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Abstract. We establish the intrinsic Harnack inequality for nonnegative solutions of the parabolic $p$-Laplacian equation by a proof that uses neither the comparison principle nor explicit self-similar solutions. The significance is that the proof applies to quasilinear $p$-Laplacian-type equations, thereby solving a long-standing problem in the theory of degenerate parabolic equations.

1. Main results

Let $E$ be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^N$, and for $T > 0$, let $E_T$ denote the cylindrical domain $E \times (0, T]$. Consider quasi-linear, parabolic differential equations of the form

\begin{equation}
    u_t - \text{div} A(x, t, u, Du) = b(x, t, u, Du) \quad \text{weakly in } E_T,
\end{equation}

where the functions $A : E_T \times \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{R}^N$ and $b : E_T \times \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ are only assumed to be measurable and subject to the structure conditions

\begin{equation}
    \begin{cases}
        A(x, t, u, Du) \cdot Du \geq C_0 |Du|^p - C^p,
        \\
        |A(x, t, u, Du)| \leq C_1 |Du|^{p-1} + C^{p-1},
        \\
        |b(x, t, u, Du)| \leq C|Du|^{p-1} + C^{p-1},
    \end{cases}
    \quad \text{a.e. in } E_T,
\end{equation}

where $p \geq 2$ and $C_0$ and $C_1$ are given positive constants, and $C$ is a given nonnegative constant. A function

\begin{equation}
    u \in C_{\text{loc}}(0, T; L^2_{\text{loc}}(E)) \cap L^p_{\text{loc}}(0, T; W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}(E))
\end{equation}

is a local weak solution to (1.1) if for every compact set $K \subset E$ and every subinterval $[t_1, t_2] \subset (0, T]$,

\begin{equation}
    \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_K u \varphi \, dx \, dt + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_K \left[ - u \varphi_t + A(x, t, u, Du) \cdot D\varphi \right] \, dx \, dt = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_K b(x, t, u, Du) \varphi \, dx \, dt
\end{equation}

for all bounded test functions

\begin{equation}
    \varphi \in W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(0, T; L^2(K)) \cap L^p_{\text{loc}}(0, T; W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}(K)).
\end{equation}
The parameters \( \{N, p, C_0, C_1, C_i\} \) are the data, and we say that a generic constant \( \gamma = \gamma(N, p, C_0, C_1, C_i) \) depends upon the data if it can be quantitatively determined a priori only in terms of the indicated quantities.

For \( \rho > 0 \), let \( B_\rho \) be the ball of radius \( \rho \) centered at the origin in \( \mathbb{R}^N \), and for \( y \in \mathbb{R}^N \), let \( B_\rho(y) \) denote the homothetic ball centered at \( y \). For \( \theta > 0 \), set also

\[
Q_\rho(\theta) = B_\rho \times (-\theta, \theta]
\]

and for \( (y, s) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \),

\[
(y, s) + Q_\rho(\theta) = B_\rho(y) \times (s - \theta, s + \theta].
\]

Local weak solutions to (1.1)–(1.5) are locally bounded and locally Hölder continuous in \( E_T \). This fact was used to prove the Harnack inequality, but unfortunately only in some special instances. We can now show that the Harnack estimate actually holds in full generality and independently of the Hölder continuity.

**Theorem 1.1** (Intrinsic Harnack Inequality). Let \( u \) be a nonnegative weak solution to (1.1)–(1.5). There exist positive constants \( c \) and \( \gamma \) depending only upon the data, such that for almost all \( (x_o, t_o) \in E_T \) and all cylinders \( (x_o, t_o) + Q_2\theta \subset E_T \),

\[
(1.6) \quad u(x_o, t_o) \leq \gamma \left[ \inf_{B_\rho(x_o)} u(x, t_o + \theta) + C\rho \right], \quad \theta = \left( \frac{c}{u(x_o, t_o)} \right)^{p-2} \rho^p,
\]

where \( C \) is the same as in (1.2). As a consequence, any locally bounded weak solution to (1.1)–(1.2) is locally Hölder continuous in \( E_T \), and thus (1.6) permits an independent proof of the Hölder continuity of solutions established in [3].

In (1.6) the time \( \theta \) is intrinsic to the solution \( u \) and to the geometry of the ball \( B_\rho(x_o) \). It would be desirable to have an estimate where the space-time geometry can be prescribed a priori, independently of \( u(x_o, t_o) \). This will be the object of a future research.

2. **Novelty and significance**

Equation (1.1) with the structure conditions (1.2) is a quasi-linear version of the degenerate, homogeneous equation

\[
(2.1) \quad u_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^N D_{x_j}(|Du|^{p-2} a_{ij}(x, t) D_{x_i} u) = 0 \quad \text{weakly in } E_T,
\]

where the coefficients \( a_{ij} \) are measurable and locally bounded in \( E_T \) and the matrix \( (a_{ij}) \) is almost everywhere positive definite in \( E_T \). If \( (a_{ij}) = I \), then (2.1) reduces to the degenerate, prototype parabolic \( p \)-Laplace equation

\[
(2.2) \quad u_t - \text{div}(|Du|^{p-2} Du) = 0 \quad \text{weakly in } E_T.
\]

Both (2.1) and (2.2) satisfy the structure conditions (1.2) with \( C = 0 \). Accordingly, nonnegative weak solutions of these equations satisfy the intrinsic Harnack inequality (1.6) with \( C = 0 \).
2.1. **The linear case** \( p = 2 \). The Harnack inequality for local, nonnegative solutions of the heat equation \((1.6)\), with \( p = 2 \) and \( C = 0 \) was established independently by Hadamard \([6]\) and Pini \([8]\), using local representation of solutions in terms of heat potentials. In \([9]\), Moser established the same Harnack inequality for weak solutions of \((2.1)\) for \( p = 2 \), using energy-based, measure-theoretical arguments. Moser’s proof is nonlinear in nature, and it can be extended almost verbatim \((10)\) to the quasi-linear versions \((1.1)\)–\((1.2)\) with \( p = 2 \). At about the same time, Ladyzhenskaya, Solonnikov, and Ural’tseva \([7]\) established, by means of DeGiorgi-type measure-theoretical arguments, that weak solutions of such quasi-linear equations (still for \( p = 2 \)) are locally bounded and locally Hölder continuous. It turns out that the Harnack inequality of Moser can be used to establish the Hölder continuity of solutions. On the other hand, it was observed in \([2]\) that the Hölder continuity implies the Harnack inequality for nonnegative solutions. Thus a summary of the quasi-linear theory for the “linear” case \( p = 2 \) is that the Hölder continuity and Harnack inequality for nonnegative solutions are mutually equivalent. However, establishing either of them independently requires independent measure-theoretical arguments.

2.2. **The degenerate case** \( p > 2 \). Neither Moser’s nor DeGiorgi’s ideas, in the version of \([7]\), seem to apply when \( p \neq 2 \), even for the prototype case \((2.2)\). Some progress has been made using the idea of *time-intrinsic* geometry, in which the time is scaled, roughly speaking, by \( u^{p-2} \). This permits establishing that weak solutions of \((1.1)\)–\((1.2)\), for all \( p > 1 \), are Hölder continuous in \( E_T \) \((8)\), Chapters III and IV). It was also observed that, while the Harnack inequality in the Moser form is in general false for \( p > 2 \), it might hold in this time-intrinsic geometry. Indeed, it was shown that \((1.6)\) with \( C = 0 \) holds for nonnegative solutions of \((2.2)\). The proof is based on the maximum principle and comparison functions constructed as variants of the Barenblatt similarity solutions (see \([3]\), Chapter VI, for an account of the theory),

\[
\Gamma_p(x, t) = \frac{1}{t^{N/\lambda}} \left[ 1 - \gamma_p \left( \frac{|x|}{t^{1/\lambda}} \right)^{p-2} \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p-2}}, \quad t > 0,
\]

where

\[
\gamma_p = \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{p-2}{p}, \quad \lambda = N(p - 2) + p.
\]

As \( p \to 2 \), this tends pointwise to the fundamental solution of the heat equation. In this sense, \( \Gamma_p \) is some sort of \( p \)-heat potential. Thus this approach can be regarded as paralleling that of Hadamard and Pini for the heat equation.

The novelty of Theorem \((1.1)\) lies in producing a proof of the Harnack inequality \((1.6)\) based only on measure-theoretical arguments. This bypasses any notion of maximum principle and potentials, and permits an extension to nonnegative solutions of quasi-linear equations of the type of \((1.1)\)–\((1.2)\). Its significance is in paralleling Moser’s measure-theoretical, quasi-linear development, following Hadamard and Pini’s potential representations for the heat equation. Moreover, our approach does not use any kind of covering argument and the cross-over lemma, which were used in Moser’s proof but can be regarded as rather artificial.
In [5] we will give a detailed proof of Theorem 1.1, built on measure-theoretical facts established in [4]. Particular care will be taken in showing how the Harnack inequality implies the Hölder continuity of the solution.

Thus a summary of the quasi-linear theory, for the “degenerate” case $p > 2$, is that the Hölder continuity and intrinsic Harnack inequality are mutually equivalent. However, establishing either of them independently requires independent measure-theoretical arguments. Finally, as $p \to 2$, the intrinsic Harnack inequality (1.6) and the corresponding Hölder theory recover Moser’s classical estimate and the corresponding Hölder estimates of [7].

2.3. Expansion of positivity. The main technical novelty is illustrated by referring back to the “linear” case $p = 2$.

Let $u$ be a nonnegative, local solution of the heat equation in $E_T$. Suppose that $B_\rho(y) \times (s - \rho^2, s + 4\rho^2) \subset E_T$ and
\[
\text{meas}\{x \in B_\rho(y) \mid u(x, s - \rho^2) < M\} < \alpha \text{meas}\{B_\rho\}
\]
for some $M > 0$ and some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then there exists $\eta = \eta(\alpha) \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $x \in B_{2\rho}(y)$,
\[
u(x, s + 4\rho^2) \geq \eta M.
\]
Thus information on the measure of the “positivity set” of $u$ at the time level $s - \rho^2$, over the ball $B_\rho(y)$, translates into an expansion of the positivity set both in space (from $B_\rho(y)$ to $B_{2\rho}(y)$), and in time (from $s - \rho^2$ to $s + 4\rho^2$). This fact continues to hold for quasi-linear versions of the heat equation and was established in [2].

A similar fact for $p > 2$ is in general false, as one can verify from the Barenblatt solution (2.3)–(2.4). The main technical novelty of our investigation is that a similar fact continues to hold for the degenerate equations (1.1)–(1.2), in a time-intrinsic geometry. Precisely,

Lemma 2.1. Let $u$ be a nonnegative, local, weak solution of (1.1)–(1.2). There exist positive constants $\gamma$ and $b$, and $\eta \in (0, 1)$, depending only upon the data and independent of $(y, s)$, $\rho$, and $M$, such that if
\[
(2.5) \quad u(x, s) \geq M \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in B_\rho(y),
\]
then either $M < \gamma C \rho$, or for a.e. $x \in B_{2\rho}(y)$,
\[
(2.6) \quad u(x, t) \geq \eta M \quad \text{with} \quad t = s + \left(\frac{b}{\eta M}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} (4\rho)^p.
\]
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