

CHARACTER SHEAVES ON DISCONNECTED GROUPS, II

G. LUSZTIG

ABSTRACT. In this paper we establish the generalized Springer correspondence for possibly disconnected groups.

INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, G denotes a fixed, not necessarily connected, reductive algebraic group over an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} . This paper is a part of a series (beginning with [L9]) which attempts to develop a theory of character sheaves on G . The main theme of this paper is establishing the “generalized Springer correspondence” in complete generality.

More precisely, we consider the set \mathcal{N} consisting of all pairs $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F})$ where \mathbf{c} is a unipotent G^0 -conjugacy class in G and \mathcal{F} is an irreducible local system on \mathbf{c} (up to isomorphism), equivariant for the conjugation action of G^0 . We define a finite sequence of finite Coxeter groups W^1, W^2, \dots, W^k and we establish a canonical bijection $\mathcal{N} \leftrightarrow \bigsqcup_i \text{Irr } W^i$ where $\text{Irr } W^i$ is the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of W^i . (This is done in 11.10 after preliminary work in §7–§11.) This extends a bijection established in [L2] in which only pairs $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F})$ with $\mathbf{c} \subset G^0$ were considered. (If the characteristic p of the ground field is 0, the condition $\mathbf{c} \subset G^0$ is automatically satisfied, but if $p > 1$ this is not so.) This also extends the original Springer correspondence [Spr] in which not only \mathbf{c} is assumed to be contained in G^0 but \mathcal{F} is subject to certain restrictions. The methods we use are generalizations of those in [L2], although a number of new technical difficulties must be overcome. On the other hand, the utilization of Deligne’s theory of weights makes some of our proofs simpler than the corresponding proofs in [L2]. Now \mathcal{N} has a natural partition into blocks corresponding to the obvious partition of $\bigsqcup_i \text{Irr } W^i$ into pieces indexed by i . Of particular interest are the pairs $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F})$ which form a block by themselves (the corresponding W^i is trivial). Such pairs are classified in §12. In §13 we describe combinatorially the generalized Springer correspondence for disconnected classical groups in characteristic 2 in analogy with the method of [LS2] (for some partial results in this direction; see [MS]). §14 is a complement to the discussion in [LS2, §4] of the generalized Springer correspondence for Spin groups in characteristic $\neq 2$; namely it expresses that correspondence by a closed combinatorial formula instead of the inductive procedure of [LS2].

We adhere to the notation of [L9]. Here is some additional notation. The cardinal of a finite set F is denoted by $|F|$. Let ν or ν_G be the number of positive roots of

Received by the editors July 19, 2003.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20G99.
Supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

G^0 . The closure of a subset S of an algebraic variety V is denoted by \bar{S} . The dual of a local system \mathcal{E} is denoted by $\check{\mathcal{E}}$. For an algebraic variety X , let $\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X)$ be Verdier duality. If $A \in \mathcal{D}(X)$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, we write $A[[n]]$ instead of $A[2n](n)$. Let $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^*$ be the set of all integers $n \geq 1$ such that $n \neq 0$ in \mathbf{k} .

CONTENTS

- 7. Sheaves on the variety of quasi-semisimple classes.
- 8. Study of local systems on unipotent conjugacy classes.
- 9. A restriction theorem.
- 10. Preparatory results.
- 11. The structure of the algebra \mathbf{E} .
- 12. Classification of objects in \mathcal{N}_D^0 .
- 13. Symbols.
- 14. Spin groups.

7. SHEAVES ON THE VARIETY OF QUASI-SEMISIMPLE CLASSES

7.1. Let D be a connected component of G . Let $D//G^0$ be the set of closed G^0 -conjugacy classes in D , that is, the set of quasi-semisimple G^0 -conjugacy classes in D ; see 1.4(c). By geometric invariant theory, $D//G^0$ has a natural structure of affine variety and there is a well-defined morphism $\sigma : D \rightarrow D//G^0$ such that, for $g \in D$, $\sigma(g)$ is the unique closed G^0 -conjugacy class in D contained in the closure of the G^0 -conjugacy class of g . Let $g \in D$ be quasi-semisimple and let T_1 be a maximal torus of $Z_G(g)^0$. Then σ induces a morphism

$$(a) \quad gT_1 \rightarrow D//G^0$$

which is a finite (ramified) covering inducing a bijection between the set of orbits of the action of the finite group $\{n \in G^0; ngT_1n^{-1} = gT_1\}/T_1$ on gT_1 and $D//G^0$; see 1.14(a)-(d).

In our case, σ can be described explicitly at follows. Let $g \in D$. By 1.9 (applied to $Z_G(g_s)$ instead of G), we can find $u \in g_u Z_G(g_s)^0$ such that u is unipotent, quasi-semisimple in $Z_G(g_s)$; then $g_s u \in D$ is quasi-semisimple in G (see 1.4(c)) and $\sigma(g)$ is the G^0 -conjugacy class of $g_s u$ (this is independent of the choice of u since u is unique up to $Z_G(g_s)^0$ -conjugacy). To verify that $\sigma(g)$ is as stated above, we must show that $g_s u$ is in the closure of the G^0 -conjugacy class of $g = g_s g_u$. It is enough to show that u is in the closure of the $Z_G(g_s)^0$ -conjugacy class of g_u ; this follows from [Sp, II, 2.21] applied to $Z_G(g_s)$ instead of G . Clearly σ is constant on G^0 -conjugacy classes in D .

In the case where D contains unipotent elements, there is a unique point $\omega \in D//G^0$ such that $\sigma^{-1}(\omega) = \{g \in D; g \text{ unipotent}\}$.

The following result will be used several times in this paper. Let $Z = Z' \cup Z''$ be a partition of a variety Z with Z' open and Z'' closed. Let \mathcal{F} be a local system on Z . Assume that $\dim Z \leq n$. Then

$$(b) \quad \text{the natural sequence } 0 \rightarrow H_c^{2n}(Z', \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_c^{2n}(Z, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_c^{2n}(Z'', \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ is exact.}$$

We can find an open subset U of Z such that $\dim(Z - U) < n$ and $U \cap Z''$ is open in U . Then

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^{2n}(Z', \mathcal{F}) &= H_c^{2n}(Z' \cap U, \mathcal{F}), H_c^{2n}(Z, \mathcal{F}) = H_c^{2n}(Z \cap U, \mathcal{F}), \\ H_c^{2n}(Z'', \mathcal{F}) &= H_c^{2n}(Z'' \cap U, \mathcal{F}) \end{aligned}$$

and it is enough to show that the natural sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_c^{2n}(Z' \cap U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_c^{2n}(Z \cap U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_c^{2n}(Z'' \cap U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. This holds since $Z' \cap U, Z'' \cap U$ form a partition of $Z \cap U$ into subsets that are both open and closed.

7.2. Let $(L, S) \in \mathbf{A}$ with $S \subset D$ and let P be a parabolic of G^0 with Levi L such that $S \subset N_G P$. Let $Y'_{L,S}$ be as in 3.14. Let δ be the connected component of $N_G L \cap N_G P$ that contains S . Let ${}^\delta Z_L, S^*$ be as in 3.11. Let $\mathbf{a}_{L,S} = \sigma(Y'_{L,S})$. We show that

- (a) *if $g \in S^*, g' \in \bar{S}U_P$ are such that $\sigma(g') = \sigma(g)$, then there exists $u \in U_P$ such that $ug'u^{-1} \in \bar{S}, L(ug'u^{-1}) = L$.*

Since $g'_s \in N_G P$ is semisimple, it normalizes some Levi of P , that is, some U_P -conjugate of L . Hence replacing g', x by $u'^{-1}g'u', xu'$ for some $u' \in U_P$ we may assume in addition that $g'_s \in N_G L \cap N_G P$. We have $g' = hv$ where $h \in \bar{S}, v \in U_P$. As in the proof of Lemma 5.5 we see that $g'_s = h_s$ and $T(g') = T(h)$. Hence $L(g') = L(h)$. Since h is isolated in $N_G L \cap N_G P$ (Lemma 2.8) we have $L \subset L(h)$ (see 3.8(b)) and $L \subset L(g')$.

Let $v \in g_u Z_G(g_s)^0$ be such that v is unipotent, quasi-semisimple in $Z_G(g_s)$; let $v' \in g'_u Z_G(g'_s)^0$ be such that v' is unipotent, quasi-semisimple in $Z_G(g'_s)$. The assumption $\sigma(g') = \sigma(g)$ implies that there exists $x \in G^0$ with $xg'_s v' x^{-1} = g_s v$, hence $xg'_s x^{-1} = g_s, xv' x^{-1} = v$. Now $xv' x^{-1} \in xg'_u x^{-1} Z_G(g_s)^0$ hence $v \in xg'_u x^{-1} Z_G(g_s)^0$. It follows that $xg'_u x^{-1} Z_G(g_s)^0 = g_u Z_G(g_s)^0$, that is, $xg'_u x^{-1} = g_u \pmod{Z_G(g_s)^0}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} T(xg'x^{-1}) &= (\mathcal{Z}_{Z_G(xg'_s x^{-1})^0} \cap Z_G(xg'_u x^{-1}))^0 = (\mathcal{Z}_{Z_G(g_s)^0} \cap Z_G(xg'_u x^{-1}))^0 \\ &= (\mathcal{Z}_{Z_G(g_s)^0} \cap Z_G(g_u))^0 = T(g) \end{aligned}$$

hence $L(xg'x^{-1}) = L(g)$. Since $g \in S^*$, we have $L(g) = L$ hence $L(xg'x^{-1}) = L$ and $L(g') = x^{-1}Lx$, $\dim L(g') = \dim(x^{-1}Lx) = \dim L$. This, combined with $L \subset L(g')$ implies $L = L(g')$. Since $g' \in N_G(L(g'))$, we have $g' \in N_G L$. We have also $g' = hv$ where $h \in S \subset N_G L, v \in U_P$. Since $hv \in N_G L$ we have $v \in N_G L \cap U_P$ hence $v = 1$ and $g' = h \in \bar{S}$. This proves (a).

Exactly the same proof gives the following variant of (a):

- (b) *Let $g \in S^*, g' \in SU_P$ be such that $\sigma(g') = \sigma(g)$. Then there exists $u \in U_P$ such that $ug'u^{-1} \in S, L(ug'u^{-1}) = L$, hence $ug'u^{-1} \in S^*$.*

For future reference we note the following result.

- (c) *Let $(L', S') \in \mathbf{A}$. The following two conditions are equivalent:*
- (i) $Y_{L',S'}$ is contained in the closure of $Y_{L,S}$;
 - (ii) there exists $x \in G^0$ such that $xLx^{-1} \subset L'$ and S' is contained in the closed subset $T_x = \bigcup_{y \in L'} y \bar{S} U_{Q_x} y^{-1}$ of $N_G L'$; here $Q_x = xPx^{-1} \cap L'$, a parabolic of L' with Levi xLx^{-1} .

Proof of (i) \implies (ii). Let $g \in S'^*$. We will show that

there exists $x \in G^0$ such that $xLx^{-1} \subset L'$ and $g \in T_x$.

(This would imply that $S' \subset T_x$ since T_x is stable under L' -conjugacy and under left translation by Z_L^0 .) From (i) we deduce $g \in Y'_{L,S}$ (see Lemma 3.14). Replacing (L, S, P) by a G^0 -conjugate, we may assume that $g = hu$ with $h \in \bar{S}, u \in U_P$. Replacing (L, S) by a U_P -conjugate, we may further assume that $g_s = h_s \in N_GL \cap N_GP, T_G(g) \subset (Z_L \cap Z_G(h))^0$ (see the proof of Lemma 3.15). It follows that $Z_{G^0}((Z_L \cap Z_L(h))^0) \subset Z_{G^0}(T(g))$ hence $L \subset L'$. (We use 1.10, 3.9 and that $g \in S'^*$.) It is enough to show that $g \in T_1$.

Let $Q' = P \cap L'$. This is a parabolic of L' with Levi L (since $L \subset L'$) and $U_{Q'} = U_P \cap L'$. There is a unique parabolic P' of G^0 with Levi L' such that $P \subset P'$. Since g normalizes L' (recall that $g \in S'^*$) and P (since $g \in \bar{S}U_P$) we have $g \in N_GP'$. Since $h \in \bar{S}$ we have $h \in N_GL \cap N_GP$. Now $huP'u^{-1}h^{-1} = P'$ hence $h^{-1}P'h = uP'u^{-1} = P'$ (recall that $u \in U_P \subset P \subset P'$). Also $h \in N_GP$. Hence $h^{-1}P'h$ and P' both contain P and are G^0 -conjugate (we have $u^{-1}(h^{-1}P'h)u = P', u \in G^0$) hence $h^{-1}P'h = P'$. Now $h \in N_GP' \cap N_GL$ hence $h \in N_GL'$ (since L' is the unique Levi of P' that contains L). We see that $h \in N_GL' \cap N_GP'$. Since $hu \in N_GL' \cap N_GP'$ it follows that $u \in N_GL' \cap P' = L'$. Thus $u \in L' \cap U_P = U_{Q'}$. We see that $g \in \bar{S}U_{Q'}$ hence $g \in T_1$. Thus (ii) holds.

Proof of (ii) \implies (i). We may assume that $L \subset L'$ and $S' \subset \cup_{y \in L'y} \bar{S}U_Q y^{-1}$ where $Q = P \cap L'$ (a parabolic of L' with Levi L). Since $U_Q \subset U_P$, we have $S' \subset Y'_{L,S}$. Since $Y'_{L,S}$ is stable under G^0 -conjugacy, it follows that $Y'_{L,S'} \subset Y'_{L,S}$.

Lemma 7.3. (a) $\mathbf{a}_{L,S} = \sigma(S)$.

- (b) $\mathbf{a}_{L,S}$ is an irreducible, closed subvariety of $D//G^0$ of dimension $\dim \delta Z_L^0$.
- (c) Let $\mathcal{Y} = \{g \in Y'_{L,S}; \dim L(g) > \dim L\}$. Then \mathcal{Y} is closed in $Y'_{L,S}$ and $\{g \in Y'_{L,S}; \dim L(g) = \dim L\}$ is open in $Y'_{L,S}$.
- (d) $\{g \in Y'_{L,S}; \dim L(g) = \dim L\}$ is exactly the inverse image of $\sigma(S^*)$ under $\sigma : Y'_{L,S} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}_{L,S}$.
- (e) The inverse image of $\sigma(S^*)$ under $\sigma : Y'_{L,S} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}_{L,S}$ is open in $Y'_{L,S}$.
- (f) $\sigma(S^*)$ is open in $\mathbf{a}_{L,S}$.

We prove (a). Let $g \in Y'_{L,S}$. We must show that $\sigma(g) \in \sigma(S)$. As in the proof of 3.15 we may assume that $g = hv$ where $h \in \bar{S}, v \in U_P$ and $g_s = h_s$; moreover, by 1.22 we have $h_s = h'_s$ with $h' \in S, h'^{-1}h \in Z_G(h_s)^0$ hence $h'_u{}^{-1}h_u \in Z_G(g_s)^0$. We have $g_u = h_u v$ hence $v \in U_P \cap Z_G(g_s) = U_P \cap Z_G(g_s)^0$. Thus, $h'_u{}^{-1}g_u = h'_u{}^{-1}h_u v \in Z_G(g_s)^0$. Choose $u \in g_u Z_G(g_s)^0 = h_u Z_G(h_s)^0 = h'_u Z_G(h_s)^0$ such that u is unipotent, quasi-semisimple in $Z_G(g_s)$. Then $\sigma(g) = \sigma(h) = \sigma(h')$ is the G^0 -conjugacy class of $g_s u = h_s u = h'_s u$ and $\sigma(g) \in \sigma(S)$, as required.

We prove (b). Let $h \in S$. We have $S = \bigcup_{x \in L} x^\delta Z_L^0 h x^{-1}$ hence $\sigma(S) = \{\sigma(zh); z \in \delta Z_L^0\}$. Let $u \in h_u Z_L(h_s)^0$ be such that u is unipotent quasi-semisimple in $Z_{N_GL \cap N_GP}(h_s)$. For any $z \in \delta Z_L^0$ we have $Z_L(h_s) = Z_L(zh_s) \subset Z_G(zh_s)$ hence $u \in h_u Z_G(zh_s)^0$. Moreover, u is unipotent quasi-semisimple in $Z_{N_GL \cap N_GP}(zh_s)$ hence u is unipotent quasi-semisimple in $Z_G(zh_s)$. It follows that

$$\sigma(zh) = \sigma(zh_s u) = \sigma(zg)$$

where $g = h_s u \in D$ is quasi-semisimple in G . We see that

$$\sigma(S) = \{\sigma(zg); z \in \delta Z_L^0\}.$$

Let T_1 be a maximal torus of $Z_G(g)^0$. Now ${}^\delta\mathcal{Z}_L^0g$ is an irreducible closed subvariety of gT_1 of dimension $\dim {}^\delta\mathcal{Z}_L^0$; since $\sigma : gT_1 \rightarrow D//G^0$ is a finite (ramified) covering (see 7.1), it follows that $\mathbf{a}_{L,S} = \sigma(S) = \sigma({}^\delta\mathcal{Z}_L^0g)$ is an irreducible closed subvariety of $D//G^0$ of dimension $\dim {}^\delta\mathcal{Z}_L^0$. This proves (b).

We prove (c). By 3.15 there exist $(L_i, S_i), i \in [1, n]$, such that the closure of $Y_{L,S}$ in G equals $\bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_{L_i, S_i}$ and Y_{L_i, S_i} are disjoint. If $g \in Y_{L_i, S_i}$, then $\dim L(g) = \dim L_i$. Let $J = \{i \in [1, n]; \dim L_i > \dim L\}$. Then $\mathcal{Y} = \bigcup_{i \in J} Y_{L_i, S_i}$. Again by 3.15, the closure of Y_{L_i, S_i} in G is $\bigcup_{j \in J_i} Y_{L_j, S_j}$ for some subset $J_i \subset [1, n]$. By 7.2(c), for $j \in J_i$ we have $\dim L_j \geq \dim L_i$. Hence if $i \in J, j \in J_i$, we have $\dim L_j \geq \dim L_i > \dim L$ so that $J_i \subset J$. We see that for $i \in J$, the closure of Y_{L_i, S_i} in G is contained in \mathcal{Y} . Thus \mathcal{Y} is closed. This implies the last assertion of (c) since $\dim L(g) \geq \dim L$ for $g \in Y'_{L,S}$; see 7.2(c). This proves (c).

We prove (d). We must show that, if $g \in Y'_{L,S}$, then the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\dim L(g) = \dim L$;
- (ii) $\sigma(g) = \sigma(g_1)$ for some $g_1 \in S^*$.

Assume that (ii) holds. We may assume that $g \in \bar{S}U_P$. By 7.2(a) we have $\dim L(g) = \dim L$. Thus (i) holds. Assume now that (i) holds. As in the proof of 3.15 we may assume that $g = hv$ where $h \in \bar{S}, v \in U_P$ and $g_s = h_s$; moreover, by 1.22 we have $h_s = h'_s$ with $h' \in S, h'^{-1}h \in Z_G(h_s)^0$ hence $h'_u{}^{-1}h_u \in Z_G(g_s)^0$. As in the proof of (a) we have $\sigma(g) = \sigma(h')$. As in the proof of 3.15 we have $T(g) = T(h)$. Hence $L(h) = L(g)$. Since $h_s = h'_s, h'^{-1}h \in Z_G(h_s)^0$ we have $T(h) = T(h')$ (see 2.1(e)) hence $L(h) = L(h')$. Thus $L(h') = L(g)$ and $\dim L(h') = \dim L$. Since h' is isolated in $N_GL \cap N_GP$ we have $L \subset L(h')$ (see 3.8). It follows that $L = L(h')$. Since $h' \in S$, we have $h' \in S^*$. Thus (ii) holds. This proves (d).

(e) follows by combining (c),(d). Now a subset of $\mathbf{a}_{L,S}$ is open in $\mathbf{a}_{L,S}$ if and only if its inverse image under $\sigma : Y'_{L,S} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}_{L,S}$ is open in $Y'_{L,S}$. (We may identify $\mathbf{a}_{L,S}$ with the variety $Y'_{L,S}/G^0$ of closed G^0 -conjugacy classes in $Y'_{L,S}$.) Hence (f) follows from (e). The lemma is proved.

7.4. In the remainder of this section we fix parabolics P', P'' of G^0 with Levi L', L'' respectively and an isolated stratum S' (resp. S'') of $\tilde{L}' = N_GL' \cap N_GP'$ (resp. $\tilde{L}'' = N_GL'' \cap N_GP''$) contained in the connected component δ' (resp. δ'') of \tilde{L}' (resp. \tilde{L}'') with $\delta' \subset D, \delta'' \subset D$. We also fix $\mathcal{E}' \in \mathcal{S}(S'), \mathcal{E}'' \in \mathcal{S}(S'')$ such that $(S', \mathcal{E}'), (S'', \mathcal{E}'')$ are cuspidal pairs of \tilde{L}', \tilde{L}'' . Let

$$d_0 = 2\nu - \nu_{L'} - \nu_{L''} + \frac{1}{2}(\dim({}^\delta\mathcal{Z}_{L'}^0 \setminus S') + \dim({}^\delta\mathcal{Z}_{L''}^0 \setminus S'')).$$

Let \bar{Y}' (resp. \bar{Y}'') be the closure of $Y_{L', S'}$ (resp. $Y_{L'', S''}$) in D . As in 3.14, let

$$\begin{aligned} X' &= \{(g, x'P') \in G \times G^0/P'; x'^{-1}gx' \in \bar{S}'U_{P'}\}, \\ X'' &= \{(g, x''P'') \in G \times G^0/P''; x''^{-1}gx'' \in \bar{S}''U_{P''}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $\psi' : X' \rightarrow \bar{Y}', \psi'' : X'' \rightarrow \bar{Y}''$ by $\psi'(g, x'P') = g, \psi''(g, x''P'') = g$. For any stratum S'_1 of \tilde{L}' contained in \bar{S}' let

$$X'_{S'_1} = \{(g, x'P') \in G \times G^0/P'; x'^{-1}gx' \in S'_1U_{P'}\} \subset X'.$$

For any stratum S''_1 of \tilde{L}'' contained in \bar{S}'' let

$$X''_{S''_1} = \{(g, x''P'') \in G \times G^0/P''; x''^{-1}gx'' \in S''_1U_{P''}\} \subset X''.$$

Let $\bar{\mathcal{E}}'$ (resp. $\bar{\mathcal{E}}''$) be the local system on $X'_{S'}$ (resp. $X''_{S''}$) defined in terms of \mathcal{E}' (resp. \mathcal{E}'') in the same way as $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ was defined in terms of \mathcal{E} in 5.6. Let

$$K' = IC(X', \bar{\mathcal{E}}') \in \mathcal{D}(X'), \quad K'' = IC(X'', \bar{\mathcal{E}}'') \in \mathcal{D}(X'').$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{Z} = \{(g, x'P', x''P'') \in G \times G^0/P' \times G^0/P''; x'^{-1}gx' \in \bar{S}'U_{P'}, x''^{-1}gx'' \in \bar{S}''U_{P''}\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{a}_{L', S'}$, $\mathbf{a}'' = \mathbf{a}_{L'', S''}$, $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}' \cap \mathbf{a}'' \subset D//G^0$. Define $\bar{\sigma} : \mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}$ by $\bar{\sigma}(g, x'P', x''P'') = \sigma(g)$. For $a \in \mathbf{a}$ let $\mathfrak{Z}^a = \bar{\sigma}^{-1}(a) \subset \mathfrak{Z}$. For S'_1, S''_1 as above we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Z}_{S'_1, S''_1} &= \{(g, x'P', x''P'') \in G \times G^0/P' \times G^0/P''; x'^{-1}gx' \in S'_1U_{P'}, \\ &\quad x''^{-1}gx'' \in S''_1U_{P''}\}, \\ \mathfrak{Z}_{S'_1, S''_1}^a &= \{(g, x'P', x''P'') \in G \times G^0/P' \times G^0/P''; \\ &\quad \sigma(g) = a, x'^{-1}gx' \in S'_1U_{P'}, x''^{-1}gx'' \in S''_1U_{P''}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The $\mathfrak{Z}_{S'_1, S''_1}^a$ form a partition of \mathfrak{Z}^a into locally closed subvarieties with $\mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''}^a$ open.

7.5. We show that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathfrak{Z}_{S'_1, S''_1}^a &\leq d_0 - \frac{1}{2}(\dim(\delta' \mathcal{Z}_{L'}^0 \setminus S'_1) - \dim(\delta' \mathcal{Z}_{L'}^0 \setminus S'_1)) \\ (a) \quad &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(\dim(\delta'' \mathcal{Z}_{L''}^0 \setminus S''_1) - \dim(\delta'' \mathcal{Z}_{L''}^0 \setminus S''_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Define $m : \mathfrak{Z}_{S'_1, S''_1}^a \rightarrow \sigma^{-1}(a)$ by $m(g, x'P', x''P'') = g$. Now $\sigma^{-1}(a)$ is a union of finitely many G^0 -conjugacy classes (since the semisimple parts of elements of $\sigma^{-1}(a)$ lie in a single G^0 -conjugacy class). Hence it is enough to estimate $\dim(m^{-1}(\mathbf{c}))$ for any G^0 -conjugacy class \mathbf{c} contained in $\sigma^{-1}(a)$. Any fibre of $m : m^{-1}(\mathbf{c}) \rightarrow \mathbf{c}$ is isomorphic to a product of two varieties of the kind appearing in 4.4(b). Hence by 4.4(b), this fibre has dimension at most

$$(\nu - \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathbf{c}) - (\nu_{L'} - \frac{1}{2} \dim(\delta' \mathcal{Z}_{L'}^0 \setminus S'_1)) + (\nu - \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathbf{c}) - (\nu_{L''} - \frac{1}{2} \dim(\delta'' \mathcal{Z}_{L''}^0 \setminus S''_1))$$

and (a) follows.

From (a) we deduce immediately that

$$(b) \quad \dim \mathfrak{Z}^a \leq d_0.$$

The inverse image of $K' \boxtimes K'' \in \mathcal{D}(X' \times X'')$ under $\mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow X' \times X'', (g, x'P', x''P'') \mapsto ((g, x'P'), (g, x''P''))$ is denoted again by $K' \boxtimes K''$. Its restrictions to various subvarieties of \mathfrak{Z} are denoted again by $K' \boxtimes K''$. Similarly, the inverse image of the local system $\bar{\mathcal{E}}' \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{E}}''$ under $\mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''} \rightarrow X'_{S'} \times X''_{S''}, (g, x'P', x''P'') \mapsto ((g, x'P'), (g, x''P''))$ is denoted again by $\bar{\mathcal{E}}' \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{E}}''$. Its restrictions to various subvarieties of $\mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''}$ are denoted again by $\bar{\mathcal{E}}' \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{E}}''$. Let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{H}^{2d_0} \bar{\sigma}_!(K' \boxtimes K''), \quad \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{H}^{2d_0} \sigma_1^!(\bar{\mathcal{E}}' \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{E}}'')$$

where $\sigma^1 : \mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}$ is the restriction of $\bar{\sigma}$; these are constructible sheaves on \mathbf{a} .

Lemma 7.6. *The natural homomorphism $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ is an isomorphism.*

It is enough to show that, for any $a \in \mathbf{a}$, the natural homomorphism

$$H_c^{2d_0}(\mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''}^a, \bar{\mathcal{E}}' \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{E}}'') = H_c^{2d_0}(\mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''}^a, K' \boxtimes K'') \rightarrow H_c^{2d_0}(\mathfrak{Z}^a, K' \boxtimes K'')$$

is an isomorphism. First we show that

- (a) if $(S'_1, S''_1) \neq (S', S'')$ and $H_c^t(\mathfrak{Z}_{S'_1, S''_1}^a, K' \boxtimes K'') \neq 0$, then $t < 2d_0$.

We may assume that $S'_1 \neq S'$. (The case where $S''_1 \neq S''$ is similar.) From the hypercohomology spectral sequence of $K' \boxtimes K''$ on $\mathfrak{Z}_{S'_1, S''_1}^a$ we see that there exist i, j', j'' such that $t = i + j' + j''$ and $H_c^i(\mathfrak{Z}_{S'_1, S''_1}^a, \mathcal{H}^{j'} K' \boxtimes \mathcal{H}^{j''} K'') \neq 0$. It follows that $i \leq 2 \dim \mathfrak{Z}_{S'_1, S''_1}^a$ and $X'_{S'_1} \subset \text{supp} \mathcal{H}^{j'} K'$, $X''_{S''_1} \subset \text{supp} \mathcal{H}^{j''} K''$. (Note that $\mathcal{H}^{j'} K'$ is a local system of constant rank on $X'_{S'_1}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{j''} K''$ is a local system of constant rank on $X''_{S''_1}$.) Using 7.5(a) we have

$$i \leq 2d_0 - \dim(\delta' \mathcal{Z}_{L'}^0 \setminus S') + \dim(\delta' \mathcal{Z}_{L'}^0 \setminus S'_1) - \dim(\delta'' \mathcal{Z}_{L''}^0 \setminus S'') + \dim(\delta'' \mathcal{Z}_{L''}^0 \setminus S''_1).$$

Moreover, by the definition of K', K'' we have

$$\begin{aligned} j' &< \dim X' - \dim X'_{S'_1} = \dim(\delta' \mathcal{Z}_{L'}^0 \setminus S') - \dim(\delta' \mathcal{Z}_{L'}^0 \setminus S'_1), \\ j'' &\leq \dim X'' - \dim X''_{S''_1} = \dim(\delta'' \mathcal{Z}_{L''}^0 \setminus S'') - \dim(\delta'' \mathcal{Z}_{L''}^0 \setminus S''_1) \end{aligned}$$

(since $S'_1 \neq S'$). It follows that $t = i + j' + j'' < 2d_0$. This proves (a).

From (a) we see that $H_c^t(\mathfrak{Z}_{S'_1, S''_1}^a, K' \boxtimes K'') = 0$ for any $(S'_1, S''_1) \neq (S', S'')$ and any $t \geq 2d_0$; hence

- (b) $H_c^t(\mathfrak{Z}^a - \mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''}^a, K' \boxtimes K'') = 0$ for any $t \geq 2d_0$.

As a part of the long cohomology exact sequence of the partition $\mathfrak{Z}^a = \mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''}^a \cup (\mathfrak{Z}^a - \mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''}^a)$ we have the exact sequence

$$H_c^{2d_0-1}(\mathfrak{Z}^a - \mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''}^a, K' \boxtimes K'') \xrightarrow{f} H_c^{2d_0}(\mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''}^a, K' \boxtimes K'') \rightarrow H_c^{2d_0}(\mathfrak{Z}^a, K' \boxtimes K'') \rightarrow 0,$$

where the last 0 comes from (b). It is enough to prove that $f = 0$. We may assume that \mathbf{k} is an algebraic closure of a finite field \mathbf{F}_q , that G has a fixed \mathbf{F}_q -structure with Frobenius map $F : G \rightarrow G$, that L', P', L'', P'' are F -stable, any $S'_1 \subset \bar{S}', S''_1 \subset \bar{S}''$ as above is F -stable, that $F(a) = a$ and that we have isomorphisms $F^* \mathcal{E}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}', F^* \mathcal{E}'' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}''$ which make $\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}''$ into local systems of pure weight 0. Then we have natural (Frobenius) endomorphisms of $H_c^{2d_0-1}(\mathfrak{Z}^a - \mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''}^a, K' \boxtimes K'')$, $H_c^{2d_0}(\mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''}^a, K' \boxtimes K'')$ compatible with f . To show that $f = 0$, it is enough to show that

- (c) $H_c^{2d_0}(\mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''}^a, K' \boxtimes K'')$ is pure of weight $2d_0$;

- (d) $H_c^{2d_0-1}(\mathfrak{Z}^a - \mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''}^a, K' \boxtimes K'')$ is mixed of weight $\leq 2d_0 - 1$.

Now $H_c^{2d_0}(\mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''}^a, K' \boxtimes K'') = H_c^{2d_0}(\mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''}^a, \bar{\mathcal{E}}' \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{E}}'')$ and $\dim \mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''}^a \leq d_0$; (c) follows. Using the partition $\mathfrak{Z}^a - \mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''}^a = \bigcup_{(S'_1, S''_1) \neq (S', S'')} \mathfrak{Z}_{S'_1, S''_1}^a$, we see that to prove (d), it is enough to prove that

- if $(S'_1, S''_1) \neq (S', S'')$, then $H_c^{2d_0-1}(\mathfrak{Z}_{S'_1, S''_1}^a, K' \boxtimes K'')$ is mixed of weight $\leq 2d_0 - 1$.

Using again the hypercohomology spectral sequence of $K' \boxtimes K''$ on $\mathfrak{Z}_{S'_1, S''_1}^a$ we see that it is enough to prove that

- (e) if i, j', j'' are such that $2d_0 - 1 = i + j' + j''$, then $H_c^i(\mathfrak{Z}_{S'_1, S''_1}^a, \mathcal{H}^{j'} K' \boxtimes \mathcal{H}^{j''} K'')$ is mixed of weight $\leq 2d_0 - 1$.

By Gabber's theorem [BBD, 5.3.2], the local system $\mathcal{H}^{j'} K'$ on $X'_{S'_1}$ is mixed of weight $\leq j'$ and the local system $\mathcal{H}^{j''} K''$ on $X''_{S''_1}$ is mixed of weight $\leq j''$. Hence the local system $\mathcal{H}^{j'} K' \boxtimes \mathcal{H}^{j''} K''$ on $\mathfrak{Z}_{S'_1, S''_1}^a$ is mixed of weight $\leq j' + j''$. Using Deligne's theorem [BBD, 5.1.14(i)], we deduce that

$$H_c^i(\mathfrak{Z}_{S'_1, S''_1}^a, \mathcal{H}^{j'} K' \boxtimes \mathcal{H}^{j''} K'') \neq 0$$

is mixed of weight $\leq i + j' + j''$. This proves (e). The lemma is proved.

7.7. Let E be a locally closed subset of $G^0/P' \times G^0/P''$ which is a union of G^0 -orbits (for the diagonal G^0 -action). We set

$$\begin{aligned} {}^E\mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''} &= \{(g, x'P', x''P'') \in G \times G^0/P' \times G^0/P''; x'^{-1}gx' \in S'U_{P'}, \\ &x''^{-1}gx'' \in S''U_{P''}, (x'P', x''P'') \in E\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let ${}^E\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{H}^{2d_0}\sigma_1^1(\bar{\mathcal{E}}' \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{E}}'')$ (a constructible sheaf on \mathbf{a}) where $\sigma^1 : {}^E\mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}$ is $(g, x'P', x''P'') \mapsto \sigma(g)$.

If E is a G^0 -orbit, we say that E is good if for some/any $(x'P', x''P'') \in E$, $x'P'x'^{-1}, x''P''x''^{-1}$ have a common Levi; we say that E is bad if it is not good.

Lemma 7.8. (a) *If E is a bad orbit, then ${}^E\mathcal{T} = 0$;*

- (b) *if E is a good orbit and there is no $n \in G^0$ such that $(P', nP'') \in E$ and $n^{-1}L'n = L'', n^{-1}S'n = S''$, then ${}^E\mathcal{T} = 0$;*
 (c) *if E is a good orbit and there exists $n \in G^0$ such that $(P', nP'') \in E$ and $n^{-1}L'n = L'', n^{-1}S'n = S''$ (so that $\mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{a}'' = \mathbf{a}$), then $\mathfrak{D}({}^E\mathcal{T})[[-\dim \mathbf{a}]]$ is a constructible sheaf on \mathbf{a} .*

The fibre of the fibration $pr_{23} : {}^E\mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''} \rightarrow E$ at $(x'P', x''P'') \in E$ where $x', x'' \in G^0$ may be identified with

$$V = \{g \in G; x'^{-1}gx' \in S'U_{P'}, x''^{-1}gx'' \in S''U_{P''}\}.$$

Define $j : V \rightarrow S' \times S''$ by

$$j(g) = (S'\text{-component of } x'^{-1}gx', S''\text{-component of } x''^{-1}gx'').$$

Let ${}^E\mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{H}^{2d_0-2\dim E}\sigma'_1(j^*(\mathcal{E}' \boxtimes \mathcal{E}''))$ where $\sigma' : V \rightarrow \mathbf{a}$ is $g \mapsto \sigma(g)$. Let ${}^E\mathcal{T}'' = \mathcal{H}^{2d_0+2\dim H}\sigma''_1(\bar{Q}_l \boxtimes j^*(\mathcal{E}' \boxtimes \mathcal{E}''))$ where $H = x'P'x'^{-1} \cap x''P''x''^{-1}$ and $\sigma'' : G^0 \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{a}$ is $(x, g) \mapsto \sigma(g)$. Using the spectral sequence attached to the composition $G^0 \times V \xrightarrow{pr_2} V \xrightarrow{\sigma'} \mathbf{a}$ (equal to σ'') and that attached to the composition $G^0 \times V \rightarrow H \backslash (G^0 \times V) = {}^E\mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''} \xrightarrow{\sigma^1} \mathbf{a}$ (equal to σ'') we obtain ${}^E\mathcal{T}'' = {}^E\mathcal{T}$, ${}^E\mathcal{T}' = {}^E\mathcal{T}$. (We use that $\mathcal{H}^i\sigma_1^1(\bar{\mathcal{E}}' \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{E}}'') = 0$ for $i > 2d_0$ and $\mathcal{H}^i\sigma'_1(j^*(\mathcal{E}' \boxtimes \mathcal{E}'')) = 0$ for $i > 2d_0 - 2\dim E$.) It follows that ${}^E\mathcal{T} = {}^E\mathcal{T}'$.

Let $Q' = x'P'x'^{-1}, Q'' = x''P''x''^{-1}$. Choose Levi subgroups M' of Q' and M'' of Q'' such that M', M'' contain a common maximal torus. Let $\tilde{M}' = N_G M' \cap N_G Q'$, $\tilde{M}'' = N_G M'' \cap N_G Q''$. Let Σ' (resp. Σ'') be the unique stratum of \tilde{M}' (resp. \tilde{M}'') such that $\Sigma'U_{Q'} = x'S'U_{P'}x'^{-1}$ (resp. $\Sigma''U_{Q''} = x''S''U_{P''}x''^{-1}$). Now $\text{Ad}(x')$ (resp. $\text{Ad}(x'')$) carries the inverse image of \mathcal{E}' (resp. \mathcal{E}'') under $pr_1 : S'U_{P'} \rightarrow S'$ (resp. $pr_1 : S''U_{P''} \rightarrow S''$) to a local system on $\Sigma'U_{Q'}$ (resp. $\Sigma''U_{Q''}$) which is the inverse image under $pr_1 : \Sigma'U_{Q'} \rightarrow \Sigma'$ (resp. $pr_1 : \Sigma''U_{Q''} \rightarrow \Sigma''$) of a local system

\mathcal{F}' (resp. \mathcal{F}'') on Σ' (resp. Σ''). If $g \in V$, then $g \in N_G Q' \cap N_G Q''$. By 1.25(a),(b) we can write uniquely $g = zu''u = zu'v$ where

$$z \in \tilde{M}' \cap \tilde{M}'', u'' \in M' \cap U_{Q''}, u \in U_{Q'} \cap Q'', u' \in M'' \cap U_{Q'}, v \in U_{Q''} \cap Q'.$$

Thus V can be identified with

$$\begin{aligned} \{(u, v, u'', u', z) \in (U_{Q'} \cap Q'') \\ \times (U_{Q''} \cap Q') \times (M' \cap U_{Q''}) \times (M'' \cap U_{Q'}) \\ \times (\tilde{M}' \cap \tilde{M}''); u''u = u'v, zu'' \in \Sigma', zu' \in \Sigma''\}. \end{aligned}$$

The map $\sigma' : V \rightarrow \mathbf{a}$ becomes $(u, v, u'', u', z) \mapsto \sigma(z)$. (We use that $h \in \tilde{M}', v \in U_{Q'} \implies \sigma(hv) = \sigma(v)$ and the analogous fact for $\tilde{M}'', U_{Q''}$ instead of $\tilde{M}', U_{Q'}$; see the argument in the proof of 7.3(a).) In this description, V is fibred over

$$V_1 = \{(u'', u', z) \in (M' \cap U_{Q''}) \times (M'' \cap U_{Q'}) \times (\tilde{M}' \cap \tilde{M}''); zu'' \in \Sigma', zu' \in \Sigma''\}$$

with all fibres isomorphic to $U_{Q'} \cap U_{Q''}$ (see the argument in 4.2). The map $\sigma' : V \rightarrow \mathbf{a}$ factors through a map $\bar{\sigma} : V_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{a}$, $(u'', u', z) \mapsto \sigma(z)$. Since $U_{Q'} \cap U_{Q''}$ is an affine space of dimension $2\nu - \nu_{L'} - \nu_{L''} - \dim E$, we see that $T'^E = \mathcal{H}^r \bar{\sigma}_! (\bar{j}^* (\mathcal{F}' \boxtimes \mathcal{F}''))$ where $r = \dim(\delta' \mathcal{Z}_{L'}^0 \setminus S') + \dim(\delta'' \mathcal{Z}_{L''}^0 \setminus S'')$ and $\bar{j} : V_1 \rightarrow \Sigma' \times \Sigma''$ is defined by $(u'', u', z) \mapsto (zu'', zu')$. For any $a \in \mathbf{a}$ let $V_1^a = \bar{\sigma}^{-1}(a) \subset V_1$.

Assume that we are in the setup of (a). It is enough to show that for any $a \in \mathbf{a}$, $H_c^r(V_1^a, \bar{j}^* (\mathcal{F}' \boxtimes \mathcal{F}'')) = 0$. Let $\tilde{p}_3 : V_1^a \rightarrow \tilde{M}' \cap \tilde{M}''$ be the third projection. By an argument in the proof of Lemma 4.2, the image of \tilde{p}_3 is a union of finitely many $(M' \cap M'')$ -conjugacy classes $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_t$ in $M' \cap M''$. Since $\dim V_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}r$, it is enough to show that for any $z \in \epsilon_i$ we have

$$H_c^{r-2 \dim \epsilon_i} (\tilde{p}_3^{-1}(z), \bar{j}^* (\mathcal{F}' \boxtimes \mathcal{F}'')) = 0.$$

Now $\tilde{p}_3^{-1}(z)$ is a product $R' \times R''$ where R' (resp. R'') is the set of all elements in $(\tilde{M}' \cap N_G Q') \cap \Sigma'$ (resp. $(\tilde{M}'' \cap N_G Q'') \cap \Sigma''$) whose image under $\tilde{M}' \cap N_G Q'' \rightarrow \tilde{M}' \cap \tilde{M}''$ (resp. $\tilde{M}'' \cap N_G Q' \rightarrow \tilde{M}' \cap \tilde{M}''$) is equal to z . Since

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \dim(R') &\leq d' = \dim(\delta' \mathcal{Z}_{L'}^0 \setminus S') - \dim \epsilon_i, \\ 2 \dim(R'') &\leq d'' = \dim(\delta'' \mathcal{Z}_{L''}^0 \setminus S'') - \dim \epsilon_i \end{aligned}$$

(see 4.2(a)) and $d' + d'' = r - 2 \dim \epsilon_i$, we are reduced to showing that

$$H_c^{d'}(R', \mathcal{F}') \otimes H_c^{d''}(R'', \mathcal{F}'') = 0.$$

Since Q', Q'' have no common Levi, we see that either $M' \cap Q''$ is a proper parabolic of M' or $M'' \cap Q'$ is a proper parabolic of M'' . In the first case we have $H_c^{d'}(R', \mathcal{F}') = 0$ since (Σ', \mathcal{F}') is a cuspidal pair for \tilde{M}' . In the second case we have $H_c^{d''}(R'', \mathcal{F}'') = 0$ since $(\Sigma'', \mathcal{F}'')$ is a cuspidal pair for \tilde{M}'' . Thus (a) is proved.

Assume that we are in the setup of (b). It is enough to show that for any $a \in \mathbf{a}$, $H_c^r(V_1^a, \bar{j}^* (\mathcal{F}' \boxtimes \mathcal{F}'')) = 0$. If this is not so, we can find $a \in \mathbf{a}$ such that $V_1^a \neq \emptyset$. Now Q', Q'' have a common Levi hence $M' = M'', M' \cap U_{Q''} = \{1\}, M'' \cap U_{Q'} = \{1\}$ and we may identify V_1^a with $\{z \in \Sigma' \cap \Sigma''; \sigma(z) = a\}$. Thus, $\Sigma' \cap \Sigma'' \neq \emptyset$. Since Σ', Σ'' are strata of $N_G M' = N_G M''$ with non-empty intersection, we must have $\Sigma' = \Sigma''$.

Since $Q' = x' P' x'^{-1}$, we see that $x'^{-1} M' x'$ is a Levi of P' . We can find $v' \in U_{P'}$ such that $L' = v'^{-1} x'^{-1} M' x' v'$. We have $S' U_{P'} = x'^{-1} \Sigma' x' U_{P'} =$

$v'^{-1}x'^{-1}\Sigma'x'v'U_{P'}$. Since $S' \subset L', v'^{-1}x'^{-1}\Sigma'x'v' \subset L'$, it follows that $S' = v'^{-1}x'^{-1}\Sigma'x'v'$.

Similarly we can find $v'' \in U_{P''}$ such that

$$L'' = v''^{-1}x''^{-1}M''x''v'', \quad S'' = v''^{-1}x''^{-1}\Sigma''x''v''.$$

Since $M' = M'', \Sigma' = \Sigma''$, we have

$$x'v'L'v'^{-1}x'^{-1} = x''v''L''v''^{-1}x''^{-1}, \quad x'v'S'v'^{-1}x'^{-1} = x''v''S''v''^{-1}x''^{-1}.$$

Thus $n^{-1}L'n = L'', n^{-1}S'n = S''$, where $n = v'^{-1}x'^{-1}x''v'' \in G^0$, $(P', nP'') \in E$. This contradicts the assumption of (b). Thus, (b) is proved.

Assume that we are in the setup of (c). Let $n \in G^0$ be such that $(P', nP'') \in E$, $n^{-1}L'n = L'', n^{-1}S'n = S''$. Taking $x' = 1, x'' = n$ in the arguments above, we have $Q' = P', Q'' = nP''n^{-1}$ and we can take $M' = M'' = L', \Sigma' = \Sigma'' = S'$; we have ${}^E\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{H}^r\bar{\sigma}_1(\mathcal{F})$ where $\bar{\sigma} : S' \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ is the restriction of σ , $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}' \otimes \text{Ad}(n^{-1})^*\mathcal{E}''$, $r = 2 \dim(\delta' \mathcal{Z}_{L'}^0 \setminus S')$.

Let $\tilde{L}' = N_G L' \cap N_G P'$. Replacing G, D in the definition of $D//G^0, \sigma$ in 7.1 by \tilde{L}', δ' we obtain an affine variety $\delta'//L'$ whose points are the quasi-semisimple L' -conjugacy classes in δ' and a morphism $\sigma_0 : \delta' \rightarrow \delta'//L'$. Now any quasi-semisimple L' -conjugacy class in δ' is contained in a unique quasi-semisimple G^0 -conjugacy class in D . Thus we obtain a map $\pi : \delta'//L' \rightarrow D//G^0$ which is in fact a morphism of affine varieties.

We show that π is a finite (ramified) covering. Let $g \in \delta'$ be quasi-semisimple in \tilde{L}' and let T_1 be a maximal torus of $Z_{L'}(g)^0$. By an argument similar to that in the proof of 1.12(b) we see that T_1 is also a maximal torus of $Z_G(g)^0$. By 7.1, σ defines a finite (ramified) covering $gT_1 \rightarrow D//G^0$; similarly, σ_0 defines a finite (ramified) covering $gT_1 \rightarrow \delta'//L'$. Clearly, $gT_1 \xrightarrow{\sigma} D//G^0$ is the composition $gT_1 \xrightarrow{\sigma_0} \delta'//L' \xrightarrow{\pi} D//G^0$ and our assertion follows.

Let $\underline{S}' = \sigma_0(S')$. Now $\delta' \mathcal{Z}_{L'}^0$ acts on $\delta'//L'$ by left multiplication (with finite isotropy groups) and this action is compatible under σ_0 with the action of $\delta' \mathcal{Z}_{L'}^0$ on δ' by left multiplication. Since the $\delta' \mathcal{Z}_{L'}^0$ action permutes transitively the L' -conjugacy classes in S' , we see that \underline{S}' is a single $\delta' \mathcal{Z}_{L'}^0$ -orbit in $\delta'//L'$; in particular, it is a smooth, locally closed subvariety of $\delta'//L'$. Now $\bar{\sigma} : S' \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ is a composition $S' \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}_0} \underline{S}' \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} \mathfrak{a}$ where $\bar{\sigma}_0, \bar{\pi}$ are the restrictions of σ_0, π .

We can find $t \geq 1$ such that \mathcal{F} is equivariant for the action $z : h \mapsto z^t h$ of $\delta' \mathcal{Z}_{L'}^0$ on S' and this action induces an action of $\delta' \mathcal{Z}_{L'}^0$ on \underline{S}' such that $\bar{\sigma}_0 : S' \rightarrow \underline{S}'$ is $\delta' \mathcal{Z}_{L'}^0$ -equivariant. Hence the constructible sheaf $\underline{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{H}^r(\bar{\sigma}_0)_!(\mathcal{F})$ on \underline{S}' is $\delta' \mathcal{Z}_{L'}^0$ -equivariant. Since this action (which depends on t) is transitive on \underline{S}' , we see that $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ is a local system on \underline{S}' . Since S' is smooth, we have $\mathfrak{D}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}) = \check{\mathcal{F}}[[\dim \underline{S}']]$. Now $\bar{\pi}$ is a finite (ramified) covering (since π is). Hence $\bar{\pi}_!$ commutes with Verdier duality and we have $\mathcal{H}^r \bar{\sigma}_1(\mathcal{F}) = \bar{\pi}_! \underline{\mathcal{F}}$. Hence $\mathfrak{D}(\bar{\pi}_! \underline{\mathcal{F}}) = \bar{\pi}_! \check{\mathcal{F}}[[\dim \underline{S}']] = \bar{\pi}_! \check{\mathcal{F}}[[\dim \mathfrak{a}]]$. Here $\bar{\pi}_! \check{\mathcal{F}}$ is a constructible sheaf on \mathfrak{a} since $\bar{\pi}$ is finite. This proves (c).

Lemma 7.9. (a) $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{T})[[-\dim \mathfrak{a}]]$ is a constructible sheaf on \mathfrak{a} .

(b) If $(L', S'), (L'', S'')$ are not conjugate under some element of G^0 then $\mathcal{T} = 0$.

More generally, let E be a locally closed subset of $G^0/P' \times G^0/P''$ which is a union of G^0 -orbits (for the diagonal G^0 -action). We show that $\mathfrak{D}({}^E\mathcal{T})[[-\dim \mathfrak{a}]]$ is a constructible sheaf on \mathfrak{a} and that, in the setup of (b), ${}^E\mathcal{T} = 0$. We argue by induction on the number N of G^0 -orbits contained in E . If $N = 0$, the result is

trivial. If $N = 1$, the result follows from Lemma 7.8. Assume now that $N \geq 2$. We can find a partition $E = E' \cup E''$ so that E', E'' are G^0 -invariant subsets of E with E' closed in E , E'' open in E and E'' is a single G^0 -orbit. By the induction hypothesis the result holds for E' and E'' . We have a natural exact sequence of constructible sheaves

$$(c) \quad 0 \rightarrow \epsilon'' \rightarrow \epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon' \rightarrow 0$$

where $\epsilon'' = {}^{E''}\mathcal{T}, \epsilon = {}^E\mathcal{T}, \epsilon' = {}^{E'}\mathcal{T}$. (To prove exactness it is enough to show that for any $a \in \mathbf{a}$, the natural sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_c^{2d_0}({}^{E''}\mathfrak{Z}_{S',S''} \cap \mathfrak{Z}_{S',S''}^a, \bar{\mathcal{E}}' \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{E}}'') \rightarrow H_c^{2d_0}({}^E\mathfrak{Z}_{S',S''} \cap \mathfrak{Z}_{S',S''}^a, \bar{\mathcal{E}}' \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{E}}'') \\ \rightarrow H_c^{2d_0}({}^{E'}\mathfrak{Z}_{S',S''} \cap \mathfrak{Z}_{S',S''}^a, \bar{\mathcal{E}}' \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{E}}'') \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact. This follows from 7.1(b).)

Now (c) can be regarded as a distinguished triangle $(\epsilon'', \epsilon, \epsilon')$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{a})$. Hence the Verdier duals $(\mathfrak{D}\epsilon', \mathfrak{D}\epsilon, \mathfrak{D}\epsilon'')$ form a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{a})$ and we have a long cohomology exact sequence of constructible sheaves

$$\dots \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(\mathfrak{D}\epsilon') \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(\mathfrak{D}\epsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(\mathfrak{D}\epsilon'') \rightarrow \dots$$

on \mathbf{a} . Hence for each i we have an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{H}^i(\mathfrak{D}\epsilon'[-\dim \mathbf{a}]) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(\mathfrak{D}\epsilon[-\dim \mathbf{a}]) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(\mathfrak{D}\epsilon''[-\dim \mathbf{a}]).$$

By the induction hypothesis, $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathfrak{D}\epsilon'[-\dim \mathbf{a}]) = 0, \mathcal{H}^i(\mathfrak{D}\epsilon''[-\dim \mathbf{a}]) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. Hence $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathfrak{D}\epsilon[-\dim \mathbf{a}]) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. This shows that $\mathfrak{D}\epsilon[-\dim \mathbf{a}]$ is a constructible sheaf. In the setup of (b), we see from (c) and the induction hypothesis that ${}^E\mathcal{T} = 0$. The lemma is proved.

7.10. In the remainder of this section we assume that $L' = L'' = L, S' = S'' = S, P' = P'' = P$ and that $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}'' = \mathcal{E}$ is irreducible. Let $\pi : \tilde{Y}_{L,S} \rightarrow Y_{L,S}$ be as in 3.13. Recall that π is a principal \mathcal{W}_S -bundle where $\mathcal{W}_S = \{n \in N_{G^0}L; nSn^{-1} = S\}/L$. (The \mathcal{W}_S -action on $\tilde{Y}_{L,S}$ is $w \mapsto f_w, f_w(g, xL) = (g, xn_w^{-1}L)$.) For $w \in \mathcal{W}_S$ let $n_w \in N_{G^0}L$ be a representative of w and let $\mathbf{E}_w = \text{Hom}(\text{Ad}(n_w^{-1})^*\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$. (This vector space is canonically defined, independent of the choice of n_w , by the L -equivariance of \mathcal{E} .) Let $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}} = \{w \in \mathcal{W}_S; \text{Ad}(n_w^{-1})^*\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}\}$ (a subgroup of \mathcal{W}_S). We have

$$\dim \mathbf{E}_w = 1 \text{ if } w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}}; \dim \mathbf{E}_w = 0 \text{ if } w \in \mathcal{W}_S - \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ be the local system on $\tilde{Y}_{L,S}$ defined in 5.6. Recall that $a^*\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = b^*\mathcal{E}$ where $a(g, x) = (g, xL), b(g, x) = x^{-1}gx$ in the diagram $\tilde{Y}_{L,S} \xleftarrow{a} \hat{Y} \xrightarrow{b} S$ with $\hat{Y} = \{(g, x) \in G \times G^0; x^{-1}gx \in S^*\}$. Define $\hat{f}_w : \hat{Y} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$ by $(g, x) \mapsto (g, xn_w^{-1})$. Then $a\hat{f}_w = f_w a, b\hat{f}_w = \text{Ad}(n_w)b$.

Let \mathbf{E} be the (semisimple) algebra of all endomorphisms of the local system $\pi_1\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ on $Y_{L,S}$. We have canonically

$$(a) \quad \mathbf{E} = \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathbf{E}_w.$$

Indeed, since a, b are fibrations with smooth connected fibres, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} &= \text{Hom}(\pi_! \tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \pi_! \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = \text{Hom}(\pi^* \pi_! \tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}_S} \text{Hom}(f_w^* \tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \\ &= \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}_S} \text{Hom}(a^* f_w^* \tilde{\mathcal{E}}, a^* \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}_S} \text{Hom}(\hat{f}_w^* a^* \tilde{\mathcal{E}}, a^* \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \\ &= \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}_S} \text{Hom}(\hat{f}_w^* b^* \mathcal{E}, b^* \mathcal{E}) = \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}_S} \text{Hom}(b^* \text{Ad}(n_w)^* \mathcal{E}, b^* \mathcal{E}) \\ &= \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}_S} \text{Hom}(\text{Ad}(n_w)^* \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) = \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}_S} \mathbf{E}_{w^{-1}} = \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}_E} \mathbf{E}_w. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, \mathbf{E}_w may be identified with a subspace of \mathbf{E} . We see that $\dim \mathbf{E} = |\mathcal{W}_E|$.

Proposition 7.11. *For $w \in \mathcal{W}_S$ let E_w be the G^0 -orbit on $G^0/P \times G^0/P$ which contains $(P, n_w P n_w^{-1})$. There is a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{T} \cong \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}_S} {}^{E_w} \mathcal{T}$ of sheaves over $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_{L,S}$.*

Recall that $\sigma^1 : \mathfrak{Z}_{S,S} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}$ is given by $(g, x'P, x''P) \mapsto \sigma(g)$. Let $\mathfrak{Z}'_{S,S}$ be the inverse image under σ^1 of the open subset $\sigma(S^*)$ of \mathbf{a} (see Lemma 7.3(f)). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Z}'_{S,S} &= \{(g, x'P, x''P) \in G \times G^0/P \times G^0/P; \\ &\quad x'^{-1}gx' \in SU_P, x''^{-1}gx'' \in SU_P, \sigma(g) \in \sigma(S^*)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using 7.2(b) we see that the condition $\sigma(g) \in \sigma(S^*)$ is equivalent to the condition $g \in Y_{L,S}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Z}'_{S,S} &= \{(g, x'P, x''P) \in G \times G^0/P \times G^0/P; \\ &\quad g \in Y_{L,S}, x'^{-1}gx' \in SU_P, x''^{-1}gx'' \in SU_P\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 5.5 we see that $\mathfrak{Z}'_{S,S}$ may be identified with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y} &= \tilde{Y}_{L,S} \times_{Y_{L,S}} \tilde{Y}_{L,S} \\ &= \{(g, x'L, x''L) \in G \times G^0/L \times G^0/L; x'^{-1}gx' \in S^*, x''^{-1}gx'' \in S^*\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $\mathcal{T}|_{\sigma(S^*)} = \mathcal{H}^{2d_0} \sigma_!^2(\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \boxtimes \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ where $\sigma^2 : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \sigma(S^*)$ is $(g, x'L, x''L) \mapsto \sigma(g)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is the local systems on $\tilde{Y}_{L,S}$ defined in 5.6. Similarly, for any G^0 -orbit E on $G^0/P \times G^0/P$, we have ${}^E \mathcal{T}|_{\sigma(S^*)} = \mathcal{H}^{2d_0} \sigma_!^{2,E}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \boxtimes \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ where

$$\begin{aligned} {}^E \mathcal{Y} &= \{(g, x'L, x''L) \in G \times G^0/L \times G^0/L; \\ &\quad x'^{-1}gx' \in S^*, x''^{-1}gx'' \in S^*, (x'P, x''P) \in E\} \end{aligned}$$

and $\sigma^{2,E} : {}^E \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \sigma(S^*)$ is $(g, x'L, x''L) \mapsto \sigma(g)$.

Since $\tilde{Y}_{L,S} \rightarrow Y_{L,S}$ is a principal bundle with group \mathcal{W}_S , we have a finite partition $\mathcal{Y} = \bigsqcup_{w \in \mathcal{W}_S} {}^w \mathcal{Y}$ into open and closed subsets, where ${}^w \mathcal{Y} = \{(g, x'L, x''L) \in \mathcal{Y}; x''L = x'n_w L\}$.

It is clear that ${}^w \mathcal{Y} = {}^{E_w} \mathcal{Y}$. It follows that ${}^E \mathcal{Y} = \emptyset$ for any G^0 -orbit E on $G^0/P \times G^0/P$ not of the form E_w . We see that $\mathcal{H}^{2d_0} \sigma_!^2(\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \boxtimes \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ is canonically isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}_S} \mathcal{H}^{2d_0} \sigma_!^{2,E}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \boxtimes \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$. Thus we obtain a canonical isomorphism

$$(c) \quad \mathcal{T}|_{\sigma(S^*)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}_S} {}^{E_w} \mathcal{T}|_{\sigma(S^*)}.$$

By Lemma 7.9 and its proof, \mathcal{T} and $\bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}_S} E_w \mathcal{T}$ are intersection cohomology complexes on the irreducible variety \mathbf{a} . Since $\sigma(S^*)$ is open dense in \mathbf{a} , the isomorphism (c) extends uniquely to an isomorphism $\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}_S} E_w \mathcal{T}$. The proposition is proved.

7.12. We set $X = X' = X'', \bar{Y} = \bar{Y}' = \bar{Y}'', \psi = \psi' = \psi''$. We set $K = K', K^* = K''$. Since $\psi_! K = IC(\bar{Y}, \pi_! \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ (see 5.7) we have $\mathbf{E} = \text{End}(\pi_! \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = \text{End}(\psi_! K)$. In particular $\psi_! K$ is naturally an \mathbf{E} -module and $\psi_! K \otimes \psi_! K^*$ is naturally an \mathbf{E} -module (with \mathbf{E} acting on the first factor). This induces an \mathbf{E} -module structure on $\mathcal{H}^{2d_0} \sigma_!(\psi_! K \otimes \psi_! K^*) = \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ (here $\sigma : \bar{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}$ is the restriction of $\sigma : D \rightarrow D/G^0$). Hence for any $a \in \mathbf{a}$ we obtain an \mathbf{E} -module structure on the stalk $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_a$.

Lemma 7.13. *Let $w \in \mathcal{W}_E$ and let b_w be a basis element of \mathbf{E}_w . Multiplication by b_w in the \mathbf{E} -module structure of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{T} = \bigoplus_{w' \in \mathcal{W}_S} E_{w'} \mathcal{T}$ (see Lemma 7.6, Proposition 7.11) defines for any $w' \in \mathcal{W}_S$ an isomorphism $E_{w'} \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{ww'} \mathcal{T}$.*

Since $E_{w'} \mathcal{T}, E_{ww'} \mathcal{T}$ are intersection cohomology complexes on \mathbf{a} (see Lemma 7.9 and its proof) and $\sigma(S^*)$ is open dense in \mathbf{a} (see Lemma 7.3), it is enough to prove that, for any $a \in \sigma(S^*)$, multiplication by b_w defines an isomorphism of stalks $E_{w'} \mathcal{T}_a \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{ww'} \mathcal{T}_a$. Let \mathcal{Y} be as in Proposition 7.11 and let $\mathcal{Y}^a = \{(g, x'L, x''L) \in \mathcal{Y}; \sigma(g) = a\}$. As in Proposition 7.11 we have a partition $\mathcal{Y} = \bigsqcup_{w' \in \mathcal{W}_S} w' \mathcal{Y}$ hence a partition $\mathcal{Y}^a = \bigsqcup_{w' \in \mathcal{W}_S} w' \mathcal{Y}^a$ where $w' \mathcal{Y}^a = w' \mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{Y}^a$ are both open and closed in \mathcal{Y}^a . For any $w' \in \mathcal{W}_S$ we have $E_{w'} \mathcal{T}_a = H_c^{2d_0}(w' \mathcal{Y}^a, \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \boxtimes \check{\mathcal{E}})$ and we must prove that multiplication by b_w in the \mathbf{E} -module structure of

$$H_c^{2d_0}(\mathcal{Y}^a, \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \boxtimes \check{\mathcal{E}}) = \bigoplus_{w' \in \mathcal{W}_S} H_c^{2d_0}(w' \mathcal{Y}^a, \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \boxtimes \check{\mathcal{E}})$$

defines for any $w' \in \mathcal{W}_S$ an isomorphism

$$(a) \quad H_c^{2d_0}(w' \mathcal{Y}^a, \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \boxtimes \check{\mathcal{E}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^{2d_0}(ww' \mathcal{Y}^a, \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \boxtimes \check{\mathcal{E}}).$$

(The \mathbf{E} -module structure on

$$H_c^{2d_0}(\mathcal{Y}^a, \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \boxtimes \check{\mathcal{E}}) = H_c^{2d_0}(Y_{L,S} \cap \sigma^{-1}(a), \pi_! \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \otimes \pi_! \check{\mathcal{E}})$$

is induced by the \mathbf{E} -module structure on $\pi_! \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$; recall that $\mathbf{E} = \text{End}(p_! \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$.) We have an isomorphism

$$f : w' \mathcal{Y}^a \xrightarrow{\sim} ww' \mathcal{Y}^a, (g, x'L, x''L) \mapsto (g, x'n_w^{-1}L, x''L).$$

Since $w \in \mathcal{W}_E$, b_w defines an isomorphism $f^*(\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \otimes \check{\mathcal{E}}) \cong \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \otimes \check{\mathcal{E}}$ and this induces an isomorphism (a) which is just multiplication by b_w . The lemma is proved.

7.14. We preserve the setup of 7.12. Let $\text{Irr } \mathbf{E}$ be a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of simple \mathbf{E} -modules. Given a semisimple object M of some abelian category such that M is an \mathbf{E} -module we shall write $M_\rho = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{E}}(\rho, M)$ for $\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}$ and we have $M = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}} \rho \otimes M_\rho$ with \mathbf{E} acting only on the ρ -factor and where M_ρ is in our abelian category. In particular, we have $\pi_! \tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}} \rho \otimes (\pi_! \tilde{\mathcal{E}})_\rho$ where $(\pi_! \tilde{\mathcal{E}})_\rho$ is an irreducible local system on $Y_{L,S}$. We have $\psi_! K = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}} \rho \otimes (\psi_! K)_\rho$ where $(\psi_! K)_\rho = IC(\bar{Y}, (\pi_! \tilde{\mathcal{E}})_\rho)$; moreover, for $a \in \mathbf{a}$ we have $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_a = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}} \rho \otimes (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_a)_\rho$.

7.15. Let $a \in \mathbf{a}$. We set $\bar{Y}^a = \{g \in \bar{Y}; \sigma(g) = a\}$, $X^a = \psi^{-1}(\bar{Y}^a) \subset X$. Let $\psi^a : X^a \rightarrow \bar{Y}^a$ be the restriction of $\psi : X \rightarrow \bar{Y}$. Let $S^a = S \cap \sigma^{-1}(a)$, $\bar{S}^a = \bar{S} \cap \sigma^{-1}(a)$. For a stratum S_1 of $N_G L \cap N_G P$ contained in \bar{S} let $S_1^a = S_1 \cap \sigma^{-1}(a)$. Let $\mathcal{E}^a = \mathcal{E}|_{S^a}$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{E}}^a$ be the restriction of $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ to $X_S^a = X^a \cap X_S$.

Lemma 7.16. (a) S^a is an open dense smooth (non-empty) subset of \bar{S}^a of pure dimension $\dim S - \dim \mathbf{a}$ and $IC(\bar{S}^a, \mathcal{E}^a)$ is the restriction of $IC(\bar{S}, \mathcal{E})$ to \bar{S}^a .

(b) Both X^a, \bar{Y}^a have pure dimension $d_0 = 2\nu - 2\nu_L + \dim S - \dim \mathbf{a}$.

(c) X_S^a is an open dense smooth subset of X^a hence $K^a := IC(X^a, \bar{\mathcal{E}}^a)$ is well defined. We have $K^a = K|_{X^a}$.

(d) We have $(\psi_! K)|_{\bar{Y}^a} = \psi_!^a K^a$. Moreover, $\psi_!^a K^a[d_0]$ is a semisimple perverse sheaf on \bar{Y}^a .

(e) We have $(\psi_! K)_\rho|_{\bar{Y}^a} \neq 0$ for any $\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}$.

We prove (a). S^a is non-empty by Lemma 7.3(a). As in the proof of Lemma 7.8(c), the (surjective) map $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathbf{a}$ is a composition $S \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}_0} \underline{S} \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} \mathbf{a}$. Moreover, by Lemma 7.3(a) (for $N_G L \cap N_G P$ instead of G), the morphism $\bar{\sigma}_0 : S \rightarrow \underline{S}$ extends uniquely to a morphism $\bar{\sigma}_1 : \bar{S} \rightarrow \underline{S}$. Since $\bar{\pi}$ is finite, the set $F := \bar{\pi}^{-1}(a)$ is finite. We have $\bar{S}^a = \bar{\sigma}_1^{-1}(F)$, $S^a = \bar{\sigma}_1^{-1}(F) \cap S$. Since $\bar{\sigma}_1$ is equivariant for an action of a torus which acts transitively on \underline{S} (see the proof of Lemma 7.8(c)) we see that (a) holds.

We prove (b). We have $X^a = \{(g, xP) \in G \times G^0/P; x^{-1}gx \in \bar{S}^a U_P\}$. The second projection makes X^a into a fibration over G^0/P with all fibres isomorphic to $\bar{S}^a U_P$ hence X^a has pure dimension as indicated. (We use (a).) From $\psi(X) = \bar{Y}$ we deduce $\psi(X^a) = \bar{Y}^a$ hence $\dim \bar{Y}^a \leq \dim X^a$. Assume that \bar{Y}^a has some irreducible component of dimension $e < \dim X^a$. Then that component contains an open dense subset U such that $\dim \psi^{-1}(g) = \dim X^a - e$ for all $g \in U$. The fibre product $X^a \times_{\bar{Y}^a} X^a$ contains the fibre product $\psi^{-1}(U) \times_U \psi^{-1}(U)$ hence it has dimension $\geq \dim U + 2(\dim X^a - e) = 2 \dim X^a - e$. By 7.5(b) we have $\dim(X^a \times_{\bar{Y}^a} X^a) \leq 2\nu - 2\nu_L + \dim S - \dim \mathbf{a} = \dim X^a$. It follows that $2 \dim X^a - e \leq \dim X^a$ so that $e \geq \dim X^a$ contradicting $e < \dim X^a$. This proves (b).

To prove the first assertion of (c) it is enough to show that $\{(g, x) \in G \times G^0; x^{-1}gx \in S^a U_P\}$ is an open dense smooth subset of $X' = \{(g, x) \in G \times G^0; x^{-1}gx \in \bar{S}^a U_P\}$. This follows from (a). Consider the diagram $X^a \xleftarrow{a''_0} X' \xrightarrow{b''_0} \bar{S}^a$ where a''_0, b''_0 are restrictions of a'', b'' in 5.6(a). We have

$$\begin{aligned} a''_0^* IC(X^a, \bar{\mathcal{E}}^a) &= b''_0^* IC(\bar{S}^a, \mathcal{E}^a) = (b''^* IC(\bar{S}, \mathcal{E}))|_{X'} \\ &= (a''^* IC(X, \bar{\mathcal{E}}))|_{X'} = a''_0^*(IC(X, \bar{\mathcal{E}}))|_{X^a}. \end{aligned}$$

(The second equality follows from (b); the third equality follows from 5.6(b).) Since a'' is a principal P -bundle it follows that $IC(X^a, \bar{\mathcal{E}}^a) = IC(X, \bar{\mathcal{E}})|_{X^a}$ and (c) is proved.

The first assertion of (d) follows immediately from (c). Since ψ^a is proper, to show that $(\psi_! K)|_{\bar{Y}^a}[d_0]$ is a perverse sheaf, it suffices to prove that:

(f) for any $i \geq 0$ we have $\dim \text{supp } \mathcal{H}^i(\psi_!^a K^a) \leq \dim \bar{Y}^a - i$

and also the analogous assertion in which K^a is replaced by $IC(X^a, \bar{\mathcal{E}}^a)$, which is entirely similar to (f). As in the proof of Proposition 5.7 it is enough to prove that for any stratum S_1 of $N_G L \cap N_G P$ contained in \bar{S} we have

$$\dim\{g \in \bar{Y}^a; \dim(\psi^{-1}(g) \cap X_{S_1}) \geq \frac{i}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(\dim S - \dim S_1)\} \leq \dim \bar{Y}^a - i.$$

If this is violated for some $i \geq 0$, it would follow that the space of triples

$$\{(g, xP, x'P) \in \bar{Y}^a \times G^0/P \times G^0/P; x^{-1}gx \in S_1U_P, x'^{-1}gx' \in S_1U_P\}$$

has dimension $> \dim \bar{Y}^a - (\dim S - \dim S_1)$. Using 7.5(a) we see that this space of triples has dimension $\leq 2\nu - 2\nu_L + \dim S_1 - \dim \mathbf{a}$. It follows that $2\nu - 2\nu_L + \dim S' - \dim \mathbf{a} > \dim \bar{Y}^a - (\dim S - \dim S')$ hence $\dim \bar{Y}^a < 2\nu - 2\nu_L + \dim S - \dim \mathbf{a}$ contradicting (a). This proves that $\psi_!^\alpha K^a[d_0]$ is a perverse sheaf. It is semisimple by the decomposition theorem [BBD]. This proves (d).

We prove (e). We first show that ${}^{E_1}\mathcal{T}_a \neq 0$. By the argument in the proof of Lemma 7.8(c) we see that it is enough to show that $H_c^{2\dim S - 2\dim \mathbf{a}}(S^a, \mathcal{E} \otimes \check{\mathcal{E}}) \neq 0$. Since $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ is a direct summand of $\mathcal{E} \otimes \check{\mathcal{E}}$ it is enough to show that $H_c^{2\dim S - 2\dim \mathbf{a}}(S^a, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) \neq 0$. This follows from (a).

From Lemma 7.13 we see that the \mathbf{E} -module structure defines an injective map $\mathbf{E} \otimes {}^{E_1}\mathcal{T}_a \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_a$. Since ${}^{E_1}\mathcal{T}_a \neq 0$, we have $(\mathbf{E} \otimes {}^{E_1}\mathcal{T}_a)_\rho \neq 0$ for any $\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}$, hence $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_a)_\rho \neq 0$. We have $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_a = H_c^{2d_0}(\bar{Y}^a, \psi_!K \otimes \psi_!K^*)$ hence

$$\bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}} \rho \otimes (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_a)_\rho = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}} \rho \otimes H_c^{2d_0}(\bar{Y}^a, (\psi_!K)_\rho \otimes \psi_!K^*).$$

Hence for any $\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}$ we have $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_a)_\rho = H_c^{2d_0}(\bar{Y}^a, (\psi_!K)_\rho \otimes \psi_!K^*)$. Since $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_a)_\rho \neq 0$, it follows that $(\psi_!K)_\rho|_{\bar{Y}^a} \neq 0$. The lemma is proved.

8. STUDY OF LOCAL SYSTEMS ON UNIPOTENT CONJUGACY CLASSES

8.1. In this section we fix a connected component D of G such that D contains some unipotent elements. Let P be a parabolic of G^0 with Levi L and let S be an isolated stratum of $\tilde{L} = N_G L \cap N_G P$ contained in the connected component δ of \tilde{L} with $\delta \subset D$. Assume that S contains a unipotent L -conjugacy class \mathfrak{c} (necessarily unique); then $S = {}^\delta \mathcal{Z}_L^0 \mathfrak{c}$ and multiplication gives an isomorphism ${}^\delta \mathcal{Z}_L^0 \times \mathfrak{c} \xrightarrow{\sim} S$. Let \bar{Y} be the closure of $Y_{L,S}$ in D . Let $\psi : X \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ be as in 3.14. Let $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_{L,S}$. Then $\omega \in \mathbf{a}$ (see 7.1). We have $\bar{Y}^\omega = \{g \in \bar{Y}; g \text{ unipotent}\}$, $X^\omega = \psi^{-1}(\bar{Y}^\omega) \subset X$. Let $\psi^\omega : X^\omega \rightarrow \bar{Y}^\omega$ be the restriction of $\psi : X \rightarrow \bar{Y}$. Let $d_0 = 2\nu - 2\nu_L + \dim \mathfrak{c}$. We show that

(a) X^ω and \bar{Y}^ω are irreducible varieties of dimension d_0 .

The second projection makes X^ω into a fibration over G^0/P with all fibres isomorphic to \bar{U}_P . To show that X^ω is irreducible it is enough to notice that $\bar{\mathfrak{c}}$ is irreducible. Since $\bar{Y}^\omega = \psi^\omega(X^\omega)$, we see that \bar{Y}^ω is irreducible. The statement about dimension is a special case of Lemma 7.16(b). This proves (a).

Let \mathfrak{f} be an irreducible L -equivariant local system on \mathfrak{c} and let \mathcal{E} be the inverse image of \mathfrak{f} under $S \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}, g \mapsto g_u$. Then $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{S}(S)$. We assume that (S, \mathcal{E}) is a cuspidal pair of \tilde{L} .

Let $X_S, \bar{\mathcal{E}}$ be as in 5.6. Let $K = IC(X, \bar{\mathcal{E}}) \in \mathcal{D}(X)$, $K^* = IC(X, \check{\bar{\mathcal{E}}}) \in \mathcal{D}(X)$. Let $K^\omega = K|_{X^\omega}, K^{*\omega} = K^*|_{X^\omega}$. Define \mathbf{E} in terms of L, S, \mathcal{E} as in 7.10.

Let \mathcal{N}_D be the set of all pairs $(\mathfrak{c}, \mathcal{F})$ where \mathfrak{c} is a unipotent G^0 -conjugacy class in D and \mathcal{F} is an irreducible G^0 -equivariant local system on \mathfrak{c} (up to isomorphism).

Proposition 8.2. (a) *The restriction map $\text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y})}(\psi_! K) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y}^\omega)}(\psi_!^\omega K^\omega)$ is an isomorphism.*

(b) *For any $\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}$, there is a unique $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_D$ such that $(\psi_! K)_\rho|_{\bar{Y}^\omega}[d_0]$ is $IC(\bar{\mathbf{c}}, \mathcal{F})[\dim \mathbf{c}]$ regarded as a simple perverse sheaf on \bar{Y}^ω (zero outside $\bar{\mathbf{c}}$). Moreover, $\rho \mapsto (\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F})$ is an injective map $\gamma : \text{Irr } \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_D$.*

(c) *The map $\gamma : \text{Irr } \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_D$ in (b) depends only on (L, S, \mathcal{E}) and not on P .*

We prove (a). As in 7.14 we have a decomposition $\psi_! K = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}} \rho \otimes (\psi_! K)_\rho$ where $(\psi_! K)_\rho[\dim \bar{Y}]$ are simple perverse sheaves on \bar{Y} . Restricting to \bar{Y}^ω and using Lemma 7.16(c) we obtain a decomposition $\psi_!^\omega K^\omega = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}} \rho \otimes (\psi_! K)_\rho|_{\bar{Y}^\omega}$. Hence the map in (a) factorizes as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y})}(\psi_! K) &= \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}} \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y})}(\rho \otimes (\psi_! K)_\rho) \\ &\xrightarrow{b} \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}} \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y}^\omega)}(\rho \otimes (\psi_! K)_\rho|_{\bar{Y}^\omega}) \xrightarrow{c} \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y}^\omega)}(\psi_!^\omega K^\omega) \end{aligned}$$

where c is injective. We have $b = \bigoplus_{\rho} b_\rho$ where

$$b_\rho : \text{End}(\rho) \otimes \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y})}((\psi_! K)_\rho) \rightarrow \text{End}(\rho) \otimes \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y}^\omega)}((\psi_! K)_\rho|_{\bar{Y}^\omega})$$

is injective. (We use that $\text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y})}((\psi_! K)_\rho) = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \subset \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y}^\omega)}((\psi_! K)_\rho|_{\bar{Y}^\omega})$, since $(\psi_! K)_\rho|_{\bar{Y}^\omega} \neq 0$ by Lemma 7.16(e)). Hence b is injective, so that the map in (a) is injective. It remains to show that

$$\dim \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y}^\omega)}(\psi_!^\omega K^\omega) = \dim \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y})}(\psi_! K).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y}^\omega)}(\psi_!^\omega K^\omega) &= \dim H_c^0(\bar{Y}^\omega, \psi_!^\omega K^\omega[d_0] \otimes \mathfrak{D}(\psi_!^\omega K^\omega[d_0])) \\ &= \dim H_c^0(\bar{Y}^\omega, \psi_!^\omega K^\omega[d_0] \otimes \psi_!^\omega K^{*\omega}[d_0]) = \dim H_c^{2d_0}(\bar{Y}^\omega, \psi_!^\omega K^\omega \otimes \psi_!^\omega K^{*\omega}) \\ &= \dim H_c^{2d_0}(\bar{Y}^\omega, \psi_! K \otimes \psi_! K^*) = \dim \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\omega = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{W}_S} \dim {}^{E_w} \mathcal{T}_\omega. \end{aligned}$$

(The first equality follows by the argument in [L3, II, 7.4] applied to the semisimple perverse sheaf $\psi_!^\omega K^\omega[d_0]$ on \bar{Y}^ω ; see Lemma 7.16(d). The second equality holds since ψ^ω is proper. The third equality is obvious. The fourth equality follows from Lemma 7.16(c). The fifth equality holds by definition. The sixth equality follows from Lemma 7.6 and Lemma 7.11.)

Let $w \in \mathcal{W}_S$. As in the proof of Lemma 7.8 we have

$${}^{E_w} \mathcal{T}_\omega = H_c^{2 \dim S - 2 \dim \mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{c}, \mathfrak{f} \otimes \text{Ad}(n_w^{-1})^* \check{\mathfrak{f}}) = H_c^{2 \dim \mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{c}, \mathfrak{f} \otimes \text{Ad}(n_w^{-1})^* \check{\mathfrak{f}}).$$

Since \mathbf{c} is irreducible and \mathfrak{f} is an irreducible local system, this is 1-dimensional if $\text{Ad}(n_w^{-1})^* \check{\mathfrak{f}} \cong \mathfrak{f}$ (or equivalently, if $\text{Ad}(n_w^{-1})^* \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}$) and is 0, otherwise. We see that

$$\sum_{w \in \mathcal{W}_S} \dim {}^{E_w} \mathcal{T}_\omega = |\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{E}| = \dim \mathbf{E}$$

(see 7.10). It is then enough to show that $\dim \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{Y})}(\psi_! K) = \dim \mathbf{E}$. This follows from the fact that $\psi_! K = IC(\bar{Y}, \pi_! \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ (see Proposition 5.7) and $\text{End}(IC(\bar{Y}, \pi_! \tilde{\mathcal{E}})) = \text{End}(\pi_! \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$, by the definition of an intersection cohomology complex. This proves (a).

From the proof of (a) we see that both b and c are isomorphism. It follows that the perverse sheaf $(\psi_! K)_\rho|_{\bar{Y}^\omega}[d_0]$ on \bar{Y}^ω (see Lemma 7.16(d)) is simple and that,

for $\rho, \rho' \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}$, we have $(\psi_! K)_\rho|_{\bar{Y}^\omega}[d_0] \cong (\psi_! K)_{\rho'}|_{\bar{Y}^\omega}[d_0]$ if and only if $\rho = \rho'$. Since the simple perverse sheaf $(\psi_! K)_\rho|_{\bar{Y}^\omega}[d_0]$ is G^0 -equivariant and \bar{Y}^ω is a union of finitely many unipotent G^0 -conjugacy classes, we see that $(\psi_! K)_\rho|_{\bar{Y}^\omega}[d_0]$ must be as in (b).

In the definition of γ in (b), P enters through the use of $\psi_! K$. However $\psi_! K$ may be replaced by $IC(\bar{Y}, \pi_! \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ which does not depend on P . This proves (c). The proposition is proved.

Remark. A result as in (b) first appeared in [L8] in the case where $G = GL_n(\mathbf{k})$; it was conjectured to hold for general connected G (for P a Borel) in [L8, p. 177] and later proved (for P a Borel with \mathbf{k} of characteristic 0) in [BM]. For general P and G connected it was proved in [L2].

Lemma 8.3. *Let $\psi^S : X_S \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ be the restriction of $\psi : X \rightarrow \bar{Y}$. Let $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_D$, $2d = d_0 - \dim \mathbf{c}$. The following four conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) = \gamma(\rho)$ for some $\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}$ (notation of Proposition 8.2);
- (ii) $\mathbf{c} \subset \bar{Y}$ and \mathcal{F} is a constituent of the local system $(\mathcal{H}^{2d} \psi_!^S \tilde{\mathcal{E}})|_{\mathbf{c}}$;
- (iii) $\mathbf{c} \subset \bar{Y}$ and \mathcal{F} is a constituent of the local system $(\mathcal{H}^{2d} \psi_! K)|_{\mathbf{c}}$;
- (iv) \mathfrak{f} is a constituent of the local system $\mathcal{H}^{\dim \mathbf{c} - \dim \mathfrak{c}} f_! \mathcal{F}$ on \mathfrak{c} where $f : \mathfrak{c} \cap \mathfrak{c}U_P \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}$ is the restriction of $pr_1 : \tilde{L}U_P \rightarrow \tilde{L}$.

We first show that

- (a) the homomorphism $(\mathcal{H}^{2d} \psi_!^S \tilde{\mathcal{E}})|_{\mathbf{c}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}^{2d} \psi_! K)|_{\mathbf{c}}$ induced by the imbedding $X_S \subset X$ is an isomorphism.

It is enough to show that for any $g \in \mathbf{c}$, in the natural exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^{2d-1}(\psi^{-1}(g) \cap (X - X_S), K) &\xrightarrow{f} H_c^{2d}(\psi^{-1}(g) \cap X_S, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow H_c^{2d}(\psi^{-1}(g), K) \\ &\rightarrow H_c^{2d}(\psi^{-1}(g) \cap (X - X_S), K), \end{aligned}$$

- (b) $f : H_c^{2d-1}(\psi^{-1}(g) \cap (X - X_S), K) \rightarrow H_c^{2d}(\psi^{-1}(g) \cap X_S, \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ is zero, and

- (c) $H_c^{2d}(\psi^{-1}(g) \cap (X - X_S), K) = 0$.

The argument is similar to one in Lemma 7.6. For any stratum S' of \tilde{L} contained in \tilde{S} let $X_{S'}$ be as in 5.6. We prove (c). Using the partition

- (d)
$$\psi^{-1}(g) \cap (X - X_S) = \bigcup_{S' \neq S} (\psi^{-1}(g) \cap X_{S'})$$

we see that it is enough to show that $H_c^{2d}(\psi^{-1}(g) \cap X_{S'}, K) = 0$ for any $S' \neq S$. Using the hypercohomology spectral sequence we see that it is enough to show that

$$H_c^i(\psi^{-1}(g) \cap X_{S'}, \mathcal{H}^j K) \neq 0 \implies i + j < 2d \text{ for } S' \neq S.$$

If this last group is non-zero we would have

$$i \leq 2 \dim(\psi^{-1}(g) \cap X_{S'}) \leq 2d - (\dim S - \dim S')$$

(see 4.2(b)) and $j < \dim X - \dim X_{S'} = \dim S - \dim S'$ (by the definition of K , since $S' \neq S$) hence $i + j < 2d$, as desired. This proves (c).

To prove (b) we may assume that \mathbf{k} is an algebraic closure of a finite field \mathbf{F}_q , that G has a fixed \mathbf{F}_q -structure with Frobenius map $F : G \rightarrow G$, that P, L, S (hence X) are defined over \mathbf{F}_q , that any stratum S' as above is defined over \mathbf{F}_q , that $F(g) = g$ and that we have an isomorphism $F^* \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$ which makes \mathcal{E} into a

local system of pure weight 0. Then we have natural (Frobenius) endomorphisms of $H_c^{2d-1}(\psi^{-1}(g) \cap (X - X_S), K)$, $H_c^{2d}(\psi^{-1}(g) \cap X_S, \bar{\mathcal{E}})$ compatible with f . To show that $f = 0$, it is enough to show that

- (e) $H_c^{2d}(\psi^{-1}(g) \cap X_S, \bar{\mathcal{E}})$ is pure of weight $2d$;
- (f) $H_c^{2d-1}(\psi^{-1}(g) \cap (X - X_S), K)$ is mixed of weight $\leq 2d - 1$.

Now (e) is clear since $\dim(\psi^{-1}(g) \cap X_S) \leq d$ (see 4.2(b)). We prove (f). Using the partition (d) we see that it is enough to prove that

$$H_c^{2d-1}(\psi^{-1}(g) \cap (X_{S'}), K) \text{ is mixed of weight } \leq 2d - 1 \text{ for any } S' \neq S.$$

Using the hypercohomology spectral sequence we see that it is enough to prove that if i, j are such that $2d - 1 = i + j$ then $H_c^i(\psi^{-1}(g) \cap X_{S'}, \mathcal{H}^j K)$ is mixed of weight $\leq 2d - 1$ for any S' .

By Gabber's theorem [BBD, 5.3.2], the local system $\mathcal{H}^j K$ on $X_{S'}$ is mixed of weight $\leq j$. Using Deligne's theorem [BBD, 5.1.14(i)], we deduce that

$$H_c^i(\psi^{-1}(g) \cap X_{S'}, \mathcal{H}^j K)$$

is mixed of weight $\leq i + j = 2d - 1$. This proves (a). In particular, conditions (ii),(iii) are equivalent.

Now $(\mathcal{H}^{2d}\psi_!K)|_{\mathbf{c}} = (\mathcal{H}^{-\dim \mathbf{c}}\psi_!K[d_0])|_{\mathbf{c}}$ is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{\rho' \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}} \rho' \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-\dim \mathbf{c}}(IC(\bar{\mathbf{c}}', \mathcal{F}')[\dim \mathbf{c}'])|_{\mathbf{c}}$$

where $(\mathbf{c}', \mathcal{F}') = \gamma(\rho')$. The sum may be restricted to those ρ' such that $\mathbf{c} \subset \bar{\mathbf{c}}'$. If $\mathbf{c} \neq \mathbf{c}'$, then $\mathcal{H}^{-\dim \mathbf{c}}IC(\bar{\mathbf{c}}', \mathcal{F}')[\dim \mathbf{c}']|_{\mathbf{c}} = 0$, by the definition of an intersection cohomology complex, while if $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}'$, we have $\mathcal{H}^{-\dim \mathbf{c}}IC(\bar{\mathbf{c}}', \mathcal{F}')[\dim \mathbf{c}']|_{\mathbf{c}} = \mathcal{F}'$. We see that

$$(g) \quad (\mathcal{H}^{2d}\psi_!K)|_{\mathbf{c}} \cong \bigoplus_{\rho' \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}; \gamma(\rho') = (\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}')} \rho' \otimes \mathcal{F}'.$$

Hence conditions (i) and (iii) are equivalent.

If (iv) holds, then we have automatically $\mathbf{c} \cap SU_P \neq \emptyset$ hence $\mathbf{c} \subset \bar{Y}$. The equivalence of (ii),(iv) is a special case of the equality (a) in 8.4 applied to the diagram $V \xleftarrow{f_2} V' \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathbf{c}$ where

$$V' = \{(g, xP) \in \mathbf{c} \times G^0/P; x^{-1}gx \in \mathbf{c}U_P\}, \quad V = P \setminus (\mathbf{c} \times G^0)$$

with P acting by $p : (y, x) \mapsto (\pi(p)y\pi(p)^{-1}, xp^{-1})$ where π is $pr_1 : N_G P = \tilde{L}U_P \rightarrow \tilde{L}$ and

$$f_2(g, xP) = P\text{-orbit of } (\pi(x^{-1}gx), x), \quad f_1(g, xP) = g.$$

The G^0 -actions on V by $g' : (y, x) \mapsto (y, g'x)$, on V' by $g' : (g, xP) \mapsto (g'gg'^{-1}, g'xP)$ and on \mathbf{c} by $g' : g \mapsto g'gg'^{-1}$, are compatible with f_1, f_2 and are transitive on V and \mathbf{c} . We take

$$d_1 = (\nu - \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathbf{c}) - (\nu_L - \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathbf{c}), \quad d_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathbf{c} - \dim \mathbf{c}).$$

Then all fibres of f_1 have dimension $\leq d_1$ and all fibres of f_2 have dimension $\leq d_2$; see 4.2(a),(b). We set $N = d_1 + \dim \mathbf{c} = d_2 + \dim V$. Let $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{F}$. Let \mathcal{E}_2 be the local system on V whose inverse image under $\mathbf{c} \times G^0 \rightarrow V$ is $\mathbf{f} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$.

8.4. Let H be a connected algebraic group and let H_1, H_2 be two closed subgroups of H . Let V' be an algebraic variety with action of H . Let $f_1 : V' \rightarrow H/H_1$, $f_2 : V' \rightarrow H/H_2$ be two H -equivariant maps. Let $e_1 = \dim H/H_1, e_2 = \dim H/H_2$. Let N be an integer such that all fibres of f_1 have dimension $\leq N - e_1$ and all fibres of f_2 have dimension $\leq N - e_2$.

Let \mathcal{E}_1 be an H -equivariant irreducible local system on H/H_1 . Let \mathcal{E}_2 be an H -equivariant irreducible local system on H/H_2 . Then $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{H}^{2N-2e_1} f_{1!}(f_2^* \mathcal{E}_2)$ is an H -equivariant local system on H/H_1 and $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{H}^{2N-2e_2} f_{2!}(f_1^* \mathcal{E}_1)$ is an H -equivariant local system on H/H_2 . We have the following result.

(a) *The multiplicity of \mathcal{E}_1 in \mathcal{A}_1 is equal to the multiplicity of \mathcal{E}_2 in \mathcal{A}_2 .*

These multiplicities are equal to

$$\dim H_c^{2e_1}(H/H_1, \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \check{\mathcal{E}}_1), \dim H_c^{2e_2}(H/H_2, \mathcal{A}_2 \otimes \check{\mathcal{E}}_2)$$

respectively. It is enough to show that

$$(b) H_c^{2e_1}(H/H_1, \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \check{\mathcal{E}}_1) \cong H_c^{2N}(V', f_1^* \check{\mathcal{E}}_1 \otimes f_2^* \mathcal{E}_2),$$

$$(c) H_c^{2e_2}(H/H_2, \mathcal{A}_2 \otimes \check{\mathcal{E}}_2) \cong H_c^{2N}(V', f_1^* \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes f_2^* \check{\mathcal{E}}_2).$$

(Indeed, $\dim H_c^{2N}(V', f_1^* \check{\mathcal{E}}_1 \otimes f_2^* \mathcal{E}_2) = \dim H_c^{2N}(V', f_1^* \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes f_2^* \check{\mathcal{E}}_2)$ since $\dim V' \leq N$.) We have

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^{2N}(V', f_1^* \check{\mathcal{E}}_1 \otimes f_2^* \mathcal{E}_2) &= H_c^{2N}(H/H_1, f_{1!}(f_1^* \check{\mathcal{E}}_1 \otimes f_2^* \mathcal{E}_2)) \\ &= H_c^{2N}(H/H_1, \check{\mathcal{E}}_1 \otimes f_{1!} f_2^* \mathcal{E}_2) = H_c^{2e_1}(H/H_1, \check{\mathcal{E}}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}^{2N-2e_1} f_{1!} f_2^* \mathcal{E}_2) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality comes from a spectral sequence argument. This proves (b). The proof of (c) is entirely similar. This proves (a).

8.5. In this subsection we preserve the setup of 7.4. Let $\psi'^{S'} : X'_{S'} \rightarrow \bar{Y}'$ be the restriction of $\psi' : X' \rightarrow \bar{Y}'$. Let $\psi''^{S''} : X''_{S''} \rightarrow \bar{Y}''$ be the restriction of $\psi'' : X'' \rightarrow \bar{Y}''$. Assume that $S' = \delta' \mathcal{Z}_{L'}^0 \mathbf{c}'_1, S'' = \delta'' \mathcal{Z}_{L''}^0 \mathbf{c}''_1$ where \mathbf{c}'_1 is a unipotent L' -conjugacy class and \mathbf{c}''_1 is a unipotent L'' -conjugacy class. Assume that \mathcal{E}' (resp. \mathcal{E}'') is the inverse image under $S' \rightarrow \mathbf{c}'_1$ (resp. $S'' \rightarrow \mathbf{c}''_1$), $g \mapsto g_u$, of an irreducible L' -equivariant (resp. L'' -equivariant) local system on \mathbf{c}'_1 (resp. \mathbf{c}''_1). Assume that $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_D$ satisfies:

\mathcal{F} is a constituent of the local system $(\mathcal{H}^{2d'} \psi'^{S'} \check{\mathcal{E}}')|_{\mathbf{c}}$,

\mathcal{F} is a constituent of the local system $(\mathcal{H}^{2d''} \psi''^{S''} \check{\mathcal{E}}'')|_{\mathbf{c}}$,

where $d' = \nu - \nu_{L'} - \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathbf{c} + \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathbf{c}'_1, d'' = \nu - \nu_{L''} - \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathbf{c} + \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathbf{c}''_1$.

Lemma 8.6. *In the setup of 8.5, the triples $(L', S', \check{\mathcal{E}}'), (L'', S'', \check{\mathcal{E}}'')$ are conjugate under an element of G^0 .*

We have $(\mathcal{H}^{2d'} \psi'^{S'} \check{\mathcal{E}}')|_{\mathbf{c}} = ((\mathcal{H}^{2d'} \psi'^{S'} \bar{\mathcal{E}}')|_{\mathbf{c}})^\vee$ as local systems on \mathbf{c} . (Indeed, for any $x \in \mathbf{c}$ we have $H_c^{2d'}((\psi'^{S'})^{-1}(x), \check{\mathcal{E}}') = H_c^{2d'}((\psi'^{S'})^{-1}(x), \bar{\mathcal{E}}')^*$ (dual space) since $\dim(\psi'^{S'})^{-1}(x) \leq d'$.) Hence $\check{\mathcal{F}}'$ is a direct summand of $(\mathcal{H}^{2d'} \psi'^{S'} \check{\mathcal{E}}')|_{\mathbf{c}}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_i$ is a direct summand of $(\mathcal{H}^{2d'} \psi'^{S'} \bar{\mathcal{E}}' \otimes \mathcal{H}^{2d''} \psi''^{S''} \bar{\mathcal{E}}'')|_{\mathbf{c}}$. It follows that

$$(a) H_c^{2 \dim \mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{c}, (\mathcal{H}^{2d'} \psi'^{S'} \check{\mathcal{E}}' \otimes \mathcal{H}^{2d''} \psi''^{S''} \bar{\mathcal{E}}'')|_{\mathbf{c}}) \neq 0.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Z}_{S', S''}^\omega &= \{(g, x' P', x'' P'') \in G \times G^0/P' \times G^0/P''; \\ &g \text{ unipotent, } x'^{-1} g x' \in S' U_{P'}, x''^{-1} g x'' \in S'' U_{P''}\}, \end{aligned}$$

a special case of $\mathfrak{Z}_{S',S''}^a$ in 7.4. We have a partition

$$(b) \quad \mathfrak{Z}_{S',S''}^\omega = \bigcup_{\mathbf{c}'} \mathfrak{Z}_{S',S''}^{\mathbf{c}'}$$

where \mathbf{c}' runs through the unipotent G^0 -conjugacy classes in D and

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{S',S''}^{\mathbf{c}'} = \{(g, x'P', x''P'') \in \mathfrak{Z}_{S',S''}^\omega; g \in \mathbf{c}'\}.$$

From (a) and the Leray spectral sequence of $pr_1 : \mathfrak{Z}_{S',S''}^{\mathbf{c}} \rightarrow \mathbf{c}$ all of whose fibres have dimension $\leq d' + d''$, we deduce

$$H_c^{2\tilde{d}_0}(\mathfrak{Z}_{S',S''}^{\mathbf{c}}, \bar{\mathcal{E}}' \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{E}}'') \neq 0$$

where $\tilde{d}_0 = d' + d'' + \dim \mathbf{c} = 2\nu - \nu_{L'} - \nu_{L''} + \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathbf{c}'_1 + \dim \mathbf{c}''_1)$. Using this and the partition (b) where $\dim \mathfrak{Z}_{S',S''}^\omega \leq d_0$ (see 7.5(a)) we deduce

$$H_c^{2\tilde{d}_0}(\mathfrak{Z}_{S',S''}^\omega, \bar{\mathcal{E}}' \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{E}}'') \neq 0,$$

see 7.1(b). In other words, \mathcal{T}_ω (see 7.5) is $\neq 0$. By the argument in the proof of Lemma 7.9 we see that there exists a G^0 -orbit E on $G^0/P' \times G^0/P''$ such that ${}^E\mathcal{T}_\omega \neq 0$. Using Lemma 7.8 and its proof we see that there exists $n \in G^0$ such that $(P', nP'') \in E, n^{-1}L'n = L'', n^{-1}S'n = S''$ hence $n^{-1}\mathbf{c}'_1n = \mathbf{c}''_1$ and ${}^E\mathcal{T}_\omega = H_c^{2\dim \mathbf{c}'_1}(\mathbf{c}'_1, \mathcal{E}' \otimes \text{Ad}(n^{-1})^*\mathcal{E}'')$. Since this is $\neq 0$, the restriction of $\mathcal{E}' \otimes \text{Ad}(n^{-1})^*\mathcal{E}''$ to \mathbf{c}'_1 contains $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ as direct summand. Hence $\check{\mathcal{E}}'|_{\mathbf{c}'_1} \cong \text{Ad}(n^{-1})^*\mathcal{E}''|_{\mathbf{c}'_1}$. Using our assumptions on $\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}''$ we deduce that $\check{\mathcal{E}}' \cong \text{Ad}(n^{-1})^*\mathcal{E}''$. The lemma is proved.

8.7. Let \mathcal{N}_D^0 be the set of all pairs $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F})$ in \mathcal{N}_D such that $({}^D\mathcal{Z}_{G^0}^0\mathbf{c}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \boxtimes \mathcal{F})$ is a cuspidal pair for G (see 6.3); here we identify ${}^D\mathcal{Z}_{G^0}^0 \times \mathbf{c} = {}^D\mathcal{Z}_{G^0}^0\mathbf{c}$ using multiplication in G .

The following three conditions for $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_D$ are equivalent:

- (i) $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_D^0$;
- (ii) for any proper parabolic P of G^0 and any $g \in \mathbf{c} \cap N_G P$ we have

$$H_c^{\dim \mathbf{c} - \dim \mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{c} \cap gU_P, \mathcal{F}) = 0$$

where \mathbf{d} is the P/U_P -conjugacy class of the image of g in $N_G P/U_P$.

- (iii) for any proper parabolic P of G^0 and any unipotent P/U_P -conjugacy class \mathbf{d} in $N_G P/U_P$ we have $\mathcal{H}^{\dim \mathbf{c} - \dim \mathbf{d}} h_* \mathcal{F} = 0$ where $h : \mathbf{c} \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{d}) \rightarrow \mathbf{d}$ is the restriction of the obvious map $\pi : N_G P \rightarrow N_G P/U_P$.

Clearly, if (i) holds, then (ii) holds. Assume now that (ii) holds. We show that (i) holds. Let $g \in \mathbf{c} \cap N_G P, z \in {}^D\mathcal{Z}_{G^0}^0$. Let \mathbf{d} be as in (ii). We must show that $H_c^{\dim \mathbf{c} - \dim \mathbf{d}}({}^D\mathcal{Z}_{G^0}^0\mathbf{c} \cap zgU_P, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \boxtimes \mathcal{F}) = 0$. This follows from (ii) since ${}^D\mathcal{Z}_{G^0}^0\mathbf{c} \cap zgU_P = z(\mathbf{c} \cap gU_P)$. (We use the fact that gu is unipotent for any $u \in U_P$.) The equivalence of (ii),(iii) is clear.

Lemma 8.8. Let $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_D$. There exists a quadruple $(P, L, \mathbf{c}, \mathfrak{f})$ such that (i)-(iii) below hold:

- (i) P is a parabolic of G^0 with Levi L , \mathbf{c} is a unipotent L -conjugacy class in $\tilde{L} = N_G L \cap N_G P$ with $\mathbf{c} \subset D$ and \mathfrak{f} is an irreducible L -equivariant local system on \mathbf{c} .
- (ii) $(\mathbf{c}, \mathfrak{f}) \in \mathcal{N}_\delta^0$ where δ is the connected component of \tilde{L} that contains \mathbf{c} ;
- (iii) \mathfrak{f} is a constituent of the local system $\mathcal{H}^{\dim \mathbf{c} - \dim \mathbf{c}} f_* \mathcal{F}$ on \mathbf{c} where $f : \mathbf{c} \cap \mathbf{c}U_P \rightarrow \mathbf{c}$ is the restriction of the projection $\tilde{L}U_P \rightarrow \tilde{L}$.

We can clearly find a quadruple $(P, L, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{f})$ so that (i) and (iii) hold. For example, we can take $(P, L, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{f}) = (G^0, G^0, \mathfrak{c}, \mathcal{F})$. We can further assume that $\dim P$ is minimum possible. We show that in this case, (ii) is automatically satisfied. Assume that $(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{f}) \notin \mathcal{N}_\delta^0$. Then there exists a parabolic P' of G^0 strictly contained in P and a unipotent element $y \in N_G P' / U_{P'}$ such that $H_c^{\dim \mathfrak{c} - \dim \mathfrak{d}}(\mathfrak{c} \cap \pi'^{-1}(y), \mathfrak{f}) \neq 0$ where \mathfrak{d} is the $P' / U_{P'}$ -conjugacy class of y in $N_G P' / U_{P'}$ and $\pi' : N_G P' \rightarrow N_G P' / U_{P'}$ is the obvious map. It follows that

$$H_c^{\dim \mathfrak{c} - \dim \mathfrak{d}}(\mathfrak{c} \cap \pi'^{-1}(y), \mathcal{H}^{\dim \mathfrak{c} - \dim \mathfrak{c}} \mathfrak{f}, \mathcal{F}) \neq 0,$$

(f as in (iii).) Using the Leray spectral sequence for the map $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{c} \cap \pi'^{-1}(y)) = \mathfrak{c} \cap \mathfrak{c} U_P \cap \pi'^{-1}(y) \rightarrow \mathfrak{c} \cap \pi'^{-1}(y)$ (restriction of f) all of whose fibres have dimension $\leq \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{c} - \dim \mathfrak{c})$ (see 4.2(a)) we deduce

$$H_c^{\dim \mathfrak{c} - \dim \mathfrak{d}}(\mathfrak{c} \cap \mathfrak{c} U_P \cap \pi'^{-1}(y), \mathcal{F}) \neq 0.$$

We have a partition $\mathfrak{c} \cap \pi'^{-1}(y) = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{c}' } (\mathfrak{c} \cap \mathfrak{c}' U_P \cap \pi'^{-1}(y))$ where \mathfrak{c}' runs over the unipotent L -conjugacy class in δ . Since $\dim(\mathfrak{c} \cap \mathfrak{c}' U_P \cap \pi'^{-1}(y)) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{c} - \dim \mathfrak{d})$ (see 4.2(a)) we deduce

$$H_c^{\dim \mathfrak{c} - \dim \mathfrak{d}}(\mathfrak{c} \cap \pi'^{-1}(y), \mathcal{F}) \neq 0.$$

(We use repeatedly 7.1(b).) Hence there exists an irreducible constituent \mathcal{F}'_1 of the local system $\mathcal{H}^{\dim \mathfrak{c} - \dim \mathfrak{d}} \mathfrak{f}'_1 \mathcal{F}$ on \mathfrak{d} where $f' : \mathfrak{c} \cap \pi'^{-1}(\mathfrak{d}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{d}$ is the restriction of π' . Using the minimality of $\dim P$ we see that $\dim P \leq \dim P'$. Since $P' \subset P$, we have $P' = P$. This contradiction proves that (ii) holds. The lemma is proved.

8.9. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_D$ be the set of all quadruples $(P, L, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{f})$ that satisfy conditions (i),(ii) in Lemma 8.8. Let \mathcal{M}_D be the set of all triples $(L, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{f})$ such that $(P, L, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{f}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_D$ for some P .

Now G^0 acts by conjugation on \mathcal{M}_D ; let $G^0 \backslash \mathcal{M}_D$ be the set of orbits. By associating to $(\mathfrak{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_D$ the triple $(L, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{f})$ (part of the quadruple $(P, L, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{f})$ described in Lemma 8.8) we obtain a map

$$\Phi_D : \mathcal{N}_D \rightarrow G^0 \backslash \mathcal{M}_D;$$

the fact that Φ_D is well defined follows from the equivalence of (ii),(iv) in Lemma 8.3 and from Lemma 8.6. For any $(L, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{f}) \in \mathcal{M}_D$, we have a natural bijection

$$\text{Irr } \mathbf{E} \leftrightarrow \Phi_D^{-1}(L, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{f})$$

(the restriction of γ in Proposition 8.2(b), where S, \mathcal{E} is related to $\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{f}$ as in 8.1).

9. A RESTRICTION THEOREM

9.1. In this section we extend the results in [L2, §8] to the disconnected case. Let D be a connected component of G . Let $(P, L, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{f}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_D$. Let P' be a parabolic of G^0 such that $P \subset P'$ and P' is normalized by some element of D . Let L' be the unique Levi of P' such that $L \subset L'$. If $g \in \mathfrak{c}$, then g normalizes P and $gP'g^{-1}$ is in the G^0 -orbit of P' ; also both $gP'g^{-1}$ and P' contain P hence $gP'g^{-1} = P'$. By the uniqueness of L' we have also $gL'g^{-1} = L'$. Thus, $\mathfrak{c} \subset \tilde{L}'$ where $\tilde{L}' = N_G L' \cap N_G P'$. Let δ be the unique connected component of $N_G L \cap N_G P$ that is contained in D . Then $\mathfrak{c} \subset \delta$. Let $S = {}^\delta \mathcal{Z}_L^0 \mathfrak{c}$. Define $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{S}(S)$ by $\mathcal{E} = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \boxtimes \mathfrak{f}$. Let D' be the unique connected component of \tilde{L}' that is contained in D . Define \mathbf{E} in terms of L, S, \mathcal{E}, G as in 7.10. Define \mathbf{E}' just like \mathbf{E} , but in terms of $L, S, \mathcal{E}, \tilde{L}'$.

Let $Y = Y_{L,S} \subset D$ (relative to G). Let $\pi : \tilde{Y} = \tilde{Y}_{L,S} \rightarrow Y$ (a principal \mathcal{W}_S -bundle) be as in 3.13. Let $\mathcal{W}'_S = \{n \in N_{L'}L; nSn^{-1} = S\}/L$ (a subgroup of \mathcal{W}_S) and let $\tilde{Y}'_1 = \mathcal{W}'_S \backslash \tilde{Y}$. Then π is a composition $\tilde{Y} \xrightarrow{\pi'} \tilde{Y}'_1 \xrightarrow{\pi''} Y$ where π' is the obvious map and π'' is induced by π . Let S^* be as in 3.11 and let $'S^*$ be the analogous set when G is replaced by \tilde{L}' . Note that $'S^*$ is an open subset of S containing S^* . We have a commutative diagram with cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \tilde{Y} & \xleftarrow{f} & G^0 \times \tilde{Y}' & \longrightarrow & \tilde{Y}' & \longrightarrow & 'Y \\ \pi' \downarrow & & (1, q') \downarrow & & q' \downarrow & & 'q \downarrow \\ \tilde{Y}'_1 & \xleftarrow{f'} & G^0 \times Y' & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & 'Y \end{array}$$

where

$$'Y = \{(h, xL) \in \tilde{L}' \times L'/L; x^{-1}hx \in 'S^*\},$$

$$'Y = \bigcup_{x \in L'} x'S^*x^{-1},$$

$'q$ is $(h, xL) \mapsto h$, the analogue of $\pi : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ when G is replaced by \tilde{L}' , (a principal \mathcal{W}'_S -bundle),

$\tilde{Y}'_1 = \{(h, xL) \in \tilde{L}' \times L'/L; x^{-1}hx \in S^*\}$ (an open dense \mathcal{W}'_S -stable subset of $'\tilde{Y}$),

$$Y' = \mathcal{W}'_S \backslash \tilde{Y}'_1 \text{ (an open subset of } 'Y),$$

q' is the restriction of $'q$,

$$f(g, (h, xL)) = (ghg^{-1}, gxL) \text{ (a principal } L'\text{-bundle),}$$

f' is defined by the commutativity of the left square (a principal L' -bundle),

and the unnamed maps are the obvious ones.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ be the local system on \tilde{Y} defined in 5.6; let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'$ be the analogous local system on $'\tilde{Y}$ (with G replaced by \tilde{L}'). The inverse image of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'$ under $G^0 \times \tilde{Y}' \rightarrow \tilde{Y}' \rightarrow 'Y$ equals $f^*\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ hence the inverse image of $'q_!\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'$ under $G^0 \times Y' \rightarrow Y' \rightarrow 'Y$ equals $f'^*(\pi'_!\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$. Since f' is a principal L' -bundle, $G^0 \times Y' \rightarrow Y'$ is a principal G^0 -bundle and $Y' \rightarrow 'Y$ is an imbedding of an open dense subset, we have canonically

$$\text{End}('q_!\tilde{\mathcal{E}}') = \text{End}(f'^*(\pi'_!\tilde{\mathcal{E}})) = \text{End}(\pi'_!\tilde{\mathcal{E}}).$$

Since $\mathbf{E}' = \text{End}('q_!\tilde{\mathcal{E}}')$, we see that

$$(a) \quad \mathbf{E}' = \text{End}(\pi'_!\tilde{\mathcal{E}}).$$

It follows that

$$\pi'_!\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \bigoplus_{\rho' \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}'} \rho' \otimes (\pi'_!\tilde{\mathcal{E}})_{\rho'}$$

where $(\pi'_!\tilde{\mathcal{E}})_{\rho'}$ are irreducible local systems on \tilde{Y}'_1 . (See 7.14.) The obvious algebra homomorphism $\text{End}(\pi'_!\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow \text{End}(\pi'_!(\pi_!\mathcal{E})) = \text{End}(\pi_!\mathcal{E})$, that is, $\mathbf{E}' \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$, maps the summand \mathbf{E}'_w ($w \in \mathcal{W}'_S$) of \mathbf{E}' isomorphically onto the summand \mathbf{E}_w ($w \in \mathcal{W}'_S \subset \mathcal{W}_S$) of \mathbf{E} ; hence it is injective. We use this to identify \mathbf{E}' with a subalgebra of \mathbf{E} .

Recall from 7.14 that $\pi_!\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}} \rho \otimes (\pi_!\tilde{\mathcal{E}})_{\rho}$ where $(\pi_!\tilde{\mathcal{E}})_{\rho}$ is a local system on Y . We have

$$\pi_!\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \pi_!\pi'_!\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \bigoplus_{\rho' \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}'} \rho' \otimes \pi_!(\pi'_!\tilde{\mathcal{E}})_{\rho'}$$

hence

$$\pi_!(\pi'_!\tilde{\mathcal{E}})_{\rho'} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{E}'}(\rho', \pi_!\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{E}'}(\rho', \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}} \rho \otimes (\pi_!\tilde{\mathcal{E}})_{\rho}).$$

We see that

$$(b) \quad \pi_1''(\pi_1'\tilde{\mathcal{E}})_{\rho'} = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{E}'}(\rho', \rho) \otimes (\pi_1\tilde{\mathcal{E}})_{\rho}.$$

9.2. Let \bar{Y} be the closure of Y in D . Let $'\bar{Y}$ be the closure of $'Y$ in D' . Let $\psi : X \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ be as in 3.14. Let $X_1 = \{(g, xP') \in G \times G^0/P'; x^{-1}gx \in '\bar{Y}U_{P'}\}$. Then ψ factors as $X \xrightarrow{\psi'} X_1 \xrightarrow{\psi''} \bar{Y}$ where $\psi'(g, xP) = (g, xP')$, $\psi''(g, xP') = g$. Clearly, ψ', ψ'' are proper, surjective. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & \tilde{Y}_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi''} & Y \\ j_0 \downarrow & & j_1 \downarrow & & j_2 \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\psi'} & X_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi''} & \bar{Y} \end{array}$$

where j_2 is the obvious imbedding (as an open set), $j_0(g, xL) = (g, xP)$ (an isomorphism of \tilde{Y} with the open subset $\psi^{-1}(Y)$ of X , see Lemma 5.5) and $j_1\pi'(g, xP) = (g, xP')$. Now j_1 is an isomorphism onto the open subset $\psi''^{-1}(Y)$ of X_1 . (We show only that j_1 is a bijection $\tilde{Y}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \psi''^{-1}(Y)$. Since ψ' is surjective and $Y = \psi(\tilde{Y}), \tilde{Y} = \psi^{-1}(Y)$, we have $j_1(\tilde{Y}_1) = \psi'(\tilde{Y}) = \psi''^{-1}(Y)$. To show that j_1 is injective, it is enough to show that, if two points $(g, xL), (g', x'L)$ of \tilde{Y} have the same image under ψ' , then they are in the same \mathcal{W}'_S -orbit. We have $g = g', x' = xp'$ where $p' \in P'$. From Lemma 3.13 we see that $x' = xn^{-1}$ for some $n \in N_{G^0}L, nSn^{-1} = S$. We have $n^{-1} = p'$. Since n normalizes L and P' , it also normalizes L' (by the uniqueness of L'). Since $N_{G^0}L' \cap P' = L'$, we have $n \in L'$. Thus $(g, xL), (g', x'L)$ are in the same \mathcal{W}'_S -orbit, as required.) Note also that \tilde{Y}_1 is smooth (since \tilde{Y} is smooth). We identify $\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}_1, Y$ with open subsets of X, X_1, \bar{Y} via j_0, j_1, j_2 . We have a commutative diagram with cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xleftarrow{p_1} & X'' & \xrightarrow{p_2} & X' \\ \psi' \downarrow & & \phi \downarrow & & '\psi \downarrow \\ X_1 & \xleftarrow{p_3} & G^0 \times U_{P'} \times '\bar{Y} & \xrightarrow{p_4} & '\bar{Y} \end{array}$$

where

- $X' = \{(h, x(P \cap L')) \in \tilde{L}' \times L'/(P \cap L'); x^{-1}hx \in \bar{S}(U_P \cap L')\}$,
- $X'' = \{(g_1, q, xP) \in G^0 \times N_G P' \times P'/P; x^{-1}qx \in \bar{S}U_P\}$,
- p_1 is $(g_1, q, xP) \mapsto (g_1 q g_1^{-1}, g_1 xP)$, a principal P' -bundle,
- p_2 is $(g_1, l'u', l'_1 P) \mapsto (l', l'_1(P \cap L'))$ with $l' \in \tilde{L}', l'_1 \in L', u' \in U_{P'}$, a principal $G^0 \times U_{P'}$ -bundle,
- p_3 is $(g_1, u, h) \mapsto (g_1 h u g_1^{-1}, g_1 P')$, a principal P' -bundle,
- p_4 is $(g_1, u, h) \mapsto h$, a principal $G^0 \times U_{P'}$ -bundle,
- $'\psi$ is $(h, x(P \cap L')) \mapsto h$,
- ϕ is $(g_1, l'u', l'_1 P) \mapsto (g_1, u', l')$, with $l' \in \tilde{L}', l'_1 \in L', u' \in U_{P'}$.

Since p_3, p_4 are principal bundles with connected group we have $p_3^*IC(X_1, p_1'\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = p_4^*IC('Y, 'q_1\tilde{\mathcal{E}}')$. (Both can be identified with $IC(G^0 \times U_{P'} \times 'bY, \mathbf{Q}_l \boxtimes \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \boxtimes 'q_1\tilde{\mathcal{E}}')$.)

Let $K, K^* \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ be as in 5.7. Let $K' \in \mathcal{D}(X')$ be the analogous object (with G replaced by \tilde{L}'). From the definitions we have $p_1^*K = p_2^*K'$. From the commutative diagram above it then follows that $p_3^*\psi_1'K = p_4^*('\psi_1 K') = p_4^*IC('Y, 'q_1\tilde{\mathcal{E}}')$

(the last equality comes from Proposition 5.7 for \tilde{L}' instead of G) hence $p_3^* \psi'_1 K = p_3^* IC(X_1, p'_1 \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$. Since p_3 is a principal P' -bundle we see that

$$\psi'_1 K = IC(X_1, \pi'_1 \tilde{\mathcal{E}}).$$

From this and 9.1(a) we see that $\text{End}(\psi'_1 K) = \mathbf{E}'$ and $\psi'_1 K = \bigoplus_{\rho' \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}'} \rho' \otimes (\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'}$ where

$$(a) \quad (\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'} = IC(X_1, (\pi'_1 \tilde{\mathcal{E}})_{\rho'}).$$

We now show

$$(b) \quad \psi''_1 (\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'} = IC(\bar{Y}, \pi''_1 (\pi'_1 \tilde{\mathcal{E}})_{\rho'})$$

for any $\rho' \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}'$. From (a) we see that $\psi''_1 (\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'}|_Y$ is the local system $\pi''_1 (\pi'_1 \tilde{\mathcal{E}})_{\rho'}$. Since ψ'' is proper, (b) is a consequence of (a), of the assertion (c) below and the analogous assertion with K replaced by K^* :

(c) For any $i > 0$ we have $\dim \text{supp } \mathcal{H}^i(\psi''_1 (\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'}) < \dim \bar{Y} - i$.

This is checked as follows. We have

$$\text{supp } \mathcal{H}^i(\psi''_1 (\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'}) \subset \text{supp } \mathcal{H}^i(\psi''_1 (\psi'_1 K)) = \text{supp } \mathcal{H}^i(\psi_1 K)$$

hence (c) is a consequence of a statement in the proof of Proposition 5.7. Thus, (b) is verified. Combining (b) with 9.1(b), we see that for any $\rho' \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}'$ we have

$$(d) \quad \psi''_1 (\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'} = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{E}'}(\rho', \rho) \otimes (\psi_1 K)_\rho.$$

(Recall from 7.14 that $\psi_1 K = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}} \rho \otimes (\psi_1 K)_\rho$ where $(\psi_1 K)_\rho = IC(\bar{Y}, (\pi_1 \tilde{\mathcal{E}})_\rho)$.)

9.3. Let $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_D$, $(\mathbf{c}', \mathcal{F}') \in \mathcal{N}_{D'}$. (In particular, \mathbf{c} is a unipotent G^0 -conjugacy class in D and \mathbf{c}' is a unipotent L' -conjugacy class in D' .) Let

$$d'_0 = 2\nu_{L'} - 2\nu_L + \dim \mathbf{c}, \quad d = \nu - \nu_L - \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathbf{c} - \dim \mathbf{c}').$$

Let $\Phi_D : \mathcal{N}_D \rightarrow G^0 \backslash \mathcal{M}_D$ be as in 8.9. Let $\Phi_{D'} : \mathcal{N}_{D'} \rightarrow L' \backslash \mathcal{M}_{D'}$ be the analogous map (with G replaced by \tilde{L}'). We assume that $\Phi_D(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F})$ is the G^0 -orbit of $(L, \mathbf{c}, \mathfrak{f})$ and that $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F})$ corresponds to $\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}$ (see 8.9). We assume that $\Phi_{D'}(\mathbf{c}', \mathcal{F}')$ is the L' -orbit of $(L, \mathbf{c}, \mathfrak{f})$ and that $(\mathbf{c}', \mathcal{F}')$ corresponds to $\rho' \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}'$ (see 8.9). Let $X_1^\omega = \{(g, xP') \in X^1; g \text{ unipotent}\}$,

$$R = \{(g, xP') \in G \times G^0/P'; x^{-1}gx \in \bar{\mathbf{c}}'U_{P'}\} \subset X_1^\omega.$$

We show that

$$(a) \quad \text{supp}(\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'} \cap X_1^\omega \subset R.$$

Let $(g, xP') \in \text{supp}(\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'} \cap X_1^\omega$. The isomorphism $p_3^* \psi'_1 K = p_4^* ({}^t \psi_1 K')$ in 9.2 is compatible with the action of \mathbf{E}' . Hence $p_3^* (\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'} = p_4^* ({}^t \psi_1 K')_{\rho'}$ and

$$p_3^{-1}(\text{supp}(\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'}) = p_4^{-1}(\text{supp}({}^t \psi_1 K')_{\rho'}).$$

Hence there exists $(g_1, u, h) \in X''$ such that $(g, xP') = (g_1 h u g_1^{-1}, g_1 P')$ and $h \in \text{supp}({}^t \psi_1 K')_{\rho'}$. Since g is unipotent, hu is unipotent hence h is unipotent. Now a unipotent element in $\text{supp}({}^t \psi_1 K')_{\rho'}$ must be in $\bar{\mathbf{c}}'$ since, by Proposition 8.2 (for \tilde{L}' instead of G),

(b) $({}^t \psi_1 K')_{\rho'}$ restricted to the unipotent set in D' is $IC(\bar{\mathbf{c}}', \mathcal{F}')[\dim \mathbf{c}' - d'_0]$ (extended by zero outside $\bar{\mathbf{c}}'$).

Thus, $h \in \bar{\mathbf{c}}'$. We have $x = g_1 p'$ for some $p' \in P'$ and $g = g_1 h u g_1^{-1}$. Hence $x^{-1} g x = p' h u p'^{-1} \in \bar{\mathbf{c}}' U_{P'}$ and $(g, x P') \in R$. This proves (a).

We have a partition $R = \bigcup_{\mathbf{c}''} R_{\mathbf{c}''}$ where \mathbf{c}'' runs over the unipotent L' -conjugacy classes in $\bar{\mathbf{c}}'$ and $R_{\mathbf{c}''} = \{(g, x P') \in G \times G^0 / P'; x^{-1} g x \in \mathbf{c}'' U_{P'}\}$. Then $R' := R_{\bar{\mathbf{c}}'}$ is open in R . Clearly, $p_1^{-1}(R) = p_2^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{c}}') = G^0 \times U_{P'} \times \bar{\mathbf{c}}'$ and $p_1^{-1}(R_{\mathbf{c}''}) = p_2^{-1}(\mathbf{c}'') = G^0 \times U_{P'} \times \mathbf{c}''$.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'$ be the local system on R' whose inverse image under $p_1 : G^0 \times U_{P'} \times \bar{\mathbf{c}}' \rightarrow R'$ equals the inverse image of \mathcal{F}' under $p_2 : G^0 \times U_{P'} \times \bar{\mathbf{c}}' \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{c}}'$.

Since p_1, p_2 are principal bundles with connected group it follows that the inverse image of $IC(R, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}')$ under $p_1 : G^0 \times U_{P'} \times \bar{\mathbf{c}}' \rightarrow R$ equals the inverse image of $IC(\bar{\mathbf{c}}', \mathcal{F}')$ under $p_2 : G^0 \times U_{P'} \times \bar{\mathbf{c}}' \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{c}}'$. It follows that

$$(c) \ (\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'}|_{X_1^\varphi} = IC(R, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}')[\dim \mathbf{c}' - d'_0] \text{ (extended by zero outside } R).$$

(Using p_1^* this is reduced to (b).)

For any subvariety T of X_1 we denote by $T\psi'' : T \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ the restriction of $\psi'' : X^1 \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ to T .

Proposition 9.4. *In the setup of 9.3, let $d' = \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathbf{c} - \dim \mathbf{c}')$, $n = \nu - \nu_{L'} - d'$. The following five numbers coincide:*

- (i) $\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{E}'}(\rho', \rho)$;
- (ii) the multiplicity of \mathcal{F} in the local system $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{H}^{2d}(\psi''_1(\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'})|_{\mathbf{c}}$;
- (iii) the multiplicity of \mathcal{F} in the local system $\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{H}^{2n}({}_{R'}\psi''_1 IC(R, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}'))|_{\mathbf{c}}$;
- (iv) the multiplicity of \mathcal{F} in the local system

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = \mathcal{H}^{2n}({}_{R'}\psi''_1 IC(R, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}'))|_{\mathbf{c}} = \mathcal{H}^{2n}({}_{R'}\psi''_1 \tilde{\mathcal{F}}')|_{\mathbf{c}};$$

- (v) the multiplicity of \mathcal{F}' in the local system $\mathcal{H}^{2d'}(f_! \mathcal{F})$ where $f : \mathbf{c}' U_{P'} \cap \mathbf{c} \rightarrow \mathbf{c}'$ is the restriction of $pr_1 : \tilde{L}' U_{P'} \rightarrow \tilde{L}'$.

The proof will be given in 9.5–9.7.

9.5. From Lemma 8.3(g) we see that, for $\tilde{\rho} \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}$, the multiplicity of \mathcal{F} in the local system $(\mathcal{H}^{2d}(\psi_1 K)_{\tilde{\rho}})|_{\mathbf{c}}$ is 1 if $\tilde{\rho} = \rho$ and is 0 otherwise. Hence from 9.2(d) it follows that the numbers (i),(ii) in 9.4 are equal.

We show that $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_2$. By 9.3(c) we may replace $IC(R, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}')$ in \mathcal{L}_2 by

$$(\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'}|_{X_1^\varphi} [-\dim \mathbf{c}' + d'_0],$$

so that $\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{H}^{2d}({}_{R'}\psi''_1((\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'}|_R))|_{\mathbf{c}}$. (We have $2n = 2d + \dim \mathbf{c}' - d'_0$.) It is enough to show that $({}_{X_1-R}\psi''_1((\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'}|_{X_1-R}))|_{\mathbf{c}} = 0$. Assume this is not true. Then there exist $(g, x P') \in X_1 - R$ such that $g \in \mathbf{c}$ and $(g, x P') \in \text{supp}(\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'}$. Since g is unipotent, this contradicts 9.3(a). We see that the numbers (ii),(iii) in 9.4 are equal.

9.6. We show that $\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L}_3$. For any $g \in \mathbf{c}$ we consider the natural exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^{2d-1}(\psi''^{-1}(g) \cap (R - R'), (\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'}) &\xrightarrow{\xi} H_c^{2d}(\psi''^{-1}(g) \cap R', (\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'}) \\ &\rightarrow H_c^{2d}(\psi''^{-1}(g) \cap R, (\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'}) \rightarrow H_c^{2d}(\psi''^{-1}(g) \cap (R - R'), (\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'}). \end{aligned}$$

It is enough to show that the the middle map is an isomorphism. It is enough to show that $H_c^{2d}(\psi''^{-1}(g) \cap (R - R'), (\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'}) = 0$ and that $\xi = 0$. By 9.3(c) we may replace $(\psi'_1 K)_{\rho'}|_{X_1^\varphi}$ by $IC(R, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}')[\dim \mathbf{c}' - d'_0]$. We see that it is enough to show that

- (a) $H_c^{2n}(\psi''^{-1}(g) \cap (R - R'), IC(R, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}')) = 0$ and that
 (b) $H_c^{2n-1}(\psi''^{-1}(g) \cap (R - R'), IC(R, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}')) \xrightarrow{\xi} H_c^{2n}(\psi''^{-1}(g) \cap R', IC(R, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}'))$ is zero.

From Proposition 4.2(b) we have

$$(c) \dim(\psi''^{-1}(g) \cap R_{\mathbf{c}''}) \leq \nu - \nu_{L'} - \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathbf{c} - \dim \mathbf{c}'')$$

for any L' -conjugacy class \mathbf{c}'' in \mathbf{c}' .

If the cohomology group in (a) is non-zero, then, using the partition

$$(d) \psi''^{-1}(g) \cap (R - R') = \bigcup_{\mathbf{c}'' \neq \mathbf{c}'} (\psi''^{-1}(g) \cap R_{\mathbf{c}''})$$

we see that $H_c^{2n}(\psi''^{-1}(g) \cap R_{\mathbf{c}''}, IC(R, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}')) \neq 0$ for some $\mathbf{c}'' \neq \mathbf{c}'$. Hence there exist i, j such that $2n = i + j$ and $H_c^i(\psi''^{-1}(g) \cap R_{\mathbf{c}''}, \mathcal{H}^j(IC(R, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}'))) \neq 0$. It follows that $i \leq 2 \dim R_{\mathbf{c}''}$. The local system $\mathcal{H}^j(IC(R, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}'))|_{R_{\mathbf{c}''}}$ is $\neq 0$ so that $R_{\mathbf{c}''} \subset \text{supp} \mathcal{H}^j(IC(R, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}'))$ and $\dim R_{\mathbf{c}''} \leq \dim R - j$. It follows that $i + j \leq 2 \dim R_{\mathbf{c}''} + \dim R - \dim R_{\mathbf{c}''} = \dim R + \dim R_{\mathbf{c}''} < 2n$ (we use (c)) in contradiction with $i + j = 2n$. This proves (a).

To prove (b) we may assume that \mathbf{k} is an algebraic closure of a finite field \mathbf{F}_q , that G has a fixed \mathbf{F}_q -structure with Frobenius map $F : G \rightarrow G$, that P, P', L, L', S (hence X_1, ψ'') are defined over \mathbf{F}_q , that any \mathbf{c}'' as above is defined over \mathbf{F}_q , that $F(g) = g$ and that we have an isomorphism $F^* \mathcal{F}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}'$ which makes \mathcal{F}' into a local system of pure weight 0. Then we have natural (Frobenius) endomorphisms of

$$\begin{aligned} & H_c^{2n-1}(\psi''^{-1}(g) \cap (R - R'), IC(R, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}')), \\ & H_c^{2n}(\psi''^{-1}(g) \cap R', IC(R, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}')) = H_c^{2n}(\psi''^{-1}(g) \cap R', \tilde{\mathcal{F}}') \end{aligned}$$

compatible with ξ . To show that $\xi = 0$, it is enough to show that

- (e) $H_c^{2n}(\psi''^{-1}(g) \cap R', \tilde{\mathcal{F}}')$ is pure of weight $2n$;
 (f) $H_c^{2n-1}(\psi''^{-1}(g) \cap (R - R'), IC(R, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}'))$ is mixed of weight $\leq 2n - 1$.

Now (e) is clear since $\dim(\psi''^{-1}(g) \cap R') \leq n$ (see (c)). We prove (f). Using the partition (d) we see that it is enough to prove that

$$H_c^{2n-1}(\psi''^{-1}(g) \cap R_{\mathbf{c}''}, IC(R, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}')) \text{ is mixed of weight } \leq 2n - 1 \text{ for any } \mathbf{c}'' \neq \mathbf{c}'.$$

Using the hypercohomology spectral sequence we see that it is enough to prove:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{if } i, j \text{ are such that } 2n - 1 = i + j, \text{ then } H_c^i(\psi''^{-1}(g) \cap R_{\mathbf{c}''}, \mathcal{H}^j(IC(R, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}'))) \\ & \text{is mixed of weight } \leq 2n - 1 \text{ for any } \mathbf{c}'' . \end{aligned}$$

By Gabber's theorem [BBD, 5.3.2], the local system $\mathcal{H}^j(IC(R, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}'))$ is mixed of weight $\leq j$. Using Deligne's theorem [BBD, 5.1.14(i)], we deduce that

$$H_c^i(\psi''^{-1}(g) \cap R_{\mathbf{c}''}, \mathcal{H}^j(IC(R, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}'))) \text{ is mixed of weight } \leq i + j = 2n - 1.$$

This proves (b). We have shown that $\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L}_3$. It follows that the numbers (iii),(iv) in Proposition 9.4 are equal.

9.7. Consider the diagram $V \xleftarrow{f_2} V' \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathbf{c}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} V' &= \{(g, xP') \in \mathbf{c} \times G^0/P'; x^{-1}gx \in \mathbf{c}'U_{P'}\} = \psi''^{-1}(\mathbf{c}) \cap R', \\ V &= P' \setminus (\mathbf{c}' \times G^0) \end{aligned}$$

with P' acting by $p' : (y, x) \mapsto (\pi(p')y\pi(p')^{-1}, xp'^{-1})$, π is $pr_1 : \tilde{L}'U_{P'} \rightarrow \tilde{L}'$, $f_2(g, xP') = P'$ -orbit of $(\pi(x^{-1}gx), x)$, $f_1(g, xP') = g$.

The G^0 -actions on V by $g' : (y, x) \mapsto (y, g'x)$, on V' by $g' : (g, xP') \mapsto (g'gg'^{-1}, g'xP')$ and on \mathfrak{c} by $g' : g \mapsto g'gg'^{-1}$, are compatible with f_1, f_2 and are transitive on V and \mathfrak{c} .

Then all fibres of f_1 have dimension $\leq n$ and all fibres of f_2 have dimension $\leq d'$; see 4.2(a),(b). We set $N = n + \dim \mathfrak{c} = d' + \dim V$. We apply 8.4(a) with $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{F}$ and with \mathcal{E}_2 the local system on V whose inverse image under $\mathfrak{c}' \times G^0 \rightarrow V$ is $\mathcal{F}' \otimes \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. We see that the numbers (iv),(v) in Proposition 9.4 are equal. This completes the proof of Proposition 9.4.

9.8. In the setup of 9.3, we now drop the assumption that

- (a) $\Phi_D(\mathfrak{c}, \mathcal{F})$ is the G^0 -orbit of $(L, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{f})$

and replace it by the assumption that the multiplicity in Proposition 9.4(v) is non-zero. We show that in this case (a) automatically holds.

Our assumption implies that $f_1\mathcal{F}$ in Proposition 9.4(v) is non-zero. In particular, $\mathfrak{c}'U_{P'} \cap \mathfrak{c} \neq \emptyset$ hence $'YU_{P'} \cap \mathfrak{c} \neq \emptyset$. We have $'YU_{P'} \subset \bar{Y}$ hence $\bar{Y} \cap \mathfrak{c} \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{c} \subset \bar{Y}$. Then the arguments in 9.5–9.7 still show that the multiplicities in Proposition 9.4(ii),(iii),(iv),(v) are equal and in particular, they are all non-zero. It follows that the multiplicity of \mathcal{F} in the local system $\mathcal{H}^{2d}(\psi_1''(\psi_1'K))|_{\mathfrak{c}} = \mathcal{H}^{2d}(\psi_1K)|_{\mathfrak{c}}$ is non-zero. We now use the equivalence of (i) and (iii) in Lemma 8.3; our assertion follows.

10. PREPARATORY RESULTS

The following result is a generalization of known results from the connected case to the disconnected case. Thus, (a)–(c) generalizes [L2, 2.9], (d) generalizes [HS, Prop.3] and (e) generalizes [L2, 9.3].

Lemma 10.1. *Let P be a parabolic of G^0 with Levi L . Let $g \in \tilde{L} = N_GL \cap N_GP$. Let $\langle g \rangle$ be the G^0 -conjugacy class of g . Let $\langle g \rangle_L$ be the L -conjugacy class of g . Let $F = \{vgv^{-1}; v \in U_P\}$. Let $V = Z_G(g)^0 / (Z_G(g)^0 \cap P)$. Then:*

- (a) F is an irreducible component of $\langle g \rangle \cap gU_P$ of dimension $\frac{1}{2}(\dim \langle g \rangle - \dim \langle g \rangle_L)$;
- (b) $\dim V = (\nu - \frac{1}{2} \dim \langle g \rangle) - (\nu_L - \frac{1}{2} \dim \langle g \rangle_L)$;
- (c) $Z_G(g)^0 \cap P = Z_P(g)^0$;
- (d) $Z_{U_P}(g)$ is connected;
- (e) if $P \neq G^0$ and g is unipotent then $(\nu - \frac{1}{2} \dim \langle g \rangle) - (\nu_L - \frac{1}{2} \dim \langle g \rangle_L) = \dim Z_{U_P}(g) > 0$.

We prove (a). From the semidirect product decomposition $P = LU_P$ we obtain a semidirect product decomposition $Z_P(g) = Z_L(g)Z_{U_P}(g)$. Hence

$$\dim Z_P(g) = \dim Z_L(g) + \dim Z_{U_P}(g).$$

Exactly the same argument shows that, if P' is the unique parabolic of G^0 with Levi L such that $P \cap P' = L$, then $\dim Z_{P'}(g) = \dim Z_L(g) + \dim Z_{U_{P'}}(g)$. (By the uniqueness of P' we have $g \in N_GP'$.) Consider the map

$$Z_P(g)^0 \times Z_{P'}(g)^0 \rightarrow Z_G(g)^0, (g_1, g_2) \mapsto g_1g_2.$$

The pairs $(g_1, g_2), (g'_1, g'_2)$ are mapped to the same element in $Z_G(g)^0$ if and only if $g'_1 = g_1g_0, g'_2 = g_0^{-1}g_2$ where $g_0 \in Z_P(g)^0 \cap Z_{P'}(g)^0$. Note also that

$$Z_L(g)^0 \subset Z_P(g)^0 \cap Z_{P'}(g)^0 \subset Z_L(g).$$

It follows that

$$\dim Z_G(g)^0 = \dim Z_P(g)^0 + \dim Z_{P'}(g)^0 - \dim(Z_P(g)^0 \cap Z_{P'}(g)^0) + \delta, \quad (\delta \geq 0)$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \dim Z_G^0(g) &= \dim Z_P(g)^0 + \dim Z_{P'}(g)^0 - \dim Z_L(g)^0 + \delta \\ \text{(f)} \quad &= \dim Z_{U_P}(g) + \dim Z_{U_{P'}}(g) + \dim Z_L(g)^0 + \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Introducing here $\dim Z_{U_P}(g) = \dim U_P - \dim F$ and the analogous equality

$$\dim Z_{U_{P'}}(g) = \dim U_{P'} - \dim F'$$

where $F' = \{v'gv'^{-1}; v' \in U_{P'}\}$, we obtain

$$\dim Z_G^0(g) = \dim U_P + \dim U_{P'} + \dim Z_L(g)^0 - \dim F - \dim F' + \delta$$

hence

$$\text{(g)} \quad \dim F + \dim F' = \dim \langle g \rangle - \dim \langle g \rangle_L + \delta.$$

Now F is contained in $\langle g \rangle \cap gU_P$ and is closed in gU_P (it is an orbit of a unipotent group action on an affine variety). By 4.2(a), any irreducible component of $\langle g \rangle \cap gU_P$ has dimension $\leq \frac{1}{2}(\dim \langle g \rangle - \dim \langle g \rangle_L)$. Hence $\dim F \leq \frac{1}{2}(\dim \langle g \rangle - \dim \langle g \rangle_L)$ and similarly $\dim F' \leq \frac{1}{2}(\dim \langle g \rangle - \dim \langle g \rangle_L)$. Comparing with (g) we see that $\delta = 0$ and that F (resp. F') is an irreducible component of $\langle g \rangle \cap gU_P$ (resp. of $\langle g \rangle \cap gU_{P'}$) of dimension $\frac{1}{2}(\dim \langle g \rangle - \dim \langle g \rangle_L)$.

We prove (b). From the proof of (a) we see that $\dim Z_G(g) = \dim Z_P(g) + \dim Z_{U_{P'}}(g)$ hence $\dim V = \dim Z_{U_{P'}}(g)$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\nu - \frac{1}{2} \dim \langle g \rangle) - (\nu_L - \frac{1}{2} \dim \langle g \rangle_L) \\ \text{(g)} \quad &= \frac{1}{2}(\dim Z_G(g) - \dim Z_L(g)) = \frac{1}{2}(\dim Z_{U_P}(g) + \dim Z_{U_{P'}}(g)). \end{aligned}$$

(We have used (f) and the equality $\delta = 0$.) Hence to prove (b) it is enough to prove the equality

$$\text{(h)} \quad \dim Z_{U_P}(g) = \dim Z_{U_{P'}}(g).$$

This follows from the equality $\dim F = \dim F'$ in the proof of (a) and from the equality $\dim U_P = \dim U_{P'}$.

We prove (c). Let $H = Z_G(g)$ and let $T = (Z_L \cap H)^0$. Then T is a torus contained in H^0 hence $Z_{H^0}(T)$ is connected. By 1.10(a) we have $L = Z_{G^0}(T)$. It follows that $L \cap H^0 = Z_{H^0}(T)$ is connected hence $L \cap H^0 \subset Z_L(g)^0$. The group $Z_G(g)^0 \cap P$ contains $Z_P(g)^0$ as a subgroup of finite index. Let g_0 be a fixed element of $Z_G(g)^0 \cap P$. From the proof of (a) we have $\delta = 0$ hence the set A of all products g_1g_2 with $g_1 \in Z_P(g)^0, g_2 \in Z_{P'}(g)^0$ is constructible dense in $Z_G(g)^0$. Hence g_0A is again constructible dense in $Z_G(g)^0$ so that it must meet A . Thus $g_0g_1g_2 = g'_1g'_2$ for some $g_1, g'_1 \in Z_P(g)^0, g_2, g'_2 \in Z_{P'}(g)^0$. Set $\tilde{g}_0 = g'_1{}^{-1}g_0g_1$. Then $\tilde{g}_0 \in Z_P(g) \cap Z_{P'}(g) \cap H^0$ hence $\tilde{g}_0 \in L \cap H^0 \subset Z_L(g)^0 \subset Z_P(g)^0$. Thus, $g'_1{}^{-1}g_0g_1 \in Z_P(g)^0$. Since $g_1, g'_1 \in Z_P(g)^0$ it follows that $g_0 \in Z_P(g)^0$. Since $g_0 \in Z_G(g)^0 \cap P$ was arbitrary we see that $Z_G(g)^0 \cap P \subset Z_P(g)^0$ hence $Z_G(g)^0 \cap P = Z_P(g)^0$. This proves (c).

We prove (d). Let T be a maximal torus of $Z_L(g)^0$. By the first line in 1.4, the (projective) variety of Borel subgroups of G^0 that are normalized by g is non-empty. Now T acts by conjugation on this variety. Since T is a torus, this action must have

a fixed point. Thus there exists a Borel B of G^0 such that $g \in N_G B, T \subset B$. Since $(\mathcal{Z}_L \cap Z_G(g))^0 \subset T$, we have $Z_{G^0}(T) \subset Z_{G^0}((\mathcal{Z}_L \cap Z_G(g))^0) = L$ (the last equality follows from 1.10). From $Z_{G^0}(T) \subset L$ we see that T is also a maximal torus of $Z_G(g)^0$. Hence T is a maximal torus of $Z_B(g)^0$. Let R be a connected component of $Z_B(g)$. If $x \in R$, then x normalizes $Z_B(g)^0$ hence xTx^{-1} is a maximal torus of $Z_B(g)^0$ hence $xTx^{-1} = x_0Tx_0^{-1}$ for some $x_0 \in Z_B(g)^0$. Then $x_0^{-1}x \in R$ and $x_0^{-1}x$ normalizes T . Since T is a torus in the connected solvable group B , any element of B that normalizes T must centralize T . Thus $x_0^{-1}x \in Z_{G^0}(T) \subset L$. We see that any connected component of $Z_B(g)$ meets L . From the semidirect product decomposition $B = (B \cap L)U_P$ we obtain a semidirect product decomposition $Z_B(g) = Z_{B \cap L}(g)Z_{U_P}(g)$. It follows that $Z_B(g)^0 = Z_{B \cap L}(g)^0Z_{U_P}(g)^0$.

Let $y \in Z_{U_P}(g)$. Since the connected component of $Z_B(g)$ containing y meets L we have $y'y = y''$ for some $y' \in Z_B(g)^0$ and $y'' \in L$ hence $y'' \in Z_{B \cap L}(g)$. We can write $y' = y'_1y'_2$ where $y'_1 \in Z_{B \cap L}(g)^0$, $y'_2 \in Z_{U_P}(g)^0$. Hence $y'_1y'_2y = y''$ and $y'_2y = y'_1{}^{-1}y'' \in U_P \cap L = \{1\}$. Thus $y = y'_2{}^{-1} \in Z_{U_P}(g)^0$. We see that $Z_{U_P}(g) \subset Z_{U_P}(g)^0$ hence $Z_{U_P}(g) = Z_{U_P}(g)^0$. This proves (d).

We prove (e). By assumption we have $\dim U_P > 0$. If \mathbf{k} has characteristic $p > 1$, then $\text{Ad}(g) : U_P \rightarrow U_P$ has order a power of p . We can find a finite subgroup U' of U_P of order p^n where $n > 0$ such that $\text{Ad}(g)(U') = U'$ (for example we can take U' to be the group of rational points of U_P over a suitable finite field). Since the cardinal of any orbit of $\text{Ad}(g) : U' \rightarrow U'$ is 1 or a multiple of p it follows that the number of fixed points of $\text{Ad}(g) : U' \rightarrow U'$ is divisible by p . Being $\neq 0$, it is > 1 . Thus $Z_{U_P}(g)$ has at least 2 elements. Being connected (see (d)) it must have dimension > 0 . Assume now that \mathbf{k} has characteristic 0. Let U' be the centre of U_P . Then $\dim U' > 0$ and under an isomorphism $U' \cong \mathbf{k}^n$, $\text{Ad}(g) : U' \rightarrow U'$ becomes a unipotent automorphism of $\mathbf{k}^n, n > 0$ hence it has a fixed point set of dimension > 0 . Thus the inequality in (e) holds. The equality in (e) follows from (g) and (h).

The following result is a generalization of [L2, 2.8] to the disconnected case.

Proposition 10.2. *Let (C, \mathcal{E}) be a cuspidal pair for G with $\mathcal{E} \neq 0$. Let $g \in C$. Then $Z_G(g)^0 / (Z_{G^0} \cap Z_G(g))^0$ is a unipotent group.*

Let T be a maximal torus of $Z_G(g)^0$. Let $L = Z_{G^0}(T)$. We can find $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}^*, G^0)$ such that $\chi(\mathbf{k}^*) \subset T$ and $Z_{G^0}(\chi(\mathbf{k}^*)) = L$. Let $P = P_\chi$ (a parabolic of G^0 with Levi L , see 1.16). Now g normalizes P since it centralizes $\chi(\mathbf{k}^*)$. Similarly, g normalizes L . We shall use notation in Lemma 10.1. In particular, F is defined. Clearly, $F \subset C$ and $\mathcal{E}|_F$ is a non-zero, U_P -equivariant local system (for the conjugation action of U_P). Since this action is transitive and the isotropy group of g is $Z_{U_P}(g)$ which is connected by Lemma 10.1(d), we see that $\mathcal{E}|_F \cong \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^m$ for some $m > 0$. Hence $H_c^{2e}(F, \mathcal{E}) \neq 0$ where $e = \dim F = \frac{1}{2}(\dim \langle g \rangle - \dim \langle g \rangle_L)$. (See Lemma 10.1(a).) Now there are only finitely many G^0 -conjugacy classes $\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_t$ that meet gU_P and are contained in C (the semisimple part of an element in \mathbf{c}_i must be in the G^0 -conjugacy class of g_s). These conjugacy classes have the same dimension, and one of them is $\langle g \rangle$. Hence, by Lemma 4.2(a), we have

$$\dim(\mathbf{c}_i \cap gU_P) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\dim \langle g \rangle - \dim \langle g \rangle_L) = e.$$

Hence $\dim(C \cap gU_P) \leq e$. Since F is a closed irreducible subvariety of $C \cap gU_P$ of dimension e it follows that $H_c^{2e}(C \cap gU_P)$ contains a subspace isomorphic to

$H_c^{2e}(F, \mathcal{E}) \neq 0$ hence $H_c^{2e}(C \cap gU_P) \neq 0$. Since (C, \mathcal{E}) is a cuspidal pair, it follows that $P = G^0$ hence $L = G^0$. Since $L = Z_{G^0}(T)$, it follows that $T \subset Z_{G^0}$ hence $T \subset (Z_{G^0} \cap Z_G(g))^0$. It follows that $Z_G(g)^0 / (Z_{G^0} \cap Z_G(g))^0$ is a unipotent group.

The following result extends to the disconnected case results in [LS1], [L2, §7].

Lemma 10.3. *Let P be a parabolic of G^0 with Levi L and let \mathfrak{c} be a unipotent L -conjugacy class in $N_GL \cap N_GP$. Let $\psi : X^\omega \rightarrow \bar{Y}^\omega$ be as in 8.1.*

- (a) *Let \mathfrak{c} be the unique unipotent G^0 -conjugacy class in G such that $\mathfrak{c}U_P \cap \mathfrak{c}$ is dense in $\mathfrak{c}U_P$. Then \mathfrak{c} is the unique unipotent G^0 -conjugacy class in G which is open dense in \bar{Y}^ω .*
- (b) *G^0 acts transitively on $\psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{c})$.*
- (c) *P acts transitively on $\bar{\mathfrak{c}}U_P \cap \mathfrak{c}$.*
- (d) *We have $\bar{\mathfrak{c}}U_P \cap \mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{c}U_P \cap \mathfrak{c}$.*
- (e) *Let $g \in \mathfrak{c}U_P \cap \mathfrak{c}$. Define $\bar{g} \in N_GL \cap N_GP$ by $\bar{g}U_P = gU_P$. The natural map $\gamma : Z_P(g)/Z_P^0(g) \rightarrow Z_{G^0}(g)/Z_G(g)^0$ is injective and the natural map $\gamma' : Z_P(g)/Z_P^0(g) \rightarrow Z_L(\bar{g})/Z_L(\bar{g})^0$ is surjective.*

We prove (a). As \bar{Y}^ω is the union of the G^0 -conjugates of $\bar{\mathfrak{c}}U_P$ which is contained in the closure of \mathfrak{c} , we see that \bar{Y}^ω is contained in the closure of \mathfrak{c} . Since $\mathfrak{c}U_P \subset \bar{Y}^\omega$ and $\mathfrak{c}U_P \cap \mathfrak{c} \neq \emptyset$, we see that \bar{Y}^ω contains some point of \mathfrak{c} hence it contains \mathfrak{c} . Thus the closure of \mathfrak{c} is equal to \bar{Y}^ω . Hence \mathfrak{c} is open dense in \bar{Y}^ω . The uniqueness of such \mathfrak{c} follows from the irreducibility of \bar{Y}^ω (see 8.1(a)).

We prove (b). Clearly, $\psi : X^\omega \rightarrow \bar{Y}^\omega$ is surjective and by 8.1(a), X^ω, \bar{Y}^ω are irreducible of the same dimension. Hence all fibres of $\psi : \psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{c}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}$ are finite. Now ψ maps any G^0 -orbit in $\psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{c})$ onto \mathfrak{c} since G^0 is transitive on \mathfrak{c} ; hence any G^0 -orbit on $\psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{c})$ must have dimension equal to $\dim \mathfrak{c} = \dim \bar{Y}^\omega = \dim X^\omega$ hence it is dense in X^ω . It follows that any two G^0 -orbits on $\psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{c})$ must have non-empty intersection, so that there is only one orbit on $\psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{c})$ and (b) is proved.

We prove (c). Let g, g' be two elements of $\bar{\mathfrak{c}}U_P \cap \mathfrak{c}$. Then $g' = x^{-1}gx$ for some $x \in G^0$. Since $g' \in \bar{\mathfrak{c}}U_P$, it follows that $(g, xP) \in \psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{c})$. By (b), (g, xP) must be in the same G^0 -orbit as $(g, P) \in \psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{c})$. Hence there exists $y \in G^0$ such that $y^{-1}gy = g, yP = xP$. Then $y = xz, z \in P$ and $g = z^{-1}x^{-1}gxz = z^{-1}g'z$. Thus g, g' are conjugate under $z \in P$ and (c) is proved.

We prove (d). Let $g \in \bar{\mathfrak{c}}U_P \cap \mathfrak{c}, g' \in \mathfrak{c}U_P \cap \mathfrak{c}$. By (c), g is P -conjugate to g' . Since $\mathfrak{c}U_P \cap \mathfrak{c}$ is stable under P -conjugacy, it follows that $g \in \mathfrak{c}U_P \cap \mathfrak{c}$. Thus, $\bar{\mathfrak{c}}U_P \cap \mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{c}U_P \cap \mathfrak{c}$. The reverse inclusion is obvious and (d) is proved.

We prove (e). Since $\dim \psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{c}) = \dim \mathfrak{c}$, the isotropy group of g in G^0 has the same dimension as the isotropy group of (g, P) in G^0 . Thus $Z_{G^0}(g)$ has the same dimension as its subgroup $Z_P(g)$. It follows that $Z_G(g)^0 = Z_P(g)^0$. Hence γ is injective. We show that γ' is surjective. By (a), $gU_P \cap \mathfrak{c}$ is open in gU_P . Being non-empty, it is dense in gU_P . Hence $gU_P \cap \mathfrak{c}$ is irreducible. From (c) we see that $Z_L(\bar{g})U_P$ acts transitively by conjugation on $gU_P \cap \mathfrak{c}$. Since $gU_P \cap \mathfrak{c}$ is irreducible it follows that $Z_L(\bar{g})^0U_P$ must also act transitively on $gU_P \cap \mathfrak{c}$. Hence for any element $z \in Z_L(\bar{g})$ there exist $z_1 \in Z_L(\bar{g}), v \in U_P$ such that $zgz^{-1} = z_1vgv^{-1}z_1^{-1}$ so that $v^{-1}z_1^{-1}z \in Z_P(g)$. Under γ' , the coset of $v^{-1}z_1^{-1}z$ is mapped to the coset of $z^{-1}z$ which is the same as the coset of z . Thus, γ' is surjective. The lemma is proved.

11. THE STRUCTURE OF THE ALGEBRA \mathbf{E}

11.1. In this section we generalize results in [L2, §9] to the disconnected case.

Let D be a connected component of G . Let $(L, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{f}) \in \mathcal{M}_D$. Let δ be the connected component of $N_G L$ that contains \mathfrak{c} . Let $S = {}^\delta Z_L^0 \mathfrak{c}$. Define $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{S}(S)$ by $\mathcal{E} = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \boxtimes \mathfrak{f}$. Let \bar{Y} be the closure of $Y_{L,S}$ in D . Let $\hat{\mathfrak{K}} = IC(\bar{Y}, \pi_1 \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{Y})$ be as in 5.6. Let \mathcal{W}_S be as in 3.13. Define \mathbf{E} in terms of L, S, \mathcal{E}, G as in 7.10. Let \mathfrak{c} be the unipotent G^0 -conjugacy class in D which is open dense in \bar{Y}^ω (see Lemma 10.3). Let $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}$ be the (unipotent) G^0 -conjugacy class in D such that $\mathfrak{c} \subset \hat{\mathfrak{c}}$.

Some parts of this section (with text marked as $\spadesuit \dots \spadesuit$) involve the choice of a parabolic P of G^0 such that $(P, L, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{f}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_D$.

\spadesuit Let $\phi : X \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ be as in 3.14. Let $X_S, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ be as in 5.6. Let $K \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ be as in 5.7. Recall that $\hat{\mathfrak{K}} = \phi_! K$ canonically. \spadesuit

Lemma 11.2. (a) We have $\mathcal{H}^{2d} \hat{\mathfrak{K}}|_{\mathfrak{c}} \neq 0$ where $d = \nu - \nu_L - \frac{1}{2}(\dim \hat{\mathfrak{c}} - \dim \mathfrak{c})$.

(b) We have $\mathcal{H}^0 \hat{\mathfrak{K}}|_{\mathfrak{c}} \neq 0$ and $0 = \nu - \nu_L - \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{c} - \dim \mathfrak{c})$.

(c) If $L \neq G^0$, then $\mathfrak{c} \neq \hat{\mathfrak{c}}$.

(d) If $L \neq G^0$, then $\dim \mathbf{E} \geq 2$.

We prove (a). Let $e > 0$ be the rank of \mathcal{E} .

\spadesuit Using the equivalence of (ii),(iii) in Lemma 8.3 we see that it is enough to show that $H_c^{2d}(\phi^{-1}(g) \cap X_S, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \neq 0$ where $g \in \mathfrak{c}$. Note that $\dim(\phi^{-1}(g) \cap X_S) \leq d$ by 4.2(b). The irreducible variety $V = Z_G^0(g)/(Z_G(g)^0 \cap P)$ is contained in $\phi^{-1}(g) \cap X_S$ by $i : x \mapsto (g, xP)$ and has dimension d (see Lemma 10.1(b)). Hence it is enough to show that $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}|_V \cong \mathbf{Q}_l^e$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_G^0(g) & \xrightarrow{\hat{i}} & \hat{X}_S \xrightarrow{f} \mathfrak{c} \\ j \downarrow & & a' \downarrow \\ V & \xrightarrow{i} & X_S \end{array}$$

where $\hat{X}_S = \{(g', x) \in G \times G^0; x^{-1}g'x \in SU_P\}$, $\hat{i}(x) = (g, x)$, $j(x) = x(Z_G^0(g) \cap P)$, $a'(g', x) = (g', xP)$, $f(g', x) = \mathfrak{c}$ -component of $x^{-1}g'x \in {}^\delta Z_L^0 \mathfrak{c} U_P$. By definition we have $a'^* \tilde{\mathcal{E}} = f^* \mathfrak{f}$. Since $\hat{f} \hat{i}$ maps $Z_G^0(g)$ to a point, the local system $\hat{i}^* f^* \mathcal{E} = j^* i^* \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ on $Z_G^0(g)$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{Q}_l^e . Since j is a principal bundle with connected group $Z_G^0(g) \cap P$ (see Lemma 10.1(c)) it follows that $i^* \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \cong \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^e$. This proves (a).

We prove (b). Let $g \in \mathfrak{c} \cap \mathfrak{c} U_P$. To prove the first assertion of (b) it is enough to show that $H_c^0(\phi^{-1}(g) \cap X_S, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \neq 0$. We have $(g, P) \in \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{c})$. Since $g \in \mathfrak{c} U_P$, we have $(g, P) \in X_S$. Since G^0 acts transitively on $\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{c})$ (see Lemma 10.3) we see that the G^0 -orbit of (g, P) , that is, $\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{c})$ is contained in X_S . In particular, $\phi^{-1}(g) \subset X_S$. To show that $H_c^0(\phi^{-1}(g) \cap X_S, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \neq 0$ it is enough to show that $\phi^{-1}(g)$ is a finite set. Since G^0 acts transitively on $\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{c})$, we have a bijection $\phi^{-1}(g) \cong Z_{G^0}(g)/Z_P(g)$. By the proof of Lemma 10.3(e), the groups $Z_{G^0}(g), Z_P(g)$ have the same identity component. Hence $Z_{G^0}(g)/Z_P(g)$ is finite. This proves the first assertion of (b).

From Lemma 10.3 we see that $\dim \mathfrak{c} = \dim \bar{Y}^\omega$. By 8.1(a) we have $\dim \bar{Y}^\omega = 2\nu - 2\nu_L + \dim \mathfrak{c}$. Hence the equality in (b) holds.

We prove (c). By Lemma 10.1(e) we have $\nu - \nu_L - \frac{1}{2}(\dim \hat{\mathfrak{c}} - \dim \mathfrak{c}) > 0$. Combining this with the equality in (b) we see that $\dim \hat{\mathfrak{c}} < \dim \mathfrak{c}$. Hence $\mathfrak{c} \neq \hat{\mathfrak{c}}$.

We prove (d). Using (a),(b) and Lemma 8.3 we see that there exist irreducible G^0 -equivariant local systems \mathcal{F}^1 on $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}$ and \mathcal{F}^2 on \mathfrak{c} and $\rho^1, \rho^2 \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}$ such that $(\hat{\mathfrak{c}}, \mathcal{F}^1) = \gamma(\rho^1)$, $(\mathfrak{c}, \mathcal{F}^2) = \gamma(\rho^2)$ (with γ as in Proposition 8.2). Since $\hat{\mathfrak{c}} \neq \mathfrak{c}$ (see

(c) we have $\gamma(\rho^1) \neq \gamma(\rho^2)$. Since γ is injective, we have $\rho^1 \neq \rho^2$. Thus $\text{Irr } \mathbf{E}$ has at least two elements. Hence $\dim \mathbf{E} \geq 2$. ♠

Lemma 11.3. *The conjugation action of \mathcal{W}_S on ${}^\delta \mathcal{Z}_L^0 / {}^D \mathcal{Z}_G^0$ is faithful.*

Let $n \in G^0$ be such that $nLn^{-1} = L, nSn^{-1} = S$ and $nxn^{-1} \in {}^D \mathcal{Z}_G^0 x$ for any $x \in {}^\delta \mathcal{Z}_L^0$. We must show that $n \in L$. Let $\mathcal{L} = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}^*, {}^\delta \mathcal{Z}_L^0)$, a free abelian group of finite rank and let $\bar{n} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be the endomorphism induced by $\text{Ad}(n) : {}^\delta \mathcal{Z}_L^0 \rightarrow {}^\delta \mathcal{Z}_L^0$. This endomorphism has finite order since \mathcal{W}_S is a finite group. By our assumption, the endomorphism $\tau : {}^\delta \mathcal{Z}_L^0 \rightarrow {}^\delta \mathcal{Z}_L^0, x \mapsto nxn^{-1}x^{-1}$ satisfies $\tau^2(x) = 1$ for all x . Hence $(\bar{n} - 1)^2 = 0$. Since \bar{n} has finite order it follows that $\bar{n} = 1$ hence $\tau(x) = x$, that is, $nxn^{-1} = x$ for all $x \in {}^\delta \mathcal{Z}_L^0$. We see that $n \in Z_{G^0}({}^\delta \mathcal{Z}_L^0) = L$ (see 1.10(a)). The lemma is proved.

11.4. Pick $u \in \delta$ such that u is unipotent, quasi-semisimple in $N_G L$. Pick a Borel B_1 of L and a maximal torus T of B_1 such that $uB_1u^{-1} = B_1, uTu^{-1} = T$.

♠ Let $B = B_1U_P$, a Borel of G^0 contained in P . According to 1.8, $\text{Ad}(u)$ preserves some épinglage of G^0 attached to (B, T) . ♠

Using 1.7(b), we see that $\mathcal{Z}_{Z_L(u)^0}^0 = {}^\delta \mathcal{Z}_L^0, \mathcal{Z}_{Z_G(u)^0}^0 = {}^D \mathcal{Z}_G^0$ hence

$$(a) \quad \mathcal{Z}_{Z_L(u)^0}^0 / \mathcal{Z}_{Z_G(u)^0}^0 = {}^\delta \mathcal{Z}_L^0 / {}^D \mathcal{Z}_G^0.$$

We will use the terminology “ D -parabolic” instead of ”parabolic normalized by some element of D ”.

Lemma 11.5. ♠ *Assume that $L \neq G^0$ and that there is no D -parabolic P' of G^0 such that $P \subset P', P' \neq G^0, P' \neq P$.*

- (a) *We have $\mathcal{W}_S = \mathcal{W}_\mathcal{E}$ and $|\mathcal{W}_S| = \dim \mathbf{E} = 2$.*
- (b) *Let Q be a parabolic of G^0 with Levi L such that Q is normalized by some (or equivalently, any) $g \in \delta$. Then either $Q = P$ or $Q = \tilde{Q}$, the unique parabolic of G^0 with Levi L , opposed to P .*
- (c) *Let $Q' = nPn^{-1}$ where $n \in N_{G^0}L$ represents the non-trivial element of \mathcal{W}_S . Then $Q' = \tilde{Q}$.*

We prove (a). Using 7.10 and Lemma 11.2(d) we have $2 \leq \dim \mathbf{E} = |\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{E}| \leq |\mathcal{W}_S|$. It is enough to show that $|\mathcal{W}_S| \leq 2$. Let u be as in 11.4. Then $Z_P(u)^0$ is a maximal parabolic of $Z_G(u)^0$. (From 1.7(c) we see that $Z_P(u)^0$ is a proper parabolic of $Z_G(u)^0$ with Levi $Z_L(u)^0$. If it is not maximal, then from 1.7(c) we see that there exists a parabolic P' of G^0 such that $P' \neq G^0, P \subset P', P' \neq P$ and $uP'u^{-1} = P'$, contradicting our assumption.) It follows that $\mathcal{Z}_{Z_L(u)^0}^0 / \mathcal{Z}_{Z_G(u)^0}^0$ is 1-dimensional. Using this and 11.4(a) we obtain

$$(d) \quad \dim({}^\delta \mathcal{Z}_L^0 / {}^D \mathcal{Z}_G^0) = 1.$$

Since the automorphism group of a 1-dimensional torus has order 2 and \mathcal{W}_S acts faithfully on the torus in (c), by Lemma 11.3, it follows that $|\mathcal{W}_S| \leq 2$. This proves (a).

We prove (b). We have $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathcal{X}} \mathfrak{g}_\mu$ where $\mathcal{X} = \text{Hom}({}^\delta \mathcal{Z}_L^0 / {}^D \mathcal{Z}_G^0, \mathbf{k}^*)$ and \mathfrak{g}_μ denotes a weight space of the adjoint action of ${}^\delta \mathcal{Z}_L^0 / {}^D \mathcal{Z}_G^0$. If μ is the trivial character, we have $\mathfrak{g}_\mu = \text{Lie } L$ (see 1.10(a)). We show that

- (e) *there exists $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}^*, G^0)$ such that $\chi(\mathbf{k}^*) \subset {}^\delta \mathcal{Z}_L^0$ and $P_\chi = Q$ (see 1.16).*

(A variant of 1.17(a).) First we can find $\chi' \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}^*, G^0)$ such that $\chi'(\mathbf{k}^*) \subset \mathcal{Z}_L^0$ and $P_{\chi'} = Q$. We can find $n \geq 1$ such that g^n is in the identity component of $N_G Q \cap N_G L$, that is, $g^n \in L$. Define $f : \mathcal{Z}_L^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_L^0$ by $f(z) = gzg^{-1}$. We have $f^n = 1$. Define $\chi_j : \mathbf{k}^* \rightarrow G^0$ by $\chi_j(a) = f^j(\chi(a))$ for $j \in [0, n-1]$. Define $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}^*, G^0)$ by $\chi(a) = \chi_0(a)\chi_1(a)\dots\chi_{n-1}(a)$. Then $f(\chi(a)) = \chi(a)$ hence $\chi(a) \in Z_G(g)$ for all $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$. We see that $\chi(\mathbf{k}^*) \subset {}^\delta \mathcal{Z}_L^0$. Since $\chi_j(a) = g^j \chi'(a) g^{-j}$, we have $P_{\chi_j} = g^j P_{\chi'} g^{-j} = g^j Q g^{-j} = Q$. Hence the \mathbf{k}^* -action $a \mapsto \text{Ad}(\chi_j(a))$ has ≥ 0 weights on $\text{Lie } Q$ and < 0 weights on $\mathfrak{g}/\text{Lie } Q$. Since these actions (for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$) commute with each other, it follows that the \mathbf{k}^* -action $a \mapsto \text{Ad}(\chi(a)) = \text{Ad}(\chi_0(a))\text{Ad}(\chi_1(a))\dots\text{Ad}(\chi_{n-1}(a))$ has ≥ 0 weights on $\text{Lie } Q$ and < 0 weights on $\mathfrak{g}/\text{Lie } Q$. Hence $P_\chi = Q$ and (e) is proved.

Let χ be as in (e). Then χ induces a homomorphism of 1-dimensional tori $\mathbf{k}^* \rightarrow {}^\delta \mathcal{Z}_L^0 / {}^D \mathcal{Z}_G^0$ which must be either constant or surjective; it is not constant since $\chi(\mathbf{k}^*)$ acts non-trivially on \mathfrak{g} , hence it is surjective. It follows that any weight space of $\text{Ad}(\chi(\mathbf{k}^*))$ on \mathfrak{g} coincides with one of the weight spaces \mathfrak{g}_μ . In particular, $\text{Lie } Q = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathcal{X}'} \mathfrak{g}_\mu$ where \mathcal{X}' is the subset of \mathcal{X} which under an isomorphism $\mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}'$ corresponds to $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ or to $\{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$. We see that there are only two possibilities for Q . This proves (b).

Applying (b) to $Q = Q'$, we see that Q' must be either P or \tilde{Q} . Since $Q' \neq P$ we must have $Q' = \tilde{Q}$. The lemma is proved. ♠

11.6. ♠ The D -parabolics of G^0 that contain strictly P and are minimal with this property, form a finite set $\{P_r; r \in \mathcal{I}\}$. For each $r \in \mathcal{I}$ let L_r be the unique Levi of P_r such that $L \subset L_r$; let \mathbf{c}^r be the unipotent L_r -conjugacy class in $N_G L_r$ such that $\mathbf{c}^r \cap \mathfrak{c}U_{P \cap L_r}$ is open dense in $\mathfrak{c}U_{P \cap L_r}$ (see Lemma 10.3); let $\hat{\mathbf{c}}^r$ be the (unipotent) L_r -conjugacy class in $N_G L_r$ such that $\mathfrak{c} \subset \hat{\mathbf{c}}^r$. By Lemma 11.5(a) applied to $N_G L_r$ instead of G , we see that $\{n \in N_{L_r} L; n S n^{-1} = S\}/L$ has order 2; let s_r be the unique non-trivial element of this group. ♠

Proposition 11.7. *Let $N_{G^0} \delta = \{x \in G^0; x \delta x^{-1} = \delta\}$, a subgroup of $N_{G^0} L$.*

- (a) *The inclusions $\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{W}_S \subset N_{G^0} \delta / L$ are equalities.*
- (b) *♠ $N_{G^0} \delta / L$ is a Coxeter group with simple reflections $\{s_r; r \in \mathcal{I}\}$. ♠*
- (c) *The set of parabolics of G^0 which have Levi L and are normalized by δ is a single $N_{G^0} \delta$ -orbit.*

♠ Since $s_r \in \mathcal{W}_\mathcal{E}$ (by Lemma 11.5 for $N_G L_r$ instead of G), the subgroup of $N_{G^0} \delta / L$ generated by $\{s_r; r \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is contained in $\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{E}$. Thus, (a) is a consequence of (b). We prove (b).

Let u, B_1, B, T be as in 11.4. Let $\{P^i; i \in I\}$ be the parabolic subgroups of G^0 that contain B and are minimal with this property. Let $W = N_{G^0} T / T$. For $i \in I$ let s^i be the unique non-trivial element in the image of the inclusion $N_{P^i} T / T \rightarrow W$. Then W is a Coxeter group with simple reflections $\{s^i; i \in I\}$. Let $F : W \rightarrow W$ be the automorphism induced by $\text{Ad}(u) : N_{G^0} T \rightarrow N_{G^0} T$. There is a unique bijection $F : I \rightarrow I$ such that $F(s^i) = s^{F(i)}$ for $i \in I$ or equivalently, $u P^i u^{-1} = P^{F(i)}$. For any subset J of I let P^J be the subgroup of G^0 generated by $\{P^i; i \in J\}$ and let W_J be the subgroup of W generated by $\{s^i; i \in J\}$. Let w_J be the longest element of W_J . The condition that P^J is a D -parabolic is equivalent to the condition that $F(J) = J$. Define $H \subset I$ by $P = P^H$; we have $F(H) = H$. We may identify \mathcal{I} with the set of F -orbits on $I - H$ so that $P_r = P^{H \cup r}$ for any $r \in \mathcal{I}$. We may identify canonically $N_{G^0} L / L$ with $N_W(W_H) / W_H$ and $N_{G^0} \delta / L$ with the fixed point

set $(N_W(W_H)/W_H)^F$ of $F : N_W(W_H)/W_H \rightarrow N_W(W_H)/W_H$. Now s_r is a non-trivial element in the fixed point set of F on $N_{W_{H \cup r}}(W_H)/W_H$. Applying Lemma 11.5(b) to $N_G L_r$ instead of G we see that s_r is the W_H -coset of $w_{H \cup r}$ (which must in our case normalize W_H). We can now apply [L6, 5.9(i)]; we see that (b) holds. (Note that [L6, 5.9] has an additional assumption, namely that for any F -stable subset J of I that contains H , the longest element of W_J normalizes W_H . However in the proof of [L6, 5.9(i)] that assumption is only used for $J = H \cup r$, r as above.)

We prove (c). If B_1, B_2 are Borels in G^0 , let $\text{pos}(B_1, B_2)$ be the unique element of W such that, for some $g \in G^0$, we have $gB_1g^{-1} = B, gB_2g^{-1} = nBn^{-1}$ where $n \in N_{G^0}T$ is a representative of $\text{pos}(B_1, B_2)$. If Q, Q' are parabolics in G^0 , let $\text{pos}(P_1, P_2)$ be the unique element of W which has minimal length among the elements $\text{pos}(B_1, B_2)$ where B_1 is a Borel of Q and B_2 is a Borel of Q' . For any $J \subset I$ let \mathcal{P}_J be the set of parabolics in G^0 that are G^0 -conjugate to P^J . For a subset $J \subset I, F(J) = J$ and an F -orbit a in $I - J$ we set $v(J, a) = w_{J \cup a} w_J \in W^F$.

Let P' be parabolic of G^0 with Levi L such that P' is normalized by δ . Define $H' \subset I$ by $P' \in \mathcal{P}_{H'}$. We have $FH' = H'$. Let $B' = B_1 U_{P'}$, a Borel of P' . Clearly, we have $uBu^{-1} = B, uB'u^{-1} = B'$. Let $w = \text{pos}(B, B')$. We have $F(w) = w$. Since P, P' have a common Levi, we have $w\{s^i; i \in H'\}w^{-1} = \{s^i; i \in H\}$.

Applying [H, Lemma 5] to the Weyl group W^F we see that there exist subsets $K_j \subset I, j \in [1, m + 1]$ and F -orbits a_j in $I - K_j, j \in [1, m]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} F(K_j) &= K_j, K_1 = H', \\ v_{K_j, a_j} \{s^i; i \in K_j\} v_{K_j, a_j}^{-1} &= \{s^i; i \in K_{j+1}\}, \\ w &= v_{K_m, a_m} \dots v_{K_2, a_2} v_{K_1, a_1}, \\ l(w) &= \sum_{j=1}^m l(v_{K_j, a_j}), \end{aligned}$$

where $l : W \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ is the standard length function. It follows that $w\{s^i; i \in K_1\}w^{-1} = \{s^i; i \in K_{m+1}\}$. Hence $K_{m+1} = H$. There is a unique sequence of Borels B_{n+1}, B_n, \dots, B_1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{n+1} &= B, B_1 = B', \\ \text{pos}(B_{j+1}, B_j) &= v_{K_j, a_j} \text{ for } j \in [1, m]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $T \subset B, T \subset B'$ we have automatically $T \subset B_j$ for $j \in [1, m + 1]$. Define a sequence of parabolics P_{n+1}, P_n, \dots, P_1 of G^0 by

$$\begin{aligned} B_j &\subset P_j, \\ P_j &\in \mathcal{P}_{K_j} \text{ for } j \in [1, m + 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Then $P = P_{n+1}, P_1 = P'$. Since v_{K_j, a_j} has minimal length in its $W_{K_{j+1}}, W_{K_j}$ double coset we have $\text{pos}(P_{j+1}, P_j) = v_{K_j, a_j}$. Since $v_{K_j, a_j} \{s^i; i \in K_j\} v_{K_j, a_j}^{-1} = \{s^i; i \in K_{j+1}\}$, we see that P_{j+1}, P_j have a common Levi. Since $T \subset P_{j+1} \cap P_j$, the unique Levi of P_j that contains T is the same as the unique Levi of P_{j+1} that contains T . Hence the unique Levi of P_j that contains T is independent of $j, j \in [1, m + 1]$ hence it is equal to L . Thus L is a Levi of P_j for any j . Since $uBu^{-1} = B, uB'u^{-1} = B'$ and $v_{K_j, a_j} \in W^F$ for all j we see that $uB_{n+1}u^{-1}, uB_nu^{-1}, \dots, uB_1u^{-1}$ satisfies the defining property of B_{n+1}, B_n, \dots, B_1 hence $uB_ju^{-1} = B_j$ for all j . Using this and the equalities $F(K_j) = K_j$, we deduce that $uP_ju^{-1} = P_j$ for all j . For $j \in [1, m]$ there is a unique $Q_{j, j+1} \in \mathcal{P}_{K_j \cup a_j}$ such that $Q_{j, j+1}$ contains both P_j, P_{j+1} . Then $uQ_{j, j+1}u^{-1} = Q_{j, j+1}$. Let $L_{j, j+1}$ be the unique Levi of $Q_{j, j+1}$ that contains L . Then $uL_{j, j+1}u^{-1} = L_{j, j+1}$. Thus, $u \in N_G L_{j, j+1} \cap N_G Q_{j, j+1}$ and $\delta \subset N_G L_{j, j+1} \cap N_G Q_{j, j+1}$. Now $R = P_j \cap L_{j, j+1}, R' = P_{j+1} \cap L_{j, j+1}$ are parabolics of $L_{j, j+1}$ with Levi L . We have $uRu^{-1} = R, uR'u^{-1} = R'$. By Lemma 11.5 applied to $N_G L_{j, j+1} \cap N_G Q_{j, j+1}$ instead of G we see that $R' = g_j R g_j^{-1}$ with $g_j \in N_{L_{j, j+1}} L, g_j \delta g_j^{-1} =$

δ . Hence $P_{j+1} = g_j P_j g_j^{-1}$. We have $g_j \in N_{G^0} \delta$. Let $g = g_n g_{n-1} \dots g_1$. Then $g P_1 g^{-1} = P_{n+1}$ that is, $g P' g^{-1} = P, g \in N_{G^0} \delta$. This proves (c). The proposition is proved. ♠

Remarks. From the proposition we see that the map $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_D \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_D, (P, L, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{f}) \mapsto (L, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{f})$ induces a bijection on the sets of G^0 -orbits.

♠ Moreover, from (c) we see that the set of simple reflections in (b) is independent of the choice of P , up to conjugacy in $N_{G^0} \delta / L$. ♠

Lemma 11.8. (a) *The local system $\mathcal{H}^0 \mathfrak{K}|_{\mathfrak{c}}$ is irreducible. Hence there is a unique $\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^0 \mathfrak{K}|_{\mathfrak{c}} = \mathcal{H}^0 \mathfrak{K}_\rho|_{\mathfrak{c}}$.*

(b) *We have $\dim \rho = 1$.*

♠ For $r \in \mathcal{I}$ let \mathbf{E}^r be the algebra defined like \mathbf{E} (for $N_G L_r$ instead of G). We have naturally $\mathbf{E}^r \subset \mathbf{E}$ and $\dim \mathbf{E}^r = 2$ (see Lemma 11.5(a)). We have $\mathbf{E}^r = \mathbf{E}_1 \oplus \mathbf{E}_{s_r}$ (notation of 7.10). Let D_r be the unique connected component of $N_G L_r$ that is contained in D . Using Lemma 11.2 for $N_G L_r$ instead of G we see that $\Phi_{D_r}^{-1}(L, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{f})$ consists of two elements; one is of the form $(\mathfrak{c}^r, ?)$, the other of the form $(\hat{\mathfrak{c}}^r, ?)$. They correspond (as in 8.9 for $N_G L_r$ instead of G) to $\rho_r, \hat{\rho}_r$ (respectively) in $\text{Irr } \mathbf{E}^r$. We have $\text{Irr } \mathbf{E}^r = \{\rho_r, \hat{\rho}_r\}$.

By Lemma 11.2(b), we have $\mathcal{H}^0 \mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{c}} \neq 0$. Hence we can find $\rho \in \text{Irr } \mathbf{E}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^0 \mathfrak{K}_\rho|_{\mathfrak{c}} \neq 0$.

If $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{E}^r}(\hat{\rho}_r, \rho) \neq 0$, then from the equivalence of (i),(iv) in Proposition 9.4 we see that $\mathcal{H}^{2n}({}_{R'} \phi_1'' \tilde{\mathcal{F}}') \neq 0$ hence $n \geq 0$ where $2n = 2\nu - 2\nu_{L_r} - \dim \mathfrak{c} + \dim \hat{\mathfrak{c}}^r$. However, from

$$0 = \nu - \nu_L - \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{c} - \dim \mathfrak{c}), \quad 0 = \nu_{L_r} - \nu_L - \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{c}^r - \dim \mathfrak{c})$$

(see Lemma 11.2(b) for G and $N_G L_r$) and $\dim \hat{\mathfrak{c}}^r < \dim \mathfrak{c}^r$ (as in the proof of Lemma 11.2(c)) we see that $n < 0$. This contradiction shows that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{E}^r}(\hat{\rho}_r, \rho) = 0$. It follows that $\rho|_{\mathbf{E}^r}$ is a direct sum of copies of ρ_r . Hence if b_r is a basis element of \mathbf{E}_{s_r} then b_r acts on ρ as a scalar times the identity. Since $\mathbf{E}_w \mathbf{E}_{w'} = \mathbf{E}_{ww'}$ for $w, w' \in \mathcal{W}_\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{W}_S$ and $\{s_r, r \in \mathcal{I}\}$ generates \mathcal{W}_S , we see that any element of \mathbf{E} acts on ρ as a scalar times the identity. Since ρ is irreducible, it must be one-dimensional and $\rho|_{\mathbf{E}^r} = \rho_r$ for any r . This last property determines uniquely ρ . The lemma is proved. ♠

Proposition 11.9. *There is a unique isomorphism of algebras $\mathbf{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{E}]$ which maps \mathbf{E}_w onto $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l w$ for any $w \in \mathcal{W}_\mathcal{E}$ and makes ρ in Lemma 11.8 correspond to the unit representation of $\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{E}$.*

In each \mathbf{E}_w we choose as basis element b_w the unique element which acts as the identity on the \mathbf{E} -module ρ . It is clear that $b_w b_{w'} = b_{ww'}$ and (b_w) provides the required isomorphism.

11.10. Using Proposition 11.9 we can reformulate the results in 8.9 as a bijection

$$(a) \quad \mathcal{N}_D \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigsqcup_{(L, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{f})} \text{Irr } N_{G^0} \delta / L$$

where $(L, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{f})$ runs over a set of representatives for the G^0 -orbits on \mathcal{M}_D and $\text{Irr } N_{G^0} \delta / L$ is the set of (isomorphism classes of) irreducible representations of the finite ♠Coxeter ♠ group $N_{G^0} \delta / L$. This is called the *generalized Springer correspondence*.

Let $u \in D$ be a unipotent quasi-semisimple element; let B be a Borel of G^0 and let T be a maximal torus of B such that $u \in N_G T \cap N_G B$. Let \mathfrak{c} be the T -conjugacy class of u and let $\mathfrak{f} = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. Let δ be the connected component of $N_G T$ that contains \mathfrak{c} . Restricting the bijection (a) to the summand corresponding to $(T, \mathfrak{c}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ we obtain a bijection between $\text{Irr } N_{G^0} \delta / T$ and a certain subset of \mathcal{N}_D ; this last bijection was established originally in [Spr] assuming that $G = G^0$ and that \mathbf{k} has sufficiently large characteristic, then in [L2] assuming only that $G = G^0$ and, for possibly disconnected G , in the preprint [So] which I received after submitting this paper for publication and which uses the intersection cohomology method of [L8].

In the special case where $G = G^0 = D$ the bijection (a) was established in [L2].

12. CLASSIFICATION OF OBJECTS IN \mathcal{N}_D^0

12.1. Let D be a connected component of G that contains some unipotent elements. We would like to classify the objects in \mathcal{N}_D^0 . We will make a number of reductions.

Replacing G by the subgroup generated by D (with the same identity component as G) we see that \mathcal{N}_D^0 does not change; hence we may assume that

- (a) G/G^0 is cyclic with generator defined by D .

Then G/G^0 is a unipotent group.

12.2. In the remainder of this section we assume that 12.1(a) holds. Let $\pi : G \rightarrow G_{ss} = G/\mathcal{Z}_{G^0}^0$ be the obvious map. Let $D' = \pi(D)$ (a connected component of G_{ss}). We have $D = \pi^{-1}(D')$. Let \mathfrak{c}' be a unipotent G_{ss}^0 -conjugacy class in D' . Let $\mathfrak{c} = \{g \in \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{c}'), g \text{ unipotent}\}$. We show that

- (a) \mathfrak{c} is a single unipotent G^0 -conjugacy class in D ;
- (b) for $g \in \mathfrak{c}$, the homomorphism $Z_{G^0}(g) \rightarrow Z_{G_{ss}^0}(\pi(g))$ induced by π is surjective and its kernel is connected; hence the resulting homomorphism

$$Z_{G^0}(g)/Z_{G^0}(g)^0 \rightarrow Z_{G_{ss}^0}(\pi(g))/Z_{G_{ss}^0}(\pi(g))^0$$

is an isomorphism.

If $g \in \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{c}')$, then $g_u \in \mathfrak{c}$. Thus \mathfrak{c} is non-empty. Let $g, g' \in \mathfrak{c}$. Since $\pi(g), \pi(g')$ are G_{ss}^0 -conjugate, we see that there exists $z \in \mathcal{Z}_{G^0}^0$ such that g', zg are G^0 -conjugate. Using 1.3(a) we can write $z = xtgx^{-1}g^{-1}$ with $t, x \in \mathcal{Z}_{G^0}^0, tg = gt$. Then $zg = xtgx^{-1}$ is G^0 -conjugate to tg hence g', tg are G^0 -conjugate. In particular, tg is unipotent. Since $tg = gt$ with t semisimple, g unipotent, we see that $t = 1$ hence g, g' are G^0 -conjugate. This proves (a).

We prove (b). Let $y \in G^0$ be such that $yg = zgy$ for some $z \in \mathcal{Z}_{G^0}^0$. Using again 1.3(a) we can write $z = xtgx^{-1}g^{-1}$ with $t, x \in \mathcal{Z}_{G^0}^0, tg = gt$. Then $yyy^{-1} = zg = xtgx^{-1}$ is unipotent hence tg is unipotent. As in the proof of (a) we deduce that $t = 1$. Hence $yyy^{-1} = xgx^{-1}$. Setting $y' = x^{-1}y$ we have $y' \in Z_{G^0}(g)$ and $y = xy' \in \mathcal{Z}_{G^0}^0 Z_{G^0}(g)$. This shows surjectivity. The kernel of $Z_{G^0}(g) \rightarrow Z_{G_{ss}^0}(\pi(g))$ is $\mathcal{Z}_{G^0}^0 \cap Z_G(g)$. This group is connected by [B, 9.6] applied to the unipotent automorphism $\text{Ad}(g)$ of the torus $\mathcal{Z}_{G^0}^0$. This proves (b).

From (a),(b), we see that we have a bijection $\mathcal{N}_{D'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{N}_D$ given by $(\mathfrak{c}', \mathcal{F}') \mapsto (\mathfrak{c}, \mathcal{F})$ where \mathfrak{c} is as above and \mathcal{F} is the inverse image of \mathcal{F}' under $\mathfrak{c} \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}'$ (restriction of π).

A standard argument (similar to one in the proof of Lemma 6.4) shows that this bijection restricts to a bijection $\mathcal{N}_{D'}^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{N}_D^0$.

12.3. Assume that G is such that G^0 is semisimple. We can find a reductive group \tilde{G} with \tilde{G}^0 semisimple, simply connected, and a surjective homomorphism of algebraic groups $\pi : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ such that $\text{Ker}\pi \subset \mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{G}^0}$. Then $\tilde{G}^0 = \pi^{-1}(G^0)$ and $\tilde{D} = \pi^{-1}(D)$ is a connected component of \tilde{G} . Let $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_D$. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{c}} = \{g \in \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{c}); g \text{ unipotent}\}$. We show that

(a) $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}$ is a single unipotent \tilde{G}^0 -conjugacy class in \tilde{D} .

(b) if $g \in \tilde{\mathbf{c}}$, then the obvious homomorphism $Z_{\tilde{G}^0}(g) \rightarrow Z_{G^0}(\pi(g))$ is surjective.

If $g \in \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{c})$, then $g_u \in \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{c})$. Thus, $\tilde{\mathbf{c}} \neq \emptyset$. Let $g, g' \in \tilde{\mathbf{c}}$. We can find $x \in \tilde{G}^0$ such that $g' = xgx^{-1}z$ for some $z \in \text{Ker}\pi$. Since $\text{Ad}(g^{-1})$ is an automorphism of $\text{Ker}\pi$ of order relatively prime to $|\text{Ker}\pi|$, any element of $\text{Ker}\pi$ is of the form $\text{Ad}(g^{-1})(z_1)z_1^{-1}z_2$ where $z_1, z_2 \in \text{Ker}\pi$ and $\text{Ad}(g^{-1})z_2 = z_2$. In particular, $z = g^{-1}z_1gz_1^{-1}z_2$ with z_1, z_2 as above. Then $gz = z_1gz_1^{-1}z_2$. Since $gz = x^{-1}g'x$ is unipotent, we see that $z_1gz_1^{-1}z_2$ is unipotent. Now z_2 is semisimple and it commutes with $z_1gz_1^{-1}$ which is unipotent. By the uniqueness of Jordan decomposition we have $z_2 = 1$ and $gz = z_1gz_1^{-1} = x^{-1}g'x$. Since $xz_1 \in \tilde{G}^0$ we see that g, g' are \tilde{G}^0 -conjugate. This proves (a).

We prove (b). Let $x \in \tilde{G}^0$ be such that $xg = gxz$ for some $z \in \text{ker}\pi$. It is enough to show that xz_1 commutes with g for some $z_1 \in \text{ker}\pi$. We write $z = g^{-1}z_1gz_1^{-1}z_2$ as above. As in the proof of (a) (with $g' = g$) we see that $gz = z_1gz_1^{-1} = x^{-1}gx$. Hence xz_1 commutes with g , as required.

From (a),(b) it follows that any object $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_D$ gives rise to an object $(\tilde{\mathbf{c}}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \in \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{D}}$ where $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}$ is as above and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is the inverse image of \mathcal{F} under the map $\tilde{\mathbf{c}} \rightarrow \mathbf{c}$ induced by π . (The local system $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is irreducible by (b).) From (b) we see also that the resulting map $\mathcal{N}_D \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{D}}$ is injective.

We show that

(c) for $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_D$ we have $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_D^0$ if and only if $(\tilde{\mathbf{c}}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \in \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{D}}^0$.

Assume first that $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_D^0$. Let P' be a proper parabolic of \tilde{G}^0 and let $g \in \tilde{\mathbf{c}} \cap N_{\tilde{G}}P'$. Let \mathbf{d}' be the $P'/U_{P'}$ -conjugacy class of the image of g in $N_{\tilde{G}}P'/U_{P'}$. Then $P := \pi(P')$ is a proper parabolic of G^0 and $\pi(g) \in \mathbf{c} \cap N_G P$. Let \mathbf{d} be the P/U_P -conjugacy class of the image of $\pi(g)$ in $N_G P/U_P$. By assumption we have $H_c^{\dim \mathbf{c} - \dim \mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{c} \cap \pi(g)U_P, \mathcal{F}) = 0$. Now the morphism $\pi_0 : \tilde{\mathbf{c}} \cap gU_{P'} \rightarrow \mathbf{c} \cap \pi(g)U_P$ induced by π is an isomorphism. (We show only that it is a bijection. Let $u \in U_P$ be such that $\pi(g)u \in \mathbf{c}$. We can find a unique $u' \in U_{P'}$ such that $\pi(u') = u$. Then $gu' \in \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{c})$ and gu' is unipotent, since g normalizes P' , hence $gu' \in \tilde{\mathbf{c}}$. Since $\pi(gu') = \pi(g)u$ we see that π_0 is surjective. The injectivity follows from the fact that $gU_{P'} \rightarrow \pi(g)U_P$ is an isomorphism.) Also, $\dim \mathbf{c} = \dim \tilde{\mathbf{c}}$ and $\dim \mathbf{d}' = \dim \mathbf{d}$. It follows that $H_c^{\dim \tilde{\mathbf{c}} - \dim \mathbf{d}'}(\tilde{\mathbf{c}} \cap gU_{P'}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$ so that $(\tilde{\mathbf{c}}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \in \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{D}}^0$. The proof of the reverse implication follows essentially the same argument, in the reverse.

It is easy to see that the kernel of the obvious homomorphism

$$Z_{\tilde{G}^0}(g)/Z_{\tilde{G}^0}(g)^0 \rightarrow Z_{G^0}(\pi(g))/Z_{G^0}(\pi(g))^0$$

is a homomorphic image of $\text{ker}\pi$. It follows that the image of $\mathcal{N}_D \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{D}}$ consists of all pairs $(\mathbf{c}', \mathcal{F}') \in \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{D}}$ such that the natural action of $\text{ker}\pi$ on each fibre of \mathcal{F}' is trivial.

Thus the objects in \mathcal{N}_D^0 are in natural bijection with the objects in $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{D}}^0$ with trivial action of $\text{ker}\pi$.

12.4. Next we assume that G is such that G^0 is semisimple, simply connected. We can write uniquely G^0 as a product $G^0 = G_1 \times G_2 \times \dots \times G_k$ where each G_i is a closed connected normal subgroup of G different from $\{1\}$ and minimal with these properties. For $i \in [1, k]$, let $G'_i = G/(G_1 \times \dots \times G_{i-1} \times G_{i+1} \times \dots \times G_k)$. Then G'_i is a reductive group with $G'^0_i = G_i$ and the image of D in G'_i is a connected component D_i of G'_i . Also we have an obvious homomorphism $G \rightarrow G'_1 \times G'_2 \times \dots \times G'_k$ which is an imbedding of algebraic groups by which we identify G with a closed subgroup of $G'_1 \times G'_2 \times \dots \times G'_k$ with the same identity component; then D becomes $D_1 \times D_2 \times \dots \times D_k$. From the definitions it is clear that we have a natural bijection $\mathcal{N}_{D_1} \times \mathcal{N}_{D_2} \times \dots \times \mathcal{N}_{D_k} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{N}_D$:

$$((\mathbf{c}_1, \mathcal{F}_1), (\mathbf{c}_2, \mathcal{F}_2), \dots, (\mathbf{c}_k, \mathcal{F}_k)) \mapsto (\mathbf{c}_1 \times \mathbf{c}_2 \times \dots \times \mathbf{c}_k, \mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_k)$$

and this restricts to a bijection

$$\mathcal{N}_{D_1}^0 \times \mathcal{N}_{D_2}^0 \times \dots \times \mathcal{N}_{D_k}^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{N}_D^0.$$

12.5. Next we assume that G is such that G^0 is semisimple, simply connected, $\neq \{1\}$ and that G has no closed connected normal subgroups other than G^0 and $\{1\}$. (If $G^0 = \{1\}$, then $\mathcal{N}_D = \mathcal{N}_D^0$ consists of a single object $(D, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$.) We have $G^0 = H_0 \times H_1 \times \dots \times H_{m-1}$ where H_i are connected, simply connected, almost simple, closed subgroups of G^0 . Let $\gamma \in D$ be a unipotent quasi-semisimple element. We can assume that $H_i = \gamma^i H_0 \gamma^{-i}$ for $i \in [1, m-1]$ and $\gamma^m H_0 \gamma^{-m} = H_0$. Let G' be the subgroup of G generated by H_0 and γ^m . Since γ has finite order, G' is closed, $G'^0 = H_0$ and $D' = \gamma^m H_0$ is a connected component of G' . Consider the diagram

$$D' \xleftarrow{a} G^0 \times D' \xrightarrow{b} D$$

where $a(g, \gamma^m h) = \gamma^m h, b(g, \gamma^m h) = g\gamma h g^{-1}$ (with $h \in H_0$). Now $G^0 \times H_0$ acts on $G^0 \times D'$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (y, u_0) &: (h_0 h_1 \dots h_{m-1}, \gamma^m h) \\ &\mapsto (y h_0 u_0^{-1} h_1 \gamma^{-m+1} u_0^{-1} \gamma^{m-1} h_2 \gamma^{-m+2} u_0^{-1} \gamma^{m-2} \dots \\ &\quad h_{m-1} \gamma^{-1} u_0^{-1} \gamma, u_0 \gamma^m h u_0^{-1}), (h_i \in H_i), \end{aligned}$$

on D' by $(y, u_0) : \gamma^m h \mapsto u_0 \gamma^m h u_0^{-1}$ and on D by $(y, u_0) : d \mapsto y d y^{-1}$; moreover, a and b are $G^0 \times H_0$ equivariant. Note also that a is a principal G^0 -bundle and b is a principal H_0 -bundle. Hence a, b induce bijections

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{set of } H_0\text{-conjugacy classes in } D' \xrightarrow{a^{-1}} \text{set of } G^0 \times H^0\text{-orbits in } G^0 \times D', \\ \text{(a)} \quad &\text{set of } G^0\text{-conjugacy classes in } D \xrightarrow{b^{-1}} \text{set of } G^0 \times H^0\text{-orbits in } G^0 \times D'. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $h \in H_0$, then a, b induce isomorphisms

$$\text{(b)} \quad Z_{H^0}(\gamma^m h) \xleftarrow{\sim} (\text{stabilizer of } (1, \gamma h) \text{ in } G^0 \times H_0) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_{G^0}(\gamma h).$$

We show that

$$\text{(c)} \quad \text{an } H_0\text{-conjugacy class in } D' \text{ is unipotent if and only if the } G^0\text{-conjugacy class in } D \text{ corresponding to it by (a) is unipotent.}$$

It is enough to show that for $h \in H_0$ we have γh unipotent if and only if $\gamma^m h$ is unipotent. This is trivial if $m = 1$. Assume now that $m \geq 2$. Then the characteristic of \mathbf{k} is > 1 and m is a power of it. It is enough to show that the

conditions $(\gamma h)^{m^k} = 1$ for some $k > 0$ and $(\gamma^m h)^{m^k} = 1$ for some $k > 0$ are equivalent. For $k > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma h)^{m^k} &= (\gamma h \gamma^{-1})(\gamma^2 h \gamma^{-2}) \dots (\gamma^{m^k} h \gamma^{-m^k}) \\ &= x_k(\gamma x_k \gamma^{-1})(\gamma^2 x_k \gamma^{-2}) \dots (\gamma^{m-1} x_k \gamma^{-m+1}) \end{aligned}$$

where $x_k = (\gamma h \gamma^{-1})(\gamma^{m+1} h \gamma^{-m-1}) \dots (\gamma^{m^k - m + 1} h \gamma^{-m^k + m - 1})$. Since

$$x_k \in H_1, \gamma x_k \gamma^{-1} \in H_2, \gamma^2 x_k \gamma^{-2} \in H_2, \dots, \gamma^{m-1} x_k \gamma^{-m+1} \in H_0,$$

the condition that $(\gamma h)^{m^k} = 1$ is equivalent to the condition that

$$x_k = 1, \gamma x_k \gamma^{-1} = 1, \dots, \gamma^{m-1} x_k \gamma^{-m+1} = 1,$$

that is to the condition that $x_k = 1$. On the other hand, we have $(\gamma^m h)^{m^k} = \gamma^{m-1} x_{k+1} \gamma^{-m+1}$ and this is 1 if and only if $x_{k+1} = 1$. This proves (c).

From (a),(b),(c) we see that there is a natural bijection $\mathcal{N}_D \leftrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{D'}$ in which $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_D$ corresponds to $(\mathbf{c}', \mathcal{F}') \in \mathcal{N}_{D'}$ when $b^{-1}(\mathbf{c}) = a^{-1}(\mathbf{c}')$ and the inverse image of \mathcal{F} under $b : b^{-1}(\mathbf{c}) \rightarrow \mathbf{c}$ coincides with the inverse image of \mathcal{F}' under $a : a^{-1}(\mathbf{c}') \rightarrow \mathbf{c}'$.

(d) *If \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}' are as above, then the principal H_0 -bundle $b : G^0 \times \mathbf{c}' \rightarrow \mathbf{c}$ restricts to an isomorphism $b' : H_1 H_2 \dots H_{m-1} \times \mathbf{c}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{c}$.*

We show only that b' is bijective. This follows from the fact that $H_1 H_2 \dots H_{m-1} \times D'$ meets each H_0 -orbit on $G^0 \times D'$ in exactly one point.

We show that

(e) *for $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}), (\mathbf{c}', \mathcal{F}')$ related as above, we have $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_D^0$ if and only if $(\mathbf{c}', \mathcal{F}') \in \mathcal{N}_{D'}^0$.*

Assume first that $(\mathbf{c}', \mathcal{F}') \in \mathcal{N}_{D'}^0$. Let P be a proper parabolic of G^0 and let $g \in \mathbf{c} \cap N_G P$. We must show that $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F})$ satisfies the criterion 8.7(ii) with respect to P, g . Replacing P, g by a G^0 -conjugate we may assume that $g = \gamma h$ where $h \in H_0, \gamma^m h \in \mathbf{c}'$. We have $P = P_0 P_1 \dots P_{m-1}$ where P_i is a parabolic of H_i for each i . Since P is normalized by g we see that

$$\gamma h P_0 h^{-1} \gamma^{-1} = P_1, \gamma P_1 \gamma^{-1} = P_2, \dots, \gamma P_{m-2} \gamma^{-1} = P_{m-1}, \gamma P_{m-1} \gamma^{-1} = P_0.$$

Thus,

$$P = P_0(\gamma^{-m+1} P_0 \gamma^{m-1}) \dots (\gamma^{-1} P_0 \gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^m h \in N_{G'} P_0.$$

particular, since $P \neq G^0$, we must have $P_0 \neq H_0$. Using (d) we can identify $\mathbf{c} \cap \gamma h U_P$ with

$$\{(x, \gamma^m h') \in H_1 H_2 \dots H_{m-1} \times \mathbf{c}'; x \gamma h' x^{-1} \in \gamma h U_P\}$$

hence with

$$\begin{aligned} \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1}, \gamma^m h') \in H_1 \times H_2 \times \dots \times H_{m-1} \times \mathbf{c}'; \\ x_1 x_2 \dots x_{m-1} \gamma h' x_1^{-1} x_2^{-1} \dots x_{m-1}^{-1} \in \gamma h U_P\} \end{aligned}$$

and also with

$$\begin{aligned} \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1}, \gamma^m h', u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{m-1}) \\ \in H_1 \times H_2 \times \dots \times H_{m-1} \times \mathbf{c}' \times U_{P_1} \times U_{P_2} \times \dots \times U_{P_{m-1}}; \\ x_1 x_2 \dots x_{m-1} \gamma h' x_1^{-1} x_2^{-1} \dots x_{m-1}^{-1} \in \gamma h U_{P_0} u_1 u_2 \dots u_{m-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The last condition can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{-1}x_1\gamma h' &\in hU_{P_0}, \gamma^{-1}x_2\gamma x_1^{-1} = u_1, \gamma^{-1}x_3\gamma x_2^{-1} \\ &= u_2, \dots, \gamma^{-1}x_{m-1}\gamma x_{m-2}^{-1} = u_{m-2}, x_{m-1}^{-1} = u_{m-1} \end{aligned}$$

or as

$$\begin{aligned} x_{m-1} &= u_{m-1}^{-1}, x_{m-2} = u_{m-2}^{-1}\gamma^{-1}u_{m-1}^{-1}\gamma, \dots, \\ x_1 &= u_1^{-1}\gamma^{-1}u_2^{-1}\gamma \dots \gamma^{-m+2}u_{m-1}^{-1}\gamma^{m-2}, \\ \text{(f)} \quad \gamma^{-1}u_1^{-1}\gamma\gamma^{-2}u_2^{-1}\gamma^2 \dots \gamma^{-m+1}u_{m-1}^{-1}\gamma^{m-1}h' &\in hU_{P_0}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{-1}u_1^{-1}\gamma &\in \gamma^{-m}U_{P_0}\gamma^m, \gamma^{-2}u_2\gamma^2 \\ &\in \gamma^{-m}U_{P_0}\gamma^m, \dots, \gamma^{-m+1}u_{m-1}^{-1}\gamma^{m-1} \in \gamma^{-m}U_{P_0}\gamma^m \end{aligned}$$

and $\gamma^{-m}U_{P_0}\gamma^m h = hU_{P_0}$ hence the last condition in (f) is equivalent to $h' \in hU_{P_0}$. Hence in our variety we can drop the variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m and we obtain

$$\{(\gamma^m h', u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{m-1}) \in \mathbf{c}' \times U_{P_1} \times U_{P_2} \times \dots \times U_{P_{m-1}}; h' \in hU_{P_0}\}.$$

Clearly the first projection makes this last variety an affine space bundle over $\mathbf{c}' \cap \gamma^m h' U_{P_0}$. We see that $\mathbf{c} \cap \gamma h' U_P$ is an affine space bundle over $\mathbf{c}' \cap \gamma^m h' U_{P_0}$ with fibres of dimension $(m-1) \dim U_{P_0}$.

Let \mathbf{d} be the P/U_P -conjugacy class of the image of γh in $N_G P/U_P$. Let \mathbf{d}' be the P_0/U_{P_0} -conjugacy class of the image of $\gamma^m h$ in $N_{G'} P_0/U_{P_0}$.

From (d) we see that $\dim \mathbf{c} = \dim \mathbf{c}' + (m-1) \dim H_0$. Similarly, $\dim \mathbf{d} = \dim \mathbf{d}' + (m-1) \dim(P_0/U_{P_0})$. Hence

$$\dim \mathbf{c} - \dim \mathbf{d} = \dim \mathbf{c}' - \dim \mathbf{d}' + 2(m-1) \dim U_{P_0}.$$

We now see that

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^{\dim \mathbf{c} - \dim \mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{c} \cap \gamma h U_P, \mathcal{F}) &= H_c^{\dim \mathbf{c}' - \dim \mathbf{d}' + 2(m-1) \dim U_{P_0}}(\mathbf{c} \cap \gamma h U_P, \mathcal{F}) \\ &= H_c^{\dim \mathbf{c}' - \dim \mathbf{d}'}(\mathbf{c}' \cap \gamma^m h U_{P_0}, \mathcal{F}'). \end{aligned}$$

But the last cohomology space is 0 since $(\mathbf{c}', \mathcal{F}') \in \mathcal{N}_{D'}^0$. Hence the first cohomology group is 0. Thus, $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_D^0$.

Conversely, assume that $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_D^0$. Let P_0 be a proper parabolic of H_0 and let $h \in H_0$ be such that $\gamma^m h \in \mathbf{c}' \cap N_{G'} P_0$. We have $\gamma h \in \mathbf{c}$. Now $P = P_0(\gamma^{-m+1} P_0 \gamma^{m-1}) \dots (\gamma^{-1} P_0 \gamma)$ is a proper parabolic of G^0 such that $\gamma h \in N_G P$; the earlier argument can be applied in the reverse and gives $H_c^{\dim \mathbf{c}' - \dim \mathbf{d}'}(\mathbf{c}' \cap \gamma^m h U_{P_0}, \mathcal{F}') = 0$ (\mathbf{d}' as above.) Thus, $(\mathbf{c}', \mathcal{F}') \in \mathcal{N}_{D'}^0$.

12.6. Next we assume that G is such that G^0 is semisimple, simply connected, almost simple. Let Δ be the set of unipotent elements in \mathcal{Z}_G . Let $G' = G/\Delta$ and let $\pi : G \rightarrow G'$ be the obvious homomorphism. Since $\Delta \cap G^0 = \{1\}$, the restriction of π to G^0 is injective; it is in fact an isomorphism $G^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} G'^0$. We show that

(a) $\mathcal{Z}_{G'} \subset G'^0$.

Assume that $a \in G$ is such that $aba^{-1}b^{-1} \in \Delta$ for all $b \in G$. It is enough to show that the image a' of a in G' is in G'^0 . For $b \in G^0$ we have $aba^{-1}b^{-1} \in \Delta \cap G^0 = \{1\}$ hence $a \in \mathcal{Z}_G(G^0)$. Let γ be a unipotent quasi-semisimple element in D . Then $a = \gamma^k a_0$ where $a_0 \in G^0, k \in \mathbf{N}$. It follows that $\text{Ad}(\gamma^k) : G^0 \rightarrow G^0$ is an inner automorphism. Since $\text{Ad}(\gamma^k) : G^0 \rightarrow G^0$ preserves an épingle of G^0 it follows

that $\gamma^k \in Z_G(G^0)$. Hence $a_0 \in Z_G(G^0)$ and $a_0 \in \mathcal{Z}_{G^0}$. Since γ^k commutes with all elements in G^0 and with γ , we have $\gamma^k \in \mathcal{Z}_G$. Since γ^k is unipotent, we see that $\gamma^k \in \Delta$. Thus, $a \in \Delta G^0$. Hence $a' \in G'^0$. This proves (a).

Let $D' = \pi(D)$, a connected component of G' . Then π restricts to an isomorphism $D \xrightarrow{\sim} D'$. Let \mathbf{c}' be a unipotent G'^0 -conjugacy class in D' . Let $\mathbf{c} = D \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{c}')$. Then \mathbf{c} is a single unipotent G^0 -conjugacy class in D . For $g \in \mathbf{c}$, the obvious homomorphism $Z_{G^0}(g) \rightarrow Z_{G'^0}(\pi(g))$ is an isomorphism. Hence there is a natural bijection $\mathcal{N}_{D'} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{N}_D$ in which $(\mathbf{c}', \mathcal{F}') \in \mathcal{N}_{D'}$ corresponds to $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_D$ when $\mathbf{c} = D \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{c}')$ and \mathcal{F} is the inverse image of \mathcal{F}' under $\pi : \mathbf{c} \rightarrow \mathbf{c}'$. It is clear that this bijection restricts to a bijection $\mathcal{N}_{D'}^0 \leftrightarrow \mathcal{N}_D^0$.

12.7. The results in 12.1–12.6 reduce the problem of classifying the objects in \mathcal{N}_D^0 to the special case where G is such that G^0 is semisimple, almost simple, that $\mathcal{Z}_G \subset G^0$ and that D is a generator of G/G^0 . In the remainder of this section we assume that we are in this special case. We shall also assume that $G \neq G^0$. (If $G = G^0$, the classification of objects in \mathcal{N}_D^0 is known from [L2].) Hence \mathbf{k} has characteristic $p > 1$. (We could also assume that G^0 is simply connected but we will not do so. In fact we show below that in this case the classification of \mathcal{N}_D^0 is independent of isogeny.) By an argument in the proof of 12.6 we see that any unipotent quasi-semisimple element $u \in G$ such that $\text{Ad}(u) : G^0 \rightarrow G^0$ is an inner automorphism must be in \mathcal{Z}_G hence is 1. Hence the cyclic group G/G^0 is isomorphic to a subgroup of the group of automorphisms of the Dynkin graph of G^0 . Hence it has order p . There are four possibilities:

- (i) G^0 is of type $A_{m-1}, m \geq 3, p = 2$;
- (ii) G^0 is of type $D_m, m \geq 4, p = 2$;
- (iii) G^0 is of type $D_4, p = 3$;
- (iv) G^0 of type $E_6, p = 2$.

In each case we have

- (a) ${}^D \mathcal{Z}_{G^0} = \{1\}$.

Let $G' = G/\mathcal{Z}_{G^0}$ and let $\pi : G \rightarrow G'$ be the obvious map. Let $D' = \pi(D)$, a connected component of G' . We show that

- (b) if \mathbf{c} is a unipotent G'^0 -conjugacy class in D' then $\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{c})$ is a unipotent G^0 -conjugacy class in D ;
- (c) if $x \in \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{c})$, then the obvious map $Z_{G^0}(x) \rightarrow Z_{G'^0}(\pi(x))$ is an isomorphism.

Let $x, y \in \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{c})$. There exists $g \in G^0$ such that $g x g^{-1} = y z$ where $z \in \mathcal{Z}_{G^0}$. To prove (b), it is enough to show that yz is G^0 -conjugate to y . Now $z' \mapsto y^{-1} z' y z'^{-1}$ is an endomorphism of the finite abelian group \mathcal{Z}_{G^0} with kernel ${}^D \mathcal{Z}_{G^0}$ which is $\{1\}$. Hence

- (d) this endomorphism is an isomorphism

and we can write $z = y^{-1} z' y z'^{-1}$ for some $z' \in \mathcal{Z}_{G^0}$. Then $yz = z' y z'^{-1}$ and (b) is proved.

The surjectivity (resp. injectivity) of the map in (c) follows from (d) (resp. (a)).

From (b),(c) we see that $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \mapsto (\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{c}), \pi^* \mathcal{F})$ is a bijection between $\mathcal{N}_{D'}$ (defined in terms of G') and \mathcal{N}_D (defined in terms of G). It is clear that this bijection restricts to a bijection between $\mathcal{N}_{D'}^0$ and \mathcal{N}_D^0 .

12.8. In this subsection we assume that \mathbf{k} is an algebraic closure of a finite field \mathbf{F}_q of characteristic p , that G has a fixed \mathbf{F}_q -structure with Frobenius map $F : G \rightarrow G$

and that $FD = D$. Let N' be the number of unipotent G^F -conjugacy classes in D^F . In case 12.7(i) and (ii), the author has shown (see [Sp, I, 4.5, 4.6]) that N' can be explicitly computed. More precisely, in case 12.7(i) we have

$$N' = p(m), \text{ the number of partitions of } m.$$

Using the classification of unipotent representations of a unitary group over a finite field in terms of cuspidal ones (as in the proof of [L7, 9.2]) we deduce

$$(a) \quad N' = \sum_{k \geq 0, s \geq 0; \frac{1}{2}(s^2+s)+2k=m} p_2(k)$$

where $p_2(k)$ is the number of irreducible representations of a Weyl group of type B_k (we set $p_0 = 1$).

In case 12.7(ii), taking $G = O_{2m}(\mathbf{k})$, we see that

- (b) *the number of unipotent G^F -conjugacy classes in G^F is equal to the number of irreducible representations of G^F whose restriction to $(G^0)^F$ is a sum of unipotent representations,*
- (c) *the number of unipotent $(G^0)^F$ -conjugacy classes in $(G^0)^F$ is equal to the number of unipotent representations of $(G^0)^F$.*

Using (b) for an F such that G^0 is split over \mathbf{F}_q we obtain

$$N' + M_1/2 + M_2 = R_1/2 + 2R_2.$$

where N' is the number of unipotent $O_{2m}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ -conjugacy classes in $O_{2m}(\mathbf{F}_q) - SO_{2m}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ (with $O_{2m}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ is split),

M_1 (resp. M_2) is the number of unipotent $SO_{2m}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ -conjugacy classes in the split group $SO_{2m}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ which are not fixed (resp. fixed) by conjugation with some/any $g \in O_{2m}(\mathbf{F}_q) - SO_{2m}(\mathbf{F}_q)$,

R_1 (resp. R_2) is the number of unipotent representations of the split group $SO_{2m}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ which do not extend (resp. do extend) to $O_{2m}(\mathbf{F}_q)$.

Using (c) for an F such that G^0 is split over \mathbf{F}_q we obtain

$$M_1 + M_2 = R_1 + R_2.$$

Using (b) for an F such that G^0 is non-split over \mathbf{F}_q we obtain

$$M = R$$

where M is the number of unipotent $SO_{2m}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ -conjugacy classes in the non-split group $SO_{2m}(\mathbf{F}_q)$,

R is the number of unipotent representations of the non-split group $SO_{2m}(\mathbf{F}_q)$.

Using $M_1 = R_1, M_2 = M$ we see that $N' = R$. Now R can be computed from the classification of unipotent representations of the non-split $SO_{2m}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ in terms of cuspidal ones, we deduce (as in (a)); this gives the following formula for N' :

$$(d) \quad N' = R = \sum_{k \geq 0, s \geq 0, s \text{ odd}; s^2+k=m} p_2(k).$$

A similar method can be used to compute N' in the cases 12.7(iii),(iv) with F such that G^0 is split over \mathbf{F}_q . Alternatively, these numbers can be obtained from [M2],[M2]; they are

- (e) $N' = 7$ if G is as in 12.7(iii) (with G^0 adjoint, split),
- (f) $N' = 28$ if G is as in 12.7(iv) (with G^0 adjoint, split).

Next we note that N' is equal to the number of unipotent $(G^0)^F$ -conjugacy classes in D^F , since any element $g \in D^F$ is centralized by some element in D^F (for example by g itself). It follows that $|\mathcal{N}_D| = N'$. Thus, the method above yields $|\mathcal{N}_D|$ (recall from 12.7 that $|\mathcal{N}_D|$ is the same for G as for G/\mathcal{Z}_{G^0}).

Theorem 12.9. (a) *In case 12.7(i), $|\mathcal{N}_D^0|$ is 1 if $m \in \{3, 6, 10, \dots\}$ and is 0 otherwise.*

(b) *In case 12.7(ii), $|\mathcal{N}_D^0|$ is 1 if $m \in \{3^2, 5^2, 7^2, \dots\}$ and is 0 otherwise.*

(c) *In cases 12.7(iii) and 12.7(iv), $|\mathcal{N}_D^0|$ is 1.*

Assume that we have a (possibly incomplete) list of triples $(L^i, \mathfrak{c}^i, f^i)_{i \in \mathcal{X}}$ in $G^0 \backslash \mathcal{M}_D$ with $L^i \neq L$. For each $i \in \mathcal{X}$, the fibre of the map $\Phi_D : \mathcal{N}_D \rightarrow G^0 \backslash \mathcal{M}_D$ (see 8.9) at $(L^i, \mathfrak{c}^i, f^i)$ is indexed by the irreducible representations of an explicit Coxeter group (see Proposition 11.9) hence its cardinal f_i is known. Then $|\mathcal{N}_D^0| \leq |\mathcal{N}_D| - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{X}} f_i$. Here the right-hand side is explicitly known since $|\mathcal{N}_D|$ is known from 12.8. If $|\mathcal{N}_D| - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{X}} f_i = 0$, then it follows that $|\mathcal{N}_D^0| = 0$. If $|\mathcal{N}_D| - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{X}} f_i = 1$, then it follows that our list is complete (any additional member of that list would contribute at least 2 to $|\mathcal{N}_D|$ since the corresponding Coxeter group (see 11.9) is non-trivial); it follows that in this case $|\mathcal{N}_D| = 1$. This method works in each case. We give in each case the list $(L^i, \mathfrak{c}^i, f^i)$.

In case 12.7(i) with $G^0 = PGL_m(\mathbf{k})$, we take L^i to be the image of $(\mathbf{k}^*)^{2k} \times GL_{(s^2+s)/2}$ under $GL_m(\mathbf{k}) \rightarrow PGL_m(\mathbf{k})$ (here $m = \frac{1}{2}(s^2 + s) + 2k, k > 0$) and (\mathfrak{c}^i, f^i) is uniquely determined by L^i (we use an inductive hypothesis for $L^i/\mathcal{Z}_{L^i}^0$). The required formula for $|\mathcal{N}_D^0|$ is equivalent to

$$(d) \quad |\mathcal{N}_D| = \sum_{k \geq 0, s \geq 0; \frac{1}{2}(s^2+s)+2k=m} p_2(k)$$

which is known from 12.8.

In case 12.7(ii) with $G^0 = SO_{2m}$, we take L^i to be $(\mathbf{k}^*)^{2k} \times SO_{2s^2}$ (here $m = s^2 + k, s$ odd, $k > 0$) and (\mathfrak{c}^i, f^i) is uniquely determined by L^i (we use an inductive hypothesis for $L^i/\mathcal{Z}_{L^i}^0$). The required formula for $|\mathcal{N}_D^0|$ is equivalent to

$$(e) \quad |\mathcal{N}_D| = \sum_{k \geq 0, s \geq 0, s \text{ odd}; s^2+k=m} p_2(k)$$

which is known from 12.8.

In case 12.7(iii), we take L^i to be a maximal torus of G^0 and (\mathfrak{c}^i, f^i) is uniquely determined by L^i . The required formula for $|\mathcal{N}_D^0|$ is equivalent to $|\mathcal{N}_D| = 1 + 6$ which is known from 12.8. Here 6 is the number of irreducible representations of a Weyl group of type G_2 .

In case 12.7(iv), we take L^i to be such that $L^i/\mathcal{Z}_{L^i}^0$ has type A_5 or L^i is a maximal torus of G^0 ; (\mathfrak{c}^i, f^i) is uniquely determined by L^i (we use (a) which is already proved). The required formula for $|\mathcal{N}_D^0|$ is equivalent to $|\mathcal{N}_D| = 1 + 2 + 25$ which is known from 12.8. Here 2 (resp. 25) is the number of irreducible representations of a Weyl group of type A_1 (resp. F_4). The theorem is proved.

13. SYMBOLS

13.1. Symbols are combinatorial objects used in [L7] to parametrize unipotent representations of classical groups over a finite field and in [L2] to describe the generalized Springer correspondence for classical groups in characteristic $\neq 2$. In

[LS2] it has been observed that symbols can also be used to describe the generalized Springer correspondence for (connected) classical groups in characteristic 2. Since the combinatorics of unipotent classes in disconnected classical groups in characteristic 2 is very similar to that in the connected case, it can be expected that in this case, again the generalized Springer correspondence can be described in terms of symbols.

13.2. Let $\rho, s \in \mathbf{N}, n \in \mathbf{Z}$. For any $d \in \mathbf{Z}$ let ${}^\rho\tilde{X}_{n,d}^s$ be the set of all ordered pairs $(A; B)$ of finite sequences of natural numbers $A : a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m$ and $B : b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{m'}$ (for some m, m') that are subject to the following conditions:

- $a_i - a_{i-1} \geq \rho$ for $1 < i \leq m$;
- $b_i - b_{i-1} \geq \rho$ for $1 < i \leq m'$;
- $b_i \geq s$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m'$;
- $m - m' = d$;
- $\sum a_i + \sum b_i = n + \rho(m + m')(m + m' - 2)/4 + s(m + m')/2$ if d is even;
- $\sum a_i + \sum b_i = n + \rho(m + m' - 1)^2/4 + s(m + m' - 1)/2$ if d is odd.

(In [LS2, §1] the notation $\tilde{X}_{n,d}^{r,s}$ is used for the present ${}^\rho\tilde{X}_{n,d}^s$ where $\rho = r + s$.)

Let ${}^\rho X_{n,d}^s$ be the set of equivalence classes on ${}^\rho\tilde{X}_{n,d}^s$ for the equivalence relation generated by

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{m'}) \sim (0, a_1 + \rho, a_2 + \rho, \dots, a_m + \rho; s, b_1 + \rho, b_2 + \rho, \dots, b_{m'} + \rho).$$

For example, ${}^0X_{n,d}^0$ is in obvious bijection with the set of pairs of partitions α, β with $\sum \alpha_i + \sum \beta_i = n$; hence we have a bijection

(a) ${}^0X_{n,d}^0 \leftrightarrow \text{Irr } W_n$

where W_n is a Coxeter group of type B_n . (By convention, $W_0 = \{1\}$ and $\text{Irr } W_n = \emptyset$ for $n < 0$.) Let

$$n_{\rho,s,d} = \rho d^2/4 - sd/2 \text{ for } d \text{ even,}$$

$$n_{\rho,s,d} = \rho(d-1)(d+1)/4 - s(d-1)/2 \text{ for } d \text{ odd.}$$

We have a bijection ${}^0X_{n-n_{\rho,s,d},d}^0 \rightarrow {}^\rho X_{n,d}^s$ given by

$$(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m; c'_1, c'_2, \dots, c'_{m'}) \mapsto (c_1, c_2 + \rho, \dots, c_m + (m-1)\rho; c'_1 + s, c'_2 + s + \rho, \dots, c'_{m'} + s + (m' - 1)\rho).$$

Combined with the bijection (a) we obtain a bijection

(b) ${}^\rho X_{n,d}^s \leftrightarrow \text{Irr } W_{n-n_{\rho,s,d}}$.

Now let E be $2\mathbf{Z}$ or $2\mathbf{Z} + 1$ (if $s > 0$) and let $E = 2\mathbf{N} + 1$ (if $s = 0$). Let ${}^\rho X_{n,E}^s = \bigsqcup_{d \in E} {}^\rho X_{n,d}^s$. From (b) we obtain a bijection

(c) ${}^\rho X_{n,E}^s \leftrightarrow \bigsqcup_{d \in E} \text{Irr } W_{n-n_{\rho,s,d}}$.

Assume that $\rho \geq 1$. If $(A; B) \in {}^\rho\tilde{X}_{n,E}^s$, then A, B may be considered as subsets of \mathbf{N} . Consider two elements of ${}^\rho X_{n,E}^s$; we can represent them in the form $(A; B), (A'; B')$ where $|A| + |B| = |A'| + |B'|$ (by our assumption on E). We say that these two elements are *similar* if $A \cup B = A' \cup B', A \cap B = A' \cap B'$. This defines an equivalence relation (similarity) on ${}^\rho X_{n,E}^s$.

Assume that $\rho > s$. Let $(A; B) \in {}^\rho\tilde{X}_{n,d}^s$. Let $S = (A \cup B) - (A \cap B)$. A non-empty subset I of S is said to be an interval if $I = \{c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_k\}$ with $c_2 - c_1 < \rho, c_3 - c_2 < \rho, \dots, c_k - c_{k-1} < \rho$ and I is maximal with this property. Clearly, the intervals form a partition of S . An interval is said to be proper if it does not contain any number in $[0, s - 1]$.

Let \mathcal{C} be a similarity class in ${}^\rho X_{n,E}^s$ where $\rho > s$. Let $V_{\mathcal{C}}'$ be the \mathbf{F}_2 -vector space with basis indexed by the proper intervals in $S = (A \cup B) - (A \cap B)$ where $(A; B)$ represents an element in \mathcal{C} . If $s > 0$ let $V_{\mathcal{C}} = V_{\mathcal{C}}'$; if $s = 0$ let $V_{\mathcal{C}}$ be the quotient of $V_{\mathcal{C}}'$ by the subspace spanned by the sum of all basis elements of $V_{\mathcal{C}}'$. Note that $V_{\mathcal{C}}$ is independent of the choice of $(A; B)$. As in [L2, 11.5], [LS2, 1.4] we see that there is a canonical bijection $\mathcal{C} \leftrightarrow V_{\mathcal{C}}$. (In particular, \mathcal{C} has a natural structure of \mathbf{F}_2 -vector space.) Putting together the bijections above we obtain a bijection

$$(d) \quad {}^\rho X_{n,E}^s \leftrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{C}} V_{\mathcal{C}}$$

where \mathcal{C} runs over the set of similarity classes in ${}^\rho X_{n,E}^s$.

Note that the canonical basis of $V_{\mathcal{C}}'$ is totally ordered by the requirement that the basis element corresponding to a proper interval I is $<$ than the basis element corresponding to a proper interval I' if any number in I is $<$ than any number in I' .

We describe the partition of ${}^\rho X_{n,E}^s$ in similarity classes in a number of cases. (In each case, each horizontal line contains the elements in a similarity class.)

- ${}^4 X_{1,2\mathbf{Z}+1}^1$:
 $(1; \emptyset), (\emptyset; 1)$
 $(0, 4; 2)$
- ${}^4 X_{2,2\mathbf{Z}}^1$:
 $(0; 3)$
 $(1; 2), (2; 1)$
 $(0, 4; 2, 6)$
 $(1, 5; 1, 5).$
- ${}^4 X_{2,2\mathbf{Z}+1}^1$:
 $(2; \emptyset), (\emptyset, 2),$
 $(0, 5; 2)$
 $(1, 5; 1), (1; 1, 5)$
 $(0, 4; 3)$
 $(0, 4, 8; 2, 6)$
- ${}^4 X_{3,2\mathbf{N}+1}^0$:
 $(3, \emptyset)$
 $(0, 6; 1), (1, 6; 0)$
 $(0, 5; 2)$
 $(1, 5; 1)$
 $(0, 4; 3)$
 $(0, 4, 9; 1, 5), (1, 5, 9; 0, 4)$
 $(0, 4, 8; 1, 6)$
 $(0, 4, 8, 12; 1, 5, 9).$

13.3. Let \mathcal{V}_{2n} be the set of all pairs (λ, \dagger) where λ is a sequence $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{2k+1}$ in \mathbf{N} with $\sum_i \lambda_i = 2n$ and \dagger is a partition of $[1, 2k + 1]$ into one and two

element subsets called blocks (where each two element block consists of consecutive integers) such that

- if $\{i\}$ is a block, then λ_i is even;
- if $\{i, i + 1\}$ is a block, then $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1}$;
- if $\{i\}$ and $\{j, j + 1\}$ are blocks, then $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$;

also, at most one of the λ_i is 0. (This last condition determines uniquely k .)

Given $(\lambda, \dagger) \in \mathcal{V}_{2n}$ as above we define a sequence $c_1 \leq c_2 \leq \dots \leq c_{2k+1}$ by

$c_i = \lambda_i/2 + 2(i - 1)$ if $\{i\}$ is a block;

$c_i = (\lambda_i + 1)/2 + 2(i - 1)$, $c_{i+1} = (\lambda_{i+1} - 1)/2 + 2i = c_i + 1$ if $\{i, i + 1\}$ is a block with $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1} = \text{odd}$;

$c_i = (\lambda_i + 2)/2 + 2(i - 1)$, $c_{i+1} = (\lambda_{i+1} - 2)/2 + 2i = c_i$ if $\{i, i + 1\}$ is a block with $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1} = \text{even}$.

Let $A_{\lambda, \dagger}$ be the \mathbf{F}_2 -vector space generated by the set $\{s_i\}$ where $i \in [1, 2k + 1]$ is such that either $\{i\}$ is a block or λ_i is odd; the relations are:

- $s_i = s_j$ if $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$;
- $s_i = s_j$ if $\lambda_j = \lambda_i + 1$;
- $s_i = s_j$ if λ_i, λ_j are even and $\lambda_j = \lambda_i + 2$;
- $s_i = 0$ if $\lambda_i \leq 2$.

13.4. Let \mathcal{V}'_{2n} be the subset of \mathcal{V}_{2n} defined by the condition that $\lambda_i > 0$ for all i .

Given $(\lambda, \dagger) \in \mathcal{V}'_{2n}$ as above we define a sequence $c'_1 \leq c'_2 \leq \dots \leq c'_{2k+1}$ by $c'_i = c_i - 1$ (where c_i is as in 13.3.)

Let $\tilde{A}'_{\lambda, \dagger}$ be the \mathbf{F}_2 -vector space generated by the set $\{s_i\}$ where $i \in [1, 2k + 1]$ is such that either $\{i\}$ is a block or λ_i is odd; the relations are:

- $s_i = s_j$ if $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$;
- $s_i = s_j$ if $\lambda_j = \lambda_i + 1$;
- $s_i = s_j$ if λ_i, λ_j are even and $\lambda_j = \lambda_i + 2$.

Let $A'_{\lambda, \dagger}$ be the subspace of $\tilde{A}'_{\lambda, \dagger}$ generated by the sums $s_i + s_j$ where $i \neq j$ and both s_i, s_j are defined.

13.5. Consider the set \mathcal{V}''_N of all pairs (λ, \dagger) where λ is a sequence $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k$ in $\mathbf{N} + 1$ with $\sum_i \lambda_i = N$ and \dagger is a partition of $[1, k]$ into one and two element subsets called blocks (where each two element block consists of consecutive integers) such that

- if $\{i\}$ is a block, then λ_i is odd;
- if $\{i, i + 1\}$ is a block, then $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1}$;
- if $\{i\}$ and $\{j, j + 1\}$ are blocks, then $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$.

Given $(\lambda, \dagger) \in \mathcal{V}''_N$ as above we define a sequence $c''_1 \leq c''_2 \leq \dots \leq c''_k$ by

$c''_i = (\lambda_i - 1)/2 + 2(i - 1)$ if $\{i\}$ is a block;

$c''_i = \lambda_i/2 + 2(i - 1)$, $c''_{i+1} = (\lambda_{i+1} - 2)/2 + 2i = c_i + 1$ if $\{i, i + 1\}$ is a block with $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1} = \text{even}$;

$c''_i = (\lambda_i + 1)/2 + 2(i - 1)$, $c_{i+1} = (\lambda_{i+1} - 3)/2 + 2i = c_i$ if $\{i, i + 1\}$ is a block with $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1} = \text{odd}$.

In other words, c''_i are defined by the same formulas as the c_i in 13.3 but with λ_i replaced by $(\lambda_i - 1)$.

Let $A''_{\lambda, \dagger}$ be the \mathbf{F}_2 -vector space generated by the set $\{s_i\}$ where $i \in [1, k]$ is such that either $\{i\}$ is a block or λ_i is even; the relations are:

- $s_i = s_j$ if $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$;
- $s_i = s_j$ if $\lambda_j = \lambda_i + 1$;

$$\begin{aligned} s_i &= s_j \text{ if } \lambda_i, \lambda_j \text{ are odd and } \lambda_j = \lambda_i + 2; \\ s_i &= 0 \text{ if } \lambda_i = 1. \end{aligned}$$

13.6. Note that $A_{\lambda, \dagger}, \tilde{A}'_{\lambda, \dagger}, A''_{\lambda, \dagger}$ have canonical bases consisting of the images of those s_i that are non-zero. These bases are totally ordered by the requirement that the basis element represented by some s_i is \leq than the basis element represented by some s_j if $i < j$. We define maps

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & \bigsqcup_{(\lambda, \dagger) \in \mathcal{V}_{2n}} A_{\lambda, \dagger}^* \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{C} \subset {}^4 X_{n, 2\mathbf{Z}+1}^2} V_{\mathcal{C}} \\ \text{(b)} \quad & \bigsqcup_{(\lambda, \dagger) \in \mathcal{V}'_{2n}} A'_{\lambda, \dagger}{}^* \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{C} \subset {}^4 X_{n-1, 2\mathbf{N}+1}^0} V_{\mathcal{C}} \\ \text{(c)} \quad & \bigsqcup_{(\lambda, \dagger) \in \mathcal{V}''_{2n+1}} A''_{\lambda, \dagger}{}^* \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{C} \subset {}^4 X_{n, 2\mathbf{Z}+1}^1} V_{\mathcal{C}} \\ \text{(d)} \quad & \bigsqcup_{(\lambda, \dagger) \in \mathcal{V}''_{2n}} A''_{\lambda, \dagger}{}^* \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{C} \subset {}^4 X_{n, 2\mathbf{Z}}^1} V_{\mathcal{C}} \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{C} runs over the set of similarity classes in the appropriate ${}^4 X_{N,E}^s$ and $*$ denotes the dual \mathbf{F}_2 -vector space, as follows.

In (a) any (λ, \dagger) determines as in 13.3 a sequence $c_1 \leq c_2 \leq \dots \leq c_{2k+1}$. Then \mathcal{C} is the similarity class of $(c_1, c_3, c_5, \dots, c_{2k+1}; c_2, c_4, \dots, c_{2k})$ in ${}^4 X_{n, 2\mathbf{Z}+1}^2$. There is a unique \mathbf{F}_2 -vector space isomorphism $A_{\lambda, \dagger}^* \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\mathcal{C}}$ which preserves the canonical bases and their natural total order; this defines the map (a).

In (b) any (λ, \dagger) determines as in 13.4 a sequence $c'_1 \leq c'_2 \leq \dots \leq c'_{2k+1}$. Then \mathcal{C} is the similarity class of $(c'_1, c'_3, c'_5, \dots, c'_{2k+1}; c'_2, c'_4, \dots, c'_{2k})$ in ${}^4 X_{n, 2\mathbf{N}+1}^0$. There is a unique \mathbf{F}_2 -vector space isomorphism $\tilde{A}'_{\lambda, \dagger}{}^* \xrightarrow{\sim} V'_{\mathcal{C}}$ which preserves the canonical bases and their natural total order; by passage to a quotient, this induces an isomorphism $A'_{\lambda, \dagger}{}^* \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\mathcal{C}}$ and defines the map (b).

In (c) and (d) any (λ, \dagger) determines as in 13.5 a sequence $c''_1 \leq c''_2 \leq \dots \leq c''_k$ where k is odd in (c) and is even in (d). Then \mathcal{C} is the similarity class of $(c''_1, c''_3, \dots; c''_2, c''_4, \dots)$ in ${}^4 X_{n,E}^1$ where E is $2\mathbf{Z} + 1$ in (c) and is $2\mathbf{Z}$ in (d). There is a unique \mathbf{F}_2 -vector space isomorphism $A''_{\lambda, \dagger}{}^* \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\mathcal{C}}$ which preserves the canonical bases and their natural total order; this defines the maps (c),(d).

The maps (a),(b),(c),(d) are well-defined bijections. For (a) this is shown in [LS2, 2.2]; exactly the same proof applies for (b),(c),(d). (A partial result in this direction can be found in [MS].)

13.7. Combining the bijection 13.2(d) with the bijection (a),(b),(c) or (d) in 13.6, we obtain bijections

$$\begin{aligned} \bigsqcup_{(\lambda, \dagger) \in \mathcal{V}_{2n}} A_{\lambda, \dagger}^* &\xrightarrow{\sim} {}^4X_{n, 2\mathbf{Z}+1}^2, \\ \bigsqcup_{(\lambda, \dagger) \in \mathcal{V}'_{2n}} A'_{\lambda, \dagger}{}^* &\xrightarrow{\sim} {}^4X_{n-1, 2\mathbf{N}+1}^0, \\ \bigsqcup_{(\lambda, \dagger) \in \mathcal{V}''_{2n+1}} A''_{\lambda, \dagger}{}^* &\xrightarrow{\sim} {}^4X_{n, 2\mathbf{Z}+1}^1, \\ \bigsqcup_{(\lambda, \dagger) \in \mathcal{V}''_{2n}} A''_{\lambda, \dagger}{}^* &\xrightarrow{\sim} {}^4X_{n, 2\mathbf{Z}}^1. \end{aligned}$$

13.8. Assume now that \mathbf{k} has characteristic 2. Let $G = G_m$ be as in 12.7(i). If G, D is one of

$$(a) \quad \begin{aligned} &(Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{k}), Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{k})), (O_{2n}(\mathbf{k}), O_{2n}(\mathbf{k}) - SO_{2n}(\mathbf{k})), \\ &(G_{2n+1}, G_{2n+1} - G_{2n+1}^0), (G_{2n}, G_{2n} - G_{2n}^0), \end{aligned}$$

the generalized Springer correspondence can be viewed as a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_D &\leftrightarrow \bigsqcup_{d \in 2\mathbf{Z}+1} \text{Irr } W_{n-d(d-1)}, \\ \mathcal{N}_D &\leftrightarrow \bigsqcup_{d \in 2\mathbf{N}+1} \text{Irr } W_{n-d^2}, \\ \mathcal{N}_D &\leftrightarrow \bigsqcup_{s \in \mathbf{N}; s(s+1)/2 \text{ odd}} \text{Irr } W_{((2n+1)-s(s+1)/2)/2} \\ &= \bigsqcup_{d \in 2\mathbf{Z}+1} \text{Irr } W_{n-(d^2-1-\frac{1}{2}(d-1))}, \\ \mathcal{N}_D &\leftrightarrow \bigsqcup_{s \in \mathbf{N}; s(s+1)/2 \text{ even}} \text{Irr } W_{(2n-s(s+1)/2)/2} = \bigsqcup_{d \in 2\mathbf{Z}} \text{Irr } W_{n-(d^2-\frac{1}{2}d)}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

13.9. According to [Sp, I, 2.6, 2.9], for (G, D) as in 13.8(a), the set \mathcal{N}_D is naturally in bijection with

$$\bigsqcup_{(\lambda, \dagger) \in \mathcal{V}_{2n}} A_{\lambda, \dagger}^*, \quad \bigsqcup_{(\lambda, \dagger) \in \mathcal{V}'_{2n}} A'_{\lambda, \dagger}{}^*, \quad \bigsqcup_{(\lambda, \dagger) \in \mathcal{V}''_{2n+1}} A''_{\lambda, \dagger}{}^*, \quad \bigsqcup_{(\lambda, \dagger) \in \mathcal{V}''_{2n}} A''_{\lambda, \dagger}{}^*,$$

respectively. (In [Sp, I, 2.11] the notation for unipotent classes is in terms of a partition in which to certain parts of a fixed size one attaches an index 0. The partition is the first coordinate in our (λ, \dagger) ; when the index 0 is attached to the parts of size a , then the parts of size a form blocks of size 2 according to \dagger . For example $1^2 \oplus 2 \oplus 4_0^2$ (resp. $1^2 \oplus 2 \oplus 4^2$) in [Sp] becomes our (11)(2)(44) (resp. (11)(2)(4)(4)) where the brackets represent the partition \dagger .)

Composing the bijections above with the bijection in 13.7 we see that \mathcal{N}_D is naturally in bijection with

$${}^4X_{n, 2\mathbf{Z}+1}^2, {}^4X_{n-1, 2\mathbf{N}+1}^0, {}^4X_{n, 2\mathbf{Z}+1}^1, {}^4X_{n, 2\mathbf{Z}}^1$$

respectively. Combining this with the bijections 13.2(c) we obtain bijections

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_D &\leftrightarrow \bigsqcup_{d \in 2\mathbf{Z}+1} \text{Irr } W_{n-(d^2-d)}, \\ \mathcal{N}_D &\leftrightarrow \bigsqcup_{d \in 2\mathbf{N}+1} \text{Irr } W_{n-1-(d^2-1)}, \\ \mathcal{N}_D &\leftrightarrow \bigsqcup_{d \in 2\mathbf{Z}+1} \text{Irr } W_{n-(d^2-1-\frac{1}{2}(d-1))}, \\ \mathcal{N}_D &\leftrightarrow \bigsqcup_{d \in 2\mathbf{Z}} \text{Irr } W_{n-(d^2-\frac{1}{2}d)}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

Proposition 13.10. *The previous four bijections coincide with the respective bijections given by the generalized Springer correspondence (see 13.8).*

(This shows that the generalized Springer correspondence is explicitly computable.) When $(G, D) = (Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{k}), Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{k}))$, this is shown in [LS2, 2.4] using the restriction formula [L2, 8.3]. The proof in the other three cases is entirely similar, once one has the analogue of the restriction formula for disconnected groups. That analogue is given by Proposition 9.4. (In these last three cases, a special case of the proposition, namely the part pertaining to pairs $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_D$ with $\mathcal{F} = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$, is proved in [MS].)

Corollary 13.11. (a) *If G, D are as in 12.7 with G^0 of type A_{m-1} and $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_D^0$, then the partition corresponding to \mathbf{c} is $m = 3+7+11+\dots$ or $m = 1+5+9+\dots$*
 (b) *If G, D are as in 12.7 with $G = O_{2n}(\mathbf{k})$ and $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_D^0$, then the partition corresponding to \mathbf{c} is $2n = 2 + 6 + 10 + \dots$ (an odd number of parts).*

14. SPIN GROUPS

14.1. In this section we assume that \mathbf{k} has characteristic $\neq 2$ and that $G = G^0$ is $\text{Spin}_n(\mathbf{k})$, $n \geq 3$. We have a partition $\mathcal{N}_G = \bigsqcup_{\chi} \mathcal{N}_G^{\chi}$ where χ runs over the characters $\mathcal{Z}_G \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^*$ and \mathcal{N}_G^{χ} consists of all $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_G$ such that the \mathcal{Z}_G -action on \mathcal{F} (coming from the G -equivariance of \mathcal{F}) is via χ on each fibre of \mathcal{F} . Assume now that χ is such that its restriction to the kernel of the obvious isogeny $\text{Spin}_n(\mathbf{k}) \rightarrow SO_n(\mathbf{k})$ is non-trivial. According to [L2, §14], the generalized Springer correspondence for G restricts to a bijection

$$\mathcal{N}_G^{\chi} \leftrightarrow \bigsqcup_{t \in 4\mathbf{Z}+n} \text{Irr } W_{\frac{1}{4}(n-(2t^2-t))}.$$

Moreover, the map which to each $(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_G^{\chi}$ associates the partition of n whose parts are the sizes of the Jordan blocks of the image in $SO_n(\mathbf{k})$ of an element in \mathbf{c} is a bijection

$$\mathcal{N}_G^{\chi} \leftrightarrow X_n$$

where X_n is the set of all partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_m)$ of n (with $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{N}+1$) such that

- (a) for any even p , $|\{i | \lambda_i = p\}|$ is even;
- (b) for any odd p we have $|\{i | \lambda_i = p\}| \leq 1$.

Thus the generalized Springer correspondence restricted to \mathcal{N}_G^\times may be regarded as a bijection

$$(c) \quad X_n \leftrightarrow \bigsqcup_{t \in 4\mathbf{Z}+n} \text{Irr } W_{\frac{1}{4}(n-(2t^2-t))}.$$

We would like to describe this bijection in a combinatorial way. In principle, the results in [LS2, §4] provide such a description, which involves an inductive procedure instead of a closed formula. In this section we provide a closed formula for (c).

14.2. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_m) \in X_n$. For $i \in [1, m]$ we set

$$t_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} d(\lambda_j)$$

where for any integer s we set

$$d(s) = 0 \text{ if } s \text{ is even, } d(s) = (-1)^{(s-1)/2} \text{ if } s \text{ is odd.}$$

We modify the entries of λ as follows and we mark the modified entries by an indeterminate a or b as follows:

- (1) if an entry $e = \lambda_i$ satisfies $e \in 4\mathbf{Z} + 1$, then e is replaced by $\frac{1}{4}(e - 1) - t_i$ with mark a ;
- (2) if an entry $e = \lambda_i$ satisfies $e \in 4\mathbf{Z} + 3$, then e is replaced by $\frac{1}{4}(e - 3) + t_i$ with mark b ;
- (3) if an entry $e \in 4\mathbf{Z}$ appears exactly $2p > 0$ times with $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1} = \dots = \lambda_{i+2p-1} = e$, we replace e, e, \dots, e ($2p$ terms) by

$$\frac{1}{4}e - t_i, \frac{1}{4}e + t_i, \frac{1}{4}e - t_i, \frac{1}{4}e + t_i, \dots, \frac{1}{4}e - t_i, \frac{1}{4}e + t_i$$

($2p$ terms, marked by a, b, a, b, \dots, a, b);

- (4) if an entry $e \in 4\mathbf{Z} + 2$ appears exactly $2p > 0$ times with $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1} = \dots = \lambda_{i+2p-1} = e$, we replace e, e, \dots, e ($2p$ terms) by

$$\frac{1}{4}(e+2) - t_i, \frac{1}{4}(e-2) + t_i, \frac{1}{4}(e+2) - t_i, \frac{1}{4}(e-2) + t_i, \dots, \frac{1}{4}(e+2) - t_i, \frac{1}{4}(e-2) + t_i$$

($2p$ terms, marked by a, b, a, b, \dots, a, b).

Lemma 14.3. (a) *The modified entries (marked with a) form an increasing sequence α in \mathbf{N} .*

(b) *The modified entries (marked with b) form an increasing sequence β in \mathbf{N} .*

We prove (a). Consider two consecutive (modified) entries λ'_i, λ'_j marked with a , coming from λ_i, λ_j . We show that $\lambda'_i \leq \lambda'_j$.

If they both come from a group as in (3) or (4), then there is nothing to prove.

If λ'_i comes from a group as in (3) (resp. (4)) and λ'_j comes from another group as in (3) (resp. (4)), the result is clear.

If λ'_i comes from a group as in (3) and λ'_j comes from a group as in (4), we have $\lambda_i/4 < (\lambda_j + 2)/4$ since $\lambda_i < \lambda_j$.

If λ'_i comes from a group as in (4) and λ'_j comes from a group as in (3), we have $(\lambda_i + 2)/4 \leq \lambda_j/4$ since $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_j - 2$.

If λ'_i comes from an entry as in (1) and λ'_j comes from a group as in (3), we have $(\lambda_i - 1)/4 \leq \lambda_j/4 - 1$ since $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_j - 3$.

If λ'_i comes from an entry as in (1) and λ'_j comes from a group as in (4), we have $(\lambda_i - 1)/4 \leq (\lambda_j + 2)/4 - 1$ since $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_j - 1$.

If λ'_i comes from a group as in (3) and λ'_j comes from an entry as in (1), we have $\lambda_i/4 \leq (\lambda_j - 1)/4$ since $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_j - 1$.

If λ'_i comes from a group as in (4) and λ'_j comes from an entry as in (1), we have $(\lambda_i + 2)/4 \leq (\lambda_j - 1)/4$ since $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_j - 3$.

If λ'_i, λ'_j come from entries as in (1) we have $(\lambda_i - 1)/4 \leq (\lambda_j - 1)/4 - 1$ since $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_j - 4$.

We now consider the first (modified) entry λ'_i of type a , coming from λ_i . We show that $\lambda'_i \geq 0$.

If it is of type (1), it is $(\lambda_i - 1)/4 - (-1 - 1 - \dots - 1) \geq (\lambda_i - 1)/4 \geq 0$.

If it is of type (3), it is $\lambda_i/4 - (-1 - 1 - \dots - 1) \geq \lambda_i/4 \geq 0$.

If it is of type (4), it is $(\lambda_i + 2)/4 - (-1 - 1 - \dots - 1) \geq \lambda_i/4 \geq 0$.

We prove (b). Consider two consecutive (modified) entries λ'_i, λ'_j marked with b , coming from λ_i, λ_j . We show that $\lambda'_i \leq \lambda'_j$.

If they both come from a group as in (3) or (4), then there is nothing to prove.

If λ'_i comes from a group as in (3) (resp. (4)) and λ'_j comes from another group as in (3) (resp. (4)), the result is clear.

If λ'_i comes from a group as in (3) and λ'_j comes from a group as in (4), we have $\lambda_i/4 \leq (\lambda_j - 2)/4$ since $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_j - 2$.

If λ'_i comes from a group as in (4) and λ'_j comes from a group as in (3), we have $(\lambda_i - 2)/4 \leq \lambda_j/4$ since $\lambda_i < \lambda_j$.

If λ'_i comes from an entry as in (2) and λ'_j comes from a group as in (3), we have $(\lambda_i - 3)/4 \leq \lambda_j/4 - 1$ since $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_j - 1$.

If λ'_i comes from an entry as in (2) and λ'_j comes from a group as in (4), we have $(\lambda_i - 3)/4 \leq (\lambda_j - 2)/4 - 1$ since $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_j - 3$.

If λ'_i comes from a group as in (3) and λ'_j comes from an entry as in (2), we have $\lambda_i/4 \leq (\lambda_j - 3)/4$ since $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_j - 3$.

If λ'_i comes from a group as in (4) and λ'_j comes from an entry as in (2), we have $(\lambda_i - 2)/4 \leq (\lambda_j - 3)/4$ since $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_j - 1$.

If λ'_i, λ'_j come from entries as in (2), we have $(\lambda_i - 3)/4 \leq (\lambda_j - 3)/4 - 1$ since $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_j - 4$.

We now consider the first (modified) entry λ'_i of type b , coming from λ_i . We show that $\lambda'_i \geq 0$.

If it is of type (2), it is $(\lambda_i - 3)/4 + (1 + 1 + \dots + 1) \geq (\lambda_i - 3)/4 \geq 0$.

If it is of type (3), it is $\lambda_i/4 + (1 + 1 + \dots + 1) \geq \lambda_i/4 \geq 0$.

If it is of type (4), it is $(\lambda_i - 2)/4 + (1 + 1 + \dots + 1) \geq (\lambda_i - 2)/4 \geq 0$.

The lemma is proved.

Lemma 14.4. *The sum S of the modified entries is $(n - 2t^2 + t)/4$ where $t = \sum_i d(\lambda_i)$.*

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i; \lambda_i \in 2\mathbf{Z}} \lambda_i + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i; \lambda_i \in 2\mathbf{Z}+1} \lambda_i - \frac{1}{4} |\{i; \lambda_i \in 4\mathbf{Z} + 1\}| - \frac{3}{4} |\{i; \lambda_i \in 4\mathbf{Z} + 3\}| \\
 &+ \sum_{i; \lambda_i \in 4\mathbf{Z}+3} t_i - \sum_{i; \lambda_i \in 4\mathbf{Z}+1} t_i
 \end{aligned}$$

hence $S = n/4 + k$ where

$$\begin{aligned} k &= -\sum_i t_i d(\lambda_i) + \sum_i (d(\lambda_i) - 2d(\lambda_i)^2)/4 \\ &= -\sum_{j < i} d(\lambda_i) d(\lambda_j) - \sum_i d(\lambda_i)^2/2 + \sum_i d(\lambda_i)/4 \\ &= -(\sum_i d(\lambda_i))^2/2 + \sum_i d(\lambda_i)/4 = -t^2/2 + t/4. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved.

14.5. To λ we associate the ordered pair of partitions (α, β) (if $t \geq 1$) or (β, α) (if $t \leq 0$). This pair of partitions may be regarded as an element of $\text{Irr } W_{(n-2t^2+t)/4}$. Since $(n-2t^2+t)/4 \in \mathbf{Z}$ we have $t \in 4\mathbf{Z}+n$. We have thus defined in a combinatorial way a map

$$X_n \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{t \in 4\mathbf{Z}+n} \text{Irr } W_{\frac{1}{4}(n-(2t^2-t))}.$$

From the definitions we see that this coincides with the map defined in [LS2, §4] by an inductive procedure. It therefore coincides with the generalized Springer correspondence 14.1(c).

REFERENCES

- [BBD] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque **100** (1981). MR **86g**:32015
- [B] A. Borel, *Groupes linéaires algébriques*, Ann. Math. **64** (1956), 20-62. MR **19**:1195h
- [BM] W. Borho and R. MacPherson, *Représentations des groupes de Weyl et homologie d'intersection pur les variétés nilpotentes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **292** (1981), 707-710. MR **82f**:14002
- [HS] D.F. Holt and N. Spaltenstein, *Nilpotent orbits of exceptional Lie algebras over algebraically closed fields of bad characteristic*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **38** (1985), 330-350. MR **86g**:17007
- [H] R. Howlett, *Normalizers of parabolic subgroups of reflection groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **21** (1980), 62-80. MR **81g**:20094
- [L2] G. Lusztig, *Intersection cohomology complexes on a reductive group*, Invent. Math. **75** (1984), 205-272. MR **86d**:20050
- [L6] G. Lusztig, *Coxeter orbits and eigenspaces of Frobenius*, Invent. Math. **38** (1976), 101-159. MR **56**:12138
- [L7] G. Lusztig, *Irreducible representations of finite classical groups*, Invent. Math. **43** (1977), 125-175. MR **57**:3228
- [L8] G. Lusztig, *Green polynomials and singularities of unipotent classes*, Adv. Math. **42** (1981), 169-178. MR **83c**:20059
- [L3] G. Lusztig, *Character sheaves, I*, Adv. Math. **56** (1985), 193-237; II, vol. 57, 1985, pp. 226-265; III, vol. 57, 1985, pp. 266-315; IV, vol. 59, 1986, pp. 1-63; V, vol. 61, 1986, pp. 103-155. MR **87b**:20055; MR **87m**:20118a; MR **87m**:20118a; MR **87m**:20118c
- [L9] G. Lusztig, *Character sheaves on disconnected groups, I*, Represent. Theory **7** (2003), 374-403.
- [LS1] G. Lusztig and N. Spaltenstein, *Induced unipotent classes*, J. London Math. Soc. **19** (1979), 41-52. MR **82g**:20070
- [LS2] G. Lusztig and N. Spaltenstein, *On the generalized Springer correspondence for classical groups*, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics **6** (1985), 289-316. MR **87g**:20072a
- [M1] G. Malle, *Generalized Deligne-Lusztig characters*, J. Algebra **159** (1993), 64-97. MR **94i**:20025
- [M2] G. Malle, *Green functions for groups of type E_6 and F_4 in characteristic 2*, Comm. in Alg. **21** (1993), 747-798. MR **94c**:20077

- [MS] G. Malle and K. Sorlin, *Springer correspondence for disconnected groups*, Math. Z. **246** (2004), 291-319.
- [So] K. Sorlin, *Springer correspondence in non-connected reductive groups*, preprint.
- [Sp] N. Spaltenstein, *Classes unipotentes et sous-groupes de Borel*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 946, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982. MR **84a**:14024
- [Spr] T.A. Springer, *Trigonometric sums, Green functions of finite groups and representations of Weyl groups*, Invent. Math. **36** (1976), 173-207. MR **56**:491

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE,
MASSACHUSETTS 02139

E-mail address: `gyuri@math.mit.edu`