A Method for the Computation of the Fresnel Integrals and Related Functions

By Oscar L. Fleckner*

Abstract. A new method for computing the values of the Fresnel integrals and related functions is developed. Error estimates for the resulting power series are derived, and an application of the technique is discussed.

1. Introduction. The objective of this paper is to develop a method for computer generation of the functions \( C(x, \alpha) \) and \( S(x, \alpha) \) where

\[
C(x, \alpha) = \int_0^x \cos \frac{t}{\alpha} \, dt \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1),
\]
\[
S(x, \alpha) = \int_0^x \sin \frac{t}{\alpha} \, dt \quad (0 \leq \alpha \leq 1)
\]

for \( x \geq 0 \).

Note that \( S(x, 1) \) is the familiar sine integral \( Si(x) \). Also for \( \alpha = 1/2 \) we have

\[
(2\pi)^{-1/2} C(x, 1/2) = C(x) \quad \text{and} \quad (2\pi)^{-1/2} S(x, 1/2) = S(x),
\]

where \( C(x) \) and \( S(x) \) are the well-known Fresnel integrals (see [5]). Often in the literature \( C(\pi x^2/2) \) and \( S(\pi x^2/2) \) are called Fresnel integrals and are denoted by \( C(x) \) and \( S(x) \), respectively, (see [1]).

2. Analysis. The Maclaurin expansions for \( C(x, \alpha) \) and \( S(x, \alpha) \) are given by

\[
C(x, \alpha) = x^{(1-\alpha)} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r x^{2r}}{(2r + 1 - \alpha)(2r)!},
\]
\[
S(x, \alpha) = x^{(2-\alpha)} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r x^{2r}}{(2r + 2 - \alpha)(2r + 1)!}.
\]

By the ratio test one can show that these series converge for all real values of \( x \), although it is clear that for large \( x \) many terms are required before succeeding terms become negligible.

For relatively small values of \( x \) a digital computer program that evaluates the truncated power series for \( C(x, \alpha) \) and \( S(x, \alpha) \) directly will produce excellent results both in accuracy and speed. It is also evident that for large values of \( x \), use of the power series technique will lead to computational difficulties such as "round-off" error and loss of significance due to the large number of terms needed to insure a specified accuracy. Hence, an alternative computational technique is required for large values of \( x \).

For \( x \leq 5\pi/2 \), \( C(x, \alpha) \) can be evaluated by direct substitution in its truncated
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power series. Hence, let an arbitrary \( x_0 > 5\pi/2 \) be given. Let \( k \) be a nonnegative integer such that \( (2k + 1)\pi/2 < x_0 \leq (2k + 3)\pi/2 \) and put \( \theta_k = (2k + 1)\pi/2 \). Then it readily follows that

\[
C(x_0, \alpha) = C(\theta_k, \alpha) + (-1)^{k+1} \theta_k^{-\alpha} \int_0^{x_0 - \theta_k} (1 + y/\theta_k)^{-\alpha} \sin y dy.
\]

In a similar fashion, for \( x_1 > 2\pi \), \( m \) the greatest nonnegative integer such that \( m\pi < x_1 \), and \( \phi_m = m\pi \), we get

\[
S(x_1, \alpha) = S(\phi_m, \alpha) + (-1)^m \phi_m^{-\alpha} \int_0^{x_1 - \phi_m} (1 + y/\phi_m)^{-\alpha} \sin y dy.
\]

For notational simplicity, let

\[
H(p, \gamma, \alpha) = \int_0^p (1 + y/\gamma)^{-\alpha} \sin y dy.
\]

Then (2.2) and (2.3) become

\[
C(x_0, \alpha) = C(\theta_k, \alpha) + (-1)^{k+1} \theta_k^{-\alpha} H(x_0 - \theta_k, \theta_k, \alpha),
\]

\[
S(x_1, \alpha) = S(\phi_m, \alpha) + (-1)^m \phi_m^{-\alpha} H(x_1 - \phi_m, \phi_m, \alpha).
\]

Assuming that the values of \( C(\theta_k, \alpha) \) and \( S(\phi_m, \alpha) \) are known, the problem of evaluating the functions \( C(x, \alpha) \) and \( S(x, \alpha) \), as equations (2.5) show, has been reduced to the problem of evaluating the integral \( H \). We prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** If \( 0 < \rho < \gamma \) and \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \), and \( H(\rho, \gamma, \alpha) \) is as in (2.4), then

\[
H(\rho, \gamma, \alpha) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_\nu K_\nu(\rho, \gamma),
\]

where

\[
a_\nu = \frac{(-1)^\nu}{\nu!} (\alpha)_\nu = \frac{(-1)^\nu}{\nu!} \Gamma(\alpha + \nu)/\Gamma(\alpha),
\]

\[
K_\nu(\rho, \gamma) = \int_0^\rho (y/\gamma)^\nu \sin y dy \quad (\nu = 0, 1, \ldots).
\]

**Proof.** Since \( y/\gamma < 1 \) and \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \), the expansion of \( (1 + y/\gamma)^{-\alpha} \) is valid. Thus,

\[
\int_0^\rho (1 + y/\gamma)^{-\alpha} \sin y dy = \int_0^\rho \left[ 1 - \frac{(\alpha)_1}{1!} \frac{y}{\gamma} + \frac{(\alpha)_2}{2!} \left( \frac{y}{\gamma} \right)^2 - \frac{(\alpha)_3}{3!} \left( \frac{y}{\gamma} \right)^3 + \cdots \right] \sin y dy.
\]

Termwise integration yields

\[
H(\rho, \gamma, \alpha) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^\nu}{\nu!} (\alpha)_\nu K_\nu(\rho, \gamma),
\]

and the result is proved.

Applying Theorem 1 to the expressions for \( C(x_0, \alpha) \) and \( S(x_1, \alpha) \) given by equations (2.5), we obtain
COMPUTATION OF THE FRESNEL INTEGRALS

C(x_0, \alpha) = C(\theta_k, \alpha) + (-1)^{k+1} \theta_k^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k K_r(x_0 - \theta_k, \theta_k), \quad (2.8)

S(x_1, \alpha) = S(\phi_m, \alpha) + (-1)^{m} \phi_m^{-\alpha} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m K_r(x_1 - \phi_m, \phi_m).

We have now reduced our computational problem to evaluating a sum of the form

\[ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r K_r(\rho, \gamma) \]

where the changes of variable that we have performed have restricted $\rho$ such that $0 \leq \rho \leq \pi < \gamma$. The following theorem gives a recursion scheme for computing the values of $K_r(\rho, \gamma), r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

**Theorem 2.** The auxiliary functions $K_r(\rho, \gamma)$ satisfy the following recurrence relation:

\[ K_0(\rho, \gamma) = 1 - \cos \rho, \]
\[ K_1(\rho, \gamma) = (1/\gamma) \sin \rho - (\rho/\gamma) \cos \rho, \]
\[ K_n(\rho, \gamma) = c_n K_{n-2}(\rho, \gamma) + d_n, \quad n = 2, 3, \ldots, \]

where

\[ c_n = -n(n - 1)/\gamma^2, \]
\[ d_n = -(\rho/\gamma)^n \cos \rho + (n/\gamma)(\rho/\gamma)^{n-1} \sin \rho. \]

**Proof.** The expressions for $K_0(\rho, \gamma)$ and $K_1(\rho, \gamma)$ follow immediately by direct integration of (2.7). Two applications of integration by parts to (2.7) yield the desired result.

Equations (2.8) together with Theorems 1 and 2 solve the problem of the evaluation of the functions $C(x, \alpha)$ and $S(x, \alpha)$. Let us now try to estimate the rate of convergence of (2.9).

**Theorem 3.** If $0 \leq \rho \leq \pi < \gamma$, then for any positive integer $N$,

\[ \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n K_n(\rho, \gamma) \right| \leq \left| a_N K_N(\rho, \gamma) \right| \leq \left( \frac{\pi}{N+1} \right) \left( \frac{\pi}{\gamma} \right)^N. \]

**Proof.** Since $0 \leq \rho \leq \pi < \gamma$, we have

\[ (\gamma/\gamma)y \geq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq y \leq \rho. \]

Thus, $K_n(\rho, \gamma) \geq 0$ for all $n$, and

\[ K_n(\rho, \gamma) \leq (1/\gamma)^n \int_0^\rho y^n dy = \frac{\rho^{n+1}}{(n+1)\gamma^n}. \]

Hence,

\[ K_n(\rho, \gamma) \leq \left( \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \left( \frac{\pi}{\gamma} \right)^n, \]

and since $|a_n| \leq 1$ for all $n$, we have

\[ |a_n K_n(\rho, \gamma)| = |a_n| K_n(\rho, \gamma) \leq \left( \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \left( \frac{\pi}{\gamma} \right)^n \]

for all $n$. Therefore,
The latter sum of (2.14) converges by the ratio test. Therefore (2.9) converges by comparison. Applying the property of an alternating convergent series in which the terms are monotonically decreasing in magnitude yields the first inequality in (2.11). The result now follows from (2.13).

Applying Theorem 3 to the summations appearing in equations (2.8), we obtain

\[
\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r K_r(x_0 - \theta_k, \theta_k) - \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} a_r K_r(x_0 - \theta_k, \theta_k) \leq \frac{\pi}{(N+1)\pi} \left(\frac{\pi}{\theta_k}\right)^N \leq \frac{\pi}{(N+1)(k+1/2)^N}
\]

and

\[
\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r K_r(x_1 - \phi_m, \phi_m) - \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} a_r K_r(x_1 - \phi_m, \phi_m) \leq \frac{\pi}{(N+1)\pi} \left(\frac{\pi}{\phi_m}\right)^N \leq \frac{\pi}{(N+1)m^N}.
\]

3. An Application. The method derived in Section 2 of this paper for the computation of the functions \(C(x, \alpha)\) and \(S(x, \alpha)\) was carried out for the case of the Fresnel integrals \(C(x)\) and \(S(x)\) of (1.2).

In this case equations (2.8) become

\[
C(x) = C(\theta_k) + (-1)^{k+1}(2\pi\theta_k)^{-1/2} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r K_r(x_0 - \theta_k, \theta_k),
\]

\[
S(x) = S(\phi_m) + (-1)^m(2\pi\phi_m)^{-1/2} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r K_r(x_1 - \phi_m, \phi_m).
\]

The method itself was implemented as follows. A maximum value of the argument, \(x_{\text{max}}\), was chosen. In the case of \(C(x)\), the greatest nonnegative integer \(K\) was determined such that \((2K+1)\pi/2 < x_{\text{max}}\). Then the integrals \(C(\theta_k)\) were computed for \(k = 2, 3, \ldots, K\), where \(C(\theta_2)\) was evaluated by direct substitution in the truncated power series expansion for \(C(x)\). \(C(\theta_3), C(\theta_4), \ldots, C(\theta_K)\) were evaluated using equation (3.1). These values were then stored in a linear array, ordered by their subscripts. In the case of \(S(x)\), the greatest nonnegative integer \(M\) was determined such that \(M\pi < x_{\text{max}}\). The integrals \(S(\phi_m)\) were computed for \(m = 2, 3, \ldots, M\), where \(S(\phi_2)\) was computed from the truncated Maclaurin expansion. \(S(\phi_3), S(\phi_4), \ldots, S(\phi_M)\) were evaluated using (3.1). These values were also stored in an array according to their subscripts. These two tables, set up initially, were used throughout in the evaluation of \(C(x)\) and \(S(x)\) for various values of \(x\).

Now, for \(x' < x_{\text{max}}\), \(C(x')\) and \(S(x')\) were computed as follows. In the case of \(C(x')\), if \(0 \leq x' \leq 5\pi/2\), \(C(x')\) was evaluated by the direct substitution of \(x'\) in the truncated power series expansion for \(C(x)\). If \(x' > 5\pi/2\), the integer \(k\) was determined such that \((2k+1)\pi/2 \leq x' < (2k+3)\pi/2\). Then from the previously computed value of \(C(\theta_k)\), \(C(x')\) was determined from (3.1). For \(S(x')\), if \(0 \leq x' \leq 2\pi\), the power series technique was employed. If \(x' > 2\pi\), the integer \(m\) was found.
such that \( m\pi \leq x' < (m + 1)\pi \) and since \( S(\phi_m) \) is known, \( S(x') \) can also be evaluated using (3.1).

4. Results. The values of \( C(x) \) and \( S(x) \) were computed for \( x = 0(.2)60 \) for purposes of checking the method and program. \( x_{\text{max}} \) was chosen to be 100, and the program tolerance for the computation of \( C(\theta_k) \) and \( S(\phi_k) \), \( k = 2, 3, \ldots, 32 \) was \( \pm 10^{-8} \). In the computation of \( C(x) \) and \( S(x) \) for \( x = 0(.2)60 \) a tolerance of \( \pm 10^{-6} \) was chosen. The results of the computation are on deposit in the Unpublished Mathematical Tables file.

The computed values of \( C(x) \) and \( S(x) \) were compared with those compiled by T. Pearcey [6]. In all cases, a deviation of not more than two units in the sixth decimal place was observable.

The program, written in OSU SCATRAN (a compiler language similar to MAD and PL/I), is available on request from the author. The computations were done on an IBM 7094 computer in single precision (i.e. 8 or 9 significant digits).

Table 1 shows the number of terms, \( N \), that had to be included in order to achieve the desired accuracy in the computation of \( C(\theta_k) \) and \( S(\phi_k) \) for some representative values of \( k \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( k )</th>
<th>( N(C) )</th>
<th>( N(S) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Discussion. In connection with Theorem 2, it should be noted that the computational results might be enhanced by using the recurrence relation in the backward direction (see [3]).* Let \( K_n(\rho, \gamma) \) be computed for \( n = 0, 1, \ldots, N \) by forward recurrence (2.10), yielding \( \{y_n\} \). Suppose that initial errors \( \epsilon_0 \) and \( \epsilon_1 \) are introduced (due to rounding, for example) and that the succeeding calculations are performed with infinite precision, i.e. we have

\[
y_0 = K_0 + \epsilon_0, \quad y_1 = K_1 + \epsilon_1.
\]

Then, for \( n \) even, the relative error of \( y_n \) is

\[
|(y_n - K_n)/K_n| = [(n!)/\gamma^nK_n]|\epsilon_0|.
\]

Similarly, in case \( n \) is odd,

\[
|(y_n - K_n)/K_n| = [(n!)/\gamma^{n-1}K_n]|\epsilon_1|.
\]

Thus, from (2.12) and the fact that \( \gamma > \pi \), we get

\[
|(y_N - K_N)/K_N| \geq |(N + 1)!/\pi^{N+1}||\epsilon|,
\]

where \( |\epsilon| = \min(|\epsilon_0|, |\epsilon_1|) \).

* The author is indebted to the referee for pointing out this possibility.
(5.1) shows that the straightforward application of the recurrence relation (2.10) in the forward direction may lead to serious problems depending on how many terms of (2.9) are needed to insure the specified accuracy. This suggests that in some circumstances it would be best to use (2.10) in the backward direction.
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