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Hillel Furstenberg’s 1981 article in the Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society gives an elegant introduction to the interplay between dynamics and number theory, summarizing the major developments that occurred in the few years after his landmark paper [21]. The field has evolved over the past thirty years, with major advances on the structural analysis of dynamical systems and new results in combinatorics and number theory. Furstenberg’s article continues to be a beautiful introduction to the subject, drawing together ideas from seemingly distant fields.

Furstenberg’s article [21] gave a general correspondence between regularity properties of subsets of the integers and recurrence properties in dynamical systems, now dubbed the Furstenberg Correspondence Principle. He then showed that such recurrence properties always hold, proving what is now referred to as the Multiple Recurrence Theorem. Combined, these results gave a new proof of Szemerédi’s Theorem [45]: if $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ has positive upper density, then $S$ contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. This proof led to an explosion of activity in ergodic theory and topological dynamics, beginning with new proofs of classic results of Ramsey Theory and ultimately leading to significant new combinatorial and number theoretic results. The full implications of these connections have yet to be understood.

The approach harks back to the earliest results on recurrence, in the measurable setting and in the topological setting. A measure preserving system is a quadruple $(X,\mathcal{B},\mu,T)$, where $X$ denotes a set, $\mathcal{B}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra on $X$, $\mu$ is a probability measure on $(X,\mathcal{B})$, and $T: X \to X$ is a measurable transformation such that $\mu(T^{-1}(A)) = \mu(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{B}$. Poincaré Recurrence states that if $(X,\mathcal{B},\mu,T)$ is a measure preserving system and $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) > 0$. A (topological) dynamical system is a pair $(X,T)$, where $X$ is a compact metric space and $T: X \to X$ is a continuous map. One can show that any such topological space admits a Borel probability measure that preserves $T$. In particular, Poincaré Recurrence implies recurrence in the topological setting. Birkhoff [13] gave a direct proof of this, showing that in any dynamical system...
(X, T), there exists x ∈ X such that $T^{n_k}x \to x$ for some sequence of integers $n_k \to \infty$.

Birkhoff’s recurrence was generalized by Furstenberg and Weiss \[26\], who showed that given a dynamical system (X, T) and an integer $\ell \geq 1$, there exists $x \in X$ such that $T^{n_k}x \to x, T^{2n_k}x \to x, \ldots, T^{\ell n_k}x \to x$ for some sequence of integers $n_k \to \infty$. Using an analog of the Furstenberg Correspondence Principle adapted to topological dynamical systems, this in turn implies van der Waerden’s Theorem: in any finite partition of the integers, some piece contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. Topological methods were then used to prove numerous other partition results, including Schur’s Theorem, a multidimensional version of van der Waerden’s Theorem, and the Hales-Jewett Theorem. As a sample of these techniques, Furstenberg gives a proof of a polynomial result, shown independently by Sárközy \[44\]: in any finite partition of the integers, some piece contains two integers which differ by a square. Vast generalizations of such polynomial results were given by Bergelson and Leibman (\[7\] and \[8\]).

In the measure theoretic setting, Furstenberg proved a far-reaching generalization of the Poincaré Recurrence Theorem in his Multiple Recurrence Theorem: if $(X, B, \mu, T)$ is a measure preserving system, $\ell \geq 1$ is an integer, and $A \in B$ has positive measure, then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A \cap T^{-2n}A \cap \cdots \cap T^{-\ell n}A) > 0.$$ 

The study of which iterates n are possible has received significant attention (polynomial iterates \[7\], generalized polynomials \[12\], sequences arising from Hardy-Fields \[17\], shifts of the primes \[7\] and \[18\]), and this result has been generalized in numerous other ways (see for example \[25\] and \[40\]). Many of these results have yet to be proved using methods that do not rely on dynamics.

Via the Furstenberg Correspondence Principle, the analog of equation (1) with the transformations $T, T^2, \ldots, T^\ell$ replaced by commuting transformations $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_\ell$ leads to a multidimensional Szemerédi Theorem, and this and generalizations were proven by Furstenberg and Katznelson (\[23\] and \[24\]). This too has been studied further, including restrictions on iterates (see \[7\], \[11\], and \[19\]) and generalizations to other groups (for example \[41\]). Again, many of these results have yet to be proven via combinatorial methods.

Using ergodic theory, the natural approach to prove positivity of an expression such as that in equation (1) is to take the average for $1 \leq n \leq N$ and show that the liminf of this average is positive as $N \to \infty$. More generally, one can consider convergence of averages

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_1(T_1^{a_1(n)}x)f_2(T_2^{a_2(n)}x) \cdots f_\ell(T_\ell^{a_\ell(n)}x),$$

where $(X, B, \mu)$ is a probability space; $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_\ell: X \to X$ are commuting, measure preserving transformations; $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_\ell \in L^\infty(\mu)$; and the exponents $a_1(n), a_2(n), \ldots, a_\ell(n)$ are sequences of integers. The existence of the limit in $L^2(\mu)$ and the study of the structures controlling the limiting behavior of such averages have received much attention within ergodic theory and have more recently led to new number theoretic results. The case when all the transformations $T_i$ are equal with linear exponents is fully understood, with partial results (for example in \[21\] and \[15\]) and the complete convergence (in \[32\]). These results
have been generalized and viewed in other ways, with further studies of the linear case, polynomial iterates, commuting transformations, restrictions on the iterates for commuting transformations, nilpotent group actions, and the corresponding average for flows. For a single transformation, we have a complete understanding of the structures controlling convergence. The general convergence and associated structures controlling such averages are yet to be understood.

The connections to number theoretic and combinatorial problems continue to grow, particularly with the spectacular breakthrough of Green and Tao showing that the primes contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. While there is no explicit use of ergodic theory in Green and Tao’s proof, the methods used by Furstenberg influence the approach. In more recent work on asymptotics of the number of progressions in the primes and other connections between ergodic theory and number theory, the structures controlling the averages of the form in equation (2) play a prominent role.

This is only a brief overview of current areas of research with origins in the work surveyed in Furstenberg’s 1981 Bulletin article. An extensive introduction to the field is contained in Furstenberg’s book. There are more recent surveys on recurrence, ergodic Ramsey Theory, convergence problems, and connections to number theory that are natural continuations of the topics reviewed in Furstenberg’s 1981 article.

REFERENCES

500 BRYNA KRA


[25] H. Furstenberg, B. Weiss. A mean ergodic theorem for $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f(T^n x) g(T^n z)$. Convergence in Ergodic Theory and Probability (Columbus, OH, 1993), Walter de Gruyter, 1996, 193–227. MR 1412607 (98e:28019)


Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University, 2033 Sheridan Road, Evanston, Illinois 60208-2730

E-mail address: kra@math.northwestern.edu