

ON THE ABSOLUTE MAHLER MEASURE OF POLYNOMIALS HAVING ALL ZEROS IN A SECTOR. II

GEORGES RHIN AND QIANG WU

ABSTRACT. Let α be an algebraic integer of degree d , not 0 or a root of unity, all of whose conjugates α_i are confined to a sector $|\arg z| \leq \theta$. In the paper *On the absolute Mahler measure of polynomials having all zeros in a sector*, G. Rhin and C. Smyth compute the greatest lower bound $c(\theta)$ of the absolute Mahler measure $(\prod_{i=1}^d \max(1, |\alpha_i|))^{1/d}$ of α , for θ belonging to nine subintervals of $[0, 2\pi/3]$. In this paper, we improve the result to thirteen subintervals of $[0, \pi]$ and extend some existing subintervals.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $P(z) \neq z$ be a monic polynomial with integer coefficients, irreducible over the rationals, of degree $d \geq 1$, and having zeros $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$. Its relative Mahler measure $M(P)$, given by

$$M(P) = \prod_{i=1}^d \max(1, |\alpha_i|),$$

is either 1 (if P is cyclotomic) or thought to be bounded away from 1 by an absolute constant (if P is not cyclotomic) [B1], [B2]. When the zeros of P are restricted to a closed set V which does not contain the whole unit circle, however, one can say much more. Then, from a result of Langevin [LA] there is a constant $C_V > 1$ such that the absolute Mahler measure $\Omega(P) := M(P)^{1/d}$ for such P is either 1 or else satisfies

$$\Omega(P) \geq C_V.$$

So we try to find the largest value for the constants C_V when V is the sector $\{z : |\arg z| \leq \theta\}$, where $0 \leq \theta < \pi$. We denote this best value by $c(\theta)$. It is clear that $c(\theta)$ is a nonincreasing function of θ and, using the polynomials $z^{2k+1} - 2$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, that $c(\theta) \rightarrow 1$ as $\theta \rightarrow \pi$.

In a previous paper [RS], G. Rhin and C. Smyth succeeded in finding $c(\theta)$ exactly for θ in nine intervals. They conjectured that $c(\theta)$ is a “staircase” function of θ which is constant except for finitely many left discontinuities in any interval $[0, \Theta)$ for $\Theta < \pi$. They used auxiliary functions of the type

$$(1) \quad f_i(\theta) = \left\{ \max_{z \in W_\theta} \left| z^{a_i} \prod_j P_{ij}(z)^{e_{ij}} \right| \right\}^{-1/(2a_i + \sum_j e_{ij} \deg P_{ij})}$$

Received by the editor March 12, 2003 and, in revised form, August 10, 2003.
 2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11R04, 12D10.

in the sector $W_\theta = \{|z| < 1, |\arg z| \leq \theta\}$. Then they find:

Theorem. *There is a continuous, monotonically decreasing function $f(\theta) > 1$ for $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi/3$ and there is a staircase function $g(\theta) > 1$ such that*

$$\min(f(\theta), g(\theta)) \leq c(\theta) \leq g(\theta) \quad (0 \leq \theta < \pi).$$

The function $f(\theta)$ is given by $f(\theta) := \max_{i=1}^9 f_i(\theta)$. The function $g(\theta)$ is a decreasing staircase having left discontinuities at the angles given (in degrees) in Table 4 of [RS]. The corresponding absolute measure is the new smaller value of $g(\theta)$ which is the smallest value of $\Omega(P)$ that could be found, for P having all its zeros in $|\arg z| \leq \theta$.

In the proof of the Theorem, Rhin and Smyth referred to Langevin’s proof [LA], which has three basic ingredients:

- (i) the observation that the set $V_1 = V \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ has transfinite diameter less than 1,
- (ii) a result of Kakeya to the effect that for any set W of transfinite diameter less than 1 and symmetric about the real axis there is a nonzero polynomial A with integer coefficients such that $\sup_{z \in W} |A(z)| < 1$,
- (iii) deduction of $\Omega(P) \geq C_V$ from (i) and (ii) using $W := \{z : z \in V \text{ and } \bar{z} \in V\}$.

For the computation of $f(\theta) = \max_{i=1}^9 f_i(\theta)$, they use, for each f_i , an auxiliary polynomial A as in (ii), and they choose such A of the form $z^a R(z)$, where a is a positive integer and R is a reciprocal polynomial of degree r with integer coefficients, i.e.,

$$A(z) = z^a \prod_j P_j(z)^{e_j}.$$

The function

$$(2) \quad m(\theta) = \sup_{z \in W_\theta} |A(z)|^{\frac{1}{2a+r}}$$

is then associated with A . Then Langevin’s argument of (iii) above gives

$$\Omega(P) \geq \frac{1}{m(\theta)} \quad \text{if } \gcd(P, A) = 1$$

for P irreducible, of degree d , with integer coefficients. For, if $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ are the zeros of P , then, since $R(z) = z^r R(z^{-1})$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \left| \prod_{i=1}^d \alpha_i^a R(\alpha_i) \right| = \prod_{|\alpha_i| \leq 1} |\alpha_i^a R(\alpha_i)| \times \prod_{|\alpha_i| > 1} |\alpha_i^{a+r} R(\alpha_i^{-1})| \\ &= \prod_{|\alpha_i| \leq 1} |\alpha_i^a R(\alpha_i)| \times \prod_{|\alpha_i| > 1} |(\alpha_i^{-1})^a R(\alpha_i^{-1})| \times \prod_{|\alpha_i| > 1} \alpha_i^{2a+r} \\ &\leq m(\theta)^{(2a+r)d} M(P)^{2a+r} \end{aligned}$$

whence $\Omega(P) \geq 1/m(\theta)$.

Then each $f_i(\theta)$ was defined, as in equation (1), to be the function $1/m(\theta)$ corresponding to a polynomial A chosen so that $f(\theta_i) > g(\theta_i)$ and so that the length of the interval $[\theta_i, \theta'_i]$ over which $f(\theta) > g(\theta)$ was as long as possible. Thus, if $g(\theta_i) = \Omega(P_*)$ (Table 4 in [RS]), then $\Omega(P_*) < f_i(\theta_i)$. From (2) it follows that P is a factor of A and that, among polynomials with all conjugates in $|\arg z| \leq \theta_i$, only factors of A can have absolute measure less than $f_i(\theta_i)$. Now P_* does indeed divide

A , and in fact it has the smallest absolute measure among factors A of measure > 1 . It follows that $\Omega(P_*)$ is the smallest value of the absolute measure for polynomials having all zeros in $|\arg z| \leq \theta$ for $\theta \in [\theta_i, \theta'_i]$. Hence, $c(\theta) = \Omega(P_*)$ for these θ .

One of the main problems in the previous paper was to find for each interval suitable polynomials to use to obtain a good auxiliary function. In fact they only used a heuristic process and produced a table of good P_j which were for almost all polynomials of one of the following six types:

$$\begin{aligned} z^n Q(z + z^{-1} - k) & \quad (k = 3, 2, 1, 0) & \quad (\text{types } 1, 2, 3, 4), \\ z^n S(z + z^{-1} - 2) & \quad \text{where } S(x) = Q(1)x^n Q(1 + 1/x) & \quad (\text{type } 5), \\ z^n(Q(z) + Q(1/z)) & & \quad (\text{type } 6). \end{aligned}$$

Here Q is a degree n monic polynomial with small coefficients, also with $Q(1) = \pm 1$ for the fifth type. As pointed out in [RS, p. 301] “The reason for polynomials of these types giving good polynomials appears mysterious, however.”

The second author gave in [WU] an algorithm improving the ones given by P. Borwein and T. Erdelyi [BE] and L. Habsieger and B. Salvy [HS] to find polynomials which have to be involved in such auxiliary functions $f_i(\theta)$. This method gives better lower bounds for $c(\theta)$ for four new intervals of θ between 0 and $7\pi/9$.

Table 1 shows the 13 intervals $[\theta_i, \theta'_i]$ where $f(\theta) > g(\theta)$, so that $c(\theta) = g(\theta) = g(\theta_i)$ for θ in those intervals; i.e., $c(\theta)$ is known exactly. Here $c(\theta) = c(\theta_i) = \Omega(p)$ for $\theta \in [\theta_i, \theta'_i]$. The fifth column presents the results from [RS]. The polynomial P is read off from Table 3. The function $f(\theta)$ is given by $f(\theta) := \max_{i=1}^{13} f_i(\theta)$ where the $f_i(\theta)$ are defined as in (1) and the a_i, P_{ij} and the e_{ij} are given by Table 2, using the polynomials of Table 3. The function $g(\theta)$ employs the polynomials listed in Table 4 of [RS], where we add the polynomials P_{25} and P_{31} of our Table 3.

Table 2 gives the auxiliary functions

$$A_i(z) = z^{a_i} \prod_j P_{ij}(z)^{e_{ij}}$$

used to compute $f_i(\theta)$ for $i = 1, \dots, 13$.

Table 3 shows the reciprocal polynomials used in Tables 1 and 2, where $d = \deg P$ and $\varphi(P) = \max\{|\arg z| : P(z) = 0\}$.

TABLE 1.

i	$c(\theta)$	θ_i	θ'_i	θ'_i in [RS]	P
1	1.618034	0.000000	17.40	17.39	P_2
2	1.539222	26.408740	26.65	26.65	P_7
3	1.493633	30.440145	30.74	30.59	P_8
4	1.303055	47.941432	49.46	49.46	P_9
5	1.300734	50.830684	50.96		P_{12}
6	1.259269	60.890196	63.87	63.87	P_{15}
7	1.210608	73.631615	74.04	73.99	P_{19}
8	1.154618	80.241034	82.43	81.40	P_{21}
9	1.129338	86.708519	91.40	91.40	P_{23}
10	1.096504	101.353607	101.99		P_{25}
11	1.055423	112.647119	115.32	115.32	P_{29}
12	1.033097	127.355699	129.47		P_{31}
13	1.020306	137.102805	137.15		P_{37}

TABLE 2.

i	θ'_i	Polynomials P_{ij}	Exponents e_{ij}	a_i
1	17.40	$P_1 P_2 P_3 P_4 P_5$	21021 05610 00054 00140 00258	20829
2	26.65	$P_1 P_6 P_7$	26358 00726 00255	19499
3	30.74	$P_1 P_8$	29817 00605	18366
4	49.46	$P_1 P_9 P_{12} P_{14}$	19000 00964 00642 13732	11807
5	50.96	$P_1 P_9 P_{10} P_{11} P_{12} P_{13} P_{14}$	15859 01071 00267 00231 00287 00223 14466	11684
6	63.87	$P_1 P_{14} P_{15} P_{16} P_{18}$	10218 18924 00572 00369 00988	13958
7	74.04	$P_1 P_{14} P_{17} P_{19} P_{20} P_{23}$	06927 25721 00009 00460 00577 00257	12853
8	82.43	$P_1 P_{14} P_{21} P_{22} P_{23} P_{24}$	06227 18812 00865 01032 00584 05931	11749
9	91.40	$P_1 P_{14} P_{21} P_{23} P_{24} P_{28}$	06647 12953 00039 02344 09209 00918	12165
10	101.99	$P_1 P_{14} P_{24} P_{25} P_{26} P_{27} P_{28} P_{29}$	05043 06353 10453 00268 00747 00563 04769 00344	10268
11	115.32	$P_1 P_{14} P_{24} P_{28} P_{29} P_{30} P_{32}$	03973 05717 05892 06225 01039 04497 00688	11251
12	129.47	$P_1 P_{14} P_{24} P_{28} P_{30} P_{31}$	01916 03282 02376 02271 03763 01257	10725
13	137.15	$P_1 P_{14} P_{24} P_{28} P_{30} P_{32} P_{33} P_{34} P_{35} P_{38} P_{39}$	03267 00301 00159 00756 00641 01491 03082 01982 01696 02448 01997 01777 00576 00770 01624 00323	15026

TABLE 3.

P	$\Omega(P)$	$\varphi(P)$	d	Highest half of coefficients of P																
P_1	1.000000	0.000000	2	1	-2															
P_2	1.618034	0.000000	2	1	-3															
P_3	1.634404	17.665834	16	1	-25	281	-1873	8238	-25211	55246	-88031	102749								
P_4	1.610559	18.863408	8	1	-12	58	-143	193												
P_5	1.611995	20.717188	12	1	-18	141	-628	1756	-3219	3935										
P_6	1.547928	26.301669	10	1	-14	85	-287	585	-739											
P_7	1.539222	26.408740	4	1	-5	9														
P_8	1.493633	30.440145	6	1	-8	26	-37													
P_9	1.303055	47.941432	6	1	-5	13	-17													
P_{10}	1.322672	49.112713	12	1	-11	62	-212	487	-788	923										
P_{11}	1.312282	49.353680	4	1	-3	5														
P_{12}	1.300734	50.830684	8	1	-7	26	-53	67												
P_{13}	1.308589	52.798885	14	1	-12	76	-302	832	-1669	2510	-2871									
P_{14}	1.000000	60.000000	2	1	-1															
P_{15}	1.259269	60.890196	6	1	-4	10	-13													
P_{16}	1.245865	68.365783	12	1	-7	30	-85	175	-268	309										
P_{17}	1.241661	72.761003	8	1	-5	16	-29	35												
P_{18}	1.238359	73.295530	8	1	-4	13	-23	28												
P_{19}	1.210608	73.631615	6	1	-3	7	-9													
P_{20}	1.208398	74.983796	8	1	-4	12	-21	25												
P_{21}	1.154618	80.241034	8	1	-3	8	-13	15												
P_{22}	1.189207	81.578941	4	2	-4	5														
P_{23}	1.129338	86.708519	6	1	-2	4	-5													
P_{24}	1.000000	90.000000	2	1	0															
P_{25}	1.096504	101.353606	10	1	-2	5	-9	12	-13											
P_{26}	1.106899	101.562999	6	1	-1	2	-3													
P_{27}	1.101001	106.852539	12	1	-2	6	-12	20	-26	29										
P_{28}	1.000000	108.000000	4	1	-1	1														
P_{29}	1.055423	112.647119	8	1	-1	2	-3	3												
P_{30}	1.000000	120.000000	2	1	1															
P_{31}	1.033097	127.355699	12	1	-1	2	-3	4	-5	5										
P_{32}	1.000000	128.571429	6	1	-1	1	-1													
P_{33}	1.040011	131.102998	10	1	-1	2	-3	3	-3											
P_{34}	1.039015	131.327187	14	1	0	1	-2	2	-3	3	-3									
P_{35}	1.000000	135.000000	4	1	0	0														
P_{36}	1.034105	136.742591	10	1	-1	2	-2	2	-3											
P_{37}	1.020306	137.102805	12	1	0	1	-1	1	-2	1										
P_{38}	1.000000	140.000000	6	1	0	0	-1													
P_{39}	1.000000	144.000000	4	1	1	1														

2. SEARCH FOR GOOD POLYNOMIALS FOR THE AUXILIARY FUNCTIONS

Let $\theta < \pi$ be a fixed angle. For a nonzero polynomial $A \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ we define $a = a(A)$ to be the multiplicity of the root 0 of A and $\|A\| = \sup_{z \in W_\theta} |A(z)|$.

As we have seen in the introduction, the search for a good auxiliary function f for W_θ is equivalent to seeking a polynomial A (such that $z^{-a}A$ is reciprocal) in $\mathbb{Z}[z]$ and such that $\|A\|^{1/(a(A)+\deg A)}$ is as small as possible.

Let A_n be the polynomial of degree n such that

$$\|A_n\|^{\frac{1}{a(A_n)+n}} = \min_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{Z}[z] \\ \deg A = n}} \|A\|^{\frac{1}{a(A)+n}}.$$

We can define

$$\tau_\theta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_n\|^{\frac{1}{a(A_n)+n}}$$

as a generalization of $t_{\mathbb{Z}}(W_\theta)$ which is the *integer transfinite diameter* of W_θ (in this case the exponent of $\|A_n\|$ is $1/n$).

Then the factors of the polynomials A_n lead to good auxiliary functions as follows. It is difficult to compute the polynomials A_n for n large, so we will compute some polynomials A'_n of sufficiently large degree (say 40) where the norm $\|A'_n\|$ is sufficiently small and use their factors Q_j inside the function f . For this we use the following algorithm, which was already described in [WU].

Step 1. We use the LLL algorithm to find a polynomial $Q(x)$ of degree m (say 30) in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ which has a small sup norm in the interval $[2 \cos \theta, 2]$. Then we choose the integer a such that the polynomial $A = z^{a+m}Q(z + 1/z)$ has a norm $\|A\|^{1/(2a+2m)}$ as small as possible.

It is well known that the LLL algorithm gives better results when used in low dimension. So, in Step 2 we will show that A'_n has an explicit factor of large degree.

Step 2. We use the previous bound and a generalization of the orthogonal Müntz-Legendre polynomials to find polynomials that must divide $A'_n = A$ (where $n = a + 2m$) in $\mathbb{Z}[z]$.

Step 3. We use now the LLL algorithm to find new polynomial factors of A'_n .

By this algorithm, we find the polynomials P_{25} and P_{31} , which not only improve the function $g(\theta)$, but also give better bounds for $c(\theta)$ in the intervals $[101.35, 101.99]$ and $[127.35, 129.47]$. We also find polynomials (for example P_{13}) that do not improve the function $g(\theta)$ but give us a new interval in which $c(\theta)$ is known exactly. Furthermore, we find some other polynomials for the auxiliary function which enable us to extend existing intervals.

3. COMPUTATION OF THE AUXILIARY FUNCTIONS

We use (for a fixed θ) the auxiliary function

$$f(z) = |z|^a \prod_{j=1}^J |Q_j(z)|^{e_j}$$

where the polynomials Q_j are those which have been computed in Section 2 and such that the positive rationals a and e_j satisfy the linear condition

$$2a + \sum_{j=1}^J e_j \deg Q_j = 1.$$

The optimal function f is obtained by semi-infinite linear programming [WU], [RS]. This gives four new intervals for $c(\theta)$. Moreover, technical improvements allow us to enlarge some intervals found earlier.

REFERENCES

- [BE] P. Borwein and T. Erdelyi, *The integer Chebyshev problem*, Math. Comp. 65, (214) (1996), 661-681. MR96g:11077
- [B1] D. W. Boyd, *Variations on a theme of Kronecker*, Canad. Math. Bull. 21 (1978), 129-133. MR58:5580
- [B2] D. W. Boyd, *Speculations concerning the range of Mahler's measure*, Canad. Math. Bull. 24 (4) (1981), 453-469. MR83h:12002

- [HS] L. Habsieger and B. Salvy, *On integer Chebyshev polynomials*, Math. Comp. 66 (218) (1997), 763-770. MR97f:11053
- [LA] M. Langevin, *Minorations de la maison et de la mesure de Mahler de certains entiers algebriques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 303 (1986), 523-526. MR87m:11105
- [RS] G. Rhin and C. J. Smyth, *On the absolute Mahler measure of polynomials having all zeros in a sector*, Math. Comp. 64 (209) (1995), 295-304. MR95c:11123
- [WU] Q. Wu, *On the linear independence measure of logarithms of rational numbers*, Math. Comp. 72 (242) (2003), 901-911. MR2003m:11111

LABORATOIRE MMAS, CNRS UMR 7122, UNIVERSITÉ DE METZ, ILE DU SAULCY, 57045 METZ
CEDEX 1, FRANCE

E-mail address: rhin@poncelet.univ-metz.fr

LABORATOIRE MMAS, CNRS UMR 7122, UNIVERSITÉ DE METZ, ILE DU SAULCY, 57045 METZ
CEDEX 1, FRANCE

E-mail address: wu@poncelet.univ-metz.fr