Some Optimal Error Estimates for Piecewise Linear Finite Element Approximations

By Rolf Rannacher and Ridgway Scott

Abstract. It is shown that the Ritz projection onto spaces of piecewise linear finite elements is bounded in the Sobolev space, $W^1_p$, for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$. This implies that for functions in $W^1_p \cap W^2_p$ the error in approximation behaves like $O(h)$ in $W^1_p$, for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$, and like $O(h^2)$ in $L_p$, for $2 \leq p < \infty$. In all these cases the additional logarithmic factor previously included in error estimates for linear finite elements does not occur.

1. Introduction and Results. Let $\Omega$ be a convex polygonal domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$, and let $\pi_h = \{K\}$, $0 < h \leq h_0 < 1$, be finite triangulations of $\Omega$ such that the usual regularity condition is satisfied:

(T) The triangles $K \in \pi_h$ meet only in entire common sides or in vertices. Each triangle $K \in \pi_h$ contains a circle of radius $c_1 h$ and is contained in a circle of radius $c_2 h$, where the constants $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 < \infty$ do not depend on $K$ or $h$.

Corresponding to $\pi_h$, we define the finite dimensional subspace $S_h \subset \tilde{W}^1_\infty$ by

$$S_h = \{v_h \in \tilde{W}^1_\infty : v_h \text{ is linear on each } K \in \pi_h\},$$

and the Ritz projection $R_h : \tilde{W}^1_\infty \to S_h$ by

$$\nabla R_h u, \nabla \varphi_h = (\nabla u, \nabla \varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in S_h.$$

Here $L_p$ and $W^{m}_p$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, are the Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces on $\Omega$ provided with the usual norms $\| \cdot \|_p$ and $\| \cdot \|_{m,p}$, respectively. $\tilde{W}^1_p$ is the subspace of those functions in $W^{1}_p$ which vanish on the boundary in the generalized sense. The inner product of $L_2$ is denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Finally, by $c$ we mean a generic positive constant which may vary with the context but is always independent of $h$.

Under assumption (T), we have the well-known mean-square-error estimates

$$\|u - R_h u\|_{k,2} \leq ch^{2-k} \|u\|_{2,2}, \quad k = 0, 1,$$

and the uniform-error estimates (see [4], [8], [6], [1], [7])

$$\|u - R_h u\|_{k,\infty} \leq ch^{2-k} \ln \frac{1}{h} \|u\|_{2,\infty}, \quad k = 0, 1.$$  

From (1.2) and (1.3) one may conclude, by an interpolation argument, that for $2 \leq p < \infty$ the $L_p$ error behaves like (see [8])

$$\|u - R_h u\|_p \leq ch^{2} \left(\ln \frac{1}{h}\right)^{1-2/p} \|u\|_{2,p}.$$  
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It has been considered as a challenge from the beginning to remove the logarithmic factors in (1.3) and (1.4). This, in particular, since one can show that for higher than second order finite elements these estimates hold without the logarithm; see [5], [8]. Also, for any function \( u \in \hat{W}_p^1 \cap W_p^2 \), \( 1 < p < \infty \), the natural piecewise linear interpolant \( I_h u \in S_h \) is well defined and satisfies
\[
\|u - I_h u\|_{k,p} \leq c h^{2-k} \|u\|_{2,p}, \quad k = 0, 1.
\]

For the case of linear finite elements, Fried [2] has recently published an example based on radial symmetry which indicates that (in two and three dimensions) at least the pointwise estimate
\[
\|u - R_h u\|_{\infty} \leq c \ln \frac{1}{h} \|u\|_{2,\infty}
\]
may be of optimal order. However, this leaves the question open whether the \( L_p \) estimate (1.4) is optimal. In the present paper we shall give an answer to this question for the model situation considered here which is based on the following stability result:

**Theorem.** Under assumption (T) the Ritz projection \( R_h \) is stable in \( \hat{W}_p^1 \) for \( 2 \leq p \leq \infty \), namely
\[
\|R_h u\|_{1,p} \leq c \|u\|_{1,p}.
\]

The proof of the theorem will be given in the next two sections. One of its consequences is the following

**Corollary.** Under assumption (T), for any function \( u \in \hat{W}_p^1 \cap W_p^2 \) there holds
\[
\|u - R_h u\|_{1,p} \leq c \|u - I_h u\|_{1,p}, \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty,
\]
\[
\|u - R_h u\|_p \leq c_p h^2 \|u\|_{2,p}, \quad 2 \leq p < \infty.
\]

**Proof.** We apply (1.7) for \( u - I_h u \) and observe that \( R_h \equiv \text{id} \) on \( S_h \) to obtain
\[
\|R_h u - I_h u\|_{1,p} \leq c \|u - R_h u\|_{1,p}, \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty.
\]

Then, the approximation estimate (1.5) implies (1.8).

To prove (1.9), we use a duality argument. Let \( p \in [2, \infty) \), so that \( q = p/(p - 1) \) \( \in (1, 2] \). On the convex polygonal domain \( \Omega \), the Laplacian is a homeomorphism from \( \hat{W}_q^1 \cap W_q^2 \) onto \( L_q \), \( 1 < q \leq 2 \); see [3]. Hence there is a \( v \in \hat{W}_q^1 \cap W_q^2 \) satisfying
\[
-\Delta v = \text{sgn}(u - R_h u) |u - R_h u|^{p-1} \quad \text{in} \ \Omega,
\]
and
\[
\|v\|_{2,q} \leq c \|\Delta v\|_q = c \|u - R_h u\|_p^{p-1}.
\]

Using now (1.1), Hölder's inequality, (1.5), (1.8), and (1.10), we find
\[
\|u - R_h u\|_p = (\nabla (u - R_h u), \nabla (v - I_h v))
\]
\[
\leq \|u - R_h u\|_{1,p} \|v - I_h v\|_{1,q} \leq \|u - R_h u\|_{1,p} c h \|v\|_{2,q}
\]
\[
\leq c h^2 \|u\|_{2,p} \|v\|_{2,q} \leq c h^2 \|u\|_{2,p} \|u - R_h u\|_p^{p-1}. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}
\]

* This example had already appeared in Jesperersen [9], however.
We remark on some extensions of our results. The proof of the theorem and to a
large extent also that of its corollary make use of the fact that the Laplacian
considered as a mapping

\[ \Delta: \dot{W}_p^1 \cap W_p^2 \to L_p \]

is a homeomorphism for \( p \in (1,2 + \alpha] \), where \( \alpha \) is some arbitrarily small but
positive number. This is certainly true on a domain with smooth boundary, say
\( \partial \Omega \in C^2 \), for all \( \alpha > 0 \), and it is known also for convex polygonal domains (see [3])
where \( \alpha \) depends on the size of the maximum inner angle, \( \omega < \pi \). Our results extend
to more general second order elliptic operators as long as the corresponding
mapping (1.12) is a homeomorphism. In the case of a curved boundary the proofs
become more involved due to the approximation of \( \Omega \) by polygonal domains \( \Omega_h \). In
the case that \( \partial \Omega \) is smooth one can show that for all \( p \in (1, \infty] \) the following refined
estimate holds:

\[ \| R_h u \|_{1,p} \leq c \left\{ \| u \|_{1,p; \Omega_h} + h^{1-1/p} \| u \|_{1,p; \partial \Omega} \right\}. \]

From that estimate one can again draw the conclusions (1.8) and (1.9), now valid for
all \( p \in (1, \infty] \) and \( p \in (1, \infty) \), respectively. The results for \( 1 < p < 2 \) are proved via
a duality argument that makes use of elliptic regularity results that are not generally
valid for nonsmooth boundaries.

2. Proof of the Theorem. Notation and techniques are similar to those used in [1].
However, the key difference is in the type of Green’s function employed. The basic
technique used in several papers is to reduce to the problem of estimating the error
\( g - R_h g \) in approximating the solution of

\[ -\Delta g = \delta \quad \text{in} \; \Omega, \]

where \( \delta \) is the Dirac \( \delta \)-function or some approximation to it. The difficulty is that,
with piecewise linear approximation, the error \( g - R_h g \) contains a logarithmic factor.
For example, it was noted in [8] that \( 0 < c' < h^{-1} \ln h^{-1} \| g - R_h g \|_{1,1} \leq c \) as
\( h \to 0 \). The reason is that the smoothness of \( g \) is such that piecewise linears fail to
afford optimal approximation (whereas higher degree piecewise polynomials would
yield an approximation rate devoid of the logarithmic factor). The remedy here is to
consider instead a “derivative” Green’s function, satisfying

\[ -\Delta g = \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial x_i} \quad \text{in} \; \Omega \]

(for each \( i = 1, 2 \)). Now \( g \) is more singular, and piecewise linears afford optimal
approximation, albeit at a slower rate. We now turn to the details.

Let \( u \in \dot{W}_p^1, \; 2 \leq p \leq \infty \), be given. We pick any point \( z \in \Omega \) contained in the
interior of some triangle \( K_z \in \tau_h \), and denote by \( \partial \) any of the operators \( \partial / \partial x_i \),
\( i = 1, 2 \). Because of assumption (T), there is a function \( \delta_z \in C_0^\infty(K_z) \) such that

\[ \int \delta_z \, dx = 1, \quad | \nabla_k \delta_z | \leq c h^{2-k}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, \]

where the constant \( c \) does not depend on \( z \) or \( h \). Here \( \nabla_k \delta_z \) denotes the tensor of
derivatives of \( \delta_z \) of order \( k \). Then, by construction,

\[ \partial \varphi_h(z) = (\partial \varphi_h, \delta_z) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in \mathcal{S}_h. \]
Correspondingly, we define \( g_z \in \dot{W}^1_2 \) by
\[
(\nabla g_z, \nabla \varphi) = (\delta_z, \partial \varphi) \quad \forall \varphi \in \dot{W}^1_2.
\]
Clearly, \( g_z \) is a regularized derivative of the Green's function of the Laplacian on \( \Omega \). Using this notation, we have
\[
\partial R_h u(z) = (\nabla R_h u, \nabla g_z) = (\nabla u, \nabla R_h g_z)
\]
\[
= (\partial u, \delta_z) - (\nabla u, \nabla (g_z - R_h g_z)).
\]
We introduce the weight function
\[
\sigma_z(x) = (|x - z|^2 + \kappa^2 h^2)^{1/2}, \quad \kappa \geq 1,
\]
where the parameter \( \kappa \) will be chosen appropriately large, \( \kappa > \kappa_0 > 1 \), but independent of \( h \). We note that from now on the generic constant \( c \) is also independent of \( \kappa \) and \( z \in \Omega \), and of the parameter \( \alpha \in (0, 1] \) introduced below.

Suppose temporarily that \( p < \infty \). Applying Hölder's inequality to the terms in (2.4), we obtain for any \( \alpha \in (0, 1] \) that
\[
| (\nabla u, \nabla (g_z - R_h g_z)) | 
\leq \left( \int \sigma_z^{-2 - \alpha} |\nabla u|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \left( \int \sigma_z^{-2 - \alpha} \, dx \right)^{(p-2)/2p} \left( \int \sigma_z^{2 + \alpha} | \nabla (g_z - R_h g_z) |^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} 
\leq c \left( \alpha^{-1} h^{-\alpha} \right)^{(p-2)/2p} M_h \left( \int \sigma_z^{-2 - \alpha} |\nabla u|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p},
\]
where
\[
M_h = \max_{z \in \Omega} \left( \int \sigma_z^{2 + \alpha} | \nabla (g_z - R_h g_z) |^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2}.
\]
Furthermore,
\[
| (\partial u, \delta_z) | \leq \left( \int_{K_z} |\partial u|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{K_z} |\delta_z|^p / (p-1) \, dx \right)^{(p-1)/p} 
\leq ch^{-2/p} \left( \int_{K_z} |\nabla u|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p}.
\]
We apply the above estimates with (2.4), raise to the \( p \)th power and integrate with respect to \( z \in \Omega \) to obtain
\[
\| \partial R_h u \|_p \leq c \left( h^{-2} \int_{K_z} |\nabla u|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} 
+ c \left( \alpha^{-1} h^{-\alpha} \right)^{(p-2)/2p} M_h \left( \int \int \sigma_z^{-2 - \alpha} |\nabla u|^p \, dx \, dz \right)^{1/p}.
\]
Thus, by interchanging integration, we find
\[
\| \partial R_h u \|_p \leq c \| \nabla u \|_p (1 + \alpha^{-1/2} h^{-a/2} M_h),
\]
where the constant \( c \) is obviously independent of \( p \). Estimate (2.6) is also easily seen to hold for \( p = \infty \) using the above techniques. Now, to prove the assertion of the
theorem, we have to show that

\[(2.7) \quad M_h = \max_{z \in \Omega} \left( \int \sigma_z^{2+\alpha} \left| \nabla (g_z - R_h g_z) \right|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \leq c a h^{a/2},\]

for a proper choice of \(a \in (0, 1].\)

To prove (2.7), we need some preparations. From now on, we drop the subscript \(z\) and simply write \(\sigma, g\) for \(\sigma_z, g_z\). The weight function \(\sigma\) satisfies

\[(2.8) \quad |\nabla_k \sigma| \leq c \sigma^{1-k} \leq c (kh)^{-k}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.\]

Here \(\nabla_k \sigma\) denotes the tensor of \(k\)th order derivatives of \(\sigma\). Moreover, for \(\kappa \geq \kappa_1\) sufficiently large, one has that (see [5])

\[(2.9) \quad \max_{K \in \pi_h} \left[ \max_{x \in K} \sigma(x) / \min_{x \in K} \sigma(x) \right] \leq c \]

holds uniformly for \(z \in \Omega\). For any function \(v \in \tilde{W}_2^1 \cap [\Pi_{K \in \pi_h} W^2_2(K)]\) the natural piecewise linear interpolant \(I_h v \in S_h\) is well defined and satisfies

\[(2.10) \quad \| \nabla (v - I_h v) \|_{2;K} \leq c h \| \nabla^2 v \|_{2;K}, \quad K \in \pi_h.\]

Combining (2.10) and (2.9), one easily sees that the following holds:

\[(2.11) \quad \int \sigma^\beta \left| \nabla (v - I_h v) \right|^2 \, dx \leq c h^2 \int \sigma^\beta \left| \nabla^2 v \right|^2 \, dx,\]

where the abbreviation used is

\[\int' \cdots \, dx = \sum_{K \in \pi_h} \int_K \cdots \, dx.\]

To prove (2.7), we set \(\psi = \sigma^{2+\alpha} (g - R_h g)\) and we use (1.1) to obtain

\[\int \sigma^{2+\alpha} \left| \nabla (g - R_h g) \right|^2 \, dx = \int \nabla (g - R_h g) \nabla (\psi - I_h \psi) \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int \Delta \sigma^{2+\alpha} (g - R_h g)^2 \, dx.\]

Thus,

\[(2.12) \quad \int \sigma^{2+\alpha} \left| \nabla (g - R_h g) \right|^2 \, dx \leq \int \sigma^{-2-\alpha} \left| \nabla (\psi - I_h \psi) \right|^2 \, dx + c \int \sigma^\alpha (g - R_h g)^2 \, dx.\]

From (2.11), we get by a simple calculation that

\[\int \sigma^{-2-\alpha} \left| \nabla (\psi - I_h \psi) \right|^2 \, dx \leq c h^2 \int \sigma^{2+\alpha} \left| \nabla^2 g \right|^2 \, dx + \frac{ck^2}{2} \left( \int \sigma^{2+\alpha} \left| \nabla (g - R_h g) \right|^2 \, dx + \int \sigma^\alpha (g - R_h g)^2 \, dx \right).\]

We insert this estimate into (2.12) and find that, for \(\kappa \geq \kappa_2\) sufficiently large,

\[(2.13) \quad \int \sigma^{2+\alpha} \left| \nabla (g - R_h g) \right|^2 \, dx \leq c h^2 \int \sigma^{2+\alpha} \left| \nabla^2 g \right|^2 \, dx + c \int \sigma^\alpha (g - R_h g)^2 \, dx.\]
To handle the second term on the right side of (2.13), we employ a duality argument in weighted norms. For fixed $h$, let $v \in \tilde{W}_2^1$ be the solution of the auxiliary problem (2.14)

$$-\Delta v = \sigma^a(g - R_hg) \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$ 

Since $\Omega$ is convex, it is guaranteed that $v \in W_2^2$. Moreover, in Section 3 we shall show that the following weighted a priori estimate holds for all $v \in \tilde{W}_2^1(\Omega)$ such that $\Delta v \in \tilde{W}_2^1(\Omega)$:

\begin{equation}
\int \sigma^{-\alpha} | \nabla v |^2 \, dx \leq c \alpha^{-1}(\kappa h)^{-2} \int \sigma^{-\alpha} | \nabla \Delta v |^2 \, dx.
\end{equation}

Consequently,

\begin{equation}
\int \sigma^{-\alpha} | \nabla v |^2 \, dx 
\leq c \alpha^{-1}(\kappa h)^{-2} \int \{ \sigma^{2\alpha} | \nabla (g - R_hg) |^2 + \sigma^a(g - R_hg)^2 \} \, dx.
\end{equation}

Using (2.14), we have

$$\int \sigma^a(g - R_hg)^2 \, dx = \int \nabla (g - R_hg) \cdot \nabla (v - I_hv) \, dx$$

\leq \left( \int \sigma^{2\alpha} | \nabla (g - R_hg) |^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int \sigma^{-\alpha} | \nabla (v - I_hv) |^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2}.

Then, by (2.11) and (2.16), choosing $\kappa \geq \kappa_3$ sufficiently large yields

\begin{equation}
\int \sigma^a(g - R_hg)^2 \, dx \leq c(\kappa h)^{-1} \int \sigma^{2\alpha} | \nabla (g - R_hg) |^2 \, dx.
\end{equation}

We insert (2.17) into (2.13) and choose again $\kappa \geq \kappa_d(\alpha)$ sufficiently large to obtain

\begin{equation}
\int \sigma^{2\alpha} | \nabla (g - R_hg) |^2 \, dx \leq c(h) \int \sigma^{2\alpha} | \nabla g |^2 \, dx.
\end{equation}

Thus, we have reduced the proof of (2.7) to an a priori estimate of the form

\begin{equation}
\int \sigma^{2\alpha} | \nabla g |^2 \, dx \leq c_\alpha h^{a-2}.
\end{equation}

This estimate, however, is an obvious consequence of the a priori estimate

\begin{equation}
\int \sigma^{2\alpha} | \nabla g |^2 \, dx \leq c \int \sigma^{2\alpha} | \nabla (\partial \delta) |^2 \, dx + c \alpha^{-1}(\kappa h)^{-2} \int \sigma^{2\alpha} \delta^2 \, dx,
\end{equation}

which will be proven in Section 3, for $0 < \alpha \leq \alpha_\Omega$ sufficiently small.

3. Some Weighted A Priori Estimates. Let functions $f \in \tilde{W}_2^1$ and $b \in [\tilde{W}_2^1]^2$ be given, and let $v \in \tilde{W}_2^1$ be such that

\begin{equation}
-\Delta v = f + \text{div } b \quad \text{in } \Omega.
\end{equation}

If $\sigma = (|x - z|^2 + \xi^2)^{1/2}$ is the weight function introduced in Section 2, then we have the following

**Lemma.** For any convex polygonal domain $\Omega$, there exists an $\alpha_\Omega \in (0, 1]$ such that for all parameter values $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_\Omega]$ the following a priori estimates hold,

(i) if $f \equiv 0$:

\begin{equation}
\int \sigma^{2\alpha} | \nabla v |^2 \, dx \leq c \int \sigma^{2\alpha} | \text{div } b |^2 \, dx + c \alpha^{-1} \| \xi \|^2 \int \sigma^{2\alpha} | b |^2 \, dx,
\end{equation}
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(ii) if \( b \equiv 0 \):

\[
\int \sigma^{2-\alpha} | \nabla v |^2 \, dx \leq c \alpha^{-1} \int \sigma^{2-\alpha} | \nabla f |^2 \, dx.
\]

**Proof.** (i) To prove (3.2), we estimate

\[
\int \sigma^{2+\alpha} | \nabla v |^2 \, dx \leq \int | \nabla [\sigma^{1+\alpha/2} v] |^2 \, dx + c \int \{ \sigma^{\alpha} | \nabla v |^2 + \sigma^{\alpha-2} v^2 \} \, dx.
\]

Since \( \Omega \) is convex, we have the standard \( L_2 \) a priori estimate

\[
\| w \|_{2,2} \leq c \| \Delta w \|_2, \quad w \in \dot{W}^1_2 \cap W^2_2.
\]

Applying this to \( \sigma^{1+\alpha/2} v \), we find by a simple calculation that

\[
\int \sigma^{2+\alpha} | \nabla v |^2 \, dx \leq c \int \sigma^{2+\alpha} | \nabla b |^2 \, dx + c \int \{ \sigma^{\alpha} | \nabla v |^2 + \sigma^{\alpha-2} v^2 \} \, dx.
\]

Furthermore,

\[
\int \sigma^{\alpha} | \nabla v |^2 \, dx = \int \nabla v \nabla (\sigma^\alpha v) \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int \Delta \sigma^\alpha v^2 \, dx,
\]

and hence, using (3.1),

\[
\int \sigma^{\alpha} | \nabla v |^2 \, dx \leq c \int \sigma^{2+\alpha} | \nabla b |^2 \, dx + c \int \sigma^{\alpha-2} v^2 \, dx.
\]

Combining (3.5) with (3.4), we arrive at

\[
\int \sigma^{2+\alpha} | \nabla v |^2 \, dx \leq c \int \sigma^{2+\alpha} | \nabla b |^2 \, dx + c \int \sigma^{\alpha-2} v^2 \, dx.
\]

Next, we apply Hölder’s inequality to obtain

\[
\int \sigma^{\alpha-2} v^2 \, dx \leq \left( \int \sigma^{2-\alpha} \, dx \right)^{(2-\alpha)/(2+\alpha)} \| v \|_{(2+\alpha)/\alpha}^2 \leq c \left( \alpha^{-1} \right)^{(2-\alpha)/(2+\alpha)} \| v \|_{(2+\alpha)/\alpha}^2.
\]

We have already noted that the Laplacian is a homeomorphism from \( W^1_q \cap W^2_q \) onto \( L_q \) for all \( q \in (1, 2] \). Hence, there is a \( w \in \dot{W}^{1+\alpha/2}_q \cap W^{2+\alpha/2}_q \) satisfying

\[
-\Delta w = \text{sgn}(v) | v |^{2/\alpha} \quad \text{in} \, \Omega,
\]

and

\[
\| w \|_{2, (2+\alpha)/2} \leq c \| \Delta w \|_{(2+\alpha)/2}.
\]

Then, we have via Hölder’s inequality, Sobolev’s inequality, and (3.8) that

\[
\| v \|_{(2+\alpha)/\alpha} = (\nabla v, \nabla w) = (b, \nabla w) \leq c \| b \|_{(4+2\alpha)/(2+3\alpha)} \| w \|_{1, (4+2\alpha)/(2-\alpha)} \leq c \| b \|_{(4+2\alpha)/(2+3\alpha)} \| w \|_{2, (2+\alpha)/2} \leq c \| b \|_{(4+2\alpha)/(2+3\alpha)} \| v \|_{(2+\alpha)/\alpha}^{2/\alpha}.
\]

Thus, we obtain

\[
\| v \|_{(2+\alpha)/\alpha} \leq c \| b \|_{(4+2\alpha)/(2+3\alpha)}.
\]
Now, again by Hölder’s inequality,
\[
\|b\|_{(4+2\alpha)/(2+3\alpha)} \leq \left( \int \sigma^{2+\alpha} |b|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int \sigma^{-[2+\alpha]/2} \, dx \right)^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)}
\]
\[
\leq c\xi^{-[4+\alpha^2]/(4+2\alpha)} \left( \int \sigma^{2+\alpha} |b|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2}.
\]

Combining the estimates (3.10)–(3.7), we obtain that for \( \alpha \in (0, 1] \)
\[
\int \sigma^{\alpha-2} \nu^2 \, dx \leq c\alpha^{-1/2} \int \sigma^{2+\alpha} |b|^2 \, dx.
\]

This together with (3.6) proves the estimate (3.2) for the choice \( \alpha_0 = 1 \).

(ii) To prove (3.3), we apply Hölder’s inequality as follows:
\[
\int \sigma^{2-\alpha} |\nabla_2 \nu|^2 \, dx \leq \left( \int \sigma^{-(2+\alpha)/\alpha} \, dx \right)^{\alpha/2} \|\nabla_2 \nu\|_{2/(1-\alpha)}^2
\]
\[
\leq c\xi^{\alpha-2} \|\nu\|_{2,2/(1-\alpha)}^2.
\]

Above, we have noted that the Laplacian is a homeomorphism from \( \bar{W}_{2/(1-\alpha)}^1 \cap \bar{W}_{2/(1-\alpha)}^2 \) onto \( L_{2/(1-\alpha)} \) for \( \alpha \in (0, \alpha_0] \), where \( 1 > \alpha_0 > 0 \) is determined by the maximum inner angle of \( \Omega \). Thus, for \( \alpha \in (0, \alpha_0] \), we have that
\[
\|\nu\|_{2,2/(1-\alpha)} \leq c\|\Delta \nu\|_{2/(1-\alpha)}.
\]

By Sobolev’s inequality combined with Poincaré’s inequality (notice that \( \Delta \nu \in \bar{W}_{2}^1 \)),
\[
\|\Delta \nu\|_{2/(1-\alpha)} \leq c\|\nabla \Delta \nu\|_{2/(2-\alpha)}.
\]

We apply again Hölder’s inequality to obtain
\[
\|\nabla \Delta \nu\|_{2/(2-\alpha)} \leq \left( \int \sigma^{-(2-\alpha)/(1-\alpha)} \, dx \right)^{(1-\alpha)/2} \left( \int \sigma^{2-\alpha} |\nabla \Delta \nu|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2}
\]
\[
\leq c\alpha^{-1/2}\xi^{-\alpha/2} \left( \int \sigma^{2-\alpha} |\nabla \Delta \nu|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2}.
\]

Combining the estimates (3.15)–(3.12), we finally reach the desired estimate
\[
\int \sigma^{2-\alpha} |\nabla_2 \nu|^2 \, dx \leq c\alpha^{-1}\xi^{-2} \int \sigma^{2-\alpha} |\nabla \Delta \nu|^2 \, dx,
\]
valid for \( \alpha \in (0, \alpha_0] \). Q.E.D.
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