Expansion of the Confluent Hypergeometric Function in Series of Bessel Functions
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Abstract. An expansion of the confluent hypergeometric function $\Phi(a, c, z)$ in series of functions of the same kind has been given by Buchholz [1]. By specialization of some quantities, there is obtained an expansion in series of modified Bessel functions of the first kind, $I_\nu(z)$, where $\nu$ depends on the parameter $a$. Tricomi [2, 3] has developed two expansions of similar type where both the order and argument of the Bessel functions depend on the parameters $a$ and $c$. In the present paper, we derive an expansion in series of Bessel functions of integral order whose argument is independent of $a$ and $c$. Our expansion is advantageous for many purposes of computation since the parameters and variable of $\Phi(a, c, z)$ appear in separated form. Also, for desk calculation, extensive tables of $I_\nu(z)$ are available, while for automatic computation Bessel functions are easy to generate [4].

Special cases of the confluent function, such as the incomplete gamma function, are also studied. For the class of transcendents known as the error functions, including the Fresnel integrals, it is shown that our expansion coincides with that of Buchholz [1]. By specializing a parameter and passing to a limit, we derive expansions for the exponential integral and related functions. Other expansions for the error and exponential integrals are derived on altogether different bases. Finally, some numerical examples are presented to manifest the efficiency of our formulas.

1. Representation of the Confluent Hypergeometric Function in Series of Bessel Functions of Integral Order. The confluent hypergeometric function is defined by the series

$$\Phi(a, c, z) = {}_1F_1(a; c; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k z^k}{(c)_k k!},$$

where $\Gamma(z)$ is the gamma function. We also have the integral representation

$$\Phi(a, c, z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(c-a)} \int_0^1 e^{zt^a} (1 - t)^{c-a-1} dt, \quad R(c) > R(a) > 0,$$

and Kummer's formula

$$\Phi(a, c, z) = e^z \Phi(c - a, c, -z).$$

For these and other properties of the confluent function, see [5].

Let $t = \sin^2 \theta$ in the integrand of (1.2). Using the Jacobi expansion [6]

$$e^{(1/2)c\cos^2 \theta} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_k \cos 2k\theta I_k(z/2), \quad \epsilon_0 = 1, \quad \epsilon_k = 2, \quad k > 0,$$
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(1.2) becomes
\[ \Phi(a, c, z) = \frac{2 \Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(c - a)} e^{\pi/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k e_k I_k(z/2) \]
(1.5)
\[ \cdot \int_0^\pi \cos 2k\theta (\sin \theta)^{2a-1} (\cos \theta)^{2c-2a-1} d\theta. \]

Since
\[ \cos 2k\theta = (-1)^k \sum_{m=0}^k \frac{(-1)^m \binom{k}{m} (k)_m (\cos \theta)^{2m}}{(1/2)_m} , \]
where \( \binom{k}{m} \) is the binomial coefficient, and
\[ \int_0^\pi (\sin \theta)^{2a-1} (\cos \theta)^{2c-1} d\theta = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma(x) \Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x + y)} , \quad R(x) > 0 , \quad R(y) > 0 , \]
we find that
\[ \Phi(a, c, z) = e^{\pi/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k e_k R_k(a, c) I_k(z/2) , \]
where \( R_k(a, c) \) is conveniently expressed in hypergeometric form [7] as
\[ R_k(a, c) = \frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(c - a)}{\Gamma(c)} R_k(a, c) = G_k(a, c) + (-1)^k G_k(c - a, c) , \]
(1.9)
\[ R_k(a, c) = \frac{(-1)^k \cos (c - a) \pi \Gamma(k - c + 1) \Gamma(2c - 2a)}{2^{2c - 2a - 1} \Gamma(k + 1 + c - 2a)} \cdot \frac{2F_1 \left( 1 - 2a, 2c - 2a; k + 1 + c - 2a; \frac{1}{2} \right) .} {1 - 2a, 2c - 2a; k + 1 + c - 2a; \frac{1}{2} .} \]

If \( a \) and \( c \) are fixed and \( k \) is sufficiently large, then the \( 2F_1 \)'s in (1.10) are of order unity and
\[ \frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(c - a)}{\Gamma(c)} R_k(a, c) \approx \frac{(-1)^k \cos (c - a) \pi \Gamma(2c - 2a)}{2^{2c - 2a - 1}} \]
(1.11)
\[ \frac{\Gamma(k + \alpha)}{\Gamma(k + \beta)} \approx k^{\alpha - \beta} , \quad k \text{ large} . \]
Again, if \( z \) is fixed and \( k \) is large, then

\[
I_k(z) \approx \frac{(z/2)^k}{k!}.
\]

It follows that (1.8) converges like

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(Ak^{2a-2c} + Bk^{-2a})z^k}{2^{2k}k!},
\]

\( A \) and \( B \) are constants. A similar argument shows that (1.1) converges like

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{a-c}z^k}{k!},
\]

and so (1.8) converges more rapidly than (1.1).

Some general properties of \( R_k(a, c) \) are next of interest. The combination of (1.3) and (1.8) shows that

\[
R_k(a, c) = (-1)^k R_k(c - a, c); \quad R_k(a, a) = (-1)^k.
\]

Further relations follow by applying known contiguous relations of \( \Phi(a, c, z) \) to (1.8). For example, since

\[
\frac{d}{dz} \Phi(a, c, z) = \frac{az}{c} \Phi(a + 1, c + 1, z),
\]

it follows that

\[
R_k(a + 1, c + 1) = \frac{-c}{4a} [R_{k+1}(a, c) - 2R_k(a, c) + R_{k-1}(a, c)],
\]

and

\[
(a - c + 1)R_k(a, c) - aR_k(a + 1, c) + (c - 1)R_k(a, c - 1) = 0,
\]

results from a contiguous formula of the form (1.19) with \( R_k(a, c) \) replaced by \( \Phi(a, c, z) \). To obtain a pure recursion formula for \( R_k(a, c) \), apply the differential equation satisfied by \( \Phi(a, c, z) \) to (1.8). Employ the difference-differential properties of \( I_n(z) \) and equate to zero the coefficient of \( I_k(z) \). We get

\[
(k + c)R_{k+1}(a, c) = 2(c - 2a)R_k(a, c) + (k - c)R_{k-1}(a, c).
\]

For certain values of the parameters, the coefficients \( R_k(a, c) \) can be expressed in simple form. Thus from (1.10),

\[
R_k(\frac{1}{2}, c) = \frac{[\Gamma(c)]^2}{\Gamma(c + k)\Gamma(c - k)}, \quad c \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots, \Gamma(c) > 0.
\]

This also follows from (1.9) and the known expression for a \( \text{F1} \) with unit argument. If \( c = 2a \), then from (1.9) and (1.20), \( R_k(a, 2a) \) is zero if \( k \) is odd, and

\[
R_{2k}(a, 2a) = \frac{1}{2} - a \right)^k, \quad a \neq -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}, \ldots
\]

Since

\[
\Phi(\nu + \frac{1}{2}, 2\nu + 1, -z) = \Gamma(\nu + 1)(z/4)^{-\nu} e^{-z^2/4} I_\nu(z/2),
\]
it follows that

\[
I_s(z) = (z/2)\Gamma(\nu + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k e_k I_{2k}(z)}{\Gamma(\nu - k + 1)\Gamma(\nu + k + 1)}
\]

provided \(\nu\) is not a negative integer. The latter is a special case of a known result [9].

2. The Incomplete Gamma Function. If \(c = a + 1\), we write

\[
\gamma(a, z) = a^{-1}z^a e^{-z} \Phi(1, 1 + a, z) = a^{-1}z^a \Phi(a, a + 1, -z),
\]

\[
\gamma(a, z) = \int_0^z e^{-t} t^{a-1} dt = \Gamma(a) - \int_z^\infty e^{-t} t^{a-1} dt, \quad R(a) > 0.
\]

Thus

\[
\gamma(a, z) = a^{-1}z^a e^{-z/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_k R_k(a) I_k(z/2),
\]

\[
R_k(a) = R_k(a, a + 1) = \frac{\Gamma(a + 1)}{\Gamma(a + k + 1)} + \frac{(-1)^k a}{2(k - a)(k - a + 1)} \frac{\Gamma(1 - 2a, 2; k - a + 2; 2)}{2^{2a-1}\Gamma(k + a + 1)},
\]

and

\[
(k + a + 1)R_{k+1}(a) = 2(1 - a)R_k(a) + (k - a - 1)R_{k-1}(a),
\]

\[
R_k(a + 1) = -\frac{(a + 1)}{4a} [R_{k+1}(a) - 2R_k(a) + R_{k-1}(a)].
\]

If \(a = \frac{1}{2}\), we deduce the following expressions for the error function and related functions.

\[
\text{Erf} z = \int_0^z e^{-t^2} dt = -z \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k e_k I_{2k}(z^2/2)}{4k^2 - 1}.
\]

\[
e^{-z^2} \text{Erfi} z = e^{-z^2} \int_0^z e^{t^2} dt = -z \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_k I_{2k}(z^2/2)}{4k^2 - 1}.
\]

\[
(2\pi)^4[C(z) + iS(z)] = \int_0^z t^{-1} e^{it} dt = -2z^2 e^{i/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_k J_k(z/2)}{4k^2 - 1}.
\]

A more general expansion for the error function can be found as follows. Now

\[
\int_0^{\sin \theta} e^{-t^2} dt = ze^{-z^2/2} \int_0^{(z^2/2)\cos \theta} \cos t dt,
\]

and using (1.4), we obtain

\[
\text{Erf} (z \sin \theta) = \frac{z}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_k \left\{ \frac{\sin (2k + 1)\theta}{2k + 1} + \frac{\sin (2k - 1)\theta}{2k - 1} \right\} e^{-z^2/2} I_k(z^2/2),
\]
and from the latter follows the interesting representation

\[ \int_0^\pi \frac{\text{Erf}(z \sin \theta)}{\sin (2k + 1) \theta} d\theta = \frac{\pi z}{4(2k + 1)} e^{-z^2/2} \left[ I_k(z^2/2) + I_{k+1}(z^2/2) \right]. \]

3. The Exponential Integral. This is a limiting form of the incomplete gamma function. From (2.2), we have

\[ \int_z^\infty t^{-1} e^{-t} dt = \lim_{a \to 0} \{ \Gamma(a) - \gamma(a, z) \}. \]

Another useful form is

\[ \int_0^z t^{-1} (1 - e^{-t}) dt = \lim_{a \to 0} \{ a^{-1} z^a - \gamma(a, z) \}. \]

Using (1.4) and (2.3), we write

\[ \int_z^\infty e^{z^2} e^{-u} u^{-a} du = \left( \frac{\Gamma(a + 1)z^{-a} - 1}{a} \right) e^{z^2/2} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - R_k(a)}{a} I_k(z/2). \]

Application of L'Hospital's theorem gives

\[ \lim_{a \to 0} \left( \frac{\Gamma(a + 1)z^{-a} - 1}{a} \right) = - (\gamma + \ln z) \]

where \( \gamma \) is Euler's constant. Define

\[ f_k = \lim_{a \to 0} \frac{1 - R_k(a)}{a} = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( -1 \right)^m \binom{k}{m} \frac{(k)_m}{(\frac{1}{2})_m m}. \]

Then

\[ -Ei(-z) + (\gamma + \ln z) = \int_0^z t^{-1} e^{-t} dt + (\gamma + \ln z) \]

\[ = \int_0^z t^{-1} (1 - e^{-t}) dt = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k e^{-z^2/2} I_k(z/2). \]

In view of (2.5) and (3.5), we have the recurrence formula

\[ (k + 1)f_{k+1} = 2f_k + (k - 1)f_{k-1} + 4, \]

and by induction or otherwise, we can prove that

\[ \begin{cases} f_{k+1} = f_k + \frac{1}{k} \left\{ 1 - (-1)^k \right\} + \frac{1}{k + 1} \left\{ 1 + (-1)^k \right\}, & f_0 = 0, f_1 = 2, \\ f_{2k} = 4 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2k - 1} \right), \\ f_{2k+1} = f_{2k} + \frac{2}{2k + 1}, \\ f_{2k+2} = f_{2k+1} + \frac{2}{2k + 1}. \end{cases} \]

Employing the theory of the \( \psi(z) \) function, the logarithmic derivative of the
gamma function \([10]\), we find that
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} (f_k - 2 \ln 2k) = 2\gamma,
\]
and so (3.6) converges like
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k z^k, \quad u_k = (\ln k) / 2^{2k} k !
\]
An alternative form of (3.6) is
\[
\int_0^1 t^{\gamma-1} (1 - e^{-t}) dt = 2[1 - e^{-z/2} I_0(z/2)] + 4 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{k+2} e^{-z/2} I_{k+2}(z/2),
\]
g\[k = \frac{1}{2} f_k - 1.\]
Now
\[
Ei(z) = \int_\infty^z t^{\gamma-1} e^t dt = Ei(z e^{i\pi}) \mp i\pi,
\]
and so
\[
e^{-z} \int_\infty^z t^{\gamma-1} e^t dt = e^{-z} (\gamma + \ln z) + 2[e^{-z/2} I_0(z/2) - e^{-z}]
\]
\[
- 4 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k g_{k+2} e^{-z/2} I_{k+2}(z/2)
\]
We also have
\[
\int_0^z t^{\gamma-1} (1 - e^{-t}) dt = (\gamma + \ln z) - Ci(z) + iSi(z)
\]
\[
= 2 e^{-iz/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k \left( \frac{z}{2} \right) ^k.
\]
4. A Second Expansion and Special Cases. Buchholz [1] has given the expansion
\[
\Phi(a, c, z) = e^{z/2}(z/4)^{c-a/2} \Gamma(a - 1/2)
\]
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k + a - 1/2)(2a - 1)k(2a - c)k}{k!(c)_k} I_{k+a-1}(z/2), \quad R(a) > 0,
\]
which coalesces with (1.8) when \(a = \frac{1}{2}\). If \(a, c\) and \(z\) are fixed, then an analysis similar to (1.11)–(1.14) shows that (4.1) converges like
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{3a-2c-1/2}z^k}{2^{2k} k !},
\]
and from this point of view, the convergence of (1.8) and (4.1) are nearly alike when \(R(c - a) > 0\).

We now deduce from (4.1) series expansions for the exponential integral and related functions. The method of proof is akin to that in the previous section. If in (4.1), we put \(a = c\), there follows a representation for \(e^{z/2}\) since \(\Phi(a, a, z) = e^z\).
Use this and (4.1) with \(c = a + 1\) and \(z\) replaced by \(-z\). Then after the manner
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of (3.3), we have
\begin{equation}
(4.3) \quad e^{z/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{-1} e^{-t} \, dt = (\pi z)^{1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k(a) I_{k+a-1/2}(z/2),
\end{equation}
and employing the duplication formula for gamma functions, the elements $h_k(a)$ take the form
\begin{equation}
(4.4) \quad h_k(a) = \frac{2^{k+2a-1}(k + a - 1/2)\Gamma\left(\frac{k - 1}{2} + a\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} + a\right)}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2}) k!} \left\{ z^{-a} - \frac{(-1)^k}{\Gamma(a - 1)(k + a)(k + a - 1)} \right\}.
\end{equation}
For $k = 0$ and 1, application of L'Hospital's theorem gives
\begin{equation}
(4.5) \quad h_0(0) = -\frac{1}{2} (\gamma + \ln z), \quad h_1(0) = h_0(0) + 1.
\end{equation}
Otherwise,
\begin{equation}
(4.6) \quad h_k(0) = \frac{2k - 1}{k(k - 1)}, \quad k > 1.
\end{equation}
Thus
\begin{equation}
(4.7) \quad -E_i(-z) + (\gamma + \ln z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{-1} e^{-t} \, dt + (\gamma + \ln z) = \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1}(1 - e^{-t}) \, dt
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
= (\pi z)^{1/2} e^{-z/2} I_{1/2}(z/2) + (\pi z)^{1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2k + 3)}{(k + 1)(k + 2)} e^{-z/2} I_{k+3/2}(z/2),
\end{equation}
and forms for the related functions follow readily enough after the manner of (3.12)-(3.14). By a familiar argument, (4.7) converges like
\begin{equation}
(4.8) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k z^k, \quad v_k = [2^{2k} k \Gamma(k + \frac{1}{2})]^{-1},
\end{equation}
and so (4.7) converges more rapidly than (3.6). However, the difference is not great since $u_k/v_k \sim k^\frac{1}{2} \ln k$ (see (3.10)).

5. Further Expansions. The following representation is due to Tricomi [2, 3].
\begin{equation}
(5.1) \quad \Phi(a, c, z) = \Gamma(c) e^{az} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(a, c, h) z^k E_{c+k-1}(-az), \quad h \geq 0,
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
(5.2) \quad E_{\nu}(z) = z^{-\nu/2} J_{\nu}(2z\frac{1}{2}), \quad E_{\nu}(-z) = z^{-\nu/2} I_{\nu}(2z\frac{1}{2}),
\end{equation}
where the coefficients $A_k(a, c, h)$ are defined by the generating function
\begin{equation}
(5.3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(a, c, h) z^k = e^{-a}[1 + (h - 1)z]^{-a}(1 + hz)^{a-c}, \quad |z| < 1,
\end{equation}
and satisfy the recurrence system
\begin{equation}
(5.4) \quad (k + 1)A_{k+1} = [(1 - 2h)k + hc]A_k
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
+ [a(1 - 2h) - h(h - 1)(c + k - 1)]A_{k-1} - h(h - 1)A_{k-2},
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
A_0 = 1, \quad A_1 = -hc, \quad A_2 = \frac{1}{2} h^2 c(a + 1) + a(h - \frac{1}{2}).
\end{equation}
A second expansion given by Tricomi [2, 3] is
\begin{equation}
\Phi(a, c, z) = \Gamma(c)e^{\nu/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k^*(K, c/2)(z/2)^k E_{c+k-1}(Kz), \quad K = \frac{c}{2} - a,
\end{equation}
where
\begin{equation}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k^*(K, c/2)Z^k = e^{2Kz}(1 - z)^{K-c/2}(1 + z)^{-K-c/2}, \quad |z| < 1,
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
(k + 1)A_{k+1}^* = (k + c - 1)A_{k-1}^* - 2KA_{k-2}^*,
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
A_0^* = 1, \quad A_1^* = 0, \quad A_2^* = \frac{c}{2}.
\end{equation}

Both (5.1) and (5.5) are useful to study the behavior of $\Phi$ when the parameters are large [11], but are not too suitable for many purposes of computation, since the order and argument of the Bessel functions depend on the parameters $a$ and $c$.

In (5.1), put $a = 1$, replace $c$ by $a + 1$ and set $h = 0$. Then
\begin{equation}
\gamma(a, z) = \Gamma(a)e^{-z^2/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_k(-1)z^{2k}I_{k+a}(2z),
\end{equation}
follows from (2.1) and (5.3). The method of proof surrounding (1.11)–(1.14) shows that (5.8) converges like $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^k/\Gamma(k + a + 1)$ which is the same as (1.1) for the above selection of parameters.

6. Further Expansions for the Error and Exponential Integrals. We start with the representation
\begin{equation}
\int_0^x t^\nu I_{\nu}(t) \, dt = \frac{z^\nu \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu + \mu + 1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu - \mu + 1}{2}\right)} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (\nu + 2k + 1)\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu - \mu + 1}{2} + k\right) I_{\nu+2k+1}(z), \quad R(\mu + \nu + 1) > 0
\end{equation}
which is readily verified by differentiation. Put $\mu = 0$, and consider the formulas when $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\nu = -\frac{1}{2}$. Then by subtraction and addition, we get
\begin{equation}
\text{Erf} z = (\pi/2)^{1/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{[k/2]} I_{k-1/2}(z^2).
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\text{Erfi} z = (\pi/2)^{1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{[k/2]} I_{k+1/2}(z^2).
\end{equation}
Here $[k/2]$ is the largest integer, including zero, contained in $k/2$. The latter two equations were also verified by Tricomi [3] by means of the Laplace transform.*

* There is a typographical error in [12] for (6.2). There the summation should start with $n = 1$, not $n = 0$. 
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Another representation which follows from (5.8) is

\[ \text{Erf } z = \tfrac{1}{2} (\pi z)^{1/2} e^{-z^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_k (-1)^k I_{k+1}(2z). \]

By a familiar argument, the convergence of (2.7) is superior to that of (6.2)–(6.4).

To obtain another expansion for functions related to the exponential integral, it is convenient to again use (6.1) with \( z \) replaced by \( iz \). The ensuing formula is of the same type as (6.1) with \( I_n(z) \) replaced by \( J_n(z) \) and the factor \((-1)^k\) omitted behind the summation sign. Now put \( \mu = -\frac{1}{2} \) and \( \nu = \frac{1}{2} \). Then for the sin integral, we have

\[ \int_0^z \frac{\sin t}{t} \, dt = \left( \frac{\pi}{2z} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(4k + 3)k!}{(\frac{1}{2})_k} J_{2k+3/2}(z). \]

Similarly, with \( \nu = -\frac{1}{2} \), we can form an expression for

\[ \int_0^z t^{-1/2} (1 - \cos t) \, dt \quad \text{Let } \mu \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}; \quad \text{then} \]

\[ \int_0^z t^{-1} (1 - \cos t) \, dt = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\pi}{2z} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(4k + 1)(\frac{1}{2})_k}{k!} \{\psi(k + 1) - \psi(1) + \psi(k + \frac{1}{2}) - \psi(\frac{1}{2})\} J_{2k+1}(z). \]

Our previous analysis shows that for \( z \) fixed and \( k \) large, the ratio of the \( k \)th term in the expansion (6.6) to the \( k \)th term of the Taylor series expansion for the cosine integral is proportional to \( k(\ln k)/2^{2k} \). Similarly for (6.5), the ratio is proportional to \( k/2^{2k} \). If (3.6) is compared with its corresponding Taylor series representation in like fashion, we again obtain the former ratio. Thus the proper combination of (6.5) and (6.6) gives about the same convergence as (3.6) and (4.7).

As a remark aside, using (6.1), we can produce

\[ \lim_{\mu \rightarrow -1} \int_0^z t^\mu [1 - J_0(t)] \, dt \]

\[ = \int_0^z t^{-1} [1 - J_0(t)] \, dt = (\gamma + \ln z/2) + \int_0^\infty t^{-1} J_0(t) \, dt \]

\[ = 2z^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k + 3)\{\psi(k + 2) - \psi(1)\} J_{2k+3}(z) \]

\[ = 1 - 2z^{-1} J_1(z) + 2z^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k + 5)\{\psi(k + 3) - \psi(1) - 1\} J_{2k+6}(z). \]

For tables of this integral when \( z \) is real, see [13].

We now derive yet another expression for the exponential integral. It is known [14, 15, 16] that

\[ \frac{\partial J_n(z)}{\partial n} \bigg|_{n=k} = -G_k(z) + \frac{1}{2} k! \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})^{k-n} J_n(z)}{n!(k-n)}, \quad G_k(z) = -\frac{\pi}{2} Y_k(z). \]
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(6.8) \[ Ei(-2z) = (\pi z/2)^{1/2}e^{-z} \left[ \frac{\partial I_\alpha(z)}{\partial \alpha} \bigg|_{\alpha=1/2} + \frac{\partial I_\alpha(z)}{\partial \alpha} \bigg|_{\alpha=-1/2} \right], \]

where \( Ei(-z) \) is given by (3.6). To evaluate the partial derivatives in (6.8), we use the expansion [17]

(6.9) \[ I_\nu(z) = \frac{(z/2)^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k z^k I_k(z)}{(\nu + k)k!}, \]

and find that

(6.10) \[ \int_0^z t^{-1}(1 - e^{-t}) dt = 2e^{-z/2} \cosh z/2 + zg_1(z) - 2g_2(z), \]

(6.11) \[ e^{-z} \int_0^z t^{-1}(e^t - 1) dt = -2e^{-z/2} \cosh z/2 + zg_1(z) + 2g_2(z), \]

where

(6.12) \[ g_m(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k(z/4)^k e^{-z/2} I_k(z/2)}{k!(2k + 3 - 2m)^2}, \quad m = 1, 2 \]

Of all the exponential integral expressions given, this converges the best.

7. Numerical Examples.*

**Example 1.** Using (1.8) and (1.9), we illustrate the computation of

(7.1) \[ e^{-i\alpha} \Phi(m + 1 - i\alpha, 2m + 2, 2ix) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_k R_k x^k J_k(x), \]

(7.2) \[ R_k = {}_3F_2(-k, k, m + 1 + i\alpha; 2m + 2, 1/2; 1). \]

Suppose \( \alpha = 1, m = 2 \). Then values of \( i^k R_k \) are easily generated using (7.2) and (1.20). We have \( R_0 = 1, iR_1 = 1/2, i^2 R_2 = 1/4 \), etc. Using standard tables of Bessel functions, if \( x = 1 \), seven terms of (7.1) give the six-decimal value 1.451140. If \( x = 2 \), nine terms give 1.293748. For tables of (7.1), see [18]. If \( x = 2 \), about 15 terms of the Taylor series are required to achieve six-decimal accuracy.

**Example 2.** We employ (2.7) and (2.8) to compute the error integrals for \( z = 2 \). Using nine terms, we find \( \text{Erf} 2 = 0.88208 14 \) and \( e^{-4} \text{Erf} 2 = 0.30134 04 \). About 19 terms of the Taylor series expansion are needed for the same accuracy.

**Example 3.** To illustrate computation of the exponential integral, it is sufficient to consider (6.10). If \( z = 4 \) and we use six terms of each series in (6.12), then the value of the integral (6.10) is 1.96728 94. Similarly, if \( z = 8 \), only eight terms of each series in (6.12) are needed to yield the value 2.65669 49. For \( z = 8 \), about 32 terms of the Taylor series expansion would be required to achieve the same accuracy.

* The author acknowledges the assistance of Sue Chapman and Betty Kahn for the development of numerical examples.
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