NUMERICAL SIMULATION
OF STOCHASTIC EVOLUTION EQUATIONS ASSOCIATED
TO QUANTUM MARKOV SEMIGROUPS

CARLOS M. MORA

Abstract. We address the problem of approximating numerically the solutions \((X_t : t \in [0, T])\) of stochastic evolution equations on Hilbert spaces \((\mathfrak{h}, \langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle)\), with respect to Brownian motions, arising in the unraveling of backward quantum master equations. In particular, we study the computation of mean values of \(\langle X_t, AX_t \rangle\), where \(A\) is a linear operator. First, we introduce estimates on the behavior of \(X_t\). Then we characterize the error induced by the substitution of \(X_t\) with the solution \(X_{t,n}\) of a convenient stochastic ordinary differential equation. It allows us to establish the rate of convergence of \(E \langle \hat{X}_{t,n}, AX_{t,n} \rangle\) to \(E \langle X_t, AX_t \rangle\), where \(\hat{X}_{t,n}\) denotes the explicit Euler method. Finally, we consider an extrapolation method based on the Euler scheme. An application to the quantum harmonic oscillator system is included.

1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the computation of \(E \langle X_t, AX_t \rangle\), where \(A\) is a linear operator and \(X_t\) satisfies the following linear stochastic evolution equation on the infinite dimensional separable (complex) Hilbert space \((\mathfrak{h}, \langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle)\)

\[
X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t G X_s ds + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t L_k X_s dW^k_s, \quad t \in [0, T],
\]

with \(x_0 \in \mathfrak{h}\), \(\{W^k\}_{k=1, \ldots, m}\) independent standard Brownian motions on a filtered complete probability space \((\Omega, F, (F_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})\), \(T \in \mathbb{R}_+\) and \(m \in \mathbb{N}\). Here, all integrals are understood in the Itô sense and \(L_1, \ldots, L_m\), \(G\) are general linear operators in \(\mathfrak{h}\). Furthermore, we will focus our attention on the case

\[
G = -iH - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m L_j^* L_j,
\]

where \(H\) is a self-adjoint operator in \(\mathfrak{h}\).
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Our main motivation came from the numerical simulation of open quantum systems. In particular, we are interested in problems arising in quantum optics. Next, we give a brief introduction to this application. In the framework of quantum mechanics the state of a physical system is defined by specifying an element of an adequate complex Hilbert space \[1, 4\]. Since \(\mathfrak{h}\) plays the role here of this quantum state space, \(\mathfrak{h}\) describes, for example, one-particle wave functions \[1, 4\] or the Fock representation of the states in the second quantization formalism \[1\]. In this context, \(x_0\) is the initial state. Moreover, \(\mathbb{E} \langle X_t, AX_t \rangle\) is interpreted as the mean value of the observable \(A\) at the instant \(t\) whenever \(A\) is self-adjoint. This interpretation is easily obtained in the approach that describes the dynamics of quantum systems by stochastic evolutions of the corresponding state vectors \[3, 19\]. This technique allows us to characterize, for instance, the dynamics of an individual quantum system which is continuously monitored by some measurement device \[14\]. Alternatively, in the Heisenberg picture the evolution of the observable \(A\), in systems whose initial state is \(x_0\), is simulated by \(h x_0; t[A]\), where \(t[A]\) is the minimal solution of the backward quantum master equation

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \langle \psi, \Phi_t [A] \phi \rangle = \langle G \psi, \Phi_t [A] \phi \rangle + \langle \psi, \Phi_t [A] G \phi \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle L_j \psi, \Phi_t [A] L_j \phi \rangle.
\]

Here \(H\) represents the Hamiltonian and the operators \(L_j, j = 1, \ldots, m\), describe the effects of the environment. Now, we have that if \(A\) is a bounded linear operator, then under weak conditions \[10, 2\]

\[
\mathbb{E} \langle X_t, AX_t \rangle = \langle x_0, \Phi_t [A] x_0 \rangle.
\]

We conclude this paragraph with some complementary remarks. First, the property \[1.4\] leads us to solve \[1.3\] through the weak numerical solution of \[1.1\]. Furthermore, this procedure allows us to overcome the difficulties arising in the direct numerical integration of \[1.3\] in many cases; see, e.g., \[19\]. Second, \(\Phi_t\) commonly define a minimal quantum dynamical semigroup on \(B(\mathfrak{h})\) \[7\]. Third, \[1.3\] is based on the Born-Markov approximation of quantum phenomena, which has been used in quantum optics with great success. Finally, it is worth pointing out that there is a semigroup \(\Psi_t\) in the space of trace-class operators such that \(\Psi_t\) is the adjoint of \(\Phi_t\) \[7\]; that is, \(\Psi_t\) is the predual semigroup of \(\Phi_t\). Hence

\[
\mathbb{E} \langle X_t, AX_t \rangle = \text{Tr} (\Psi_t [P_{x_0}] A),
\]

where \(\text{Tr}\) means the trace and \(P_{x_0}\) is the orthogonal projection of \(\mathfrak{h}\) over the linear span of \(x_0\). Furthermore, \(\Psi_t\) solves the forward quantum master equation \[10, 7\] and represents the evolution of the density operators in the Schrödinger picture.

To compute \(\mathbb{E} \langle X_t, AX_t \rangle\), we consider a sequence \((\mathfrak{h}_n)_n\) of finite dimensional Hilbert spaces such that

- \(\mathbf{P1}\): \(\mathfrak{h}_n \subset \text{Dom}(H) \cap (\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} \text{Dom}(L_k)) \cap (\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} \text{Dom}(L_k^*))\),
- \(\mathbf{P2}\): \(\forall x \in \mathfrak{h}, \exists x_n \in \mathfrak{h}_n\), such that \(x_n \rightarrow_n x\).

Then we may proceed as follows:
Step 1: $E \langle X_t, AX_t \rangle$ is approximated by $E \langle X_{t,n}, AX_{t,n} \rangle$, where $X_{t,n}$ is a continuous adapted stochastic process with values on $\mathfrak{h}_n$ given by
\begin{equation}
X_{t,n} = P_n X_0 + \int_0^t \! G_n X_{s,n} \, ds + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t \! L_{k,n} X_{s,n} \, dW_s^k,
\end{equation}
with $P_n : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h}_n$ the orthogonal projection of $\mathfrak{h}$ over $\mathfrak{h}_n$, $L_{k,n} = P_n L_k$, whenever $k = 1, \ldots, m$, and
\begin{equation}
G_n = -i P_n H - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m P_n L_j^* P_n L_j.
\end{equation}

Step 2: $E \langle X_{t,n}, AX_{t,n} \rangle$ is simulated numerically using weak schemes for stochastic differential equations (SDE’s).

Before going on, we would like to say a few words in relation to the definition of $G_{n}$. Fagnola and Chebotarev proved that the minimal quantum semigroup is Markov, i.e., $\Phi_1 [I] = I$, under rather general hypotheses. Therefore (1.1) is conservative; that is, $E \|X_t\|^2 = \|X_0\|^2$, in a wide class of applications. The approximations $X_{t,n}$ preserve this property if $G_{n}$ is chosen as in (1.6). This is not necessarily the case if $G_{n} = P_n G$. Notice that the fact that (1.5) is conservative allows us to construct efficient numerical schemes.

Our primary objective is to start providing the numerical simulation of quantum phenomena using stochastic evolution equations like (1.1) with a theoretical treatment. Particularly, in this article we are interested in the theoretical understanding of Steps 1 and 2.

This paper is organized in six sections. Section 2 is devoted to introducing notation and preliminary results. In Section 3 we assume analogous hypotheses to those used by Fagnola and Chebotarev to obtain the uniqueness of the solution of (1.3). Let $C$ be a linear operator associated to (1.1) through these conditions. Then we estimate the quantities $\sup_{t \in [0,T]} E \|C^{1/2} X_{t}\|^2$ and $\sup_{t \in [0,T]} E \|C^{1/2} X_{t,n}\|^2$. These bounds, called “a priori” estimates on $X_{t}$ and $X_{t,n}$, will play an important role in the characterization of the speed of convergence the approximations introduced in Steps 1 and 2. Section 4 provides the rate of convergence of $E \langle X_{t,n}, AX_{t,n} \rangle$ to $E \langle X_{t}, AX_{t} \rangle$ whenever $t \in [0, T]$. In other words, we address the problem of estimating $|E \langle X_{t}, AX_{t} \rangle - E \langle X_{t,n}, AX_{t,n} \rangle|$. The primary goal of Section 5 is to study the rate of convergence of $E \langle Z_{T_j^M, t}^M, AZ_{T_j^M, t}^M \rangle$ to $E \langle X_{T_j^M, t}^M, AX_{T_j^M, t}^M \rangle$, where $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $T_j^M = jT/M$, $j = 0, \ldots, M$, and $Z_{t,n}^M$ is the Euler approximation of (1.5), i.e., $Z_{0,n}^M = X_{0,n}$ and for any $t \in [T_j^M, T_j^{M+1}]$,$$
Z_{t,n}^M = Z_{T_j^M, n}^M + G_n Z_{T_j^M, n}^M (t - T_j^M) + \sum_{k=1}^m L_{k,n} Z_{T_j^M, n}^M (W_k^M - W_k^{T_j^M}).
$$
This is the first step to approaching more complex numerical schemes, for example, those based in the computation of $X_{t,n}/\|X_{t,n}\|$. Finally, in Section 6 we apply our main results to an example of a quantum harmonic oscillator.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notation. In the following $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m = (m^j)_{j=1,\ldots,p}$, $n = (n^j)_{j=1,\ldots,p} \in \mathbb{Z}^p$. Then $|n| = \sum_{k=1}^p |n^j|$.

In addition, we write $n \geq m$ iff...
for any $j = 1, \ldots, p$, $n^j \geq m^j$. Now we set $[n, m] = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^p : k \geq n$ and $m \geq k\}$. Analogously, we define the partial orders $\leq, <, >$ and the boxes $[, [), [, ]$, $[, ]$. With each $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ is associated the vector $\vec{c}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^p$ with all coordinates equal to $c$.

As usual in quantum mechanics, we consider that the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is linear with respect to the second variable and antilinear with respect to the first one. Let $B$ be a linear operator. We use the notation $\text{Dom}(B)$ for the domain of $B$, and $B^*$ will be the adjoint of $B$. If $\Gamma$ is any logical statement, then we consider the Boolean function

$$1_\Gamma = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \Gamma \text{ is true}, \\ 0, & \text{if } \Gamma \text{ is false}. \end{cases}$$

For notational clarity we shall often suppress the explicit dependence of $T_j^M$ and $Z_{n,m}^M$ on $M$. Moreover, the same symbol $K$ will denote various constants in the forthcoming sections. These are always assumed to be independent of $\mathfrak{h}_n$ and $M$ unless it is explicitly stated otherwise.

2.2. Preliminary results. Suppose that

- **H1**: $G$ is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous contraction semigroup in $\mathfrak{h}$,

- **H2**: $\text{Dom}(G) \subset \text{Dom}(L_k)$, whenever $k = 1, \ldots, m$,

- **H3**: for each $k = 1, \ldots, m$, $L_k$ is closable,

- **H4**: there is a core $\mathcal{D}^*$ for $G^*$ such that $\mathcal{D}^* \subset \text{Dom}(L_k^*)$, $k = 1, \ldots, m$.

Hence from [13] we have that there exists a unique (a.s.) adapted stochastic process $X$ such that

- $X$ is weakly continuous in probability,

- $E\|X_t\|^2 \leq \|X_0\|^2$,

- for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}^*$ and $t \in [0, T]$,\n
$$\langle \phi, X_t \rangle = \langle \phi, X_0 \rangle + \int_0^t \langle G^* \phi, X_s \rangle \, ds + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t \langle L_k^* \phi, X_s \rangle \, dW_s^k. \tag{2.1}$$

In addition, (1.3) defines a quantum dynamical semigroup $\Phi_t$ in $B(\mathfrak{h})$, which is the minimal solution of (1.3) when $\mathcal{D}^*$ is an invariant domain of $e^{Gt}$.

2.3. Auxiliary lemmata. For completeness we state and prove the following two lemmata.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $Y \in L^2(\mathbb{P};\mathfrak{h}) = \left\{ f : \Omega \to \mathfrak{h}, \ E\|f\|^2 < +\infty \right\}$. Suppose that there is a self-adjoint positive operator $C$ such that $\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} E\left\| C^{1/2} Y \right\|^2 < +\infty$, where $C_\varepsilon = (I + \varepsilon C)^{-1} C (I + \varepsilon C)^{-1}$. Then $Y \in \text{Dom}(C^{1/2})$ a.s. and

$$E\left\| C^{1/2} Y \right\|^2 < +\infty. \tag{2.2}$$

**Proof.** First, by the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, there are $\varepsilon_n \to_n 0$ and $g \in L^2(\mathbb{P};\mathfrak{h})$ such that for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{P};\mathfrak{h})$,

$$E \left( C^{1/2}_{\varepsilon(n)} Y, f \right) \to_n E \langle g, f \rangle. \tag{2.3}$$

Next, we consider a linear operator $M_{C^{1/2}}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{P};\mathfrak{h})$ with domain

$$\left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{P};\mathfrak{h}) : \forall w \in \Omega, f(w) \in \text{Dom}(C^{1/2}) \text{ and } E\|C^{1/2} f\|^2 < +\infty \right\}.$$
such that $M_{C^{1/2}} f = C^{1/2} f$ whenever $f \in \text{Dom} (M_{C^{1/2}})$. Since $C^{1/2}$ is self-adjoint \cite{2}, from the properties of the resolvent of $C$ it follows that

\begin{equation}
E (Y, M_{C^{1/2}} f) = \lim_n E \left( C^{1/2} (I + \varepsilon_n C)^{-1} Y, f \right) = E \langle g, f \rangle.
\end{equation}

Therefore $Y \in \text{Dom} (M_{C^{1/2}})$.

Finally, the conclusion follows as in the proof of Lemma 1.1 of \cite{8}. In fact, if $(\phi_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2 (\mathbb{P}; C)$, then there are $y_k, g_k \in \mathfrak{h}$, $k \geq 1$, such that $Y = \sum_{k \geq 1} y_k \phi_k$ and $g = \sum_{k \geq 1} g_k \phi_k$. It is worth pointing out that the above equalities are understood in the $L^2 (\mathbb{P}; \mathfrak{h})$ sense. From (2.2) we obtain that for any $v \in \text{Dom} (C^{1/2})$, $\langle y_k, C^{1/2} v \rangle = \langle g_k, v \rangle$. Then $g_k = (C^{1/2})^* y_k$. This implies that $C^{1/2} Y = \sum_{k \geq 1} g_k \phi_k$ a.s. and the required result follows. \hfill \Box

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that assumptions H1 to H4 hold and $X$ where

It follows that $\langle C^{1/2} \phi, C^{1/2} \phi \rangle + \sum_{k=1}^m \| C^{1/2} L_{k,n} \phi \|^2 \leq K \left( \| C^{1/2} \phi \|^2 + \| \phi \|^2 \right)$,

H5: for any $\phi \in \mathcal{D}^*$, $G^* \phi = \lim_n G_{n}^* \phi$ and $L^* \phi = \lim_n L_{k,n}^* \phi$,

H6: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathfrak{h}_n \subset \mathcal{D}^*$,

H7: there is a self-adjoint positive operator $C$ such that for each $n \geq 0$

\begin{equation}
\| C^{1/2} \phi \|^2 \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \| C^{1/2} \phi \|.
\end{equation}

If $X_0 \in \text{Dom} (C^{1/2})$ a.s., then $X_t \in \text{Dom} (C^{1/2})$ a.s. and there is a constant $K$ such that

\begin{equation}
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} E \left( \| C^{1/2} X_t \| \right)^2 \leq K \left( \| C^{1/2} X_0 \|^2 + \| X_0 \|^2 \right)
\end{equation}

Lemma 2.2. Let $\alpha, \psi$ and $\phi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be integrable functions. Assume that $\psi$ is continuous. If $\alpha$ has a nonnegative continuous derivative and for all $t \in [a, b]$,

\begin{equation}
\phi (t) \leq \alpha (t) + \int_a^t \psi (s) \sqrt{\phi (s)} ds,
\end{equation}

then $\phi (t) \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} f_a^t \psi (s) ds + \sqrt{\alpha (t)} \right)^2$.

Proof. By (2.3) we have that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t \in [a, b]$

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{2} \left( \varepsilon + \alpha (t) \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \varepsilon + \alpha (t) \right)^{1/2} + \psi (t) \right).
\end{equation}

It follows that $\sqrt{\varepsilon + \phi (t)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_a^t \psi (s) ds + \sqrt{\varepsilon + \alpha (t)}$ and the proof is finished taking the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. \hfill \Box

3. A PRIORI ESTIMATES ON $X$ AND $X_n$

This section has two main objectives: first, to obtain uniform estimates with respect to $n$ on the behavior of $X_{k,n}$; second, to state regularity results for $X_t$, where $X$ is the stochastic process $X$ given in subsection 2.2.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that assumptions H1 to H4 hold and

H5: for any $\phi \in \mathcal{D}^*$, $G^* \phi = \lim_n G_{n}^* \phi$ and $L^* \phi = \lim_n L_{k,n}^* \phi$,

H6: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathfrak{h}_n \subset \mathcal{D}^*$,

H7: there is a self-adjoint positive operator $C$ such that for each $n \geq 0$

\begin{equation}
\| C^{1/2} \phi \|^2 \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \| C^{1/2} \phi \|.
\end{equation}

If $X_0 \in \text{Dom} (C^{1/2})$ a.s., then $X_t \in \text{Dom} (C^{1/2})$ a.s. and there is a constant $K$ such that

\begin{equation}
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} E \left( \| C^{1/2} X_t \| \right)^2 \leq K \left( \| C^{1/2} X_0 \|^2 + \| X_0 \|^2 \right)
\end{equation}
Therefore for each $t \in (3.2)$, it follows from Itô’s formula that

$$E \|X_t\|^2 = \|X_0\|^2.$$  

In addition, for any $\phi \in \mathcal{D}^*$,

$$\langle \phi, X_{t,n} \rangle = \langle \phi, X_{0,n} \rangle + \int_0^t \langle G_n^* \phi, X_{s,n} \rangle ds + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t \langle L_{k,n}^* \phi, X_{s,n} \rangle dW^k_s.$$  

Therefore, using (3.2), H5 and the integration by parts formula [20], we obtain that

$$E \|X_{t,n} - X_{s,n}\|^2 \leq K_\phi (t-s) \|X_0\|^2.$$  

Finally, $C^{1/2}P_n \in B(\mathfrak{h})$ leads to

$$C^{1/2}X_{t,n} = C^{1/2}X_{0,n} + \int_0^t C^{1/2}P_n G_n X_{s,n} ds + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t C^{1/2}P_n L_{k,n} X_{s,n} dW^k_s.$$  

Therefore for each $t \in [0,T]$,

$$E \left\| C^{1/2}X_{t,n} \right\|^2 = E \left\| C^{1/2}X_{0,n} \right\|^2 + 2E \int_0^t \text{Re} \left\langle C^{1/2}X_{s,n}, C^{1/2}G_n X_{s,n} \right\rangle ds$$  

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^m E \int_0^t \left\| C^{1/2}L_{k,n} X_{s,n} \right\|^2 ds.$$  

Hence, by (3.2) and condition H7

$$E \left\| C^{1/2}X_{t,n} \right\|^2 \leq E \left\| C^{1/2}X_{0,n} \right\|^2 + Kt \|X_0\|^2 + \int_0^t E \left\| C^{1/2}X_{s,n} \right\|^2 ds.$$  

This implies

$$E \left\| C^{1/2}X_{t,n} \right\|^2 \leq K \left( \left\| C^{1/2}X_{0,n} \right\|^2 + \|X_0\|^2 \right).$$  

Next, we consider the third stage of the Galerkin method, where we have to study the limit as $k \to \infty$ of a certain subsequence $(X_{n,k})_k$.  

Let $\mathcal{F}_t$ be the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $(W_s)_{s \in [0,t]}$ and the $P$-null sets of $F$.  

for each $n$ there is a $\left( \mathcal{F}_t \right)_{t \geq 0}$-adapted stochastic process $X_{t,n}$ such that $X_{t,n}$ and
\(X_{n_k}\) are indistinguishable. Now, by (3.3) we can ensure that for all \(\xi \in L^2(P;C)\) and \(\phi \in \mathcal{D}^*\),
\[
\|E\xi \left(\phi, \bar{X}_{t,n} - \bar{X}_{s,n}\right)\|^2 \leq K_\phi \|t - s\|^2 E|\xi|^2.
\]
Thus \(\left\{E\xi \left(\phi, \bar{X}_{t,n} \right)\right\}_{n \geq 0}\) is a uniformly bounded and equicontinuous family of functions defined on \([0,T]\). Let \((\xi(t)\phi_{l})_{l \geq 1}\) be the sequence obtained by arranging all products of elements of the dense subset \(\{\phi_n\}_{n \geq 1}\) of \(\mathcal{D}^*\) with elements of the dense subset \(\{\xi_n\}_{n \geq 1}\) of \(L^2(P;C)\). Hence Arzela-Ascoli’s theorem and diagonalization arguments show that there exist a subsequence \(\left(\bar{X}_{t,n_k}\right)_{k \geq 1}\) and a sequence \((f_l)_{l \geq 1}\) of continuous functions defined on \([0,T]\) such that \(E\xi_l \left(\phi_l, \bar{X}_{t,n_k}\right) \to_k f_l\) and \(\|E\xi_l \left(\phi_l, \bar{X}_{t,n_k}\right) - f_l\|_\infty < 1/l\), whenever \(k \geq l\).

On the other hand, using (3.2), we obtain that for each \(t \in [0,T]\) there is \(\bar{X}_t \in L^2(P,\mathcal{F}_t;\mathcal{H})\) and a subsequence \(\left(\bar{X}_{t,n_k(p)}\right)_{p \geq 0}\) such that
\[
\bar{X}_{t,n_k(p)} \underset{p \to +\infty}{\rightharpoonup}^{\text{weak}^*} \bar{X}_t.
\]
Then \(f_l(t) = E\xi_l \left(\phi_l, \bar{X}_t\right)\) and
\[
E\|\bar{X}_t\|^2 \leq \liminf_p E\left\|\bar{X}_{t,n_k(p)}\right\|^2 \leq \|x_0\|^2.
\]
Therefore it follows from the previous paragraph that for each \(Z \in L^2(P;\mathcal{H})\), \(E\left\langle Z, \bar{X}_{n_k}\right\rangle \to_{k \to +\infty} E\left\langle Z, \bar{X}\right\rangle\).

We are ready to proceed with Stage 4 of the Galerkin method, which concerns the properties of \(\bar{X}\).

Let \(\xi \in L^2(P,\mathcal{F}_t;C)\). Then there exist predictable processes \(H^k, k = 1, \ldots, m,\) in \(L^2([0,t] \times \Omega; v \times P; C)\) such that \(\xi = E\xi + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t H^k_s dW^k_s\). Thus we have that for any \(\phi \in \mathcal{D}^*\) and \(j = 1, \ldots, m,\)
\[
E\xi \int_0^t \left\langle L^*_{j,n_k} \phi, \bar{X}_{s,n_k}\right\rangle dW^j_s - E\xi \int_0^t \left\langle L^*_{j,n_k} \phi, \bar{X}_s\right\rangle dW^j_s
\]
\[
= E \int_0^t H^j_s \left(\left\langle L^*_{j,n_k} \phi, \bar{X}_{s,n_k}\right\rangle - \left\langle L^*_{j,n_k} \phi, \bar{X}_s\right\rangle\right) ds.
\]
As a consequence, taking the limit as \(k \to +\infty\) in
\[
E\xi \left\langle \phi, \bar{X}_{t,n_k}\right\rangle = E\xi \left\langle \phi, \bar{X}_{0,n_k}\right\rangle + E \int_0^t \xi \left\langle G^*_{n_k} \phi, \bar{X}_{s,n_k}\right\rangle ds
\]
\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^m E\xi \int_0^t \left\langle L^*_{j,n_k} \phi, \bar{X}_{s,n_k}\right\rangle dW^j_s,
\]
we obtain
\[
E\xi \left\langle \phi, \bar{X}_t\right\rangle = E\xi \left\langle \phi, \bar{X}_0\right\rangle + E \int_0^t \xi \left\langle G^* \phi, \bar{X}_s\right\rangle ds + \sum_{j=1}^m E\xi \int_0^t \left\langle L^*_{j} \phi, \bar{X}_s\right\rangle dW^j_s.
\]
Then \(\bar{X}\) satisfies (2.1). Since \(\bar{X}\) is weakly continuous in the mean-square sense, it follows from subsection 2.2 that \(\bar{X} = X\) a.s.
 Inspired by the proof of Theorem 2.2 of [8], we now consider the variant of the Yosida approximation \( C_\varepsilon = (I + \varepsilon C)^{-1} C(I + \varepsilon C)^{-1} \). Combining (3.5) and \( C_\varepsilon^{1/2} \leq C^{1/2} \), we arrive at
\[
(3.6) \quad \mathbb{E} \left\| C_\varepsilon^{1/2} X_{t,n} \right\|^2 \leq K \left( \left\| C^{1/2} X_{0,n} \right\|^2 + \| X_0 \|^2 \right).
\]

On the other hand, for any \( Z \in L^2(P; \mathfrak{h}) \) and each \( t \in [0,T] \)
\[
\mathbb{E} \left\langle Z, C_\varepsilon^{1/2} X_{t,nk} \right\rangle = \mathbb{E} \left\langle C_\varepsilon^{1/2} Z, X_{t,nk} \right\rangle \to_k \mathbb{E} \left\langle Z, C_\varepsilon^{1/2} X_t \right\rangle.
\]

Then (3.6) leads to
\[
(3.7) \quad \mathbb{E} \left\| C_\varepsilon^{1/2} X_t \right\|^2 \leq \liminf_k \mathbb{E} \left\| C_\varepsilon^{1/2} X_{t,nk} \right\|^2 \leq K \left( \left\| C^{1/2} X_0 \right\|^2 + \| X_0 \|^2 \right).
\]

By (3.7) and Lemma 2.1, \( X_t \in \text{Dom} \left( C^{1/2} \right) \) a.s. and \( \mathbb{E} \left\| C^{1/2} X_t \right\|^2 < +\infty \). Finally, using the inequality \( \left\| C_\varepsilon^{1/2} X_t \right\| \leq \left\| C^{1/2} X_t \right\| \) and the property
\[
C_\varepsilon^{1/2} X_t = (I + \varepsilon C)^{-1} C^{1/2} X_t \to_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} C^{1/2} X_t,
\]
once concludes (3.1) from (3.7).

It follows from Itô’s formula in a Hilbert space and Theorem 3.1 that

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \( \text{Dom} \left( C^{1/2} \right) \subset \text{Dom}(G) \). Then there exists a unique strong topology solution of \( (1.1) \) which is weakly continuous in probability under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1.

**Remark 3.3.** A closer inspection of the proof of Theorem 3.1 reveals that the assertions of this theorem hold when \( G_n = P_n G \) and for any \( \varphi \in \text{Dom}(G) \),
\[
2 \text{Re} \left\langle \varphi, G \varphi \right\rangle + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \| L_k \varphi \|^2 \leq 0.
\]

**Remark 3.4.** Employing similar arguments to those used in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can generalize the results given in Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.3 to the case \( m = +\infty \) under the hypothesis that for any \( \phi \in \mathfrak{D}^* \), \( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \| L_j^* \phi \|^2 < +\infty \).

### 4. Rate of convergence of finite dimensional approximations

This section deals with the last stage of the Galerkin method, i.e., with strong convergence results. More precisely, we derive the rate of convergence of \( \mathbb{E} \left\langle X_{t,n}, A X_{t,n} \right\rangle \) to \( \mathbb{E} \left\langle X_t, A X_t \right\rangle \), where \( A \) belongs to a certain class of linear operators.

First, let us note that \( A \) is an unbounded operator in many physics applications [19, 14]. Therefore we do not restrict ourselves here to the case \( A \in B(\mathfrak{h}) \). We also point out that the basic idea behind the proof of the main results of this part is the application of Theorem 3.1. Roughly speaking, we will combine the constraint given by the domain of \( C^* \) with estimates introduced in Theorem 3.1.

To be concrete, from now on we will suppose that

**S1:** There are an orthonormal basis of \( \mathfrak{h} \) \( \{ \varphi_j \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+^p}, p \in \mathbb{N}, \) and a positive real number \( l_C \) such that the operator \( C \varphi_j = |j|^l \varphi_j \) satisfies condition H7.
Let \( n > 0 \). Then under assumptions S1 and H1 to H6, we have that for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \),

\[
\| B\varphi_k \| \leq K \max \{|k|, 1\}.
\]

We are now ready to fulfill our objective of characterizing the convergence properties corresponding to Step 1 explained in Section 1.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \( A \in O_{1,a,b}, G \in O_{lC,nC,mG}, \) and \( L_j \in O_{l_L,n_L,m_L}, j = 1, \ldots, m \). Suppose that \( X_0 \in \text{Dom}(C^{1/2}) \subset \text{Dom}(G) \) and \( l_C \geq 2 \max \{l_A, l_G, 2l_L\} \). Then under assumptions S1 and H1 to H6, we have that for any \( n > 0 \),

\[
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| E \langle X_t, AX_t \rangle - E \langle X_{t,n}, AX_{t,n} \rangle \right| \leq K \left( \|C^{1/2}X_0\|^2 + \|X_0\|^2 \right)
\]

\[
\times \left( \frac{1}{n^{l_C-l_A}} + \frac{1}{n^{l_C/2-l_G}} + \frac{1}{n^{l_C/2-2l_L}} + \frac{1}{n^{l_C/4-l_A}} + \frac{1}{n^{l_C-4l_L-l_A}} \right).
\]

For expository purposes, before proving Theorem 4.2, we give Lemma 4.3.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let \( \Phi \in O_{1,a,b} \). Then \( \Phi^* \in O_{1,b,a} \). Furthermore, if \( P_k \) is the orthogonal projection of \( \Phi \) over the linear span of \( \{\varphi_j\}_{j \in [0,k]} \), then \( \| \Phi P_k \| \leq K |k|^l \) whenever \( k \neq 0 \).

**Proof.** Since \( j \in [k-a, k+b] \) iff \( k \in [j-b, j+a] \), \( \Phi^* \varphi_k \) belongs to the linear span of \( \{\varphi_j\}_{j \in [k-b, k+a]} \). Let \( k > 0 \). By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

\[
\| \Phi P_k z \|^2 \leq K |k|^{2l} \left( \sum_{i \in [0,k]} |z_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{i \in [0,k]} \sum_{j \in [i-a-b, i+a+b]} |z_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]

where \( z \in \Phi \). Thus \( \| \Phi P_k z \|^2 \leq K |k|^{2l} \| z \|^2 \). Finally, if \( S \) is the linear span of \( \{\varphi_j\}_{j \in [0,k+a]} \), then

\[
\| \Phi^* \varphi_k \| = \sup_{\phi \in S, \phi \neq 0} \frac{\langle \Phi^* \varphi_k, \phi \rangle}{\| \phi \|} \leq \| \varphi_k \| \left\| \Phi P_{k+a} \right\|.
\]

This implies that \( \Phi^* \in O_{1,b,a} \). \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 4.2.** One easily obtains that we can reduce the study of the truncation error

\[
|E \langle X_t, AX_t \rangle - E \langle X_{t,n}, AX_{t,n} \rangle|
\]

to the analysis of the errors \( H_t^1 = |E \langle X_t, AX_t \rangle - E \langle P_n X_t, AP_n X_t \rangle| \) and \( H_t^2 = |E \langle P_n X_t, AP_n X_t \rangle - E \langle X_{t,n}, AX_{t,n} \rangle| \). Then we will concentrate on estimating \( H_t^1 \) and \( H_t^2 \).
Next, we will check that

\begin{equation}
H_1^j \leq Kn^{l_A-l_C} \left( \left\| C^{1/2} X_0 \right\|^2 + \left\| X_0 \right\|^2 \right) .
\end{equation}

To verify (4.1), we decompose \( H_1^j \) as follows:

\begin{equation}
H_1^j \leq \mathbb{E} \langle P_n X_t, A(X_t - P_n X_t) \rangle + \mathbb{E} \langle X_t - P_n X_t, A P_n X_t \rangle
+ \mathbb{E} \langle X_t - P_n X_t, A (X_t - P_n X_t) \rangle .
\end{equation}

Now, by \( A \in O_{l_A,n_A,m_A} \),

\begin{align*}
\left\| \langle X_t - P_n X_t, A (X_t - P_n X_t) \rangle \right\| & \leq K \sum_{j \in [0,n]} \left( \left| X_t^j \right| \left| j \right|^{l_A} \sum_{k \in [j-n_A,j+n_A] \cap [0,m]} \left| X_k^j \right|^2 \right) .
\end{align*}

Hence, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the fact that \( k \in [j-n_A,j+m_A] \) if \( j \in [k-m_A,k+n_A] \), one concludes that

\begin{equation}
\left\| \langle X_t - P_n X_t, A (X_t - P_n X_t) \rangle \right\| \leq K \sum_{j \in [0,n]} \left| X_t^j \right| \left| j \right|^{l_A} .
\end{equation}

Since \( l_C \geq l_A \),

\begin{equation}
\left\| \langle X_t - P_n X_t, A (X_t - P_n X_t) \rangle \right\| \leq Kn^{l_A-l_C} \left\| C^{1/2} X_t \right\|^2 .
\end{equation}

Repeating the arguments given above, we obtain

\begin{equation}
\left\| \langle X_t - P_n X_t, AP_n X_t \rangle \right\| \leq K \sum_{k \in [0,n]} \sum_{j \in [0,n]} \left| X_t^j \right| \left| X_k^j \right| \left| \left\langle \varphi_k, A \varphi_j \right\rangle \right|
\end{equation}

\begin{align*}
& \leq K \left\| \sum_{k \in [0,n]} \sum_{j \in [0,n]} \left| X_t^j \right| \left| X_k^j \right| \left| \left\langle \varphi_k, A \varphi_j \right\rangle \right| \right\| \max \left\{ \left\| j \right\|^{2l_A} , 1 \right\} .
\end{align*}

It follows from \( l_C \geq 2l_A \) that for every \( n > m_A \),

\begin{equation}
\left\| \langle X_t - P_n X_t, AP_n X_t \rangle \right\| \leq Kn^{l_A-l_C} \left\| C^{1/2} X_t \right\|^2 .
\end{equation}

Furthermore, (4.3) implies that for any \( n \leq m_A \),

\begin{equation}
\left\| \langle X_t - P_n X_t, AP_n X_t \rangle \right\| \leq K \left\| C^{1/2} X_t \right\| \left\| X_t \right\| \leq K \left\| C^{1/2} X_t \right\| \left\| X_t \right\| .
\end{equation}

Finally, since \( \langle P_n X_t, A (X_t - P_n X_t) \rangle = \langle A P_n X_t, X_t - P_n X_t \rangle \), combining Lemma 4.3, inequalities (4.5), (4.6), (4.3) and Theorem 5.1 we arrive at (4.1).
Now, we go on estimating $H^2_t$. To treat this term, we first note that

$$H^2_t \leq \left( \mathbb{E} \| A^n X_{t,n} \|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \mathbb{E} \| X_{t,n} \|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \mathbb{E} \| p_{n} X_t - X_{t,n} \|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \| A P_n \| \mathbb{E} \| P_n X_t - X_{t,n} \|^2.$$

Since

$$\| A^n X_{t,n} \|^2 \leq K \left( \sum_{j \in [\theta, t]} \mathbb{E} \left| X_{j,n} \right|^2 \max \left\{ \left| j \right|^{1/4}, 1 \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} * \left( \sum_{j \in [\theta, t]} \sum_{k \in [j - n_A - m_A, j + n_A + m_A]} \mathbb{E} \left| X_{j,n} \right|^2 \max \left\{ \left| j \right|^{1/4}, 1 \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\| A^n X_{t,n} \|^2 \leq K \sum_{j \in [\theta, t]} \left| X_{j,n} \right|^2 \max \left\{ \left| j \right|^{1/4}, 1 \right\} \leq K \left( \| C^n X_{t,n} \|^2 + \| X_{t,n} \|^2 \right).$$

Therefore, Lemma 4.3 leads to

$$\| A X_{t,n} \|^2 \leq K \left( \| C^n X_{t,n} \|^2 + \| X_{t,n} \|^2 \right).$$

Using Theorem 3.1, Lemma 4.3, (4.8) and (4.9) in (4.7), it follows that

$$H^2_t \leq K \sqrt{\left\| C^n P_n X_0 \right\|^2 + \left| X_0 \right|^2} \mathbb{E} \left\| P_n X_t - X_{t,n} \right\|^2 + K n^{1/4} \mathbb{E} \left\| P_n X_t - X_{t,n} \right\|^2.$$  

Consequently, it remains to bound $h(t) = \mathbb{E} \| P_n X_t - X_{t,n} \|^2$. Applying Corollary 3.2, one concludes that

$$P_n X_t - X_{t,n} = \int_0^t \left( P_s G X_s - G_n X_{s,n} \right) ds + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t L_{k,n} (X_s - X_{s,n}) dW^k_s.$$  

Therefore, Itô’s formula yields

$$h(t) = 2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \text{Re} \left( P_n X_s - X_{s,n}, P_n G X_s - G_n X_{s,n} \right) ds + \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \left\| L_{k,n} (X_s - X_{s,n}) \right\|^2 ds.$$  

Thus, we deduce from (1.0) that $\mathbb{E} \| P_n X_t - X_{t,n} \|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^4 J^k_t$, where

$$J^1_t = 2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \text{Re} \left( P_n X_s - X_{s,n}, (P_n G - G_n) P_n X_s \right) ds,$$

$$J^2_t = 2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \text{Re} \left( P_n X_s - X_{s,n}, P_n G (X_s - P_n X_s) \right) ds,$$

$$J^3_t = \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \left\| L_{k,n} (X_s - P_n X_s) \right\|^2 ds,$$
and \( J_t^1 = 2 \sum_{k=1}^m 2 \mathbf{E} \int_0^t \text{Re} \langle L_{k,n} (X_s - P_n X_s), L_{k,n} (P_n X_s - X_{s,n}) \rangle \, ds \).

Fix \( m_L = 0 \). Then \( J_t^1 = 0 \), because \((P_n G - G_n) P_n X_s = 0\). In the other case, i.e., \( m_L \geq 1 \), combining Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 3.1 we obtain

\[
\mathbf{E} \| (P_n G - G_n) P_n X_s \|^2 \\
\leq \frac{m}{4} \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbf{E} \| (P_n L_k^* P_n L_k - P_n L_k^* L_k) (P_n X_s - P_n - m_L) X_s \|^2 \\
\leq K^{n,m_L} \mathbf{E} \| P_n X_s - P_n - m_L \| X_s \|^2 \\
\leq K^{n,m_L-1-c} \left( \| C_{1/2} X_0 \|^2 + \| X_0 \|^2 \right),
\]

which yields

\[
J_t^1 \leq K_{1,m_L > 0}^{n \geq 2l - l - \frac{c}{2}} \left( \| C_{1/2} X_0 \|^2 + \| X_0 \|^2 \right) \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \left( \| P_n X_s - X_{s,n} \| \right)^2 \, ds.
\]

It is worth mentioning that in previous inequalities if \( n - m_L < 0 \), then we put \( P_n - m_L = 0 \).

Clearly, if \( n_G = 0 \), resp. \( n_L = 0 \), then \( J_t^2 = 0 \), resp. \( J_t^3 = 0 \). Moreover, from \( [3,3] \), Lemma 3.3,

\[
\| P_n G (X_s - P_n X_s) \|^2 = \langle X_s - P_n X_s, G^* P_n G (X_s - P_n X_s) \rangle
\]

and \( \| L_{k,n} (X_s - P_n X_s) \|^2 = \langle X_s - P_n X_s, L_k^* P_n L_k (X_s - P_n X_s) \rangle \), it follows that

\[
J_t^2 + J_t^3 \leq 1_{n_L > 0} K^{n \geq 2l - l - c} \left( \| C_{1/2} X_0 \|^2 + \| X_0 \|^2 \right) \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \left( \| P_n X_s - X_{s,n} \| \right)^2 \, ds.
\]

Since

\[
\langle L_{k,n} (X_s - P_n X_s), L_{k,n} (P_n X_s - X_{s,n}) \rangle
= \langle L_k^* P_n L_k (X_s - P_n X_s), P_n X_s - X_{s,n} \rangle,
\]

we also have

\[
J_t^4 \leq 1_{n_L > 0} K^{n \geq 2l - l - c/2} \left( \| C_{1/2} X_0 \|^2 + \| X_0 \|^2 \right) \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \left( \| P_n X_s - X_{s,n} \| \right)^2 \, ds.
\]

To summarize, we have obtained that

\[
h(t) \leq K \frac{1_{n_L > 0}}{n_{l \geq 2l}} \left( \| C_{1/2} X_0 \|^2 + \| X_0 \|^2 \right) \\
+ K \left( \| C_{1/2} X_0 \|^2 + \| X_0 \|^2 \right) \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \left( \| P_n X_s - X_{s,n} \| \right)^2 \, ds.
\]

Therefore, according to Lemma 2.2,

\[
(4.11) \quad h(t) \leq K \left( \| C_{1/2} X_0 \|^2 + \| X_0 \|^2 \right) \left( \frac{1_{n_L > 0} + 1_{m_L > 0}}{n_{l \geq 2l}} + \frac{1_{n_G > 0}}{n_{l \geq 2l - l - c}} \right) \int_0^t \sqrt{h(s)} \, ds.
\]

Then the required result follows from \( (4.10) \).

To handle the case \( A \in B(h) \setminus \bigcup_{n_\lambda, m_\lambda = 0}^\infty O_{0,n_\lambda,m_\lambda} \), we present the following theorem.
Theorem 4.4. Let \( A \in A \in B(\mathfrak{h}) \), \( G \in O_{G^{-1}, m_G} \) and \( L_j \in O_{L, n_L} \), \( j = 1, \ldots, n \). Suppose that \( X_0 \in \text{Dom}(C^{1/2}) \subseteq \text{Dom}(G) \) and \( l_G \geq 2 \max\{l_G, 2l_L\} \). Then under assumptions S1 and H1–H6, we have that for any \( n > X \)
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Proof. Let \( H_1^n \) and \( H_2^n \) be defined as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 Hence
\[ H_1^n \leq \|A\| \mathbf{E} \left( 2 \|P_n X_t \| \|X_t - P_n X_t \| + \|X_t - P_n X_t \|^2 \right) \]
and
\[ H_2^n \leq \|A\| \left( \mathbf{E} \|X_{t,n}\|^2 \right)^{1/2} + \left( \mathbf{E} \|X_{t,n}\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \mathbf{E} \|P_n X_t - X_{t,n}\|^2 \right)^{1/2} + \|A\| \mathbf{E} \|P_n X_t - X_{t,n}\|^2 . \]
Therefore, applying (4.3) and (4.11), the theorem follows. \( \square \)

5. Rate of convergence of the explicit Euler scheme
In this section our aim is to progress in the theoretical understanding of Step 2 of
the procedure given in Section 1. Indeed, we will address the numerical simulation
of \( \mathbf{E} \langle X_{t,n}, AX_{t,n} \rangle \) using the explicit Euler scheme, i.e., the scheme \( Z_{t,n} \) recalled in
Section 1.
Because of its instability, the explicit Euler scheme \( Z \) does not present a good
performance when \( 1 \leq 2 \). Though this situation often appears
in quantum mechanics, the error analysis presented here can be a useful pattern
for studying more complex numerical schemes, such as implicit Euler methods "16,
"13, "26", Euler-Exponential methods "17" and those resulting of the application
of the above schemes to the solution of the SDE satisfied by \( X_{t,n} / \|X_{t,n}\| \).
We start the section with Theorem 5.1 which provides the rate of convergence
of the explicit Euler scheme. Next, we focus on a numerical method based on the
extrapolation of this scheme.

Theorem 5.1. Suppose that \( \mu \) is a positive constant and conditions of Theorem 4.2 hold. Let \( (c_n)_n \) be a sequence of real numbers such that
\[ S_1: \text{for any } n \geq 1 \text{ and } x \in \mathfrak{h}, \|G_n P_n x\|^2 \leq c_n \text{ and} \]
\[ \|C^{1/2} G_n x\|^2 \leq K c_n \left( \|C^{1/2} x\|^2 + \|x\|^2 \right) . \]
Moreover, assume that
\[ S_3: \text{the operator given by } C_1 \varphi_n = \|n\|^{l_c} \varphi_n , \text{where } l_{C_1} \text{ is a positive real number } \]
such that \( l_{C} \geq l_{C_1} + 4 \max\{l_G, l_L\} \) and \( l_{C_1} \geq l_A \), fulfills condition H7 .
If \( c_n \leq \mu M \), then for any \( j = 0, \ldots, M \),
\[
\left| \mathbb{E} \left( X_{T_j}, AX_{T_j} \right) - \mathbb{E} \left( Z_{T_j,n}^M, AZ_{T_j,n}^M \right) \right| \\
\leq K \left( \left\| C^{1/2} X_0 \right\|^2 + \left\| X_0 \right\|^2 \right) \\
\times \left( \frac{T}{M} + \sum_{n_G > 0} \frac{1}{n^{l_C/2-l_G}} + \sum_{n_l > 0} \frac{1}{n^{l_C/2-2l_l}} + \sum_{n_L > 0} \frac{1}{n^{l_C-2l_C-l_A}} + \sum_{n_M > 0} \frac{1}{n^{l_C-l_A}} \right).
\]

As we will illustrate in Section 6, the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1 are general enough for applications in quantum optics. The underlying idea in the proof of Theorem 5.1 is to refine standard arguments employed to prove the rate of convergence of classical weak numerical schemes for finite dimensional SDEs [23, 24, 16, 13] keeping in mind the characteristics of our case, e.g., Remark 5.2 and Lemma 5.3.

Remark 5.2. One specific feature which is used in the proof is that for each \( x \in \mathfrak{b}_n \), \( t \in [0,T] \) and \( s \in [0,t] \),
\[
(5.1) \quad \langle x, \Phi_{t,n}^s \rangle = \mathbb{E} \left( Y_{t,n}^{s,x}, AY_{t,n}^{s,x} \right),
\]
where for every \( t \in [s,T] \)
\[
(5.2) \quad Y_{t,n}^{s,x} = x + \int_s^t G_n Y_{u,n}^{s,x} du + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_s^t L_{k,n} Y_{u,n}^{s,x} dW_u
\]
and
\[
(5.3) \quad \Phi_{t,n}^s = P_n A P_n + \int_s^t \mathcal{L}_n (\Phi_{u,n}^s) du
\]
with \( \mathcal{L}_n : B(\mathfrak{b}_n) \to B(\mathfrak{b}_n) \) given by
\[
\mathcal{L}_n (X) = P_n G_n^* X + X G_n P_n + \sum_{k=1}^m P_n L_k^* P_n X P_n L_k P_n.
\]

For the sake of simplicity, we use the shorthand notation \( \Phi_{t-s,n} \) to mean \( \Phi_{t-s,n}^s \).

Lemma 5.3. Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1 hold. Consider \( B_1, B_2 \in O_{1,B,n,B} \) satisfying \( l_C \geq l_{C,1} + 2l_B \). Then
\[
(5.4) \quad \mathbb{E} \left( B_1 Z_{T_i,n}, \Phi_{t-s,n}^s B_2 Z_{T_j,n} \right) \leq K \left( \left\| C^{1/2} X_0 \right\|^2 + \left\| X_0 \right\|^2 \right)
\]
whenever \( T_j \leq s \leq T_k \).

Proof. First, we establish estimates on \( Z_{t,n} \). Next, using them, we obtain (5.4).

By Itô’s formula, we have that for every \( t \in [T_j, T_{j+1}] \)
\[
\mathbb{E} \left\| Z_{t,n} \right\|^2
= \mathbb{E} \left\| Z_{T_j,n} \right\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_{T_j}^t \left| 2 \text{Re} \left( Z_{s,n}, G_n Z_{T_j,n} \right) \right| ds + \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{E} \int_{T_j}^t \left\| L_{k,n} Z_{T_j,n} \right\|^2 ds
= \mathbb{E} \left\| Z_{T_j,n} \right\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_{T_j}^t \left| 2 \text{Re} \left( G_n Z_{T_j,n} (s-T_j), G_n Z_{T_j,n} \right) \right| ds.
\]
Therefore, from Itô’s formula it follows that

\[ E \|Z_{t,n}\|^2 \leq E \|Z_{T_n,n}\|^2 \left( 1 + \|G_n P_n\|^2 \frac{(t-T_n)^2}{2} \right). \]

Therefore, from (5.1)

\[ E \|Z_{t,n}\|^2 \leq \|Z_{0,n}\|^2 \left( 1 + c_n \frac{T^2}{2M^2} \right)^j \leq \|Z_{0,n}\|^2 e^{\frac{c_n T^2}{2}} \leq \|Z_{0,n}\|^2 e^{\frac{c_n T^2}{2}}. \]

On the other hand, for every \( t \in [T_j, T_{j+1}] \)

\[ C_t^Z Z_{t,n} = C_{T_j}^Z Z_{T_j,n} + \int_{T_j}^t C_t^Z G_n Z_{T_j,n} ds + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{T_j}^t C_t^Z L_{k,n} Z_{T_j,n} dW_k. \]

Thus (5.5) and hypothesis S1 yield

\[ E \|C_{T_j}^Z Z_{T_j,n}\|^2 = E \|C_{T_j}^Z Z_{T_j,n}\|^2 + 2 \text{Re} \left( C_{T_j}^Z Z_{T_j,n}, C_{T_j}^Z G_n Z_{T_j,n} \right) ds \]

\[ + \sum_{k=1}^m E \int_{T_j}^t \|C_t^Z L_{k,n} Z_{T_j,n}\|^2 ds. \]

Thus (5.5) and hypothesis S1 yield

\[ E \|C_{T_j}^Z Z_{T_j,n}\|^2 \leq \left( 1 + \frac{K T}{M} \right) E \|C_{T_j}^Z Z_{T_j,n}\|^2 + \frac{K T}{M} \|Z_{0,n}\|^2 \]

\[ + E \int_{T_j}^t 2 \text{Re} \left( C_{T_j}^Z (Z_{s,n} - Z_{T_j,n}), C_{T_j}^Z G_n Z_{T_j,n} \right) ds. \]

Hence combining S2, the linearity of (5.5) and the fact that \( c_n \leq \mu M \), we obtain

\[ E \|C_{T_j}^Z Z_{T_j,n}\|^2 \leq \left( 1 + \frac{K T}{M} \right) E \|C_{T_j}^Z Z_{T_j,n}\|^2 + \frac{K T}{M} \|Z_{0,n}\|^2. \]

Then for any \( t \in [T_j, T_{j+1}] \),

\[ E \|C_{T_j}^Z Z_{T_j,n}\|^2 \leq \left( 1 + \frac{K T}{M} \right)^j \left( 1 + \frac{K T}{M} \right)^{j-1} \left( 1 + \frac{K T}{M} \right)^k \|Z_{0,n}\|^2 \]

\[ \leq E \|C_{T_j}^Z Z_{0,n}\|^2 e^{K M} + K \|Z_{0,n}\|^2 \left( \left( 1 + \frac{K}{M} \right)^M - 1 \right) \]

\[ \leq K E \|C_{T_j}^Z Z_{0,n}\|^2 + K \|Z_{0,n}\|^2 (e^K - 1). \]

In the remainder of the proof we verify (5.1). Using the polarization identity, (5.1) and the property \( \Phi_{T_s,n} = \Phi_{T_s,n}^* \), we obtain

\[ 4 E \left( B_1 Z_{T_j,n}, \Phi_{T_s,n} B_2 Z_{T_j,n} \right) \]

\[ = \sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{i^k}{k!} E \left( (B_1 + i^k B_2) Z_{T_j,n}, \Phi_{T_s,n} (B_1 + i^k B_2) Z_{T_j,n} \right) \]

\[ \leq 3 E \left( \tilde{Y}_{n,k}, A \tilde{Y}_{n,k} \right), \]
with \( \tilde{Y}_{n,k} = \gamma_{n,k}^{s}(B_1 + ikB_2)Z_{T_j,n} \). Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 4.2, one arrives at

\[
\mathbb{E} \left( \left\langle \tilde{Y}_{n,k}, A\tilde{Y}_{n,k} \right\rangle / F_s \right) \leq K \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{l \in [\bar{n}, \bar{n}]} |\tilde{Y}_{l,n,k}|^2 \max \{ |l|^4, 1 \} / F_T \right) \leq K \mathbb{E} \left( \|C^*_l \tilde{Y}_{n,k}\|^2 + \|\tilde{Y}_{n,k}\|^2 / F_T \right).
\]

It follows from Theorem 3.1 and the Markov property of \( Y \) that

\[
\mathbb{E} \left( \left\langle \tilde{Y}_{n,k}, A\tilde{Y}_{n,k} \right\rangle / F_s \right) \leq K \left( \|C^*_l (B_1 + ikB_2)Z_{T_j,n}\|^2 + \|(B_1 + ikB_2)Z_{T_j,n}\|^2 \right).
\]

Since \( l_C \geq l_{C_1} + 2l_B \),

\[
\mathbb{E} \left( \left\langle \tilde{Y}_{n,k}, A\tilde{Y}_{n,k} \right\rangle / F_s \right) \leq K \left( \|C^*_l Z_{T_j,n}\|^2 + \|Z_{T_j,n}\|^2 \right).
\]

Then we conclude the proof of (5.4) by an application of the estimates obtained in the first part. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 5.1.** The error \( \mathbb{E} \left( X_{T_j,n}, AX_{T_j,n} \right) - \mathbb{E} \left( Z_{T_j,n}, AZ_{T_j,n} \right) \) can be decomposed into the sum of the error \( \mathbb{E} \left( X_{T_j,n}, AX_{T_j,n} \right) - \mathbb{E} \left( X_{T_j,n}, AX_{T_j,n} \right) \), which arises in Step 1, and the discretization error

\[
\mathbb{E} \left( X_{T_j,n}, AX_{T_j,n} \right) - \mathbb{E} \left( Z_{T_j,n}, AZ_{T_j,n} \right).
\]

Theorem 4.2 provides estimates for the first term, so that it remains to bound the discretization error.

To this end, we introduce the functions \( u_n : [0, T_j] \times \mathbb{R}_n \times \mathbb{R}_n \to \mathbb{C}, n \in \mathbb{N} \), defined by

\[
u_n(s, x, y) = \langle \tilde{x}, \Phi_{T_j,n}^s y \rangle,
\]

where \( \tilde{x} = \sum_{j \in [\bar{n}, \bar{n}]} x_j \varphi_j \) whenever \( x = \sum_{j \in [\bar{n}, \bar{n}]} x_j \varphi_j \). Recall that \( \{ \varphi_j : 0 \leq j \leq \bar{n} \} \) is an orthogonal basis of \( \mathbb{R}_n \). It follows from (5.1) that

\[
\mathbb{E} \left( Z_{T_j,n}, AZ_{T_j,n} \right) - \mathbb{E} \left( X_{T_j,n}, AX_{T_j,n} \right)
= \mathbb{E} \left( u_n(T_j, \overline{Z_{T_j,n}}, Z_{T_j,n}) - u_n(0, \overline{X_{0,n}}, X_{0,n}) \right)
= \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \delta_k,
\]

where \( \delta_k = \mathbb{E} \left( u_n(T_{k+1}, \overline{Z_{T_{k+1,n}}}, Z_{T_{k+1,n}}) - u_n(T_k, \overline{Z_{T_k,n}}, Z_{T_k,n}) \right) \).
Now, Hô’s formula and (nx) yield
\[
\delta_k = E \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} \langle G_n (Z_{T_k,n} - Z_{s,n}), \Phi_{T_j-s,n} Z_{s,n} \rangle ds \\
+ E \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} \langle Z_{s,n}, \Phi_{T_j-s,n} G_n (Z_{T_k,n} - Z_{s,n}) \rangle ds \\
+ \sum_{l=1}^{m} E \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} \langle L_i Z_{T_k,n}, \Phi_{T_j-s,n} L_i Z_{T_k,n} \rangle ds \\
- \sum_{l=1}^{m} E \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} \langle L_i Z_{s,n}, \Phi_{T_j-s,n} L_i Z_{s,n} \rangle ds.
\]

Therefore, a simple calculation leads to
\[
\delta_k = - \sum_{(B_1, B_2, r) \in \mathcal{S}} \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} E \langle B_1 Z_{T_k,n}, \Phi_{T_j-s,n} B_2 Z_{T_k,n} \rangle (s - T_k)^r ds,
\]
where \( \mathcal{S} \) is the set formed by the following triplets: \((G^2_n, I, 1), (J, G^2_n, 1), (G^2_n, G_n, 2), (G_n, G^2_n, 2), (G_n L_i, n, L_i, 1, n), (L_i, n, G_n L_i, 1, n), (L_i, n, L_i, n, G_n, 1), (L_i, n, G_n, L_i, 1, n), (L_i, n, L_i, L_i, 1, n), \) with \( l, l_1, \ldots, m. \) Thus Lemma (nx) completes the proof. \( \square \)

To improve the weak convergence order of the Euler scheme, Talay and Tubaro generalized in [25] the Romberg extrapolation methods to the context of stochastic differential equations. Inspired by this work, we next develop a second-order scheme.

**Theorem 5.4.** Suppose that in addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1 we have

\( \text{S4: the operator given by } C_2 \varphi_n = |n|^{1/c_2} \varphi_n, \) where \( c_2 \) is a positive real number such that \( l_{C_1} \geq l_{C_2} + 4 \max \{ l_C, l_L \} \) and \( l_{C_2} \geq l_{A} \) fulfills condition H7.

Then for any \( j = 0, \ldots, M, \)
\[
\left| E \left( X_{T_j} - A X_{T_j} \right) - 2 E \langle Z_{T_j}^{2M}, A Z_{T_j}^{2M} \rangle + E \langle Z_{T_j}^{2M}, A Z_{T_j}^{2M} \rangle \right| \\
\leq K \left( \left\| C^1 X_0 \right\|^2 + \left\| X_0 \right\|^2 \right) \left( \frac{T^2}{M^2} + \frac{1_{n > 0} + 1_{n < 0}}{n^2 - 2} + \frac{1_{n > 0} + 1_{n < 0}}{n^2 - 2} \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{1_{n > 0} + 1_{n < 0}}{n^2 - 2} \right)
\]
provided that \( c_n \leq \mu M. \)

For expository purposes, before proving Theorem 5.4 we give the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.5.** Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 5.4 hold. Assume that \( B \in O_{l_{B_1, n_{B_1}, l_{B_1}}} \) and that \( B_k \in O_{l_{B_1, n_{B_1}, l_{B_1}}} \) for \( k = 1, \ldots, 4. \) If \( l_{C_1} \geq l_{C_1} + 2 l_{B_1}, l_{C_2} \geq 2 l_{B_2}, \) then
\[
\left| E \left\langle B Y_{T_j}^{s, B_1 Z_{s,n} + B_2 Z_{T_j,n}}, \Phi_{T_j-s,n} B Y_{T_j}^{s, B_1 Z_{s,n} + B_2 Z_{T_j,n}} \right\rangle \right| \leq K \left( \left\| C^2 X_0 \right\|^2 + \left\| X_0 \right\|^2 \right)
\]
whenever \( 0 \leq s \leq s \leq T_j. \)
Proof. The linearity of (5.2) and the polarization identity allow us to assume without loss of generality that $B_1 = B_3$ and $B_2 = B_4$. It follows from (5.1) that
\[
E \left< BY_{T_{j,n}}^{s,B_1 Z_{n,s} + B_2 Z_{T_{j,n}}} \Phi_{T_{j,n}}^{s,-B_3 Z_{n,s} + B_4 Z_{T_{j,n}}} \right> = E \left< \bar{Y}, A \bar{Y} \right>,
\]
with $\bar{Y} = Y_{T_{j,n}}^{s,B_1 Z_{n,s} + B_2 Z_{T_{j,n}}}$. Hence proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 5.3 we obtain
\[
\left| E \left< \left< \bar{Y}, A \bar{Y} \right> / F_s \right> \right| \leq K \left( \left\| C_T \left< BY_{T_{j,n}}^{s,B_1 Z_{n,s} + B_2 Z_{T_{j,n}}} \right> \right\|^2 + \left\| BY_{T_{j,n}}^{s,B_1 Z_{n,s} + B_2 Z_{T_{j,n}}} \right\|^2 \right)
\]
\[
\leq K \left( \left\| C_T^{1/2} Y_{T_{j,n}}^{s,B_1 Z_{n,s} + B_2 Z_{T_{j,n}}} \right\|^2 + \left\| Y_{T_{j,n}}^{s,B_1 Z_{n,s} + B_2 Z_{T_{j,n}}} \right\|^2 \right).
\]
Therefore
\[
\left| E \left< \left< \bar{Y}, A \bar{Y} \right> / F_s \right> \right| \leq K \left( \left\| C_T \left< B Z_{s,n} + B_2 Z_{T_{j,n}} \right> \right\|^2 + \left\| B Z_{s,n} + B_2 Z_{T_{j,n}} \right\|^2 \right)
\]
\[
\leq K \left( \left\| C_T^{1/2} Z_{s,n} \right\|^2 + \left\| C_T^{1/2} Z_{T_{j,n}} \right\|^2 + \left\| Z_{s,n} \right\|^2 + \left\| Z_{T_{j,n}} \right\|^2 \right).
\]
The desired result follows from the estimates given in the first part of the proof of Lemma 5.3.

Proof of Theorem 5.4. Returning to the proof of Theorem 5.1 one may notice that the polarization identity leads to
\[
\delta_k = -\frac{T}{2M} \sum_{(B_1,B_2,1) \in S} \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} E \left< B_1 X_{s,n} \Phi_{T_{j,s}-s} B_2 X_{s,n} \right> ds - \tilde{\delta}_k^1 - \tilde{\delta}_k^2,
\]
with
\[
(5.6) \quad \tilde{\delta}_k^1 = \sum_{(B,k,r) \in S} i^{-k} \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} E \left< B Z_{T_{s,k}} \Phi_{T_{j,s}-s} B Z_{T_{s,k}} \right> (s - T_k)^r ds
\]
\[
- \sum_{(B,k,r) \in S} i^{-k} \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} E \left< B X_{T_{s,k}} \Phi_{T_{j,s}-s} B X_{T_{s,k}} \right> (s - T_k)^r ds,
\]
\[
\tilde{\delta}_k^2 = \sum_{(B,k,r) \in S} i^{-k} \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} E \left< B X_{T_{s,k}} \Phi_{T_{j,s}-s} B X_{T_{s,k}} \right> (s - T_k)^r ds
\]
\[
- \sum_{(B,k,1) \in S} i^{-k} \frac{T}{2M} \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} E \left< B X_{s,n} \Phi_{T_{j,s}-s} B X_{s,n} \right> ds,
\]
where $S = \left\{ (B,k,r) : k = 0, \ldots, 3, B = B_1 + i^k B_2 \text{ with } (B_1,B_2,r) \in S \right\}$.

We now focus on the estimation of $\tilde{\delta}_k^1$. For any $B$ present in (5.6), let us define the function $v_B$ by
\[
v_B(s,x,y) = E \left< B Y_{T_{s,k}}^{s,B}, \Phi_{T_{j,s}-s} B Y_{T_{s,k}}^{s,B} \right>,
\]
provided that $0 \leq s \leq T_k \leq \tilde{s} \leq T_j$ and $x, y \in \mathfrak{h}_n$. Thus for any $\tilde{s} \in [T_k, T_{k+1}]

\begin{align*}
E \langle BZ_{T_k,n}, \Phi_{T_{k+1} - \tilde{s}} BZ_{T_k,n} \rangle - E \langle BX_{T_k,n}, \Phi_{T_{k+1} - \tilde{s}} BX_{T_k,n} \rangle \\
= \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} E v_n \left( T_{l+1}, \overline{Z_{T_{l+1},n}}, Z_{T_{l+1},n} \right) - E v_n \left( T_l, \overline{Z_{T_l,n}}, Z_{T_l,n} \right).
\end{align*}

It follows from Itô's formula that

\begin{align*}
E \left( v_n \left( T_{l+1}, \overline{Z_{T_{l+1},n}}, Z_{T_{l+1},n} \right) - v_n \left( T_l, \overline{Z_{T_l,n}}, Z_{T_l,n} \right) \right) = \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta} (v_n) (s, x, y) \big|_{t=T_l}^{t=T_{l+1}},
\end{align*}

with

\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta} (v_n) (s, x, y) &= \sum_{i \in \{0,n\}} \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial x^i} (s, x, y) (G_n \alpha)^i + \sum_{i \in \{0,n\}} \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial y^i} (s, x, y) (G_n \beta)^i \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{k, j \in \{0,n\}} \frac{\partial^2 v_n}{\partial x^k \partial x^j} (s, x, y) \left( L_{l,n} \alpha \right)^{k,j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{k, j \in \{0,n\}} \frac{\partial^2 v_n}{\partial y^k \partial y^j} (s, x, y) \left( L_{l,n} \beta \right)^{k,j}
\end{align*}

where for any $O \in B(\mathfrak{h}_n)$, the operator $O$ is defined by $O \varphi_k = \overline{O \varphi_k}$, $k \in \{0,n\}$. Furthermore, again using Itô's formula, we arrive at

\begin{align*}
E \left( v_n \left( T_{l+1}, \overline{Z_{T_{l+1},n}}, Z_{T_{l+1},n} \right) - v_n \left( T_l, \overline{Z_{T_l,n}}, Z_{T_l,n} \right) \right) = \mathcal{L} (v_n) (s, x, y) \big|_{t=T_l}^{t=T_{l+1}},
\end{align*}

with

\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L} (v_n) (s, x, y) &= \mathcal{L}_{x,y} (v_n) (s, x, y)
\end{align*}

Now, a long calculation leads to

\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\alpha} (\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\alpha} (v_n)) (s, x, x) = 2E \left\langle BY_{T_k,n}^{s,G_n \alpha}, \Phi_{T_{k+1} - \tilde{s} n} BY_{T_k,n}^{s,G_n \alpha} \right\rangle
\end{align*}

and

\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\alpha} (\mathcal{L} (v_n)) (s, x, x) = \sum_{(y,z) \in \mathcal{I}} E \left\langle BY_{T_k,n}^{s,G_n \alpha}, \Phi_{T_{k+1} - \tilde{s} n} BY_{T_k,n}^{s,G_n \alpha} \right\rangle,
\end{align*}

where $\mathcal{I}$ is the set formed by the following pairs: $(G_n^2 \alpha, x), (G_n \alpha, G_n x), (G_n x, G_n \alpha)$, $(x, G_n^2 \alpha)$.
\((L_{l,n}G_n, L_{l,n}x), (L_{l,n}G_n, L_{l,n}x), (L_{l,n}G_n, L_{l,n}z), (L_{l,n}G_n, L_{l,n}z), (L_{l,n}G_n, L_{l,n}z)\) and 
\((G_nL_{l,n}z, L_{l,n}z)\) with \(l, l_1 = 1, \ldots, m\). Therefore, Lemma 5.3 yields

\[
\|\hat{\delta}_k\| \leq K \left( \frac{T}{M} \right)^3 \left( \|C^+ X_0\|^2 + \|X_0\|^2 \right).
\]

It remains to estimate \(\hat{\delta}_k^2\). Combining the integration by parts formula and \([5,3]\), we have that

\[
\int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} E \left( B X_{T_k,n}, \Phi_{T_j-s,n} B X_{T_k,n} \right) (s - T_k) \, ds
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{T}{M} \right)^2 E \left( B X_{T_k,n}, \Phi_{T_j-s,n} B X_{T_k,n} \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} E \left( G_n B X_{T_k,n}, \Phi_{T_j-s,n} B X_{T_k,n} \right) (s - T_k)^2 \, ds
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} E \left( B X_{T_k,n}, \Phi_{T_j-s,n} G_n B X_{T_k,n} \right) (s - T_k)^2 \, ds
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^m \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} E \left( L_{l,n} B X_{T_k,n}, \Phi_{T_j-s,n} L_{l,n} B X_{T_k,n} \right) (s - T_k)^2 \, ds,
\]

where \((B, \bar{k}, 1) \in \mathcal{S}\) for some \(\bar{k}\). Since

\[
E \left( B X_{s,n}, \Phi_{T_j-s,n} B X_{s,n} \right) = E \left( B X_{T_k,n}, \Phi_{T_j-s,n} B X_{T_k,n} \right)
\]

\[
+ \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} E \left( B G_n X_{r,n}, \Phi_{T_j-s,n} B X_{r,n} \right) \, dr
\]

\[
+ \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} E \left( B X_{r,n}, \Phi_{T_j-s,n} B G_n X_{r,n} \right) \, dr
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} E \left( B L_{i,n} X_{r,n}, \Phi_{T_j-s,n} B L_{i,n} X_{r,n} \right) \, dr,
\]

we conclude the proof by applying the integration by parts formula and \([5,3]\) to 
\(\int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} E \left( B X_{T_k,n}, \Phi_{T_j-s,n} B X_{T_k,n} \right) \, ds\) and arguing similarly as in the last part of the proof of Lemma 5.3.

6. APPLICATION

This section is devoted to illustrating the main results of this paper. More precisely, in view of the harmonic oscillators' considerable importance in quantum mechanics \([3, 21]\), we develop here an example of a forced and damped quantum harmonic oscillator in the interaction representation. Then this concrete situation allows us to give the flavor of the hypotheses that support our presentation. We begin by establishing the corresponding notation.

In what follows, we assume \(\hbar = l^2 (Z^+)\) and that \((\varphi_k)_{k \geq 0}\) is the canonical orthonormal basis on the space \(l^2 (Z^+)\). Moreover, we denote by \(a^+, a\) the creation and annihilation operators; that is, the domain of \(a^+, a\) is

\[
\left\{ x \in l^2 (Z^+) : \sum_{k \geq 0} k |x_k|^2 < +\infty \right\}
\]
and \(a^\dagger \varphi_n = \sqrt{n+1} \varphi_{n+1}\),

\[
a\varphi_n = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } n = 0, \\
\sqrt{n} \varphi_{n-1}, & \text{if } n > 0.
\end{cases}
\]

The number operator is given by \(N = a^\dagger a\).

From now on we consider the system defined by the effective Hamiltonian

\[
H = ik_1 (a^\dagger - a) + k_2 N
\]

and the Lindblad operators \(L_1 = c_1 a, L_2 = c_2 a^2, L_3 = c_3 N, L_4 = c_4 a^\dagger\), where \(k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}\) and \(c_1, \ldots, c_4 \in \mathbb{C}\).

The model presented here describes many physical phenomena, for instance a single mode of a quantized electromagnetic field. In this framework, the vectors \(\varphi_k, k = 0, 1, \ldots\), characterize the levels of energy. Moreover, the operator \(a^\dagger\) creates a photon, whereas the operator \(a\) destroys a photon. Then the term \(ik_1 (a^\dagger - a)\) describes a driving force or lineal pumping and \(L_1\) describes the damping due to photon emission. \(E \langle X_t, N X_t \rangle\) is the mean photon number or energy at time \(t\).

Let \(h_n\) be equal to the linear manifold spanned by \(\{\varphi_j : 0 \leq j \leq n\}\). Then \(\mathfrak{D}^* = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n\) satisfies hypothesis H4. In addition, for any \(\phi = (\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_n, 0, \ldots) \in h_n\),

\[
2 \text{ Re} \langle N^p \phi, N^p G_n \phi \rangle + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \|N^p L_{k,n} \phi\|^2
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{n} |c_1|^2 |\phi_j|^2 j \left( -j^{2p} + (j - 1)^{2p} \right) + |c_2|^2 |\phi_j|^2 j (j - 1) \left( -j^{2p} + (j - 2)^{2p} \right)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |c_4|^2 |\phi_j|^2 (j + 1) \left( -j^{2p} + (j + 1)^{2p} \right)
\]

\[
+ 2k_1 \sqrt{j + 1} \text{ Re} \left( \phi_{j+1} \phi_j \right) ((j + 1)^{2p} - j^{2p}).
\]

Hence H7 is fulfilled by any \(C = N^{2p}\), with \(p \in \mathbb{Z}_+\). Since for any \(\phi \in \text{Dom} (G)\), \(\text{Re} \langle \phi, G \phi \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \|L_k \phi\|^2 \leq 0\), \(G\) is a dissipative linear operator on \(h\). Furthermore, \(\|G P_n - P_n G P_n\| \leq |k_1| \sqrt{n + 1} + \frac{|k_4|^2}{2} (n + 1)\). Thus H1 follows from Theorem 2 of \[11\]. Notice that \(G \in O_{2,1,1}\) and \(L_j \in O_{1,2,1}\), \(j = 1, \ldots, 4\). Therefore, Theorem \[12\] implies

**Proposition 6.1.** Let \(A \in O_{l_A,n_A,m_A}\) and \(p \in \mathbb{N}\) such that \(p \geq \max \{l_A, 2\}\). If \(X_0 \in \text{Dom} (N^p)\), then for any \(n > 0\),

\[
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |E \langle X_t, A X_t \rangle - E \langle X_{t,n}, A X_{t,n} \rangle|
\]

\[
\leq K \left( \|N^p X_0\|^2 + \|X_0\|^2 \right) \left( \frac{1}{n^{p-2}} + \frac{1}{n^{2p-4-l_A}} \right).
\]
Furthermore, for any $\phi \in \mathfrak{h}_n$ a straightforward computation yields that $\|N^p G_n \phi\|^2$ is equal to
\[
(k_1 |\phi_{n-1}|)^2 n^{2p+1} + n^{2p} |\phi_n|^2 \left[ ik_2 n + \frac{|c_1|^2 n}{2} + \frac{|c_2|^2 n (n-1)}{2} + \frac{|c_3|^2 n^2}{2} \right] \\
+ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j^{2p} \left| k_1 \phi_{j-1} \sqrt{j} - k_1 \phi_{j+1} \sqrt{j+1} \right|^2 \\
- \phi_j \left( ik_2 j + \frac{|c_1|^2 j}{2} + \frac{|c_2|^2 j (j-1)}{2} + \frac{|c_3|^2 j^2}{2} + \frac{|c_4|^2 (j+1)}{2} \right)^2.
\]
Thus choosing $c_n = n^4$, we can obtain condition S2. Then Proposition 6.2 follows from Theorem 5.1.

**Proposition 6.2.** Let $A \in O(l_A,n_A,m_A)$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \geq \max \{l_A/2 + 4, l_A\}$. If there exists a constant $\mu$ such that $n^4/M \leq \mu$, then
\[
\left| E \left( X_{T_j}, AX_{T_j} \right) - E \left( Z_{T_j,n}^M, AZ_{T_j,n}^M \right) \right| \\
\leq K_p \left( \|N^p X_0\|^2 + \|X_0\|^2 \right) \left( \frac{T}{M} + \frac{1}{n^{2p-4-l_A}} \right),
\]
where the constant $K_p$ depends on $p$. Furthermore, for any $p \geq \{l_A/2 + 8, l_A\}$,
\[
\left| E \left( X_{T_j^M}, AX_{T_j^M} \right) - 2E \left( Z_{T_j^M,n}^{2M}, AZ_{T_j^M,n}^{2M} \right) + E \left( Z_{T_j^M,n}^{2M}, AZ_{T_j^M,n}^{2M} \right) \right| \\
\leq K_p \left( \|N^p X_0\|^2 + \|X_0\|^2 \right) \left( \frac{T}{M} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{n^{2p-8-l_A}}.
\]
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