used. Even with the faster machines the time required for the solution of a
biharmonic equation by the methods considered here is uncomfortably large
unless the second order Richardson (or probably also the extrapolated
Liebmann) method is used. It is clear that for many problems of interest the
simplest iterative procedures will prove impossibly tedious even with the
fastest automatic computers.

The apparent likelihood that the extrapolated Liebmann procedure
would prove more rapidly convergent and more convenient for electronic
computers than the second-order Richardson method would seem to justify
an experimental study with such a computer.

The writer is indebted to R. H. MacNeal and W. E. Milne for en-
couragement and helpful discussion.

Stanley P. Frankel

California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California

On a Definite Integral

The function

\[ f(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} (u + x)^{-1} \exp(-u^2) du \]

is tabulated by E. T. Goodwin and J. Staton \cite{MTAC, v. 3, p. 483} from
series expansions and by numerical integration of the differential equation
satisfied by the function.

The integral can be evaluated explicitly in terms of two simple tabulated
functions. The writer used the LAPLACE transform to evaluate the integral.
This method will now be given.

We may write

\[ I(t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} (u + t^*)^{-1} \exp(-u^2) du = \int_{0}^{\infty} (1 + v)^{-1} \exp(-tv^2) dv \]

On a Definite Integral

The function
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satisfied by the function.

The integral can be evaluated explicitly in terms of two simple tabulated
functions. The writer used the LAPLACE transform to evaluate the integral.
This method will now be given.

We may write

\[ I(t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} (u + t^*)^{-1} \exp(-u^2) du = \int_{0}^{\infty} (1 + v)^{-1} \exp(-tv^2) dv \]
and if we let
\[ L\{I(t)\} = \int_0^\infty I(t) \exp (-pt)dt \]
denote the Laplace transform of \( I(t) \), we have

\[ (2) \quad L\{I(t)\} = \int_0^\infty \frac{p + v^2 - 1}{(1 + v)^{-1}} dv = \frac{1}{2} (1 + p)^{-1} \left[ \pi p^{-1} + \ln p \right] = \phi(p) \]

so that by the inverse transformation

\[ (3) \quad I(t) = \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c - i\alpha}^{c + i\alpha} \phi(p) \exp (pt) dp \]

\[ (4) \quad = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{c - i\alpha}^{c + i\alpha} \frac{\exp (\tau t) \ln (\tau - 1) d\tau}{\tau} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{c - i\alpha}^{c + i\alpha} \frac{\exp (-\tau t) (1 + \tau)^{-1} d\tau}{\tau} \]

The value of these integrals may be found by referring to tables of transforms. \(^3\) The result is

\[ (5) \quad I(t) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-t} \int_{-\infty}^t \exp (u^2) du + \pi e^{-t} \int_0^t \exp (u^2) du \]

so that\(^*\)

\[ (6) \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \exp (-x^2) Ei(x^2) + \pi F(x), \]

where

\[ Ei(y) = \int_{-\infty}^y \exp (-u^2) du \quad \text{and} \quad F(y) = \exp (-y^2) \int_0^y \exp (u^2) du \]

are well-tabulated functions. \(^5\) By expanding this result in an ascending series it can be identified term by term with equation (6) of Goodwin and Staton’s paper.

I have communicated this result to Dr. Goodwin who informed me that although not aware of it he was within one step of deriving it from equation (4) of his paper. The following derivation is due to Dr. Goodwin:

Equation (4) gives

\[ f'(x) + 2xf(x) = \pi e^{-x} x^{-1}. \]

Thus

\[ d\left[ \exp (x^2) f(x) \right]/dx = \pi e^{-x} x^{-1} \exp (x^2). \]

If this could be integrated between suitable limits it would give \( f \) in terms of \( Ei(x^2) \) and \( F(x) \) but, in fact, it is impossible to choose such real limits and it was at this point that Dr. Goodwin stopped. However, equation (7) can be written

\[ \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \exp (x^2) f(x) - \pi \int_0^x \exp (u^2) du \right\} = -x^{-1} \exp (x^2). \]

Taking the indefinite integral

\[ \exp (x^2) f(x) - \pi \int_0^x \exp (u^2) du = -\int_0^x u^{-1} \exp (u^2) du + c \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^x t^{-1} e^{dt} + c. \]
Comparison of the known behavior of $f(x)$ as $x \to 0$, namely

$$f(x) \sim -\ln x - \frac{\gamma}{2}$$

with the fact that $Ei(x^2) \sim \ln x^2 + \gamma$ shows that the constant in equation (10) can be taken as zero if the lower limit of the integral is taken as $-\infty$. Equation (6) follows immediately.

This corresponds to the use of a limit of $i \infty$ when (7) is integrated so that it is not surprising that Dr. Goodwin missed the result.

I should like to express my thanks to Dr. Goodwin for permission to use his method in the latter part of this paper.

R. H. Ritchie

University of Kentucky
Lexington, Kentucky

6 FMR Index, p. 189–191, 220, 231.

* An ambiguity in this result will be discussed in the next issue—Ed.

RECENT MATHEMATICAL TABLES


This copyright work has been issued in an edition of only 50 copies in order to test the possible demand for a revised and more complete volume of this kind. The title page states that it was "prepared under the direction of" Professor Davis "with the assistance of" Miss Fisher. We shall try, in a general way, to articulate clearly what is to be found in this tentative edition and to make some (among many possible) comments, which may serve as useful suggestions in any later revisions.

The volume is divided into four parts:

I. Introduction, leaves i–xxii.
II. Bibliography of Mathematical Tables, leaves 1–196 + 27.
III. Index of Tables by Classification of Functions, leaves 197–262.
IV. Index of Tables by Names of Authors, leaves 263–286.

We shall begin by first considering the 223 pages of Section II. There are here about 3,680 entries dated 1475–1948. Titles of almost all periodical articles are given, which is a highly praiseworthy feature, but there is a most undesirable total lack of uniformity of treatment of such titles, as well as of the abbreviated forms for titles of periodicals, and other details. In the case of pamphlets or books there is a similar lack; sometimes the total number of pages in the volume is given but more often not; names of places of publications are omitted in a number of entries, and generally unintelligible Latin forms are also to be found; there is no uniformity in the forms of names of authors (at least six of which are incorrectly spelled), and titles such as