FACTORIZATION OF PRIME IDEAL EXTENSIONS
IN NUMBER RINGS

ILARIA DEL CORSO

Abstract. Following an idea of Kronecker, we describe a method for factoring prime ideal extensions in number rings. The method needs factorization of polynomials in many variables over finite fields, but it works for any prime and any number field extension.

Introduction

Let $F \subset K$ be number fields, let $\mathfrak{O}_F \subset \mathfrak{O}_K$ be their corresponding number rings, i.e., the integral closures of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $F$ and $K$, respectively, and let $[K : F] = d$.

We know that number rings are Dedekind domains, hence any ideal factors uniquely into a product of primes. A very natural problem is to find this factorization explicitly; in particular, one can assume that factorization in $\mathfrak{O}_F$ is known and can try to compute factorization in $\mathfrak{O}_K$. The crucial step is to find the splitting of $P\mathfrak{O}_K$ for any prime ideal $P$ of $\mathfrak{O}_F$: in fact any prime factor of an ideal $I$ must occur in the splitting of some $P\mathfrak{O}_K$, where $P$ is a prime factor of the norm over $F$ of $I$, and the norm itself gives bounds for the exponents.

By a theorem of Kummer, the splitting of $P\mathfrak{O}_K$ can easily be determined in all but finitely many cases: one simply takes the minimal polynomial over $\mathfrak{O}_F$ of an integral generator $\alpha$ of the extension and factors it modulo $P$; this gives all that one needs (see [4, p. 79]). Unfortunately, this method works only if the prime integer lying under $P$ does not divide the order of $\mathfrak{O}_K/\mathfrak{O}_F[\alpha]$.

For a fixed prime $P$, one could try to fulfill this condition by choosing $\alpha$ suitably, but this does not yet exclude all exceptions, since there may exist primes dividing the order of $\mathfrak{O}_K/\mathfrak{O}_F[\alpha]$ for any $\alpha$ (see [5, p. 64] for an example).

Originally, this problem was studied and partially solved by Kronecker [2] with an approach different from Kummer’s; later, Hensel [1] improved upon Kronecker’s result to obtain a method for finding the splitting of all prime ideals ($p$) of $\mathbb{Z}$ in number rings. This method is based on the factorization modulo $p$ of the polynomial $N_{K/Q}(\gamma - \alpha_1u_1 - \cdots - \alpha_hu_h)$, where $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_h$ is an integral basis of $\mathfrak{O}_K$. Surprisingly, this work seems to be nearly forgotten and almost generally unknown.

In this paper we give a modern version of the theorem of Kronecker-Hensel...
and generalize it to the case of any prime ideal and any number field extension. Moreover, we are able to simplify the algorithm by showing that it is enough to factor \( N_{K/F}(y - \omega_1u_1 - \cdots - \omega_nu_n) \), where \( \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n \) are \( F \)-algebra generators of \( K \), thereby generalizing Kummer's theorem as well.

**Statement and proof of the theorem**

Let \( \{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\} \) be any set of generators of the ring \( \mathcal{O}_K \) as an \( F \)-algebra, i.e., \( \mathcal{O}_K = F[\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n] \). Let \( u_1, \ldots, u_n \) be indeterminates, which will be considered as parameters, and \( \omega = \omega_1u_1 + \cdots + \omega_nu_n \in \mathcal{O}_K[u_1, \ldots, u_n] \).

Given a prime ideal \( \mathfrak{P} \) in \( F \), then \( \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{P}\mathcal{O}_K \) is a vector space of dimension \( d \) over \( F/\mathfrak{P} \), whence \( (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{P}\mathcal{O}_K)(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \) is itself a vector space and has dimension \( d \) over \( (F/\mathfrak{P})(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \). More generally, if \( \mathfrak{I} \) is any ideal in \( \mathcal{O}_K \) containing \( \mathfrak{P} \), then \( \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{I} \) is a vector space over \( F/\mathfrak{P} \) and \( (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{I})(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \) is a vector space over \( (F/\mathfrak{P})(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \).

We use the following notation: \([\omega]_I \) will be the projection of \( \omega \) in \( (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{I})(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \); denote by

\[
\phi_I : (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{I})(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{I})(u_1, \ldots, u_n)
\]

the endomorphism of the \( (F/\mathfrak{P})(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \)-vector space given by the multiplication by \([\omega]_I \), by \( M_{[\omega]_I} \), the matrix associated with \( \phi_I \), and by

\[
\xi_I(y) = \det(yI - M_{[\omega]_I}) \in (F/\mathfrak{P})[u_1, \ldots, u_n][y]
\]

its characteristic polynomial. Clearly, the characteristic and the minimal polynomials of \( \phi_I \) and of \([\omega]_I \) are the same. Whenever we deal with an ideal of \( \mathcal{O}_K \), we assume tacitly that it contains \( \mathfrak{P} \mathcal{O}_K \).

**Lemma 1.** Let \( \mathfrak{Q} \subset \mathcal{O}_K \) be a prime ideal. Then \( \xi_\mathfrak{Q}(y) \) is irreducible.

**Proof.** Let \( L = (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{Q})(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \); the element \([\omega]_\mathfrak{Q} \in (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{Q})(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \) is algebraic over \( L \). We know that

\[
L[[\omega]_\mathfrak{Q}] \cong \frac{L[y]}{(\mu_{[\omega]_\mathfrak{Q}}(y))},
\]

where \( \mu_{[\omega]_\mathfrak{Q}} \) is the minimal polynomial of \([\omega]_\mathfrak{Q} \); clearly, \( \mu_{[\omega]_\mathfrak{Q}} \) is irreducible and has the same degree as the extension \( L[[\omega]_\mathfrak{Q}]/L \). We claim that \( \xi_\mathfrak{Q}(y) = \mu_{[\omega]_\mathfrak{Q}}(y) \); since the characteristic polynomial is a power of the minimal polynomial, it is enough to prove that they have the same degree.

Let \( \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_f \) be the automorphisms of \( \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{Q} \) fixing \( F/\mathfrak{P} \), and let the \( \tilde{\sigma}_i \)'s be the automorphisms of \( (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{Q})(u_1, \ldots, u_n)/L \) extending the \( \sigma_i \)'s in the obvious way. Since \( \tilde{\sigma}_i([\omega]_\mathfrak{Q}) \neq \tilde{\sigma}_j([\omega]_\mathfrak{Q}) \) if \( i \neq j \), one has \( \deg \mu_{[\omega]_\mathfrak{Q}}(y) \geq f \) and the result follows. \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.** Let \( \mathfrak{Q}_1, \mathfrak{Q}_2 \subset \mathcal{O}_K \) be prime ideals with \( \mathfrak{Q}_1 \neq \mathfrak{Q}_2 \). Then \( \xi_{\mathfrak{Q}_1}(y) \neq \xi_{\mathfrak{Q}_2}(y) \).

**Proof.** Suppose \( \xi_{\mathfrak{Q}_1}(y) = \xi_{\mathfrak{Q}_2}(y) \); let \( f \) be the degree of this polynomial. Then \( \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{Q}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{Q}_2 \) are both normal extensions of degree \( f \) of \( F/\mathfrak{P} \), hence there exists an isomorphism of fields

\[
\psi : \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{Q}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{Q}_1
\]

fixing \( F/\mathfrak{P} \). Let

\[
\Psi : (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{Q}_2)(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{Q}_1)(u_1, \ldots, u_n)
\]
be the isomorphism extending $\psi$ in the obvious way. Let $||P||$ denote the absolute norm of the ideal $P$; we know that in $(\mathcal{O}_k/Q_2)(u_1, \dots, u_n)[y]$ we have

$$E_{Q_2}(y) = \prod_{h=0}^{f-1} (y - [\omega]^{|P||^h})_Q,$$

and in $(\mathcal{O}_k/Q_1)(u_1, \dots, u_n)[y]$ 

$$E_{Q_1}(y) = \prod_{h=0}^{f-1} (y - [\omega]^{|P||^h}).$$

By applying $\Psi$ to equation (1) we obtain the following equality in $(\mathcal{O}_k/Q_1)(u_1, \dots, u_n)[y]$:

$$\Psi([\omega]^{|P||^h}) = \prod_{h=0}^{f-1} (y - \Psi([\omega]^{|P||^h})).$$

Since $(\mathcal{O}_k/Q_1)(u_1, \dots, u_n)[y]$ is a unique factorization domain and $E_{Q_1}(y) = E_{Q_2}(y)$, (2) and (3) imply that $\Psi([\omega]^{|P||^h}) = [\omega]^{E_{Q_1}}_{Q_1}$. Clearly, we can assume that $\Psi([\omega]^{|P||^h}) = [\omega]^{E_{Q_1}}_{Q_1}$ (this can be obtained by composing $\psi$ with a suitable automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_k/Q_1$), so that $\psi([\omega]^{|P||^h}) = [\omega]^{E_{Q_1}}_{Q_1}$ for each $k = 1, \dots, n$.

Consider now a generic element $G(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \in \mathcal{O}_k[\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n] = \mathcal{O}_k$; we have

$$\psi([G(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)]Q) = [G(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)]Q,$$

hence $[G(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)]Q_2 = 0$ if and only if $[G(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)]Q_1 = 0$, i.e., if and only if $Q_1 = Q_2$, a contradiction. $\Box$

**Lemma 3.** Let $Q \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ be a prime ideal such that $Q^2|P$. Then $E_{Q}([\omega]Q^2) \neq 0$.

**Proof.** Assume

$$E_{Q}([\omega]Q)u_1 + \cdots + [\omega]Qu_n = 0,$$

i.e., $E_{Q}$ is the minimal polynomial of $[\omega]Q^2$; then

$$E = (\mathcal{O}_k/P)(u_1, \dots, u_n)[[\omega]Q^2] \cong (\mathcal{O}_k/P)[u_1, \dots, u_n][y].$$

By Lemma 1 the polynomial $E_{Q}(y)$ is irreducible, hence $E$ is a field.

Let $F(u_1, \dots, u_n) = E_{Q}([\omega]Q^2u_1 + \cdots + [\omega]Qu_n)$ (can be seen as a polynomial with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_k/P$ and indeterminates $u_1, \dots, u_n, y$). Then $F(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is a polynomial in $u_1, \dots, u_n$, with coefficients in $E$, and we have by (4) that $F(u_1, \dots, u_n) = 0$. Therefore, the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i}$ are zero; hence we have

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i} = [\omega]Q^2E_{Q}'([\omega]Q^2) + \frac{\partial E_{Q}}{\partial u_i}([\omega]Q^2) = 0,$$

where $E_{Q}'(y) = \frac{\partial E_{Q}}{\partial y}(y)$. Since $E_{Q}$ is separable, $E_{Q}'([\omega]Q^2) \neq 0$, hence equation (5) implies that $[\omega]Q^2 \in E$ for each $i$. From this it follows that

$$E = (\mathcal{O}_k/Q^2)(u_1, \dots, u_n),$$

since $(\mathcal{O}_k/Q^2)$ is not an integral domain; we have a contradiction. $\Box$
Theorem. Let \( \mathcal{E}_P(y) = \mathcal{E}_1(y)^{e_1} \cdots \mathcal{E}_r(y)^{e_r} \) be the factorization of \( \mathcal{E}_P(y) \) into irreducible factors. Then
\[
\Phi_k = Q_1^{e_1} \cdots Q_r^{e_r},
\]
where \( Q_i = (P, J_i) \), where \( J_i = \text{cont}(\mathcal{E}_k(\omega)) \) and \( \mathcal{E}_k(y) \) is any monic polynomial in \( \mathcal{E}_k[u_1, \ldots, u_n] \) representing \( \mathcal{E}_k(y) \). Also, \( f(Q_i|P) = \deg \mathcal{E}_k(y) \).

Proof. Let \( Q_1^{e_1} \cdots Q_r^{e_r} \) be the prime decomposition of \( \Phi_k \); we observe that the factorization of \( \mathcal{E}_P(y) \) has the same form. In fact, let \( I_1, I_2 \subseteq \mathcal{E}_k \) be ideals such that \( P \subseteq \mathcal{E}_k \subseteq I_1 I_2 \), and consider the following diagram:
\[
\begin{array}{c}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_k(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{E}_{I_1I_2}(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{E}_{I_1}(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
\]
\[
\begin{array}{c}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{I_1}(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{E}_{I_1I_2}(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{E}_{I_2}(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
\]
where \( i \) and \( \pi \) are the obvious maps. The rows are exact sequences of \( (\mathcal{E}_k/P)(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \)-vector spaces of finite dimension, the \( \phi \)'s are \( (\mathcal{E}_k/P)(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \)-linear maps, and the diagram is clearly commutative; so we have that
\[
\mathcal{E}_{I_1I_2}(y) = \mathcal{E}_{I_1}(y) \mathcal{E}_{I_2}(y)
\]
for any \( I_1, I_2 \) (see [3, p. 548]), i.e., the characteristic polynomial is multiplicative. Hence,
\[
\mathcal{E}_P(y) = \mathcal{E}_1(y)^{e_1} \cdots \mathcal{E}_r(y)^{e_r}.
\]
Moreover, Lemmas 1 and 2 imply that (6) is the factorization of \( \mathcal{E}_P(y) \) into distinct irreducible factors.

It remains to show that \( Q_i = (P, J_i) \). By definition of \( J_i \) it follows that \( P \subseteq (P, J_i) \subseteq Q_I \); on the other hand,
\[
\mathcal{E}_{Q_i}(\omega) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{Q_i Q_j}
\]
(this follows from Lemma 3, if \( i = j \), and from Lemmas 1 and 2, if \( i \neq j \); hence \( Q_i = (P, J_i) \). \( \square \)

As already observed, our result generalizes Kummer's theorem; in fact, the homogeneous form \( \mathcal{E}_P \) can be seen as a homogenized polynomial in \( n \) variables, hence if \( \mathcal{E}_k = \mathcal{E}_k[\alpha] \), we have to factor a polynomial in one variable.

We finally remark that this theorem, with the same proof, holds in the more general case of finite integral extensions of Dedekind domains, provided that the residue field \( \mathcal{E}_k/P \) is finite.
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