

## TABLE ERRATA

**548.**—MILTON ABRAMOWITZ & IRENE A. STEGUN, Editors, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series, No. 55, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., 1964, and all known reprints.

In Table 9.5, on p. 409, the final digit in the 10D values of  $j_{0,s}$  should be decreased by a unit when  $s = 4, 5$ , and  $8$ , as implied by a calculation of Gerber [1]. Also, the 10D value of  $J'_0(j_{0,s})$  for  $s = 2$  should be increased by a unit in the last place.

A recalculation to 15S of those parts of Table 9.8 (pp. 416–422) involving  $I_n(x)$  revealed the following terminal-digit errors in addition to those announced by Fettis and Caslin [2], [3]. The 10D values of  $e^{-x}I_0(x)$  should each be increased by a final unit when  $x = 10.4, 16.0, 18.6, 19.4$ , and  $19.6$ . The 10D values of  $e^{-x}I_1(x)$  should each be similarly increased when  $x = 10.4, 12.2, 13.2, 14.6, 16.0, 16.8$ , and  $18.0$ , and they should be decreased by a like amount when  $x = 13.0, 15.0, 16.4, 17.2$ , and  $19.0$ . The 10D values of  $x^{-2}I_2(x)$  should be increased by a final unit when  $x = 0.4, 1.5, 1.6, 1.9, 3.9$ , and  $4.0$ , and they should be decreased by the same amount when  $x = 0.8, 2.3, 4.5$ , and  $5.0$ . When  $x = 3.4$  an increase of two final units is necessary. The 9D values of  $e^{-x}I_2(x)$  should each be increased by a final unit when  $x = 6.3$  and  $8.0$ , and the 9D value of  $x^{1/2}e^{-x}I_1(x)$  should be similarly increased when  $x^{-1} = 0.050$ .

A similar recalculation of Table 9.9 (pp. 423–424) revealed that the 5S value of  $e^{-x}I_9(x)$  should be increased by a final unit when  $x = 13.5$ . (It should be noted that beginning with the fourth printing the value of  $e^{-x}I_6(x)$  when  $x = 0.2$  has been corrected to read  $(-9)1.1388$  in place of  $(-8)1.1388$ .)

In Table 9.10 (pp. 425–427) the 7D value of  $10^{11}x^{-11}I_{11}(x)$  should be increased by a final unit when  $x = 5.0$ . The 5–6D values of  $10^{24}x^{-20}I_{20}(x)$  should each be decreased by a final unit when  $x = 9.8$  and  $19.4$ . The 5–6D values of  $10^{26}x^{-21}I_{21}(x)$  should be similarly decreased when  $x = 0.2$  and  $0.8$ , and increased by the same amount when  $x = 0.4, 5.6$  and  $9.4$ . The 8D values of  $\ln[x^{1/2}e^{-x}I_{10}(x)]$  should be increased by a final unit when  $x^{-1} = 0.048, 0.047, 0.040, 0.039, 0.037, 0.025, 0.020, 0.019, 0.013, 0.008, 0.007, 0.005, 0.004$ , and  $0.002$ . The 6D values of  $\ln[x^{1/2}e^{-x}I_n(x)]$  should be similarly increased for  $n = 20, x^{-1} = 0.004$  and for  $n = 21, x^{-1} = 0.049$ .

It should be noted that all these end-figure corrections are within the error tolerance specified on p. ix of the Introduction.

OVE SKOVGAARD

Institute of Hydrodynamics & Hydraulic Engineering  
 Technical University of Denmark  
 DK – 2800 Lyngby, Denmark

1. HENRY GERBER, "First one hundred zeros of  $J_0(x)$  accurate to 19 significant figures," *Math. Comp.*, v. 18, 1964, pp. 319–322.
2. MTE 418, *Math. Comp.*, v. 22, 1968, p. 244.
3. RMT 13, *Math. Comp.*, v. 24, 1970, p. 233.

**549.**—W. A. BEYER & M. S. WATERMAN, *Decimals and Partial Quotients of Euler's Constant and  $\ln 2$* , UMT 19, *Math. Comp.*, v. 28, 1974, p. 667.

The value of  $\gamma$  here to 7114D is correct only to 4879D. Starting at 4880D the

value here is ...2349 while it should be ...9424. Correspondingly, the continued fraction for  $\gamma$  here is correct only to the partial quotient  $a_{4793} = 3$ . Beyond this it is wrong. The error was discovered when I compared these tables with Brent's work – see UMT 1 in this issue. The authors traced it to an error in their value of  $\ln 2$  at 4879D, and attribute it to a machine error. The long history of  $\pi$ ,  $\gamma$ , etc. shows that it is necessary to compute them twice, using different formulas, if the results are to be really trustworthy. These errors affect the text in the review above and also in their paper [1].

The authors have now recomputed these numbers. The new copy is marked "Revision of UMT 19, Jan. 21, 1976." It is placed in the same folder as the original copy.

D. S.

1. W. A. BEYER & M. S. WATERMAN, "Error analysis of a computation of Euler's constant," *Math. Comp.*, v. 28, 1974, pp. 599–604.

550.—I. S. GRADSHTEYN & I. M. RYZHIK, *Tables of Integrals, Series, and Products*, 4th ed., Academic Press, New York, 1965.

On p. 516, the right side of formula 4.117.5 (and its source in the tables of Bierns de Haan [1]) should read

$$-ae^{-a} - cha \ln(1 - e^{-2a}).$$

NEVILLE I. ROBINSON

Department of Civil Engineering  
University of Waterloo  
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

1. D. BIERENS DE HAAN, *Nouvelles Tables d'Intégrales Définies*, Hafner, New York, 1957 (corrected reprint of the edition of 1867), p. 557, Table 388.7.

551.—A. GRAY, G. B. MATHEWS & T. M. MACROBERT, *A Treatise on Bessel Functions and Their Applications to Physics*, 2nd ed., Macmillan, London, 1922, reprinted by Dover Publications, New York, 1966.

Recalculation to 15S of Tables VII and VIII, using Algorithm BESLRI by Sookne [1], has revealed a total of 248 terminal-digit errors in the 9D values of  $I_0(x)$  and  $I_1(x)$  therein. Just three of these errors occur in the values of  $I_0(x)$ ; namely, the final decimal should be increased by a unit for  $x = 1.09, 1.15, \text{ and } 4.31$ .

In the 9D table of  $I_1(x)$  the final decimal should be increased by a unit in 80 entries, corresponding respectively to  $x = 0.03, 0.07, 0.09, 0.14, 0.16, 0.19, 0.24, 0.32, 0.43, 0.48, 0.50, 0.55, 0.64, 0.70, 0.77, 0.80, 0.85, 0.91, 1.02, 1.03, 1.04, 1.07, 1.08, 1.09, 1.18, 1.21, 1.30, 1.33, 1.35, 1.36, 1.37, 1.39, 1.42, 1.49, 1.50, 1.51, 1.55, 1.59, 1.70, 1.83, 1.94, 1.98, 1.99, 2.03, 2.29, 2.35, 2.43, 2.44, 2.77, 2.79, 2.82, 2.83, 2.95, 3.04, 3.16, 3.23, 3.25, 3.31, 3.36, 3.40, 3.41, 3.45, 3.58, 3.59, 3.77, 3.84, 3.94, 3.97, 4.19, 4.21, 4.23, 4.25, 4.43, 4.61, 4.63, 4.77, 4.79, 4.82, 4.84, \text{ and } 5.05$ ; it should be decreased by a unit in the 124 entries corresponding to  $x = 0.05, 0.11, 0.13, 0.18, 0.21, 0.23, 0.26, 0.31, 0.33, 0.41, 0.53, 0.61, 0.62, 0.66, 0.67, 0.75, 0.99, 1.12, 1.14, 1.17, 1.29, 1.46, 1.53, 1.57, 1.64, 1.68, 1.69, 1.73, 1.75, 1.77, 1.82, 1.84, 1.85, 1.87, 1.97, 2.07, 2.10, 2.12, 2.13, 2.17, 2.27, 2.33, 2.45, 2.48, 2.49, 2.50, 2.54, 2.57, 2.58, 2.62, 2.64, 2.68, 2.69, 2.70, 2.72, 2.84, 2.85, 2.87, 2.89, 2.98, 3.03, 3.12, 3.14, 3.29, 3.30, 3.32, 3.33, 3.35, 3.44, 3.47, 3.48, 3.49, 3.51, 3.54, 3.57, 3.67, 3.68, 3.71, 3.72, 3.73, 3.74, 3.78, 3.82, 3.85, 3.88, 3.89, 3.92, 3.93, 3.95, 3.98, 4.01, 4.03, 4.04, 4.08, 4.09, 4.10, 4.12, 4.15, 4.18, 4.26, 4.29, 4.31, 4.32, 4.33, 4.34, 4.35, 4.46, 4.47, 4.49,$

4.51, 4.54, 4.55, 4.56, 4.62, 4.64, 4.65, 4.66, 4.68, 4.73, 4.76, 4.83, 4.85, 4.94, and 5.10.

An increase of two final units in the values of  $I_1(x)$  is required when  $x = 0.87$ , 0.88, 0.89, and 2.91, and a decrease of the same amount is required when  $x = 0.72$ , 0.73, 1.11, 1.13, 1.15, 1.16, 1.25, 2.67, 3.27, 3.52, 3.91, 4.07, 4.11, 4.27, 4.28, 4.48, 4.53, 4.69, 4.71, 4.74, 4.86, 4.89, 4.91, 5.06, and 5.09.

Furthermore, the final decimal digit of  $I_1(x)$  should be increased by three units when  $x = 0.86$ , and it should be decreased by a similar amount when  $x = 0.74$ , 4.06, 4.52, 4.67, 4.72, 4.87, 4.88, 4.92, 4.93, 5.07, and 5.08.

The 41 errors amounting to two or three final units confirm the remark of Comrie on p. xv of [2] regarding the accuracy of the corresponding tables computed by Lodge, which in abridged form constitute the present tables.

The only gross error in these tables (occurring in the value of  $I_1(x)$  for  $x = 4.86$ ) has been announced in [3, p. 825].

Reference may also be made here to the more extensive 15S tables of these functions in [4].

OVE SKOVGAARD

1. D. J. SOOKNE, "Bessel functions of real argument and integer order," *J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards Sect. B*, v. 77, 1973, pp. 125–132.

2. BRITISH ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE, *Mathematical Tables*, v. VI: *Bessel Functions, Part I*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1937.

3. A. FLETCHER, J. C. P. MILLER, L. ROSENHEAD & L. J. COMRIE, *An Index of Mathematical Tables*, v. II, 2nd ed., Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1962.

4. H. E. FETTIS & J. C. CASLIN, *Table of Modified Bessel Functions*, Report ARL-69-0032, Aerospace Research Laboratories, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, February 1969. (See *Math. Comp.*, v. 24, 1970, p. 233, RMT 13.)

552.—JOHN F. HART, E. W. CHENEY, CHARLES L. LAWSON, HANS J. MAEHLY, CHARLES K. MESZTENYI, JOHN R. RICE, HENRY G. THACHER, JR. & CHRISTOPH WITZGALL, *Computer Approximations*, Wiley, New York, 1968.

The following corrections should be made in this book:

page	line	change
97	– 7	For $\cos(x) + i \sin(x)$ , read $\cos(y) + i \sin(y)$ .
100	– 5	For $k^\beta$ , read $\beta^k - 1$ .
102	– 2	For [1, 1/16], read [0, 1/16].
103	2	For $2x$ , read $2^x$ .

On p. 103, left column, lines 4–6, the three precisions quoted for the range [0, 1/20] are all less than that which can be obtained. Compare, for example, the precisions given for the wider range [0, 1/16] on the preceding page of the text.

MICHAEL GREENBERG

Applications Development Center  
Sperry Rand Corporation  
Blue Bell, Pennsylvania 19422

EDITORIAL NOTE: For previous notices of errata in this volume, see *Math. Comp.*, v. 23, 1969, pp. 470–471, MTE 439; v. 25, 1971, p. 943, MTE 482; v. 28, 1974, p. 885, MTE 511.

553.—W. MAGNUS, F. OBERHETTINGER & R. P. SONI, *Formulas and Theorems for the Special Functions of Mathematical Physics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966.

On p. 114 the left side of the formula should read  $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \nu) [I_\nu(z) + L_\nu(z)]$  in place of  $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \nu) [I_\nu z - L_\nu(z)]$ .

Also, at the top of page 170, the formula should read:

$$P_{-v-1}^{\mu}(x) = P_v^{\mu}(x), \text{ in place of } P_{-v-1}(x) = P_v^{\mu}(x).$$

ROBERT L. PEXTON

Lawrence Livermore Laboratory  
University of California  
Livermore, California 94550

EDITORIAL NOTE: For notices of additional errors in this and earlier editions, see *Math. Comp.*, v. 24, 1970, p. 505, MTE 464; v. 25, 1971, p. 201, MTE 477; v. 29, 1975, p. 672, MTE 519; v. 30, 1976, pp. 677-678, MTE 527.

U.S. POSTAL SERVICE STATEMENT OF OWNERSHIP, MANAGEMENT AND CIRCULATION <small>(Required by 39 U.S.C. 3685)</small>			
1. TITLE OF PUBLICATION <b>Mathematics of Computation</b>		2. DATE OF FILING <b>October 1, 1977</b>	
3. FREQUENCY OF ISSUE <b>Quarterly</b>		A. NO. OF ISSUES PUBLISHED ANNUALLY <b>4</b>	B. ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION PRICE <b>\$55.00 (1977)</b>
4. LOCATION OF KNOWN OFFICE OF PUBLICATION (Street, City, County, State and ZIP Code) (Not printers) <b>201 Charles Street, Providence, Rhode Island 02904</b>			
5. LOCATION OF THE HEADQUARTERS OR GENERAL BUSINESS OFFICES OF THE PUBLISHERS (Not printers) <b>201 Charles Street, Providence, Rhode Island 02904</b>			
6. NAMES AND COMPLETE ADDRESSES OF PUBLISHER, EDITOR, AND MANAGING EDITOR			
PUBLISHER (Name and Address) <b>American Mathematical Society, P. O. Box 6248, Providence, Rhode Island 02940</b>			
EDITOR (Name and Address) <b>James H. Bramble, Center for Applied Mathematics, Cornell Univ., Ithaca, NY 14853</b>			
MANAGING EDITOR (Name and Address) <b>Christine Lefjan, American Mathematical Society, P. O. Box 6248, Providence, R.I. 02940</b>			
7. OWNER (If owned by a corporation, its name and address must be stated and also immediately thereunder the names and addresses of stockholders owning or holding 1 percent or more of total amount of stock. If not owned by a corporation, the names and addresses of the individual owners must be given. If owned by a partnership or other unincorporated firm, its name and address, as well as that of each individual must be given.)			
NAME		ADDRESS	
<b>American Mathematical Society</b>		<b>P. O. Box 6248, Providence, Rhode Island 02940</b>	
8. KNOWN BONDHOLDERS, MORTGAGEES, AND OTHER SECURITY HOLDERS OWNING OR HOLDING 1 PERCENT OR MORE OF TOTAL AMOUNT OF BONDS, MORTGAGES OR OTHER SECURITIES (If there are none, so state)			
NAME		ADDRESS	
<b>None</b>			
9. FOR COMPLETION BY NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS AUTHORIZED TO MAIL AT SPECIAL RATES (Section 132.122, PSM) The purpose, function, and nonprofit status of this organization and the exempt status for Federal income tax purposes (Check one)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HAVE NOT CHANGED DURING PRECEDING 12 MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> HAVE CHANGED DURING PRECEDING 12 MONTHS    (If changed, publisher must submit explanation of change with this statement.)			
10. EXTENT AND NATURE OF CIRCULATION		AVERAGE NO. COPIES EACH ISSUE DURING PRECEDING 12 MONTHS	ACTUAL NO. COPIES OF SINGLE ISSUE PUBLISHED NEAREST TO FILING DATE
A. TOTAL NO. COPIES PRINTED (Net Press Run)		<b>3,200</b>	<b>3,200</b>
B. PAID CIRCULATION			
1. SALES THROUGH DEALERS AND CARRIERS, STREET VENDORS AND COUNTER SALES		<b>250</b>	<b>250</b>
2. MAIL SUBSCRIPTIONS		<b>2,170</b>	<b>2,359</b>
C. TOTAL PAID CIRCULATION (Sum of 10B1 and 10B2)		<b>2,420</b>	<b>2,609</b>
D. FREE DISTRIBUTION BY MAIL, CARRIER OR OTHER MEANS SAMPLES, COMPLIMENTARY, AND OTHER FREE COPIES		<b>70</b>	<b>70</b>
E. TOTAL DISTRIBUTION (Sum of C and D)		<b>2,490</b>	<b>2,679</b>
F. COPIES NOT DISTRIBUTED			
1. OFFICE USE, LEFT OVER, UNACCOUNTED, SPOILED AFTER PRINTING		<b>710</b>	<b>521</b>
2. RETURNS FROM NEWS AGENTS		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
G. TOTAL (Sum of B, F1 and 2—should equal net press run shown in A)		<b>3,200</b>	<b>3,200</b>
11. I certify that the statements made by me above are correct and complete.		SIGNATURE AND TITLE OF EDITOR, PUBLISHER, BUSINESS MANAGER, OR OWNER <b>James H. Bramble, Executive Director</b>	
12. FOR COMPLETION BY PUBLISHERS MAILING AT THE REGULAR RATES (Section 132.121, Postal Service Manual) 39 U.S.C. 3626 provides in pertinent part: "No person who would have been entitled to mail matter under former section 4359 of this title shall mail such matter at the rates provided under this subsection unless he files annually with the Postal Service a written request for permission to mail matter at such rates." In accordance with the provisions of this statute, I hereby request permission to mail the publication named in Item 1 at the phased postage rates presently authorized by 39 U.S.C. 3626.			
SIGNATURE AND TITLE OF EDITOR, PUBLISHER, BUSINESS MANAGER, OR OWNER		Executive Director	