APOLARITY IN THE GALOIS FIELDS
OF ORDER $2^n$*

BY A. D. CAMPBELL

Let us consider an $m$-ary quadratic in the Galois fields of
order $2^n$

(1) \[ f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \equiv \sum a_{ij}x_i x_j = 0, \]

where \[ i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m; j \geq i; a_{ji} = 0 \text{ if } j \neq i. \]

If $m$ is even, the discriminant of (1) is†

(2) \[ \Delta = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ a_{12} & 0 & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1m} & a_{2m} & a_{3m} & \cdots & 0 \end{vmatrix}. \]

If $m$ is odd, the discriminant of (1) is*†

(3) \[ \Delta = \frac{1}{3} \begin{vmatrix} 2a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ a_{12} & 2a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1m} & a_{2m} & \cdots & 2a_{mm} \end{vmatrix}. \]

We note that in the expansion of (2) we shall have terms like
\[ 2a_{12}a_{23}a_{34} \cdots a_{1m} = 0 \text{ modulo } 2. \]
Hence (2), when expanded, is of the form

\[ a_{12}a_{34}a_{56} \cdots a_{m-1m} + a_{13}a_{24} \cdots + \cdots + (a_{12}a_{34}a_{56} \cdots a_{m-1m} + a_{13}a_{24} \cdots + \cdots)^2. \]

Let us consider a pencil of $m$-ary quadratics

(4) \[ \sum (\lambda b_{ij} + \mu a_{ij})x_i x_j = 0, \]

with $b_{ij}$ and $a_{ij}$ like $a_{ij}$ in (1).

* Presented to the Society, December 28, 1931.
† See A. D. Campbell, The discriminant of the $m$-ary quadratic in the Galois
If $m$ is even and we apply (2) to (4), we have

$$\left\{ (\lambda b_{12} + \mu a_{12})(\lambda b_{34} + \mu a_{34}) \cdots (\lambda b_{m-1m} + \mu a_{m-1m}) + \cdots \right\}^2.$$  

If we equate to zero the square root of the coefficient of $\lambda^2 \mu^{m-2}$ in (5), we obtain the invariant

$$b_{12}(a_{34}a_{56} \cdots a_{m-1m} + \cdots) + b_{13}(a_{24}a_{56} \cdots + \cdots) + \cdots = 0.$$  

If $m$ is odd and we apply (3) to (4), we have

$$2(\lambda b_{11} + \mu a_{11}) \lambda b_{12} + \mu a_{12} \cdots \lambda b_{m-1} + \mu a_{m-1}.$$  

If we equate to zero the coefficient of $\lambda \mu^{m-1}$ in (7), we obtain the invariant

$$\sum b_{ij}A_{ij} = 0,$$

where

$$i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, m; j \geq i; b_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } j \neq i,$$

and where $A_{ij}$ is the cofactor of $a_{ij}$ in a determinant like (2), only with $m$ odd. Thus we have

$$A_{11} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ a_{23} & 0 & \cdots & a_{3m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{2m} & a_{3m} & \cdots & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad A_{12} = \begin{vmatrix} a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ a_{22} & 0 & \cdots & a_{3m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{2m} & a_{3m} & \cdots & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \text{ etc.}$$

We define the polar (or tangent) hyperplane of any point $P'(x'_1, x'_2, \cdots, x'_m)$ with respect to (1) by the equation

$$\sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial x'_i} x_i = 0, \quad (i = 1, 2, \cdots, m).$$

To find the equation of (1) in hyperplane coordinates we seek the condition that the tangent hyperplane (9) shall be the same as

$$\sum u_i x_i = 0,$$

and that (10) shall pass through $P'$. We get equations of the form
If \( m \) is even, the determinant of the coefficients of the equations (11), considered as equations in the unknowns \( \rho, x'_1, x'_2, \ldots, x'_m \), vanishes identically because this determinant is then a skew-symmetric determinant of odd order (modulo 2). The vanishing of this determinant means that, for \( m \) even, the hyperplane (9) always passes through \( P' \) even when (9) is only a polar (and not a tangent) hyperplane with respect to (1). Therefore, for \( m \) even, we define the equation of (1) in hyperplane coordinates as having the form

\[
- \rho u_1 + 2a_{11}x'_1 + a_{12}x'_2 + \cdots + a_{1m}x'_m = 0, \cdots, \quad \sum u_i x'_i = 0.
\]

(11)

For \( m \) odd, we define the equation of (1) in hyperplane coordinates as having the form

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
2a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1m} & u_1 \\
a_{12} & 2a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2m} & u_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{1m} & a_{2m} & \cdots & 2a_{mm} & u_m \\
u_1 & u_2 & \cdots & u_m & 0
\end{vmatrix} = \sum A_{ij} u_i u_j = 0,
\]

(12)

where \( A'_{ij} (i \neq j) \) is defined as \( A_{ij} \) for (8) and \( A'_{ii} = 0 \) if \( j \neq i \), but \( A'_{ii} = \frac{1}{2} A_{ii} \).

For \( m \) odd, the equations

\[
2a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1m}x_m = 0, \quad a_{12}x_1 + 2a_{22}x_2 + a_{23}x_3 + \cdots + a_{2m}x_m = 0, \cdots, (\text{modulo} \ 2)
\]

have a common solution, since the determinant of the coeffi-
cients vanishes (being skew-symmetric and of odd order). Geometrically, this means that all the polar and tangent hyperplanes of (1) pass through a common point \( P \), for \( m \) odd.

If \( P(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_m) \) is this common solution, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
X_1 &= k_1 A_{11}, \quad X_2 = k_1 A_{12}, \ldots, \quad X_m = k_1 A_{1m}, \\
X_1 &= k_2 A_{12}, \quad X_2 = k_2 A_{22}, \ldots, \quad X_m = k_2 A_{2m}, \\
X_1 &= k_3 A_{13}, \text{ etc.}
\end{align*}
\]

But \( A_{11} \) has the form \( \alpha_{11}^2 \), being a skew-symmetric determinant of even order, like (2). Similarly, \( A_{22} = \alpha_{22}^2, \ldots, A_{mm} = \alpha_{mm}^2 \). Also we have

\[
X_1 X_2 = k_1 k_2 A_{12}^2, \quad X_1 = \frac{k_1 A_{12}^2}{A_{22}} = k_1 A_{11};
\]

hence \( A_{12}^2 = A_{11} A_{22} = \alpha_{11} \alpha_{22} \), so that \( A_{12} = \alpha_{11} \alpha_{22} \). But

\[
X_1 = k_2 A_{12} = k_2 \alpha_{11} \alpha_{22} = k_1 A_{11} = k_1 \alpha_{11};
\]

therefore

\[
k_1 \alpha_{11}^2 = k_2 \alpha_{11} \alpha_{22}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{k_1}{k_2} = \frac{1/\alpha_{11}}{1/\alpha_{22}},
\]

so that \( k_1 = c/\alpha_{11} \), and \( k_2 = c/\alpha_{22} \), where \( c \) is an arbitrary constant. Finally we have

\[
X_1 = k_1 A_{11} = \frac{c}{\alpha_{11}} \alpha_{11} = c \alpha_{11},
\]

and \( X_2 = c \alpha_{22} \). Similarly

\[
A_{1i}^2 = \alpha_{1i} \alpha_{ii}, \quad \frac{k_1}{k_i} = \frac{1/\alpha_{11}}{1/\alpha_{ii}},
\]

so that \( k_1 = c/\alpha_{11} \) and \( k_i = c/\alpha_{ii} \); therefore \( X_i = c \alpha_{ii} \).

From the above discussion we see that the equations (14) have the common solution

\[
(15) \quad P(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_m) = P\{ (A_{11})^{1/2}, (A_{22})^{1/2}, \ldots, (A_{mm})^{1/2} \},
\]

with \( A_{ii} \) defined as for (8). If we call (8) the relation of apolarity between the point quadratic \( \sum b_{ij} x_i x_j = 0 \) and the quadratic in
hyperplane coordinates given by (13), we see that (8) is the condition that $P(X_i = (A_{ij})^{1/2})$ shall lie on the apolar quadratic $\sum b_ix \cdot x_j = 0$.

Finally we note that if we expand (3) $m$ times, first using the elements of the first column and their cofactors, then the elements of the second column and their cofactors, and in like manner to the last column, and if we then add our results and equate $\Delta$ to zero (removing the odd factor $m$), we get (3) in the form $\sum a_{ij}A_{ij} = 0$, where $a_{ij}$ and $A_{ij}$ are the same as for (8). This shows us that for (1) to be a degenerate quadratic, when $m$ is odd, the point $P$ in (15) must lie on (1). There is no similar simple geometrical description when $m$ is even and (1) is degenerate.

Syracuse University

---

A CLASS OF UNIVERSAL FUNCTIONS*

BY GORDON PALL

Let $a, b, c, d$ be integers, $a \neq 0$. The function $f(x, y)$ defined by the equation

\[ f(x, y) = axy + bx + cy + d \]

will be called universal if $f(x, y)$ represents all integers for integral values of $x$ and $y$.

**Theorem 1.** *A necessary and sufficient condition for (1) to be universal is that*

\[ b = \pm 1 \text{ or } c = \pm 1 \pmod{a}, \]

*or $a = 6, b \equiv \pm 3, c \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{6}, \text{ or vice versa for } b \text{ and } c.$*

The sufficiency is evident. For, if $b = \pm 1 + Ba$,

\[ f(x, -B) = \pm x + d - Bc. \]

*Presented to the Society, December 28, 1931.
†The writer was led to the exceptional form $6xy + 3x + 2y$ as in the analysis below, but through an oversight he thought it did not represent 7. The error was, fortunately, pointed out by W. L. G. Williams before this paper went to press.