A NEW PRIME \( p \) FOR WHICH THE LEAST PRIMITIVE ROOT \((\text{mod} \ p)\) AND THE LEAST PRIMITIVE ROOT \((\text{mod} \ p^2)\) ARE NOT EQUAL
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Abstract. With the aid of a computer network we have performed a search for primes \( p < 10^{12} \) and revealed a new prime \( p = 6692367337 \) for which its least primitive root \((\text{mod} \ p)\) and its least primitive root \((\text{mod} \ p^2)\) are not equal.

1. Introduction

Denote by \( g(p) \) the least primitive root of a prime \( p \) and by \( h(p) \) the least primitive root \((\text{mod} \ p^2)\). Note that according to Jacobi, for an odd prime \( p \), any primitive root \((\text{mod} \ p^2)\) is also a primitive root \((\text{mod} \ p^k)\) for each natural number \( k \). Given a primitive root \((\text{mod} \ p)\), it is quite easy to find a primitive root \((\text{mod} \ p^k)\). This is due to an old theorem by V. A. Lebesque which states:

**Theorem.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime. If \( g \) is a primitive root \((\text{mod} \ p)\) and \( g \cdot g' \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k} \), \( 1 < g, g' < p \), then either \( g \) or \( g' \) is a primitive root \((\text{mod} \ p^k)\) for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \).

Unfortunately, this theorem does not give the answer to which number \( g \) or \( g' \) is the primitive root \((\text{mod} \ p^k)\). It has been shown by computation that in most small cases we have \( g(p) = h(p) \). In 1971 E. L. Litver and G. E. Yudina [5] found that among primes below \( 1001321 \) there exists only one prime \( p \) for that \( p \).

2. Method of approach and the new result

From elementary number theory we have the following simple criterion.

**Criterion.** If \( g \) is a primitive root \((\text{mod} \ p)\), then it is also a primitive root \((\text{mod} \ p^2)\) if and only if \( g^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2} \).

The above criterion suggests a method for obtaining exceptional primes \( p \) for which \( g(p) \neq h(p) \). It is sufficient to check for each prime \( p \) if \( g(p)^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2} \).

We have divided all computations into two steps. In the first step we took advantage of a large earlier precomputed table consisting of primes less than \( 2^{32} \) and its least primitive roots. There is only one prime \( p \) in the interval \([2, 2^{32}]\) for which \( g(p) \neq h(p) \), just the prime \( p = 40487 \), found by Litver and Yudina. All computations of this step were performed on one Pentium IV PC computer.
the second step, we used about 20 Pentium PC computers at the Warsaw School of Information Technology under auspices of the Polish Academy of Sciences and performed computations for primes $p$ up to $10^{12}$. During all process of computation we exploited the fact stated by R. Crandall, K. Dilcher and C. Pomerance [2] that below $4 \cdot 10^{12}$ there exist only two primes $p = 1093$, found by W. Meissner [6] and $p = 3511$, found by N. Beeger [1], for which the congruence $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ holds. These are called Wieferich primes. We check that for these two primes we have $g(p) = h(p)$. The search for Wieferich primes has been extended and the recent result for these primes was established by J. Knauer and J. Richstein [4], who checked all primes up to $1.25 \cdot 10^{15}$ and did not find any new Wieferich primes. All these arguments imply that there is no need to consider the least primitive root $g = 2$ in our study. By [8] this eliminates about 37.4% of primes $p \in [2, 10^{12}]$ for which we do not verify the condition of the above criterion.

Our calculations show that there is only one Litver–Yudina type prime $p = 6692367337$ in the interval $[2^{32}, 10^{12}]$. For this prime $p$ we have $g(p) = 5$ and $h(p) = 7$.

In [3] all generalized Wieferich primes were found, with bases $a$ between 100 and 1000, and $p < 10^{11}$. The smaller values of $a$ are listed in [7]. It is worth mentioning that the prime $p = 6692367337$ is among these reported in [3]. It follows from [3], that for all $10^{12} < p < 10^{13}$ if $g(p) = 3$ or $g(p) = 5$, then $g(p) = h(p)$.

On the base of computational observations we can formulate the following conjecture and question.

**Conjecture.** For most primes $p$, we have $g(p) = h(p)$.

**Question.** Do there exist infinitely many primes $p$ for which $g(p) \neq h(p)$?

Concerning the Conjecture and Question it should be pointed out that we do not know that there are infinitely many primes $p$ with $g(p) = h(p)$. I believe that the answer is positive in both cases.
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