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In the study of coincidence problems for birth-and-death processes [1; 2; 3] the authors encountered systems of orthogonal polynomials in several variables constructed as follows. Let \( \psi(x) \) be a distribution function on the semi-axis \([0, \infty)\) with infinitely many points of increase, and with finite moments of all orders. Let \( Q_n(x), n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) be the orthogonal polynomials for the distribution \( \psi \), and taking integers \( 0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_n \) form the determinant

\[
Q_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = \text{det } Q_{i_k}(x_k).
\]

This is a polynomial in the \( n \) variables \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) and the collection of all such determinants \( (0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_n) \) forms an orthogonal system on the simplex \( S = \{ (x_1, \ldots, x_n); 0 < i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < x_n \} \). In fact if \( \int_0^\infty Q_i(x) Q_j(x) \psi(x) = \delta_{ij}/\pi_j \), then (see [2])

\[
\int \cdots \int Q_{i_1, \ldots, i_n}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) Q_{j_1, \ldots, j_n}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \psi(x_1) \cdots \psi(x_n)
= \delta_{i_1 j_1} \cdots \delta_{i_n j_n}/(\pi_{j_1} \cdots \pi_{j_n}).
\]

A few properties of the polynomials (1) were given in [2]. Here we give an account of additional properties and indicate some interesting generalizations.

We assume throughout that the \( Q_n(x) \) are normalized so \( Q_n(0) = 1 \). This normalization is possible since all zeros of \( Q_n \) lie in the open interval \((0, \infty)\). The recurrence relation then has the form \(-x Q_n = \mu_n Q_{n-1} - (\lambda_n + \mu_n) Q_n + \lambda_n Q_{n+1} \) where \( \mu_0 = 0, \mu_{n+1} > 0, \lambda_n > 0 \) for \( n \geq 0 \). The constants \( \pi_j \) are

\[
\pi_0 = 1, \pi_j = \frac{\lambda_0 \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{j-1}}{\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_j}, j \geq 1.
\]

1. Recurrence relations. The determinantal polynomials

\[
Q_{i_1, \ldots, i_n}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)
\]

of order \( n \) satisfy \( n \) different recursion formulas which may be derived from the basic recurrence formula \(-x Q(x) = A Q(x)\), where
\[ A = \| a_{ij} \|_{j=0}^{\infty} \text{ and } a_{ij} = \lambda_i \text{ if } j = i + 1; - (\lambda_i + \mu_i) \text{ if } j = i; \mu_i \text{ if } j = i; \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise } (i = 0, 1, \cdot \cdot \cdot). \]

Applying the composition formula of \([2, \text{ formula (10)}]\) to the recursion formula, we obtain

\[ (-1)^n x_1 x_2 \cdot \cdot \cdot x_n Q \left( \begin{array}{c} i_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, i_n \\ x_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, x_n \end{array} \right) \]

(4)

\[ = \sum_{0 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_n} A \left( \begin{array}{c} i_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, i_n \\ \alpha_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, \alpha_n \end{array} \right) Q \left( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdot \cdot \cdot, \alpha_n, x_1, x_2, \cdot \cdot \cdot, x_n \right) \]

where

\[ A \left( \begin{array}{c} i_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, i_n \\ \alpha_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, \alpha_n \end{array} \right) \]

denotes the minor of \( A \) formed with the rows \( i_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, i_n \) and the columns \( \alpha_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, \alpha_n \).

With the aid of (4) and the Laplace expansion of \( n \)th order determinants, we obtain

\[ (-1)^k E_k(x_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, x_n) Q \left( \begin{array}{c} i_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, i_n \\ x_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, x_n \end{array} \right) \]

(5)

\[ = \sum_{i_1 \leq \beta_1 < \cdots < \beta_k \leq n} \sum_{0 \leq r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_k} \]

\[ \times A \left( \begin{array}{c} \beta_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, \beta_k \\ r_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, r_k \end{array} \right) Q \left( \begin{array}{c} r_1, \cdot \cdot \cdots, r_k, i_{\beta_1}, \cdot \cdot \cdots, i_{\beta_k} \end{array} \right) \]

\[ \times x_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, x_n \]

\[ k = 1, 2, \cdot \cdot \cdot, n, \]

where \( E_k(x_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, x_n) \) is the familiar \( k \)th order elementary symmetric function of \( n \) variables, i.e., \( \sum E_k(x_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, x_n) t^k = \prod (1 + t x_i) \) and the meaning of the right-hand side in (5) is as follows: we select a \( k \)-tuple \( i_{\beta_1}, i_{\beta_2}, \cdot \cdot \cdot, i_{\beta_k} \) from \( (i_1, i_2, \cdot \cdot \cdot, i_n) \) and let \( (i_{\beta_1}, \cdot \cdot \cdots, i_{\beta_k})^c \) consist of the remaining \( n - k \) indices which together with \( (i_{\beta_1}, i_{\beta_2}, \cdot \cdot \cdots, i_{\beta_k}) \) comprise \( (i_1, i_2, \cdot \cdot \cdot, i_n) \). The summation in (5) is extended over all selections \( (i_{\beta_1}, i_{\beta_2}, \cdot \cdot \cdots, i_{\beta_k}) \) of \( (i_1, i_2, \cdot \cdot \cdot, i_n) \) and arbitrary \( 0 \leq r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_k \). The set of indices \( (r_1, r_2, \cdot \cdot \cdots, r_k) \) and \( (i_{\beta_1}, i_{\beta_2}, \cdot \cdot \cdots, i_{\beta_k})^c \) occurring in

\[ Q \left( \begin{array}{c} r_1, r_2, \cdot \cdot \cdots, r_k, i_{\beta_1}, \cdot \cdot \cdots, i_{\beta_k} \end{array} \right) \]

\[ \times x_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, x_n \]

are always arranged in increasing order.

The sum occurring in (5) is finite since
unless \( |i_t - r_t| \leq 1 \) \((i = 1, \ldots, k)\). The special case \( k = 1 \) in (5) reduces to

\[-(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)Q\left(\frac{i_1, \cdots, i_n}{x_1, \cdots, x_n}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\{ \mu_{i_k}Q\left(\frac{i_1, \cdots, i_{k-1}, i_k - 1, i_{k+1}, \cdots, i_n}{x_1, \cdots, x_n}\right) - (\lambda_{i_k} + \mu_{i_k})Q\left(\frac{i_1, \cdots, i_n}{x_1, \cdots, x_n}\right) + \lambda_{i_k}Q\left(\frac{i_1, \cdots, i_{k-1}, i_k + 1, i_{k+1}, \cdots, i_n}{x_1, \cdots, x_n}\right) \right\}.
\]

The case \( k = n \) is (4).

An alternative form of the \( k \)th recursion relation is

\[E_k(A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n)Q\left(\frac{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_n}{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n}\right) = (-1)^k E_k(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)Q\left(\frac{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_n}{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n}\right),\]

where

\[A_\ell Q\left(\frac{i_1, \cdots, i_n}{x_1, \cdots, x_n}\right) = \sum_j a_{i,j}Q\left(\frac{i_1, \cdots, i_{\ell-1}, j, i_{\ell+1}, \cdots, i_n}{x_1, \cdots, x_n}\right) = \sum_j a_{i,j}Q\left(\frac{i_1, \cdots, i_n}{x_1, \cdots, x_n}\right).
\]

The following uniqueness assertion holds. If \( \phi_{i_1, \ldots, i_n}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) is a system of functions which satisfy the full set of all \( n \) recurrence relations then

\[\phi_{i_1, \ldots, i_n}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)Q\left(\frac{i_1, \cdots, i_n}{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n}\right),\]

where \( f \) does not depend on \( i_1, \ldots, i_n \).

2. Christoffel-Darboux formula. The identity

\[
\frac{\lambda_n \pi_n Q\left(\frac{n, n+1}{x, y}\right)}{\lambda_0 \pi_0 Q\left(\frac{0, 1}{x, y}\right)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} Q_k(x)Q_k(y)\pi_k
\]

(6)
is called the Christoffel-Darboux formula. It has the generalization

\[
\left( \prod_{r=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}^{i} + \lambda_{r+1}^{i} + \cdots + \lambda_{n}^{i} + m - 1} \right) \frac{Q(n, n+1, \ldots, n+p-1, n+p, n+p+1, \ldots, n+p+m-1)}{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{p}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m}} \cdot \frac{Q(0, 1, \ldots, p-1, p, p+1, \ldots, p+m-1)}{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{p}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m}}
\]

valid for \(2 \leq p \leq m\). This formula and those of the next section can be derived by inductive arguments based on Sylvester’s identity.

3. The Wronskian identity. The polynomials of the second kind

\[
Q_{n}^{(0)}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{Q_{n}(x) - Q_{n}(y)}{x - y} \, d\psi(y)
\]

satisfy the recurrence relation for \(n \geq 1\), and the Wronskian identity

\[
Q_{n}(x)Q_{n+1}(y) - Q_{n+1}(x)Q_{n}(y) = -1/(\lambda_{n+1} \pi_{n}).
\]

For \(0 \leq i_{1} < i_{2} < \cdots < i_{r} < i_{r+1} < \cdots < i_{n}\) let

\[
Q \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
\xi_{1} & \xi_{2} & \cdots & \xi_{r} \\
\eta_{1} & \eta_{2} & \cdots & \eta_{r}
\end{array} \right)
\]

\[
= \left| \begin{array}{cccc}
Q_{i_{1}}(x_{1}), Q_{i_{1}}(x_{2}), \ldots, Q_{i_{1}}(x_{r}), Q_{i_{1}}^{(0)}(x_{r+1}), & \ldots, & Q_{i_{1}}^{(0)}(x_{n}) \\
Q_{i_{2}}(x_{1}), Q_{i_{2}}(x_{2}), \ldots, Q_{i_{2}}(x_{r}), Q_{i_{2}}^{(0)}(x_{r+1}), & \ldots, & Q_{i_{2}}^{(0)}(x_{n})
\end{array} \right|.
\]

The formulas

\[
Q \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
\xi_{1} & \xi_{2} & \cdots & \xi_{r} \\
\eta_{1} & \eta_{2} & \cdots & \eta_{r}
\end{array} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{(-1)^{r+1}(\lambda_{0} \pi_{0})^{r+1}}{\pi_{n} \lambda_{n+1} \cdots \lambda_{n+r+k}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} Q \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
0, 1 & 0, 1 \\
\lambda_{i} & \lambda_{i}
\end{array} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{k} Q \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
\xi_{1} & \xi_{r} & \eta_{1} & \eta_{r} \\
\xi_{1} & \xi_{r} & \eta_{1} & \eta_{r}
\end{array} \right)
\]

and
are generalizations of the Wronskian identity for the polynomials cited before.

4. **Positivity.** If \( x \geq 0 \) then \( Q_n(x) > 0 \). The analogous inequality for the determinants is

\[
(-1)^{n(n-1)/2}Q(X_1, \ldots, X_n) > 0 \quad \text{if } x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n \leq 0,
\]

and this, together with a number of related and sharper inequalities, has been discussed in detail in \([2]\).

5. **Continuous analogues.** The function \( \phi(x, \lambda) = \cos \lambda^{1/2}x \) satisfies

\[
\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \phi = -\lambda \phi, \quad \quad 0 < x < \infty,
\]

\[
\phi(0, \lambda) = 1, \quad \phi_x(0, \lambda) = 0.
\]

For fixed \( x \geq 0, \phi \) is an entire function of \( \lambda \) and these entire functions are analogous to the polynomials. We form the determinants

\[
\phi(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = \det \phi_i(x_i, \lambda_i)
\]

where \( 0 \leq x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n \). For each of the above described properties of the determinants \((1)\) there is a similar property of the determinants \((10)\). For example in place of the system of \( n \) recurrence relations we have a system of \( n \) partial differential equations

\[
(-1)^k E_k(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) u = E_k \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2}, \ldots, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} \right) u, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n,
\]

where \( u \) is the determinant \((10)\). The following uniqueness assertion holds. If \( u = u(x_1, \ldots, x_n; \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \) has continuous partial derivatives up to order \( 2n \) and satisfies all \( n \) equations \((11)\) are the closed simplex \( 0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \cdots \leq x_n < \infty \), and the boundary conditions
\[ u_{x_1}(0, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n; \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) = 0, \]
\[ u(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n; \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) = 0 \text{ if } x_i = x_{i+1}, i = 1, \ldots, n - 1, \]
then
\[ u = f(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \phi \left( \frac{x_1 \cdots x_n}{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n} \right). \]

Similar results may be obtained when \( \phi(x, \lambda) \) is replaced by the system of solutions of more general Sturm-Liouville problems.

6. Other properties. Many of the results established for the polynomials (1) have analogues dealing with the permanents
\[ Q \left[ i_1, \ldots, i_n \right] = \sum_{\sigma} Q_{i_1}(x_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots Q_{i_n}(x_{\sigma(n)}), \]
the sum running over all permutations \( \sigma \) of \( (1, 2, \ldots, n) \).

Other properties of polynomials in one variable possess analogues for the determinantal systems. We can discuss the properties of zeros, completeness, quadrature formulas, generating functions in the classical cases, etc. Details of these developments, the proofs of the results announced above, and their extensions to eigenfunctions of second order differential operators will be elaborated elsewhere.
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