Applications of a Continued Fraction Algorithm to Some Class Number Problems

By M. D. Hendy

Abstract. We make extensive use of Lagrange's algorithm for the evaluation of the quotients in the continued fraction expansion of the quadratic surd \( \omega \), where \( \omega = \sqrt{d} \) for \( d = 2, 3 \mod 4 \) and \( (\sqrt{d} - 1)/2 \) for \( d = 1 \mod 4 \). The recursively generated terms \( Q_n \) in his algorithm lead to all norms of primitive algebraic integers of \( Q(\sqrt{d}) \) less than \( \sqrt{(D/4)} \), \( D \) being the discriminant. By ensuring that the values \( Q_n \) contain at most one small prime, we are able to generate sequences of determinants \( d \) of real quadratic fields whose genera usually contain more than one ideal class. Formulae for their fundamental units are given.

1. Norms of Principal Ideals. Let \( Q(\sqrt{d}) \) be a real quadratic field with discriminant \( D \), fundamental unit \( \epsilon \), and let \( \omega \) be the algebraic integer

\[
\omega = \frac{(\sqrt{d} - 1)/2}{\sqrt{d}}, \quad d \equiv 1 \mod 4,
\]

\[
= \sqrt{d}, \quad d \neq 1 \mod 4.
\]

We can expand \( \omega \) as an infinite continued fraction

\[
\omega = [a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n, \cdots],
\]

and calculate the \( n \)th convergent \( p_n/q_n \) from the quotients \( a_n \) in the standard way. It is shown (e.g. [1, Chapter 33]) that the set \( \{\pm p_n \pm q_n \omega\} \) includes all the primitive (i.e., no rational divisors other than \( \pm 1 \)) algebraic integers of \( Q(\sqrt{d}) \) with norm less than \( \sqrt{(D/4)} \). We adopt from [1] an algorithm due mainly to Lagrange for calculating the quotients \( a_n \). Recursively, with \( [x] \) meaning the largest integer \( \leq x \),

\[
a_n = [(P_n + \sqrt{d})/Q_n],
\]

where

\[
P_n = a_{n-1}Q_{n-1} - P_{n-1} \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
Q_n = (d - P_n^2)/Q_{n-1}
\]

and with initial values \( (P_0, Q_0) = (-1, 2) \) or \( (0, 1) \) depending on whether \( d \equiv 1 \mod 4 \) or not. The sequences of integers, \( a_n, P_n, Q_n \) are each periodic, of period \( l \), commencing with \( n = 1 \). If we set

\[
\alpha_n = p_n + \omega q_n,
\]

we find that \( \alpha_{n-1} = \epsilon, \alpha_{n+1} \) is an associate of \( \alpha_n \), and
The period \( l \), therefore, is the smallest positive integer \( n \) for which \( Q_n = Q_0 \). The cycles are reflective with

\[
Q_n = Q_{l-n} \quad \text{and} \quad a_n = a_{l-n}, \quad 0 < n < l.
\]

Hence, we find the sequence \((Q_n/Q_0), n = 1, 2, \ldots, l\) includes the norms of all principal ideals over \( Q(\sqrt{d}) \) with norm \( < \sqrt(D/4) \).

**2. Estimates of Class Number.**

Let \( v(m) \) be the number of primitive ideals over \( Q(\sqrt{d}) \) of norm \( m \geq 1 \). It is well known that if \( p \) is prime

\[
v(p) = (1 + (D/p)),
\]

where \((D/p)\) is the Legendre symbol. From elementary considerations, we can show that \( v \) is multiplicative, and, for \( r > 1 \),

\[
v(p^r) = (D/p)(1 + (D/p)).
\]

It is also well known that each ideal class over \( Q(\sqrt{d}) \) contains at least one primitive ideal with norm less than \( \sqrt(D/5) \). By comparing \( v(m) \) with the number of appearances of \( m \) in the sequence \((Q_n/Q_0), n = 1, 2, \ldots, l\), for each positive integer \( m < \sqrt(D/5) \), we can obtain some estimate of the number of classes \( h(d) \) of ideals over \( Q(\sqrt{d}) \). For example, \( h = 1 \) if and only if \( m \) appears in the sequence \( v(m) \) times, for each \( m \) in the interval.

Suppose the ideals over \( Q(\sqrt{d}) \) lie in \( g \) genera with \( f \) classes in each genus so that

\[
h(d) = fg.
\]

Suppose \( p \) is a prime for which none of its powers \( p^i, i \geq 1 \), appear in the sequence \((Q_n/Q_0)\), and for which \((D/p) = 1 \). Let \( A \) be an ideal of norm \( p \).

Suppose \( A \) belongs to the principal genus. As the set of classes of the principal genus is a group of order \( f \), the \( f \)th power of \( A, A^f \), must belong to the identity element, i.e., the principal class, and \( A^f \) is principal. Alternatively, if \( A \) does not belong to the principal genus, \( A^f \) does, so that \( A^{3f} \) is a principal ideal. No power of \( p \) occurs in the sequence, so

\[
p^{2f} > \sqrt(D/4),
\]

i.e.,

\[
2f > (\log_p(D/4))/2.
\]

(The value \( 2f \) can be replaced by \( f \) if we know \( A \) is in the principal genus, and, in particular, if \( g = 1 \).)

We can also give an upper bound to \( f \) by finding a limit to the number of classes in the principal genus. An ideal of norm \( m \) is in the principal genus if and only if the Jacobi symbol \((m/a) = 0 \) or \( 1 \) for each divisor \( a \equiv 1 \) (mod 4) of \( D \). When \( m \) has this property, we define \( \mu(m) = v(m) \), otherwise let \( \mu(m) = 0 \). Let \( \sigma(m) \) be the number
of appearances of \( m \) in \( (Q_n/Q_0) \), \( n = 1, 2, \cdots, l \). Then

\[
(2.6) \quad f \leq 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\lfloor \sqrt{D/5} \rfloor} (\mu(m) - \sigma(m)).
\]

For many values of \( d \), the bounds (2.5) and (2.6) are sufficient to determine \( f \), and hence \( h \), precisely.

The occurrence of values \( d \) for which \( f > 1 \) is relatively low. The first such value is \( f = 3 \) for \( d = 79 \). In the range \( 1 < d < 2025 \), only 213 (17.4\%) of the 1227 square-free values of \( d \) have \( f > 1 \) [2]. Kloss [3] reported that of the primes \( p = 1 \) (mod 4) in the range \( 5 \leq p \leq 105269 \) about 80\% of the fields \( Q(\sqrt{p}) \) have \( f (= h) = 1 \). The proportion in smaller intervals was relatively stable.

In order to find values of \( d \) for which \( f > 1 \), we need to locate values of \( d \) for which a relatively small prime \( p \) (\( p < (D/4)^{1/4} \)) can occur in Eq. (2.5). For each odd prime \( p \), approximately \( (p - 1)/2p \) are quadratic residues (mod \( p \)). Hence, one would expect heuristically that the proportion of numbers in such an interval which are not quadratic residues for at least one of the first \( n \) odd primes to be of the order of \( 2^{-n} \).

If we can generate values of \( d \) for which the sequence \( (Q_n/Q_0) \) contains at most one small prime, then the greater the value of \( d \), the larger, in general, the value of \( f \).

We do this in two ways: firstly by generating all values of \( d \) for which the period \( l = 0 \) (mod 2), and secondly by finding values of \( d \) for which the corresponding sequence \( (Q_n/Q_0) \) contains only one integer \( c \) and its powers.

3. Fields with Small Periods. If the period of \( Q(\sqrt{d}) \), \( l \equiv 0 \) (mod 2), then it can be shown by elementary means that the principal ideal \( (\alpha_{l/2}) \) is ambiguous, and its norm \( Q_{l/2}/Q_0 \) is a divisor of \( D \). Also, as \( (\alpha_1) = (1) \), the only nonambiguous principal ideals occur for \( l \leq 4 \), when \( l = 3 \); \( (\alpha_1) \) and \( (\alpha_2) \) and when \( l = 4 \); \( (\alpha_1) \) and \( (\alpha_3) \). These are conjugate ideals, of the same norm, hence, although \( (\alpha_2^2) \) is also principal, its norm is not represented in the sequence \( (Q_n/Q_0) \), \( n = 1, \cdots, 4 \). Hence, \( (Q_{l/2}/Q_0)^2 > \sqrt{(D/4)} \), so that

\[
(3.1) \quad Q_{l/2}/Q_0 > (D/4)^{1/4}.
\]

The same result holds for both values of \( l \). Hence, the only numbers in the sequence \( 1 < (Q_n/Q_0) < (D/4)^{1/4} \) are \( Q_{l/2}/Q_0 \) when \( l = 2 \), and \( Q_4/Q_0 \) when \( l = 4 \); so, for \( l \leq 4 \), \( (Q_n/Q_0) \) can contain at most one small prime.

In order to generate such values of \( d = a^2 + b \), with \( b \leq 2a \), it is convenient to consider three cases separately. These will be: (i) \( d \neq 1 \) (mod 4), (ii) \( d \equiv 1 \) (mod 4), \( b = 0 \) (mod 4), (iii) \( d = 1 \) (mod 4), \( b = 1 \) (mod 4). By considering the reflective properties (1.8), (1.9) and (1.10) of the algorithm (1.3)-(1.5), we can, subject to some bounds and modular constraints, specify the first \( [(l + 4)/4] \) values of \( a_n \), and the first \( [(l + 2)/4] \) values of \( P_n \). With these values nominated, \( d \) is then uniquely determined. However, in case (iii), we find necessarily that \( a_1 = 1 \), reducing the number of parameters in this case. Hence, for cases (i) and (ii), \( d \) is specified by \( [(l + 2)/2] \) parameters, and, in case (iii), by \( [l/2] \) parameters.

For example, to find all the values of \( d = a^2 + b \) in case (iii) with length 3, we have \( d = b = 1 \) (mod 4) and \( a = 0 \) (mod 2) as it is case (iii). From Eqs. (1.3)-(1.5), we can calculate the first few values of \( P_n, Q_n, a_n \). These are given in Table I.
Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_n$</td>
<td>$a - 1$</td>
<td>$(b + 1)/2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_n$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$a + (b - 1)/2$</td>
<td>$a - (b - 1)/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_n$</td>
<td>$(a - 2)/2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>... etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By Eq. (1.9), $Q_1 = Q_2$, so $b = 1$, $d = a^2 + 1 = (2r)^2 + 1$, with $2r = a$. For sufficiency, we expand $d = (2r)^2 + 1$, again using Eqs. (1.3)–(1.5) to ensure that we will always get $l = 3$. This expansion is given in Table II.

Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_n$</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>$2r - 1$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2r - 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_n$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2r$</td>
<td>$2r$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_n$</td>
<td>$r - 1$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hence, $d = (2r)^2 + 1$ is of length 3 provided $r > 1$. No modular constraints need be placed as all values are integral.

In a similar way, we calculate the eleven other cases. Their expansions corresponding to Table II will be given as an appendix. We summarise the results in Theorem 1.

**Theorem 1.** The periods $l \leq 4$ occur precisely for the values of $d$ listed in Table III.

Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$l = 1$:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i) $d = (2r - 1)^2 + 1$, $r \equiv 1$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) $d = (2r - 1)^2 + 4$, $r \equiv 2$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) $d = 5$. (In this case, as $\omega &lt; 1$, we expand $1 + \omega$.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$l = 2$:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i) $d = (rs)^2/4 + r \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $rs \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $r, s \geq 2$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) $d = (rs)^2 + 4r$, $rs \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $r, s \geq 3$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) $d = (2r + 1)^2 - 4$, $r \equiv 2$.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$l = 3$:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i) $d = (r(4rs + 1) + s)^2 + 4rs + 1$, $r \not\equiv s \pmod{2}$, $r, s \equiv 1$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) $d = (r(4rs + 1) + s)^2 + 4rs + 1$, $(r - 1)(s - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $r, s \equiv 1$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) $d = (2r)^2 + 1$, $r \equiv 2$.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$l = 4$:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i) $d = (rs + t)^2/4 + r \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $rs \equiv t \pmod{2}$, $rs \equiv t \pmod{(st + 1)}$, $r, s \equiv 2$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) $d = (rs + t)^2 + 4r$, $rs \equiv t \pmod{2}$, and $r &gt; t \geq 1$, $s \geq 1$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) $d = (rs)^2 - 4r$, $rs \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $r, s \geq 3$.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the appendix, we can extract the values of the sequence $(Q_n/Q_0)$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots, l$. These are given in Table IV.
The above tables do not include squares nor multiples of 4, but contain many numbers with square factors. As our interest lies in fields $Q(\sqrt{d})$ where $d$ is square-free, we will restrict our reference to only square-free values of $d$ in the above tables.

From an examination of the values of $f$ and a comparison with $f$ in the interval $1000 < d < 2000$ [2], we find a general trend for the values of $f > 1$ to be associated with small values of $l$. For example, over 60% of the values of $d$ with $l \leq 4$ have $f > 1$, while less than 10% of the values of $d$ with $l > 4$ have $f > 1$. The most extreme case against this trend is $d = 1171$ with $l = 26$ and $f = 3$. Its exceptional nature can be accounted for from the fact that 1171 is a quadratic residue for each of the first six odd primes. (Cf. Table I in [4], where values of $N_p = 1 \pmod{8}$ are listed, $N_p$ being the smallest integer which is a quadratic residue for $3, 5, 7, \cdots, p$. $N_7 = 18001$.)

Also, from Table III, we can construct sequences of values of $d$, which, provided they are square-free, will give an increasing sequence of values of $f$ by Eq. (2.5). For example, if we consider the sequence

$$(3.2) \quad d_n = (6n - 3)^2 + 1,$$

we find $(d_n/3) = 1$. If $d_n$ is square-free, then, by Eq. (2.5),

$$(3.3) \quad f > \left(\frac{\log_3(2n - 1)}{2}\right),$$

which is unbounded as $n$ increases. It seems likely from the Hardy-Littlewood conjectures (e.g., see [5]) that an infinite number of the $d_n$ are prime, and even more likely, that an infinite number are square-free. Similar results can be constructed from other sequences from Theorem 1.

4. Generalisation of Shanks' Sequence. The second method outlined in Section 2 is to find values of $d$ for which the sequence $(Q_n/Q_0)$ contains only powers of one integer $c$. For example, Daniel Shanks in [6] introduces the sequence

$$(4.1) \quad S_n = (2^n + 3)^2 - 8.$$

For values of $S_n$ that are square-free, the sequence $(Q_n/Q_0)$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots, l$, where $l = 2n + 1$, takes the values

$$(4.2) \quad 2^n, 2, 2^{n-1}, 2^2, \cdots, 2^{n-1}, 2, 2^n, 1$$

so that

$$(4.3) \quad Q_{2r} = 2^rQ_0, \quad Q_{2r+1} = 2^{n-r}Q_0.$$

We will consider the more general problem of constructing values of $d$ so that the corresponding sequence $(Q_n/Q_0)$ is
(4.4) \[ Q_{2r} = c^r Q_0, \quad Q_{2r+1} = c^{-r} Q_0, \]
for integers \( c > 1 \).

From Eq. (1.5), we can derive, for each \( r \geq 1 \),

(4.5) \[ Q_{2r-1} Q_{2r} = c^{r+1} Q_0 = d - P_{2r+1}^2 \]
and

(4.6) \[ Q_{2r-1} Q_{2r} = c^{r+1} Q_0 = d - P_{2r}^2. \]

Hence, \( P_{2r+1}^2 = d - c^r Q_0 = P_1^2, P_{2r}^2 = d - c^{r+1} Q_0 = P_2^2, P_1 > P_2 \) and \( P_1^2 - P_2^2 = c^r Q_0 (c - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \), for \( n \geq 1 \). Hence, let \( P = (P_1 + P_2)/2 \) and \( k = P - P_2 \). Thus, we obtain

(4.7) \[ P_{2r+1} = P + k, \quad P_{2r} = P - k, \]
with \( k > 0 \). From Eq. (1.4), we can show that \( Q_{r+1} = Q_{r-1} + a_c (P_r - P_{r+1}) \); so, for each \( r \geq 1 \),

(4.8) \[ Q_c c^{r+1} = Q_0 c^r + 2k a_{2r+1} \]
and

(4.9) \[ Q_c c^{-r} = Q_0 c^{-r+1} - 2k a_{2r}. \]

Hence,

(4.10) \[ 2k a_{2r+1} = c^r (c - 1) Q_0 \]
and

(4.11) \[ 2k a_{2r} = c^{-r} (c - 1) Q_0. \]

Equations (4.10) and (4.11) hold for each value of \( r \geq 1 \), hence \( 2k \mid (c - 1)Q_0 \).

Suppose

(4.12) \[ 2k m = Q_0 (c - 1), \]
so that, for \( r \geq 1 \),

(4.13) \[ a_{2r} = m c^{-r}, \quad a_{2r+1} = m c^r. \]

Using Eq. (1.5), we can show that

(4.14) \[ 4 P k = P_1^2 - P_2^2 = c^r (c - 1) Q_0 = 2k m c^r Q_0, \]
and hence

(4.15) \[ P = (Q_0 m c^r)/2. \]

From Eq. (1.5), we also find

(4.16) \[ d = ((Q_0 m c^r)/2 + k)^2 + Q_0^2 b^r. \]

We now consider two cases, depending on whether or not \( d \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \).

Case A. \( d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4} \). Thus \( Q_0 = 1 \) and, by Eq. (4.15), \( c \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \) \( \Rightarrow m \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \), as \( P \) is integral. Let \( m = 2q \), so that Eq. (4.12) becomes

(4.17) \[ 4q k = c - 1, \]
so \( c \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, d = (q c^n + k)^2 + c^n \equiv (q + k)^2 + 1 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4} \). Hence \( q + k \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \), so \( qk \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \), and Eq. (4.17) gives \( c \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \).

Thus, in Case A, the values of \( d \) given by Eq. (4.16) are

(4.18) \[ d = (q c^n + k)^2 + c^n, \]
with \( c = 1 \pmod{8} \) and \( q_k = (c - 1)/4 \). All the values of \( d \) given by Eq. (4.18) give rise to the sequence (4.4). For example, with \((c, k, q) = (9, 2, 1)\), we obtain the sequence (4.19)
\[
\frac{d_n}{9^n + 2^n + 9^n}.
\]
In particular, \( d_5 = 535090 \) which has, for the first seven values of \( Q_n, Q_1, \ldots, Q_7: 729, 9, 81, 81, 9, 729, 1. \)

Case B. \( d = 1 \pmod{4} \), in which case \( Q_0 = 2 \) and, by Eq. (4.12),
\[
(4.20) \quad km = c - 1.
\]
From Eq. (4.16) with \( d = 1 \pmod{4} \) and Eq. (4.20), we find
\[
(4.21) \quad d = (mc^n + k)^2 + 4c^n,
\]
with \( c = mk + 1 \). To ensure \( d = 1 \pmod{4} \), we require \( mc^n + k = mc + k = 1 \pmod{2} \). However, by Eq. (4.20), this means \((mk + 1)m + k = mk + m + k = 1 \pmod{2}\), so that
\[
(4.22) \quad (m + 1)(k + 1) = 0 \pmod{2},
\]
i.e., one of \( m, k \) must be odd. Provided this condition is met, we can obtain the sequence (4.4). For example, with \((c, k, m) = (2, 1, 1)\), we obtain Shanks' sequence
\[
(4.23) \quad d = S_n = (2n + 1)^2 + 4\cdot 2^n = (2^n + 3)^2 - 8.
\]
Other examples will be given in the appendix.

5. Fundamental Units. Having obtained the quotients \( a_n \) from Eq. (1.3), we can readily calculate the fundamental unit \( \alpha_{n-1} \) from Eq. (1.6). From Eq. (1.7), we note
\[
(5.1) \quad N(\varepsilon) = (-1)^n.
\]
Corresponding to each field extracted from the values of \( d \) in Table III, we can set up a table of their fundamental units (see Table V).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( d )</th>
<th>( \varepsilon )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>((2r - 1)^2 + 1)</td>
<td>((2r - 1) + \sqrt{d})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((2r - 1)^2 + 4)</td>
<td>((2r - 1) + \sqrt{d}/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>((1 + \sqrt{d})/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((rs)^2/4 + r)</td>
<td>((rs^2 + 2)/2 + s\sqrt{d})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((rs)^2 + 4r)</td>
<td>((rs^2 + 2) + s\sqrt{d}/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((2r + 1)^2 - 4)</td>
<td>((2r + 1) + \sqrt{d})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((r(4rs + 1) + s)^2 + 4rs + 1)</td>
<td>((4r^2 + 1)^2s + r(4r^2 + 3) + (4r^2 + 1)\sqrt{d})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((r(rs + 1) + s)^2 + 4(rs + 1))</td>
<td>((r^2 + 1)^2s + r(4r^2 + 3) + (r^2 + 1)\sqrt{d}/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((2r)^2 + 1)</td>
<td>(2r + \sqrt{d})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((rs + r)^2/4 + r)</td>
<td>((rs + st + 1)^2 + 2s(rs - t) + s(rs - st + 1)\sqrt{d}/2(st + 1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((rs + r)^2 + 4r)</td>
<td>((rs + st + 2)^2 - 2(st + 1) + s(rs + st + 1)\sqrt{d}/2(st + 1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((rs)^2 - 4r)</td>
<td>((rs^2 - 2) + s\sqrt{d}/2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For the sequences of Section 4, we obtain by Eq. (4.13) the sequence \((a_n) = a_0, m, mc^{-1}, mc, mc^{-2}, mc^2, \ldots\). From this, we can calculate the coefficients \(p_r, q_r\) recursively. Less calculation is involved in finding \(q_r\), than in finding \(p_r\), and as \(l = 2n + 1\) is odd, we can obtain the coefficients \(u, v\) of \(\epsilon = u + v\sqrt{d}\) from

\[
\begin{align*}
v &= q_{2n}^2, \\
u &= (d q_{2n}^2 - Q_0)^{1/2}.
\end{align*}
\]

We find by induction that

\[
q_{2r} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left( \sum_{t=0}^{i-1} \left( \frac{r - t}{s} \left( \frac{s + t - 1}{s - 1} \right) c r^{(n-1)-1} \right) m^{2r},
\]

and

\[
q_{2r+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \left( \sum_{t=0}^{i} \left( \frac{n - t}{s} \left( \frac{s + t - 1}{s - 1} \right) c r^{(n-1)-1} \right) m^{2r+1},
\]

hence

\[
v = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{t=0}^{i} \left( \frac{n - t}{s} \left( \frac{s + t - 1}{s - 1} \right) c r^{(n-1)-1} \right) m^{2r}.
\]

For example, in the case \(d = (9^3 + 2)^2 + 9^3 = 535090\), we find by Eq. (5.6) that \(v = 34\,351529\). Rather than use Eq. (5.3) directly, computation is shortened by noting the approximation

\[
u = v \sqrt{d}.
\]

In this case, \(v \sqrt{d} = 25128 \, 090632,99997\). Taking \(v\) as 25128 \, 090633, we find \(u^2 = dv^2 - 1 = 631 \, 420938 \, 860262 \, 340689\).

As \(n\) increases, so does the complexity of calculation in Eq. (5.6), and in fact, for \(n > 15\), multiprecision arithmetical subroutines are needed to compute \(v\). However, if only approximate values of \(u, v\) etc. are required, we can rearrange Eq. (5.6) to isolate the leading terms.

For example, in the case of Shanks' sequence \(d_n = (2n + 3)^2 - 8\), with \(c = 2, m = 1\) (Eq. (4.23)), we can rearrange Eq. (5.6) to give

\[
\begin{align*}
v &= 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{t=1}^{r} \frac{n - r + 1}{n - q + 1} \sum_{q=1}^{n} \left( \frac{n - r + 1}{n - q + 1} \right) 2^{(n-q)} \right) 2^{(n-q)}
\end{align*}
\]

whose leading terms give the approximation

\[
\begin{align*}
v &= 2^{n-3}(1 + (3n - 1)2^{-n} + \left( \frac{2n^2}{3} - \frac{3n}{2} \right) 2^{-2n} + (\frac{9n^2}{2} - 24n^2 + 6n - 25)2^{-3n} + O(n^42^{-4n}))
\end{align*}
\]

Using the approximation \(\sqrt{d} = 2^n(1 + 3.2^{-n} - 4.2^{-2n} + 12.2^{-3n} + O(2^{-4n}))\) in Eq. (5.7), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
u &= 2^n(1 + (3n + 2)2^{-n} + (\frac{2n^2}{3} - \frac{3n}{2} + 2n + 6)2^{-3n} + O(n^42^{-4n})),
\end{align*}
\]

and use \(\epsilon = 2u\) for an approximation for \(\epsilon\).
In a recent paper [7], Shanks required an asymptotic value for \( \log e^2 \) in the computation of the class number \( h(S_n) \). He quotes from a paper, yet to be published [8], the result

\[
\log(e^2) = 2n^2 \log 2 + O(n^{-2}).
\]

Using Eq. (5.10) and the log series, we can refine Eq. (5.11) to

\[
\log(e^2) = (2n^2 + 2) \log 2 + (6n + 4)2^{-n}
\]

\[
- (13n + 8)2^{-2n} + (42n + 78)2^{-3n} + O(n^{4-4n}).
\]

However, for other than relatively small values of \( n \), we can use the approximation of \((2n^2 + 2) \log 2\). In the case \( n = 19 \) quoted by Shanks, (5.12) gives 501.838653, with the remainder terms after \((2n^2 + 2) \log 2\) contributing only 0.000225. (Cf. (5.11) giving 500.452134.)

6. Conclusion. In his algorithm for calculating class numbers of real quadratic fields, Shanks [7] notes that the efficiency of computation is greatly enhanced by knowing a priori the fundamental unit of the field, and in the cases listed above with only a small number of easily recognised primitive principal ideals, other problems in his algorithm are reduced.

Obviously, it would be possible to classify all fields of any given period, by extending the processes of Section 3. However, the current method has a practical barrier to indefinite extension in the sheer mass of algebraic manipulation with increasing numbers of parameters being required. There may well be other more fruitful approaches to the problem.

7. Appendix.

\( l = 1: \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c|cc|cc}
\text{d} & (2r - 1)^2 + 1 & (2r - 1)^2 + 4 & 5 \\
n & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
P_n & 0 & 2r - 1 & -1 & 2r - 1 \\
Q_n & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\
a_n & 2r - 1 & r - 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

\( l = 2: \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c|cc|cc}
\text{d} & (rs)^2/4 + r & (rs)^2 + 4r & (2r + 1)^2 - 4 \\
n & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
P_n & 0 & \frac{r}{2} & \frac{rs}{2} & -1 & rs & rs & -1 & 2r - 1 & 2r - 1 & 2 \\
Q_n & 1 & r & 1 & 2 & 2r & 2 & 2r - 1 & 2r - 1 & 2 \\
a_n & \frac{rs}{2} & \frac{rs - 1}{2} & s & r & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

\( l = 3: \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c|cc|cc}
\text{d} & (r(4rs + 1) + s)^2 + 4rs + 1 \\
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
    n & \quad 0 & \quad 1 & \quad 2 & \quad 3 \\
    P_n & \quad 0 & \quad r(4rs + 1) + s & \quad r(4rs + 1) - s & \quad r(4rs + 1) - s \\
    Q_n & \quad 1 & \quad 4rs + 1 & \quad 4rs + 1 & \quad 1 \\
    a_n & \quad r(4rs + 1) + s & \quad 2r & \quad 2r \\
    d & \quad (r(4rs + 1) + s)^2 + 4(4rs + 1) & \quad (r(4rs + 1) + s)^2 + 4 \left( 4rs + 1 \right) \\
\end{align*}
\]

\( l = 4: \)

\[
\begin{align*}
    d & = (rs + t)^2/4 + r & \quad d = (rs + t)^2/4 + r \\
    n & \quad 0 & \quad 1 & \quad 2 & \quad 3 & \quad 4 & \quad 0 & \quad 1 & \quad 2 & \quad 3 & \quad 4 \\
    P_n & \quad 0 & \quad \frac{rs + t}{2} & \quad \frac{rs - t}{2} & \quad \frac{rs - t}{2} & \quad -1 & \quad rs + t & \quad rs - t & \quad rs - t & \quad rs + t \\
    Q_n & \quad 1 & \quad r & \quad \frac{1 + st}{2} & \quad r & \quad 1 & \quad 2 & \quad 2r & \quad 2(s + t + 1) & \quad 2r & \quad 2 \\
    a_n & \quad \frac{rs + t}{2} & \quad s & \quad \frac{rs - t}{1 + st} & \quad \frac{rs - t}{1 + st} & \quad s & \quad \frac{rs - t}{1 + st} & \quad s \\
    d & \quad (rs)^2 - 4r \\
    n & \quad 0 & \quad 1 & \quad 2 & \quad 3 & \quad 4 \\
    P_n & \quad -1 & \quad rs - 2 & \quad rs - 2r & \quad rs - 2r & \quad rs - 2 \\
    Q_n & \quad 2 & \quad 2(rs - r - 1) & \quad 2r & \quad 2(rs - r - 1) & \quad 2 \\
    a_n & \quad \frac{rs - 3}{2} & \quad 1 & \quad s - 2 & \quad 1 \\
\end{align*}
\]

\( d \neq 1 \pmod{4} \quad d \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \)

\[
\begin{align*}
    (c, k, q) & \quad d & \quad (c, k, m) & \quad d \\
    (9, 1, 2) & \quad (2.9^* + 1)^2 + 9^* & \quad (2, 1, 1) & \quad (1.2^* + 1)^2 + 4.2^* \\
    (9, 2, 1) & \quad (1.9^* + 2)^2 + 9^* & \quad (3, 1, 2) & \quad (2.3^* + 1)^2 + 4.3^* \\
    (17, 1, 4) & \quad (4.17^* + 1)^2 + 17^* & \quad (3, 2, 1) & \quad (1.3^* + 2)^2 + 4.3^* \\
    (17, 4, 1) & \quad (1.17^* + 4)^2 + 17^* & \quad (4, 1, 3) & \quad (3.4^* + 1)^2 + 4.4^* \\
    (25, 1, 6) & \quad (6.25^* + 1)^2 + 25^* & \quad (4, 3, 1) & \quad (1.4^* + 3)^2 + 4.4^* \\
    (25, 2, 3) & \quad (3.25^* + 2)^2 + 25^* & \quad (5, 1, 4) & \quad (4.5^* + 1)^2 + 4.5^* \\
    (25, 3, 2) & \quad (2.25^* + 3)^2 + 25^* & \quad (5, 4, 1) & \quad (1.5^* + 4)^2 + 4.5^* \\
    (25, 6, 1) & \quad (1.25^* + 6)^2 + 25^* & \quad (6, 1, 5) & \quad (5.6^* + 1)^2 + 4.6^* \\
\end{align*}
\]

etc.
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