

## GENERALIZED REGULAR RINGS\*

N. H. McCOY

1. **Introduction.** An element  $a$  of a ring  $\mathfrak{R}$  is said to be *regular* if there exists an element  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  such that  $axa = a$ . A ring  $\mathfrak{R}$  with unit element, every element of which is regular, is a *regular ring*.† In the present note we introduce rings somewhat more general than the regular rings and prove a few results which are, for the most part, analogous to known theorems about regular rings.‡

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  denote a ring with unit element. If for every element  $a$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $a^n$  is regular, we shall say that  $\mathfrak{R}$  is  $\pi$ -*regular*. In general, the integer  $n$  will depend on  $a$ . If, however, there is a fixed integer  $m$  such that for all elements  $a$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$ ,  $a^m$  is regular, we may say that  $\mathfrak{R}$  is  $m$ -*regular*. In this notation, a regular ring is 1-regular.

An important example of a  $\pi$ -regular ring is a special primary ring, that is, a commutative ring in which every element which is not nilpotent has an inverse.§ It will be seen below that in the study of  $\pi$ -regular rings the special primary rings play a role similar to that of the fields in the case of regular rings.

2. **Theorems on  $\pi$ -regular rings.** Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a  $\pi$ -regular ring, and  $\mathfrak{Z}$  its center, that is, the set of all elements commutative with all elements of  $\mathfrak{R}$ . We now prove the first theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** *The center of a  $\pi$ -regular ring is  $\pi$ -regular.*

If  $a \in \mathfrak{Z}$ , there exists an  $n$  such that for some element  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$ ,  $a^n x a^n = a^n$ . Let  $y = a^{2n} x^3$ . Then, by a trivial modification of von Neumann's proof of the corresponding result for regular rings,|| it follows that  $y$  is in  $\mathfrak{Z}$  and that  $a^n y a^n = a^n$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{Z}$  is  $\pi$ -regular.

---

\* Presented to the Society, September 6, 1938.

† J. von Neumann, *On regular rings*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, vol. 22 (1936), pp. 707-713.

‡ In addition to von Neumann, loc. cit., see also a paper by the present author entitled *Subrings of infinite direct sums*, Duke Mathematical Journal, vol. 4 (1938), pp. 486-494. Hereafter this paper will be referred to as S.

§ See W. Krull, *Algebraische Theorie der Ringe*, Mathematische Annalen, vol. 88 (1922), pp. 80-122; R. Hölzer, *Zur Theorie der primären Ringe*, ibid., vol. 96 (1927), pp. 719-735. A ring is *primary* if every divisor of zero is nilpotent, that is, (0) is a primary ideal.

|| Loc. cit., p. 711.

It is a familiar result\* that a ring with unit element is reducible† if and only if its center is reducible. We shall use this fact to establish the following theorem:

**THEOREM 2.** *A  $\pi$ -regular ring is irreducible if and only if its center is a special primary ring.*

In view of the remark just made, we only need to show that the commutative  $\pi$ -regular ring  $\mathfrak{Z}$  is irreducible if and only if it is a special primary ring.

It is easy to see that a special primary ring  $\mathfrak{Z}$  is irreducible. For if  $\mathfrak{Z}$  is the direct sum of two proper ideals, and  $1 = e_1 + e_2$  is the corresponding decomposition of the unit, then  $e_i \neq 0$ ,  $e_i^2 = e_i$ , ( $i = 1, 2$ ),  $e_1 e_2 = 0$ . Thus  $e_1$  can be neither nilpotent nor have an inverse, in violation of the definition of a special primary ring.

Suppose now that  $\mathfrak{Z}$  is an irreducible commutative  $\pi$ -regular ring, and that  $z$  is any element of  $\mathfrak{Z}$  which is not nilpotent. We shall show that  $z$  has an inverse. For some positive integer  $n$ , there exists an  $x$  in  $\mathfrak{Z}$  such that  $xz^{2n} = z^n$ . Now  $xz^n \neq 0$ , as otherwise we should have  $z^n = 0$ . Let  $e_1 = xz^n$ ,  $e_2 = 1 - e_1$ . Then it is easy to verify that  $e_i^2 = e_i$ ,  $e_1 e_2 = 0$ . If  $\mathfrak{Z}_i$  denotes the ideal of all elements of  $\mathfrak{Z}$  of the form  $ce_i$ ,  $c \in \mathfrak{Z}$ , ( $i = 1, 2$ ), then  $\mathfrak{Z}$  is the direct sum of the ideals  $\mathfrak{Z}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{Z}_2$ . Since  $\mathfrak{Z}_1 \neq 0$ , our assumption that  $\mathfrak{Z}$  is irreducible requires that  $\mathfrak{Z}_2 = 0$ . Thus  $e_2 = 0$ , which implies that  $z$  has the inverse  $xz^{n-1}$ .

We now prove the following theorem:

**THEOREM 3.** *In a commutative  $\pi$ -regular ring  $\mathfrak{R}$ , every prime ideal is divisorless.*

Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be an arbitrary prime ideal in  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Then the ring  $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{p}$  contains no divisors of zero and hence is irreducible. But clearly  $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{p}$  is a commutative  $\pi$ -regular ring, and hence by the preceding theorem must be a special primary ring. However a special primary ring without divisors of zero is a field, and this implies that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is divisorless.‡

The final theorem of this section now follows immediately from a theorem of Krull.§

**THEOREM 4.** *In a commutative  $\pi$ -regular ring every ideal is the intersection of its primary ideal divisors.*

\* Cf. van der Waerden, *Moderne Algebra*, vol. 2, p. 164.

† That is, expressible as a direct sum of two proper two-sided ideals.

‡ Cf. S, Theorem 8.

§ W. Krull, *Idealtheorie in Ringen ohne Endlichkeitsbedingung*, *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 101 (1929), p. 738.

### 3. Characterizations of commutative $\pi$ -regular and $m$ -regular rings.

From the preceding theorem it follows\* that a commutative  $\pi$ -regular ring is isomorphic to a subring of a direct sum of primary rings, there being in general an infinite number of summands. But a primary ring can be imbedded in a special primary ring,† and we thus have the theorem:

**THEOREM 5.** *A commutative  $\pi$ -regular ring is isomorphic to a subring of a direct sum of special primary rings.*

In any commutative ring, if a primary ideal  $q$  has the property that whenever a finite power of an element  $b$  is in  $q$ , then  $b^m \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ , we shall say that  $q$  is a primary ideal of *index*  $m$ . In other words, the primary ideal  $q$  has index  $m$  if and only if  $x^m = 0$  for every element  $x$  in the radical of  $\mathfrak{R}/q$ . It is obvious that a primary ideal of index  $m$  is also primary of index  $k$ , where  $k$  is any positive integer greater than  $m$ . A prime ideal is clearly a primary ideal of index 1. We may remark also that if a commutative ring is  $m$ -regular it is also  $(m+1)$ -regular and therefore  $k$ -regular if  $k > m$ . For if  $a^{2m}x = a^m$ , it is easily verified that

$$a^{2(m+1)}(a^{2m-1}x^3) = a^{m+1},$$

and this implies that  $a^{m+1}$  is regular.

It is now easy to establish the following generalization of a known theorem on regular rings:‡

**THEOREM 6.** *A necessary and sufficient condition that a commutative ring  $\mathfrak{R}$ , with unit element, be  $m$ -regular is that in  $\mathfrak{R}$  every ideal be the intersection of its primary ideal divisors of index  $m$ .*

If  $\mathfrak{R}$  is  $m$ -regular, then every primary ideal is of index  $m$ . For if  $q$  is a primary ideal and  $a^k \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ , ( $k > m$ ), then since  $a^{2m}x = a^m$ , it follows that for each positive integer  $i > 1$ ,

$$a^{im}x = a^{(i-1)m}.$$

But for some  $i$ ,  $a^{im} \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ , and thus  $a^{(i-1)m} \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ . A repetition finally shows that  $a^m \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ . Hence  $q$  is of index  $m$ , and Theorem 4 completes the proof of the first part of the theorem.

Conversely, suppose  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a commutative ring with unit element in which every ideal is the intersection of its primary divisors of index  $m$ . Let  $a$  be an arbitrary element of  $\mathfrak{R}$ . We shall show that there exists

\* S, Theorem 1.

† See Hölzer, loc. cit., p. 722.

‡ S, Theorem 9.

an  $x$  such that  $a^{2^m}x = a^m$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  denote an arbitrary primary divisor of  $(a^{2^m})$  of index  $m$ . Then also  $a^m \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$  as follows at once from the assumption that  $\mathfrak{q}$  is of index  $m$ . Hence  $(a^m)$  and  $(a^{2^m})$  have precisely the same primary ideal divisors of index  $m$ ; thus, by hypothesis, it follows that  $(a^m) = (a^{2^m})$ . That is, there exists an  $x$  such that  $a^{2^m}x = a^m$ , and  $a^m$  is regular. Thus  $\mathfrak{R}$  is  $m$ -regular.

We conclude with the following theorem:

**THEOREM 7.** *A necessary and sufficient condition that a commutative ring  $\mathfrak{R}$ , with unit element, be  $m$ -regular is that all direct indecomposable ideals be primary of index  $m$ .\**

It is known † that in an arbitrary ring with unit element every ideal is the intersection of its direct indecomposable ideal divisors. If these are all primary of index  $m$ , the preceding theorem shows that  $\mathfrak{R}$  is  $m$ -regular.

Suppose  $\mathfrak{R}$  is  $m$ -regular, and let  $\mathfrak{f}$  be a direct indecomposable ideal in  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{f}$  is irreducible and is also  $m$ -regular. Thus, by Theorem 2,  $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{f}$  is a special primary ring and  $\mathfrak{f}$  is therefore a primary ideal in  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Theorem 6 then states that  $\mathfrak{f}$  is of index  $m$ , and the proof is completed.

SMITH COLLEGE

---

## A FORMULA FOR THE COEFFICIENTS OF THE CYCLOTOMIC POLYNOMIAL ‡

J. E. EATON

Despite the widespread use of the roots of unity in the solution of many mathematical questions, the problem of characterizing the irreducible equation

$$F_n(x) = x^r + a_1x^{r-1} + \cdots + a_r = 0$$

whose roots are the primitive  $n$ th roots of unity has received little attention. It is well known that  $r = \phi(n)$ , that  $F_n(1) = p$  for  $n = p^\alpha$  (where  $p$  is a prime) and  $F_n(1) = 1$  otherwise. For  $n$  a power of a prime  $a_i$  is 1 or 0. In 1883 Migotti § proved that for  $n$  a product of two primes  $a_i$  is  $\pm 1$  or 0. In 1895 Bang || showed that for  $n$  a product of

\* Cf. S, Theorem 10.

† See S, §4.

‡ Presented to the Society, February 26, 1938.

§ Sitzungsberichte der Akademie der Wissenschaften, Vienna, (2), vol. 87 (1883), pp. 7-14.

|| Nyt Tidsskrift for Matematik, (B), vol. 6 (1895), pp. 6-12.