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ABSTRACT. In this paper, we propose a composite generalized Laguerre-Legendre spectral method for partial differential equations on two-dimensional unbounded domains, which are not of standard types. Some approximation results are established, which are the mixed generalized Laguerre-Legendre approximations coupled with domain decomposition. These results play an important role in the related spectral methods. As an important application, the composite spectral scheme with domain decomposition is provided for the Fokker-Planck equation in an infinite channel. The convergence of the proposed scheme is proved. An efficient algorithm is described. Numerical results show the spectral accuracy in the space of this approach and coincide well with theoretical analysis. The approximation results and techniques developed in this paper are applicable to many other problems on unbounded domains. In particular, some quasi-orthogonal approximations are very appropriate for solving PDEs, which behave like parabolic equations in some directions, and behave like hyperbolic equations in other directions. They are also useful for various spectral methods with domain decompositions, and numerical simulations of exterior problems.

1. Introduction

The spectral method has developed rapidly in the past three decades. Its main merit is its high accuracy. Along with extensive applications of Legendre and Chebyshev spectral methods for bounded domains (cf. [1, 2, 6, 9, 11, 12]), considerable progress has been made recently in spectral methods for unbounded domains. Among these methods, a direct and commonly used approach is based on certain orthogonal approximations on infinite intervals, i.e., the Hermite and Laguerre spectral methods (see, e.g., [5, 10, 13, 16, 18, 23, 24, 28, 32]). Some authors also developed composite Laguerre-Legendre spectral methods for the half line and mixed Laguerre-Legendre spectral methods for an infinite strip; see [9, 15, 21, 31].
In this paper, we investigate the numerical method for the Fokker-Planck equation in an infinite channel, which was first introduced by Fokker and Planck to describe the Brownian motion of particles, and has been used in a number of different fields, such as solid-state physics, quantum optics, chemical physics, theoretical biology and circuit theory; e.g., see [3, 27]. The theoretical analysis of this equation can be found in [7, 26].

Let \( v \) be the velocity of the particles, \( R = \{ v \mid -\infty < v < \infty \} \) and \( \Omega = I \times R \) with the boundary \( \Gamma = \{ (x, v) \mid |x| = 1 \} \). Moreover, \( \Gamma_1 = \{ (x, v) \mid x = -1, v \geq 0 \text{ or } x = 1, v \leq 0 \} \) and \( \Gamma_2 = \Gamma - \Gamma_1 \). For fixedness, we focus on Kramers’ model with the distribution function in position and velocity space, describing the Brownian motion of particles in an external field with quadratic potential. We also assume that the wall is absorbing. More precisely, the probability of current at \( x = -1 \) vanishes for the particles leaving the wall into the domain, i.e., for the particles with positive velocity. Similarly, we have the absorbing wall at \( x = 1 \), for the particles with negative velocity. We denote by \( W(x, v, t) \) the probability density. The positive constants \( k, T, m \) are the Boltzmann’s constant, the absolute temperature, and the mass of particles, respectively. Let \( \mu = \frac{kT}{m} \) and \( \beta_0 > 0 \) be the particle relaxation time. \( \gamma > 0 \) is the constant in the potential of the external field. For simplicity, we denote \( \frac{\partial W}{\partial v} \) by \( \partial_v W \), etc. Then the considered problem is of the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W(x, v, t) + v \partial_v W(x, v, t) - \beta_0 \partial_v (vW(x, v, t)) &+ \gamma x \partial_v W(x, v, t) - \beta_0 \mu \partial_v^2 W(x, v, t) = 0, & (x, v) \in \Omega, \ 0 < t \leq T, \\
W(x, v, t) &\rightarrow 0, & (x, v) \text{ on } \Gamma_1, \ 0 < t \leq T, \\
W(x, v, 0) &\equiv W_0(x, v), & |v| \rightarrow \infty, \ 0 < t \leq T, \\
W(x, v, t) &\rightarrow 0, & (x, v) \in \Omega.
\end{align*}
\]

Some numerical algorithms were proposed for solving (1.1). For instance, Cartling [4] provided finite difference schemes, and Moore and Flaherty [25] considered the Galerkin method. In actual computations, we often imposed an artificial boundary and certain artificial boundary condition, which induces additional numerical errors. So it seems reasonable to solve the Fokker-Planck equation directly.

An interesting and challenging problem is how to use the spectral method for various important partial differential equations of non-standard types on unbounded domains, such as (1.1). Tang, Mckee and Reeks [29] used the Hermite spectral method for a simplified one-dimensional model which is a standard parabolic equation. Recently, Fok, Guo and Tang [8] proposed the Hermite spectral-finite difference scheme for (1.1). However, the finite difference approximation in the \( x \)-direction seriously limits the numerical accuracy.

The difficulties of dealing with (1.1) numerically are caused by several facts. Firstly, this problem behaves like a parabolic equation in the \( v \)-direction, and behaves like a hyperbolic equation in the \( x \)-direction. Thereby, we could not design the spectral schemes and analyze the numerical errors in the usual way. Next, this equation looks like different kinds of hyperbolic equations in the \( x \)-directions, for \( v > 0 \) and \( v \leq 0 \), respectively. Consequently, the solution satisfies different kinds of boundary conditions on different subdomains. Therefore, we have to use domain decomposition and different approximations on different unbounded subdomains. Finally, the terms \( \partial_v^2 W(x, v, t) \) and \( \partial_x W(x, v, t) \) in (1.1) possess the coefficients 1
and \( v \) varying from \(-\infty\) to \( \infty \). This matter also brings some difficulties in actual computation and numerical analysis.

To remedy the deficiencies as discussed before, we develop in this paper the composite generalized Laguerre-Legendre spectral method. We establish some results on the composite approximations, which are the mixed approximations by using generalized Laguerre functions and Legendre polynomials, coupled with domain decomposition. By suitably adjusting the parameters involved in the Laguerre functions, we design reasonable spectral schemes with exactly the same weight functions as in the underlying problems, and fit the asymptotic behaviors of exact solutions properly. In particular, the results on certain quasi-orthogonal approximations play an important role in spectral methods for PDEs on unbounded domains, which behave like parabolic equations in some directions and behave like hyperbolic equations in other directions. They are also appropriate for various spectral methods with domain decomposition. As an important application, we propose the composite spectral scheme for (1.1), with the convergence analysis. An efficient algorithm is provided. The numerical results demonstrate its spectral accuracy in space and coincide well with theoretical analysis. The approximation results and techniques developed in this paper are applicable to many other problems of non-standard types on unbounded domains, as well as exterior problems.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we build up some precise results on the composite generalized Laguerre-Legendre approximations. In section 3, we design the composite spectral method for (1.1) and prove its convergence. We also describe the implementation of the proposed method and present some numerical results. The final section is for concluding remarks.

2. Composite generalized Laguerre-Legendre approximations

In this section, we establish the basic results on the composite generalized Laguerre-Legendre approximations.

2.1. Generalized Laguerre approximations. We first recall the generalized Laguerre approximation. Let \( \Lambda_1 = \{ v \mid 0 < v < \infty \} \) and \( \chi(v) \) be a certain weight function. For any integer \( r \geq 0 \),

\[
H^r_{\chi}(\Lambda_1) = \{ u \mid u \text{ is measurable on } \Lambda_1 \text{ and } ||u||_{r,\chi,\Lambda_1} < \infty \},
\]

equipped with the following inner product, semi-norm and norm:

\[
(u, w)_{r,\chi,\Lambda_1} = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq r} \int_{\Lambda_1} \partial^k_v u(v) \partial^k_v w(v) \chi(v) dv,
\]

\[
||u||_{r,\chi,\Lambda_1} = \int_{\Lambda_1} |\partial^r_v u(v)|^2 \chi(v) dv,
\]

\[
||u||_{r,\chi,\Lambda_1} = (u, u)_{r,\chi,\Lambda_1}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

In particular, \( H^0_{\chi}(\Lambda_1) = L^2_{\chi}(\Lambda_1) \), with the inner product \( (u, w)_{\chi, \Lambda_1} \) and the norm \( ||u||_{\chi, \Lambda_1} \). We omit the subscript \( \chi \) in the notation when \( \chi(v) \equiv 1 \).

Let \( \omega_{\alpha, \beta}^1(v) = v^\alpha e^{-\beta v}, \alpha > -1, \beta > 0 \) be the generalized Laguerre weight function. The generalized Laguerre polynomial of degree \( l \) is defined by

\[
L_{\alpha, \beta}^l(v) = \frac{1}{l!} v^{-\alpha} e^{\beta v} \partial^l_v (v^{l+\alpha} e^{-\beta v}).
\]

The set of \( L_{\alpha, \beta}^l(v) \) is a complete \( L^2_{\omega_{\alpha, \beta}}(\Lambda_1) \)-orthogonal system.
For any integer \( N \geq 0 \), \( \mathcal{P}_N(\Lambda_1) \) stands for the set of all polynomials of degree at most \( N \). The orthogonal projection \( P_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_1} : L^2_{\omega_{\alpha,\beta}}(\Lambda_1) \to \mathcal{P}_N(\Lambda_1) \) is defined by
\[
(P_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_1}u - u, \phi)_{\omega_{\alpha,\beta}} + \Lambda_1 = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{P}_N(\Lambda_1).
\]
If \( \partial_r^s u \in L^2_{\omega_{\alpha+r,\beta}}(\Lambda_1) \) and integers \( 0 \leq s \leq r \), then (cf. [22])
\[
||\partial_r^s (P_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_1}u - u)||_{\omega_{\alpha+r,\beta}} \leq c(\beta N)^{-\frac{s}{2}}||\partial_r^s u||_{\omega_{\alpha+r,\beta}}, \quad \Lambda_1.
\]
Hereafter, \( c \) denotes a generic positive constant independent of \( M, N, \beta \) and any function.

To design a proper spectral method for the Fokker-Planck equation and many other problems, we shall use the orthogonal system of generalized Laguerre functions, defined by
\[
\mathcal{L}_l^{(\alpha,\beta)}(v) = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \beta v} L_l^{(\alpha,\beta)}(v), \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]
The set \( \mathcal{L}_l^{(\alpha,\beta)}(v) \) is a complete \( L^2_{\omega_\beta}(\Lambda_1) \)-orthogonal system.

Next, let \( \mathcal{Q}_{N,\beta}(\Lambda_1) = \{ e^{-\frac{1}{2} \beta v} \psi \mid \psi \in \mathcal{P}_N(\Lambda_1) \} \). The orthogonal projection \( \tilde{P}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_1} : L^2_{\omega_\beta}(\Lambda_1) \to \mathcal{Q}_{N,\beta}(\Lambda_1) \) is defined by
\[
(\tilde{P}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_1}u - u, \phi)_{\omega_{\alpha,\beta}} = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{Q}_{N,\beta}(\Lambda_1).
\]
Since \( \tilde{P}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_1}u = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \beta v} P_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_1}(e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta v} u) \), we know from (2.2) that if \( u \in L^2_{\omega_\beta}(\Lambda_1) \), \( \partial_r^s (e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta v} u) \in L^2_{\omega_{\alpha+r,\beta}}(\Lambda_1) \) and \( r \geq 0 \) is an integer, then
\[
||\tilde{P}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_1}u - u||_{\omega_{\alpha,\beta}} \leq c(\beta N)^{-\frac{r}{2}}||\partial_r^s (e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta v} u)||_{\omega_{\alpha+r,\beta}}, \quad \Lambda_1.
\]

**Remark 2.1.** If \( u \in H^r_{\omega_{\alpha+r}}(\Lambda_1) \), then the norm \( ||\partial_r^s (e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta v} u)||_{\omega_{\alpha+r,\beta,\Lambda_1}} \) is finite.

For parabolic equations and differential equations which behave like parabolic equations in the \( v \)-direction, we may approximate the initial state by using the above projection. But for spectral methods coupled with domain decompositions, we have to match the functions on the common boundaries of adjacent subdomains.

To do this, we introduce the spaces
\[
\mathcal{F}(\Lambda_1) = L^2(\Lambda_1) \cap \{ u \mid \text{there exists a finite trace of } u \text{ at } v = 0 \},
\]
\[
\mathcal{Q}_{N,\beta}(\Lambda_1) = \{ \phi \mid \phi \in \mathcal{Q}_{N,\beta}(\Lambda_1) \text{ and } \phi(0) = 0 \}.
\]
The set \( \mathcal{F}(\Lambda_1) \) is meaningful. For instance, if \( u \in L^2(\Lambda_1) \) and \( u \) is continuous near the point \( v = 0 \), then \( u \in \mathcal{F}(\Lambda_1) \). The orthogonal projection \( \tilde{P}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_1} : \mathcal{F}(\Lambda_1) \to \mathcal{Q}_{N,\beta}(\Lambda_1) \) is defined by
\[
(\tilde{P}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_1}u - u, \phi)_{\Lambda_1} = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{Q}_{N,\beta}(\Lambda_1).
\]
For any \( u \in \mathcal{F}(\Lambda_1) \), we set \( \tilde{u}(v) = u(v) - u(0)e^{-\frac{1}{2} \beta v} \) and introduce the quasi-orthogonal projection
\[
\tilde{P}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_1}u(v) = \tilde{P}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_1}\tilde{u}(v) + u(0)e^{-\frac{1}{2} \beta v}.
\]
We now estimate \( ||\tilde{P}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_1}u - u||_{\Lambda_1} \). Firstly, by the projection theorem,
\[
||\tilde{P}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_1}u - \tilde{u}||_{\Lambda_1} \leq ||\phi - \tilde{u}||_{\Lambda_1}, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{Q}_{N,\beta}(\Lambda_1).
\]
We take
\[ \phi(v) = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \beta v} \int_0^v P_{N-1,0,\beta,\Lambda_1} \partial_\xi (e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta \xi} \tilde{u} (\xi)) d\xi \in \mathcal{Q}_{N,\beta} (\Lambda_1). \]

Like the derivation of (3.3) of [15], we can use integration by parts to show that for any \( w \in \mathcal{Q}(\Lambda_1) \cap H^1(\Lambda_1), \)
\[ ||\partial_\nu w||^2_{0,\beta,\Lambda_1} + \frac{1}{4} \beta^2 ||w||^2_{0,\Lambda_1} = ||\partial_\nu (e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta \nu} w)||^2_{0,\beta,\Lambda_1}. \]

Let \( w(v) = \phi(v) - \tilde{u}(v). \) Then, along with (2.2), a direct calculation yields that
\[ ||\phi - \tilde{u}||_{\Lambda_1} \leq c\beta^{-1} ||P_{N-1,0,\beta,\Lambda_1} \partial_\nu (e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta \nu} \tilde{u}) - \partial_\nu (e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta \nu} \tilde{u})||_{\omega_{0,\beta,\Lambda_1}} \]
\[ \leq c\beta^{-1} (\beta N)^{-\frac{v}{2}} ||\partial_\nu^{r+1} (e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta \nu} \tilde{u})||_{\omega_{r,\beta,\Lambda_1}}, \]

whence
\[ ||\partial_0 \tilde{P}_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1} \tilde{u} - \tilde{u}||_{\Lambda_1} \leq c\beta^{-1} (\beta N)^{-\frac{v}{2}} ||\partial_\nu^{r+1} (e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta \nu} \tilde{u})||_{\omega_{r,\beta,\Lambda_1}}. \]

It can be checked that \( \tilde{P}_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1} u - u = \partial_0 \tilde{P}_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1} \tilde{u} - \tilde{u}. \) Therefore, we derive that for integer \( r \geq 0, \)
\[ ||\partial_0 \tilde{P}_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1} u - u||_{\Lambda_1} = ||\tilde{P}_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1} \tilde{u} - \tilde{u}||_{\Lambda_1} \]
\[ \leq c\beta^{-1} (\beta N)^{-\frac{v}{2}} ||\partial_\nu^{r+1} (e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta \nu} \tilde{u})||_{\omega_{r,\beta,\Lambda_1}} \]
\[ = c\beta^{-1} (\beta N)^{-\frac{v}{2}} ||\partial_\nu^{r+1} (e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta \nu} u)||_{\omega_{r,\beta,\Lambda_1}}. \]

**Remark 2.2.** For dealing with the boundary condition at \( v = 0, \) we used the projection \( \partial_0 \tilde{P}_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1} \) to define and analyze the projection \( \tilde{P}_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1} \), instead of the usual projection \( \mathcal{P}_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1}. \) In fact, \( \tilde{P}_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1} \) is an orthogonal projection defined on \( \Lambda_1, \) with the weight function \( e^{-\beta \nu}. \) However, the underlying problem (1.1) is not well-posed in the Sobolev space with such a weight function. Thus, we used the projection \( \partial_0 \tilde{P}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_1} \) to define \( \tilde{P}_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1} \) on \( \Lambda_1, \) and we introduce the projection \( \tilde{P}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_2} \) on \( \Lambda_2 \) similarly, in the next part. They are orthogonal projections with the same weight function as in (1.1), and so are the most appropriate for its numerical simulation. On the other hand, we may approximate the initial value \( W_0 \in L^2_{\nu+1}(\Omega) \) of (1.1) by a combination of such projections. But for smooth \( W_0, \) we can also use a combination of orthogonal projections in a certain weighted \( H^1(\Omega)-\)norm.

In the numerical analysis of spectral methods, we need some orthogonal projections in \( H^1 \)-norms, which correspond to the considered differential equations. If the powers of \( \nu \) appearing in the coefficients of derivatives of higher orders are not smaller than those of derivatives of lower orders, then it is easier to estimate the errors of the related approximations. But in the Fokker-Planck equation, there exist the terms \( -\beta_0 u \partial_\nu W(x,v,t), -\beta_0 v \partial_v W(x,v,t) \) and so on. Thereby, we are forced to study certain unusual approximations. To do this, we set
\[ H^1_{\chi_1,\chi_0}(\Lambda_1) = \{ u \mid u \in L^2_{\chi_0}(\Lambda_1) \text{ and } \partial_\nu u \in L^2_{\chi_1}(\Lambda_1) \}, \]
\[ \mathcal{P}_{\chi_1,\chi_0}(\Lambda_1) = \{ u \mid u \in H^1_{\chi_1,\chi_0}(\Lambda_1) \text{ and } u(0) = 0 \}. \]

Let \( \mathcal{P}_N(\Lambda_1) = \{ u \mid u \in \mathcal{P}_N(\Lambda_1) \text{ and } u(0) = 0 \} \) and \( \xi_\beta(v) = (v^2+1) e^{-\beta v}. \) We introduce the auxiliary orthogonal projection \( \partial_0 \tilde{P}_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1} : H^1_{\omega_0,\beta,\xi_\beta}(\Lambda_1) \to \mathcal{P}_N(\Lambda_1), \) defined by
\[ (\partial_\nu (\partial_0 \tilde{P}_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1} u - u), \partial_\nu \phi)_{\omega_0,\beta,\Lambda_1} + (\partial_0 \tilde{P}_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1} u - u, \phi)_{\xi_\beta,\Lambda_1} = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{P}_N(\Lambda_1). \]
It is noted that in the above definition, the power of $v$ involved in the weight function for the function is even greater than that for its derivative!

For any $u \in H^1_{1,\alpha+1}(\Lambda_1)$, we set $\bar{u}(v) = u(v) - u(0)e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}v}$. Obviously, $\bar{u} \in 0H^1_{1,\alpha+1}(\Lambda_1)$. The quasi-orthogonal projection, which plays an important role in the forthcoming analysis, is defined by

$$P^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1}u = e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\bar{u}}(\bar{P}^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1}(e^{\frac{\beta}{2}\bar{u}} + u(0))) \in Q_{N,\beta}(\Lambda_1).$$

In order to derive approximation results, we recall the following fact (cf. Lemma 2.2 of [22]).

**Proposition 2.1.** If $w \in H^1_{\omega_{\alpha,\beta}}(\Lambda_1)$, $w(0) = 0$ and $\alpha < 1$, then

$$||w||^2_{\omega_{\alpha,\beta},\Lambda_1} \leq c_{\alpha,\beta}||\partial_v w||^2_{\omega_{\alpha,\beta},\Lambda_1},$$

where $c_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{4}{\beta^2}$ for $\alpha \leq 0$ and $c_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{4 - 2\alpha}{\beta^2(1 - \alpha)}$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$. Moreover, for $w \in H^1_{\omega_{\alpha,\beta}}(\Lambda_1) \cap L^2_{\omega_{\alpha-2,\beta}}(\Lambda_1)$ and $\alpha > 1$,

$$||w||^2_{\omega_{\alpha,\beta},\Lambda_1} \leq \frac{2(3\alpha - 2)}{\beta^2(\alpha - 1)}||\partial_v w||^2_{\omega_{\alpha,\beta},\Lambda_1} + \frac{4\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{\beta^2}||w||^2_{\omega_{\alpha-2,\beta},\Lambda_1}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** If $u \in H^1_{1,\alpha+1}(\Lambda_1)$, $\partial_v^{r+1}e^{\frac{\beta}{2}\bar{u}}u \in L^2_{\omega_{r+1,\alpha}}(\Lambda_1)$ and $r \geq 1$ is an integer, then

$$||\partial_v(P^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1}u - u)||_{\Lambda_1} + ||P^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1}u - u||_{\omega_{r+1,\alpha}} \leq \epsilon(\beta + \beta^{-2})(\beta N)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}||\partial_v^{r+1}e^{\frac{\beta}{2}\bar{u}}u||_{\omega_{r+1,\alpha},\Lambda_1}.$$

**Proof.** The proof is divided into four steps.

We first consider the auxiliary orthogonal projection $P^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1} : H^1_{1,\alpha+1}(\Lambda_1) \rightarrow P_N(\Lambda_1)$, defined by

$$P^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1}w = \bar{P}^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1}(\omega_{\alpha,\beta},\Lambda_1)w,$$

where $\bar{P}^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1}(\omega_{\alpha,\beta},\Lambda_1)$ is an integer, then

$$||\partial_v(P^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1}w - w)||_{\omega_{1,\beta},\Lambda_1} + ||P^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1}w - w||_{\omega_{1,\beta},\Lambda_1} \leq c(\beta N)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}||\partial_v w||_{\omega_{r+1,\alpha},\Lambda_1}.$$

Next, by the projection theorem, for any $w \in 0H^1_{1,\alpha+1}(\Lambda_1)$,

$$||\partial_v(0\bar{P}^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1}w - w)||_{\omega_{1,\beta},\Lambda_1} + ||\bar{P}^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1}w - w||_{\omega_{1,\beta},\Lambda_1} \leq ||\partial_v(0\bar{P}^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1}w - w)||_{\omega_{1,\beta},\Lambda_1} + ||\bar{P}^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1}w - w||_{\omega_{1,\beta},\Lambda_1}, \forall \phi \in 0P_N(\Lambda_1).$$

We now estimate the upper-bound of the right side of the above inequality. We take

$$\phi(v) = \int_0^v P^1_{N-1,\beta,\Lambda_1}(\partial_\xi w(\xi))d\xi \in 0P_N(\Lambda_1).$$

By (2.6),

$$||\partial_v(\phi - w)||_{\omega_{1,\beta},\Lambda_1} = ||P^1_{N-1,\beta,\Lambda_1}(\partial_v w) - \partial_v w||_{\omega_{1,\beta},\Lambda_1} \leq c(\beta N)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}||\partial_v^{r+1}w||_{\omega_{r+1,\alpha},\Lambda_1}. $$
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Moreover, by using (2.6), (2.7) and the second result of Proposition 2.1, we deduce that
\[
\|\partial_v (\phi - w)\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}} \leq \frac{c}{\beta} (\|\partial_v^2 (\phi - w)\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}} + \|\partial_v (\phi - w)\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}}) \\
= \frac{c}{\beta} (\|\partial_v ((P_{N,\beta, A1}^1 - w) - \partial_v w)\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}} + \|\partial_v (\phi - w)\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}}) \\
\leq \frac{c}{\beta} (\beta N)^{\frac{1}{\beta - 2}} \|\partial_v^{r+1} w\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}}.
\]
On the other hand, by virtue of (2.7) and the first result of Proposition 2.1, we obtain that
\[
\|\phi - w\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}} \leq \frac{2}{\beta} \|\partial_v (\phi - w)\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}} \leq \frac{c}{\beta} (\beta N)^{\frac{1}{\beta - 2}} \|\partial_v^{r+1} w\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}}.
\]
Furthermore, thanks to (2.8), (2.9) and the second result of Proposition 2.1, we verify that
\[
\|\phi - w\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}} \leq \frac{c}{\beta} (\|\partial_v (\phi - w)\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}} + \|\phi - w\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}}) \\
\leq \frac{c}{\beta} (\beta N)^{\frac{1}{\beta - 2}} \|\partial_v^{r+1} w\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}}.
\]
A combination of the above estimate with (2.7) and (2.9) gives that
\[
\|\partial_v ((P_{N,\beta, A1}^1 - w) - \partial_v w)\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}} + \|\partial_v ((P_{N,\beta, A1}^1 - w) - \partial_v w)\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}} \\
\leq c(1 + \beta^{-2}) (\beta N)^{\frac{1}{\beta - 2}} \|\partial_v^{r+1} w\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}}.
\]
Now, we are in a position of deriving the desired result. A direct calculation shows that
\[
P_{N,\beta, A1}^1 u - u = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \beta v} (0 P_{N,\beta, A1}^1 (e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta v} \bar{u}) - e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta v} \bar{u}).
\]
This fact along with (2.10) leads to
\[
\|P_{N,\beta, A1}^1 u - u\|_{v^{2+1, A1}} = \|0 P_{N,\beta, A1}^1 (e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta v} \bar{u}) - e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta v} \bar{u}\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}} \\
\leq c(1 + \beta^{-2}) (\beta N)^{\frac{1}{\beta - 2}} \|\partial_v^{r+1} (e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta v} \bar{u})\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}} \\
= (1 + \beta^{-2}) (\beta N)^{\frac{1}{\beta - 2}} \|\partial_v^{r+1} (e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta v} \bar{u})\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}}.
\]
Clearly,
\[
\partial_v (P_{N,\beta, A1}^1 u - u) = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \beta v} \partial_v (C P_{N,\beta, A1}^1 (e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta v} \bar{u}) - e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta v} \bar{u}) - \frac{1}{2} \beta v e^{-\frac{1}{2} \beta v} (0 P_{N,\beta, A1}^1 (e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta v} \bar{u}) - e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta v} \bar{u}).
\]
Accordingly, using (2.10) again gives that
\[
\|\partial_v (P_{N,\beta, A1}^1 u - u)\|_{A1} \leq \|\partial_v ((0 P_{N,\beta, A1}^1 (e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta v} \bar{u}) - e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta v} \bar{u})\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}} \\
+ \beta \|0 P_{N,\beta, A1}^1 (e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta v} \bar{u}) - e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta v} \bar{u}\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}} \\
\leq c(\beta + \beta^{-2}) (\beta N)^{\frac{1}{\beta - 2}} \|\partial_v^{r+1} (e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta v} \bar{u})\|_{\omega^1_{\beta, A1}}.
\]
This ends the proof. \hfill \Box

**Remark 2.3.** In many problems, the powers of \( v \) appearing in the coefficients of derivatives of higher orders are not smaller than those of derivatives of lower orders. For instance, we consider the following Black-Sholes-like equation:
\[
\partial_t W - \partial_v (v^2 \partial_v W) + W = f, \quad 0 < v < \infty,
\]
with the homogeneous boundary condition at \( v = 0, \infty \). Let \( \mathcal{M} = \{ u \mid u, v\partial_v u \in L^2(0, \infty) \} \). Then the weak formulation of the above problem is to find \( W \in \mathcal{M} \) such that

\[
\int_0^\infty \partial_\nu W Ud\nu + \int_0^\infty v^2 \partial_\nu W \partial_v u d\nu + \int_0^\infty W ud\nu = \int_0^\infty f d\nu, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{M}.
\]

Let \( \mathcal{M}_N \) be a certain \( N \)-dimensional subspace of \( \mathcal{M} \). The corresponding spectral method is to seek \( w_N \in \mathcal{M}_N \) such that

\[
\int_0^\infty \partial_\nu w_N d\nu + \int_0^\infty v^2 \partial_\nu w_N \partial_v d\nu + \int_0^\infty w_N d\nu = \int_0^\infty f d\nu, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{M}_N.
\]

In numerical analysis, we need the orthogonal projection \( P^*_N : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_N \) such that

\[
\int_0^\infty v^2 \partial_\nu (P^*_N u - u) \partial_v d\nu + \int_0^\infty (P^*_N u - u) \phi d\nu = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{M}_N.
\]

For deriving the approximation error, we can use a Poincaré-type inequality, i.e., the Hardy-type inequality:

\[
\int_0^\infty u^2 d\nu \leq c \int_0^\infty v^2 (\partial_\nu u)^2 d\nu.
\]

But it does not work in some PDEs, such as the Fokker-Planck equation. Thereby, we are forced to consider the unusual approximations as in the last paragraph.

We now consider some approximations on the interval \( \Lambda = (-\infty, 0) \). The space \( H^r_\chi(\Lambda) \) is defined as usual, with the inner product \( (u, w)_{r,\chi,\Lambda} \), the semi-norm \( |u|_{r,\chi,\Lambda} \), and the norm \( ||u||_{r,\chi,\Lambda} \), especially, \( H^0_\chi(\Lambda) = L^2(\Lambda) \), with the inner product \( (u, w)_{\chi,\Lambda} \) and the norm \( ||u||_{\chi,\Lambda} \).

Let \( Q_{N,\beta}(\Lambda) = \{ e^{r \beta v} \psi \mid \psi \in P_N(\Lambda) \} \). The orthogonal projection \( \hat{P}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda} : L^2_{(-\nu)^\alpha}(\Lambda) \to Q_{N,\beta}(\Lambda) \) is defined by

\[
(\hat{P}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda} u - u, \phi)_{(-\nu)^\alpha,\Lambda} = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in Q_{N,\beta}(\Lambda).
\]

Let \( \omega^2_{\alpha,\beta}(v) = \omega^1_{\alpha,\beta}(-v) = (-v)^\alpha e^{r \beta v} \). We can prove as in the derivation of (2.4) that for any integer \( r \geq 0 \),

\[
(2.11) \quad ||\hat{P}_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda} u - u||_{(-\nu)^r,\Lambda} \leq c(\beta N)^{-r} ||\partial_v^r (e^{-\frac{1}{2} \beta v} u)||_{\omega^2_{r,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda}}.
\]

We set

\[
\mathcal{F}(\Lambda) = L^2(\Lambda) \cap \{ u \mid \text{there exists a finite trace of } u \text{ at } v = 0 \},
\]

\[
0^\mathcal{M}(\Lambda) = \{ u \mid u \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda) \text{ and } u(0) = 0 \},
\]

\[
0^Q_{N,\beta}(\Lambda) = \{ \phi \mid \phi \in Q_{N,\beta}(\Lambda) \text{ and } \phi(0) = 0 \}.
\]

The orthogonal projection \( 0^\mathcal{P}_{N,\beta,\Lambda} : \mathcal{F}(\Lambda) \to 0^Q_{N,\beta}(\Lambda) \) is defined by

\[
(0^\mathcal{P}_{N,\beta,\Lambda} u - u, \phi)_{\Lambda} = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in 0^Q_{N,\beta}(\Lambda).
\]

For any \( u \in \mathcal{F}(\Lambda) \), we set \( \tilde{u}(v) = u(v) - u(0) e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta v} \), and introduce the following quasi-orthogonal projection:

\[
\tilde{P}_{N,\beta,\Lambda} u(v) = 0^\mathcal{P}_{N,\beta,\Lambda} \tilde{u}(v) + u(0) e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta v}.
\]

Like (2.5), if \( u \in \mathcal{F}(\Lambda) \), \( \partial_v^r (e^{-\frac{1}{2} \beta v} u) \in L^2_{\omega^r_{r,\beta,\Lambda}}(\Lambda) \) and \( r \geq 0 \) is an integer, then

\[
(2.12) \quad ||\tilde{P}_{N,\beta,\Lambda} u - u||_{\Lambda} \leq c\beta^{-1}(\beta N)^{-r} ||\partial_v^r (e^{-\frac{1}{2} \beta v} u)||_{\omega^2_{r,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda}}.
\]
Furthermore, let
\[
H_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{0}}^{1}(A_{2}) = \{ u \mid u \in L^{2}_{\lambda_{0}}(A_{2}) \text{ and } \partial_{s} u \in L^{2}_{\lambda_{1}}(A_{2})\},
\]
\[
0H_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{0}}^{1}(A_{2}) = \{ u \mid u \in H_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{0}}^{1}(A_{2}) \text{ and } u(0) = 0\}.
\]
Moreover, \(0\mathcal{P}_{N}(A_{2}) = \{ \phi \mid \phi \in \mathcal{P}_{N}(A_{2}) \text{ and } u(0) = 0\} \) and \(\zeta_{0}^{2}(v) = (v^{2}+1)e^{\beta v}\). We introduce the auxiliary orthogonal projection \(0\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{0}}^{1}(A_{2}) = 0H_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{0}}^{1}(A_{2})\), defined by
\[
(\partial_{v}(0\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{0}}^{1}(A_{2}) u - u), \partial_{v} \phi)_{\omega_{\lambda_{0}}^{2}, \lambda_{2}} + (0\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{0}}^{1}(A_{2}) u - u, \phi)_{\omega_{\lambda_{0}}^{2}, \lambda_{2}} = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in 0\mathcal{P}_{N}(A_{2}).
\]
For any \(u \in H_{1_{x}, 2_{x}+1}^{1}(A_{2})\), we set \(\tilde{u}(v) = u(v) - u(0)e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta v}\). Obviously, we have \(\tilde{u} \in 0H_{1_{x}, 2_{x}+1}^{1}(A_{2})\). The quasi-orthogonal projection, which also plays an important role in the analysis, is defined by
\[
P_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{0}}^{1}(A_{2}) u = c^{\frac{1}{2}\beta v} 0\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{0}}^{1}(A_{2})(e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta v} u) \in \mathcal{Q}_{N, \beta}(A_{2}).
\]
Following the same line as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we verify that if \(u \in H_{1_{x}, 2_{x}+1}^{1}(A_{2})\), \(\partial_{v}^{r+1}(e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta v} u) \in L_{2_{x}+1, \lambda_{2}}^{2}((\omega_{\lambda_{0}}^{2}, \lambda_{2})\) and \(r \geq 1\) is an integer, then
\[
||\partial_{v}(0\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{0}}^{1}(A_{2}) u - u)||_{\lambda_{2}} + ||P_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{0}}^{1}(A_{2}) u - u||_{\omega_{\lambda_{0}}^{2}, \lambda_{2}} \leq c(\beta + \beta^{-2})(\beta N)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ||\partial_{v}^{r+1}(e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta v} u)||_{\omega_{\lambda_{0}}^{2}, \lambda_{2}}.
\]

2.2. Legendre approximations. We now turn to the Legendre approximation. For an integer \(r \geq 0\), we define the space \(H^{r}(I)\) and its norm \(||u||_{r, I}\) as usual. We denote the inner product and norm of \(L^{2}(I)\) by \((u, w)_{I}\) and \(||u||_{I}\), respectively.

The Legendre polynomial of degree \(m\) is defined by
\[
L_{m}(x) = \frac{(-1)^{m}}{2^{m} m!} \partial_{x}^{m}(1 - x^{2})^{m}.
\]
The set of Legendre polynomials is a complete \(L^{2}(I)\)-orthogonal system.

Now, let \(M\) be any positive integer. \(\mathcal{P}_{M}(I)\) stands for the set of all polynomials of degree at most \(M\). The orthogonal projection \(P_{M, I} : L^{2}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{M}(I)\) is defined by
\[
(P_{M, I} u - u, \phi)_{I} = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{P}_{M}(I).
\]
If \((1 - x^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_{x}^{r} u \in L^{2}(I)\) and \(r \geq 0\) is an integer, then (cf. page 389 of [14])
\[
||P_{M, I} u - u||_{I} \leq c M^{-r} ||(1 - x^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_{x}^{r} u||_{I}.
\]
With the aid of (2.14) and an argument as in [6, 12], we can improve the existing estimate for \(||\partial_{x}(P_{M, I} u - u)||_{I}||. In other words, if \(\partial_{x} u \in L^{2}(I)\), \((1 - x^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_{x}^{r} u \in L^{2}(I)\) and \(r \geq 1\) is an integer, then
\[
||\partial_{x}(P_{M, I} u - u)||_{I} \leq c M^{2} - r ||(1 - x^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_{x}^{r} u||_{I}.
\]
Next, let \(\mathcal{P}_{M}^{0}(I) = \{ \phi \mid \phi \in \mathcal{P}_{M}(I) \text{ and } \phi(-1) = \phi(1) = 0\}\). The orthogonal projection \(P_{M, I}^{0} : H_{0}^{r}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{M}^{0}(I)\) is defined by
\[
(\partial_{x}(P_{M, I}^{0} u - u), \partial_{x} \phi)_{I} = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{P}_{M}^{0}(I).
\]
According to Theorem 3.4 of [19], we know that if \(\partial_{x}^{r} u \in L^{2}(I)\), \((1 - x^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_{x}^{r} u \in L^{2}(I)\), \(u(-1) = u(1) = 0\), and \(r \geq 1\) is an integer, then
\[
||\partial_{x}^{s}(P_{M, I}^{0} u - u)||_{I} \leq c M^{s - r} ||(1 - x^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_{x}^{r} u||_{I}, \quad s = 0, 1.
\]
For the spectral method of hyperbolic equations, we have to consider a specific projection. To do this, let

\[ \mathcal{F}(I) = L^2(I) \cap \{ u \mid \text{there exists a finite trace of } u \text{ at } x = -1, \text{ and } u(-1) = 0 \}, \]

\[ \mathcal{P}_M(I) = \{ \phi \mid \phi \in \mathcal{P}_M(I) \text{ and } \phi(-1) = 0 \}. \]

The orthogonal projection \( \mathcal{P}_{M,I} : \mathcal{F}(I) \to \mathcal{P}_M(I) \) is defined by

\[ (\mathcal{P}_{M,I} u - u, \phi)_I = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{P}_M(I). \]

**Lemma 2.2.** If \( u \in \mathcal{F}(I) \), \( (1 - x^2)^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \partial_x^s u \in L^2(I) \) and \( r \geq 1 \) is an integer, then

\[ \| \mathcal{P}_{M,I} u - u \|_I \leq c M^{-r} \|(1 - x^2)^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \partial_x^s u \|_I. \]

**Proof.** By the projection theorem,

\[ \| \mathcal{P}_{M,I} u - u \|_I \leq \| \phi - u \|_I, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{P}_M(I). \]

We follow the same line as in [15] to take

\[ \phi(x) = \int_{-1}^{x} P_{M-1,I} \partial_x \phi(\xi) d\xi \in \mathcal{P}_M(I). \]

Due to the definition of \( P_{M,I} \), we have that

\[ (\phi(1) = \int_{I} P_{M-1,I} \partial_x \phi(\xi) d\xi = \int_{I} \partial_x \phi(\xi) d\xi = u(1). \]

Furthermore, let

\[ g(x) = \phi(x) - u(x), \quad G(x) = \int_{-1}^{x} g(\xi) d\xi. \]

By integrating by parts, and using (2.14) and (2.18), we deduce that

\[ \| \phi - u \|_I^2 = (\phi - u, g)_I = (\phi - u, \partial_x G)_I \]

\[ = - (\partial_x (\phi - u), G)_I = -(P_{M-1,I} \partial_x u - \partial_x u, G - P_{M-1,I} G)_I \]

\[ \leq c M^{-r} \| (1 - x^2)^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \partial_x^s u \|_I \| \partial_x G \|_I \]

\[ \leq c M^{-r} \| (1 - x^2)^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \partial_x^s u \|_I \| \phi - u \|_I. \]

Then the desired result follows from the above and (2.17) immediately. \( \square \)

In order to obtain a better error estimate, we need other special projections. Let \( \mathcal{H}^1(I) = H^1(I) \cap \mathcal{F}(I) \). For any \( u \in \mathcal{H}^1(I) \), we set \( u(x) = u(x) - \frac{1}{2}(x + 1)u(1) \). Clearly, \( u \in H^1_0(I) \). Then, we define the quasi-orthogonal projection \( \mathcal{P}_{M,I} : \mathcal{H}^1(I) \to \mathcal{P}_M(I) \) as

\[ \mathcal{P}_{M,I} u(x) = P_{M,I}^1 \tilde{u}(x) + \frac{1}{2}(x + 1)u(1). \]

Since \( \mathcal{P}_{M,I} u(x) - u(x) = P_{M,I}^1 \tilde{u}(x) - \tilde{u}(x) \), we use (2.16) to derive that if \( u \in \mathcal{F}(I), \partial_x^2 u \in L^2(I), (1 - x^2)^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \partial_x^s u \in L^2(I) \) and \( r \geq 2 \) is an integer, then

\[ \| \partial_x (\mathcal{P}_{M,I}^1 u - u) \|_I = \| \partial_x^2 (\mathcal{P}_{M,I}^1 \tilde{u} - \tilde{u}) \|_I \leq c M^{-r} \| (1 - x^2)^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \partial_x^s \tilde{u} \|_I \]

\[ = c M^{-r} \| (1 - x^2)^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \partial_x^s \tilde{u} \|_I, \quad s = 0, 1. \]

If \( r = 1 \), then by using the trace theorem and the Poincaré inequality, we derive that \( \| \partial_x \tilde{u} \|_I \leq \| \partial_x u \|_I + |u(1)| \leq c \| \partial_x u \|_I \). Thereby, the estimate (2.19) is also valid for \( r \geq 1 \).
Remark 2.4. We may consider the generalized Jacobi orthogonal approximation $\tilde{P}_{M,I}$ as in [17], by taking the polynomials $(x + 1)J_{m-1}^{0,1}(x)$, $1 \leq m \leq M$, as the base functions. These polynomials are mutually orthogonal associated with the weight function $(1 + x)^{-1}$. In this case, for any integers $0 \leq \mu \leq r$,

$$
\int_I (1 - x)^{\mu}(1 + x)^{\mu-1}(\partial_2^\mu(\tilde{P}_{M,I}u(x) - u(x)))^2 dx 
\leq cM^{\mu-r} \int_I (1 - x)^r(1 + x)^{r-1}(\partial_2^\mu u(x))^2 dx.
$$

But in this paper, we need to estimate the $H^1(I)$-norm of the difference between $u(x)$ and its orthogonal projection. Therefore, we cannot use the above result with $\mu = 1$, by which we could not measure the approximation error precisely as $x \to 1$. This is the reason why we derived the upper-bound of $||\partial_x(0P^1_{M,I}u - u)||_I$.

In this work, we shall use other kinds of approximations. Let

$$
0\mathcal{F}(I) = L^2(I) \cap \{u | \text{ there exists a finite trace of } u \text{ at } x = 1, \text{ and } u(1) = 0\},
$$

$0P_M(I) = \{\phi | \phi \in P_M(I) \text{ and } \phi(1) = 0\}$.

The orthogonal projection $0P_{M,I} : 0\mathcal{F}(I) \to 0P_M(I)$ is defined by

$$(0P_{M,I}u - u, \phi)_I = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in 0P_M(I).$$

If $u \in 0\mathcal{F}(I)$, $(1 - x^2)\frac{d}{dx} \frac{d}{dx} u \in L^2(I)$ and $r \geq 1$ is an integer, then

$$
||0P_{M,I}u - u||_I \leq cM^{s-r}||(1 - x^2)\frac{d}{dx} \frac{d}{dx} u||_I.
$$

On the other hand, let $0H^1(I) = H^1(I) \cap 0\mathcal{F}(I)$. For any $u \in 0H^1(I)$, we set $\tilde{u}(x) = u(x) - \frac{1}{2}(1 - x)u(-1) \in H^1_0(I)$. Then, we define the quasi-orthogonal projection $0P^1_{M,I} : 0H^1(I) \to 0P_M(I)$ as

$$
0P^1_{M,I}u(x) = P^1_{M,I}u(x) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - x)u(-1).
$$

By an argument similar to the derivation of (2.19), we observe that if $u \in 0\mathcal{F}(I)$, $\partial_2^s u \in L^2(I)$, $(1 - x^2)\frac{d}{dx} \frac{d}{dx} u \in L^2(I)$ and $r \geq 1$ is an integer, then

$$
||\partial_2^s(0P^1_{M,I}u - u)||_I \leq cM^{s-r}||(1 - x^2)\frac{d}{dx} \frac{d}{dx} u||_I, \quad s = 0, 1.
$$

2.3. Mixed generalized Laguerre-Legendre approximations. We now investigate the mixed generalized Laguerre-Legendre approximations. Let $\Omega_1 = I \times \Lambda_1$ and $\chi(x, v)$ be a certain weight function. We define the space $L^2_\chi(\Omega_1)$ as usual, with the following inner product and norm:

$$(u, v)_{\chi, \Omega_1} = \int_{\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_1} u(x, v)w(x, v)\chi(x, v)dx dv, \quad ||u||_{\chi, \Omega_1} = (u, u)^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\chi, \Omega_1}.
$$

We omit the subscript $\chi$ in the notation when $\chi(x, v) \equiv 1$.

Now, let $0\mathcal{F}(\chi(\Omega_1)) = L^2_{\chi}(\Lambda_1; 0\mathcal{F}(I))$ and $0V_{N, \beta}(\Omega_1) = 0P_M(I) \otimes Q_{N, \beta}(\Lambda_1)$. The orthogonal projection $P_{M,N,\alpha,\beta,\Omega_1}u = (P_{M,N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_1}u)P_{M,I}u$. In order to estimate $||P_{M,N,\alpha,\beta,\Omega_1}u - u||_v$, we use the following notation with integers $q, r \geq 0$:

$$
A^{q,r}_{\omega_{\alpha,\beta}, \Omega_1}(u) = \int_I ||\partial_v(e^{\frac{\beta}{2}}u)||^2_{\omega_{\alpha,\beta}, \Lambda_1} dx + \int_{\Lambda_1} v^{q}||(1 - x^2)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u||^2_I dv.
$$
Theorem 2.1. For any \( u \in \mathcal{F}_{v_1}(\Omega_1) \) and integers \( q, r \geq 0 \),

\[
||P_{M,N,\alpha,\beta,\Omega_1}u - u||_{v_2,\Omega_1}^2 \leq c(M^{-2q} + (\beta N)^{-r})A_{\omega,\alpha,\beta,\Omega_1}^{q,r}(u),
\]

provided that \( A_{\omega,\alpha,\beta,\Omega_1}^{q,r}(u) \) is finite.

Proof. By virtue of (2.4) and Lemma 2.2,

\[
|P_{M,N,\alpha,\beta,\Omega_1}u - u|_{v^2,\Omega_1}^2 \leq 2|0P_{M,I}P_{M,N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_1}u - P_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_1}u|_{v^2,\Omega_1}^2 + 2|P_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_1}u - u|_{v^2,\Omega_1}^2 \leq cM^{-2q}\int_{\Lambda_1}v^\alpha||(1 - x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}P_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_1}u||_{L^2}^2dv
\]

\[
+ c(\beta N)^{-r}\int \|\partial_v (e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta v})\|_{L^2}^2 v^\alpha ||(1 - x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial_x^2}||_{L^2}^2dv.
\]

Moreover, thanks to (2.4) with \( r = 0 \), we have

\[
\int_{\Lambda_1}v^\alpha||(1 - x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}P_{N,\alpha,\beta,\Lambda_1}u||_{L^2}^2dv \leq c\int_{\Lambda_1}v^\alpha||(1 - x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial_x^2}||_{L^2}^2dv.
\]

Then the desired result follows immediately. \( \square \)

For spectral methods with domain decomposition, we should consider the orthogonal projection \( \Pi_{P_{M,N,\beta,\Omega_1}} u = (\Pi_{P_{M,N,\beta,\Lambda_1}} \circ 0P_{M,I}) u \). Following the same line as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we use (2.5) and Lemma 2.2 to obtain that

\[
||\Pi_{P_{M,N,\beta,\Omega_1}} u - u||_{\Omega_1}^2 \leq c(M^{-2q} + (\beta N)^{-r})\Pi_{A_{\omega,\alpha,\beta,\Omega_1}^{q,r}}(u),
\]

where \( \Pi_{A_{\omega,\alpha,\beta,\Omega_1}^{q,r}}(u) = \int I ||\partial_v^{q+1}(e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta v})||_{L^2}^2 + \int \|\partial_v^q(e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta v})\|_{L^2}^2dx \). For describing approximation results, we use the following notation with integers \( q, r \geq 1 \):

\[
B_{\beta,\Omega_1}^{q,r}(u) = (\beta + \beta^2)^2\int I ||\partial_v^{q+1}(e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta v})||_{L^2}^2 + (1 - x^2)^{q-r-1}||\partial_v^q(e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta v})||_{L^2}^2dx
\]

\[
+ \int \|\partial_v (e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta v})\|_{L^2}^2 + (v^2 + 1)||\partial_v^2 \partial_v u||_{L^2}^2dv.
\]

Theorem 2.2. For any \( u \in \mathcal{F}_{v_1}(\Omega_1) \) and integers \( q, r \geq 1 \),

\[
||\partial_v (P_{M,N,\beta,\Omega_1} u - u)||_{\Omega_1}^2 + ||P_{M,N,\beta,\Omega_1} u - u||_{v^2,\Omega_1}^2 \leq c(M^{-2q} + (\beta N)^{-r})B_{\beta,\Omega_1}^{q,r}(u),
\]

provided that \( B_{\beta,\Omega_1}^{q,r}(u) \) is finite.
Proof. Since $P^1_{M,N,\alpha,\beta,\Omega_1} u = P^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1}(\alpha P^1_{M,I} u)$, we have that

$$||\partial_v(P^1_{M,N,\beta,\alpha,\Omega_1} - \partial_v(P^1_{M,N,\beta,\alpha,\Omega_1} - u)|^2_{\Omega_1} + ||P^1_{M,N,\beta,\alpha,\Omega_1} u - u||^2_{\partial^2 \Omega_1} \leq F_1(u) + F_2(u) + F_3(u),$$

where

$$F_1(u) = 2 ||\partial_v(P^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1} u - u)|^2_{\Omega_1} + 2 ||P^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1} u - u||^2_{\partial^2 \Omega_1},$$

$$F_2(u) = 2 ||\partial_v (P^1_{M,I} (P^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1} u - P^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1} u)(\Omega_1) - P^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1} u)||^2_{\Omega_1},$$

$$F_3(u) = 2 ||(\alpha P^1_{M,I} (P^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1} u - P^1_{N,\beta,\Lambda_1})^2|_{\partial^2 \Omega_1}.$$
where
\[ a^q_r(ω^q_r, Ω, u) = \int_Ω |(1 - x^2)^{q-1} \beta^2 |\partial_u^r(e^{-\frac{1}{2}βu})|^2 dx + \int_Ω ((1 - x^2)^{q-1} \beta^2 |\partial_u^r(e^{-\frac{1}{2}βu})|^2 + \beta^2 e^{βu} |(1 - x^2)^{q-1} \beta^2 |\partial_u^r(e^{-\frac{1}{2}βu})|^2) dv. \]

Next, let \( Ω_2 = H^1_{1,ω^2 + 1}(Ω_2) \), equipped with the norm \( ||u||_{1,ω^2 + 1, Ω_2} = (||\partial u||_{Ω_2}^2 + ||u||_{2,ω^2 + 1, Ω_2}^2)^{1/2} \).

The quasi-orthogonal projection \( P^1_{M,N,β,Ω_2} u = (P^1_{N,β,Ω_2} \circ 0^1_{M,1}) u \). Let
\[
\mathbb{B}^{q,r}_{β,Ω_2}(u) = (β + β^{-2})^2 \int_Ω (\beta^2 |\partial_u^r(e^{-\frac{1}{2}βu})|^2 + (1 - x^2)^{q-1} \beta^2 |\partial_u^r(e^{-\frac{1}{2}βu})|^2) dx
\]
\[
+ \int_Ω ((1 - x^2)^{q-1} \beta^2 |\partial_u^r(e^{-\frac{1}{2}βu})|^2 + (2 - 1) (1 - x^2)^{q-1} \beta^2 |\partial_u^{r-1}(e^{-\frac{1}{2}βu})|^2) dv.
\]
Like Theorem 2.2, we have that for \( u ∈ 0^1_{1,ω^2 + 1}(Ω_2) \) and integers \( q, r ≥ 1 \),
\[
||\partial_v(P^1_{M,N,β,Ω_2} u - u)||_{Ω_2}^2 + ||P^1_{M,N,β,Ω_2} u - u||_{ω^2 + 1, Ω_2}^2 \leq c(M^{-2q} + (βN)^{1-r})\mathbb{B}^{q,r}_{β,Ω_2}(u),
\]
provided that \( \mathbb{B}^{q,r}_{β,Ω_2}(u) \) is finite.

2.4. Composite approximations. We now consider several composite generalized Laguerre-Legendre approximations, which will be used in the next section. The space \( L^2_2(Ω) \) is defined as usual, with the inner product \( (u, v)_{X_Ω} \) and the norm \( ||u||_{X_Ω} \). We omit the subscript \( X \) in the notation when \( χ(x, v) ≡ 1 \).

\( M(Ω) = \{ u \mid u ∈ L^2_2(Ω), \partial x u, \partial u ∈ L^2(Ω), u|_{Γ_1} = 0 \text{ and } u|_{Γ_2} ∈ L^2(Γ_2) \} \),
\( V_{M,N,β}(Ω) = M(Ω) ∩ \{ φ : φ|_{Γ_1} ∈ Q_{N,β,Ω_1,1} ⊗ 0^1_{0,1} M(I); φ|_{Γ_2} ∈ Q_{N,β,Ω_2,1} ⊗ 0^1_{0,1} M(I) \}. \)

The composite approximations are defined as
\[
P_{M,N,β,Ω}(x, v)_{Ω_1} = P_{M,N,β,Ω,1}(x, v), \quad P^1_{M,N,β,Ω,1}(x, v) = P_{M,N,β,Ω,1}(x, v),
\]
\[
P_{M,N,β,Ω}(x, v)_{Ω_2} = P^1_{M,N,β,Ω,2}(x, v), \quad j = 1, 2.
\]
It is noted that \( P_{M,N,β,Ω} u, P^1_{M,N,β,Ω} u \) ∈ \( V_{M,N,β}(Ω) \). But \( P_{M,N,β,Ω} u \) has a jump at \( v = 0 \).

For a description of approximation errors, we introduce the following notation:
\[
A^q_r(ω^q_r, Ω_1, u) = \sum_{j=1}^2 A^q_r(ω^q_r, Ω_j, u), \quad A^q_r(ω^q_r, Ω_2) = \sum_{j=1}^2 A^q_r(ω^q_r, Ω_j, u), \quad \mathbb{B}^{q,r}_{β,Ω_1}(u) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \mathbb{B}^{q,r}_{β,Ω_j}(u).
\]
We can use (2.22)-(2.27) to derive that
\[
||P_{M,N,β,Ω} u - u||_{Ω_1}^2 ≤ c(M^{-2q} + (βN)^{1-r}) A^q_r(ω^q_r, Ω_1, u),
\]
\[
||P^1_{M,N,β,Ω} u - u||_{Ω_2}^2 ≤ c(M^{-2q} + (βN)^{1-r}) A^q_r(ω^q_r, Ω_2, u),
\]
\[
||P_{M,N,β,Ω} u - u||_{Ω_2}^2 + ||P^1_{M,N,β,Ω} u - u||_{ω^2 + 1, Ω_2}^2 ≤ c(M^{-2q} + (βN)^{1-r}) \mathbb{B}^{q,r}_{β,Ω_2}(u).
\]

Another useful composite projection \( **P_{M,N,β,Ω} : L^2(Ω) → V_{M,N,β}(Ω) \) is defined by
\[
(**P_{M,N,β,Ω} u - u, φ)_{Ω_1} = 0, \quad ∀φ ∈ V_{M,N,β}(Ω).
\]
By the projection theorem,

\[(2.31) \quad ||*P_{M,N,\beta,\Omega}u - u||_{\Omega} \leq ||*P_{M,N,\beta,\Omega}u - u||_{\Omega} \leq c(M^{-2q} + (\beta N)^{-r})A_{\beta,\Omega}^{q,r}(u).\]

3. Composite spectral scheme for Fokker-Planck equation

In this section, we propose the composite spectral scheme for the Fokker-Planck equation in an infinite channel, with the convergence analysis. We also describe the implementation and present some numerical results.

3.1. Composite spectral scheme. Let

\[\mathcal{M}(\Omega) = \{u \mid u \in L^2_0(\Omega), \partial \nu u \in L^2(\Omega), u|_{\Gamma_1} = 0 \text{ and } u|_{\Gamma_2} \in L^2_{|\nu|}(\Gamma_2)\}.\]

A weak formulation of (1.1) is to seek a solution \(W \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathcal{M}(\Omega))\) such that

\[
\begin{cases}
(\partial_t W(t), u)_{\Omega} - (vW(t), \partial \nu u)_{\Omega} + \beta_0(vW(t), \partial \nu u)_{\Omega} + \gamma(x\partial \nu W(t), u)_{\Omega} \\
+ \beta_0\mu(\partial \nu W(t), \partial \nu u)_{\Omega} + \int_{\Gamma_2} |v|W(\cdot,v,t)u(\cdot,v)dv = 0, \quad \forall \ u \in \mathbb{M}, \ 0 < t \leq T, \\
W(0) = W_0.
\end{cases}
\]

Following the same line as in the derivation of the main result of [24], it can be proved that if \(W_0 \in L^2_{\nu + 1}(\Omega)\), then (3.1) has a unique solution \(W \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathcal{M}(\Omega))\). If, in addition, \(\partial \nu W_0 \in L^2(\Omega)\), then \(\partial \nu W \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))\). We focus on the smooth solution of (3.1), i.e., \(\partial \nu W \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))\). In this case, an alternative form of (3.1) is to find \(W \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathcal{M}(\Omega))\) such that

\[
\begin{cases}
(\partial_t W(t), u)_{\Omega} + (v\partial \nu W(t), u)_{\Omega} + \beta_0(vW(t), \partial \nu u)_{\Omega} + \gamma(x\partial \nu W(t), u)_{\Omega} \\
+ \beta_0\mu(\partial \nu W(t), \partial \nu u)_{\Omega} = 0, \quad \forall \ u \in \mathbb{M}, \ 0 < t \leq T, \\
W(0) = W_0.
\end{cases}
\]

We now design the composite generalized Laguerre-Legendre spectral scheme for (3.2). It is to find \(w_{M,N}(t) \in V_{M,N,\beta}(\Omega)\) for all \(0 \leq t \leq T\) such that

\[
\begin{cases}
(\partial_t w_{M,N}(t), \phi)_{\Omega} + (v\partial \nu w_{M,N}(t), \phi)_{\Omega} + \beta_0(vw_{M,N}(t), \partial \nu \phi)_{\Omega} \\
+ \gamma(x\partial \nu w_{M,N}(t), \phi)_{\Omega} + \beta_0\mu(\partial \nu w_{M,N}(t), \partial \nu \phi)_{\Omega} = 0, \\
\forall \ \phi \in V_{M,N,\beta}(\Omega), \ 0 < t \leq T, \\
w_{M,N}(0) = *P_{M,N,\beta,\Omega}W_0, \ or \ **P_{M,N,\beta,\Omega}W_0.
\end{cases}
\]

3.2. Error analysis. We next deal with the convergence of scheme (3.3). Let \(W_{M,N} = P_{M,N,\beta,\Omega}^1 W\). We have from (3.2) that

\[
\begin{cases}
(\partial_t W_{M,N}(t), \phi)_{\Omega} + (v\partial \nu W_{M,N}(t), \phi)_{\Omega} + \beta_0(vW_{M,N}(t), \partial \nu \phi)_{\Omega} \\
+ \gamma(x\partial \nu W_{M,N}(t), \phi)_{\Omega} + \beta_0\mu(\partial \nu W_{M,N}(t), \partial \nu \phi)_{\Omega} + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}}{G_j(t, \phi) = 0,} \\
\forall \ \phi \in V_{M,N,\beta}(\Omega), \ 0 < t \leq T, \\
W_{M,N}(0) = P_{M,N,\beta,\Omega}^1 W_0.
\end{cases}
\]
where
\[ G_1(t, \phi) = (\partial_t W(t) - \partial_t W_{M,N}(t), \phi)_\Omega, \]
\[ G_2(t, \phi) = (v \partial_x W(t) - v \partial_x W_{M,N}(t), \phi)_\Omega, \]
\[ G_3(t, \phi) = \beta_0 (v W(t) - v W_{M,N}(t), \partial_x \phi)_\Omega, \]
\[ G_4(t, \phi) = \gamma (x \partial_t W(t) - x \partial_t W_{M,N}(t), \phi)_\Omega, \]
\[ G_5(t, \phi) = \beta_0 \mu (\partial_\gamma W(t) - \partial_\gamma W_{M,N}(t), \partial_x \phi)_\Omega. \]

Setting \( \bar{W}_{M,N} = w_{M,N} - W_{M,N} \) and subtracting (3.4) from (3.3), we obtain that
\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
(\partial_t \bar{W}_{M,N}(t), \phi)_\Omega + (v \partial_x \bar{W}_{M,N}(t), \phi)_\Omega + \beta_0 (v \bar{W}_{M,N}(t), \partial_x \phi)_\Omega \\
+ \gamma (x \partial_t \bar{W}_{M,N}(t), \phi)_\Omega + \beta_0 \mu (\partial_\gamma \bar{W}_{M,N}(t), \partial_x \phi)_\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^5 G_j(t, \phi), \\
\bar{W}_{M,N}(0) = P_{M,N,\beta,0} W_0 - P_{M,N,\beta,0}^1 W_0, \quad \text{or} \quad \ast P_{M,N,\beta,0} W_0 - P_{M,N,\beta,0}^1 W_0.
\end{array} \right.
\]

By integration by parts, we observe that
\[
\bar{W}_{M,N}(t) = \max_{M,N} (\ast)|x| \bar{W}_{M,N}(t), \quad \text{or} \quad \ast |x| \bar{W}_{M,N}(t).
\]

Hence, by taking \( \phi = 2 \bar{W}_{M,N} \) in (3.5) and using (3.6)-(3.8), we obtain that
\[
\partial_t ||\bar{W}_{M,N}(t)||^2_\Omega + \frac{3}{2} \beta_0 \mu ||\partial_x \bar{W}_{M,N}(t)||^2_\Omega + \int_{\Gamma_2} |v| \bar{W}_{M,N}(t) dv \\
\leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^5 G_j(t, \bar{W}_{M,N}(t)) + (\beta_0 + \frac{2\gamma^2}{\beta_0 \mu}) ||\bar{W}_{M,N}(t)||^2_\Omega.
\]

Therefore, it remains to estimate the terms \( |G_j(t, \bar{W}_{M,N}(s))|, 1 \leq j \leq 5 \).

We use the Cauchy inequality and (2.30) to verify that for integers \( q, r \geq 1, \)
\[
2|G_1(t, \bar{W}_{M,N}(t))| \leq ||\partial_t (W(t) - W_{M,N}(t))||^2_\Omega + ||\bar{W}_{M,N}(t)||^2_\Omega, \\
2|G_2(t, \bar{W}_{M,N}(t))| \leq ||v \partial_x (W(t) - W_{M,N}(t))||^2_\Omega + ||\bar{W}_{M,N}(t)||^2_\Omega, \\
2|G_3(t, \bar{W}_{M,N}(t))| \leq ||v \partial_x (W(t) - W_{M,N}(t))||^2_\Omega + ||\bar{W}_{M,N}(t)||^2_\Omega, \\
\]

Let \( c_* = \max\{ \frac{\beta_0}{\mu}, \beta_0 \mu \} \). We can prove in the same manner that
\[
2|G_4(t, \bar{W}_{M,N}(t)) + G_5(t, \bar{W}_{M,N}(t))| + G_5(t, \bar{W}_{M,N}(t))| \\
\leq c_* (M^{-2q} + (\beta N)^{-1}) B_{p,q}(W(t)) \\
+ \gamma^2 ||\bar{W}_{M,N}(t)||^2_\Omega + \frac{1}{2} \beta_0 \mu ||\partial_x \bar{W}_{M,N}(t)||^2_\Omega.
\]
On the other hand, by using (2.29) and (2.30), we observe that if \( w_{M,N}(0) = \ast P_{M,N,\beta,\Omega}W_0 \), then
\[
(3.13) \quad ||\tilde{W}_{M,N}(0)||^2_{\Omega} \leq ||\ast P_{M,N,\beta,\Omega}W_0 - W_0||^2_{\Omega} + ||W_0 - P^1_{M,N,\beta,\Omega}W_0||_{\Omega} \leq c(M^{-2q} + (\beta N)^{1-r})(A_{\beta,\Omega}^{q,r-1}(W_0) + B_{\beta,\Omega}^{q,r}(W_0)).
\]
Because of (2.31), the same estimate is valid if \( w_{M,N}(0) = \ast\ast P_{M,N,\beta,\Omega}W_0 \).

For simplicity of statements, we shall use the notation
\[
E(u(t)) = ||u(t)||^2_{\Omega} + \int_0^t (\beta_0\mu ||\partial_t u(\xi)||^2_{\Omega} + ||v|^{\frac{1}{q}}u(\xi)||^2_{L^2(\Omega)})d\xi.
\]
Let \( c_0 = 2 + \beta_0 + \gamma^2 + \frac{2s^2}{\beta_0\mu} \). By inserting (3.10)-(3.12) into (3.9), we obtain that
\[
(3.14) \quad \frac{d}{dt} E(\tilde{W}_{M,N}(t)) \leq c_0 E(\tilde{W}_{M,N}(t)) + c(M^{-2q} + (\beta N)^{1-r})
\]
\[
\cdot (c_0 B_{\beta,\Omega}^{q,r}(W(t)) + B_{\beta,\Omega}^{q,r}(\partial_t W(t)) + B_{\beta,\Omega}^{q,r}(\partial_z W(t))).
\]
By multiplying (3.14) by \( e^{-c_0t} \), integrating the resulting inequality, and using (3.13), we reach
\[
(3.15) \quad E(\tilde{W}_{M,N}(t)) \leq e^{c_0t}(M^{-2q} + (\beta N)^{1-r})D_{\beta,\Omega}^{q,r}(W(t)),
\]
where
\[
D_{\beta,\Omega}^{q,r}(W(t)) = \int_0^t e^{-c_0\xi}(c_0 B_{\beta,\Omega}^{q,r}(W(\xi)) + B_{\beta,\Omega}^{q,r}(\partial_t W(\xi)) + B_{\beta,\Omega}^{q,r}(\partial_z W(\xi)))d\xi
\]
\[
+ A_{\beta,\Omega}^{q,r-1}(W_0) + B_{\beta,\Omega}^{q,r}(W_0).
\]
Finally, a combination of (2.29), (2.30) and (3.15), for \( 0 \leq t \leq T \), leads to
\[
(3.16) \quad ||W(t) - w_{M,N}(t)||^2_{\Omega} + \beta_0\mu \int_0^t ||\partial_t (W(\xi) - w_{M,N}(\xi))||^2_{\Omega}d\xi
\]
\[
\leq c(M^{-2q} + (\beta N)^{1-r})(c_0 D_{\beta,\Omega}^{q,r}(W(t)) + B_{\beta,\Omega}^{q,r}(W(t)) + \beta_0\mu \int_0^t B_{\beta,\Omega}^{q,r}(W(\xi))d\xi),
\]
provided that \( D_{\beta,\Omega}^{q,r}(W(t)) \) and \( B_{\beta,\Omega}^{q,r}(W(t)) \) are finite.

We may take \( w_{M,N}(0) = P_{M,N,\beta,\Omega}W_0 \) in (3.3). But, in this case, \( w_{M,N}(0) \) is not in \( V_{M,N,\beta}(\Omega) \). Following the same line as before and using (2.28), we still derive an error estimate like (3.16). But the quantity \( \ast A_{\beta,\Omega}^{q,r-1}(W_0) \) involved in the estimate is now replaced by \( A_{\beta,\Omega}^{q,r-1}(W_0) \).

3.3. Implementation. In this subsection, we describe the implementation for scheme (3.3) with a nonhomogeneous term \( f(x,v,t) \) at its right side. We use the Crank-Nicolson discretization in time \( t \), with the mesh size \( \tau \).

For simplicity of statements, we use the notation
\[
a_\Omega(z,\phi) = (\nu\partial_z z, \phi)_{\Omega} + \beta_0(\nu z, \partial_\phi \phi) + \gamma(x\partial_z \phi, \phi)_{\Omega} + \beta_0(\partial_z \phi, \partial_\phi \phi)_{\Omega}.
\]
The fully discrete scheme is as follows:
\[
(3.17) \quad \begin{cases}
\frac{1}{\tau}(w_{M,N}(t + \tau) - w_{M,N}(t), \phi)_{\Omega} + \frac{1}{2}a_\Omega(w_{M,N}(t + \tau) + w_{M,N}(t), \phi) \\
= \frac{1}{2}(f(t + \tau) + f(t), \phi)_{\Omega}, & t = 0, \tau, 2\tau, \ldots, T - \tau, \\
w_{M,N}(0) = \ast\ast P_{M,N,\beta,\Omega}W_0.
\end{cases}
\]
Let
\[ A_\Omega(z, u) = \frac{1}{2} \tau q_\Omega(z, u) + (z, u)_\Omega, \quad G_\Omega(z, u) = -\frac{1}{2} \tau p_\Omega(z, u) + (z, u)_\Omega. \]

Then, at each time step, we need to solve the equation (3.18)
\[ A_\Omega(w_{M,N}(t), \phi) = G_\Omega(w_{M,N}(t-\tau), \phi) + \frac{1}{2} \tau (f(t) + f(t-\tau), \phi)_\Omega, \quad \forall \phi \in V_{M,N,\beta}(\Omega). \]

For convenience, we denote \( \tilde{L}_i^{(0,\beta)}(v) \) by \( \tilde{L}_i^{(\beta)}(v) \). Let
\[ \psi_{1,i}^{(\beta)}(v) = \tilde{L}_i^{(\beta)}(v) - \tilde{L}_{i+1}^{(\beta)}(v), \quad \psi_{2,i}^{(\beta)}(v) = \tilde{L}_i^{(\beta)}(-v) - \tilde{L}_{i+1}^{(\beta)}(-v), \quad 0 \leq l \leq N - 1, \]
\[ \eta_{1,m}(x) = L_m(x) + L_{m+1}(x), \quad \eta_{2,m}(x) = L_m(x) - L_{m+1}(x), \quad 0 \leq m \leq M - 1, \]
and
\[ G_{k,l}^{(1,\beta)}(x, v) = \begin{cases} \eta_{1,k}(x) \psi_{1,l}^{(\beta)}(v), & \text{in } \Omega_1, \\ 0, & \text{in } \Omega_2, \end{cases} \quad G_{k,l}^{(2,\beta)}(x, v) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{in } \Omega_1, \\ \eta_{2,k}(x) \psi_{2,l}^{(\beta)}(v), & \text{in } \Omega_2. \end{cases} \]

Obviously, \( G_{k,l}^{(1,\beta)}(x, v), G_{k,l}^{(2,\beta)}(x, v) \in V_{M,N,\beta}(\Omega) \). Furthermore, let
\[ \tilde{G}_m^{(\beta)}(x, v) = (L_m(x) - L_{m+1}(x))e^{-\frac{1}{2} \beta |v|} \in V_{M,N,\beta}(\Omega), \quad 0 \leq m \leq M - 2. \]

The functions \( G_{k,l}^{(j,\beta)}(x, v), 0 \leq k \leq M - 1, 0 \leq l \leq N - 1, j = 1, 2 \) and \( \tilde{G}_m^{(\beta)}(x, v), 0 \leq m \leq M - 2 \), form a basis of \( V_{M,N,\beta}(\Omega) \).

In actual computation, we expand the numerical solution as
\[ w_{M,N}(x, v, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} v_{k,l}^{(1,\beta)} G_{k,l}^{(1,\beta)}(x, v) + \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} v_{k,l}^{(2,\beta)} G_{k,l}^{(2,\beta)}(x, v) + \sum_{m=0}^{M-2} v_m^{(0)}(t) \tilde{G}_m^{(\beta)}(x, v). \]

Inserting the above expansion into (3.18) and taking \( \phi = \tilde{G}_m^{(\beta)}(x, v) \) and \( \phi = G_{k,l}^{(j,\beta)}(x, v) \), respectively, we derive a matrix form of (3.3), in which all matrices are the tensor products of some tridiagonal symmetric matrices, tridiagonal antisymmetric matrices, upper-triangular matrices and pentadiagonal symmetric matrices. This feature simplifies the calculation. Indeed, this is another advantage of the proposed algorithm.

3.4. Numerical results. We use scheme (3.17) to solve (1.1) with \( \beta_0 = \gamma = \mu = 1 \) and a non-homogeneous source term \( f(x, v, t) \) at the right side. We take the test function:
\[ W(x, v, t) = 2^{\frac{1}{2}} (t + 2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 - x^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2} (t+1)}(x|v|v^2))e^{-\frac{1}{2}v^2}. \]

This function has a jump at \( v = 0 \), but
\[ W \in C^\infty(0, T; C^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)) \subset L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; M(\Omega)). \]

By this fact and the construction of \( w_{M,N}(x, y, t) \), the left side of (3.16) is meaningful. Further, the upper-bound of the numerical solution (cf. the right side of (3.16)) depends on the quantities \( D_{\beta,\Omega}(W(t)), B_{\beta,\Omega}(W(t)) \), and so on, which are in turn some combinations of the related norms of \( W \), defined on the two subdomains. Since the above test function has a discontinuity only at \( v = 0 \) and is
Granular and accurate numerical results are obtained for the fixed time step size \( \tau = 0.005 \). However, for small \( \tau = 0.05 \), the total numerical errors are dominated by the approximation errors in the space, and so they decay very fast as \( M \) and \( N \) increase. But for \( M \geq 17 \), the total numerical errors are dominated by the approximation errors in time \( t \). Thus, the numerical results keep the same accuracy, even if \( M \) and \( N \) increase again. A similar situation happens for \( \tau = 0.05 \). However, for small \( \tau \leq 0.005 \), the total numerical errors are dominated by the approximation errors in the space, and so they decay very fast as \( M \) and \( N \) increase. The above facts coincide very well with the theoretical analysis in Subsection 3.3. In particular, they show the spectral accuracy in the space of scheme (3.3).

In Figure 2, we plot \( \log_{10} E_{M,N}(t) \) at \( t = 10 \), with \( N = 5M \), \( \tau = 0.005 \) and different values of the parameter \( \beta \). It seems that the errors with suitably larger \( \beta \)
are smaller than those with smaller $\beta$. However, how to choose the best parameter $\beta$ is still an open problem. Roughly speaking, if the exact solution decays faster as $|v|$ increases, then it is better to take $\beta$ larger.

In Figure 3 and Figure 4, we plot the exact solution $W(x, v, t)$ and the numerical solution $w_{M,N}(x, v, t)$ with $M = 19, N = 95, \tau = 0.005$ and $\beta = 3$, respectively. They demonstrate that the numerical solution fits the exact solution very well.

4. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we proposed the composite generalized Laguerre-Legendre spectral method for the Fokker-Planck equation in an infinite channel, which plays an important role in many fields. The numerical results demonstrated the spectral accuracy in space and coincide well with the theoretical analysis.

The main advantages of the proposed approach are as follows:

• With the aid of composite generalized Laguerre-Legendre approximations, we could deal with PDEs properly, which are of different types on different subdomains. This trick also simplifies actual computations, especially for large modes $M$ and $N$.

• Using the mixed Laguerre-Legendre approximations, we could reasonably approximate those partial differential equations that behave like parabolic equations in one direction and behave like hyperbolic equations in the other direction.

• By using different generalized Laguerre approximations on different subdomains, we could exactly match the singularities of coefficients appearing in the underlying differential equations, which degenerate and grow up in different ways on different subdomains. Consequently, we could deal with the problems on the whole domain properly.

• The adjustable parameter $\beta$ involved in the generalized Laguerre approximation enables us to fit the asymptotic behaviors of exact solutions at infinity closely.

• By using different Legendre approximations on different subdomains, we could fit different kinds of boundary conditions, as imposed in the underlying problems.

Although we only considered the Fokker-Planck equation, the main idea and techniques developed in this paper are also applicable to many other problems of non-standard types. In particular, the results on some quasi-orthogonal approximations are very appropriate for various spectral and pseudospectral methods with
domain decompositions, as well as nonlinear problems and exterior problems; e.g., see [20] [30].

**APPENDIX**

We can follow the same line as in [26] to prove the existence, uniqueness and regularity of the solution of (3.1). We give the sketch of the proof as follows.

Step I. Let $\mathbb{M}_L$ be a family of finite-dimensional spaces, which approximates the space $\mathbb{M}$ consistently as $L \to \infty$. We consider the auxiliary problem

(A1)

$$
\begin{cases}
(\partial_t W_L(t), \phi)_\Omega - (vW_L(t), \partial_x \phi)_\Omega + \beta_0(vW_L(t), \partial_v \phi)_\Omega + \gamma(x \partial_v W_L(t), \phi)_\Omega \\
+ \beta_0 \mu(\partial_t W_L(t), \partial_v \phi)_\Omega + \int_{\Gamma_2} |v| W_L(\cdot, v, t) \phi(\cdot, v) \, dv = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathbb{M}_L, \quad 0 < t \leq T,
\end{cases}
$$

where $||W_{L,0} - W_0||_{L^2(\Omega)} \to 0$ as $L \to \infty$.

Taking $\phi = 2W_L$ in (A1), we obtain that

$$
\partial_t ||W_L(t)||^2_{\Omega} + 2\beta_0 \mu ||\partial_v W_L(t)||^2_{\Omega} + ||W_L(t)||^2_{L^2(\Omega)} = \beta_0 ||W_L(t)||^2_{L^2(\Omega)},
$$

whence

$$
||W_L(t)||^2_{\Omega} + 2\beta_0 \mu \int_0^t ||\partial_v W_L(\xi)||^2_{\Omega} \, d\xi + \int_0^t ||W_L(\xi)||^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \, d\xi
= \beta_0 \int_0^t ||W_L(t)||^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \, d\xi + ||W_{L,0}||^2_{\Omega} \leq \beta_0 \int_0^t ||W_L(t)||^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \, d\xi + c||W_0||^2_{L^2(\Omega)}.
$$

By the Gronwall inequality, $W_L, \partial_v W_L$ and $W_L|_{\Gamma_2}$ remain in some bounded sets of $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \ L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ and $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_2))$, respectively. Accordingly, we can extract a subsequence, still denoted by $W_L$, such that for certain $W^*$,

$$
W_L \to W^* \quad \text{ in } \ L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \text{ weak},
$$

$$
\partial_v W_L \to \partial_v W^* \quad \text{ in } \ L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \text{ weak},
$$

$$
W_L \to W^* \quad \text{ in } \ L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \text{ weak star},
$$

$$
W_L|_{\Gamma_2} \to W^*|_{\Gamma_2} \quad \text{ in } \ L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_2)) \text{ weak},
$$

$$
\partial_t W_L \to \partial_t W^* \quad \text{ in } \ L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \text{ weak}.
$$

Then by a standard compactness argument, we know that (3.1) has a solution $W$ such that

(A2)

$$
W \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \partial_v W \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), W|_{\Gamma_1} = 0, W|_{\Gamma_2} \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_2)).
$$

Step II. In the sense of distributions, the solution of (3.1) satisfies

(A3)

$$
\partial_t W + v \partial_x W - \beta_0 \partial_v (vW) + \gamma x \partial_v W - \beta_0 \mu \partial_x^2 W = 0, \quad (x, v) \in \Omega, \quad 0 < t \leq T.
$$

Following the same line as in [26], we multiply (A3) by $2v^2 W$ and integrate the result by parts to derive that

$$
\partial_t ||W(t)||^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \beta_0 ||W(t)||^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + ||W(t)||^2_{L^2(\Gamma_2)} + 2\beta_0 \mu ||\partial_v W(t)||^2_{L^2(\Omega)}
= -2\gamma \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} x v^2 W(t) \partial_v W(t) \, dx \, dv - 4\beta_0 \mu \int_{\Omega} v W(t) \partial_v W(t) \, dx \, dv
\leq \beta_0 \mu ||\partial_v W(t)||^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \frac{2\gamma^2}{\beta_0 \mu} ||W(t)||^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + 8\beta_0 \mu ||W(t)||^2_{L^2(\Omega)}.
$$
Integrating the above inequality with respect to $t$, we obtain that
\[
|W(t)|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \beta_0 \mu \int_0^t ||\partial_\nu W(\xi)||^2_{L^2(\Gamma_2)} d\xi + \int_0^t ||W(\xi)||^2_{L^2(\Omega)} d\xi \\
\leq \frac{2\gamma^2}{\beta_0} - \beta_0 \gamma \int_0^t ||W(\xi)||^2_{L^2(\Omega)} d\xi + 8\beta_0 \mu \int_0^t ||W(\xi)||^2_{L^2(\Omega)} d\xi + ||W_0||^2_{L^2(\Omega)}.
\]
By (A2), the last two terms of the above inequality are bounded. Therefore, we use the Gronwall inequality to conclude that
\[
W \in L^\infty(0,T; L^2(\Omega)), \quad \partial_\nu W \in L^2(0,T; L^2(\Gamma_2)), \quad W|_{\Gamma_2} \in L^2(0,T; \mathbb{M}(\Omega)), \quad W|_{\Gamma_2} \in L^2(0,T; L^2(\Omega)).
\]
The previous statements imply that (3.1) has at least a solution in $L^\infty(0,T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T; \mathbb{M}(\Omega))$. The uniqueness of the solution is clear. Since (3.1) is a linear problem, we can verify that if $W_0$ is smoother, then the solution is also smoother.
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