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Abstract. Small parameter power series expansions for both radial and an-
gular Mathieu functions are derived. The expansions are valid for all integer
orders and apply the Stratton-Morse-Chu normalization. Three new contribu-
tions are provided: (1) explicit power series expansions for the radial functions,
which are not available in the literature; (2) improved convergence rate of the
power series expansions of the radial functions, obtained by representing the
radial functions as a series of products of Bessel functions; (3) simpler and
more direct derivations for the power series expansion for both the angular
and radial functions. A numerical validation is also given.

1. Introduction

Mathieu angular and radial functions depend on a parameter $c$. This article
describes a new method to compute their power series expansions when $0 \leq c < 1$.
Three new contributions are provided for small parameter Mathieu functions: (1)
explicit power series expansions for the radial functions, which are not available
in the literature; (2) improved convergence rate of the power series expansions of
the radial functions, obtained by representing the radial functions as a series of
products of Bessel functions; and, (3) simpler and more direct derivations for the
power series expansion for both the angular and radial functions. Using this new
method, power series expansions valid for all integer orders are obtained, using the
Stratton-Morse-Chu normalization.

This work is motivated by physical applications where small parameter power
series expansions for both angular and radial functions are required to develop an-
alytical derivations. Power series expansions for Mathieu functions already present
in the literature are not useful for the following two reasons. First, published results
only contain expansions for the angular functions, but not for the radial functions,
such as [1], [26], and [22]. Second, the normalization used is not appropriate. In fact,
various normalizations exist for Mathieu functions and the two most frequently used
are the one of Goldstein-Ince [17], [21] and the one of Stratton-Morse-Chu [37, 36].
When it comes to small parameter power series expansions, most published results
apply the Goldstein-Ince normalization, such as [1], [26], with the exception of [22].
The change of normalization is by no means a trivial operation when one needs to develop analytical derivations. Therefore, this article provides expressions for both radial and angular functions using the Stratton-Morse-Chu normalization. The choice of the Stratton-Morse-Chu normalization is dictated by a vast collection of analytical results that already exist, such as [5], [30], [7], [12], [13], [31], [14], [38], [39], [6].

This article fills a gap in the literature on Mathieu functions by extending and improving the results given in [22]. Preliminary results of this research were given in [23].

This work is organized as follows. The notation for the Mathieu functions is described in Section 2. Then, because the method to obtain the power series expansions differs between even and odd functions, even functions are examined in Section 3, and odd parity functions are considered in Section 4. The relationship with prior results obtained in [22] is clarified in Section 5, which is followed by a numerical validation in Section 6 and the conclusions in Section 7.

2. Mathieu Angular and Radial Functions

Mathieu’s differential equation is given by [36], [37]:

\[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} f_2 + \left( b - \frac{c^2}{2} \cos 2v \right) f_2 = 0, \]

where \( b \) and \( c \) are constants. When \( c > 0 \), Mathieu’s equation contains a periodic coefficient. In many physical applications only periodic solutions are of interest, and for a given \( c \) there exist two countable sets of values of \( b \) for which equation (2.1) admits periodic solutions. These values of \( b \) are called characteristic values and, depending upon the set, the period of the solution is either \( \pi \) or \( 2\pi \). Because of their periodicity and their meaning in physical applications, these solutions are also called Mathieu angular functions \( S_{m}(c, v) \), \( S_{0}(c, v) \). Closely related to (2.1) is Mathieu’s modified differential equation [36], [37]:

\[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} f_1 + \left( \frac{c^2}{2} \cosh 2u - b \right) f_1 = 0, \]

whose solutions are called Mathieu modified functions or Mathieu radial functions, \( R_{1}(c, u) \), \( R_{0}(c, u) \), \((\ell = 1, \ldots, 4)\), as suggested by many physical applications.

Mathieu functions were first introduced by Émile Léonard Mathieu (1835–1890) in 1868 when he investigated the vibrational modes of a stretched elliptical membrane [25]. These functions have been studied by many authors, including Whittaker and Watson [41], Stratton [37], Morse and Feshbach [28], Meixner and Schäfke [27], and McLachlan [26]. The computation of Mathieu functions is not a trivial problem and it has been addressed by many authors, including Blanch [4], Clemm [8, 9], Hodge [20], Frisch [16], Rengarajan and Lewis [29], Baker [3], Shirts [34, 35], IMSL [40], Zhang and Jin [43], Alhargan [2], Mathematica [12], Erricolo [15].

Mathieu functions are used in many applications in physics and engineering, which are usually related to the solution of the wave equation in elliptic cylinder coordinates [5], [18], [33], [7], [32], [38], [13], [12], [24], [14], [39].

Both the angular and radial functions are expressed as series expansions. For the same order and parity, the expansion coefficients that define the angular and radial functions are the same. The expansion coefficients are not uniquely defined.
and different choices are possible depending upon the normalization used. In this work, only the Stratton-Morse-Chu normalization is considered.

3. EXPANSIONS OF THE EVEN FUNCTIONS

The definitions for both the angular and radial functions are provided by G. Blanch in [36]. The series representing the even solutions of (2.1) and (2.2) are, only the Stratton-Morse-Chu normalization is considered.

\[ \text{Se}_m(c, v) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_{2k+p}^m \cos(2k + p)v \quad (m = 0, 1, 2...), \]  
\[ \text{Re}_m(c, u) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} j^{m-(2k+p)} \frac{D_{2k+p}^m}{D_p^m} [J_{k+p}(x_1)J_k(x_2) + pJ_k(x_1)J_{k+p}(x_2)]. \]

To obtain faster convergence for the even radial Mathieu functions, contains a series of products of Bessel functions [36]. The even radial Mathieu functions of the second kind and order \( m \) are obtained by substituting \( J_{k+p}(x_1) \) and \( J_k(x_1) \) in (3.2) with their respective Bessel functions of the second kind, \( N_{k+p}(x_1) \) and \( N_k(x_1) \):

\[ \text{Re}_m^{(2)}(c, u) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} j^{m-(2k+p)} \frac{D_{2k+p}^m}{D_p^m} [N_{k+p}(x_1)J_k(x_2) + pN_k(x_1)J_{k+p}(x_2)]. \]

In equations (3.1)-(3.3), \( p = 0 \) if \( m \) is even and \( p = 1 \) if \( m \) is odd. The arguments of the Bessel functions in (3.2) and (3.3) are:

\[ x_1 = \frac{c}{2}e^u, \quad x_2 = \frac{c}{2}e^{-u}. \]

The even radial Mathieu functions of the third and fourth kind are defined by a linear combination of (3.2) and (3.3) [37], [36]:

\[ \text{Re}_m^{(3)}(c, u) = \text{Re}_m^{(1)}(c, u) + j\text{Re}_m^{(2)}(c, u), \]
\[ \text{Re}_m^{(4)}(c, u) = \text{Re}_m^{(1)}(c, u) - j\text{Re}_m^{(2)}(c, u). \]

The expansion coefficients in (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) and the even characteristic values are determined by the following second order recurrence relation [22]:

\[ (-n^2 + b^{(c)}_m) D_n^m - \frac{c^2}{4} \left[ D_{n+2}^m + \frac{2}{\varepsilon_{n-2}} D_{n-2}^m + (2 - \varepsilon_{n-1}) D_n^m \right] = 0, \quad m, n \geq 0. \]

Here \( \varepsilon_i \) is the Neumann factor where \( \varepsilon_0 = 1, \varepsilon_i = 2 \) for \( i \neq 0 \) and \( n = 2k + p \). The expansion coefficients \( D_n^m = 0 \) for \( n > 0 \). The \( D_n^m(c) \) are expanded in a power series valid for small values of \( c \) and have the form

\[ D_n^m(c) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,n}^{2r} c^{2r}, \]

where \( \alpha_{m,n}^{2r} = 0 \) for \( n > 0 \). When \( c \to 0 \), (2.1) becomes the equation of the harmonic oscillator with even solutions:

\[ \text{Se}_m(0, v) = A \cos mv. \]

Substituting (3.3) into (3.1) and setting \( c = 0 \), we see that the expansion coefficients \( \alpha_{m,m}^0 = A \) and \( \alpha_{m,n}^0 = 0 \) \( (n \neq m) \) so that the only nonzero coefficient in (3.1)
is $D^m_m(0) = A$. The constant $A$ will later be determined by the normalization introduced by Stratton and Chu.

The power series expansion for the even characteristic values have the form

\begin{equation}
 b_m^{(e)}(c) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} l_{2j}^{(e)} c^{2j},
\end{equation}

where $l_{2j}^{(e)}$ are even expansion coefficients and $l_0^{(e)} = m^2$, since $b_m^{(e)}(0) = m^2$ when $c \to 0$ in (2.1). The normalization, used by Stratton and Chu, is chosen so that $Se_m(c,0) = 1$ \cite{37}, or similarly,

\begin{equation}
 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_{2k+p}^m(c) = 1.
\end{equation}

Substitution of (3.8) into (3.11) leads to:

\begin{equation}
 \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,2k+p}^{2r} \right) c^{2r} = 1.
\end{equation}

From (3.12) and the comments above, we see that the normalization is satisfied by the following relations:

\begin{equation}
 \alpha_{0,m,m}^0 = 1 \quad (r = 0, \ A = 1),
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,2k+p}^{2r} = 0 \quad (r \geq 1).
\end{equation}

The expansion coefficients are obtained by substituting (3.8) and (3.10) into (3.7) and equating coefficients of like powers of $c$. The normalization is then satisfied by use of (3.13) and (3.14). Substituting (3.8) and (3.10) into (3.7) leads to:

\begin{equation}
 \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left( m^2 - n^2 \right) \alpha_{m,n}^{2r} c^{2r}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha_{2s,m,n+2}^{2s} + \frac{2}{\varepsilon_{n-2}} \alpha_{2s,m,n-2}^{2s} + (2 - \varepsilon_{n-1}) \alpha_{m,n}^{2s} \right] c^{2s+2}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
 - \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} l_{2j}^{(e)} \alpha_{m,n}^{2p} c^{2(p+j)}.
\end{equation}

Equating coefficients of $c^0$ ($r = 0$) in (3.15) leads to

\begin{equation}
 (m^2 - n^2) \alpha_{0,m,n}^{0} = 0.
\end{equation}

Equation (3.16) restates that $\alpha_{0,m,n}^0$ ($n = m$) is the only nonzero coefficient when $r = 0$. Since (3.16) is satisfied for all values of $\alpha_{0,m,n}^0$, it must be determined by the normalization given by (3.13) ($\alpha_{0,m,m}^0 = 1$). Therefore, the first nonzero expansion coefficients correspond to $n = m$ and are given by:

\begin{equation}
 l_0^{(e)} = m^2,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
 \alpha_{0,m,m}^0 = 1.
\end{equation}
Equating coefficients of $c^2$ ($r = 1$) in (3.15) leads to:

$$(3.19) \quad (m^2 - n^2) a_{m,n}^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ a_{m,n+2}^2 + \frac{2}{\varepsilon_{n-2}} a_{m,n-2}^2 + [(2 - \varepsilon_{n-1}) - 4l(e)] a_{m,n}^0 \right\}.$$  

In this case, all expansion coefficients are equal to zero for all $m$ except those corresponding to $n = m - 2$, $n = m$ and $n = m + 2$. Substituting these values of $n$ into (3.19) leads to

$$l(e) = \frac{2 - \varepsilon_{m-1}}{4},$$  

$$\alpha_{m,m}^2 \neq 0,$$  

$$\alpha_{m,m-2}^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{16(m-1)}, & m \geq 2, \\ 0, & m < 2. \end{cases}$$  

$$\alpha_{m,m+2}^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{16(m+1)}, & m \geq 1, \\ \frac{1}{8}, & m = 0. \end{cases}$$  

respectively. In equation (3.21), the value of $\alpha_{m,m}^2$ is generally nonzero. The appropriate value is determined by the normalization given by (3.14). Substitution of (3.20) and (3.22) into (3.14) ($r = 1$) leads to:

$$\alpha_{m,m}^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{16(m^2-1)}, & m \geq 2, \\ \frac{1}{32}, & m = 1, \\ \frac{1}{8}, & m = 0. \end{cases}$$  

Equating coefficients of $c^4$ ($r = 2$) in (3.15) leads to:

$$(3.25) \quad (m^2 - n^2) a_{m,n}^4 = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ a_{m,n+2}^2 + \frac{2}{\varepsilon_{n-2}} a_{m,n-2}^2 + [(2 - \varepsilon_{n-1}) - 4l(e)] a_{m,n}^0 \right\}.$$  

Here we find a total of five nonzero expansion coefficients corresponding to $n = m - 4$, $n = m - 2$, $n = m$, $n = m + 2$ and $n = m + 4$. Setting $n = m$ and substituting (3.20) into (3.25), yields:

$$l(e) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{32(m^2-1)}, & m = 0 \text{ or } m \geq 3, \\ \frac{5}{192}, & m = 2, \\ -\frac{1}{128}, & m = 1 \end{cases}$$  

$$\alpha_{m,m}^4 \neq 0.$$
Setting \( n = m - 4, n = m - 2, n = m + 2 \) and \( n = m + 4 \) in (3.25) leads to

\[
\alpha_4^{m,m-4} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{512(m-2)(m-1)}{m^4}, & m \geq 4, \\
0, & m < 4,
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\alpha_4^{m,m-2} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{-256(m^2-1)(m-1)}{m^2}, & m = 2 \text{ or } m \geq 4, \\
\frac{128(m^2-1)}{m^2}, & m = 3, \\
0, & m < 2,
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\alpha_4^{m,m+2} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{512}, & m \geq 2, \\
\frac{1}{256}, & m = 1, \\
\frac{1}{128}, & m = 0,
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\alpha_4^{m,m+4} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{512}, & m \geq 1, \\
\frac{1}{512}, & m = 0,
\end{cases}
\]

respectively. In equation (3.27), the value of \( \alpha_4^{m,m} \) is generally nonzero. The appropriate value is determined by the normalization given by (3.14). Substitution of (3.28), (3.29), (3.30) and (3.31) into (3.14) \( (r = 2) \) leads to:

\[
\alpha_4^{m,m} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{-m^4 - 3m^2 + 14}{256(m^2-1)^2(m^2-4)}, & m \geq 4, \\
\frac{17}{20480}, & m = 3, \\
\frac{29}{18432}, & m = 2, \\
\frac{5}{3072}, & m = 1, \\
\frac{5}{512}, & m = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

The remaining expansion coefficients, \( \alpha_4^{r,m} \ (r \geq 3) \), are determined by equating coefficients of \( c^{2r} \) in (3.15), substituting previously determined \( l \)'s and expansion coefficients, and determining \( \alpha_4^{r,m} \) by the normalization requirement of (3.14).

The power series expansions of the even Mathieu functions (i.e. in terms of the \( \alpha \) expansion coefficients) are obtained by substitution of (3.8) into (3.1) and (3.2), respectively

\[
\text{Se}_m(c, v) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_4^{2r,m,2k+p} \cos (2k + p) v \right\} c^{2r},
\]

\[
\text{Re}^{(1)}_m(c, u) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} (D_m)_{-1}^{-1} \times \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} j^{m-(2k+p)} \alpha_4^{2r,m,2k+p} [J_{k+p}(x_1)J_k(x_2) + pJ_k(x_1)J_{k+p}(x_2)] \right\} c^{2r}.
\]
Setting \(2k + p = m \pm 2q\) and keeping in mind the expansion coefficients which are equal to zero for all \(m\), equations (3.36), (3.33), and (3.34) become, respectively,

\[
\alpha^{2r}_{m,m} = - \sum_{q=1}^{r} \left\{ \alpha^{2r}_{m,m-2q} + \alpha^{2r}_{m,m+2q} \right\},
\]

\[
\cos \left( \frac{m \pi}{2} \right) \cos \left( \frac{q \pi}{2} \right) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } m \text{ and } q \text{ are both even}, \\
-1 & \text{if } m \text{ and } q \text{ are both odd}, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\alpha^{2r}_{m,m} = - \sum_{q=1}^{r} \left\{ \alpha^{2r}_{m,m-2q} + \alpha^{2r}_{m,m+2q} \right\},
\]

\[
\sum_{q=1}^{r} \left\{ \alpha^{2r}_{m,m-2q} \right\} = 0.
\]

\[
\sum_{q=1}^{r} \left\{ \alpha^{2r}_{m,m+2q} \right\} = 0.
\]

\[
\cos \left( \frac{m \pi}{2} \right) \cos \left( \frac{q \pi}{2} \right) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } m \text{ and } q \text{ are both even}, \\
-1 & \text{if } m \text{ and } q \text{ are both odd}, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\sum_{q=1}^{r} \left\{ \alpha^{2r}_{m,m-2q} \right\} = 0.
\]

\[
\sum_{q=1}^{r} \left\{ \alpha^{2r}_{m,m+2q} \right\} = 0.
\]

\[
\cos \left( \frac{m \pi}{2} \right) \cos \left( \frac{q \pi}{2} \right) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } m \text{ and } q \text{ are both even}, \\
-1 & \text{if } m \text{ and } q \text{ are both odd}, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\sum_{q=1}^{r} \left\{ \alpha^{2r}_{m,m-2q} \right\} = 0.
\]

\[
\sum_{q=1}^{r} \left\{ \alpha^{2r}_{m,m+2q} \right\} = 0.
\]

\[
\cos \left( \frac{m \pi}{2} \right) \cos \left( \frac{q \pi}{2} \right) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } m \text{ and } q \text{ are both even}, \\
-1 & \text{if } m \text{ and } q \text{ are both odd}, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\sum_{q=1}^{r} \left\{ \alpha^{2r}_{m,m-2q} \right\} = 0.
\]

\[
\sum_{q=1}^{r} \left\{ \alpha^{2r}_{m,m+2q} \right\} = 0.
\]

\[
\cos \left( \frac{m \pi}{2} \right) \cos \left( \frac{q \pi}{2} \right) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } m \text{ and } q \text{ are both even}, \\
-1 & \text{if } m \text{ and } q \text{ are both odd}, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\sum_{q=1}^{r} \left\{ \alpha^{2r}_{m,m-2q} \right\} = 0.
\]

\[
\sum_{q=1}^{r} \left\{ \alpha^{2r}_{m,m+2q} \right\} = 0.
\]
The power series expansions for the first three terms of the even Mathieu functions can finally be obtained by substitution of (3.18), (3.22)-(3.24), (3.28)-(3.32), and (3.38)-(3.43) into the final expressions given by (3.5), (3.6), (3.36) and (3.37).

4. Expansions of the odd functions

The determination of the power series expansions for the odd Mathieu functions follows the same procedure as for the even Mathieu functions with minor changes throughout the derivations. The odd solutions of (2.1) are expressed by the sine series:

\[ S_m(c, u) = \sum_{k=(1-p)}^{\infty} F_{2k+p}^m \sin (2k + p)v \quad (m = 1, 2, 3, ...), \]

and odd solutions of (2.2) are expressed by the product Bessel series

\[ R^{(1)}_m(c, u) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_{k=(1-p)}^{\infty} j^{m-(2k+p)} F_{2k+p}^m \left[ J_{k+1}(x_1) J_{k-1+p}(x_2) - J_{k-1+p}(x_1) J_{k+1}(x_2) \right], \]

where the products of Bessel functions are introduced to increase the convergence rate \[36\]. The odd radial Mathieu functions of the second kind are expressed as:

\[ R^{(2)}_m(c, u) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_{k=(1-p)}^{\infty} j^{m-(2k+p)} F_{2k+p}^m \left[ N_{k+1}(x_1) N_{k-1+p}(x_2) - N_{k-1+p}(x_1) N_{k+1}(x_2) \right]. \]

In equations (4.1)–(4.3), \( p = 0 \) if \( m \) is even and \( p = 1 \) if \( m \) is odd. The arguments of the Bessel functions in (4.2) and (4.3) are given by equation (3.4). The odd radial Mathieu functions of the third and fourth kind are defined by a linear combination of (4.2) and (4.3) \[36\]:

\[ R^{(3)}_m(c, u) = R^{(1)}_m(c, u) + jR^{(2)}_m(c, u), \]
\[ R^{(4)}_m(c, u) = R^{(1)}_m(c, u) - jR^{(2)}_m(c, u). \]

The expansion coefficients in (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) and the odd characteristic values are determined by the following second order recurrence relation \[22\]:

\[ (-n^2 + b^{(c)}_m) F^m_n = -\frac{c^2}{4} \left[ F^m_{n+2} + F^m_{n-2} - (2 - \epsilon_{n-1}) F^m_n \right] = 0, \quad m, n \geq 1. \]

Here \( n = 2k + p \), the Neumann factor has the same meaning as it did for the even functions and the expansion coefficients \( F^m_n = 0 \) for \( n \geq 0 \). The \( F^m_n(c) \) are expanded in a power series valid for small values of \( c \) and have the form

\[ F^m_n(c) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} b^{2r}_{m,n} c^{2r}, \]
where $\beta_{m,-n}^{2r} = 0$ for $n \geq 0$. When $c \to 0$ in (2.1), the odd harmonic solutions are given by:

$$\text{(4.8)} \quad \text{So}_m(0, v) = B \sin mv.$$ 

Substituting (4.7) into (4.1) and setting $c = 0$, we see that the expansion coefficients $eta_{m,m}^{0} = B$ and $eta_{m,n}^{0} = 0 \ (n \neq m)$ so that the only nonzero coefficient in (4.1) is $F_{m}^{0}(0) = B$. The constant $B$ will later be determined by the normalization.

The power series expansions for the odd characteristic values have the following form [22]:

$$\text{(4.9)} \quad b_{m}(c, v) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} l_{2j}^{(o)} c^{2j},$$

where $l_{2j}^{(o)}$ are odd expansion coefficients and $l_{0}^{(o)}(c) = m^{2}$, since $b_{m}(0) = m^{2}$ when $c \to 0$ in (2.1).

The normalization, used by Stratton and Chu, is chosen so that [37]:

$$\left[ \frac{d}{dv} \text{So}_{m}(c, v) \right]_{v=0} = 1,$$

or similarly:

$$\text{(4.10)} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k + p) F_{2k+p}^{m}(c) = 1;$$

Substitution of (4.7) into (4.10) leads to

$$\text{(4.11)} \quad \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k + p) \beta_{m,2k+p}^{2r} c^{2r} = 1.$$

From (4.11) and the comments above, the normalization is satisfied by the following:

$$\text{(4.12)} \quad \beta_{m,m}^{0} = \frac{1}{m} \quad (r = 0, \quad B = \frac{1}{m}),$$

$$\text{(4.13)} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k + p) \beta_{m,2k+p}^{2r} = 0 \quad (r \geq 1).$$

The expansion coefficients are obtained by substituting (4.7) and (4.9) into (4.6) and equating coefficients of like powers of $c$. The normalization is then satisfied by use of (4.12) and (4.13). Substituting (4.7) and (4.9) into (4.6) leads to:

$$\text{(4.14)} \quad \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (m^{2} - n^{2}) \beta_{m,n}^{2r} c^{2r}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \beta_{m,n+2}^{2s} + \beta_{m,n-2}^{2s} - (2 - \varepsilon_{n-1}) \beta_{m,n}^{2s+2} - \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} l_{2j}^{(o)} \beta_{m,n}^{2p} c^{2(p+j)}.$$

Equating coefficients of $c^{0} \ (r = 0)$ in (4.14) leads to:

$$\text{(4.15)} \quad (m^{2} - n^{2}) \beta_{m,n}^{0} = 0.$$ 

Equation (4.15) restates that $\beta_{m,m}^{0}(n = m)$ is the only nonzero coefficient when $r = 0$. Since (4.15) is satisfied for all values of $\beta_{m,m}^{0}$, it must be determined by the
normalization given by (4.12) \((\beta_{m,m}^0 = \frac{1}{m})\). Therefore, the first nonzero expansion coefficients correspond to \(n = m\) and are given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
I_0^{(0)} &= m^2, \\
\beta_{m,m}^0 &= \frac{1}{m}.
\end{align*}
\]

Equating coefficients of \(c^2 (r = 1)\) in (4.14) leads to:

\[
(m^2 - n^2) \beta_{m,n}^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \beta_{m,n+2}^0 + \beta_{m,n-2}^0 - [(2 - \varepsilon_{n-1}) + 4I_2^{(0)}] \beta_{m,n}^0 \right\}.
\]

In this case, all expansion coefficients are equal to zero for all \(m\) except those corresponding to \(n = m - 2, n = m, n = m + 2\). Substituting these values of \(n\) into (4.18) leads to:

\[
\begin{align*}
I_2^{(0)} &= \frac{\varepsilon_{m-1} - 2}{4}, \\
\beta_{m,m}^2 &\neq 0, \\
\beta_{m,m-2}^2 &= \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{16(m-1)}, & m \geq 3, \\
0, & m < 3,
\end{cases} \\
\beta_{m,m+2}^2 &= -\frac{1}{16m(m+1)}, & m \geq 1,
\end{align*}
\]

respectively. In equation (4.20), the value of \(\beta_{m,m}^2\) is generally nonzero. The appropriate value is determined by the normalization given by (4.13). Substitution of (4.21) and (4.22) into (4.13) \((r = 1)\) leads to:

\[
\beta_{m,m}^2 = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{8m(m^2-1)}, & m \geq 3, \\
\frac{1}{48}, & m = 2, \\
\frac{3}{32}, & m = 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Equating coefficients of \(c^4 (r = 2)\) in (4.14) leads to:

\[
(m^2 - n^2) \beta_{m,n}^4 = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \beta_{m,n+2}^2 + \beta_{m,n-2}^2 - [(2 - \varepsilon_{n-1}) + 4I_2^{(0)}] \beta_{m,n}^2 - 4I_4^{(0)} \beta_{m,n}^0 \right\}.
\]

Here we find a total of five nonzero expansion coefficients corresponding to \(n = m - 4, n = m - 2, n = m, n = m + 2\) and \(n = m + 4\). Setting \(n = m\) and substituting (4.19) in (4.24), we find that:

\[
I_4^{(0)} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{32(m^2-1)}, & m \geq 3, \\
-\frac{1}{192}, & m = 2, \\
-\frac{1}{128}, & m = 1.
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\beta_{m,m}^4 \neq 0.
\]
Setting \( n = m - 4, n = m - 2, n = m + 2 \) and \( n = m + 4 \) in (4.24) leads to

\[
\beta^4_{m,m-4} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{512m(m-2)(m-1)}, & m \geq 5, \\
0, & m < 5,
\end{cases}
\]

(4.27)

\[
\beta^4_{m,m-2} = \begin{cases} 
-\frac{1}{6144}, & m = 3, \\
0, & m < 3,
\end{cases}
\]

(4.28)

\[
\beta^4_{m,m+2} = \begin{cases} 
-\frac{1}{512}, & m = 1, \\
-\frac{1}{512}, & m = 2, \\
-\frac{1}{6144}, & m = 3, \\
\frac{1}{256}, & m = 4, \\
\frac{m^4-15m^2+26}{256(m^2-1)^2(m^2-4)}, & m \geq 5,
\end{cases}
\]

(4.29)

\[
\beta^4_{m,m+4} = \frac{1}{512m(m+2)(m+1)}, \quad m \geq 1,
\]

(4.30)

respectively. In equation (4.26), the value of \( \beta^4_{m,m} \) is generally nonzero. The appropriate value of this constant is determined by the normalization given by (4.13).

Substitution of (4.27), (4.28), (4.29) and (4.30) into (4.13) \((r=2)\) leads to:

\[
\beta^4_{m,m} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{m^4-15m^2+26}{256(m^2-1)^2(m^2-4)}, & m \geq 5, \\
-\frac{2}{36800}, & m = 4, \\
\frac{7}{61440}, & m = 3, \\
\frac{23}{56992}, & m = 2, \\
\frac{13}{3072}, & m = 1.
\end{cases}
\]

(4.31)

The remaining expansion coefficients, \( \beta^2_{m,n} \) \((r \geq 3)\), are determined by equating coefficients of \( c^{2r} \) in (4.14), substituting previously determined \( l \)'s and expansion coefficients, and determining \( \beta^2_{m,n} \) by the normalization requirement of (4.13). The power series expansions of the odd Mathieu functions (i.e. in terms of the \( \beta \) expansion coefficients) are obtained by substitution of (4.7) into (4.1) and (4.2), respectively,

\[
S_{m}(c, v) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=(1-p)}^{\infty} \beta^{2r}_{m,2k+p} \sin(2k+p)v \right\} c^{2r},
\]

(4.32)

\[
R_{m}^{(1)}(c, u) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} (F_{2-p})^{-1} \times \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=(1-p)}^{\infty} j^{m-(2k+p)} \beta^{2r}_{m,2k+p} [J_{k+1}(x_{1})J_{k-1+p}(x_{2}) - J_{k-1+p}(x_{1})J_{k+1}(x_{2})] \right\} c^{2r}.
\]

(4.33)
Setting $2k + p = m \pm 2q$ and keeping in mind the expansion coefficients which are equal to zero for all $m$, equations (4.13), (4.32) and (4.33) become respectively,

\begin{align}
\beta_{2m,m}^{2r} &= -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{q=1}^{r} \left\{(m-2q)\beta_{m,m-2q}^{2r} + (m+2q)\beta_{m,m+2q}^{2r}\right\} \quad (r \geq 1), \\
S_o m(c, v) &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left[\beta_{m,m}^{2r} \sin mv + \sum_{q=1}^{r} \left\{\beta_{m,m-2q}^{2r} \sin [(m-2q)v] + \beta_{m,m+2q}^{2r} \sin [(m+2q)v]\right\}\right] c^{2r}, \\
R_o m^{(1)}(c, u) &= \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_{m,m}^{2r} J_o(c, u) + \sum_{q=1}^{r} \left\{\beta_{m,m-2q}^{2r} J_\gamma(c, u) + \beta_{m,m+2q}^{2r} J_\lambda(c, u)\right\}}{\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \beta_{m,2-p}^{2r}} c^{2r},
\end{align}

where the following substitutions have been made in (4.36):

\begin{align}
J_o x(c, u) &= J_{x+}(x_1) J_{x-}(x_2) - J_{x-}(x_1) J_{x+}(x_2), \\
\nu \pm &= \frac{m \pm p \pm 2}{2}, \quad \gamma \pm = \frac{m - 2q \pm p \pm 2}{2}, \quad \lambda \pm = \frac{m + 2q \pm p \pm 2}{2},
\end{align}

The summations in equations (4.35) and (4.36) extended from $q=1$ to $q=r$ are equal to zero when $r=0$. In practice, one evaluates

\begin{align}
R_o m^{(1)} t(c, u) &= \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_{m,m}^{2r} J_o(c, u) + \sum_{q=1}^{r} \left\{\beta_{m,m-2q}^{2r} J_\gamma(c, u) + \beta_{m,m+2q}^{2r} J_\lambda(c, u)\right\}}{\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \beta_{m,2-p}^{2r}} c^{2r},
\end{align}

where $t = (\frac{m}{2} - 1 + N)$ or $t = (\frac{m}{2} + 1 + N)$ when $m$ is even or odd, respectively, and $N \geq 1$ to obtain a good approximation.

The odd radial Mathieu functions of the second kind along with the derivatives of the odd radial Mathieu functions of the first and second kind (with respect to $u$) are obtained by replacing $J_\alpha c(c, u)$ in (4.36) with $N_o c(c, u)$, $J_o' c(c, u)$ and $N_o' c(c, u)$,
respectively, which are given by:

(4.40) \[ N_{\alpha}(c, u) = N_{+}(x_1)J_{x-}(x_2) - N_{-}(x_1)J_{x+}(x_2), \]

(4.41) \[ J_{\alpha}^{\pm}(c, u) = \frac{1}{2} [x_1 \{ J_{x-}(x_2) [J_{x+1}(x_1) - J_{x-1}(x_1)] ] \\
- J_{x+}(x_2) [J_{x+1}(x_1) - J_{x-1}(x_1)] \\
- x_2 \{ J_{x+}(x_1) [J_{x-1}(x_2) - J_{x+1}(x_2)] \\
- J_{x-}(x_1) [J_{x+1}(x_2) - J_{x-1}(x_2)] \}, \]

(4.42) \[ N_{\alpha}^{\pm}(c, u) = \frac{1}{2} [x_1 \{ J_{x-}(x_2) [N_{x-1}(x_1) - N_{x+1}(x_1)] \\
- J_{x+}(x_2) [N_{x-1}(x_1) - N_{x+1}(x_1)] \\
- x_2 \{ N_{x+}(x_1) [J_{x-1}(x_2) - J_{x+1}(x_2)] \\
- N_{x-}(x_1) [J_{x+1}(x_2) - J_{x-1}(x_2)] \}. \]

The power series expansions for the first three terms of the odd Mathieu functions can finally be obtained by substitution of (4.17), (4.21), (4.29), (4.27), (4.31) and (4.37)-(4.42) into the final expressions given by (4.4), (4.5), (4.35) and (4.36).

5. Equivalence with the method of Kokkorakis and Roumeliotis

The same results for the determination of the expansion coefficients for the power series expansions of the Mathieu functions can also be obtained using an alternate method introduced by Kokkorakis and Roumeliotis in [22]. The results given in [22] are specifically derived for the angular functions, although they may also be used to determine the radial functions. Since the new derivations are shorter and simpler to understand, the advantages of the new method will be shown in the following, but only for the even angular functions since the derivations for the remaining functions are similar.

Both methods begin with the same definitions for the power series expansions of the even angular functions, given by (3.1), where the expansion coefficients are determined by the second order recurrence relation given by (3.7). Also, the power series expansion for \( b_m^{(n)}(c) \) is the same in both methods and given by (3.10). The primary difference in [22] is the choice of the power series expression for the \( D_m^{n}(c) \) given by

(5.1) \[ D_m^{n} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{2q,2k} e^{2q+2k} D_m^{n} \quad (q = 0, 1, 2, ...), \]

where \( n = m \pm 2q \). The \( D_m^{n}(c) \) are also expanded in a power series

(5.2) \[ D_m^{n}(c) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} g_{2l} c^{2l}, \]

where the normalization is satisfied by setting \( g_0 = 1 \), and

(5.3) \[ g_{2l} = -\sum_{q=1}^{l-q} \sum_{k=0}^{l-q} g_{2k} \left[ \alpha_{2q,2l(q-k)} + \alpha_{2q,2l(q-k)} \right] \quad (l = 1, 2, ...). \]

Comparing the expressions for \( D_m^{n}(c) \) in both methods, we see that (3.8) is an
infinite series where as (5.4) contains a product of two infinite series. This choice for the $D_n^m(c)$ leads to very lengthy algebraic calculations for the determination of the expansion coefficients that can be avoided by alternately using (3.8).

In this method, the expansion coefficients are determined by substituting (3.10) and (5.1) into (3.7) and equating coefficients of like powers of $c$ to zero. Once these substitutions are made, the $l_{2j}^{(c)}$ are determined in a straightforward manner by setting $n = m$. On the other hand, the $\alpha_{2q,2k}^\pm$ are determined by setting $n = m \pm 2q$, which leads to the following recurrence relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
(5.4) \quad \alpha_{2q,0}^\pm &= f^\pm(2q - 2)\alpha_{2q-2,0}^\pm, \quad q \geq 1, \quad k = 0, \\
(5.5) \quad \alpha_{2q,2}^\pm &= f^\pm(2q - 2)\alpha_{2q-2,2}^\pm + g^\pm(2q)\alpha_{2q,0}^\pm, \quad q \geq 1, \quad k = 1, \\
(5.6) \quad \alpha_{2q,2k}^\pm &= f^\pm(2q - 2)\alpha_{2q-2,2k}^\pm + g^\pm(2q)\alpha_{2q,2k-2}^\pm \\
&\quad + t^\pm(2q) \sum_{j=2}^k l_{2j}^{(c)} \alpha_{2q,2k-2j}^\pm + p^\pm(2q + 2)\alpha_{2q+2,2k-4}^\pm, \quad q \geq 1, \quad k \geq 2,
\end{align*}
\]

where the following substitutions have been made:

\[
\begin{align*}
(5.7) \quad t^\pm(2q) &= \pm \frac{1}{4q(m \pm q)}, \\
(5.8) \quad f^\pm(2q - 2) &= -\frac{1}{2\varepsilon m+2q-2} t^\pm(2q), \quad f^-(2q - 2) = -\frac{1}{4} t^-(2q), \\
(5.9) \quad g^+(2q) &= \frac{2 - \varepsilon m-1}{4} t^+(2q), \quad g^-(2q) = -\frac{2 - \varepsilon m-2q-1}{4} t^-(2q), \\
(5.10) \quad p^+(2q + 2) &= -\frac{1}{4} t^+(2q), \quad p^-(2q + 2) = -\frac{1}{2\varepsilon m-2q-2} t^-(2q).'
\end{align*}
\]

The derivations for the expansion coefficients determined by (5.4)-(5.6) are straightforward when $k$ is small but become much more tedious as $k$ increases. Also, the derivations which lead to equations (5.3)-(5.10) are very tedious in itself. The final expression for the power series expansion of the even angular Mathieu functions is then given by:

\[
(5.11) \quad S_{m}(c, v) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} q_{2l} c^{2l} \\
\times \left\{ \cos mv + \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \alpha_{2q,2k}^+ \cos [(m + 2q)v] + \alpha_{2q,2k}^- \cos [(m - 2q)v] \right\} c^{2(q + k)} \right\}.
\]

The first three terms are obtained by expanding (5.11) and setting the upper limits of the summations to the minimum values which lead to powers of $c^0$, $c^2$, and $c^4$. Expanding (5.11) and grouping together terms of like powers of $c$ leads to:
\begin{equation}
S_{\alpha}(c, v) \approx 2 \sum_{l=0}^{2} g_{2l} c^{2l}
+ \sum_{q=1}^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{1} \left\{ \alpha_{2q,2k}^{2q,2k} \cos \left[ (m + 2q) v \right] + \alpha_{2q,2k}^{2q,2k} \cos \left[ (m - 2q) v \right] \right\} c^{2(q+k)}.
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
S_{\alpha}(c, v)
= g_{0} \cos m v + \left\{ g_{0} \alpha_{2,0}^{2,0} \cos \left[ (m - 2) v \right] + g_{2} \cos m v + g_{0} \alpha_{4,0}^{4,0} \cos \left[ (m + 2) v \right] \right\} c^{2}
+ \left\{ g_{0} \alpha_{4,2}^{4,2} + g_{2} \alpha_{4,0}^{4,0} \cos \left[ (m + 4) v \right] \right\} c^{4} + O(c^{6}).
\end{equation}

The meaning of the expansion coefficients used in both methods can be compared by setting the upper limit to \( r = 2 \) in (3.36) and expanding the summation:

\begin{equation}
S_{\alpha}(c, v) = \alpha_{0,0}^{0} \cos m v
+ \left\{ \alpha_{2,0}^{2,0} \cos \left[ (m - 2) v \right] + \alpha_{2,0}^{2,0} \cos m v + \alpha_{4,0}^{4,0} \cos \left[ (m + 2) v \right] \right\} c^{2}
+ \left\{ \alpha_{2,2}^{2,2} + \alpha_{2,0}^{2,0} \cos \left[ (m + 4) v \right] \right\} c^{4} + O(c^{6}).
\end{equation}

Comparing (5.13) to (5.14) leads to the following relationships for the expansion coefficients:

\begin{align}
\alpha_{2r, m}^{r} &= g_{2r}, \quad r \geq 0, \\
\alpha_{2m, \pm}^{2m, \pm} &= g_{2} \alpha_{2,0}^{2,0}, \\
\alpha_{4m, \pm}^{4m, \pm} &= g_{4} \alpha_{4,0}^{4,0} + g_{2m, \pm}^{2m, \pm}, \\
\alpha_{4m, \pm}^{4m, \pm} &= g_{4} \alpha_{4,0}^{4,0}.
\end{align}

where the right side of equations (5.15) - (5.18) contain the expansion coefficients used in [22]. It is interesting to note the relationship between the \( g_{2l} \) in [22] and the \( \alpha_{2m, m}^{r} \). It is shown in (5.15) that these expansion coefficients are exactly equal. This should come as no surprise since they are determined by the normalization in both methods when \( n = m \). Also from equations (5.10) - (5.18), we see that in general, the \( \alpha_{2m, n}^{2m, n} \) when \( n \neq m \) are related to the expansion coefficients obtained in [22] by the sum of products of the \( g_{2l} \) and \( \alpha_{2m, \pm}^{2m, \pm} \). This is where it becomes apparent that the choice for the \( D_{m}^{n}(c) \) given by (3.8) leads directly to the natural form of the power series expansion for \( S_{\alpha}(c, v) \).

6. Validation

As an example of validation, we computed radial and angular Mathieu functions using the power series expansions given in this article and the numerical subroutines described in [15] that were independently validated in [10] [11]. In all the following comparisons, the value \( c = 0.9 \) is chosen for the small parameter because it provides a more challenging test than others with smaller values of \( c \).

Even radial functions of the first kind are examined in Fig. 1 for \( m = 10 \) and \( 0 \leq u \leq 5 \). We evaluate \( \text{Re}_{m}^{(1)}(c, u) \) of (3.40) and \( \text{Re}_{m}^{(1)}(c, u) \) with the numerical subroutines of [15]. Fig. 1(a) shows \( \text{Re}_{m}^{(1)}(c, u) \) when \( t = 5 \) (dotted line), \( t = 6 \).
(dashed line), and \( \text{Re}^{(1)}_{m}(c, u) \). Fig. 1(b) shows \( \text{Re}^{(1)}_{m}(c, u) \) with \( t = 7 \) (dashed line) and \( \text{Re}^{(1)}_{m}(c, u) \). When 7 terms are considered, the small parameter expansion is not distinguishable from the reference solution obtained with independent numerical subroutines. To emphasize differences between the two evaluations, Fig. 3(a) shows the difference between \( \text{Re}^{(1)}_{m}(c, u) \) with \( t = 7 \), and \( \text{Re}^{(1)}_{m}(c, u) \).

Odd radial functions of the first kind are examined in Fig. 2 when \( m = 3 \) and \( 0 \leq u \leq 5 \). We evaluate \( \text{Ro}^{(1)}_{m}(c, u) \) of (4.39) and \( \text{Ro}^{(1)}_{m}(c, u) \) with numerical subroutines of [15]. Fig. 2(a) shows \( \text{Ro}^{(1)}_{m}(c, u) \) with \( t = 1 \) (dotted line) and \( t = 2 \) (dashed line) and \( \text{Ro}^{(1)}_{m}(c, u) \). Fig. 2(b) shows \( \text{Ro}^{(1)}_{m}(c, u) \) with \( t = 3 \) (dashed line) and \( \text{Ro}^{(1)}_{m}(c, u) \). When only 3 terms are computed, the curves shown in Fig. 2(b) overlap; however, to emphasize their differences Fig. 3(b) shows a plot of the difference between \( \text{Ro}^{(1)}_{m}(c, u) \) and \( \text{Ro}^{(1)}_{m}(c, u) \).

These two comparisons emphasize the general behavior that the number of terms \( t \) increases with the order \( m \). This is obvious by the exam of Fig. 1(b) and the difference shown in Fig. 3(a) for \( m = 10 \) versus Fig. 2(b) and the difference shown in Fig. 3(b) when \( m = 3 \). Additionally, when \( u = 0 \), \( \text{Re}^{(1)}_{m}(c, u) = 0 \), from Fig. 1(b) and \( d\text{Re}^{(1)}_{m}(c, u)/du = 0 \), as it may be inferred from Fig. 2(b). The last two features provide further confidence that our results are correct.

Angular functions are examined in Fig. 4 for \( m = 10 \) and \( 0 \leq v \leq 2\pi \). We indicate with the symbol \( \text{Se}^{t}_{m}(c, v) \) the evaluation of the first \( t \) terms of equation (3.36) and with \( \text{Se}_{m}(c, v) \) the evaluation with the numerical subroutines of [15]. Fig. 4(a) shows \( \text{Se}^{t}_{m}(c, v) \) evaluated with \( t = 3 \) (dashed line) and \( \text{Se}_{m}(c, v) \) (solid line). Since the two curves overlap, we need to examine the difference \( \text{Se}^{t}_{m}(c, v) - \text{Se}_{m}(c, v) \) shown in Fig. 4(b). It is clear that only \( t = 3 \) terms provide an excellent agreement with the reference solution. Additionally, Fig. 4(a) shows that \( \text{Se}_{m}(c, v) = 1 \) when \( v = 0 \), which satisfies the requested Stratton-Morse-Chu normalization.

A validation for odd angular functions is not reported here because it leads to equivalent results and is omitted for brevity.

**Figure 1.** Comparison between the evaluation of the small parameter expansion of the even radial functions of the first kind given in equation (3.37) and the numerical subroutines described in [15] for \( m = 10 \).
Figure 2. Comparison between the evaluation of the small parameter expansion of the odd radial functions of the first kind given in equation (4.36) and the numerical subroutines described in [15] for $m = 3$.

Figure 3. Even radial function of the first kind: comparison between small parameter approximation and numerical subroutines.

Figure 4. Evaluation of the even angular function for $m = 10$. 
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7. Conclusion

This work provides explicit power series expansions for the Mathieu radial functions when the parameter $0 \leq c < 1$ and using the Stratton-Morse-Chu normalization. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, this is the first time this result is given in the literature.

Explicit power series expansions are also given for the Mathieu angular functions and the new results are in agreement with those previously obtained by Kokkorakis and Roumeliotis in [22]. The method used to obtain the power series expansions is an improvement over the one presented in [22] and it has three advantages:

1. It is more straightforward and direct to apply.
2. It leads to a linear complexity of the process to obtain the expansion coefficients.
3. It leads to an easier software implementation.

Numerical validations show the good agreement of the new power series expansions with other numerical software to evaluate Mathieu functions.

Finally, as an example, the application that motivated this work is the extraction of the small parameter expansions of the results obtained by Uslenghi in [38] and Valentino and Erricolo in [39]. In that context, the small parameter expansions correspond to low-frequency approximations, which could then be compared with quasi-static methods, such as those derived by Hansen and Yaghjian [19].
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