result was obtained by the power series on p. 280 and independently by Gauss's formula on p. 286.

ROBERT S. SPIRA
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East Lansing, Michigan 48823


EDITORIAL NOTE. These same errors occur also in the revised edition, retitled *Tables of the Mathematical Functions*, and published by the Principia Press of Trinity University, San Antonio, Texas, 1963. (For additional errata see *Math. Comp.*, v. 19, 1965, pp. 696–698, RMT 131.)


Several typographical errors in this book have been previously announced in a review in this journal (*Math. Comp.*, v. 17, 1963, pp. 94–95). With reference to the error announced therein relative to the sign of $a_{n+1}$ in the second equation on p. 6, the following detailed clarification seems to be required. If $a_{n+1}$ is defined as positive, then the continued fraction is correctly written, but the signs of $a_i$ and $a_{n+1}$ in the recurrence relations should be negative. On the other hand, if $a_{n+1}$ is defined as $-n(2n - 1)/2$, then the recurrence relations read correctly, but the numerators in the continued fraction are incorrectly written as $-a_{n+1}$ and $-a_{n+2}$.

Additional errors, not noted in the review, are as follows:
- p. 2, last equation: on the right side, for $Z(x + iy)$, read $Z*(x + iy)$.
- p. 6, sixth equation: for $A_n$, read $B_n$.
- p. 6, last equation: for $Z(t*)$, read $Z*(t*)$.

HENRY E. FETTIS

Applied Mathematics Laboratory
Aerospace Research Laboratories
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433

497.—P. Poulet, “Table des nombres composés vérifiant le théorème de Fermat pour le module 2 jusqu'à 100.000.000,” *Sphinx*, v. 8, 1938, pp. 42–52.

In Table Errata 485, *Math. Comp.*, v. 25, 1971, p. 944, the last entry under “Insert” should read

$$N \quad p$$

*99036001 3001.

That is because this $N = 61 \cdot 541 \cdot 3001$, and therefore is a Carmichael number.
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