

## REFERENCES

1. Zygmund, *Trigonometrical series*, chap. 5, p. 123.
2. *Ibid.*, chap. 2, p. 32.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

## ON FIBRE SPACES. II

RALPH H. FOX

This paper is primarily concerned with fibre mappings<sup>1</sup> into an absolute neighborhood retract. Theorem<sup>2</sup> 3 is a converse of the covering homotopy theorem; it characterizes fibre mappings (into a compact ANR) as mappings for which the covering homotopy theorem holds. Theorem 4 is Borsuk's fibre theorem;<sup>3</sup> the proof<sup>4</sup> which I present here is new. It seems to me that this theorem is a promising tool in function-space theory. Also I think that it furnishes conclusive justification for the generality of the Hurewicz-Steenrod definition of a fibre space. In fact, a fibre space of the type constructed by Borsuk's theorem almost never has a compact base space and almost never has its fibres of the same topological type.

The common denominator of the proofs of Theorems 3 and 4 is a property which I call *local equiconnectivity*. Local equiconnectivity is a strengthened form of local contractibility and a weakened form of the absolute neighborhood retract property (Theorems 1 and 2). Definitions and notations are those of FS. I.<sup>5</sup>

Let  $\Delta$  be the diagonal subset  $\sum_{b \in B} (b, b)$  of  $B \times B$ . I shall call the space  $B$  *locally equiconnected* (or, to be specific,  $(U, V)$ -equiconnected) if there are neighborhoods  $U$  and  $V$  of  $\Delta$  and a homotopy  $\lambda$  in  $B$  between the two projections of  $U$  which does not move the points of  $\Delta$  and which is uniform<sup>5</sup> with respect to  $V$ . Precisely:

- (1)  $\lambda_t(b_0, b_1)$  is defined for all  $(b_0, b_1) \in U$ ,
- (2)  $\lambda_0(b_0, b_1) = b_0$ ,

Received by the editors April 2, 1943.

<sup>1</sup> W. Hurewicz and N. Steenrod, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. vol. 27 (1941) p. 61.

<sup>2</sup> This theorem was announced in Hurewicz-Steenrod, op. cit. footnote 3.

<sup>3</sup> K. Borsuk, Fund. Math. vol. 28 (1937) p. 99.

<sup>4</sup> This proof was announced in the author's paper *On the deformation retraction of some function spaces . . .*, Ann. of Math. vol. 44 (1943) p. 52.

<sup>5</sup>  $\bar{\pi}(x, b) = (\pi(x), b)$  as in R. H. Fox, *On fibre spaces. I*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 49 (1943) pp. 555-557.

- (3)  $\lambda_1(b_0, b_1) = b_1$ ,  
 (4)  $\lambda_t(b, b) = b$  for every  $(b, b) \in \Delta$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,  
 (5) there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|t - t'| < \delta$  implies that  
 $\sum_{(b_0, b_1) \in U} (\lambda_t(b_0, b_1), \lambda_{t'}(b_0, b_1)) \subset V$ .

Roughly speaking,  $B$  is locally equiconnected if there are paths between sufficiently nearby points such that the paths depend continuously on the end points.

**THEOREM 1.** *A locally equiconnected space is locally contractible.*

Let  $N$  be a neighborhood of some point  $b_1$  of  $B$  and let  $M$  denote the set of points  $b_0$  such that  $\sum_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \lambda_t(b_0, b_1) \subset N$ . By (4),  $b_1 \in M$ ; a simple continuity argument shows that  $M$  is a neighborhood of  $b_1$ . Since  $M$  is contractible to  $b_1$  in  $N$  the theorem is proved.

**THEOREM 2.** *A compact ANR-set is locally equiconnected.*

Let  $B$  be a neighborhood retract of the Hilbert parallelotope  $Q$  and let  $r$  be a retraction of an open neighborhood  $N$  of  $B$  onto  $B$ . Since  $Q - N$  and  $B$  are disjoint compact sets  $\epsilon = d(B, Q - N)/2 > 0$ . Let  $U_\epsilon$  be the closed neighborhood of  $\Delta$  determined by the covering of  $B$  by  $\epsilon$ -spheres and let  $\lambda_t(b_0, b_1) = r((1-t)b_0 + tb_1)$  for  $(b_0, b_1) \in U_\epsilon$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Conditions (1), (2), (3), and (4) are obviously satisfied. Condition (5) follows, for any  $V$ , from the compactness of  $U_\epsilon$ .

From Theorems 1 and 2 it follows,<sup>6</sup> for finite dimensional compacta, that local contractibility, local equiconnectivity and the ANR property are equivalent. For infinite dimensional spaces no more is known than is implied above.

**THEOREM 3 (CONVERSE OF THE COVERING HOMOTOPY THEOREM).**  
*Let  $B$  be a  $(U, V)$ -equiconnected space and let  $\pi \in B^X$ . Suppose that for every mapping  $g \in X^Y$  and homotopy  $h$  in  $B$  which is uniform with respect to  $V$  and has initial value<sup>6</sup>  $\pi g$  there exists a covering homotopy  $h^*$  in  $X$  with initial value  $g$ . Then  $\pi$  is a fibre mapping relative to  $U$ .*

Let  $h_t(x, b) = \lambda_t(\pi(x), b)$ . Since  $h$  is uniform with respect to  $V$  there is a covering homotopy  $h^*$  such that  $h_0^*(x, b) = x$ . Let  $\phi(x, b) = h_1^*(x, b)$ . Then<sup>6</sup>  $\phi$  maps  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  continuously into  $X$  and  $\pi\phi(x, b) = b$ . Since  $h_{[0,1]}(x, \pi(x)) = \pi(x)$  it follows that  $\phi(x, \pi(x)) = h_1^*(x, \pi(x)) = h_0^*(x, \pi(x)) = x$ . Thus  $\phi$  is a slicing function.

Let  $A$  be a closed subset of  $X$  and let  $\pi$  denote the sectioning operation  $\pi(f) = f|_A$ ,  $f \in Y^X$ .

<sup>6</sup> K. Borsuk, *Fund. Math.* vol. 19 (1932) p. 240, Theorem 32.

**THEOREM 4 (BORSUK'S FIBRE THEOREM).** *If  $A$  is closed in  $X$  and  $Y$  is a compact ANR-set then  $\pi$  is a fibre mapping.*

By Theorem 2,  $Y$  is locally equiconnected and, if it is suitably metrized, there is a positive number  $\epsilon$  such that  $\lambda_\epsilon(y_0, y_1)$  is defined whenever  $d(y_0, y_1) < \epsilon$ . Let  $\Gamma_0$  denote the graph of  $\pi$  and let  $\Gamma_\epsilon$  denote the subset of  $Y^X \times Y^A$  defined by the rule  $(f, g) \in \Gamma_\epsilon$  when  $d(\pi(f), g) < \epsilon$ . Because  $Y$  is compact  $\Gamma_\epsilon$  is a neighborhood of  $\Gamma_0$ . Define

$$\psi_\epsilon(f, g, x) = \begin{cases} \lambda_\epsilon(f(x), g(x)) & \text{for } (f, g, x) \in \Gamma_\epsilon \times A, \\ f(x) & \text{for } (f, g, x) \in \Gamma_0 \times X. \end{cases}$$

Thus  $\psi$  is a homotopy in  $Y$ ; each  $\psi_\epsilon$  is defined on the closed subset  $C = \Gamma_\epsilon \times A + \Gamma_0 \times X$  of  $\Gamma_\epsilon \times A$ . But  $\psi_0(f, g, x) = f(x)$  for every  $(f, g, x) \in C$ , and this map has the extension  $\psi_0^*(f, g, x) = f(x)$  defined for every  $(f, g, x) \in \Gamma_\epsilon \times X$ . It follows<sup>7</sup> that  $\psi_1$  can be extended to  $\Gamma_\epsilon \times X$ . Let  $\psi_1^*$  denote an extension of  $\psi_1$  and set  $\phi(f, g)(x) = \psi_1^*(f, g, x)$  for  $(f, g) \in \Gamma_\epsilon$  and  $x \in X$ , so that  $\phi(f, g) \in Y^X$  for every fixed  $(f, g) \in \Gamma_\epsilon$ . Then  $\phi$  maps  $\Gamma_\epsilon$  into  $Y^X$ ,  $\pi\phi(f, g) = g$ ,  $\phi(f, \pi(f)) = f$ . Thus  $\phi$  is a slicing function for  $\pi$ .

Since the image set of a fibre mapping is necessarily open and closed in the base space, an example<sup>8</sup> " $\mathcal{E}$ " shows that Theorem 4 is false for non-compact ANR-sets  $Y$ . However if neither  $X$  nor  $Y$  are compact (as in " $\mathcal{E}$ ") the topology of  $Y^X$  (and also of  $Y^A$ ) depends on the metrization of  $Y$ . Thus it may be possible (as it is in " $\mathcal{E}$ ") to re-metrize an ANR-set  $Y$  so as to make the sectioning operations fibre mappings. It should be observed that Borsuk has shown that Theorem 4 is false (with or without re-metrization) if  $Y$  is not locally contractible.<sup>4</sup>

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

<sup>7</sup> W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension theory*, Princeton, 1941, p. 86.

<sup>8</sup> R. H. Fox, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* vol. 48 (1942) p. 271 footnote 3.