

# ON GAUSSIAN SUMS

BY TAKASHI ONO

Communicated by G. D. Mostow, May 17, 1968

This note is an outline of some of the author's recent work on a generalization of Fourier transforms in adèle spaces. Here we treat only the simplest case. The details and a generalization for an arbitrary ground  $\mathbf{A}$ -field and a system of polynomials will be given elsewhere. For the unexplained notions, see [1], [2] and [3].

Let  $f(X)$  be an absolutely irreducible polynomial in  $\mathcal{Q}[X] = \mathcal{Q}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  such that the corresponding hypersurface  $H = \{x \in \Omega^n; f(x) = 0\}$  is nonsingular, where  $\Omega$  denotes a universal domain containing  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Let  $V$  be the complement of  $H$  in  $\Omega^n$  viewed as an algebraic variety in  $\Omega^{n+1}$  in an obvious way. Hence the  $n$ -form  $\omega = f^{-1}dx, dx = dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$ , is everywhere holomorphic and never zero on  $V$ . For each valuation  $v$  of  $\mathcal{Q}$ , denote by  $\mathcal{Q}_v$  the completion of  $\mathcal{Q}$  at  $v$ . Denote by  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}^*$  the adèle ring and the idele group of  $\mathcal{Q}$ , respectively. For an idele  $a \in \mathbf{A}^*$ ,  $|a|_{\mathbf{A}}$  will denote the module of  $a$ . The adélization  $V_{\mathbf{A}}$  of  $V$  is then given by  $V_{\mathbf{A}} = \{x \in \mathbf{A}^n; f(x) \in \mathbf{A}^*\}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{Q}_v^n), \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{A}^n)$  the space of Schwartz functions on  $\mathcal{Q}_v^n, \mathbf{A}^n$ , respectively. For each  $v$ , the  $n$ -form  $\omega$  on  $V$  induces a measure  $\omega_v$  on  $V_{\mathcal{Q}_v}$  and we know that there is a well-defined measure  $dV_{\mathbf{A}}$  on  $V_{\mathbf{A}}$  of the form  $\prod_v \lambda_v^{-1} \omega_v$  with  $\lambda_{\infty} = 1$  and  $\lambda_p = 1 - p^{-1}$ . We know that the function

$$(1) \quad Z(f, \phi, s) = \int_{V_{\mathbf{A}}} \phi(x) |f(x)|_{\mathbf{A}}^s dV_{\mathbf{A}}, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{A}^n),$$

represents a meromorphic function for  $\text{Re } s > \frac{1}{2}$  having the single simple pole at  $s=1$  with the residue  $\int_{\mathbf{A}^n} \phi(x) d\mathbf{A}^n$ , where  $d\mathbf{A}^n$  is the canonical measure on  $\mathbf{A}^n$  (cf. [4]).

Let  $\chi$  be a basic character of  $\mathbf{A}$  which identifies the additive group  $\mathbf{A}$  with its own dual and let  $\chi_v$  be the similar character of the additive group  $\mathcal{Q}_v$ , induced by  $\chi$ . For each  $\xi \in \mathbf{A}$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{A}^n)$ , the function  $\phi_{\xi}(x) = \phi(x)\chi(f(x)\xi)$  is again in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{A}^n)$  and hence we have

$$(2) \quad \text{Res}_{s=1} Z(f, \phi_{\xi}, s) = \int_{\mathbf{A}^n} \phi(x)\chi(f(x)\xi) d\mathbf{A}^n \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{G}_f \phi(\xi).$$

The transform  $\phi \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_f \phi$  is a linear map of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{A}^n)$  into the space of con-

tinuous functions on  $A$ , which boils down to the Fourier transform  $\phi \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}\phi$  when  $n = 1$  and  $f(X) = X$ .<sup>1</sup>

Now, put  $\eta = \text{Res } \omega$ , the residue form of  $\omega$ , this being an  $(n - 1)$ -form on the hypersurface  $H$  everywhere holomorphic and never zero. When the formal product  $\prod_v \eta_v$  (with no convergence factors) really defines a measure on  $H_A$ , we say that the canonical measure  $dH_A = \prod_v \eta_v$  exists on  $H_A$ . The classical theory of trigonometric sums suggests, at least formally, the equality

$$(3) \quad \int_A \mathfrak{G}_f \phi dA = \int_{H_A} \phi dH_A \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathcal{S}(A^n),$$

where the right-hand side is essentially the singular series for  $f(X)$  including the gamma factor. In view of (1), (2), one can interpret (3) as an equality connecting integrals on  $V_A$  and  $H_A$ . More precisely, one can prove the following

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $f(X)$  satisfies the condition*

$$(C) \quad \mathfrak{G}_f \phi \in L^1(A) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathcal{S}(A^n),$$

*then the canonical measure  $dH_A$  exists,  $\phi|_{H_A} \in L^1(H_A)$  for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(A^n)$  and (3) holds.*

Thus, the real problem is to find conditions on  $f(X)$  so that (C) holds. For example, (C) is false for  $f(X) = X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2$  with  $n \leq 4$ . Although we are still far from the complete solution of the problem, we can give the following sufficient conditions.

**THEOREM 2.** *The condition (C) holds if  $f(X)$  satisfies the following two conditions:*

- (I)  $\text{grad } f(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega^n$ ,
- (II)  $|\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \zeta_p^{f^{(v)}(x)}| \leq cp^{n-2-\epsilon}$  for almost all  $p$ , where  $c, \epsilon$  are positive constants independent of  $p$ ,  $f^{(v)}(X)$  is the polynomial over the finite prime field  $\mathbb{F}_p$  obtained by reducing the coefficients of  $f(X)$ , for almost all  $p$ , and  $\zeta_p$  is any one of the primitive  $p$ th roots of 1.

For example,  $f(X) = X_1^{\tau_1} X_2^{\tau_2} + X_1 + \sum_{i=3}^n X_i^{\tau_i}$ ,  $\tau_j \geq 2$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , satisfies (I), (II) whenever  $n \geq 7$ .

**REMARK 1.** The condition (I) implies that  $\text{grad } f(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{O}_v^n$ , for all  $v$ . For this case one proves the stronger result:

---

<sup>1</sup> Such a transform has been introduced by Weil in more general setting [3, Chapter I, No. 1]. For  $p$ -adic case, the evaluation of the transform is substantially the Gaussian sum for the polynomial  $f(X)$ .

$$\mathfrak{G}_v \phi(\xi) = \int_{\mathfrak{O}_v^*} \phi(x) \chi_v(f(x)\xi) dx_v \in \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{O}_v) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{O}_v^n).$$

This fact for  $v = \infty$  has been suggested to us by Hörmander. We then found that the same is true for  $v = p$ .

REMARK 2. Unfortunately, the diagonal polynomial  $f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i^2$  does not, in general, satisfy (I). A direct verification of the condition (C) for such a polynomial seems to be not easy because arbitrary Schwartz functions are involved. However, (I) is intrinsic and this might be the case which must precede any attempt at a general theory.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Weil, *Basic number theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967.
2. ———, *Sur certains groupes d'opérateurs unitaires*, Acta Math. 111 (1964), 143–211.
3. ———, *Sur la formule de Siegel dans la théorie des groupes classiques*, Acta Math. 113 (1965), 1–87.
4. T. Ono, *An integral attached to a hypersurface*, Amer. J. Math. (to appear).

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19104