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Abstract. In this paper we study two families of functions $F_e$ and $F_o$, and show how to approximate the functions in the interval $[-1,1]$. The functions are assumed to be real when the argument is real. We define

$$F_e = \{ f: f(-x) = f(x), f(1) = 0, f \in L^2[-1,1] \}$$

and

$$F_o = \{ f: f(-x) = -f(x), f(1) = 0, f \in L^2[-1,1] \}.$$

Let further $\mathcal{P}_m$ be the set of all real polynomials of degree not higher than $m$ such that the polynomials belong to the set $F_e$ if $m$ is even and to the set $F_o$ if $m$ is odd.

We determine the least squares approximation for the function $f \in F_e$ (or $F_o$) in the class $\mathcal{P}_m$ (or $\mathcal{P}_{m+1}$), with respect to the norm $|f| = ((f,f))^{1/2}$, where the inner product is defined by $(f,g) = \int_{-1}^{1} f(x)g(x)w(x)\,dx$, with $f,g \in L^2[-1,1] = L^2[-1,1]$ and $w(x) = (1-x^2)^{1/2}$.

We also consider the general case when $f$ is neither an even nor an odd function but $f \in L^2[-1,1]$ and $f(-1) = f(1) = 0$.

Using the theory of Gegenbauer polynomials we obtain the approximating polynomials in the form

$$\phi_{2n}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{n,k} (1-x^2)^k \quad \text{when } f \in F_e$$

and

$$\phi_{2n+1}(x) = x \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{n,k} (1-x^2)^k \quad \text{when } f \in F_o.$$

We apply the general theory to the functions $f(x) = \cos(\pi x/2)$ and $f(x) = J_0(a_0 x)$, where $a_0 = \{ \min x > 0: J_0(x) = 0 \}$.

0. Introduction. In [12] Wrigge and Fransen considered two families of functions, viz., $F$ and $H$, and showed how these functions can be approximated on $[0,1]$ by polynomials of the form

$$\sum_{n=1}^{k} c_{n,k} (x(1-x))^n \quad \text{and} \quad (1-2x) \sum_{n=1}^{k} C_{n,k} (x(1-x))^n.$$

They used the $L^2$-norm with respect to the weight function $w(x) = (x(1-x))^q$, where $q \in \{0,1,2,\ldots \}$. This method of approximation can be further generalized, as was shown in Wrigge [11], by using Bernstein polynomials.
However, a better and more natural way is to use Gegenbauer polynomials \( C_{k,\lambda}(x) \) orthogonal with respect to the weight function \( w(x) = (1 - x^2)^{\lambda - 1/2} \), \( x \in [-1,1], \lambda > -1/2 \).

The families of functions to be approximated are denoted by \( F_e \) and \( F_o \) (defined in the abstract) which are natural extensions of \( F \) and \( H \) used by Wrigge and Fransén [12]. The advantage of our new method is that we can avoid the rather cumbersome manipulations with matrices which were found necessary in the work of Wrigge and Fransén.

1. Preliminaries and Definitions. We define the Gegenbauer polynomials \( \{ C_{n,\lambda}(x) \} \) by means of the generating function

\[
(1 - 2xt + t^2)^{-\lambda} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n,\lambda}(x) t^n, \quad \lambda \neq 0.
\]

Using this generating function and some manipulations we may prove the recurrence relations

\[
(1.1) \quad (k + 1)C_{k+1,\lambda}(x) = 2(k + \lambda)x C_{k,\lambda}(x) - (k + 2\lambda - 1)C_{k-1,\lambda}(x),
\]

\[
(1.2) \quad (k + \lambda)C_{k,\lambda}(x) = \lambda C_{k+1,\lambda}(x) - \lambda C_{k-2,\lambda+1}(x),
\]

\[
2\lambda(1 - x^2)C_{k,\lambda+1}(x) = (2\lambda + k)C_{k,\lambda}(x) - (k + 1)x C_{k+1,\lambda}(x)
\]

\[
= (k + 1 + 2\lambda)x C_{k+1,\lambda}(x) - (k + 2)C_{k+2,\lambda}(x).
\]

Starting values are given by \( C_{0,\lambda}(x) = 1 \), \( C_{1,\lambda}(x) = 2\lambda x \).

In the sequel we will also need the formulae

\[
C_{k,\lambda}(1) = \frac{(k + 2 \lambda - 1)k!}{k!} \quad \text{and} \quad C_{k,\lambda}(-x) = (-1)^k C_{k,\lambda}(x).
\]

Of interest is the limit behavior of \( C_{k,\lambda}(x) \) when \( \lambda \to 0 \), given by (see, e.g., [10, p. 81])

\[
\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{C_{k,\lambda}(x)}{\lambda} = \frac{2}{k} T_k(x), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]

where \( T_k(x) \) denotes the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind.

More important to us are the following two relations, since they enable us to write the approximating polynomials in a form similar to that used by Wrigge and Fransén [12],

\[
1.3) \quad C_{2k,\lambda}(x) = C_{2k,\lambda}(1) \binom{2\lambda}{k}_2 F_1(-k, k + \lambda; \lambda + \frac{1}{2}; 1 - x^2),
\]

\[
1.4) \quad C_{2k+1,\lambda}(x) = C_{2k+1,\lambda}(1) \binom{2\lambda}{k+1} \binom{2\lambda}{k+1} F_1(-k, k + \lambda + 1; \lambda + \frac{1}{2}; 1 - x^2)
\]

(see, e.g., Erdélyi et al. [3, p. 176]).

We next prove the following auxiliary result (see also a paper by Rakovich and Vasić [7]).

**Lemma.** Let

\[
h_k = \| C_{k,\lambda} \|^2 = \frac{\lambda}{(k + \lambda) \Lambda(\lambda)} C_{k,\lambda}(1), \quad \Lambda(\lambda) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\lambda + \frac{1}{2})}.
\]
Then the identities

\[ S_0(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{C_{2k,\lambda}(x)C_{2k,\lambda}(1)}{h_{2k}} = \Lambda(\lambda)C_{2n,\lambda+1}(x) \]

and

\[ S_1(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{C_{2k+1,\lambda}(x)C_{2k+1,\lambda}(1)}{h_{2k+1}} = \Lambda(\lambda)C_{2n+1,\lambda+1}(x) \]

hold.

**Proof.** We could use the Christoffel-Darboux identity

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{C_{k,\lambda}(x)C_{k,\lambda}(t)}{h_k} = q_m \frac{C_{m+1,\lambda}(x)C_{m,\lambda}(t) - C_{m,\lambda}(x)C_{m+1,\lambda}(t)}{x - t}, \]

where \( q_m = (m + 1)!\Lambda(\lambda)/2\lambda(2\lambda)_m \) (see, e.g., [1, p.785]) in combination with (1.2). However, there exists a simpler proof based on induction. The statement of the lemma indeed may be written in the form

\[ C_{2n,\lambda+1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{2k + \lambda}{\lambda} C_{2k,\lambda}(x) \]

and

\[ C_{2n+1,\lambda+1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{2k + 1 + \lambda}{\lambda} C_{2k+1,\lambda}(x). \]

Note that (1.6) is correct for \( n = 1 \). From (1.1) we find

\[ C_{2n+2,\lambda+1}(x) = C_{2n,\lambda+1}(x) + \frac{2n + 2 + \lambda}{\lambda} C_{2n+2,\lambda}(x) \]

showing that (1.6) holds true also for \( n := n + 1 \). Equation (1.7) is proved similarly. □

Now let the functions \( f, g \in L^2[-1,1] = L^2_\lambda[-1,1] \) and denote by

\[ (f, g) = \int_{-1}^{1} f(x)g(x)w(x) \, dx \]

the inner product of \( f \) and \( g \), where \( w(x) = (1 - x^2)^{\lambda-1/2} \), \( \lambda > -1/2 \).

We introduce two families of real functions, viz.

\[ F_e = \{ f: f(-x) = f(x), f(1) = 0, f \in L^2[-1,1] \} \]

and

\[ F_o = \{ f: f(-x) = -f(x), f(1) = 0, f \in L^2[-1,1] \}. \]

Let further \( \mathcal{P}_m \) be the set of all real polynomials of degree not higher than \( m \) and such that the polynomials belong to the set \( F_e \) if \( m \) is even and to the set \( F_o \) if \( m \) is odd.

We will determine the least squares approximation \( \phi_{2n} \) (or \( \phi_{2n+1} \)) for the function \( f \in F_e \) (or \( F_o \)) in the class \( \mathcal{P}_{2n} \) (or \( \mathcal{P}_{2n+1} \)), with respect to the norm \( \|f\| = ((f,f))^{1/2} \), where the inner product is defined by (1.8). For this approximation we have

\[ \min_{\phi \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}} \|f - \phi\| \|f - \phi_{2n}\| \text{ when } f \in F_e, \]
or

$$\min_{\phi \in \mathcal{P}_{2n+1}} \| f - \phi \| = \| f - \phi_{2n+1} \| \quad \text{when } f \in F_0.$$ 

In the general case, when $f$ is neither an even nor an odd function, but $f \in L^2[-1, 1]$ and $f(-1) = f(1) = 0$, then the least squares approximation $\psi_m$ (in the class of real polynomials of degree $\leq m$), which satisfies the conditions $\psi_m(-1) = \psi_m(1) = 0$, is simply

$$\psi_m(x) = \phi_{2n}(x) + \phi_{2n+1}(x) \quad \text{when } m = 2n + 1$$

and

$$\psi_m(x) = \phi_{2n}(x) + \phi_{2n-1}(x) \quad \text{when } m = 2n,$$

where $\phi_{2n}$ and $\phi_{2n+1}$ are the solutions of (1.9) and (1.10). This can be seen by writing

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(-x)) + \frac{1}{2}(f(x) - f(-x)).$$

Our main results are Theorems 1 and 2 of Section 2. Section 3 treats more general constraints. Examples are given in Section 4.


**Theorem 1.** If $f \in F_e$, then the least squares approximation in the class $\mathcal{P}_{2n}$ is given by

$$\phi_{2n}(x) = \Lambda(\lambda) \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{n,k}(\lambda)(1 - x^2)^k,$$

where

$$a_{n,k}(\lambda) = \frac{(-1)^k}{(\lambda + \frac{1}{2})_{k+1}} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{2m + \lambda}{\lambda} (f, C_{2m,\lambda}) a_{m,k}^{(n)}(\lambda) \quad (\lambda \neq 0)$$

and

$$a_{m,k}^{(n)}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} -\binom{n}{k}(n + \lambda + 1)k \left( \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \right), & m < k, \\ \binom{m}{k}(m + \lambda)_k \left( \lambda + k + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \binom{n}{k}(n + \lambda + 1)_k \left( \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \right), & m \geq k. \end{cases}$$

When $\lambda = 0$ we have

$$a_{n,k}(0) = \frac{(-1)^k}{(\frac{1}{2})_{k+1}} \theta_n \sum_{m=0}^{n} (f, T_{2m}) a_{m,k}^{(n)}(0),$$

where $\theta_0 = 1$ and $\theta_n = 2$, when $n \geq 1$.

Note 1. The approximation with constraint $\phi_{2n}(x)$ turns out to be the truncated expansion in Gegenbauer polynomials with a multiple of $S_0(x) = \Lambda(\lambda)C_{2n,\lambda+1}(x)$ added to satisfy the constraint at $x = 1$, i.e.,

$$\phi_{2n}(x) = \phi_{2n}(x) - \phi_{2n}(1) \frac{S_0(x)}{S_0(1)}.$$
where \( \tilde{\phi}_{2n}(x) \) is the least squares approximation without constraint given by (see, e.g., Rivlin [8, pp. 50–51])

\[
(2.2) \quad \tilde{\phi}_{2n}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left( \frac{f, C_{2k, \lambda}}{h_{2k}} \right) C_{2k, \lambda}(x).
\]

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let \( f \in F_\epsilon \) and \( \lambda \neq 0 \). In order to find the minimum of the “distance” \( \| f - \phi_{2n} \| \) under the constraint \( \phi_{2n}(1) = 0 \), we represent \( \phi_{2n} \) as a linear combination of the Gegenbauer polynomials \( \{ C_{2k, \lambda}(x) \} \) and consider the associated function \( D_\epsilon \), viz.

\[
D_\epsilon = \int_{-1}^{1} \left( f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{n} d_k C_{2k, \lambda}(x) \right)^2 w(x) \, dx + \mu \sum_{k=0}^{n} d_k C_{2k, \lambda}(1),
\]

where \( \mu \) is a Lagrange multiplier, whose value we have to determine. We must have

\[
\frac{\partial D_\epsilon}{\partial d_i} = -2(f, C_{2i, \lambda}) + 2h_{2i}d_i + \mu C_{2i, \lambda}(1) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n,
\]

which yields

\[
(2.3) \quad d_i = \frac{1}{h_{2i}} \left( (f, C_{2i, \lambda}) - \frac{1}{2} \mu C_{2i, \lambda}(1) \right), \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n.
\]

From the constraint \( \phi_{2n}(1) = 0 \) we find

\[
(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{2} \mu = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(f, C_{2k, \lambda})}{h_{2k}} C_{2k, \lambda}(1)/ \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{C_{2k, \lambda}(1)}{h_{2k}} = \frac{\tilde{\phi}_{2n}(1)}{S_0(1)},
\]

where \( \tilde{\phi}_{2n} \) is given by (2.2) and \( S_0 \) by the lemma. Now, we note that the formula (2.1) follows from (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4).

Using the representation of Gegenbauer polynomials in terms of hypergeometric functions (Eqs. (1.3) and (1.4)) we get

\[
\tilde{\phi}_{2n}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \left( \frac{f, C_{2m, \lambda}}{h_{2m}} \right) C_{2m, \lambda}(1) \, {}_2F_1 \left( -m, m + \lambda; \lambda + \frac{1}{2}; 1 - x^2 \right)
\]

and

\[
S_0(x) = S_0(1) \, {}_2F_1 \left( -n, n + \lambda + 1; \lambda + \frac{1}{2}; 1 - x^2 \right).
\]

A known formula for the hypergeometric function yields

\[
\tilde{\phi}_{2n}(x) = \Lambda(\lambda) \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{2m + \lambda}{\lambda} \left( f, C_{2m, \lambda} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \frac{(m + \lambda)_k}{(\lambda + \frac{1}{2})_k} (1 - x^2)^k
\]

\[
= \Lambda(\lambda) \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^k (1 - x^2)^k}{(\lambda + \frac{1}{2})_k} \sum_{m=k}^{n} \frac{2m + \lambda}{\lambda} \left( f, C_{2m, \lambda} \right) \binom{m}{k} (m + \lambda)_k
\]

and

\[
\frac{S_0(x)}{S_0(1)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \frac{(n + \lambda + 1)_k}{(\lambda + \frac{1}{2})_k} (1 - x^2)^k.
\]

On the basis of the above and the formula (2.1), we obtain the assertion of Theorem 1 for \( \lambda \neq 0 \). We note that \( a_{n,0}(\lambda) = 0 \). The case \( \lambda = 0 \) is handled by means of a limit process.  \( \Box \)
It is of some interest to compare approximations without constraints with our approximations with constraints. First of all, we note that \( \phi_{2n}(x_k) = \tilde{\phi}_{2n}(x_k) \), where \( x_k \) is any zero of the polynomial \( C_{2n+1} x + 1 \). Assuming \( f \in \mathcal{F}_s \), define

\[
D_e^* = \min_{\phi \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}} \| f - \phi \|^2 = \| f - \phi_{2n} \|^2
\]

and

\[
\tilde{D}_e^* = \min_{\phi \in \pi_{2n}} \| f - \phi \|^2 = \| f - \tilde{\phi}_{2n} \|^2,
\]

where \( \pi_{2n} \) is the set of all real polynomials of degree less than or equal to \( 2n \). We note that \( \mathcal{P}_{2n} \subset \pi_{2n} \). Using standard calculations and the constraint \( \phi_{2n}(1) = 0 \), we obtain

\[
D_e^* = (f - \phi_{2n}, f - \phi_{2n}) = \| f \|^2 - \sum_{k=0}^{n} d_k^2 h_{2k} \geq 0,
\]

where \( d_k \) is given by (2.3) and (2.4). We also note that

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} d_k^2 h_{2k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} d_k(f, C_{2k,1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left( \frac{(f, C_{2k,1})^2}{h_{2k}} - \frac{\mu}{2} \frac{C_{2k,1}(1)(f, C_{2k,1})}{h_{2k}} \right).
\]

Using the lemma and the value of \( \mu \) from (2.4) we obtain

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} d_k^2 h_{2k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(f, C_{2k,1})^2}{h_{2k}} - \frac{\mu^2}{4} S_0(1).
\]

Similarly, we find

\[
\tilde{D}_e^* = (f - \tilde{\phi}_{2n}, f - \tilde{\phi}_{2n}) = \| f \|^2 - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(f, C_{2k,1})^2}{h_{2k}}.
\]

Using the expressions for \( D_e^* \) and \( \tilde{D}_e^* \) we get

\[
D_e^* - \tilde{D}_e^* = \frac{\mu^2}{4} S_0(1) = \left( f, S_0 \right)^2 = \frac{(\tilde{\phi}_{2n}(1))^2}{S_0(1)} = \frac{\Lambda(\lambda) C_{2n,1}(1)}{\Lambda(\lambda) C_{2n,1+1}(1)},
\]

since, by the lemma, \( \tilde{\phi}_{2n}(1) = (f, S_0) \). Thus we see that the difference \( D_e^* - \tilde{D}_e^* \) is proportional to the square of the error \( \phi_{2n}(1) - f(1) = \phi_{2n}(1) \).

In a similar way the following result can be proved.

**Theorem 2.** If \( f \in \mathcal{F}_o \), then the least squares approximation in the class \( \mathcal{P}_{2n+1} \) is given by

\[
\phi_{2n+1}(x) = \Lambda(\lambda) x \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{n,k}(\lambda)(1 - x^2)^k,
\]

where

\[
b_{n,k}(\lambda) = \frac{(-1)^k}{(\lambda + \frac{1}{2})_{k+1}} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{2m + \lambda + 1}{\lambda} (f, C_{2m+1,1}) \beta^{(n)}_{m,k}(\lambda) \quad (\lambda \neq 0)
\]
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and

\[ \beta_{m,k}^{(n)}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} -\binom{n}{k}(n + \lambda + 2)_k \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\right), & m < k, \\ \binom{m}{k}(m + \lambda + 1)_k \left(\lambda + k + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \binom{n}{k}(n + \lambda + 2)_k \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\right), & m \geq k. \end{cases} \]

When \( \lambda = 0 \) we have

\[ b_{n,k}(0) = \frac{2(-1)^k}{(\frac{1}{2})_{k+1}} \sum_{m=0}^{n} (f, T_{2m+1}) \beta_{m,k}^{(n)}(0). \]

Note 2. The approximation with constraint \( \phi_{2n+1}(x) \) turns out to be the truncated expansion in Gegenbauer polynomials with a multiple of \( S_1(x) (= \Lambda(\lambda)C_{2n+1,\lambda+1}(x)) \) added to satisfy the constraint at \( x = 1 \), i.e.,

\[ \phi_{2n+1}(x) = \tilde{\phi}_{2n+1}(x) - \tilde{\phi}_{2n+1}(1) \frac{S_1(x)}{S_1(1)}, \]

where \( \tilde{\phi}_{2n+1} \) is the corresponding least squares approximation without constraint.

The approximation over the interval \([0,1]\), which was treated in [12], may be obtained from Theorems 1 and 2, if we replace \( x \) by \( 1 - 2x \). The case \( \lambda = q + \frac{1}{2} \), where \( q \in \{0,1,2,\ldots\} \) was investigated by Wrigge and Fransen [12].

We have found it convenient to introduce two further notations, viz.

\[ d_{n,k}(\lambda) = \Lambda(\lambda)a_{n,k}(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad e_{n,k}(\lambda) = \Lambda(\lambda)b_{n,k}(\lambda). \]

Sometimes we will omit the variable \( \lambda \) and write only \( d_{n,k} \) and \( e_{n,k} \). The approximating polynomials in Theorems 1 and 2 may then be written as

\[ \phi_{2n}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} d_{n,k}(1 - x^2)^k \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{2n+1}(x) = x \sum_{k=1}^{n} e_{n,k}(1 - x^2)^k. \]

3. Approximations With More General Constraints. The approach of approximation developed in Section 2 clearly enables one to introduce more general constraints, such as \( f(a) = \phi(a) \), or even more generally \( L\phi = c = \text{const} \), where \( L \) is a linear functional.

Suppose, e.g., that \( f \in F_\epsilon \); then we could study the problem

\[ \min_{\phi \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}} \| f - \phi \| \quad \text{subject to} \quad \int_{-1}^{1} f(x) \, dx = \int_{-1}^{1} \phi(x) \, dx. \]

This problem becomes very natural in case \( f(x) \) is an even probability density function defined on \([-1,1]\). Then of course \( \int_{-1}^{1} f(x) \, dx = 1 \).

We will here not go too much into details but will only give some examples to show the complications involved. We start with the “most natural” generalization of the problem dealt with in Section 2, viz.

Let \( f \in F_\epsilon \), minimize \( \| f - \phi_{2n} \| \) subject to \( \phi_{2n} \in \mathcal{P}_{2n} \) and \( \phi_{2n}(a) = f(a) \), where \( a \in [0,1] \).
Put as before $\phi_{2n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} d_k C_{2k,\lambda}(x)$ and define

$$
\Delta_{\epsilon} = \int_{-1}^{1} \left( f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{n} d_k C_{2k,\lambda}(x) \right)^2 w(x) \, dx + \mu \sum_{k=0}^{n} d_k C_{2k,\lambda}(1) \\
+ \gamma \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} d_k C_{2k,\lambda}(a) - f(a) \right),
$$

where $\mu$ and $\gamma$ are Lagrange multipliers, whose values we will determine.

In order to minimize $\Delta_{\epsilon}$ we must have, for $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$,

$$
\frac{\partial \Delta_{\epsilon}}{\partial d_i} = -2(f, C_{2i,\lambda}) + 2h_{2i} d_i + \mu C_{2i,\lambda}(1) + \gamma C_{2i,\lambda}(a) = 0.
$$

This yields

$$
d_i = \frac{(f, C_{2i,\lambda})}{h_{2i}} - \frac{\mu}{2} \cdot \frac{C_{2i,\lambda}(1)}{h_{2i}} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \cdot \frac{C_{2i,\lambda}(a)}{h_{2i}}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n.
$$

The constraints $\phi_{2n}(1) = 0$ and $\phi_{2n}(a) = f(a)$ yield the system of equations

$$
A(1, 1) \frac{\mu}{2} + A(a, 1) \frac{\gamma}{2} = (f, A(1, x)),
$$

$$
A(1, a) \frac{\mu}{2} + A(a, a) \frac{\gamma}{2} = (f, A(a, x)) - f(a),
$$

where

$$
A(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{C_{2k,\lambda}(x)C_{2k,\lambda}(t)}{h_{2k}}.
$$

We note that $A(x, t) = A(t, x)$.

Since in Section 4 (Example 4.3) we will approximate $f(x) = \cos(\pi x/2)$ with the extra constraint $\phi_{2n}(0) = 1$, it is convenient to take a closer look at the sums occurring in (3.1).

From the lemma we conclude that $(f, A(1, x)) = \Lambda(\lambda)(f, C_{2n,\lambda+1})$, and the Christoffel-Darboux identity [cf. (1.5)] yields

$$
A(x, t) = \frac{q_{2n+1}}{x^2 - t^2} \begin{vmatrix}
C_{2n+1,\lambda+1}(t) & C_{2n+2,\lambda}(t) \\
C_{2n+1,\lambda+1}(x) & C_{2n+2,\lambda}(x)
\end{vmatrix}.
$$

The case $x = t$ is handled by a limit process. Thus we get

$$
A(t, t) = \lambda q_{2n+1} \begin{vmatrix}
C_{2n+1,\lambda+1}(t) & C_{2n,\lambda+1}(t) \\
C_{2n+2,\lambda}(t) & C_{2n+1,\lambda}(t)
\end{vmatrix} - q_{2n+1} \frac{C_{2n+2,\lambda}(t)C_{2n+1,\lambda}(t)}{2t}.
$$

For example, if $t = 0$ we have

$$
A(x, 0) = Q \frac{C_{2n,\lambda+1}(0)}{C_{2n,\lambda+1}(1)} \cdot \frac{C_{2n+1,\lambda}(x)}{2\lambda x} \quad \text{and} \quad A(0, 0) = Q \frac{C_{2n,\lambda+1}(0)}{C_{2n,\lambda+1}(1)},
$$

where $Q = (2n + 1)(2\lambda + 2n + 1)\Lambda(\lambda)/(2\lambda + 1)$.

In the sequel we will have occasion to investigate another constraint, viz., for $f \in F_\epsilon \cap C^1[-1, 1]$ solve

$$
(3.2) \quad \min \|f - \phi_{2n}\| \quad \text{subject to} \quad \phi_{2n} \in \mathcal{B}_n \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{2n}(1) = f(1) \neq 0.
$$
The reason why this particular constraint is of interest is the fact that the relative error at \( x = 1 \) vanishes subject to the constraints given in (3.2).

For the problem stated in (3.2) we introduce

\[
\Omega_e = \int_{-1}^{1} \left( f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{n} d_k C_{k,\lambda}(x) \right)^2 w(x) \, dx + \mu \sum_{k=0}^{n} d_k C_{k,\lambda}(1) + \gamma \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} d_k C_{k,\lambda}(1) - f(1) \right).
\]

The condition \( \partial \Omega_e / \partial d_i = 0 \) for \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, n \), and the constraints yield the equations,

\[
\frac{\partial \Omega_e}{\partial d_i} = -2 \left( f, C_{2i,\lambda} \right) + 2 h_{2i} d_i + \mu C_{2i,\lambda}(1) + \gamma C_{2i,\lambda}(1) = 0
\]

and

\[
A(1,1) \frac{\mu}{2} + B(1,1) \frac{\gamma}{2} = (f, A(1,x)),
\]

\[
B(1,1) \frac{\mu}{2} + C(1,1) \frac{\gamma}{2} = (f, B(1,x)) - f(1),
\]

where

\[
B(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A(x,t) \quad \text{and} \quad C(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} B(x,t) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial t} A(x,t).
\]

From the lemma we get \( B(1,t) = \delta_0(t) = 2(\lambda + 1)\Lambda(\lambda) C_{2n-1,\lambda+2}(t) \). In order to find the sum \( B(x,1) \), we may use the identity

\[
B(x,t) = q_{2n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( t C_{2n+1,\lambda}(t) C_{2n+2,\lambda}(x) - x C_{2n+1,\lambda}(x) C_{2n+2,\lambda}(t) \right). \]

After much calculation we find

\[
B(x,1) = \frac{4 \Lambda(\lambda)}{2\lambda + 1} ((n + 1)(\lambda + n + 1) C_{2n,\lambda+1}(x) - (\lambda + 1) C_{2n,\lambda+2}(x)).
\]

However, we can obtain the same result without using the Christoffel-Darboux identity, by simply observing that

\[
B(x,1) = \frac{4 \Lambda(\lambda)}{2\lambda + 1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{k(\lambda + k)}{\lambda} (2k + \lambda) C_{2k,\lambda}(x).
\]

Using Eq. (1.1) and some manipulations we again obtain (3.3). Differentiating (3.3) with respect to \( x \) gives

\[
C(x,1) = \frac{8 \Lambda(\lambda)}{2\lambda + 1} ((n + 1)(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + n + 1) C_{2n-1,\lambda+2}(x) - (\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2) C_{2n-1,\lambda+3}(x)).
\]

4. Examples. As may be seen from Theorems 1 and 2 in Section 2, a main difficulty when calculating the least squares approximations is to achieve high-precision values of the inner products

\[
\gamma_{m,\lambda}(f) = (f, C_{m,\lambda}) = \int_{-1}^{1} f(x) C_{m,\lambda}(x)(1 - x^2)^{\lambda-1/2} \, dx,
\]
where \( m \) is even when \( f \in F_e \) and odd when \( f \in F_o \). Now, we give some ways for the calculation of the integral (4.1).

(a) Suppose that \( f(x) \) is analytic and that \( f \in F_e \). Then \( f(x) \) may be expanded in a Fourier series of the form

\[
f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{2n+1} \cos \left( \frac{2n + 1}{2} \pi x \right), \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1,
\]

and \( \gamma_{2m,\lambda}(f) \) written as

\[
\gamma_{2m,\lambda}(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{2n+1} \int_{-1}^{1} C_{2m,\lambda}(x) \cos \left( \frac{2n + 1}{2} \pi x \right) (1 - x^2)^{\lambda-1/2} dx.
\]

Letting

\[
K_{m,\lambda}(a) = \int_{-1}^{1} C_{m,\lambda}(x) e^{iax} (1 - x^2)^{\lambda-1/2} dx
\]

and noting (see, e.g., Gradsteyn and Ryzhik [5, p. 830] or Erdélyi et al. [3, p. 178])

\[
K_{m,\lambda}(a) = \frac{2\pi \Gamma(2\lambda + m)}{m! \Gamma(\lambda) \Gamma(2(a) \lambda)} J_{2m+\lambda}(a), \quad \text{Re} \lambda > -1/2,
\]

we obtain

\[
\gamma_{2m,\lambda}(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{2n+1} \left( -1 \right)^m \frac{2\pi \Gamma(2\lambda + 2m)}{(2m)! \Gamma(\lambda) \Gamma((2n + 1)\pi)} J_{2m+\lambda}\left( \frac{2n + 1}{2} \pi \right).
\]

The Bessel function \( J_{2m+\lambda}(a) \) may be computed by Miller’s algorithm (see Abramowitz and Stegun [1, pp. 452–453]).

A similar formula may be obtained for \( \gamma_{2m+1,\lambda}(f) \) if \( f \in F_o \) and \( f \) is analytic.

(b) Quite another approach is the following. Let \( f \) be analytic and belong to \( F_e \). Then there exists an expansion of the form

\[
f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c(k) (1 - x^2)^k, \quad x \in [-1, 1].
\]

Several different expressions for the coefficients \( c(k) \) may be obtained similar to those given by Wrigge and Fransen [12, pp. 568–569]. Given the expansion (4.2), \( \gamma_{2m,\lambda}(f) \) becomes

\[
\gamma_{2m,\lambda}(f) = \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} c(k) G_{2m,k}(\lambda),
\]

where

\[
G_{2m,k}(\lambda) = \int_{-1}^{1} C_{2m,\lambda}(x) (1 - x^2)^{k+\lambda-1/2} dx.
\]

A quick way to evaluate (4.3) is the following. We “invert” the relation (1.3) and write

\[
(1 - x^2)^k = \sum_{n=0}^{k} \mu_{n,\lambda}(k) C_{2n,\lambda}(x), \quad m \leq k.
\]

Then, because of orthogonality, we get

\[
G_{2m,k}(\lambda) = \mu_{m,\lambda}(k) \| C_{2m,\lambda} \|^2.
\]
It is also possible to expand \( C_{2m,\lambda}(x) \) in powers of \( x^2 \) or \( 1 - x^2 \) and then evaluate the elementary integrals that arise. The formulae we then get are, however, much more complicated than (4.4).

It can be shown (see Apelblat [2, p. 189]) that

\[
G_{2m,k}(\lambda) = (-1)^m \binom{k}{m} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + m + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda) \Gamma(\lambda + k + m + 1)}, \quad m \leq k.
\]

Since the norm \( \|C_{2m,\lambda}\| \) is well-known, we have thus evaluated the coefficients \( \mu_{m,\lambda}(k) \), which means that we have succeeded to explicitly invert the relation (1.3).

(c) An appropriate numerical method for the determination of the integrals \( \gamma_{m,\lambda}(f) \) is the application of Gauss-Gegenbauer quadrature to (4.1). Error bounds for Gaussian quadrature of analytic functions were developed by Gautschi and Varga [4] (see also Smith [9]).

**Example 4.1.** \( f(x) = \cos(\pi x/2), \ x \in [-1,1] \). In this case we explicitly know that

\[
\int_{-1}^{1} C_{2m,\lambda}(x) \cos(ax)(1 - x^2)^{-1/2} dx = (-1)^m \frac{2\pi \Gamma(2\lambda + 2m)}{(2m)! \Gamma(\lambda)(2\lambda)} J_{2m+\lambda}(a).
\]

Putting \( a = \pi/2 \) and \( \lambda = 1/2 \) we get \( \gamma_{2m,1/2}(f) = 2(-1)^m J_{2m+1/2}(\pi/2) \). Similarly, we find

\[
\gamma_{0,0}(f) = (f, T_0) = \pi J_0(\pi/2),
\]

\[
\gamma_{2m,0}(f) = (f, T_{2m}) = 2\pi (-1)^m J_{2m}(\pi/2), \quad m > 0.
\]

These expressions are then used to calculate \( d_{n,k}(1/2) \) and \( d_{n,k}(0) \) [cf. Theorem 1].

The coefficients \( d_{n,k}(0) \) for \( n = 1,2,\ldots,10 \) are presented in Table 4.1. The approximations for \( \lambda = 1/2 \) are slightly less accurate than those for \( \lambda = 0 \). The corresponding absolute errors

\[
e_n(\lambda) = \max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} \left| f(x) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} d_{n,k}(\lambda)(1 - x^2)^k \right|
\]

for \( \lambda = 0 \) and \( \lambda = 1/2 \), are displayed also in Table 4.1. Numbers in parentheses indicate decimal exponents.

**Example 4.2.** \( f(x) = J_0(a_0 x), \ a_0 = \{ \min x > 0 : J_0(x) = 0 \} \). Accurate values of \( a_0 \) and more generally \( a_\nu \), where \( a_\nu = \{ \min x > 0 : J_\nu(x) = 0 \} \), are given to 100D by Zironi [13, p. 335]. We have, e.g., \( a_0 = 2.40482 \ 55576 \ 95772 \ 76862 \ldots \). We consider especially the case \( \lambda = 0 \), because in Gradshteyn and Ryzhik [5, p. 836] we find the prerequisite result

\[
\int_0^1 (1 - x^2)^{-1/2} T_n(x) J_\nu(ax) dx = \frac{\pi}{2} J_{(\nu+n)/2}(a/2) J_{(\nu-n)/2}(a/2),
\]

\( a > 0, \Re \nu > -n - 1 \).

For \( \nu = 0 \) and \( n = 2k \) we have

\[
(4.5) \quad \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - x^2)^{-1/2} J_0(ax) T_{2k}(x) dx = \pi (-1)^k J_k^2(a/2).
\]

The coefficients \( d_{n,k}(0) \), \( n = 1,2,\ldots,10 \), in the approximation

\[
J_0(a_0 x) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{n} d_{n,k}(0)(1 - x^2)^k, \quad x \in [-1,1],
\]


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>(d_{n,k}(0))</th>
<th>(e_n(0))</th>
<th>(e_n(1/2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.962270459871251081530834</td>
<td>3.83(-2)</td>
<td>4.06(-2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.777230028061934424939665</td>
<td>7.46(-4)</td>
<td>8.88(-4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.222045818171179987909402</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.785557128488924080168619</td>
<td>8.05(-6)</td>
<td>1.06(-5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.19540179680413091176750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.019033372404547783380466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.785396470018426704804616</td>
<td>5.44(-8)</td>
<td>7.78(-8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.196365747627797343360769</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0173808852794319224493576</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.000856845175986001941350</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.785398174745279410389985</td>
<td>2.49(-10)</td>
<td>3.90(-10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.196349382250011369741228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.017429981412789843352201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.00079865166080317960758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.000023804185870507082970</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.78539816345269475623525</td>
<td>8.52(-13)</td>
<td>1.39(-12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.196349541850150456471664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.01742952181254101298777</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.000800116367351968067604</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.000022477639259916076746</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.00000044895006661571337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.785398163397623018186441</td>
<td>2.07(-15)</td>
<td>3.74(-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.196349540849462439263683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.017429258274076986064370</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.00080097220913545058441</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.000022506358917550590491</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.000004277766410699649</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.00000000126860295362932</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.78539816339748310505497</td>
<td>4.33(-18)</td>
<td>7.60(-18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.196349540849376304037355</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.017429258236243859432897</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.000800097375048505048886</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.000022506022623091644066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.00000428180197451435359</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.000000005875760432682935</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.00000000003302486389915</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.78539816339748310505497</td>
<td>7.09(-21)</td>
<td>1.35(-20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.196349540849362042178425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.01742925823640073981121</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.000800097374211809685025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.000022506022591547191835</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.00000428175937909568433</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.00000000588001974549860</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.00000000006101164034383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.00000000000051242609254</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.78539816339748309614225</td>
<td>8.99(-24)</td>
<td>1.89(-23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1963495408493620777472803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.017429258236400255843973</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.000800097374215072453947</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0000225060225082689346862</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.000004281759666658441448</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.000000005879979087263795</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.000000000061046686589037</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.00000000000049582536265</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.000000000000003337737638</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
are calculated. The corresponding absolute errors are given by 6.97(-2), 2.70(-3),
6.10(-5), 8.83(-7), 8.90(-9), 6.58(-11), 3.73(-13), 1.65(-15), 6.00(-18), and
2.53(-20), respectively. For example, if \( n = 10 \) we have the following coefficients
\( c(k) = d_{10,k}(0) \):

\[
c(1) = 0.624229 584847 753322 534, \quad c(6) = 0.000014 291669 769704 969, \\
c(2) = 0.312114 792423 876793 755, \quad c(7) = 0.000000 433105 085872 767, \\
c(3) = 0.057658 371084 764508 079, \quad c(8) = 0.000000 009988 565281 248, \\
c(4) = 0.005639 239014 138741 338, \quad c(9) = 0.000000 000180 796461 075, \\
c(5) = 0.000343 277682 412430 896, \quad c(10) = 0.000000 000002 836883 322.
\]

We also note the following general expansion (see, e.g., Magnus et al. [6, p. 125]),

\[
J_n(xz) = x^n \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{z}{2} (1 - x^2) \right)^n J_{n+1}(z)(n!)^{-1}.
\]

Of special interest is, of course, the case \( \nu = 0 \) and \( z = a_0 \). For computational
purposes we also considered the expansion

\[
J_{2m}(a_{2m}x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{2m}(k)(1 - x^2)^k, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

Using the differential equation for \( J_{2m}(z) \) we could prove that the coefficients
\( C_{2m}(k) \) satisfy the recurrence relation

\[
4(k + 2)(k + 1)C_{2m}(k + 2) - 4(k + 1)(2k + 1)C_{2m}(k + 1) + (4k^2 + a^2_{2m} - 4m^2)C_{2m}(k) - a^2_{2m}C_{2m}(k - 1) = 0, \quad k = 2, 3, 4, \ldots.
\]

Starting values are given by

\[
C_{2m}(1) = \frac{1}{2} a_{2m} J_{2m+1}(a_{2m}), \quad C_{2m}(2) = \frac{1}{2} C_{2m}(1),
\]

\[
C_{2m}(3) = C_{2m}(2) - \frac{4 + a^2_{2m} - 4m^2}{24} C_{2m}(1).
\]

Since (4.6), (4.7) is ill-conditioned as it stands, we had to rewrite it and could then
use Miller's algorithm. We thus calculated \( C_0(k) \) and \( C_2(k) \) for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, 70 \). The
convergence was very fast.

Example 4.3. In this example we consider \( f(x) = \cos(\pi x/2) \) with the extra
constraint \( \phi_{2m}(0) = 1 \). As weight function we choose \( w(x) = (1 - x^2)^{-1/2}, \) i.e.,
\( \lambda = 0 \). We then approximate \( \cos(\pi x/2) \) in \( L_2 \)-norm (with the mentioned weight
function) by a polynomial of the form

\[
\cos(\pi x/2) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{n} d_{n,k} (1 - x^2)^k, \quad x \in [-1, 1],
\]

where the coefficients satisfy the constraint

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} d_{n,k} = 1.
\]

We notice that \( f(1 - 2x) = \cos(\pi (1 - 2x)/2) = \sin(\pi x) \), where \( x \in [0, 1] \). The
corresponding approximations for \( \sin(\pi x) \) are then of the form

\[
\sin(\pi x) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{n} d_{n,k} 4^k (x(1 - x))^k.
\]
Using the result in Section 3, we obtain after much calculation
\[ d_{n,k} = (-4)^k \sum_{i=k}^{n} \frac{i}{i + k} \binom{i + k}{2k} a_i^{(n)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \]
where
\[ a_0^{(n)} = J_0(\pi/2) - A_n, \]
\[ a_2^{(n)} = 2(J_{4i}(\pi/2) - A_n), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, \]
\[ a_{2i-1}^{(n)} = -2(J_{4i-2}(\pi/2) + B_n), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots. \]

The coefficients \( A_n \) and \( B_n \) are given by
\[ A_n = \frac{2n + 1 - (-1)^n}{4n(n + 1)} \left(2J_0(\pi/2) + 4S_n - 1\right) \]
and
\[ B_n = \frac{2n + 1 + (-1)^n}{4n(n + 1)} \left(1 - 4U_n\right), \]
where
\[ S_1 = 0, \quad S_n = \sum_{i=1}^{[n/2]} J_{4i}(\pi/2), \quad U_n = \sum_{i=1}^{[(n+1)/2]} J_{4i-2}(\pi/2). \]

\( J_n \), of course, denotes the Bessel function of order \( n \).

The coefficients \( d_{n,k}, \ n = 1, 2, \ldots, 10, \) are calculated. The corresponding absolute errors are given by 5.60(–2), 9.25(–4), 9.36(–6), 2.78(–8), 9.23(–13), 2.34(–15), 4.66(–18), 7.50(–21), and 9.91(–24), respectively.

All calculations were performed in \( Q \)-arithmetic on a VAX 11/780 computer at the Institute of Earthquake Engineering and Engineering Seismology, University “Kiril and Metodij” Skopje.
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