REGULARITY OF GROWTH AND THE CLASS $S$

D. DRASIN

Abstract. Given $1/2 \leq \mu \leq \rho \leq \infty$, there is an entire function $f(z)$ in the Speiser class $S$ of order $\rho$, lower order $\mu$. $f$ may have as few as three singular values.

1. Introduction

An entire (or meromorphic) function $f(z)$ belongs to the class $S$ (for Speiser) if its singularities project onto the finite set $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_q\}$. Thus if $a \notin A$, then whenever $f(z_0) = a$, there are neighborhoods $N_1 \ni z_0$ and $N_2 \ni a$ such that $f$ has a local inverse $f^{-1} : N_2 \rightarrow N_1$, with $f^{-1}(a) = z_0$. The class $S$ includes most of the familiar analytic/meromorphic functions, and has some remarkable properties, which place $S$ between rational functions and general meromorphic functions. For example, if $f \in S$, then the Fatou set of its iterates contains no wandering domain ([4] for entire functions and [1] for meromorphic functions); in addition, if $f \in S$, the inequality which forms the Nevanlinna second fundamental theorem becomes an asymptotic equality [7].

Our result here shows that this principle has some limitation. Recall that the order $\rho$ (lower order $\mu$) of an entire function is

$$\rho(\mu) = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \left( \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r} \right) = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \left( \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T(r)}{\log r} \right).$$

Theorem 1. There exist entire functions in $S$ of irregular growth: given $1/2 \leq \mu < \rho \leq \infty$, there is a function $f \in S$ of order $\rho$ and lower order $\mu$.

This question was raised by Adam Epstein. That necessarily $\mu \geq 1/2$ follows from [2].

Sergiy Merenkov [6] has shown that there are entire functions in $S$ whose maximum modulus grows arbitrarily rapidly. Even when $\rho = \mu = \infty$, our function will have restricted growth, since $\|h''\|_{\infty} \leq 1/(3\pi)$; however, we are able to specify the behavior of the characteristic $T(r, f)$ (as well as the maximum modulus) rather precisely.

Standard notation (here we assume the variable is $z$, but the notation will also be used with other variables, the context making clear the appropriate choice): $B(r) = \{|z| \leq r\}$; $S(r) = \{|z| = r\}$; $B = B(1)$; $S = S(1)$.
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2. A STRIP MAPPING

The construction depends on an explicit mapping (Proposition $\varphi : \Sigma \to T$,
where $\Sigma$ is the strip $\{(x, y); h(x) < y < h(x) + 2\pi\}$ and $T$ the standard strip, which
we take as $\{(u, v); |v| < \pi/2\}$. The function $h$ is smooth, $h' \geq 0$, and $h(x) \equiv 0$ ($x \leq 1$).

With $\mu$ and $\rho$ as in the statement of the theorem, we take $h$ so that if

$$M(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{s_0}^{x} (1 + h'(s)^2)^{1/2} \, ds,$$

then

$$\mu = \lim \inf_{x \to \infty} \frac{M(x)}{x} \leq \lim \sup_{x \to \infty} \frac{M(x)}{x} = \rho.$$

In turn, $h$ determines a strip $\Sigma$ bounded by arcs $\Gamma$, $\Gamma'$: $\Gamma = \{(x, h(x))\}$, $\Gamma' = \{(x, h(x) + 2\pi)\}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

In $\S 6$, $h$ will be constructed in stages, over intervals $J_n = [x_n, x_{n+1}]_{n \geq 0}$, where
$x_0 \geq 1$ and the lengths of the $\{J_n\}$ may be taken as large as needed. The exposition
is a bit simpler when $\rho < \infty$, but it is more direct to discuss the most general case.
Thus choose a sequence $\rho_n^* \uparrow \rho$, $\rho_n^* < \infty$ for all $n$. We then require that

$$\inf_{J_n} h'(t) = \sqrt{2\mu - 1 + (1/n)}, \quad \sup_{J_n} h'(t) = \sqrt{2\rho^*_n - 1},$$

and note that (3) is compatible with the intervals $J_n$ being large, and $\eta_n$ in (4)
small. We suppose $\textit{à priori}$ that $\|h''\|_{\infty} \leq 1/(3\pi)$, and then introduce a positive
sequence $\{\eta_n\}$ so that

$$h'(x)|h''(x)| < \eta_n, \quad |h''(x)| < \eta_n \quad (x \in J_n),$$

$$|h''(x)| < \eta_n h'(x) \text{ whenever } x \in J_n \text{ and } h'(x) > 1.$$

Many estimates contain expressions in which $o(1)$ appears. The estimate

$$A = o(1) \quad (1)$$

is shorthand for the statement that the error terms can be controlled for $x \in J_n$ by
an expression which depends only on the $\{\eta_n\}$ in (4).

The strip $\Sigma$ admits a natural foliation. For each $x$ construct the perpendicular
segment $L = L(x)$ to $\Gamma$ connecting boundary points $(x, h(x))$ and $(L(x), h(L(x)) + 2\pi)$
$\in \Gamma'$ through $\Sigma$, thus implicitly defining $L(x)$, and let $\ell(x)$ be the length of
$L(x)$. It is clear that $L$ is unique: were $u_0$ and $t_0$ suitable possibilities for $L(x_0)$,
$u_0 \neq x_0, t_0 \neq x_0$ with $t_0 < u_0$, then

$$0 < \frac{h(u_0) - h(t_0)}{u_0 - t_0} = -\frac{1}{h'(x_0)},$$

a contradiction since $h$ is nondecreasing.

**Lemma 1.** $L$ is a smooth function of $x$ with

$$0 \leq x - L(x) \leq 4\pi,$$

and

$$L'(x) = 1 + o(1) \quad (1).$$
Remark. Recall that the qualification (η) here and below means that the error terms depend only on the data (ξ).

Proof. We bound \( x - L(x) \). Consider the triangle with vertices

\[
A = (x, h(x)), B = (x, h(x) + 2\pi), C = (L(x), h(L(x)) + 2\pi),
\]

and let \( D \) be the point in the segment \( AB \) with \( AB \perp CD \) (since \( h' > 0 \) we have that \( \exists A < \exists C < \exists B \).

First suppose that \( h'(x) \leq 2 \). Then \( AC \) has slope \( < -1/2 \), and so (the continuation of) this segment meets the horizontal line \( \{3z = h(x) + 2\pi\} \) at a point \((p, h(x) + 2\pi)\), where \( x - p \leq 4\pi \). Thus, in this case \( x - L(x) = |CD| < 4\pi \).

Otherwise, \( h''(x) \geq 2 \), and since \( \|h''\|_\infty \leq 1/(3\pi) \), we have that \( h'(t) \geq 1/2 \) for \( x - t < 4\pi \). This means that the horizontal line segment joining \((x, h(x))\) to \((x - 4\pi, h(x))\) passes through \( \Gamma' \), and so forces \( x - L(x) \leq 4\pi \).

Next, choose \( x_0, t_0 \) with \( t_0 = L(x_0) \). Consider for \( x \) near \( x_0 \) the function

\[
F(x, t) = h'(x)(h(t) - h(x) + 2\pi) - (x - t).
\]

If \( t_0 = L(x_0) \), it follows that \( F(x_0, t_0) = 0 \), and clearly

\[
\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = h'(x)h'(t) + 1 \geq 1.
\]

Thus for \( x \) near \( x_0 \), the equation \( F(x, t) = 0 \) has a unique solution \( t = t(x) \) which is continuous. The implicit function shows that \( t(x) \) is smooth:

\[
\frac{dt}{dx} = L'(x) = \frac{F_x}{F_t} = \frac{1 + h'(x)^2 + h''(x)(h(x) - h(t) - 2\pi)}{1 + h'(x)h'(t)},
\]

and \( L'(x) = 1 + o(1) \), with the error terms as described. \( \square \)

Corollary 1. If \( \ell(x) \) is the length of \( \mathcal{L}(x) \), then

\[
\ell(x) = (1 + o(1))\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{1 + h'(x)^2}} \quad (\eta).
\]

Proof. Let \( \Delta \) be the triangle from Lemma 4 so that \( \angle(CAB) = \alpha = \tan^{-1} h'(x) \). Moreover, the slope of \( CB \) is \( (h(x) - h(L(x)))/|x - L(x)| = h'(\xi) \) with \( x - \xi = O(1) \), and so if \( \beta = \angle(CBA) \), then \( \beta = \pi/2 - \tan^{-1} h'(\xi) \): \( \sin\beta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + h'(\xi)^2}} = (1 + o(1))\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + h'(x)^2}} \).
Now $\ell = |AC|$, and the (nearly right)-angle $\angle(ACB)$ opposite the vertical side of $\Delta$ is $\pi - (\alpha + \beta)$; thus the law of sines gives

$$
\ell = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{1 + h'(\xi)^2}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{1 + h'(x)^2}}{1 + h'(x)h'(\xi)} = (1 + o(1)) \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{1 + h'(x)^2}}
$$
as claimed. \hfill \square

In addition to $L$, we use $L_1(x)$, defined so

$$
L(L_1(x)) = x;
$$
thus the point $(L_1(x), h(L_1(x)) + 2\pi) = (x, h(x) + 2\pi)$ lies directly above $(x, h(x))$ on $\Gamma' \subset \partial \Sigma$. The existence of $L_1$ follows from the discussion of $L$.

**Lemma 2.** The function $L_1$ satisfies

$$
L_1(x) - x = 2\pi(1 + o(1)) \frac{h'(x)}{1 + h'(x)^2}\quad (\eta).
$$

**Proof.** Consider the triangle with vertices $A : (x, h(x)), B : (L_1(x), h(L_1(x)))$, $C : (x, h(x) + 2\pi) \equiv (L(L_1(x)), h(L(L_1(x))) + 2\pi)$, so that now

$$
\angle ACB = \tan^{-1} h'(L_1(x))
$$

Since $L_1(x) - x < 4\pi$, the corollary yields

$$
L_1(x) - x = \ell(L_1(x)) \sin \beta = (1 + o(1)) \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{1 + h'(L_1(x))^2}} \cdot \frac{h'(L_1(x))}{\sqrt{1 + h'(L_1(x))^2}},
$$
and the conclusion follows from (4). \hfill \square

We next show

**Proposition 1.** The mapping

$$
\varphi : \mathcal{L}(x) \to \{ \Re w = M(x), |\Im w| < \pi/2 \},
$$
with $\varphi(x, h(x)) = (M(x), -\pi/2)$ and $|dw|/|dz|$ constant on $\mathcal{L}(x)$, is quasiconformal with dilatation

$$
\mu_\varphi(z) = \phi_z/\phi_z = o(1)\quad (z \to \infty, z \in \Sigma)\quad (\eta).
$$

**Remark.** Our definition of $\varphi$ forces $|dw| = |dz|$ when $z \in \Gamma$, and so (1) and (2) yield that $\lim \sup M(x) = e^{\mu(x)}$, $\lim \inf M(x) = e^{\mu(x)}$.

**Proof.** Consider $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$ and take $w_0 = u_0 + iv_0 \in T$. Then $z_0 = (x_0, y_0) = \psi(w_0) \in \mathcal{L}(x)$ where $x$ satisfies the vector equation

$$
z_0 - (x, h(x)) = \frac{v_0 + \pi/2}{\pi} \mathcal{L}(x)\quad (|v_0| < \pi/2).
$$
If $z' = \psi(w_0 + i\tau) \in \mathcal{L}(x)$, then $z' - z_0 = (\tau/\pi) \mathcal{L}(x)$ and so

$$
\frac{\partial z}{\partial v} = \frac{\mathcal{L}(x)}{\pi}.
$$
Next, with \( w_0 \) and \( \tau \) as above, we have that if \( \psi(w_0 + \tau) \in \mathcal{L}(x') \), then
\[
\psi(w_0 + \tau) - \psi(w_0) = \frac{w_0 + \pi/2}{\pi} (\mathcal{L}(x') - \mathcal{L}(x)),
\]
so (11) and Lemma 11 give that
\[
\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \frac{2 + o(1)}{1 + h'(x)^2} (1, h'(x)),
\]
and of course \((1, h'(x))\) is perpendicular to \( \gamma \) at \((x, h(x))\). We thus deduce, using (5), that \( |\psi_u|^2 = (4/(1 + h'(x)^2)) = (1 + o(1))|\psi_u|^2 : |\psi_u - i\psi_v| = o(1)|\psi_u| (\eta) \),
which yields the proposition. \( \square \)

A minor modification will be made to \( \varphi \). Let \( \varepsilon(x) \) (to be specified in (17)) be a positive smooth decreasing function such that \( \varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon_0 = \|\epsilon\|_\infty < \pi/4(x \leq 0) \) and
\[
\varepsilon(x) \to 0, \quad \varepsilon'(x) \to 0 \quad (x \to +\infty).
\]
On recalling (3) we suppose in addition for \( x \in J_n \) that
\[
\varepsilon(x_n)\sqrt{2\rho^*_n - 1} < 1 \quad (n \geq 0); \quad \varepsilon(x_n)\sqrt{2\rho^*_n - 1} \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty).
\]
Now consider the (modified) strip
\[
\Sigma^* = \{ (x, y); h(x) + \varepsilon(x) \leq v \leq h(x) + 2\pi \}.
\]
For sufficiently small \( \varepsilon_0 \) the mapping \( p^{-1} : \Sigma \to \Sigma^* : p^{-1}(x, y) = \left( x, \frac{2\pi - \varepsilon}{2\pi} y + \varepsilon(1 + \frac{h(x)}{2\pi}) \right) \)
is a qC homeomorphism with dilatation
\[
|\mu_{p^{-1}}(z)| = O(\varepsilon(x)(1 + h'(x))) \quad (z \in \Sigma).
\]
This will be exploited in \( \S 5 \).

3. The winding

The strip \( \Sigma^* \) corresponds to a spiraling region in the \( Z = \exp z \)-plane. Thus \( \exp \Sigma^* \) is a connected set \( \Sigma_Z \) whose intersection with each circle \( S(R) \) \( (R > 0) \) is an arc of angular measure \( 2\pi - \varepsilon(\log R) \). We first study the composite map
\[
\Phi \equiv \exp \circ \varphi \circ p \circ \log : \Sigma_Z \to \{ RW = U \geq 0 \},
\]
which maps \( \partial \Sigma_Z \) onto the imaginary \( W = U + iV \) axis, normalized by \( \Phi(0) = 0 \).

The explicit form of \( \varphi \) shows that the \( \Phi \)-image of \( S(R) \cap \Sigma_Z \) is an asymptotic semi-circle contained in \( \{ U \geq 0 \} \) with endpoints at the points
\[
(0, e^{\mathcal{M}(L_1(\log R))}), \quad (0, -e^{\mathcal{M}(\log R)}).
\]
Let \( \partial^- \Sigma_Z, \partial^+ \Sigma_Z \) be the arcs of \( \partial \Sigma_Z \setminus \{ 0 \} \), chosen such that for each \( R > 0 \), \( S(R) \cap \partial^+ \Sigma_Z \) has the larger argument (measured through \( \Sigma_Z \), this is consistent with the earlier notation \( \partial^\pm T \)). Let \( \tau^-, \tau^+ \) be arc-length on \( \partial^- T, \partial^+ T \), measured from 0, and recall that \( \Gamma \) is mapped to \( \partial T \) under \( \varphi \). Thus (11), (10) and the fact that each line \( \{ x = \text{const.} \} \) is invariant under \( p \) show that
\[
\frac{d(-V)}{d\log R} = e^{\mathcal{M}(x)} \frac{1}{2} (1 + h'(x)^2)^{1/2} \quad (x = \log R).
\]
When doing this computation on $\partial^+ \Sigma_Z$, note that the point on $\partial^+ \Sigma_Z \cap S(R)$ corresponds on $\partial^+ T$ to $(L_1(x), h(L_1(x)) + 2\pi)$, $x = \log R$. The computation just made, now with the estimates of $L$ and, more significantly, (13) now yield that $(z \in \partial^+ \Sigma_Z)$ (\eta). Now

$$H(Z) = \exp \Phi(Z)$$

maps $\Sigma_Z$ onto an unramified cover of \{ $|W| > 1$ \} with the only singularity of the inverse function being a single logarithmic branch point over $W = \infty$. Our normalization has $H(0) = 1$.

We compute $n(R, i)$, the number of solutions to the equation $H(Z) = i$ with $Z \in \Sigma_Z$ (these points lie on the boundary of $\Sigma_Z$, but the counting function is well defined since, for example, the reflection principle may be applied on $\partial \Sigma_Z$). Thus if $n^-(R, i)$ and $n^+(R, i)$ are the number of such points in $B(R)$ whose $\Phi$-image is congruent to $0 \pmod{2\pi i}$, then (11) and (12) show in turn

$$n^-(R, i) = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{M(\log R)},$$

and, more significantly,

$$n^+(r, i) = e^{M(L_1(\log R))}$$

$$= (1 + o(1)) \exp \left[ (1/2) \int_{\log R}^{L_1(\log R)} (1 + h'(t)^2)^{1/2} dt \right] n^-(r, i),$$

reflecting the more rapid covering of $S$ from $\partial^+ \Sigma_Z$, due to the asymmetry of $\Sigma$, an effect frequently exploited (for example, see [5]). Lemma 2, (4) and the form of (1) show that the imbalance of coverings in (14) is controlled by

$$\exp((1/2) \int_{\log R}^{L_1(\log R)} (1 + h'(s)^2)^{1/2} ds) \sim \exp((1 + o(1))\pi h'(x)(1 + h'(x)^2)^{-1/2}) \ (\eta).$$

In addition, if $|a| = 1$, we check that $n(R, a) = n^+(R, i) + n^-(R, i) + O(1)$, the $O(1)$ uniform in $a$. Following the standard Nevanlinna theory, define $N(R, a)$ as

$$(d/d \log r)N(r, a) = n(r, a),$$

and (at least if $a \neq 1 = H(0)$) $N(0, a) = 0$.

A comparison of this with our controlling property [1] together with the remark following the statement of Proposition [1] at once give the next

**Lemma 3.** Let the characteristic of $H$ formally be defined as

$$T(r, H) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} N(r, e^{i\phi}) d\phi$$

(when $H$ is meromorphic, this is H. Cartan’s formula). Then $H$ has order $\rho$ and lower order $\mu$. 


In the next section we extend $H$ to be quasiregular in the $z$ plane with singularities over three values, and satisfy the functional equation

\[(15) \quad H(z) = f(\lambda^{-1} \zeta),\]

where $f$ is entire and $\lambda$ is a $K$-quasiconformal homeomorphism of the plane. In this situation, we will find that Lemma 3 transfers at once to $f$.

4. Extending $H$

We have already noted that the spiraling of $S_\lambda$ in the $z$-plane is reflected in $H$ covering $S$ faster on $\partial^+ S_\lambda$, but now exploit (3) to arrange that this holds on the infinitesimal level.

**Lemma 4.** For $n \geq 1$ we may choose $\eta_n > 0$ in (4), but sufficiently small to ensure that

\[\frac{dn^+(t)}{dt} > \frac{dn^-(t)}{dt} \quad (t > 1).\]

**Proof.** According to the computations leading to (12), we have

\[\frac{dn^+(t)}{dt} = (1 + o(1))e^{M(L_1(t)) - M(t)} \frac{dn^-(t)}{dt} \quad (\eta),\]

and on recalling (3), Lemma 2 we have

\[M(L_1(t)) - M(t) = \pi(1 + o(1))h'(t)(1 + h'(t)^2)^{-1/2} \quad (\eta).\]

However, when $t \in J_n$, (3) asserts that $h'(t)$ has absolute positive upper and lower bounds, while all expressions $o(1)$ are controlled by $\eta_n$, which until this moment has not been assigned. We now do this to guarantee that the lemma holds. \(\square\)

For $k \geq 1$ we mark as $Z_k^\pm$, $Z_k^+$ the points on $\partial \Sigma_Z$ which correspond to $W = \pm i$ under $H$ as $|Z|$ increases. This is done with $Z_k^\pm \in \partial^+ \Sigma_Z$ and $H(Z_k^\pm) = \pm i$. In addition, set $Z_0 = Z_0^+ = Z_0^- = 0$.

This induces a partition of the $Z$-plane into concentric annuli $A_k = \{R_k \leq |Z| \leq R_{k+1}\}$ so that for $k \geq 1$, the $H$-image of $A_k \cap \partial^- \Sigma_Z$ covers $S^+ := S(1) \cap \{RW \geq 0\}$ or $S^- := S \cap \{RW < 0\}$ once. Thus, it follows from Lemma 3 that each interval $I_k^\pm$ of $A_k \cap \partial^- \Sigma_Z$ may be matched to an interval $I_k^\pm$ of $\partial^+ \Sigma_Z$, having endpoints $Z_k^\pm, Z_{k+1}^\pm, \cup_{p} Z_k^\pm$ with the properties:

1. the $\{I_k^\pm\}$ partition $\partial^+ \Sigma_Z$,
2. the $H$-image of each $I_k^\pm$ covers $S^+ \cup S^- = q(k)$ times, and if $I_k \cap \{\zeta = \log x\} \neq \emptyset$ for some $x \in J_n$, then $1 < q(k) \leq Q(n) < \infty$ (this requires both upper and lower bounds from (3) for $h'(t)$ for $t \in J_n$),
3. $H(Z_k^-) = (-1)^k i$,
4. if $\Pi_1$ is the projection onto the $|Z|$-coordinate within $\Sigma_Z$, then $\Pi_1(I_k^-) \cap \Pi_1(I_k^+) \neq \emptyset$,
5. $H(Z_k^+_{k+1}) = -H(Z_k^-)$ at the endpoints of each $I_k^-$ and $H$ maps $I_k^-$ to a simple cover of $S^+$ or $S^-$. 

Thus $\partial I^+_{jk} = \{Z_k^+, Z_{k+1}^+\}$, while only a subsequence of the $\{Z_k^+\}$ are in $\bigcup_k \partial I^+_{jk}$.

Note from (13) and the overriding condition (4) that (as usual $x = e^x = e^{\Re z}$), the image of

$$ \partial^+ \Sigma \cap \left[ x, x + (1 + o(1)) \frac{2}{n(x)(1 + h'(x)^2)^{1/2}} \right] $$

covers $S(1)$ once.

5. Enter $\mathcal{S}$

To extend $H$ to $\Sigma Z$ and have irregular growth will force additional singularities of $H$ over at least two additional points, which, to have $H$ correspond to a function in $\mathcal{S}$, we take as $\pm p$, where $0 < p < 1$ is fixed (say $p = 1/2$).

As a model, first consider a finite family of coverings of $B = B(1)$ in the $W$-plane in the range $2 \leq j \leq J(p^*) = \infty$ (recall (3)), where we write $\rho^*$ in place of the more explicit $\rho^*_{jk}$. This is based on $B_j$, the normalized covering of unit disk $B$ given by $W \to W^j$, which has one branch point (order $j - 1$) over $W = 0$. We view $\partial B_j$ as being composed of $2j$ arcs, $j$ alternating over each of $S^+ := \{ \Re W \geq 0 \}$ and $S^- := \{ \Re W < 0 \}$ on a circuit of $\partial B_j$.

Although these $\{B_j\}$ would be the simplest class to use, they do not produce irregularity of growth. They are replaced by three related classes of quasiconformal images of the $B_j$, which are fused to extend $H$ to $\Sigma Z$.

The first group is $B_j^+$, $B_j^-$ ($j \geq 2$), and we describe $B_j^-$; the only change for $B_j^+$ lies in the corresponding singular points being over $W = +p$. For each $j$ consider first the quasiconformal correspondence

$$ B_j \to B_j^-(0), $$

which is the identity on the boundary and has the branch point $W = 0$ shifted to $W = -p$. The dilatation of these maps can be taken to be bounded independent of $j$ (we have $W \to \Phi(W)$ with $\Phi$ qc on $S(1), \Phi(W) = W$ for $W \in S, \Phi(0) = -p$). Let $I$ be the (vertical) segment connecting $W = \pm i$, and choose two arcs of $\partial B_j$ over $S^+$ which, on a circuit of $\partial B_j$, are separated by a single arc $S^-$ (there are $j$ ways to do this). Then $B_j^-$ is $B_j^-(0)$ with these two arcs replaced by arcs over $I$, all other boundary arcs unchanged, so that the map $B_j^+(0) \to B_j$ covers each point in $B \cap \{ \Re W < 0 \}$ $j$ times, and each point in $B \cap \{ \Re W > 0 \}$ $j - 2$ times. The boundary correspondence $B_j \to B_j^-$ remains the identity on all but these two arcs over $I$, while each of the two correspondences $S^+ \to I$ rigidly compresses the arc-length element by the ratio $1 : \pi$. Thus $B_j^-$ is a simply-connected domain, a quadrilateral, whose boundary is the union of the two arcs over $I$, one component projecting on $S^+$, with the remaining boundary component covering $S^+$ a total of $j - 2$ times and $S^-$ $j$ times. Note that on a circuit of $\partial B_j^-$, the arc $I$ is traversed twice, each time with the same orientation. In this way we have described a qc map $B_j \to B_j^-$, and it is straightforward to see that we may arrange dilatation independent of $j$.

The $\{B_j^+\}$, as noted above, are constructed in a parallel manner, except that two arcs over $S^-$ will be replaced by arcs over $I$, and the branch point now lies over $W = p$. The dilatation of these maps is also uniformly bounded for $2 \leq j$, now with $I$ covered twice, each with orientation opposite to that from the $\{B_j^-\}$. Finally, we add one (univalent) cover $B^*$ which covers $B^+ := B \cap \{ \Re W > 0 \}$. 

REGULARITY OF GROWTH AND THE CLASS $\mathcal{S}$
Now recall the arcs $I_k^\pm$ introduced in \S 4, and note that relative to $\Sigma_Z$, $\partial^+ \Sigma_Z$ has the larger argument. Using these $I_k^\pm$, we divide $\Sigma_Z$ into one ‘triangle’ $Q_0$ and quadrilaterals $Q_k$, $k \geq 1$. Thus $\partial Q_0$ will have as two sides the arcs $[Z_0, Z_1^+] \subset \partial^+ \Sigma_Z$ as well as the segment $[Z_1^-, Z_1^+]$ through $\Sigma_Z$. When $k \geq 1$, $\partial Q_k$ consists of arcs $I_k^-, I_k^+$ and the segments through $\Sigma_Z'$ joining these endpoints. In view of (16), it is advantageous to take $\varepsilon(x)$ in (17) with

$$
\varepsilon(x) = \frac{2}{n(x)(1 + h'(x)^2)^{1/2}}
$$

(17)

$$
= (1 + o(1)) \frac{4\pi}{(1 + h'(x)^2)^{1/2}} e^{-1/2\int_0^x (1 + h'(u)^2)^{1/2} du}.
$$

The strip $\Sigma'_Z = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} Q_k$ will be sent to $B = \{|W| < 1\}$ with boundary values compatible with $H$ from \S 3, now to be made precise. Let $\sigma$ be the arc length on $I \cup S$ and $s$ be the arc length in the $Z$-plane. First, let $\psi_0 : Q_0 \to S \cap \{W < 0\}$ with $\sigma/\partial s$ constant on each segment $[Z_0, Z_1^+]$ and the segment $[Z_1^-, Z_1^+] \subset \Sigma'_Z$, which corresponds under $\psi_0$ to $I$.

For the general case we have

**Lemma 5.** For $k \geq 1$ we may define $\Psi_k : Q_k \to B_j = B_j(k)$, with $2 \leq j(k) \leq J(\rho^*) < \infty$, so that the arc-length correspondence is constant on each boundary segment, and

$$
\|\mu \Psi_k\|_\infty < \mu_k = \mu(\rho^*) < 1.
$$

**Proof.** Let us suppose that $I_k^+ \cap S(\log x) \neq \emptyset$ for some $x \in J_n$. We have arranged that the image of $I_k^+$ under $H$ cover $S_+ \cup S_-$ once, and that of $I_k^-$ cover $S_+ \cup S_-$ $q(k)$ times where $1 \leq q(k) \leq Q(n) < \infty$. Take $j(k) = 1 + q(k)$, and factor $\Psi = \Psi_n$ as $\Psi : Q_k \to \square \to B_j$ (here $\square$ is a square) again so that the arc-length correspondence is constant on each boundary arc. The dilatation of the map $\square \to B_j$ is readily controlled by $\rho^*$: The two boundary segments of $B_j$ corresponding to $I$ are sent to opposite sides of $\square$, while the remaining sides of $\square$ correspond to covering $S^\pm$ once and $(2q(k) - 3)$ times (this asymmetry due to the more rapid covering from $\partial^+ \Sigma_Z = \partial^- \Sigma_Z'$). The boundary of $Q_k$ consists of two line-segments through $\Sigma'_Z$ and (due to (3)) two near-radial segments on $\partial \Sigma'_Z$, and (17) is made so that their side-lengths are comparable in a manner independent of $k$ (so long as they are made with $S(\log x) \cap Q_k \neq \emptyset$ with $x \in J_n$). Although these quadrilaterals degenerate as $h' \to \infty$, in the range $h' < h(\rho^*)$ the mapping sending these arcs to the sides of $\square$ may be taken with dilatation uniformly bounded. \hfill \Box

The function $H$ will be extended to $H^*$ in $\mathbb{C}$ as

$$
H^*(Z) = \begin{cases} 
H(Z) & (Z \in \Sigma_Z), \\
\Psi_k(Z) & (Z \in Q_k \subset \Sigma'_Z),
\end{cases}
$$

(18)

and $H^*$ is continuous in the plane.

We now make precise the data in (4) so that $H^*$ is transformed to the solution $f$ from (15).
6. Beltrami equation

The next lemma follows from normal families.

Lemma A. Corresponding to each \( \eta > 0 \), \( K < \infty \) are \( M < \infty \), \( \delta > 0 \) so that if \( \psi \) is a homeomorphism of the plane fixing \( z = 0, 1 \) which is \( K \)-quasiconformal on \( \{ M^{-1} < |z| < M \} \) with

\[
\int_{S(r)} |\mu_\psi(re^{i\theta})| \, d\theta < \delta \quad (M^{-1} < r < M),
\]

where \( \mu_\psi(z) = (\psi_z(z) + \psi_{\bar{z}}(z))/(\psi_z(z) - \psi_{\bar{z}}(z)) \), then

\[
(1 \leq) \frac{\max_\theta |\psi(re^{i\theta})|}{\min_\theta |\psi(re^{i\theta})|} < 1 + \eta \quad (1/2 < r < 2).
\]

This lemma determines the intervals \( J_n = [x_n, x_{n+1}] \) through which occur the stages of the construction implicit in (3) and (4). Choose the sequence \( \{\eta_n\} \) in accord with Lemma 3. Now consider a fixed \( n \geq 0 \), and since \( h'(t) < \rho_n \) when \( Q_k \cap S(\log x) \neq \varnothing \) for \( x \in J_n \), we have from Lemma 3 that \( \|\mu_{\psi_k}\| < \kappa_n < 1 \), or \( \Psi_k \) is \( K_n := (1 + \kappa_n)/(1 - \kappa_n) \)-quasiconformal. Lemma A produces sequences \( \{M_n\} \) and \( \{\delta_n\} \) which are now used.

To get a lower bound for each \( x_n \), note from (10) and the second expression in (17): Given any \( \varepsilon_0 \), we may choose \( x \) so large that independent of any data of \( h(x) \), we have \( \varepsilon(x) < \varepsilon_0 \) if \( x > x_0(\varepsilon_0) \). We thus take \( x_n \) so that when \( x > x_n - \log M_n \) we have

\[
\varepsilon(x) < \frac{\delta_n}{2K_n}.
\]

This ensures that if \( x > x_n - \log M_n \) and \( S(\log x) \cap (Q_k) \neq \varnothing \),

\[
\int_{S(\log x) \cap \Sigma_x} |\mu_{H^*}(re^{i\theta})| \, d\theta < \varepsilon_0 \cdot K_n < (1/2)\delta_n.
\]

On the other hand, we see from the formula (10), Proposition 11 and (9) that

\[
\int_{S(\log x) \cap \Sigma_x} |\mu_{H^*}(re^{i\theta})| \, d\theta
\]

is controlled by \( \mu_\psi \) and \( \mu_{\bar{z}} \), and consequently, when \( \log r \in J_n \), by (3) and \( \varepsilon(x) \). Thus when \( x \in J_n \), \( h'(x) \) is bounded by (3) and \( \mu_{\bar{z}} \) is controlled by \( \eta = \eta_n \), and so we may increase \( x_n \) if necessary to ensure that

\[
\int_{S(\log x) \cap \Sigma_x} |\mu_{H^*}(re^{i\theta})| \, d\theta < (1/2)\delta_n \quad (\log r > x_n - \log M_n).
\]

This together with Lemma A implies that the homeomorphic normalized solution \( \lambda(z) \) to the Beltrami equation \( \lambda_z = \mu_{H^*}(z)\lambda_z(z) \) is Hölder continuous with exponent \( \tau \) (in fact this exponent may be taken as close to one as desired; we need only that it may be considered independent of \( n \) or the choice of \( \rho \) in Theorem 1). Thus

\[
\log |\lambda(Z)| = (1 + o(1)) \log |Z| \quad (|Z| \to \infty),
\]

so that the entire function \( f \) in (18) has the same order and lower order as \( H^* \). Since all solutions to \( |f'(\zeta)| = 1 \) are related to those of \( H^*(\zeta) = 1 \) by \( \lambda \), Lemma 3 shows that \( f \) has the desired growth. We have constructed \( H \) so all singularies are over \( \pm p, \infty \), and thus (15) gives us that \( f \in S_3 \).
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