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THEORY AND CONSTRUCTION OF TABLES FOR
THE RAPID DETERMINATION OF THE
PRIME FACTORS OF A NUMBER.*

BY PROFESSOR ERNEST LEBON.

By making use of some hitherto unnoticed properties of certain arithmetic progressions, I have succeeded in constructing a table giving very rapidly the solution of the following double problem: To determine whether a given number is prime or composite, and in the latter case to find its prime factors. The process which I employ is applicable to large numbers.†

1. Let \( B \) be the product \( \alpha\beta \cdots \lambda \) of the consecutive prime numbers \( \alpha, \beta, \ldots, \lambda, \) beginning with 2; \( P \) the product \( (\alpha - 1)(\beta - 1)\cdots(\lambda - 1) \); \( I \) any of the \( P \) numbers that are relatively prime to \( B \) and less than \( B \); \( K \) a number successively equal to the positive integers, starting from zero.

We easily see that the system of \( P \) arithmetic progressions whose general term is \( BK + I \) contains all the prime numbers except those that occur in \( B \).

We shall say that \( B \) is the base of the system and that \( I \) is the index of a term of this system.

Two indices will be said to be complementary when their sum is equal to the base.

2. Let \( N, D \) and \( M \) be any numbers relatively prime to \( B \). In order to avoid ambiguity, I will write \( D \) in the form \( BK' + I' \).

It is evident that \( N(=BK + I) \) is or is not divisible by \( D \) according as \( K \) and \( M \) do or do not satisfy the equation

* Translated by Professor W. B. Fite.
† Cf. Comptes rendus, vol. 151 (1905), p. 78. See also § 10, p. 77.
(a) \[ BK + I = MD, \]

\( B, I \) and \( D \) being known.

3. Let \( k \) and \( m \) be the minimum values of \( K \) and \( M \) satisfying equation (a), and \( n \) a number successively equal to the positive integers starting from zero. If necessary for clearness, I use \( k_I \) for the numbers \( K \) relative to a divisor \( D \).

The equality

\[ K = k + nD \]

gives the values of \( K \) to which correspond all the numbers \( N \) that are divisible by \( D \).

From this equality we get the formula

\[ n = \frac{K - k}{D}, \]

where \( K \) is the integral quotient obtained by dividing \( N \) by \( B \); the remainder in this division is the value of \( I \).

We see that according as the value of \( n \) obtained by applying formula (1) is integral or fractional, the number \( N \) is, or is not, a multiple of the divisor \( D \).

Then the table of numbers \( k \) set up for a system of base \( B \) enables one to recognize whether \( N \) is prime or not by dividing the difference \( K - k \) by the prime numbers less than \( \sqrt{N} \) and greater than \( \lambda \); if \( N \) is not prime, this procedure gives its prime factors.

We see that the larger the base \( B \) the more rapidly this method gives the result.

Before applying formula (1), it should not be forgotten that if we are considering a number \( N \), we must in order to get \( N \) remove from it the factors that are common to it and \( B \).

4. The numbers \( k \) I shall call characteristics.

5. In order to find methodically and quickly the characteristics \( k \) which correspond to the \( P \) arithmetic progressions of a system with the base \( B \), we can use the following formula, which is obtained by replacing in equation (a) \( K \) and \( M \) by \( k \) and \( m \), and \( D \) by \( BK' + I' \):

\[ k = \frac{I'm - I}{B} + K'm. \]
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Formula (2) gives the characteristic \( k \) when the value of \( m \) is such that the binomial \( I'm - I \) is divisible by \( B \).

6. The three following theorems, which are easily demonstrated, enable one to make a considerable reduction in the number of operations required for the calculation of the characteristics \( k \):

I. To the product \( I'm \) of the two indices \( I' \) and \( m \) correspond an index \( I \) and a characteristic \( k \); this characteristic is associated with the number \( I'm \) by the arithmetic progression of base \( B \) and index \( I \) given by this product.

II. The \( P \) arithmetic progressions of a system of base \( B \) being arranged in the order of the increasing values of the indices \( I \) of their terms, the sum of the two characteristics \( k \) and that of the two values of \( m \) relative to the same divisor \( D \) and to two progressions equidistant from the extremes are equal to \( D - 1 \) and \( B \) respectively.

III. If the values of \( I, k_I, I', \) and \( m \) satisfy the equation

\[
Bk_I + I = I'm,
\]

and if we consider the equation

\[
Bk_{B-I} + (B - I) = (B - I')m,
\]

where the two indices \( B - I \) and \( B - I' \) are complementary to the \( I \) and \( I' \) respectively of the preceding equality, the unknown characteristic \( k_{B-I} \) is given by the formula

\[
k_{B-I} = m - 1 - k_I.
\]

7. It follows from Theorems II and III that in order to calculate the binomial \( I'm - I \), it is sufficient to associate with the first half of the \( P \) values of \( I' \) the first half of the \( P \) values of \( m \), arranged in the order of magnitude.

The remainder obtained by dividing \( I'm \) by \( B \) is the index \( I \) relative to a progression of the system of base \( B \).

When \( K' \) is zero, the first term of formula (2) gives, in each of the \( P \) progressions of base \( B \), the \( P \) characteristics \( k \) corresponding to the \( P \) values of \( I \).

Inasmuch as the characteristics \( k \) corresponding to the index \( I \) are the same when \( D \) is equal to either \( I \) or \( m \), it follows from Theorem I that it is sufficient to take the products \( I'm \)
starting from the value of $m$ equal to the value of $I'$; that is to say, it is sufficient to take the values of $I'm$ starting from $I'^2$. We know that we apply the first term of formula (2) only to the values of $m$ which are equal to the first $P/2$ indices. Moreover to the products of 1 by the indices correspond characteristics $k$ which are evidently zero.

Consequently, among the $P^2$ characteristics $k$ relative to the $P$ divisors which equal the indices there are at most $P(P-2)/8$ characteristics whose determination requires a multiplication and a division.

8. As to the $P$ characteristics $k$ relative to a divisor $D$ superior to $B - 1$ and with index $I'$, we can deduce them immediately from the $P$ characteristics found for $D = I'$ by making use of the last term of formula (2).

9. In order to apply formula (1), we can make use of a table of characteristics relative to the base $B$ containing at the top of the columns only the first half of the $P$ indices $I$ arranged in order of magnitude, and below each index $I_n$ the complementary index $B - I_n$; then in these columns, in regard to the prime divisors $D$, the values of $k$ relative to the first half of the $P$ indices $I$.

Then, having a number $N$ which does not contain any of the prime factors of $B$, we divide $N$ by $B$. This gives the quotient $K$ and the remainder $I_n$, if it belongs to the first half of the $P$ indices $I$ arranged in order of magnitude, and $I_{n'}$, if it belongs to the second half of these indices.

When the remainder is $I_{n'}$, the index is also $I_{n'}$ and the characteristic $k$ is equal to the value $k_{n'}$ given in the table.

According as $D$ is, or is not, a multiple of the difference $K - k_{n'}$, $D$ is, or is not, a prime divisor of the number whose index is $I_n$ or of the number whose index is $I_{n'}$.

10. The table of characteristics relative to the base 30030, with the prime divisors from 17 to 30029 enables one to solve the problem in question between 1 and 30030² or 901800900.

Suppose that the table of characteristics $k$ relative to the base $B$ is formed of columns headed by all the indices $I$ in order of magnitude and of rows headed by the prime divisors $D$ arranged in the order of magnitude. The characteristic $k$ corresponding to a number $N$ of index $I_n$ and to a prime divisor $D$ is found at the intersection of the column $I_n$ and the row $D$.

Let $N$ be a number of the form $30030K + I$. In making
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the trial we will stop at the prime divisor \( D_n \) immediately inferior to \( \sqrt{N} \).

We consider whether \( K \) is equal to one of the characteristics which correspond to the index \( I \); for this it is sufficient to start from the prime divisor immediately superior to \( K \).

When \( K \) is equal to one or several of these characteristics, \( N \) admits prime divisors which correspond to these characteristics. Then we have immediately the composition of \( N \).

When \( K \) is not equal to any of these characteristics, we form the differences \( K - k \) for the prime divisors 17, 19, 23, \ldots. These differences are always less than 30029, because \( K \) is here less than \( B \) and \( k \) is less than \( D \) and hence less than \( B \). A difference \( K - k \) is, or is not, equal to an index. In the former case, we recognize without calculation whether the difference \( K - k \) is divisible by the divisor that corresponds to it. In the latter case we recognize nearly always whether a difference \( K - k \) is divisible by the corresponding divisor \( D \) without performing the division; then we decompose \( K - k \) into factors, one of which is either one of the prime numbers 2, 3, 5, 7, 11 and 13, or a product of some of these, and the other an index. In most cases it is not necessary to perform this decomposition in order to see if a difference is divisible by the prime divisor which corresponds to it.

If there is no difference \( K - k \) that is divisible by any of the prime factors from 17 to \( D_n \), \( N \) is prime. If we find a difference \( K - k \) that is divisible by the prime divisor \( D \) less than \( D_n \), \( N \) is divisible by \( D \). We divide \( N \) by \( D \), the resulting quotient also by \( D \), and so on. Let \( N_i \) be the last quotient thus obtained. We treat \( N_i \) as we have just treated \( N \), beginning with the prime divisor immediately following \( D \), and we find that \( N_i \) is the product of characteristics or is prime.

11. In order to recognize instantly whether a difference \( K - k \) is divisible by the corresponding divisor \( D \), it is sufficient to have, in addition to the table of characteristics relative to the base 30030 up to the divisor 30029, a table of remainders obtained by dividing the consecutive integers from 17 to 30029 by the divisors \( D \); in fact, a difference \( K - k \) is divisible by the corresponding divisor \( D \), when the values of \( R \) and of \( k \) which correspond to this division are equal.

Paris,
May, 1906.