ON THE DENSEST LATTICE PACKING 
of centrally symmetric octahedra

STEFAN CHALADUS

Abstract. The main purpose of this paper is the calculation of the critical 
determinant, and therefore the packing constant, for any centrally symmetric 
 octahedron. The results are obtained partially by a numerical computation that 
is not rigorous. As an application, we prove that the lattice of integer vectors 
perpendicular to any integer vector \( n = [n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4] \) \( (0 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n_3 \leq 
n_4, n_4 > 0) \) contains a nonzero vector \( m \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \), the height \( h(m) = \max |m_i| \) 
of which satisfies

(i) \( h(m) < \left( \frac{3}{4} h(n) \right)^{1/3} \) if \( n_4 \leq -2n_1 + n_2 + n_3 \),
(ii) \( h(m) < \left( \frac{3}{4} h(n) \right)^{1/3} \) in any case,
(iii) \( h(m) \leq h(n)^{1/3} \) if \( n_4 \geq n_1 + n_2 + n_3 \).

The closing examples show that the above estimations cannot be improved.

1. Introduction

In [3], J. V. Whitworth published results on the densest packing of the sections 
of the cube

\[ |x| \leq 1, \quad |y| \leq 1, \quad |z| \leq 1, \quad |x + y + z| \leq \tau, \]

where \( 0 < \tau < 3 \). For \( \tau = 1 \), it turns out that the convex body (1) is linearly 
equivalent to the regular octahedron—the case investigated for the first time by 
H. Minkowski [2], who obtained the general conditions for densest packings of 
three-dimensional symmetric convex bodies.

The aim of the present paper is the calculation of the critical determinant, 
and therefore the packing constant, for any centrally symmetric octahedron.

Let \( \mathcal{O} \) be the centrally symmetric polyhedron (parallelepiped or octahedron) 
given by the inequalities

\[ |a_{i1}x + a_{i2}y + a_{i3}z| \leq 1, \]

where \( a_{ij} \) \( (i = 1, 2, 3, 4; j = 1, 2, 3) \) are real numbers. Let \( M_i \) be the 
determinant of the matrix obtained from \( A = (a_{ij}) \) by the omission of the \( i \)th 
row. Without loss of generality we may assume that \( 0 \leq M_1 \leq M_2 \leq M_3 \leq M_4 \), 
\( M_4 > 0 \). The affine transformation

\[ a_{11}x + a_{12}y + a_{13}z = X, \\
-a_{21}x - a_{22}y - a_{23}z = Y, \\
a_{31}x + a_{32}y + a_{33}z = Z, \]

\[ \phi : \begin{cases} a_{11}x + a_{12}y + a_{13}z = X, \\ -a_{21}x - a_{22}y - a_{23}z = Y, \\ a_{31}x + a_{32}y + a_{33}z = Z \end{cases} \]
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transforms the polyhedron $W$ into the polyhedron $\varphi(W)$:

$$|X| \leq 1, \quad |Y| \leq 1, \quad |Z| \leq 1, \quad |M_1X + M_2Y + M_3Z| \leq M_4.$$  

Let us observe that $M_1 + M_2 + M_3 > M_4$ if and only if $\varphi(W)$ (and thus $W$) is the octahedron.

Since the packing constant is invariant under affine transformations, it follows that we may limit ourselves in the sequel to the following sections of the cube:

$$|x| \leq 1, \quad |y| \leq 1, \quad |z| \leq 1, \quad |ax + by + cz| \leq 1,$$

where $0 \leq a \leq b \leq c \leq 1$. In this way (1) takes the form

$$|x| \leq 1, \quad |y| \leq 1, \quad |z| \leq 1, \quad \begin{cases} |x + y + z| \leq \tau & \text{for } 1 \leq \tau < 3, \\ |\tau x + y + z| \leq 1 & \text{for } 0 < \tau \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, for $0 < \tau \leq 1$ it is sufficient to employ the transformation

$$\varphi: \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{\tau}x - \frac{1}{\tau}y - \frac{1}{\tau}z = X, \\ x = Y, \\ y = Z. \end{cases}$$

2. The main result

In [3], besides critical determinants, Whitworth gives all critical lattices of the sections (1) of the cube. In every case considered, $0 < \tau < \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \leq \tau \leq 1, 1 \leq \tau < 3$, there exists at least one critical lattice of Class III [2, 3].

In view of the great number of various lattice configurations involved in the discussion, I have considered thoroughly all $K$-admissible lattices of Class III only, where $K$ is the section (3) of the cube. Finally, I have found all lattices, (5) and (6), with the smallest determinants. The derivation of this fact extends over many pages.

Afterwards, I have checked by means of computer calculations for Class I and Class II that the lattices (5) and (6) are in fact critical, i.e., there is no $K$-admissible lattice with a determinant smaller than that of (5) or (6). The calculations were carried out for all $0 \leq a \leq b \leq c \leq 1, a + b + c > 1, a = i/10, b = j/10, c = k/10$, where $i, j, k$ are integers, where the coordinates of basis vectors of $K$-admissible lattices were running through integer multiples of $1/30$. This being so, it is highly probable that the following conjecture holds (for lattices of Class III it is in fact a theorem).

**Conjecture 1.** Let $\Delta$ be the critical determinant of (3), i.e., the determinant of a critical lattice of (3).

(i) If $1 \leq -2a + b + c$, then

$$\Delta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } b = 0, \\ 1 - (b + c - 1)^2/4bc & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and the following three-element systems of vectors determine all the critical lattices of Class III (for $b = 0$ we put $(b + c - 1)/2b = (1 + b - c)/2b = \frac{1}{2}$):

$$[-1, -\alpha_2, \alpha_3], \quad \left[1, \alpha_2 - 1, \frac{b + c - 1}{2c} - \alpha_3 \right],$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0, & \frac{1 + b - c}{2b}, & \frac{1 - b + c}{2c} \end{bmatrix},$$

(5a)
where \( 0 \leq \alpha_2 \leq 1, \ 0 \leq \alpha_3 \leq (b+c-1)/2c \), and \( 0 \leq a+b\alpha_2-c\alpha_3 \leq (b+c-1)/2/2c \);

\[
[-1, \alpha_2, -\alpha_3], \ \left[1, \frac{b+c-1}{2b} - \alpha_2, \alpha_3 - 1\right],
\]

(5b)

\[
[0, \frac{1+b-c}{2b}, \frac{1-b+c}{2c}],
\]

where \( 0 \leq \alpha_2 \leq (b+c-1)/2b \), \( 0 \leq \alpha_3 \leq 1 \), and \( 0 \leq a-b\alpha_2+c\alpha_3 \leq (b+c-1)/2b \);

(5c)

\[
[-1, 0, 0], \left[\alpha_1, -1, \frac{b+c-1}{2c}\right], \left[0, \frac{1+b-c}{2b}, \frac{1-b+c}{2c}\right],
\]

where \( 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq 1 \);

(5d)

\[
[-1, 0, 0], \left[\alpha_1, \frac{b+c-1}{2b}, -1\right], \left[0, \frac{1+b-c}{2b}, \frac{1-b+c}{2c}\right],
\]

where \( 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq 1 \);

(5e)

\[
[1, 0, 0], \left[0, \frac{b+c-1}{2b}, -1\right], \left[-1, \frac{1+b-c}{2b}, \frac{1-b+c}{2c}\right],
\]

(5f)

\[
[1, 0, 0], \left[0, -1, \frac{b+c-1}{2c}\right], \left[-1, \frac{1+b-c}{2b}, \frac{1-b+c}{2c}\right].
\]

(ii) If \(-2a + b + c \leq 1 \leq a + b + c\), then

\[
\Delta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } a = 0, \\ 1 - (a + b + c - 1)^3 / 27abc & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
\]

and the following three-element systems of vectors determine all the critical lattices of Class III (for \( a = 0 \) we put \( \frac{s}{a} = \frac{1}{3} \)):

(6a)

\[
[1, \frac{s}{b}, 0], \left[0, 1, \frac{s}{c}\right], \left[\frac{s}{a}, 0, 1\right]
\]

and

(6b)

\[
[1, 0, \frac{s}{c}], \left[\frac{s}{a}, 1, 0\right], \left[0, \frac{s}{b}, 1\right],
\]

where \( 3s + a + b + c = 1 \). \(\square\)

Let us observe that in the above conjecture we distinguish two cases, whereas the particular section (1) of the cube requires the consideration of three cases. (This is so because the form (1) is equivalent to (4).)

The volume \( V \) of (3) is as follows:

(i) if \( 1 < -a + b + c \), then

\[
V = 8 - 2 \cdot \frac{a^2 + 3(b+c-1)^2}{3bc};
\]

(ii) if \(-a + b + c \leq 1 \leq a + b + c \), then

\[
V = 8 - \frac{(a + b + c - 1)^3}{3abc}.
\]
Hence, from Conjecture 1 we have

**Corollary to Conjecture 1.** Let \( q \) be the packing constant for (3), i.e., \( q = V / 8 \Delta \). Considered as a function \( q(a, b, c) \), it is decreasing with respect to \( a \). Moreover,

(i) if \( 1 \leq -2a + b + c \), then \( q \geq \frac{35}{56} \), with equality occurring if \( a = \frac{1}{2}, b = c = 1 \);

(ii) if \(-2a + b + c \leq 1\), then \( q \geq \frac{18}{19} \) with equality occurring if \( a = b = c = 1 \).

### 3. Applications and examples

Let the integer vectors \( \mathbf{a}_j = [a_{1j}, a_{2j}, a_{3j}, a_{4j}] \) \( (j = 1, 2, 3) \) form a basis of the lattice of integer vectors perpendicular to a nonzero integer vector \( \mathbf{n} = [n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4] \). Then there exists an integer vector \( \mathbf{m} \) such that

\[
\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < h(\mathbf{m}) \leq \lambda
\]

if and only if the system of inequalities

\[
|a_{i1}x + a_{i2}y + a_{i3}z| \leq \lambda,
\]

where \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), has an integer solution \( (x_0, y_0, z_0) \neq (0, 0, 0) \). The affine transformation (2) transforms the above system into

\[
|X| \leq \lambda, \quad |Y| \leq \lambda, \quad |Z| \leq \lambda, \quad |n_1X + n_2Y + n_3Z| \leq \lambda n_4
\]

by virtue of Lemma 3 in [1] (without loss of generality we may assume that \( 0 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n_3 \leq n_4, \ n_4 > 0 \)). Since \( \det \varphi = n_4 \), (7) is satisfied if and only if

\[
\lambda^3 \geq n_4 / \Delta,
\]

where \( \Delta \) is the critical determinant of (3) for \( a = n_1 / n_4, b = n_2 / n_4, c = n_3 / n_4 \).

Therefore, as a consequence of §2 and (8) we obtain

**Theorem 2.** Under the assumption of Conjecture 1, for every integer vector \( \mathbf{n} = [n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4] \), \( 0 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n_3 \leq n_4, \ n_4 > 0 \) there exists a nonzero vector \( \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \) such that \( \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \) and

(i) \( h(\mathbf{m}) < \sqrt[3]{\frac{3}{4}h(\mathbf{n})} \) if \( n_4 \leq -2n_1 + n_2 + n_3 \),

(ii) \( h(\mathbf{m}) < \sqrt[3]{\frac{29}{18}h(\mathbf{n})} \) in any case,

(iii) \( h(\mathbf{m}) \leq \sqrt[3]{h(\mathbf{n})} \) if \( n_4 \geq n_1 + n_2 + n_3 \).

The two examples below show that the bounds in Theorem 2 cannot be improved. (For the last bound it is sufficient to assume, e.g., \( \mathbf{n} = [1, 2, 4, 8] \).)

**Example 1.** Let for \( t = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \)

\[
\mathbf{n}_t = [n_1, (2t + 1)(3t^2 + 2t), (2t + 1)(3t^2 + 3t + 1), (2t + 1)(3t^2 + 4t + 1)],
\]

where \( n_1 \) is relatively prime to all factors \( (2t + 1), (3t^2 + 2t), (3t^2 + 3t + 1) \), and \( (3t^2 + 4t + 1) \).

A basis of the lattice of integer vectors perpendicular to the vector \( \mathbf{n}_t \) is the following:

\[
[2t + 1, -n_1, 2n_1, -n_1], \ [0, t, -2t - 1, t + 1], \ [0, -2t - 1, t, t].
\]
For $m_t \neq 0$ and $m_t \cdot n_t = 0$ we have $h(m_t) \geq 2t + 1$, and so
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\min h(m_t)}{\sqrt{h(n_t)}} = \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}}.
\]

Example 2. Let for $t = 1, 2, 3\ldots$
\[
n_t = [152t^3 - 172t^2 + 64t - 8, 152t^3 - 152t^2 + 52t - 6, 152t^3 - 144t^2 + 46t - 5, 152t^3 - 140t^2 + 42t - 4].
\]
A basis of the lattice of integer vectors perpendicular to the vector $n_t$ is the following:
\[
[0, 2t - 1, -6t + 2, 4t - 1], [2t - 1, -6t + 2, 2t, 2t - 1], [4t - 1, 2t, 0, -6t + 2].
\]
For $m_t \neq 0$ and $m_t \cdot n_t = 0$ we have $h(m_t) \geq 6t - 2$, and so
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\min h(m_t)}{\sqrt{h(n_t)}} = \sqrt{\frac{27}{19}}.
\]

These examples allow for immediate calculation of the critical determinants, and consequently the packing constants of (3), in the following two cases:

(i) $b = c = 1$, $0 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and
(ii) $a = b = c = 1$ (and thus for the regular octahedron).

To this end, it is sufficient to find an admissible lattice of determinant $\frac{3}{4}$ in case (i) and an admissible lattice of determinant $\frac{19}{37}$ in case (ii). Then the assumption of Conjecture 1 is not needed in these cases.
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