

Density Problems Involving $p_r(n)$

By Patrick J. Costello

Abstract. Lower bounds on the density of zeros of $p_r(n)$ are provided for certain values of r .

If n is a nonnegative integer, define $p_r(n)$ as the coefficient of x in $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^n)^r$; i.e.,

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^n)^r = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_r(n)x^n.$$

Two very important number-theoretic functions occur as particular choices of r . $p_{-1}(n)$ is the ordinary partition function (usually written as $p(n)$) and $p_{24}(n-1)$ is the Ramanujan τ -function (i.e., $\tau(n) = p_{24}(n-1)$). The only known explicit formulas for $p_r(n)$ are those for $p_1(n)$ and $p_3(n)$ given by the following classical results:

Euler's pentagonal number theorem says

$$(1) \quad \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^n) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^{(3n^2 \pm n)/2}.$$

An immediate consequence of Jacobi's triple product identity is

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^n)^3 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (2n+1) x^{(n^2+n)/2}.$$

The functions $p_r(n)$ enjoy many interesting congruence properties. Ramanujan [12] was able to show the following special congruences for the partition function:

- $$(3) \quad p(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5},$$
- $$(4) \quad p(7n+5) \equiv 0 \pmod{7},$$
- $$(5) \quad p(11n+6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}.$$

Further work on the partition function has been done by Watson [13] and Atkin [2]. Bambah proved the following congruences for $\tau(n)$:

$$\tau(n) \equiv n\sigma_9(n) \pmod{5^2},$$

$$\tau(n) \equiv n\sigma_3(n) \pmod{7},$$

Received May 8, 1981; revised August 19, 1981.
 1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 10A45.

where $\sigma_k(n)$ is the sum of the k th powers of the divisors of n . Newman [10] proved the following theorem that gives congruence properties for infinitely many functions $p_r(n)$:

THEOREM. *Let $r = 4, 6, 8, 10, 14, 26$. Let p be a prime greater than 3 such that $r(p + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$. Set $\Delta = r(p^2 - 1)/24$. Then, for all $R \equiv r \pmod{p}$,*

$$p_R(np + \Delta) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Notice that for $R = -1$ the choices $r = 4, p = 5$; $r = 6, p = 7$; $r = 10, p = 11$ give the Ramanujan congruences (3), (4) and (5).

From the known congruence properties, many people were led to investigate the asymptotic density of values $p_r(n)$ that are divisible by some fixed modulus m . If we let

$$d_r(m) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf x^{-1} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ p_r(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}}} 1,$$

then, in particular, congruence (3) says that $d_{-1}(5) \geq 1/5$. For the partition function, Atkin [3] and Klove [6] have made numerous improvements on the density estimates. However, numerical evidence by MacLean [8] seems to indicate that the proven estimates might be able to be improved even further.

By reconsidering Eqs. (1) and (2), it is easy to see that $d_1(m) = 1$ and $d_3(m) = 1$ for any modulus m . This is primarily because $p_1(n) = 0$ and $p_3(n) = 0$ for almost all n . Hence the density of zeros of $p_r(n)$ gives a lower bound on $d_r(m)$ for all m . The aim of this paper will be to provide some information about the density of zeros of certain $p_r(n)$. Since $p_{-1}(n)$ represents the number of partitions of n , it will never vanish. It is still an open question (generally attributed to D. H. Lehmer) as to whether $\tau(n)$ is ever 0. It is known that for $n < 113, 740, 236, 287, 998$ $\tau(n) \neq 0$ [7]. From a quick glance at Newman's table of values of $p_r(n)$ [11], one might also conjecture that $p_r(n) \neq 0$ for $r = 5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 16$. On the basis of unpublished numerical tabulation performed by A. O. L. Atkin and M. Newman, values of n have been found for which $p_r(n) = 0, r = 5, 7, 9, 11$. This implies that $p_r(n)$ vanishes infinitely often for these values. Our work will concentrate on $p_r(n)$ with $r = 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14, 26$. We start with the definition of the density of zeros of $p_r(n)$.

Definition. $\delta_r = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf x^{-1} \sum_{n \leq x; p_r(n)=0} 1$ represents the density of zeros of $p_r(n)$.

Our first result gives a weak statement about the density of zeros of $p_r(n)$.

THEOREM 1. *If $r = 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14, 26$ and q is a prime greater than 3 such that $r(q + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$, then $\delta_r \geq 1/(q + 1)$.*

Proof. Under the given hypotheses, Newman [9] has shown that

$$(6) \quad p_r(nq + \Delta) = (-q)^{(r-2)/2} p_r(n/q)$$

for all nonnegative n and $\Delta = r(q^2 - 1)/24$. Since $p_r(a) = 0$ when a is not integral, if we let $n = qm + k$ with $k = 1, 2, \dots, q - 1$ in Eq. (6), we get

$$(7) \quad p_r(q^2m + qk + \Delta) = 0.$$

This gives us $q - 1$ distinct residue classes mod q^2 which are zeros of $p_r(n)$. Thus far we have $\delta_r \geq (q - 1)/q^2$. If we now let $n = q(q^2m + qk + \Delta)$ in Eq. (6), then we get $p_r(q^4m + q^3k + q^2\Delta + \Delta) = (-q)^{(r-2)/2} p_r(q^2m + qk + \Delta) = 0$ by Eq. (7). Continuing to multiply the new zeros obtained by q and resubstituting into Eq. (6) leads us to the fact that for any $t > 1$

$$(8) \quad p_r(q^{2t}m + q^{2t-1}k + q^{2t-2}\Delta + q^{2t-4}\Delta + \dots + q^2\Delta + \Delta) = 0$$

for all m and $k = 1, 2, \dots, q - 1$. Hence we have $q - 1$ distinct residue classes mod q^{2t} which are zeros of $p_r(n)$. We will now show that the new zeros produced by Eq. (8) are distinct from all the zeros obtained previously.

(i) Suppose that $q^{2t}m_1 + q^{2t-1}k_1 + q^{2t-2}\Delta + \dots + q^2\Delta + \Delta = q^{2s}m_2 + qk_2 + \Delta$ for some $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $k_1, k_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, q - 1\}$. Then $qk_2 = q^2(q^{2t-2}m_1 + q^{2t-3}k_1 + \dots + \Delta - m_2)$, which would imply that $q | k_2$. But this contradicts the fact that $1 \leq k_2 \leq q - 1$.

(ii) Suppose that $1 < s < t$ and

$$\begin{aligned} q^{2t}m_1 + q^{2t-1}k_1 + q^{2t-2}\Delta + \dots + q^2\Delta + \Delta \\ = q^{2s}m_2 + q^{2s-1}k_2 + q^{2s-2}\Delta + \dots + q^2\Delta + \Delta \end{aligned}$$

for some $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $k_1, k_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, q - 1\}$. Then

$$q^{2s-1}k_2 = q^{2s}(q^{2t-2s}m_1 + q^{2t-2s-1}k_1 + \dots + \Delta - m_2),$$

which would again imply the impossibility that q divides k_2 .

Therefore each resubstitution of zeros into Eq. (6) produces a whole new set of zeros of $p_r(n)$. Since the t th application of this process produces $q - 1$ residue classes mod q^{2t} which are zeros of $p_r(n)$ and these are different zeros from the $q - 1$ classes produced mod q^{2s} for all $s < t$, we can inductively see that we have in fact accumulated $\sum_{i=1}^t (q - 1)q^{2(t-i)}$ (where $(q - 1)q^{2(t-i)}$ comes from the $q - 1$ classes mod q^{2i}) distinct residue classes mod q^{2t} which are zeros of the function $p_r(n)$. Hence

$$\delta_r \geq \frac{q-1}{q^2} + \frac{q-1}{q^4} + \dots + \frac{q-1}{q^{2t}}.$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\delta_r \geq (q - 1)/(q^2 - 1) = 1/(q + 1)$. \square

In particular, Theorem 1 says that $\delta_2 \geq 1/12$, $\delta_4 \geq 1/6$, $\delta_6 \geq 1/8$, $\delta_8 \geq 1/6$, $\delta_{10} \geq 1/12$, $\delta_{14} \geq 1/12$, $\delta_{26} \geq 1/12$. These bounds all come from using the smallest $q > 3$ which satisfies $r(q + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$. We will now see that we can actually allow q to vary for a particular r and obtain a much better bound on the density of zeros.

THEOREM 2. *If $r = 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14, 26$ and q_i is the i th prime greater than 3 with $r(q_i + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$, then*

$$\delta_r \geq \frac{1}{q_1 + 1} + \max_N \sum_{i=2}^N \left(\frac{1}{q_i + 1} - \frac{1}{q_i} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{q_j} \right).$$

Remark. Notice that $q_i \equiv -1 \pmod{24/r}$ for $r = 2, 4, 6, 8$ and $q_i \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$ for $r = 10, 14, 26$. By Dirichlet's theorem there are infinitely many such q_i for each r , and $\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 1/q_j$ actually diverges as $i \rightarrow \infty$ [1] so eventually

$$\frac{1}{q_i + 1} - \frac{1}{q_i} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{q_j}$$

is a negative number.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $\Delta_i = r(q_i^2 - 1)/24$, $A_i = \{n \mid n \equiv \Delta_i \pmod{q_i} \text{ and } p_r(n) = 0\}$, $A = \{n \mid p_r(n) = 0\}$, and $\delta_r(S) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf x^{-1} \sum_{n \leq x; n \in S} 1$.

The proof of Theorem 1 has actually shown that $\delta_r(A_i) \geq 1/(q_i + 1)$. For all N , $A_1 \cup \{\cup_{i=2}^N [A_i \setminus \cup_{j=1}^{i-1} (A_i \cap A_j)]\}$ is a disjoint union contained in A , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_r &= \delta_r(A) \geq \delta_r(A_1) + \sum_{i=2}^N \delta_r \left[A_i \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} (A_i \cap A_j) \right] \\ (9) \quad &= \delta_r(A_1) + \sum_{i=2}^N \left\{ \delta_r(A_i) - \delta_r \left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} (A_i \cap A_j) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

As we have a lower bound for $\delta_r(A_i)$, we now attempt to find a lower bound for $-\delta_r[\cup_{j=1}^{i-1} (A_i \cap A_j)]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} A_k \cap A_m &= \{n \mid n \equiv \Delta_k \pmod{q_k}, n \equiv \Delta_m \pmod{q_m}, p_r(n) = 0\} \\ &\subseteq \{n \mid n \equiv \Delta_k \pmod{q_k}, n \equiv \Delta_m \pmod{q_m}\} \\ &= \{n \mid n \equiv \Delta_{k,m} \pmod{q_k q_m}\} \end{aligned}$$

for some $\Delta_{k,m}$ by the Chinese Remainder Theorem. This means $A_k \cap A_m$ is contained inside one residue class mod $q_k q_m$, and so

$$\delta_r \left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} (A_i \cap A_j) \right] \leq \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \delta_r(A_i \cap A_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{q_i q_j},$$

which implies that

$$\delta_r(A_i) - \delta_r \left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} (A_i \cap A_j) \right] \geq \frac{1}{q_i + 1} - \frac{1}{q_i} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{q_j}.$$

Using this in Eq. (9), we can finally conclude that

$$\delta_r \geq \frac{1}{q_1 + 1} + \max_N \sum_{i=2}^N \left(\frac{1}{q_i + 1} - \frac{1}{q_i} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{q_j} \right). \quad \square$$

The lower bounds on the density of zeros provided by Theorem 2 are quite an improvement over those of Theorem 1, as is illustrated when we compute the partial sums

$$M_{r,N} = \frac{1}{q_1 + 1} + \sum_{i=2}^N \left(\frac{1}{q_i + 1} - \frac{1}{q_i} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{q_j} \right):$$

TABLE 1
Lower bounds on δ_r from Theorem 2

r	$\frac{1}{q_1 + 1}$ (bound from Thm. 1)	q_N	$M_{r,N}$ (bound from Thm. 2)	behavior of $M_{r,N}$ at N
2, 10, 14, 26	.083	2560367	.360956	still increasing
4, 8	.16	85517	.478752	maximum
6	.125	473887	.484869	maximum

These values were computed on Ohio State's Amdahl 470 using double-precision FORTRAN.

Finally, we compare these lower bounds on δ_r with the actual densities of zeros of tabled values of $p_r(n)$ [11]. Let $\delta_{r,x} = x^{-1} \sum_{n \leq x; p_r(n)=0} 1$.

TABLE 2
Densities from tabled zeros

r	x	$\delta_{r,x}$
2	800	.5037
4	800	.3325
6	800	.4412
8	800	.5162
10	800	.3200
14	750	.3613
26	1920	.1969 (*)

(*) this is from a table obtained from M. Newman

The bounds on δ_r given by Theorem 2 exceed these partial densities for $r = 4, 6, 10, 26$. In these cases, the zeros must occur more frequently as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

I would like to thank Alayne Parson for her encouragement and guidance and Marvin Knopp for his suggestion to pursue this topic.

Department of Mathematics
The Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio 43210

1. T. APOSTOL, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976, p. 148.
2. A. O. L. ATKIN, "Proof of a conjecture of Ramanujan," *Glasgow Math. J.*, v. 8, 1967, pp. 14-32.
3. A. O. L. ATKIN, "Multiplicative congruence properties and density problems for $p(n)$," *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, v. 18, 1968, pp. 563-576.
4. R. BAMBAH, "Two congruence properties of Ramanujan's function $\tau(n)$," *J. London Math. Soc.*, v. 21, 1946, pp. 91-93.
5. R. BAMBAH, "Ramanujan's function $\tau(n)$, a congruence property," *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, v. 53, 1947, pp. 764-765.
6. T. KLOVE, "Density problems for $p(n)$," *J. London Math. Soc.* (2), v. 2, 1970, pp. 504-508.
7. D. H. LEHMER, "Some functions of Ramanujan," *Math. Student*, v. 27, 1959, pp. 105-116.
8. D. W. MACLEAN, "Residue classes of the partition function," *Math. Comp.*, v. 34, 1980, pp. 313-317.
9. M. NEWMAN, "An identity for the coefficients of certain modular forms," *J. London Math. Soc.*, v. 30, 1955, pp. 488-493.
10. M. NEWMAN, "Some theorems about $p_r(n)$," *Canad. J. Math.*, v. 9, 1957, pp. 68-70.
11. M. NEWMAN, "A table of coefficients of the powers of $\eta(\tau)$," *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A*, v. 59, 1956, pp. 204-216.
12. S. RAMANUJAN, *Collected Papers*, Chelsea, New York, 1927, pp. 210-213, 232-238.
13. G. N. WATSON, "Ramanujan's Vermutung über Zerfallungszahlen," *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, v. 179, 1938, pp. 97-128.