DEVELOPMENTS IN THE CLASSICAL NEVANLINNA
THEORY OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS¹,²

BY W. H. J. FUCHS

1. Nevanlinna's theory of meromorphic functions is about forty
years old. The ideas of this theory reveal a "fine structure" of the dis-
tribution of values that was not visible to the older investigations of
Picard, Borel and others. The aim of this paper is to report on some
results concerning this "fine structure," especially on those based
on the notion of Nevanlinna deficiency. It covers, therefore, only a
very small part of the work on Nevanlinna Theory. In particular the
many important generalizations of the classical Nevanlinna theory
are not treated at all (Algebroid functions: H. Selberg [63], [64];
Meromorphic curves: L. Ahlfors [1], H. and J. Weyl [G]; Mappings
of a Riemann surface into another Riemann surface: S. S. Chern
[7], L. Sario [60], [61] and (with K. Noshiro) [F]; Holomorphic map-
pings of complex analytic manifolds: R. Bott and S. S. Chern [6]).

2. By a meromorphic function I shall mean a function mero-
orphic in \(|z| < \infty\). The symbol \(f(z)\) will always denote a mero-
orphic function. The core of Nevanlinna's Theory is expressed in
the two "Fundamental Theorems." Some notation is needed for
their statement. Let \(n(r, f)\) denote the number of poles of \(f(z)\) in
\(|z| \leq r\), each pole counted with its proper multiplicity (simple pole
once, double pole twice, \(\cdots\)). Then the number of solutions of
\(f(z) = c\) in \(|z| \leq r\) is given by \(n(r, 1/(f-c))\). Let

\[
N(r, \infty) = N(r, f) = \int_0^r (n(t, f) - n(0, f)) t^{-1} \, dt + n(0, f) \log r,
\]

\[
m(r, \infty) = m(r, f) = (1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(re^{it})| \, d\theta
\]

\[
\cdot (\log^+ |n| = \max\{\log |n|, 0\}).
\]

\[
N(r, c) = N(r, 1/(f-c)), \quad m(r, c) = m(r, 1/(f-c)) \quad (c \leq \infty).
\]

\(N(r, c)\) is a smoothed counting function of the \(c\)-points of \(f(z)\),
\(m(r, c)\) is a "proximity function" measuring how close \(f(z)\) comes to \(c,
on the average, on \(|z| = r\). We can now state the
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FIRST FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM. For every complex number $c$

(1) \[ m(r, f) + N(r, f) = m(r, 1/(f - c)) + N(r, 1/(f - c)) + K(r, c), \]

where

\[ |K(r, c)| < 2 + \log^+ |c|. \]

The interest lies here in the behavior of both sides of (1) as $r \to \infty$. It turns out that both sides tend to infinity, so that $K(c, r)$ is a negligible error-term.

Proofs of the Fundamental Theorems can be found in Hayman's monograph [A].

**DEFINITION 1.** The function

\[ T(r, f) = m(r, f) + N(r, f) \]

is the (Nevanlinna) characteristic function of $f(z)$. In the Nevanlinna theory the characteristic function takes the role played by

\[ \log M(r, f) = \log \sup_{|z| \leq r} |f(z)| \]

in the older theory of entire functions.

$T(r, f)$ is an increasing, convex function of $\log r$ tending to $\infty$ for every nonconstant $f$. Unless $f(z)$ is a rational function

(2) \[ T(r, f)/\log r \to \infty \quad (r \to \infty). \]

and, for constant $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$,

\[ T(r, (\alpha f + \beta)/(\gamma f + \delta)) = T(r, f) + O(1) \quad (\alpha \delta - \beta \gamma \neq 0). \]

**DEFINITION 2.** The order $\rho$ of $f(z)$ is given by

\[ \rho = \limsup_{r \to \infty} (\log T(r, f))/\log r. \]

The lower order $\lambda$ of $f(z)$ is given by

\[ \lambda = \liminf_{r \to \infty} (\log T(r, f))/\log r. \]

For an entire function $g(z)$

\[ T(r, g) \leq \log M(r, g) \leq (R + r)/(R - r)T(R, g) \quad (R > r). \]

Also, if the lower order $\lambda$ of $g$ satisfies $0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then (Ostrowski [52]; with $\rho$ in place of $\lambda$ Valiron [67]);

(3) \[ \liminf_{r \to \infty} \log M(r, g)/T(r, g) \leq \pi \lambda \csc \pi \lambda. \]
For $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$ the best-possible value on the right-hand side of (3) is probably $\pi \lambda$, but this has not yet been proved. However, V. P. Petrenko [57] showed that for every real $\phi$

$$\lim \inf \log | g(re^{i\phi}) | / T(r, g) \leq \pi \lambda \quad (\lambda > 1/2),$$

following an earlier paper by A. A. Goldberg [32] where this is proved with $\rho$ in place of $\lambda$. Examples of R. E. A. C. Paley [54] show that for every order $\rho$ there are entire functions with

$$\lim \sup \log M(r, g) / T(r, g) = \infty.$$

3. SECOND FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM. Let $c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_q$ be $q \geq 2$ complex numbers. Then

$$(4) \quad m(r, f) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q} m(r, 1/(f - c_\nu)) \leq 2T(r, f) - N_1(r) + S(r),$$

where

$$N_1(r) = N(r, 1/f'(z)) + 2N(r, f(z)) - N(r, f'(z))$$

is the smoothed counting function of multiple points (a point of multiplicity $p$ is counted $p - 1$ times) and

$$S(r) = O(\log r + \log T(r))$$

for all $r$ outside a set of finite measure.

If $f(z)$ is of finite order, then

$$S(r) = O(\log r)$$

is true for all large $r$.

The term $N_1(r)$ in (4) gives rise to many interesting theorems about multiple values; in this paper it will be sufficient to estimate it by the obvious inequality

$$N_1(r) \geq 0 \quad (r > 1).$$

Dividing (4) by $T(r, f)$ and letting $r \to \infty$ through a suitable sequence of values yields the important

COROLLARY. If $c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_k$ are $k \geq 3$ distinct values ($\infty$ is not excluded), then

$$(5) \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^{k} \lim \inf_{r \to \infty} \frac{m(r, c_\nu)}{T(r, f)} \leq \lim \inf_{r \to \infty} \sum_{\nu=1}^{k} \frac{m(r, c_\nu)}{T(r, f)} \leq 2.$$
DEFINITION 3. The number \( \delta(c, f) = \lim \inf_{r \to \infty} m(r, c)/T(r, f) \) is the (Nevanlinna) deficiency of \( c \) with respect to \( f(z) \).

By the First Fundamental Theorem
\[
\delta(c, f) = \lim \inf_{r \to \infty} m(r, c)/T(r, f) = 1 - \lim \sup_{r \to \infty} N(r, c)/T(r, f).
\]

It follows at once that
\[ 0 \leq \delta(c, f) \leq 1. \]

DEFINITION 4. The value \( c \) is deficient with respect to \( f(z) \), if
\[ \delta(c, f) > 0. \]

The inequality (5) yields easily

**Theorem 1.** A meromorphic function \( f(z) \) has, at most, a finite or denumerable set of deficient values and
\[ \sum \delta(c, f) \leq 2, \]
where the summation is over all deficient values.

If a value \( c \) is not assumed by \( f(z) \), then, by (6), \( \delta(c, f) = 1 \). Theorem 1 contains therefore the sharpened form of

**Picard’s Theorem.** If \( f(z) \neq a \) and \( f(z) \neq b \), then \( \delta(c, f) = 0 \) for every \( c \) different from \( a \) and \( b \).

4. The study of many types of special functions led R. Nevanlinna to the following three

**Conjectures.** (a) Deficient values are asymptotic values,
(b) \( m(r, f') \sim m(r, f) \),
(c) the number of deficient values of a meromorphic function is finite.

Mme. L. Schwartz and O. Teichmüller [62], [66] independently disproved conjecture (a) by giving examples of meromorphic functions with a deficient value which is not an asymptotic value. W. Hayman and A. Goldberg gave examples of entire functions with this property [39], [27]. Goldberg constructed such entire functions of order \( 1 + \epsilon \) for every positive \( \epsilon \).

In all these examples the deficiencies are rather small. At the International Congress of Mathematicians, Moscow, 1966, A. A.
Goldberg announced an example of a meromorphic function with 
$\delta(0, f) = 1$, for which $0$ is not an asymptotic value.

Conjecture (b) has been thoroughly investigated and disproved
in two fairly recent papers by W. K. Hayman [40], [41].

The first counterexample to conjecture (c) was given by A. A.
Goldberg who gave an example of a meromorphic function with
infinitely many deficient values [24]. His example was moreover of
finite order. Later on he refined his construction to show that this
order could be chosen arbitrarily small.

Quite recently N. U. Arakelyan [4] proved that there are entire
functions of every order $\rho > \frac{1}{2}$ with infinitely many deficient values.
The lower bound $\frac{1}{2}$ is best-possible: By a well-known theorem of
Wiman an entire function $g(z)$ of order $< \frac{1}{2}$ has the property that
$|g(r_n e^{i\theta})| \to \infty$ uniformly in $\theta$ as $r_n \to \infty$ through a suitable sequence of
values. This implies $m(r_n, c) = 0$ for every complex $c$ and $n > n_0(c)$, so that
$\delta(c, f) = 0$.

5. It is natural to ask whether the deficiencies $\delta(c, f)$ are subject
to any restrictions beyond those expressed in Theorem 1. A complete,
negative answer is known in the case of entire functions:

THEOREM 2 (FUCHS-HAYMAN [22]). Given a finite or denumerable
set of complex numbers $c_1, c_2, \ldots$, and a set of real numbers $\delta_j = \delta(c_j)$
such that $0 < \delta_j \leq 1$, $\sum \delta_j \leq 1$, it is possible to find an entire function
$f(z)$ such that

$\delta(c_j, f) = \delta_j,$

and $\delta(b, f) = 0$ for every $b$ which does not belong to the set \{c_j\}.

There is in all probability an analogous theorem for meromorphic
functions (now $\sum \delta_j \leq 2$), but this has not been proved, to my knowl-
edge. For the case of a finite number of deficient values with sum $< 2$
the analogue is true (Goldberg [23]).

The situation becomes much more complicated, if attention is
restricted to functions of finite order or of finite lower order. In this
case the $\delta$ are subject to further restrictions which are only incom-
pletely known at present.

THEOREM 3 (HAYMAN [A, Theorem 4.2]). If $f(z)$ is a nonconstant
meromorphic function of finite lower order $\lambda$ and if $\epsilon > 0$, then there is a
constant $K(\lambda, \epsilon)$ such that

$\sum (\delta(c, f))^{1/3+\epsilon} < K(\lambda, \epsilon).$

In this theorem the $\frac{1}{3}$ in the exponent cannot be replaced by a
smaller number, since there are meromorphic functions with 
\( \sum (\delta(a, f))^{1/3 - \varepsilon} = \infty \) \((\varepsilon > 0)\). However, Arakelyan conjectures that in 
the case of entire functions stronger inequalities are true.

6. An interesting open problem is the investigation of the least 
upper bound of

\[
\Delta(f) = \sum_c \delta(c, f)
\]
as \( f \) runs through all meromorphic functions of a given order \( \rho \) or 
lower order \( \lambda \).

A way of attacking this problem is provided by the following 
lemma which is a simple byproduct of Nevanlinna's proof of the 
Second Fundamental Theorem.

**Lemma 1 (Wittich [E]).** For entire functions of finite order

\[
\Delta(f) \leq \delta(0, f') + \delta(\infty, f') = \delta(0, f') + 1
\]

for meromorphic functions of finite order

\[
\Delta(f) \leq 2 \{ \delta(0, f') + \delta(\infty, f') \}.
\]

Upper bounds for \( \Delta \) can therefore be derived from lower bounds of

\[
2 - \delta(\infty) - \delta(0) \geq \limsup_{r \to \infty} (N(r, f) + N(r, 1/f))/T(r, f) = k(f).
\]

Nevanlinna made the

**Conjecture 1.** For every meromorphic function \( f(z) \) of order \( \rho < \infty \)

\[
k(f) \geq \left| \sin \pi \rho \right| / \left( [\rho] + \left| \sin \pi \rho \right| \right) \quad [\rho] \leq \rho \leq [\rho] + 1/2,
\]

\[
\geq \left| \sin \pi \rho \right| / \left( [\rho] + 1 \right) \quad [\rho] + 1/2 \leq \rho \leq [\rho] + 1.
\]

A. Edrei [10] and I. V. Ostrovskii [53] proved independently

**Theorem 4.** Let

\[
v(x) = 1 \quad (0 \leq x \leq 1/2),
\]

\[
= \sin \pi x \quad (1/2 \leq x \leq 1),
\]

\[
= \left| \sin \pi x \right| / (Ax + 1/2 \left| \sin \pi x \right|) \quad (1 < x),
\]

where \( A < 12 \) is an absolute constant.

If \( f(z) \) is a meromorphic function of order \( \rho \) and lower order \( \lambda \), then

\[
k(f) \geq \sup_{\lambda \leq \rho \leq \rho} v(x),
\]

where \( k \) is defined by (7).
In some special cases the answer to the problem raised at the beginning of this section is known. Quite recently A. Edrei proved the remarkable

**Theorem 5** [11]. If \( f(z) \) is a meromorphic function of lower order \( \lambda < \frac{1}{2} \), then

\[
\Delta(f) \leq 1. 
\]

*This upper bound is attained, if and only if* \( f(z) \) *has one deficient value c with* \( \delta(c, f) = 1 \). *If* \( f(z) \) *has at least two deficient values, then*

\[
\Delta(f) < 1 - \cos \pi \lambda. 
\]

Edrei's paper also contains

**Conjecture 2.** If \( \frac{1}{3} < \lambda \leq 1 \),

\[
\Delta(f) \leq 2 - \sin \pi \lambda. 
\]

A. Pfluger has investigated under which circumstances the sum of the deficiencies of an entire function can reach the maximum value 2. He obtained the beautiful

**Theorem 6** [58]. If \( f(z) \) is an entire function of finite order \( p \) with

\[
\sum \delta(c, f) = 2, 
\]

then \( p \) is a positive integer and, for every deficient value \( c, \delta(c, f) \) is an integral multiple of \( 1/p \). In particular there cannot be more than \( p \) finite deficient values.

Additional information is given by

**Theorem 7** (Edrei-Fuchs [14]). Given \( \epsilon, 0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{3} \), and \( \lambda > 0 \), there is a \( \delta = \epsilon \delta_1(\lambda) \) such that every entire function \( f(z) \) of lower order \( \lambda \) with

\[
\sum \delta(c, f) > 2 - \delta 
\]

has the following properties:

(a) There is a positive integer \( p \) such that \( p \) differs from the order \( p \) of \( f(z) \) and from the lower order \( \lambda \) by less than \( \epsilon \).

(b) There are \( s \leq p \) finite deficient values \( c_1 \ldots c_s \) such that each \( \delta(c_i, f) \) differs by less than \( A_1 \epsilon \) from an integral multiple of \( 1/p \) and

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{s} \delta(c_i, f) > 1 - A_2 \epsilon \quad (A_1 \text{ and } A_2 \text{ absolute constants}). 
\]

(c) Each \( c_k \) is an asymptotic value of \( f(z) \).

The analogue of Theorem 6 for meromorphic functions is likely to be:

**Conjecture 3.** If \( f(z) \) is a meromorphic function of finite order with \( \Delta(f) = 2 \), then the order \( p \) of \( f(z) \) is of the form \( \rho = n/2 \) \( (n = 2, 3, 4, \ldots) \); all deficiencies are multiples of \( 1/\rho \); in particular \( f(z) \) has only finitely many deficient values.

Recently Edrei proved
Theorem 8 [12]. If \( f(z) \) is a meromorphic function of lower order \( \lambda \leq 1 \), then \( \Delta(f) = 2 \) implies that \( f(z) \) has either two or three deficient values. Also \( \lambda \geq 5/6 \).

It is very likely that the case of three deficient values cannot occur. If this is so, then \( \lambda = 1 \).

7. Several results are known for functions of lower order \( \lambda < 1 \). Their proofs are based on elaborations of the following simple remark: Let

\[
f(z) = \prod (1 - z/a_k) / \prod (1 - z/b_k)
\]

be an entire function of order \( \rho < 1 \). If for a given \( r > 0 \)
\( E = \{ \theta | |f(re^{i\theta})| > 1 \} \), then

\[
m(r, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_E \log \left| 1 - \frac{re^{i\theta}}{a_k} \right| d\theta - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_E \log \left| 1 - \frac{re^{i\theta}}{b_k} \right| d\theta.
\]

If the measure of \( E \) is \( 2\beta (0 \leq \beta \leq \pi) \), then

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_E \log \left| 1 - \frac{re^{i\theta}}{a_k} \right| d\theta \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\beta}^{\beta} \log \left| 1 + \frac{re^{i\theta}}{a_k} \right| d\theta,
\]

since \( \log \left| 1 - \rho e^{i\theta} \right| (0 \leq |\theta| < \pi, \rho > 0) \) is an increasing function of \( |\theta| \) in \( 0 \leq |\theta| \leq \pi \). Similarly

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_E \log \left| 1 - \frac{re^{i\theta}}{b_k} \right| d\theta \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\beta}^{+\beta} \log \left| 1 - \frac{re^{i\theta}}{b_k} \right| d\theta
\]

and so

\[
m(r, f) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\beta}^{\beta} \log \left| f_1(re^{i\theta}) \right| d\theta
\]

where

\[
f_1(z) = \prod (1 + z/|a_k|) / \prod (1 - z/|b_k|).
\]

Since \( |f_1(re^{i\theta})| \) is a decreasing function of \( |\theta| \) in \( 0 \leq |\theta| \leq \pi \), there is a \( \gamma, 0 \leq \gamma \leq \pi \), such that

\[
|f_1(re^{i\theta})| > 1 \quad (|\theta| < \gamma), \quad |f_1(re^{i\theta})| < 1 \quad (\gamma < |\theta| < \pi).
\]

Obviously

\[
m(r, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\gamma}^{-\gamma} \log \left| f_1(re^{i\theta}) \right| d\theta \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\beta}^{\beta} \log \left| f_1(re^{i\theta}) \right| d\theta \geq m(r, f).
\]
Since $N(r, f_i) = N(r, f)$,

$$\delta(\infty, f_i) = \liminf \frac{m(r, f_i)}{m(r, f) + N(r, f)} \geq \liminf \frac{m(r, f)}{m(r, f) + N(r, f)} = \delta(\infty, f).$$

By the same method we have also $\delta(0, f_i) \geq \delta(0, f)$ (A. Goldberg [26], with a different proof). This reduces the estimation of $\delta(0, f)$ and $\delta(\infty, f)$ to the case of functions with real, positive zeros and real, negative poles. In this case the relation between the size of the deficiencies and the angle $\beta$ can be worked out in fair detail. This leads to

**Lemma 2.** If $K > 0$ and if $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function of lower order $\lambda < 1$ then the set of numbers

$$\beta(r) = (1/2)m\{\theta \mid |f(re^{i\theta})| > K\}$$

has a limit point $\beta$ such that

$$\sin \pi \lambda \leq (1 - \delta(0, f)) \sin \beta \lambda + (1 - \delta(\infty, f)) \sin(\pi - \beta) \lambda.$$

An immediate consequence is (Edrei [10], Ostrovskii [52]).

**Theorem 9.** If $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function of lower order $\lambda < 1$ and if $a$ and $b$ are two points of the extended complex plane,

$$u = 1 - \delta(a, f), \quad v = 1 - \delta(b, f),$$

then

$$0 \leq u \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq v \leq 1$$

and

$$u^2 + v^2 - 2uv \cos \pi \lambda \geq \sin^2 \pi \lambda.$$

If $u \leq \cos \pi \lambda$, then $v = 1$.

For any pair of numbers $u_0, v_0$ obeying the restrictions just stated there is a meromorphic function of order $\lambda$ for which $u = u_0, v = v_0$.

More precise information about functions with a deficiency $\delta(a, f) \geq 1 - \cos \pi \lambda$ is contained in

**Theorem 10 (Ostrovskii [52]; Edrei [10]).** Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of lower order $\lambda < \frac{1}{2}$. Let

$$\mu(r, f) = \inf_{|z| = r} |f(z)|.$$

Then

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^+ \mu(r, f)}{T(r, f)} \geq \frac{\pi \lambda}{\sin \pi \lambda} \{\cos \pi \lambda - 1 + \delta(\infty, f)\}.$$
COROLLARY. If \( \delta(\infty, f) > 1 - \cos \pi \lambda \), then \( |f(re^{it})| \to \infty \), uniformly in \( \theta \), as \( r_n \to \infty \) through a suitable sequence. In particular \( f(z) \) has no finite deficient value.

Theorem 10 is, of course, closely connected to Wiman's Theorem on entire functions of order less than \( \frac{1}{2} \). This theorem, in the improved form given to it by Kjellberg [43], states

**Theorem 11.** Let \( f(z) \) be an entire function of lower order \( \lambda < 1 \). Then

\[
\lim \sup (\log \mu(r, f)/\log M(r, f)) \geq \cos \pi \lambda.
\]

Recently much work has been done on the closer investigation of entire functions of lower order \( \lambda < 1 \) (M. Anderson [2], [3], M. Essén [19]). Most of this work arose from

**Theorem 12** (Kjellberg [44]). Let \( 0 < \sigma < 1 \). Let \( f(z) \) be an entire function such that

\[
\log \mu(r, f) - \cos \pi \sigma \log M(r, f) \leq 0 \quad (r > r_0).
\]

Then \( L = \lim_{r \to \infty} r^{-\sigma} \log M(r) \) exists and \( 0 < L \leq \infty \).

8. An extension of Theorem 10 in one direction is

**Theorem 13** (Edrei [11]). Let \( f(z) \) be a meromorphic function of lower order \( \lambda \) and let \( \delta(c, f) > 0 \). If the nonnegative integer \( q \) is chosen so that

\[
\cos(\pi \lambda / q) \geq 1 - \delta(r, f), \quad q \geq 2 \lambda,
\]

then there is a sequence \( \{r_n\}, r_n \to \infty \), such that for every \( \eta > 0 \)

\[
\lim \inf m\{\theta | f(r_ne^{i\theta}) - c | < \eta\} \geq 2\pi / q.
\]

The sequence \( r_n \) depends only on \( T(r, f) \), not on the choice of \( c \) or \( \eta \).

Theorem 13 is the basis of the proofs of Theorems 5 and 8. It also lends support to the following conjecture which was enunciated in a weaker form by Teichmüller [66].

**Conjecture 4.** If \( f(z) \) is a meromorphic function of lower order \( \lambda \), then there is a sequence \( \{r_n\}, r_n \to \infty \), such that for every deficient value \( c \) of \( f \) and every \( \eta > 0 \)

\[
\lim \inf_{n \to \infty} m\{\theta | f(r_ne^{i\theta}) - c | < \eta\} \geq \inf\{2\pi, (2/\lambda) \arccos(1 - \delta(c, f))\}.
\]

The conjecture is true, by Theorem 13, if \( \delta(c, f) = 1 - \cos(\pi \lambda / q) \), \( q \) a positive integer \( \geq 2\lambda \). The truth of Conjecture 4 would imply the truth of Conjecture 2. Conjecture 4 was verified by Teichmüller for a very special class
of functions. It receives further support from

**Theorem 14 (Arima [5])**. Let \( f(z) \) be an entire function of order \( p \). Let \( \alpha(r) \) be the angle subtended at the origin by the largest arc of \( |z| = r \) on which \( |f(z)| > 1 \). Then

\[
\limsup_{r \to \infty} \alpha(r) \geq \frac{\pi}{p}.
\]

Notice also that Theorem 9 would be an immediate consequence of Conjecture 4. Its inequalities express the obvious fact that the sets \( \{ \theta \mid |f(re^{i\theta}) - a| < \eta \} \) and \( \{ \theta \mid |f(re^{i\theta}) - b| < \eta \} \) are disjoint and of total measure \( \leq 2\pi \), if \( \eta \) is sufficiently small.

9. Since the definition of the \( N \)-function and therefore also of \( \delta(c, f) \) depends only on the absolute values of the \( c \)-points of \( f(z) \), it is surprising that knowledge of the angular distribution of \( c \)-points gives information about deficiencies.

**Theorem 15 (Edrei [8], Edrei and Fuchs [16], [17])**. If all the zeros and poles of the meromorphic function \( f(z) \) lie on \( s \geq 1 \) radial lines, then \( f(z) \) has at most \( s \) deficient values other than 0 and \( \infty \). If the maximum number \( s \) is attained, each deficient value must be asymptotic.

The total number of deficient values is at most \( s+1 \), this maximum can only be attained if \( \delta(0, f) > 0 \) and \( \delta(\infty, f) > 0 \).

If \( \delta(c, f) > 0 \), \( c \neq 0, \infty \), and if \( \alpha \) is the minimum angle between two radial lines carrying zeros or poles, then the order \( p \) of \( f(z) \) is less than \( \pi/\alpha \).

If \( f(z) \) is of order \( p \), then the number of deficient values other than 0 and \( \infty \) is less than \( \min(s, 2p) \).

Many generalizations of this theorem are known. The zeros and poles need not be exactly on the lines, it is enough that they are close to the lines (Ostrovskii [51]). The radial lines may be replaced by curves of certain types (Edrei and Fuchs [17]), the angular condition need only be satisfied in some, rather narrow, annuli (Edrei and Fuchs [16]).

**Theorem 16 (Edrei, Fuchs and Hellerstein [18])**. Let all zeros \( a_k \) of the entire function \( f(z) \) lie on a finite number of radial lines. There is a constant \( k \) depending only on the configuration of these lines so that

\[
\sum |a_n|^{-k} = \infty, \quad \sum |a_n|^{-\xi} < \infty \quad \text{for some } \xi
\]

implies \( \delta(0, f) > A \), where \( A \) is an absolute constant.

Generalizations to meromorphic functions are given in [42].
Theorem 17 (Ostrovskii [50]). If \( f(z) \) is of order \( p \) and all poles and zeros of \( f(z) \) lie in a sector

\[
\alpha \leq \arg z \leq \alpha + \gamma
\]

where

\[
\pi/(2\pi - \gamma) < p < \pi/\gamma,
\]

then

\[
\delta(c, f) < 1 - \cos (\gamma p/2) \quad (c \neq 0, \infty).
\]

10. There are relations between the deficiencies and the structure of the power series of an entire function.

Theorem 18. If

\[
f(z) = \sum_{1}^{\infty} c_{k}z^{n_{k}}
\]

is an entire function of finite order and if

\[
n_{k}/k \rightarrow \infty \quad (k \rightarrow \infty),
\]

then \( f(z) \) has no finite deficient value.

This is an immediate consequence of the fact that \( f(re^{i\theta}) \rightarrow \infty \), uniformly in \( \theta \), as \( r \rightarrow \infty \) through a suitable sequence of values [21].

In the case of functions of infinite order a similar theorem may hold with (9) replaced by the condition \( \sum 1/n_{k} < \infty \). At present it is only known that under this condition \( f(z) \) assumes every finite value infinitely often. Kövari [45] has proved (implicitly)

Theorem 19. If \( f(z) \) is an entire function with the power series (8) and if

\[
\lambda_{n} > n(\log n)^{2+\gamma}
\]

then

\[
\delta(c, f) = 0 \quad (c \neq \infty).
\]

M. Anderson (unpublished) proved

Theorem 20. If \( f(z) \), given by (8), is an entire function of lower order \( \lambda < \infty \) and if every circle \( |z| = r, r > r_{0} \), contains a point at which \( |f(z)| < K \), for some positive \( K \), then

\[
\lim \inf(n_{k+1} - n_{k}) \leq C(\lambda) \quad (k \rightarrow \infty),
\]

where the function \( C(\lambda) \) satisfies
C(λ) = O(λ^2 \log^+ \lambda + 1).

It follows that \( f(z) \) can not have a finite deficient value, if
\[
\lim \inf (n_{k+1} - n_k) > C(\lambda).
\]

**Theorem 21.** If the set of exponentials \( \{ e^{i\omega_k^+} \}_{k=1}^\infty \) is not complete in \( L^2(-D, D) \), then all deficiencies of an entire function of lower order \( \lambda \) with the expansion (8) satisfy
\[
\delta(c, f) < A\lambda D \quad (c \neq \infty),
\]
where \( A \) is an absolute constant.

The proof of this theorem is based on Petrenko's improvement of an earlier result [20]:

**Theorem 22 [55].** If \( f(z) \) is a meromorphic function of lower order \( \lambda > 0 \), then for some arbitrarily large \( r \)
\[
r \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{f''(re^{i\theta})}{f(re^{i\theta})} \right| \, d\theta < B\lambda T(r, f),
\]
where \( B \) is an absolute constant.

A generalization of an earlier result of A. Pfluger and G. Pólya [59] on entire functions with a Borel-deficient value is

**Theorem 23 (Edrei and Fuchs [14]).** Let \( f(z) \) be an entire function of lower order \( \lambda \) with the power series (8). There is an absolute constant \( A \) such that
\[
\sum \delta(c, f) > 2 - A\epsilon/(\lambda + 1)(1 + \log(\lambda + 1))\]
has the following consequences: The order \( \rho \) and the lower order \( \lambda \) are close to an integer \( p \). If
\[
D = \lim \inf_\infty \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n_k \leq N} (1/n_k)
\]
\[
\overline{D} = \lim \sup_\infty \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n_k \leq N} (1/n_k),
\]
then there is an integer \( s, 1 \leq s \leq p \), such that
\[
(1 - \epsilon)s/p \leq D \leq \overline{D} \leq s/p.
\]

**References**

**Textbooks of Nevanlinna Theory**


PAPERS


34. ———, *On the lower order of an entire function with a finite deficient value*, Sibirsk. Mat. Ž. 5 (1964), 54–76. (Russian)


