gamma) = \gamma.$$

TABLE 4.

1.	0001	$\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(x,e,f)} \simeq A_3$ $x = -H_{\alpha_2}$ , $e = X_{-\alpha_2}$ $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 = \mathbb{C}(H_{\alpha_1} + H_{\alpha_6}) \oplus \mathbb{C}(H_{\alpha_3} + H_{\alpha_5}) \oplus \mathbb{C}(H_{\alpha_2} + 2H_{\alpha_4})$ $d\delta_e(z) = 0$ <b>admissible</b> special
2.	0100	$\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(x,e,f)} \simeq 3A_1$ $x = -H_{\alpha_2} - H_{\alpha_3} - H_{\alpha_4} - H_{\alpha_5}$ , $e = X_{-\alpha_2-\alpha_4-\alpha_5} - \theta X_{-\alpha_2-\alpha_4-\alpha_5}$ $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 = \mathbb{C}H_{\alpha_4} \oplus \mathbb{C}(H_{\alpha_3} + H_{\alpha_5}) \oplus \mathbb{C}(H_{\alpha_1} + H_{\alpha_2} + H_{\alpha_6})$ $d\delta_e(z) = 0$ <b>admissible</b> special
3.	1001	$\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(x,e,f)} \simeq A_1 + T1$ $x = -H_{\alpha_1} - 3H_{\alpha_2} - 2H_{\alpha_3} - 3H_{\alpha_4} - 2H_{\alpha_5} - H_{\alpha_6}$ $e = X_{-\alpha_2-\alpha_4-\alpha_5} - \theta X_{-\alpha_2-\alpha_4-\alpha_5} + X_{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2-\alpha_3-\alpha_4-\alpha_5-\alpha_6}$ $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 = \mathbb{C}(H_{\alpha_1} + H_{\alpha_2} + 3H_{\alpha_4} + H_{\alpha_6}) \oplus \mathbb{C}(H_{\alpha_3} + H_{\alpha_5})$ $d\delta_e(z) = z_1$ <b>sc-admissible</b> $\chi = -\lambda_1$ Not special
7.	0102	$\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(x,e,f)} \simeq A_1 + T1$ $x = -4H_{\alpha_2} - H_{\alpha_3} - 2H_{\alpha_4} - H_{\alpha_5}$ $e = \sqrt{3}(X_{\alpha_4+\alpha_5} - \theta X_{\alpha_4+\alpha_5}) + 2X_{-\alpha_2-\alpha_3-2\alpha_4-\alpha_5}$ $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 = \mathbb{C}(H_{\alpha_1} + H_{\alpha_2} + 2H_{\alpha_4} + H_{\alpha_6}) \oplus \mathbb{C}(H_{\alpha_3} + H_{\alpha_5})$ $d\delta_e(z) = 0$ <b>admissible</b> special
8.	0101	$\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(x,e,f)} \simeq A_1$ $x = -3H_{\alpha_2} - H_{\alpha_3} - 2H_{\alpha_4} - H_{\alpha_5}$ $e = X_{-\alpha_2-\alpha_4-\alpha_5-\alpha_6} - \theta X_{-\alpha_2-\alpha_4-\alpha_5-\alpha_6} + X_{-\alpha_2-\alpha_3-2\alpha_4-\alpha_5}$ $\quad + X_{\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6} - \theta X_{\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6}$ $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 = \mathbb{C}(H_{\alpha_1} + H_{\alpha_2} + 2H_{\alpha_3} + 2H_{\alpha_4} + 2H_{\alpha_5} + H_{\alpha_6})$ $d\delta_e(z) = 0$ <b>admissible</b> special
10.	1010	$\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(x,e,f)} \simeq T_1$ $x = -H_{\alpha_1} - 4H_{\alpha_2} - 2H_{\alpha_3} - 4H_{\alpha_4} - 2H_{\alpha_5} - H_{\alpha_6}$ $e = X_{\alpha_1+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5} - \theta X_{\alpha_1+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5}$ $\quad + \sqrt{2}(X_{-\alpha_2-\alpha_3-\alpha_4-\alpha_5} + X_{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-\alpha_3-2\alpha_4-\alpha_5-\alpha_6})$ $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 = \mathbb{C}(H_{\alpha_1} - 2H_{\alpha_4} + H_{\alpha_6})$ $d\delta_e(z) = 0$ <b>admissible</b> special
11.	1101	$\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(x,e,f)} \simeq T_1$ $x = -H_{\alpha_1} - 5H_{\alpha_2} - 3H_{\alpha_3} - 5H_{\alpha_4} - 3H_{\alpha_5} - H_{\alpha_6}$ $e = \sqrt{2}(X_{\alpha_1+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5} - \theta X_{\alpha_1+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5} + X_{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2-2\alpha_3-2\alpha_4-\alpha_5}$ $\quad - \theta X_{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2-2\alpha_3-2\alpha_4-\alpha_5}) + X_{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-\alpha_3-\alpha_4-\alpha_5-\alpha_6}$ $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 = \mathbb{C}(H_{\alpha_1} + H_{\alpha_2} + H_{\alpha_3} + 5H_{\alpha_4} + H_{\alpha_5} + H_{\alpha_6})$ $d\delta_e(z) = 2z_1$ <b>admissible</b> Not special
14.	1211	$\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(x,e,f)} \simeq T_1$ $x = -H_{\alpha_1} - 9H_{\alpha_2} - 4H_{\alpha_3} - 8H_{\alpha_4} - 4H_{\alpha_5} - H_{\alpha_6}$ $e = 2\sqrt{2}(X_{\alpha_1} - \theta X_{\alpha_1}) + \sqrt{5}(X_{\alpha_3+\alpha_4} - \theta X_{\alpha_3+\alpha_4})$ $\quad + 3X_{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-\alpha_3-2\alpha_4-\alpha_5-\alpha_6}$ $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 = \mathbb{C}(H_{\alpha_1} + H_{\alpha_2} + 2H_{\alpha_3} + 2H_{\alpha_4} + 2H_{\alpha_5} + H_{\alpha_6})$ $d\delta_e(z) = z_1$ <b>sc-admissible</b> $\chi = \lambda_3$ Not special
15.	1011	$\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(x,e,f)} \simeq T_1$ $x = -H_{\alpha_1} - 5H_{\alpha_2} - 2H_{\alpha_3} - 4H_{\alpha_4} - 2H_{\alpha_5} - H_{\alpha_6}$ $e = \sqrt{3}(X_{\alpha_1+\alpha_3+\alpha_4} - \theta X_{\alpha_1+\alpha_3+\alpha_4}) + 2X_{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-\alpha_3-2\alpha_4-\alpha_5-\alpha_6}$ $\quad + X_{-\alpha_2-\alpha_3-2\alpha_4-\alpha_5}$ $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 = \mathbb{C}(H_{\alpha_1} + H_{\alpha_2} + 2H_{\alpha_3} + 2H_{\alpha_4} + 2H_{\alpha_5} + H_{\alpha_6})$ $d\delta_e(z) = z_1$ <b>sc-admissible</b> $\chi = \lambda_3$ Not special

Now

$$\begin{aligned} [e, f] &= H_\alpha + H_\beta + H_{\theta(\alpha)} + H_{\theta(\beta)} + H_\gamma \\ &\quad + [X_\alpha, -\theta(X_{-\beta})] + [X_\beta, -\theta(X_{-\alpha})] \\ &\quad + [-\theta(X_\alpha), X_{-\beta}] + [-\theta(X_\beta), X_{-\alpha}]. \end{aligned}$$

All the other brackets are zero. Next we compute the action of  $\theta$  on  $X_\alpha$  and  $X_\beta$ . We know that  $\theta X_\alpha = \xi(\alpha)X_{\theta(\alpha)}$ . Hence we only need to compute  $\xi(\alpha)$  but since  $\alpha = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) + \alpha_4$  we can use formula (1) to find

$$\xi(\alpha) = \frac{\xi(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)\xi(\alpha_4)c_{\alpha_5 + \alpha_6, \alpha_4}}{c_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4}} = \frac{\xi(\alpha_1)\xi(\alpha_3)\xi(\alpha_4)c_{\alpha_5, \alpha_6}c_{\alpha_5 + \alpha_6, \alpha_4}}{c_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4}} = -1.$$

Hence  $\theta(X_\alpha) = -X_{\theta(\alpha)}$ . Similarly one shows that  $\theta(X_\beta) = X_{\theta(\beta)}$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &[X_\alpha, -\theta(X_{-\beta})] + [X_\beta, -\theta(X_{-\alpha})] + [-\theta(X_\alpha), X_{-\beta}] + [-\theta(X_\beta), X_{-\alpha}] \\ &= [X_\alpha, -X_{-\theta(\beta)}] + [X_\beta, +X_{\theta(-\alpha)}] + [X_{\theta(\alpha)}, X_{-\beta}] + [-X_{\theta(\beta)}, X_{-\alpha}] \\ &= -X_\mu + X_\mu + X_{-\mu} - X_{-\mu} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6$ .

Thus we see that  $[e, f] = x$ .

Finally, as a corollary of Theorems 1 and 2 we have:

**Corollary.** *If  $G$  is a simply connected group of type  $E_{6(6)}$  or  $E_{6(-26)}$ , then all the nilpotent orbits of  $G$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  are admissible.*

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The bulk of this work was done while the author was a Martin Luther King visiting professor at M.I.T. during the 2000-2001 academic year. He expresses his deepest gratitude to the M. L. K. professorship committee. He also thanks David Vogan and Donald King for their advice and comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [A-K] L. Auslander and B. Kostant, *Polarization and unitary representations of solvable Lie groups*, Invent. Math. **14** (1971), 255-354. MR **45**:2092
- [Bo] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et Algèbre de Lie, Chapitres 4,5,6*, Elements de mathématique. MASSON (1981). MR **39**:1590
- [D] M. Duflo, *Construction de représentations unitaires d'un groupe de Lie*, Harmonic Analysis and Group Representations. **C.I.M.E.** (1982). MR **87b**:22028
- [D1] D. Djoković, *Classification of nilpotent elements in simple real Lie algebras  $E_{6(6)}$  and  $E_{6(-26)}$  and description of their centralizers*, J. Alg. **116** (1988), 196-207. MR **89k**:17022
- [D2] D. Djoković, *Explicit Cayley triples in real forms of  $G_2$ ,  $F_4$  and  $E_6$* , Pac. J. of Math. **184** (1998), 231-255. MR **99e**:17005
- [K] A. A. Kirillov, *Unitary representations of nilpotent Lie groups*, Russian Math. Surveys **17** (1962), 57-110. MR **25**:5396
- [K-R] B. Kostant and S. Rallis, *Orbits and representations associated with symmetric spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **93** (1971), 753-809. MR **47**:399
- [Kn] A. W. Knap, *Lie Groups Beyond an Introduction*, vol. **140**, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, 1996. MR **98b**:22002
- [Ku] J. F. Kurtzke, *Centers of centralizers in reductive algebraic groups*, Comm. Algebra **19** (1991), no. 12, 3393-3410. MR **92j**:20043
- [Ne] M. Nevins, *Admissible nilpotent coadjoint orbits in the  $p$ -adic reductive groups*, Ph.D. Thesis M.I.T., Cambridge, MA (June 1998).
- [No] A. G. Noël, *Nilpotent orbits and theta-stable parabolic Subalgebras*, Amer. Math. Soc., J. Represent. Theory **2**, (1998), 1-32. MR **99g**:17023

- [No1] A. G. Noël, *Classification of admissible nilpotent orbits in simple exceptional real Lie algebras of inner type*, Amer. Math. Soc., J. Represent, Theory **5** (2001), 455-493.
- [O] T. Ohta, *Classification of admissible nilpotent orbits in the classical real Lie algebras*, J. of Algebra **136**, No. 1 (1991), 290-333. MR **92j**:22032
- [Sch] J. Schwartz, *The determination of the admissible nilpotent orbits in real classical groups*, Ph.D. Thesis, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA (1987).
- [Se] J. Sekiguchi, *Remarks on real nilpotent orbits of a symmetric pair*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **39**, No. 1 (1987), 127-138. MR **88g**:53053
- [V] D. Vogan, Jr., *Unitary representations of reductive groups*, Annals of Mathematical Studies, Princeton University Press Study **118** (1987). MR **89g**:22024
- [V1] D. Vogan, Jr., *Associated varieties and unipotent representations*, Harmonic Analysis on Reductive Groups (1991), 315-388. MR **93k**:22012

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS, BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02125

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

*E-mail address:* [alfred.noel@umb.edu](mailto:alfred.noel@umb.edu)