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#### Abstract

We show that the simplicial stratification associated to a triangulation of a PL pseudomanifold possesses a canonical system of trivializations of link bundles that satisfies a natural compatibility condition over nested singular strata. Consequently, Agustín Vicente and Fernández de Bobadilla's generalization of Banagl's intersection space construction is applicable to all PL pseudomanifolds (and in particular, to all complex algebraic varieties).


## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to show that Agustín Vicente and Fernández de Bobadilla's generalization [1] of Banagl's intersection space construction applies to every triangulated PL pseudomanifold which is equipped with its simplicial stratification and a canonical system of trivializations of link bundles that we construct. Since any complex algebraic variety can be canonically Whitney stratified (and hence triangulated, see [12]), we can therefore assign to it the family of intersection spaces associated to all possible triangulations. The informational content of this family, seen as an invariant of the complex algebraic variety, can be subject to future study.

Many spaces arising in topology and geometry are not manifolds, but have singularities, so that essential tools like classical Poincaré duality on (co)homology are no longer available. To overcome this issue for the important class of stratified pseudomanifolds, Goresky and MacPherson introduced intersection (co)homology groups that depend on an additional parameter called a perversity (see [13 14]). As an important feature of intersection homology theory, they showed that intersection (co)homology groups of stratified pseudomanifolds satisfy a generalized form of Poincaré duality with respect to complementary perversities. More recently, intersection spaces were introduced by Banagl in [3, 4] as a spatial imitation of the intersection chain complex that underlies the definition of intersection homology groups. While the singular homology of intersection spaces is not isomorphic to intersection homology of singular spaces, Banagl showed that both theories are related by mirror symmetry on Calabi-Yau 3-folds.
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The method of intersection spaces assigns generalized reduced Poincaré duality spaces depending on a perversity to certain stratified pseudomanifolds by performing a homotopy theoretic modification in a neighborhood of the singular set. The missing fundamental class of these generalized reduced Poincaré duality spaces has been constructed for certain depth one stratifications (see [17] and [20]). By construction, intersection spaces of stratified spaces depend on the choice of homology truncations (Moore approximations) of (recursively modified) links. Over the rationals, results in the isolated singularities case (see 19) suggest nevertheless that different choices of homology truncations might still result in intersection spaces with weakly equivalent differential graded algebras. In [14, Goresky and MacPherson show that intersection homology of a singular space is independent of the underlying stratification. On the other hand, the Betti numbers of intersection spaces depend in general heavily on the choice of the stratification.

Intersection spaces are rich homotopy theoretic invariants of stratified spaces, and their rational cohomology has been studied from the perspectives of linear algebra [11, sheaf theory [1,6, 9, 10, 18, Sullivan's PL polynomial differential forms [19], smooth differential forms [5], and $L^{2}$ harmonic forms [7]. However, the existence of intersection spaces is generally obstructed, and the obstruction vanishes for example for spaces having one manifold stratum with trivial link budle (see e.g. Banagl-Chriestenson [8]). In [1], Agustín Vicente and Fernández de Bobadilla extended Banagl's construction to make it applicable to stratifications of arbitrary depth with compatibly trivializable link bundles. They adopt a relative viewpoint by providing a recursive construction of intersection space pairs, which are space pairs whose relative cohomology generalizes the cohomology of intersection spaces. Their construction requires as input a stratified space with a trivial conical structure (see Definition (2.8), that is, a choice of a system of trivializations of link bundles satisfying a natural compatibility condition over nested singular strata that is shown in diagram (2.12). So far, only specific classes of stratified pseudomanifolds with trivial link bundles are known to satisfy this compatibility condition, and can hence serve as possible input for the intersection space pair construction of [1]. For instance, toric varieties are known to admit a stratification together with an appropriate system of trivializations of link bundles, which are both naturally induced by the torus action (see [1, Corollary 3.32]). We also point out that any stratified pseudomanifold with trivial link bundles (including the case that all singular strata are contractible, see e.g. Corollary 9.9 in [1]) possesses an intersection space complex, which is constructed in [1] as a sheaf theoretic counterpart of the intersection space pair without requiring the compatibility condition for trivializations of link bundles. However, the intersection space complex is a much weaker invariant than the intersection space pair itself. (For example, it does not capture the rational homotopy type or even the cohomological cup product structure of the intersection space pair.)

In this paper, we show that the intersection space pair construction of [1] applies to every triangulated PL pseudomanifold which is equipped with its simplicial stratification and the canonical system of trivializations of link bundles that we construct. Recall that an $n$-dimensional PL pseudomanifold $X$ is by definition a PL-space such that for some (and hence every) triangulation of $X$ by a simplicial complex, every simplex is contained in an $n$-simplex, and every $(n-1)$-simplex is a face of exactly two $n$-simplices. Moreover, the simplicial stratification associated
to a fixed triangulation of $X$ is given by the filtration

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=X_{n} \supset X_{n-2} \supset \cdots \supset X_{0} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose $i$ th part $X_{i}$ is the union of all closed $i$-simplices of the triangulation. Thus, the singular strata of dimension $i$ are the open $i$-simplices of the triangulation, and the regular part $X \backslash X_{n-2}$ is the union of all open $n$ - and $(n-1)$-simplices. Since the singular strata of a simplicial triangulation are contractible, all link bundles are automatically trivial. In our main result below, we employ the first barycentric subdivision of the given triangulation to construct in a canonical way a system of link bundles and trivializations that give rise to a trivial conical structure. The compatibility condition for the system of trivializations of link bundles will follow essentially from associativity of the join operation.

The main result of this paper, which we prove in Section 4, is the following.
Theorem 1.1. Let $X$ be a PL pseudomanifold. Fix a triangulation $T$ of $X$, and let $\mathcal{X}_{T}$ be the simplicial stratification (1.1) of $X$ associated to $T$. Then, the stratified space $\left(X, \mathcal{X}_{T}\right)$ possesses a canonical trivial conical structure in the sense of Definition 2.8 .

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1, we obtain
Corollary 1.2. The intersection space pair construction of Agustin Vicente and Fernández de Bobadilla [1] is applicable to all PL pseudomanifolds by choosing the simplicial stratification associated to a triangulation and our system of canonical trivializations of link bundles.

## 2. Compatibility for trivial Link bundles of stratified spaces

2.1. Stratified spaces. In this section, we recall the notion of a topologically stratified space to fix terminology.

Definition 2.1 (Topologically stratified spaces). A 0-dimensional topologically stratified space $X$ is a countable set with the discrete topology. For $d>0$, a $d$-dimensional topologically stratified space is a paracompact Hausdorff topological space $X$ equipped with a filtration

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=X_{d} \supset X_{d-1} \supset \cdots \supset X_{0} \supset X_{-1}=\varnothing \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $X$ by closed subsets $X_{j}$ such that $X_{d} \backslash X_{d-1} \neq \varnothing$, for every $0 \leq j \leq d$ the complement $X_{j} \backslash X_{j-1}$ is a $j$-dimensional topological manifold (that is, a second countable Hausdorff topological space that is locally Euclidean), and for each point $x \in X_{j} \backslash X_{j-1}$ there exist an open neighborhood $U$ of $x$ in $X$, a compact $(d-j-1)$ dimensional topologically stratified space $L$ (called a link of $X_{j} \backslash X_{j-1}$ at $x$ in $X$ ) with filtration

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=L_{d-j-1} \supset L_{d-j-2} \supset \cdots \supset L_{0} \supset L_{-1}=\varnothing \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a homeomorphism $\phi: U \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{R}^{j} \times c^{\circ}(L)$, where $c^{\circ}(Z)=(Z \times[0,1)) /(Z \times\{0\})$ is the open cone on a topological space $Z$ (with the convention that $c^{\circ}(\varnothing)$ consists only of the vertex point), such that $\phi$ takes $U \cap X_{j+i+1}$ homeomorphically onto $\mathbb{R}^{j} \times c^{\circ}\left(L_{i}\right)\left(\subset \mathbb{R}^{j} \times c^{\circ}(L)\right)$ for $-1 \leq i \leq d-j-1$.
Remark 2.2 (Topological stratified pseudomanifolds). A d-dimensional topologically stratified space $X$ with filtration (2.1) is called a d-dimensional topological stratified pseudomanifold if $X_{d-1}=X_{d-2}$ and $X \backslash X_{d-1}$ is dense in $X$ (see e.g.

Definition 4.1.1 in [2]). If $X$ is a topological stratified pseudomanifold, then it follows that the links with filtration (2.2) are topological stratified pseudomanifolds as well.

Remark 2.3. Note that the notion of pseudomanifold introduced in Definition 3.4 in [1] differs in the following two ways. First, it contains the additional assumption that the pairs $\left(X_{j}, X_{j-1}\right)$ are locally finite relative CW-complexes. Second, as remarked right before [1. Definition 3.4], the singular set of a pseudomanifold is not assumed to have codimension at least 2 .

From now on, we reserve the terminology "stratified space" to refer to a topologically stratified space. For a $d$-dimensional stratified space $X$ with filtration (2.1), the connected components of the $j$-dimensional manifold $X_{j} \backslash X_{j-1}$ are called the strata of dimension $j$ (or of codimension $d-j$ ). The strata contained in $X_{d} \backslash X_{d-1}$ are called regular, and the other strata are called singular.

If $V$ is a union of regular strata of $X$, then it is easy to see that the closure $\bar{V}^{X}$ of $V$ in $X$ is a $d$-dimensional stratified space with filtration

$$
X_{d} \cap \bar{V}^{X} \supset X_{d-1} \cap \bar{V}^{X} \supset \cdots \supset X_{0} \cap \bar{V}^{X} \supset X_{-1} \cap \bar{V}^{X}=\varnothing .
$$

Moreover, by induction on the dimension of $X$, we can show that if $X$ has singular strata of dimension $j$, then $X_{j}$ is a $j$-dimensional stratified space with filtration

$$
X_{j} \supset X_{j-1} \supset \cdots \supset X_{0} \supset X_{-1}=\varnothing .
$$

We write $\mathcal{S}(X)$ for the set of singular strata of a stratified space $X$ with fixed filtration (2.1). For $S, S^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}(X)$, we write $S \prec S^{\prime}$ if $S \neq S^{\prime}$ and $S$ is contained in (or, equivalently, has nonempty intersection with) the closure of $S^{\prime}$ in $X$. Then, note that we have $\operatorname{dim}(S)<\operatorname{dim}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$. We write $S \preceq S^{\prime}$ if $S=S^{\prime}$ or $S \prec S^{\prime}$. Then, $(\mathcal{S}(X), \preceq)$ is a partially ordered set.
2.2. Conical structures and trivializations. The notion of conical structures was introduced by Agustín Vicente and Fernández de Bobadilla for pairs of topological spaces $(X, Y)$ with respect to a stratified space $X_{d-k}$ contained in $Y$ (see Definition 3.5 of [1]). In Definition [2.4, we restate the definition of conical structures by using a different terminology, and only for the special case that $X$ is a $d$ dimensional stratified space with subspace the stratified space $Y=X_{d-1}\left(=X_{d-k}\right)$ (compare Remark 3.14 in [1). In the present paper, we show that triangulated PL pseudomanifolds give rise to conical structures that are trivial in the sense of Definition [2.8] and can hence be used as input for the intersection space pair construction of 1 .

The following notation will be used in Definition [2.4. For a fiber bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow$ $B$ with fiber $F$, we denote by $c(\pi): c_{\pi}(E) \rightarrow B$ the associated cone bundle. That is, $c(\pi)$ is the fiber bundle over $B$ with total space $c_{\pi}(E)=(E \times[0,1]) / \sim_{\pi}$, where $(x, t) \sim_{\pi}\left(x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $\pi(x)=\pi\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ and $t=0=t^{\prime}$, projection map $c(\pi)$ induced by the composition $E \times[0,1] \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_{E}} E \xrightarrow{\pi} B$, and fiber $c(F)=$ $(F \times[0,1]) /(F \times\{0\})$ the closed cone on $F$. For subsets $A \subset E$, we obtain subspaces $c_{\pi}(A) \subset c_{\pi}(E)$ of the form $c_{\pi}(A)=(A \times[0,1]) / \sim_{\pi}$. In particular, we can identify $c_{\pi}(\varnothing)=B$, which is the subspace of the cone points of the fibers because the cone on the empty set $\varnothing$ consists by convention only of the cone point. In the following, we consider $B$ naturally as a subspace of $c_{\pi}(E)$ via $B=c_{\pi}(\varnothing) \subset c_{\pi}(E)$. Moreover,
we consider $E$ naturally as a subspace of $c_{\pi}(E)$ via $E=E \times\{1\} \subset c_{\pi}(E)$. Thus, we have $\left.c(\pi)\right|_{E}=\pi$.

Definition 2.4 (Conical structures; compare Definition 3.5 in [1). A conical structure for a $d$-dimensional stratified space $X$ with stratification (2.1) is a family $\left\{\left(C_{S}, \partial \pi_{S}, \theta_{S}\right)\right\}_{S \in \mathcal{S}(X)}$ of triples consisting for every $S \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ of

- a closed neighborhood $C_{S}$ of $S$ in $X \backslash X_{\operatorname{dim}(S)-1}$ such that
- for all $S, T \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ we hav $\bigoplus^{1} C_{S} \cap C_{T} \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $S \preceq T$ or $T \preceq S$,
- a fiber bundle $\partial \pi_{S}: \partial C_{S} \rightarrow S$ with total space $\partial C_{S}$ the boundary of $C_{S}$ in $X \backslash X_{\operatorname{dim}(S)-1}$, with structure group denoted by $G_{S}$, and with fiber $X^{S}$ a compact stratified space of dimension $\operatorname{codim}(S)-1$ with stratification

$$
X^{S}=X_{\operatorname{codim}(S)-1}^{S} \supset X_{\operatorname{codim}(S)-2}^{S} \supset \cdots \supset X_{0}^{S} \supset X_{-1}^{S}=\varnothing,
$$

such that

- for all $S, T \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ with $T \prec S$ there exists a pair $\left(C_{S}^{T}, Z_{S}^{T}\right)$, where $Z_{S}^{T}$ is a union of singular strata of $X^{T}$ of codimension $\operatorname{codim}_{X}(S)$ in $X^{T}$, and $C_{S}^{T}$ is a closed neighborhood of $Z_{S}^{T}$ in $X^{T} \backslash X_{\operatorname{dim}\left(Z_{S}^{T}\right)-1}^{T}$ with boundary $\partial C_{S}^{T}$, such that the structure group $G_{T}$ of $\partial \pi_{T}$ consists of homeomorphisms $\alpha: X^{T} \xrightarrow{\cong} X^{T}$ that satisfy $\alpha\left(Z_{S}^{T}\right)=Z_{S}^{T}, \alpha\left(C_{S}^{T}\right)=$ $C_{S}^{T}$, and $\alpha\left(\partial C_{S}^{T}\right)=\partial C_{S}^{T}$, and the subbundles of $\partial \pi_{T}$ induced by the inclusions $Z_{S}^{T} \subset X^{T}, C_{S}^{T} \subset X^{T}$, and $\partial C_{S}^{T} \subset X^{T}$ have total spaces $\partial C_{T} \cap S, \partial C_{T} \cap C_{S}$, and $\partial C_{T} \cap \partial C_{S}$, respectively, and
- a homeomorphism $\theta_{S}: C_{S} \xrightarrow{\cong} c_{\partial \pi_{S}}\left(\partial C_{S}\right)$ that extends the identity maps on $\partial C_{S}$ and $S$,
such that for all $T \prec S$ in $\mathcal{S}(X)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{T}\left(C_{T} \cap S\right)=c_{\partial \pi_{T}}\left(\partial C_{T} \cap S\right) \backslash T \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{T}\left(C_{T} \cap C_{S}\right)=c_{\partial \pi_{T}}\left(\partial C_{T} \cap C_{S}\right) \backslash T, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the composition

$$
\pi_{S}=c\left(\partial \pi_{S}\right) \circ \theta_{S}: C_{S} \rightarrow S
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\pi_{S}\right)^{-1}\left(C_{T} \cap S\right)=C_{T} \cap C_{S} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\pi_{S}\right)^{-1}\left(\partial C_{T} \cap S\right)=\partial C_{T} \cap C_{S} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the diagrams

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{T} \cap C_{S} \xrightarrow{\pi_{S} \mid} C_{T} \cap S  \tag{2.7}\\
\cong\left|\theta_{T}\right| \\
\cong\left|\theta_{T}\right| \\
\left(\partial C_{T} \cap C_{S}\right) \times(0,1] \xrightarrow{\pi_{S} \mid \times \text { id }_{(0,1]}}\left(\partial C_{T} \cap S\right) \times(0,1]
\end{gather*}
$$

[^0]and

commute.
Remark 2.5. We point out that our diagrams (2.7) and (2.8) correspond to the properties (3) and (4) of Definition 3.5 in [1], respectively.

Remark 2.6. For $S \in \mathcal{S}(X)$, it follows from the definition of $\pi_{S}$ that $\left.\pi_{S}\right|_{\partial C_{S}}=\partial \pi_{S}$ because $\theta_{S}$ extends the identity map on $\partial C_{S}$. Hence, for all $T \prec S$ in $\mathcal{S}(X)$, (2.5) and (2.6) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial \pi_{S}\right)^{-1}\left(C_{T} \cap S\right)=C_{T} \cap \partial C_{S} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial \pi_{S}\right)^{-1}\left(\partial C_{T} \cap S\right)=\partial C_{T} \cap \partial C_{S}, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively.
Remark 2.6 implies that, for all $T \prec S$ in $\mathcal{S}(X)$, diagram (2.8) restricts to a commutative diagram


We note that the map $\partial \pi_{S} \mid$ in diagram (2.11) is a fiber bundle with fiber $X^{S}$.
Definition 2.7 (Trivializations of conical structures). Let $X$ be a $d$-dimensional stratified space with conical structure $\left\{\left(C_{S}, \partial \pi_{S}, \theta_{S}\right)\right\}_{S \in \mathcal{S}(X)}$. A trivialization of the conical structure $\left\{\left(C_{S}, \partial \pi_{S}, \theta_{S}\right)\right\}_{S \in \mathcal{S}(X)}$ is a family $\left\{\psi_{S}\right\}_{S \in \mathcal{S}(X)}$ of trivializations $\psi_{S}: \partial C_{S} \stackrel{\cong}{\Longrightarrow} X^{S} \times S$ of the fiber bundles $\partial \pi_{S}: \partial C_{S} \rightarrow S$ such that for all $T \prec S$ in $\mathcal{S}(X)$, there exists a homeomorphism $\beta_{S}^{T}: \partial C_{S}^{T} \xlongequal{\cong} X^{S} \times Z_{S}^{T}$ such that the diagram

commute ${ }^{2}$.
Definition 2.8. Let $X$ be a $d$-dimensional stratified space. A trivial conical structure for $X$ is a family $\left\{\left(C_{S}, \partial \pi_{S}, \theta_{S}, \psi_{S}\right)\right\}_{S \in \mathcal{S}(X)}$, where $\left\{\left(C_{S}, \partial \pi_{S}, \theta_{S}\right)\right\}_{S \in \mathcal{S}(X)}$ is a conical structure for $X$ with trivialization $\left\{\psi_{S}\right\}_{S \in \mathcal{S}(X)}$.

[^1]
## 3. LINK BUNDLES IN SIMPLICIAL STRATIFICATIONS

For a background on locally finite simplicial complexes in $\mathbb{R}^{\infty}$, see Hudson 16, Chapter III]. We will not distinguish formally between a simplicial complex and its geometric realization as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{\infty}$, which should not lead to any confusion. The join of two compact simplicial complexes $A$ and $B$ in $\mathbb{R}^{\infty}$ is denoted by $A * B$, where the notation implicitly means that $A$ and $B$ are joinable (see [16, p. 6]). Note that $A, B \subset A * B$, and the join operator is commutative and associative.

Let $T$ be a locally finite simplicial complex. We introduce some terminology that is also used by Haefliger [15, Proposition 1.4]. We denote the barycenter of a closed simplex $\sigma$ of $T$ by $\widehat{\sigma}$. Note that we have $\sigma=\widehat{\sigma} * \partial \sigma$. Let $T^{\prime}$ denote the first barycentric subdivision of $T$. For a closed simplex $\sigma$ of $T$, we define with respect to $T^{\prime}$

- the dual complex $D^{\prime}(\sigma)$ of $\sigma$ to be the full simplicial subcomplex of $T^{\prime}$ consisting of those simplices whose vertices are the barycenters $\widehat{\tau}$ of the simplices $\tau$ of $T$ containing $\sigma$,
- the link $L^{\prime}(\sigma)$ of $\sigma$ to be the full simplicial subcomplex of $T^{\prime}$ consisting of those simplices whose vertices are the barycenters $\widehat{\tau}$ of the simplices $\tau$ of $T$ containing $\sigma$ and different from $\sigma$, and
- the star $S t^{\prime}(\sigma)$ of $\sigma$ to be the full ${ }^{3}$ simplicial subcomplex of $T^{\prime}$ consisting of those simplices that are a face of a simplex of $T^{\prime}$ containing the barycenter $\widehat{\sigma}$ of $\sigma$.
Then, we have $D^{\prime}(\sigma)=\widehat{\sigma} * L^{\prime}(\sigma), \partial S t^{\prime}(\sigma)=\partial \sigma * L^{\prime}(\sigma)$, and $S t^{\prime}(\sigma)=\partial \sigma * D^{\prime}(\sigma)=$ $\widehat{\sigma} * \partial S t^{\prime}(\sigma)$.

Let $\sigma$ be a closed simplex of $T$. For closed simplices $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of $T^{\prime}$ with $\alpha \subset L^{\prime}(\sigma)$ and $\beta \subset \partial \sigma$, we define the continuous map

$$
\Phi_{\alpha, \beta}: \alpha \times(\widehat{\sigma} * \beta) \longrightarrow \alpha * \beta, \quad \Phi_{\alpha, \beta}(a, t \widehat{\sigma}+(1-t) b)=t a+(1-t) b .
$$

Remark 3.1. If $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{p}$ are the vertices of $\beta$, then $\widehat{\sigma}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{p}$ are the vertices of the simplex $\widehat{\sigma} * \beta$ of $T^{\prime}$. Hence, using barycentric coordinates, every point $x \in$ $\widehat{\sigma} * \beta$ can be written uniquely as $x=x_{0} \widehat{\sigma}+\sum_{i=1}^{p} x_{i} b_{i}$ with $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{p} \geq 0$ and $x_{0}+\cdots+x_{p}=1$. Note that for $x_{0} \neq 1$, we may write $x=t \widehat{\sigma}+(1-t) b$ with $t=x_{0}$ and $b=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{x_{i}}{1-x_{0}} b_{i} \in \beta$. Thus, it follows that $\Phi_{\alpha, \beta}(a, x)=x_{0} a+\sum_{i=1}^{p} x_{i} b_{i}$ for $a \in \alpha$ and $x \in \widehat{\sigma} * \beta$.

Note that the map $\Phi_{\alpha, \beta}$ restricts to a homeomorphism

$$
\phi_{\alpha, \beta}: \alpha \times((\widehat{\sigma} * \beta) \backslash \beta) \stackrel{\cong}{\Longrightarrow}(\alpha * \beta) \backslash \beta, \quad \phi_{\alpha, \beta}(a, t \widehat{\sigma}+(1-t) b)=t a+(1-t) b .
$$

By gluing the maps $\Phi_{\alpha, \beta}$ for $\alpha \subset L^{\prime}(\sigma)$ and $\beta \subset \partial \sigma$, we obtain a continuous map

$$
\Phi_{\sigma}: L^{\prime}(\sigma) \times \sigma=L^{\prime}(\sigma) \times(\widehat{\sigma} * \partial \sigma) \longrightarrow L^{\prime}(\sigma) * \partial \sigma=\partial S t^{\prime}(\sigma) .
$$

Similarly, by gluing the homeomorphisms $\phi_{\alpha, \beta}$ for all closed simplices $\alpha \subset L^{\prime}(\sigma)$ and $\beta \subset \partial \sigma$ of $T^{\prime}$, we obtain a homeomorphism

$$
\phi_{\sigma}: L^{\prime}(\sigma) \times \sigma^{\circ}=L^{\prime}(\sigma) \times((\widehat{\sigma} * \partial \sigma) \backslash \partial \sigma) \xrightarrow{\cong}\left(L^{\prime}(\sigma) * \partial \sigma\right) \backslash \partial \sigma=: E^{\prime}(\sigma),
$$

where $\sigma^{\circ}:=\sigma \backslash \partial \sigma$ and $E^{\prime}(\sigma):=\partial S t^{\prime}(\sigma) \backslash \partial \sigma$. By construction, $\phi_{\sigma}$ is the restriction of $\Phi_{\sigma}$ to $L^{\prime}(\sigma) \times(\sigma \backslash \partial \sigma)$.

[^2]Since the maps $\Phi_{\alpha, \beta}$ are simplicial, it follows that the map $\Phi_{\sigma}$ is a PL map. Consequently, $\phi_{\sigma}$ is a PL homeomorphism. (Recall that the property of being a PL map is stable under restriction to open subsets, and that a homeomorphism is a PL map if and only if its inverse is a PL map.)

Lemma 3.2. Let $K \subset T^{\prime}$ be a full simplicial subcomplex, that is, a simplicial subcomplex such that every simplex of $T^{\prime}$ whose vertices lie in $K$ is contained in $K$. Then, for any closed simplices $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of $T^{\prime}$ such that $\alpha * \beta$ is a simplex of $T^{\prime}$, we have $\alpha * \beta \subset K$ if and only if $\alpha \subset K$ and $\beta \subset K$.

Proof. Since $\alpha, \beta \subset \alpha * \beta$, it is clear that $\alpha * \beta \subset K$ implies $\alpha, \beta \subset K$. Conversely, suppose that $\alpha, \beta \subset K$. Then, $\alpha * \beta$ is a simplex of $T^{\prime}$ whose vertices are contained in $K$, and it follows that $\alpha * \beta \subset K$.

Proposition 3.3. Let $K \subset T^{\prime}$ be a full simplicial subcomplex such that $\partial \sigma \subset K$. Then, the map $\Phi_{\sigma}$ restricts to a map

$$
\Phi_{\sigma} \mid:\left(L^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap K\right) \times \sigma \longrightarrow \partial S t^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap K
$$

and the homeomorphism $\phi_{\sigma}$ restricts to a homeomorphism

$$
\phi_{\sigma} \mid:\left(L^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap K\right) \times \sigma^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\cong} E^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap K .
$$

Proof. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be closed simplices of $T^{\prime}$ with $\alpha \subset L^{\prime}(\sigma)$ and $\beta \subset \partial \sigma$. Since $\beta \subset \partial \sigma \subset K$, Lemma 3.2 implies that we have $\alpha \in K$ if and only if $\alpha * \beta \in K$. Consequently, by gluing the maps $\Phi_{\alpha, \beta}$ for $\alpha \subset L^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap K$ and $\beta \subset \partial \sigma$, we obtain a continuous map

$$
\left(L^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap K\right) \times \sigma=\left(L^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap K\right) \times(\widehat{\sigma} * \partial \sigma) \longrightarrow \partial S t^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap K
$$

Similarly, by gluing the homeomorphisms $\phi_{\alpha, \beta}$ for $\alpha \subset L^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap K$ and $\beta \subset \partial \sigma$, we obtain a homeomorphism

$$
\left(L^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap K\right) \times(\sigma \backslash \partial \sigma)=\left(L^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap K\right) \times((\widehat{\sigma} * \partial \sigma) \backslash \partial \sigma) \xrightarrow{\cong}\left(\partial S t^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap K\right) \backslash \partial \sigma .
$$

Proposition 3.4. Let $K \subset T^{\prime}$ be a full simplicial subcomplex such that $\widehat{\sigma} \in K$ and $L^{\prime}(\sigma) \subset K$. Then, the map $\Phi_{\sigma}$ restricts to a map

$$
\Phi_{\sigma} \mid: L^{\prime}(\sigma) \times(\sigma \cap K) \longrightarrow \partial S t^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap K
$$

and the homeomorphism $\phi_{\sigma}$ restricts to a homeomorphism

$$
\phi_{\sigma} \mid: L^{\prime}(\sigma) \times\left(\sigma^{\circ} \cap K\right) \stackrel{\cong}{\leftrightarrows} E^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap K .
$$

Proof. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be closed simplices of $T^{\prime}$ with $\alpha \subset L^{\prime}(\sigma)$ and $\beta \subset \partial \sigma$. Since $\widehat{\sigma} \in K$, Lemma 3.2 implies that we have $\beta \in K$ if and only if $\widehat{\sigma} * \beta \in K$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \cap K=\widehat{\sigma} *(\partial \sigma \cap K) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, since $\alpha \subset L^{\prime}(\sigma) \subset K$, Lemma 3.2 implies that we have $\beta \in K$ if and only if $\alpha * \beta \in K$. Altogether, we have $\widehat{\sigma} * \beta \in K$ if and only if $\alpha * \beta \in K$. Consequently, by gluing the maps $\Phi_{\alpha, \beta}$ for $\alpha \subset L^{\prime}(\sigma)$ and $\beta \subset \partial \sigma \cap K$, we obtain a continuous map

$$
L^{\prime}(\sigma) \times(\sigma \cap K) \stackrel{\sqrt{3.1 /}}{=} L^{\prime}(\sigma) \times(\widehat{\sigma} *(\partial \sigma \cap K)) \longrightarrow \partial S t^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap K
$$

Similarly, by gluing the homeomorphisms $\phi_{\alpha, \beta}$ for $\alpha \subset L^{\prime}(\sigma)$ and $\beta \subset \partial \sigma \cap K$, we obtain a homeomorphism

$$
L^{\prime}(\sigma) \times((\sigma \backslash \partial \sigma) \cap K) \stackrel{\sqrt{3.17}}{=} L^{\prime}(\sigma) \times((\widehat{\sigma} *(\partial \sigma \cap K)) \backslash \partial \sigma) \stackrel{\cong}{\Longrightarrow}\left(\partial S t^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap K\right) \backslash \partial \sigma .
$$

Theorem 3.5. Let $\sigma$ and $\tau$ be simplices of $T$ such that $\tau$ is a proper face of $\sigma$. Then, the homeomorphisms $\phi_{\sigma}$ and $\phi_{\tau}$ induce a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
L^{\prime}(\sigma) \times\left(\sigma^{\circ} \cap L^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \times \tau^{\circ} \xrightarrow[\phi_{\sigma} \mid \times \operatorname{id}_{\tau^{\circ}}]{\cong}\left(E^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap L^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \times \tau^{\circ}  \tag{3.2}\\
\operatorname{id}_{L^{\prime}(\sigma)} \times \phi_{\tau} \mid \downarrow \cong \\
L^{\prime}(\sigma) \times\left(\sigma^{\circ} \cap E^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \xrightarrow[\phi_{\tau} \mid]{\cong} \cong \\
\cong & E^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap E^{\prime}(\tau) .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. First, let us show that the homeomorphisms $\phi_{\sigma}$ and $\phi_{\tau}$ restrict in the desired ways:

- To produce the vertical maps in diagram (3.2), we have to show that $\phi_{\tau}$ restricts to homeomorphisms

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\sigma^{\circ} \cap L^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \times \tau^{\circ} \stackrel{\cong}{\leftrightarrows} \sigma^{\circ} \cap E^{\prime}(\tau),  \tag{3.3}\\
\left(E^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap L^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \times \tau^{\circ} \stackrel{\cong}{\Longrightarrow} E^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap E^{\prime}(\tau) . \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

In fact, since $\sigma^{\circ}=\sigma \backslash \partial \sigma$ and $E^{\prime}(\sigma)=\partial S t^{\prime}(\sigma) \backslash \partial \sigma$, it suffices to show that $\phi_{\tau}$ restricts to homeomorphisms

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\sigma \cap L^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \times \tau^{\circ} \stackrel{\cong}{\rightrightarrows} \sigma \cap E^{\prime}(\tau), \\
\left(\partial S t^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap L^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \times \tau^{\circ} \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} \partial S t^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap E^{\prime}(\tau), \\
\left(\partial \sigma \cap L^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \times \tau^{\circ} \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} \partial \sigma \cap E^{\prime}(\tau) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We show that these restrictions exist by applying Proposition 3.3 for $K=\sigma$, $K=\partial S t^{\prime}(\sigma)=L^{\prime}(\sigma) * \partial \sigma$, and $K=\partial \sigma$, respectively. (Note that these choices of $K$ are full simplicial subcomplexes of $T^{\prime}$ such that $\partial \tau \subset K$ since $\tau \subset \sigma$.)

- To produce the horizontal maps in diagram (3.2), we have to show that $\phi_{\sigma}$ restricts to homeomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{\prime}(\sigma) \times\left(\sigma^{\circ} \cap L^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \stackrel{\cong}{\leftrightarrows} E^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap L^{\prime}(\tau), \\
L^{\prime}(\sigma) \times\left(\sigma^{\circ} \cap \partial S t^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \stackrel{\cong}{\leftrightarrows} E^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap \partial S t^{\prime}(\tau),
\end{aligned}
$$

where note that the second map coincides with the lower horizontal map in diagram (3.2) because we have $\sigma^{\circ}=\sigma \backslash \partial \sigma$ and $E^{\prime}(\sigma)=\partial S t^{\prime}(\sigma) \backslash \partial \sigma$, so that we may replace $\partial S t^{\prime}(\tau)$ by $\partial S t^{\prime}(\tau) \backslash \partial \tau=E^{\prime}(\tau)$ since $\partial \tau \subset \partial \sigma$. We show that these restrictions exist by applying Proposition 3.4 for $K=L^{\prime}(\tau)$ and $K=\partial S t^{\prime}(\tau)=L^{\prime}(\tau) * \partial \tau$, respectively. (Note that these choices of $K$ are full simplicial subcomplexes of $T^{\prime}$, and we conclude from the definition of $L^{\prime}(-)$ that $L^{\prime}(\sigma) \subset L^{\prime}(\tau) \subset K$ since $\tau \subset \sigma$, and $\widehat{\sigma} \in L^{\prime}(\tau) \subset K$.)
To show that diagram (3.2) commutes, we fix a point $(x, y, z) \in L^{\prime}(\sigma) \times\left(\sigma^{\circ} \cap\right.$ $\left.L^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \times \tau^{\circ}$, and have to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\tau}\left(\phi_{\sigma}(x, y), z\right)=\phi_{\sigma}\left(x, \phi_{\tau}(y, z)\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this purpose, we fix simplices $\alpha \in L^{\prime}(\sigma), \beta \in \partial \sigma \cap L^{\prime}(\tau)$, and $\gamma \in \partial \tau$ of $T^{\prime}$ such that $x \in \alpha, y \in \widehat{\sigma} * \beta$, and $z \in \widehat{\tau} * \gamma$. (We note that $y \in \sigma^{\circ}$ and $z \in \tau^{\circ}$ imply $y \in(\widehat{\sigma} * \beta) \backslash \beta$ and $z \in(\widehat{\tau} * \gamma) \backslash \gamma$, respectively.) Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{q}$, and $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}$ be the vertices of $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$, respectively. Then, using barycentric coordinates, we can uniquely write

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
x=\sum_{i=1}^{p} x_{i} a_{i}, & x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p} \geq 0, \quad x_{1}+\cdots+x_{p}=1, \\
y=y_{0} \widehat{\sigma}+\sum_{j=1}^{q} y_{j} b_{j}, & y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{q} \geq 0, \quad y_{0}+y_{1}+\cdots+y_{q}=1, \\
z=z_{0} \widehat{\tau}+\sum_{k=1}^{r} z_{k} c_{k}, & z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{r} \geq 0, \quad z_{0}+z_{1}+\cdots+z_{r}=1 .
\end{array}
$$

We show (3.5) by computing both sides separately:

- To evaluate the left hand side of (3.5), we note that $\phi_{\sigma}$ restricts to the homeomorphism

$$
\phi_{\alpha, \beta}: \alpha \times((\widehat{\sigma} * \beta) \backslash \beta) \xrightarrow{\cong}(\alpha * \beta) \backslash \beta,
$$

and $\phi_{\tau}$ restricts to the homeomorphism

$$
\phi_{\alpha * \beta, \gamma}:(\alpha * \beta) \times((\widehat{\tau} * \gamma) \backslash \gamma) \xrightarrow{\cong}(\alpha * \beta * \gamma) \backslash \gamma .
$$

Since $x \in \alpha$ and $y \in(\widehat{\sigma} * \beta) \backslash \beta$, we obtain

$$
\phi_{\sigma}(x, y)=\phi_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y)=\phi_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} x_{i} a_{i}, y_{0} \widehat{\sigma}+\sum_{j=1}^{q} y_{j} b_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{p} x_{i} y_{0} a_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{q} y_{j} b_{j} .
$$

As $\alpha \in L^{\prime}(\sigma)$ and $\beta \in \partial \sigma$ are independent, $\alpha * \beta$ is a simplex of $T^{\prime}$ with vertices $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{q}$. Since $\phi_{\sigma}(x, y) \in \alpha * \beta$ and $z \in(\widehat{\tau} * \gamma) \backslash \gamma$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\tau}\left(\phi_{\sigma}(x, y), z\right) & =\phi_{\alpha * \beta, \gamma}\left(\phi_{\sigma}(x, y), z\right) \\
& =\phi_{\alpha * \beta, \gamma}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} x_{i} y_{0} a_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{q} y_{j} b_{j}, z_{0} \widehat{\tau}+\sum_{k=1}^{r} z_{k} c_{k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{p} x_{i} y_{0} z_{0} a_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{q} y_{j} z_{0} b_{j}+\sum_{k=1}^{r} z_{k} c_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- To evaluate the right hand side of (3.5), we note that $\phi_{\tau}$ restricts to the homeomorphism

$$
\phi_{\widehat{\sigma} * \beta, \gamma}:(\widehat{\sigma} * \beta) \times((\widehat{\tau} * \gamma) \backslash \gamma) \xrightarrow{\cong}(\widehat{\sigma} * \beta * \gamma) \backslash \gamma,
$$

and $\phi_{\sigma}$ restricts to the homeomorphism

$$
\phi_{\alpha, \beta * \gamma}: \alpha \times((\widehat{\sigma} * \beta * \gamma) \backslash(\beta * \gamma)) \xrightarrow{\cong}(\alpha * \beta * \gamma) \backslash(\beta * \gamma) .
$$

Since $y \in \widehat{\sigma} * \beta$, and $z \in(\widehat{\tau} * \gamma) \backslash \gamma$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\tau}(y, z) & =\phi_{\widehat{\sigma} * \beta, \gamma}(y, z)=\phi_{\widehat{\sigma} * \beta, \gamma}\left(y_{0} \widehat{\sigma}+\sum_{j=1}^{q} y_{j} b_{j}, z_{0} \widehat{\tau}+\sum_{k=1}^{r} z_{k} c_{k}\right) \\
& =y_{0} z_{0} \widehat{\sigma}+\sum_{j=1}^{q} y_{j} z_{0} b_{j}+\sum_{k=1}^{r} z_{k} c_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\beta \in L^{\prime}(\tau)$ and $\gamma \in \partial \tau$ are independent, $\beta * \gamma$ is a simplex of $T^{\prime}$ with vertices $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{q}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}$. Since $x \in \alpha$ and $\phi_{\tau}(y, z) \in(\widehat{\sigma} * \beta * \gamma) \backslash(\beta * \gamma)$ (where note that $\phi_{\tau}(y, z) \notin \beta * \gamma$ because $y \in \sigma^{\circ}$ and $z \in \tau^{\circ}$ imply that $y_{0} z_{0}>0$ ), we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\sigma}\left(x, \phi_{\tau}(y, z)\right) & =\phi_{\alpha, \beta * \gamma}\left(x, \phi_{\tau}(y, z)\right) \\
& =\phi_{\alpha, \beta * \gamma}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} x_{i} a_{i}, y_{0} z_{0} \widehat{\sigma}+\sum_{j=1}^{q} y_{j} z_{0} b_{j}+\sum_{k=1}^{r} z_{k} c_{k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{p} x_{i} y_{0} z_{0} a_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{q} y_{j} z_{0} b_{j}+\sum_{k=1}^{r} z_{k} c_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.5

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $X$ be a PL pseudomanifold. Fix a triangulation $T$ of $X$, and let $\mathcal{X}_{T}$ be the simplicial stratification (1.1) of $X$ associated to $T$. Recall that $\mathcal{S}(X)$ denotes the set of singular strata of the stratified space $X$. Using the notation of Section 3, the following table provides the data that define a canonical trivial conical structure (in the sense of Definition (2.8) on the stratified space $\left(X, \mathcal{X}_{T}\right)$.

| $S \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ | open simplices $\sigma^{\circ}$ of $T$ of codimension $\geq 2$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $C_{S}$ | $\widehat{E}^{\prime}(\sigma):=\mathrm{St}^{\prime}(\sigma) \backslash \partial \sigma=\left(D^{\prime}(\sigma) * \partial \sigma\right) \backslash \partial \sigma$ |
| $\partial C_{S}$ | $E^{\prime}(\sigma)=\partial \mathrm{St}^{\prime}(\sigma) \backslash \partial \sigma=\left(L^{\prime}(\sigma) * \partial \sigma\right) \backslash \partial \sigma$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { trivial fiber bundle } \\ \\ \text { with fiber } X^{S} \text { and } \\ \text { structure group } G_{S}=\left\{\operatorname{id}_{X^{S}}\right\} \\ X_{S}^{S} \times S \end{array}$ | $E^{\prime}(\sigma) \xrightarrow{=: \partial \rho_{\sigma}} \underset{\phi_{\sigma}^{-1}}{\cong} \sigma_{L^{\prime}(\sigma) \times \sigma^{\circ}}^{\sim}$ <br> (the full simplicial subcomplex $L^{\prime}(\sigma) \subset T^{\prime}$ is stratified by its simplicial stratification) |
| homeomorphism $\theta_{S}: C_{S} \stackrel{\sim}{\leftrightharpoons} c_{\partial \pi_{S}}\left(\partial C_{S}\right)$ | $\eta_{\sigma}: \widehat{E}^{\prime}(\sigma)=\left(\left(\widehat{\sigma} * L^{\prime}(\sigma)\right) * \partial \sigma\right) \backslash \partial \sigma \cong c_{\partial \rho_{\sigma}}\left(E^{\prime}(\sigma)\right)$ |
| $Z_{S}^{T}$ | $\sigma^{\circ} \cap L^{\prime}(\tau)$ |
| $C_{S}^{T}$ | $\widehat{E}^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap L^{\prime}(\tau)$ |
| $\partial C_{S}^{T}$ | $E^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap L^{\prime}(\tau)$ |

Using the data from the above table, it is now straightforward to check that

$$
\left\{\left(C_{S}, \partial \pi_{S}, \theta_{S}\right)\right\}_{S \in \mathcal{S}(X)}:=\left\{\left(\widehat{E}^{\prime}(\sigma), \partial \rho_{\sigma}, \eta_{\sigma}\right)\right\}_{\sigma^{\circ} \in T}
$$

is a canonical conical structure (in the sense of Definition (2.4) on the stratified space $\left(X, \mathcal{X}_{T}\right)$. For example, for $S, T \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ with $T \prec S$, the subbundles of $\partial \pi_{T}$ induced by the inclusions $Z_{S}^{T} \subset X^{T}$ and $\partial C_{S}^{T} \subset X^{T}$ have total spaces $\partial C_{T} \cap S$ and
$\partial C_{T} \cap \partial C_{S}$ by (3.3) and (3.4), respectively. (Similarly, by applying Proposition 3.3 to $K=\operatorname{St}^{\prime}(\sigma)$ and $K=\partial \sigma$, it can be shown that the subbundle of $\partial \pi_{T}$ induced by the inclusion $C_{S}^{T} \subset X^{T}$ has total space $\partial C_{T} \cap C_{S}$.) Furthermore, we see that

$$
\left\{\psi_{S}\right\}_{S \in \mathcal{S}(X)}:=\left\{\phi_{\sigma}^{-1}\right\}_{\sigma^{\circ} \in T}
$$

is a trivialization (in the sense of Definition (2.7) of the above conical structure, where the commutative diagram (2.12) is canonically provided by Theorem (3.5)

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This assumption implies that for $S, T \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ we have $C_{T} \cap S \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $T \preceq S$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ There exists a unique homeomorphism $\partial C_{S}^{T} \times T \stackrel{ }{\cong} X^{S} \times Z_{S}^{T} \times T$ such that diagram (2.12) commutes, and by commutativity of diagram (2.11), this homeomorphism is of the form $(x, y) \mapsto$ $\left(\beta_{S}^{T}(x, y), y\right)$. Thus, the claim means that $\beta_{S}^{T}(x, y)$ does not depend on $y$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Indeed, let $\sigma^{\prime}$ be a simplex of $T^{\prime}$ whose vertices are all contained in $S t^{\prime}(\sigma)$. Then, we have $\sigma^{\prime} \subset \tau$ for some simplex $\tau$ of $T$, where $\widehat{\tau}$ is a vertex of $\sigma^{\prime}$. Since $\widehat{\tau} \in S t^{\prime}(\sigma), \widehat{\tau}$ is a face (vertex) of a simplex $\rho^{\prime}$ of $T^{\prime}$ containing the barycenter $\widehat{\sigma}$ of $\sigma$.

