CORRECTIONS TO: “ON THE n-COHOMOLOGY OF LIMITS OF DISCRETE SERIES REPRESENTATIONS”

WOLFGANG SOERGEL

Abstract. In this note I want to point out a flaw in the argumentation of said article and explain why it has no effect on the main result. I take the opportunity to add an example.

The flaw in the argumentation is the following: Preceding Theorem 3.2 an equivalence of categories $S = S_\psi : \mathcal{O}_\psi \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'_\psi$ is cited from [Soe89]. However, there is no such equivalence mapping every Verma to the same Verma. Rather the equivalence constructed there will map $M(x \cdot \lambda)$ to $M(x^{-1} \cdot \lambda)$ for $\lambda$ the highest weight of a projective Verma alias the dominant weight of the corresponding Weyl group orbit. This means that Proposition 3.9 breaks down and instead of Theorem 3.2 we only get

$$H^n(n, T^N_\psi N)^\lambda \cong \text{Ext}_{U_\psi}^n(T^\psi_\chi(M(\lambda))) \otimes Z/\psi, N)$$

However, I claim that instead of Proposition 4.1 we have, now with $\psi = Z^+$, an isomorphism

$$\Delta(T^\psi_\chi(M(\lambda))) \otimes Z/\psi \cong j_! A,$$

and thus there is no effect of this error on the final result. For this we need to alter the proof of Proposition 4.1 and show in the notation used there rather that $(T^\psi_\chi(M(\lambda))) \otimes Z/\psi$ is a projective cover of $M_y$ in the subcategory $\langle M_x \mid x \in D \rangle$ of $\mathcal{O}'_\psi$. Indeed, for $N$ in this subcategory we find

$$\text{Hom}_g(T^\psi_\chi(M(\lambda))) \otimes Z/\psi, N) = \text{Hom}_g(T^\psi_\chi(M(\lambda)), N) = \text{Hom}_g(M(\lambda), T^\psi_\chi N)$$

Since $T^\psi_\chi N$ has to belong to the subcategory $\langle M(\lambda) \rangle$ of $\mathcal{O}'_\chi$ generated in the same way as above, by definition of $M(\lambda)$ this is exact as a functor of $N$. In addition it maps each $M_x$ for $x \in D$ to a one-dimensional space and thus has to be the projective cover of $M_y$ as claimed. Now it is clear how to rewrite the proof of Corollary 4.2, and from there on one can continue as written in the article.

Let me add a sample calculation. Take the real form $SU(2, 2) \subset SL(4; \mathbb{C}) = G$ and take as a first Borel $B_{\text{std}}$ the upper triangular matrices. Let $r, s, t$ be the standard generators of the Weyl group, so that $r, t$ generate the compact Weyl group. The $K_C$-orbit $Y$ of $\text{str}$ in the flag variety $X$ is closed. If we now take instead the Borel $B$ fixing this point $\text{str}B_{\text{std}}$, then as the $B$-orbits meeting $Y$ we find those with parameters $1, tst, rst$ and $strs$. So this is our set $A = A(Y)$.
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Let us concentrate on $\chi$ the most singular central character, so $D = W$. Now from our $B$-orbits meeting $Y$, the first meets $Y$ in codimension zero and the other three in codimension two. So if $n$ is the nilradical of Lie $B$ and we compute the $n$-cohomology of the most degenerate limit of principal series $H^n(T^\chi; i_* Y)$ for $\chi$ the most singular central character, we find nonvanishing complexes $V(A, D, c)$ only for $c = 0$ and $c = 2$. For $c = 0$ the complex has only one entry $\mathbb{C}$ sitting in degree zero and gives a one-dimensional contribution to $H^4$. For $c = 2$ the complex has a one-dimensional entry in degree four, coming from $strs$, and a two-dimensional entry in degree three, coming from $rst$ and $tst$, and the differential is not zero. This gives a one-dimensional contribution to $H^5$, and we find no more cohomology than that.
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