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#### Abstract

We present the results of our search for the orders of Tate-Shafarevich groups for the Neumann-Setzer type elliptic curves.


## 1. Introduction

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ of conductor $N_{E}$, and let $L(E, s)$ denote its $L$-series. Let $Ш(E)$ be the Tate-Shafarevich group of $E, E(\mathbb{Q})$ the group of rational points, and $R(E)$ the regulator, with respect to the Néron-Tate height pairing. Finally, let $\Omega_{E}$ be the least positive real period of the Néron differential on $E$, and define $C_{\infty}(E)=\Omega_{E}$ or $2 \Omega_{E}$ according to whether $E(\mathbb{R})$ is connected or not, and let $C_{\text {fin }}(E)$ denote the product of the Tamagawa factors of $E$ at the bad primes. The Euler product defining $L(E, s)$ converges for $\operatorname{Re} s>3 / 2$. The modularity conjecture, proven by Wiles-Taylor-Diamond-Breuil-Conrad, implies that $L(E, s)$ has an analytic continuation to an entire function. The Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture relates the arithmetic data of $E$ to the behaviour of $L(E, s)$ at $s=1$.

Let $g_{E}$ be the rank of $E(\mathbb{Q})$ and let $r_{E}$ denote the order of the zero of $L(E, s)$ at $s=1$.

Conjecture 1 (Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer).
(i) We have $r_{E}=g_{E}$,
(ii) the group $Ш(E)$ is finite, and

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{L(E, s)}{(s-1)^{r_{E}}}=\frac{C_{\infty}(E) C_{\text {fin }}(E) R(E)|Ш(E)|}{\left|E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }}\right|^{2}} .
$$

If $Ш(E)$ is finite, the work of Cassels and Tate shows that its order must be a square.

The first general result in the direction of this conjecture was proven for elliptic curves $E$ with complex multiplication by Coates and Wiles in 1976 [4], who showed that if $L(E, 1) \neq 0$, then the group $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is finite. Gross and Zagier [17] showed that if $L(E, s)$ has a first-order zero at $s=1$, then $E$ has a rational point of infinite order. Rubin [25] proves that if $E$ has complex multiplication and $L(E, 1) \neq 0$, then $Ш(E)$ is finite. Kolyvagin [19] proved that, if $r_{E} \leq 1$, then $r_{E}=g_{E}$ and $Ш(E)$ is finite. Very recently, Bhargava, Skinner and Zhang [1] proved that at least $66.48 \%$ of all elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$, when ordered by height, satisfy the weak form of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture, and have finite Tate-Shafarevich group.

[^0]Coates et al. [3], 2], and Gonzalez-Avilés [16] showed that there is a large class of explicit quadratic twists of $X_{0}(49)$ whose complex $L$-series does not vanish at $s=1$, and for which the full Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture is valid. The deep results by Skinner-Urban [30] allow (in practice, see section 3 for instance) to establish the full version of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for a large class of elliptic curves without CM.

The numerical studies and conjectures by Conrey-Keating-Rubinstein-Snaith [6], Delaunay [11], 12], Watkins [33], Radziwiłł-Soundararajan [24] (see also the papers [9, [7, [8 and references therein) substantially extend the systematic tables given by Cremona.

Given an integer $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, such that $u^{2}+64$ is square-free, we define two families of elliptic curves of conductor $u^{2}+64$ (we call them the Neumann-Setzer type elliptic curves):

$$
E_{1}(u): \quad y^{2}+x y=x^{3}+\frac{1}{4}(u-1) x^{2}-x
$$

and

$$
E_{2}(u): \quad y^{2}+x y=x^{3}+\frac{1}{4}(u-1) x^{2}+4 x+u
$$

In this paper we present the results of our search for the orders of Tate-Shafarevich groups for the Neumann-Setzer type elliptic curves. Our data contains values of $\left|Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)\right|$ for 2056445 values of $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4),|u| \leq 10^{7}$ such that $u^{2}+64$ is a product of an odd number of different primes, and such that $L(E(u), 1) \neq 0$ (456702 of these values satisfy the condition $u^{2}+64$ is a prime). Additionally, we have considered 10000 values of $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4),|u| \geq 10^{8}$ such that $u^{2}+64$ is a product of an odd number of different primes, and in cases $L(E(u), 1) \neq 0$ we computed the orders of $Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)$. Our data extends the calculations given by Stein-Watkins 32] (resp. by Delaunay-Wuthrich [15]), where the authors considered $|u| \leq \sqrt{2} \times 10^{6}$ (resp. $|u| \leq 10^{6}$ ) such that $u^{2}+64$ is a prime.

Our main observations concern the asymptotic formulae in section 4 (frequency of orders of $Ш$ ) and section 6 (asymptotics for the sums $\sum\left|Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)\right| R\left(E_{i}(u)\right.$ in the rank zero and one cases), and the distributions of $\log L\left(E_{i}(u), 1\right)$ and $\log \left(\left|Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)\right| / \sqrt{|u|}\right)$ in section 7.

## 2. Preliminaries

We have $\Delta_{E_{1}(u)}=u^{2}+64$ and $\Delta_{E_{2}(u)}=-\left(u^{2}+64\right)^{2}$. The curves $E_{1}(u)$ and $E_{2}(u)$ are 2-isogenous: write $E_{1}(u)$ and $E_{2}(u)$ in short Weierstrass forms $\left(y^{2}=\right.$ $x^{3}+u x^{2}-16 x$ and $y^{2}=x^{3}-2 u x^{2}+\left(u^{2}+64\right) x$, respectively), and use ( 29$]$, Example 4.5 on p. 70). It is known, due to Neumann and Setzer (21], [28]), that in the case $u^{2}+64$ is a prime, the curves $E_{1}(u)$ and $E_{2}(u)$ are the only (up to isomorphism) elliptic curves with a rational 2-division point and conductor $u^{2}+64$. In general there are more than two, up to isomorphism, elliptic curves with a rational 2-division point and conductor $u^{2}+64$. Take, for instance, $u=-51$, then the curves $E_{1}(u)$ and $E_{2}(u)$ have conductor $2665=5 \cdot 13 \cdot 41$. In Cremona's online tables we find 8 elliptic curves of conductor 2665 with a rational 2-division point.

Lemma 1. We have:
(i) $E_{1}(u)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }} \simeq E_{2}(u)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$;
(ii) $\Omega_{E_{1}(u)}=\Omega_{E_{2}(u)}, C_{\infty}\left(E_{1}(u)\right)=2 \Omega_{E_{1}(u)}, C_{\infty}\left(E_{2}(u)\right)=\Omega_{E_{2}(u)}$;
(iii) $C_{\text {fin }}\left(E_{1}(u)\right)=1$, and $C_{\text {fin }}\left(E_{2}(u)\right)=2^{k}$, where $u^{2}+64=p_{1} \cdots p_{k}$.

Proof. (i) Let $E(u)=E_{1}(u)$ or $E_{2}(u)$. Then $E(u)$ has good reduction at 2. Using the reduction map modulo 2 , we obtain that $\left|E_{i}(u)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }}\right|$ divides 4 . Now, one checks that $E_{i}(u)(\mathbb{Q})$ have only one point of order two, and no points of order four.
(ii) To check that $\Omega_{E_{1}(u)}=\Omega_{E_{2}(u)}$, one uses the explicit forms of Weierstrass equations. Now the sign of the discriminant of $E_{1}(u)$ (resp. of $E_{2}(u)$ ) is positive (resp. negative), hence the remaining assertions follow.
(iii) We have $C_{f i n}\left(E_{1}(u)\right)=\prod_{p \mid \Delta_{E(u)}} C_{p}(E(u))$, where $C_{p}(E(u))=\left[E(u)\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)\right.$ : $\left.E_{0}(u)\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)\right]$, and $E_{0}(u)\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ denotes the subgroup of points of $E(u)\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ with nonsingular reduction modulo $p$. Both $E_{1}(u)$ and $E_{2}(u)$ have split multiplicative reductions at all primes $p$ dividing $u^{2}+64$. Hence, in this case, $C_{p}(E(u))=\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(\Delta_{E(u)}\right)$ (see, for instance, [2] Lemma 2.9), and the assertion follows.

Note that $L\left(E_{1}(u), s\right)=L\left(E_{2}(u), s\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} n^{-s}, \operatorname{Re}(s)>3 / 2$. Assuming the truth of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for $E(u)$ in the rank zero case, we can calculate the order of $Ш(E(u))$ by evaluating (an analytic continuation of) $L(E(u), s)$ at $s=1$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|Ш\left(E_{1}(u)\right)\right|=\frac{2 L\left(E_{1}(u), 1\right)}{\Omega_{E_{1}(u)}}, \\
& \left|Ш\left(E_{2}(u)\right)\right|=\frac{L\left(E_{2}(u), 1\right)}{2^{k-2} \Omega_{E_{2}(u)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where as above, $u^{2}+64=p_{1} \cdots p_{k}$ is a product of different primes.
More precisely, we have to calculate the value

$$
L(E(u), 1)=2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n}}{n} e^{-\frac{2 \pi n}{\sqrt{u^{2}+64}}}
$$

with sufficient accuracy.
Lemma 2. In order to determine the order of $Ш\left(E_{1}(u)\right)$ and $Ш\left(E_{2}(u)\right)$, it is enough to take $\frac{1}{8} \sqrt{u^{2}+64} \log \left(u^{2}+64\right)$ terms of the above series.
Proof. Repeat the proof of Theorem 16 in [15].
Let $\epsilon(E(u))$ denote the root number of $E(u)$.
Lemma 3. Let $u^{2}+64=p_{1} \cdots p_{k}$ be a product of different primes. Then $\epsilon(E(u))=(-1)^{k+1}$.
Proof. $\epsilon(E(u))=-\prod_{i=1}^{k} \epsilon_{p_{i}}(E(u))$, a product of local root numbers. Now, $E(u)$ has split multiplicative reduction at all $p_{i}$ dividing $u^{2}+64$. Hence, $\epsilon_{p_{i}}(E(u))=-1$, and the assertion follows.

Corollary 1. Assume the parity conjecture holds for the curves $E(u)$. Then $E(u)(\mathbb{Q})$ has even rank if and only if $u^{2}+64=p_{1} \cdots p_{k}$ is a product of an odd number of different primes.

We can use a classical 2-descent method ([29], Chapter X) to obtain a bound on the rank of $E_{i}(u)$ depending on $k$. Let $\phi: E_{1}(u) \rightarrow E_{2}(u)$ be the 2-isogeny, and write $\hat{\phi}$ for its dual. Let $S^{(\phi)}$ and $S^{(\hat{\phi})}$ denote the corresponding Selmer groups. One checks that $S^{(\phi)} \subset\left\langle p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right\rangle$ and $S^{(\hat{\phi})}=\langle-1\rangle$. As a consequence, we obtain $\operatorname{rank}\left(E_{i}(u)\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} S^{(\phi)}+\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} S^{(\hat{\phi})}-2 \leq k+1-2=k-1$. In particular, if $u^{2}+64$ is a prime, then $E_{i}(u)$ have rank zero, and if $k=2$, then $\operatorname{rank}\left(E_{i}(u)\right) \leq 1$ ( $=1$ if we assume the parity conjecture).

Definition 2. We say that an integer $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ satisfies condition $(*)$ if $u^{2}+64$ is a prime; we say that an integer $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ satisfies condition $\left(^{* *}\right)$ if $u^{2}+64$ is a product of odd number of different primes.

## 3. Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for Neumann-Setzer type elliptic curves

In this section, we will use the deep results by Skinner-Urban 30] (and other available techniques), to prove the full version of the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for a large class of Neumann-Setzer type elliptic curves.

Let $\bar{\rho}_{E, p}: \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ denote the Galois representation on the $p$ torsion of $E$. Assume $p \geq 3$.

Theorem 3 ( $\sqrt[30]{ }$, Theorem 2). Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ with conductor $N_{E}$. Suppose:
(i) E has good ordinary reduction at p;
(ii) $\bar{\rho}_{E, p}$ is irreducible;
(iii) there exists a prime $q \neq p$ such that $q \| N_{E}$ and $\bar{\rho}_{E, p}$ is ramified at $q$;
(iv) $\bar{\rho}_{E, p}$ is surjective.

If moreover $L(E, 1) \neq 0$, then the p-part of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture holds true, and we have

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{p}(|Ш(E)|)=\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(\frac{\left|E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }}\right|^{2} L(E, 1)}{C_{\infty}(E) C_{f i n}(E)}\right) .
$$

Take $E(u)=E_{1}(u)$ or $E_{2}(u)$. Then:
(a) $E(u)$ is semistable and has a rational 2-division point, hence $\bar{\rho}_{E(u), p}$ is irreducible for $p \geq 7$ by ([10], Theorem 7). Note moreover (by Wiles [34]) that at least one of $\bar{\rho}_{E(u), 3}$ or $\bar{\rho}_{E(u), 5}$ is irreducible.
(b) If $E$ is any semistable elliptic curve and $q \neq p$, then $\bar{\rho}_{E, p}$ is unramified at $q$ if and only if $p \operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\Delta_{E}\right)$. In our case, $\operatorname{ord}_{q}\left(\Delta_{E}(u)\right)$ equals 1 or 2 , hence $\bar{\rho}_{E(u), p}$ is ramified at any $q \geq 3$.
(c) If $E$ is any semistable elliptic curve, then $\bar{\rho}_{E, p}$ is surjective for $p \geq 11$ by [27]. More precisely, Serre ([27], Prop. 1) shows that in this case $\bar{\rho}_{E, p}$ is surjective for all primes $p$ unless $E$ admits an isogeny of degree $p$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. In particular, if such $E$ additionally has a rational 2-division point, then $\bar{\rho}_{E, p}$ is surjective for $p \geq 7$. Note (by [26], Prop. 21, and [27], Prop. 1), that in the case of semistable elliptic curve $E$, the representation $\bar{\rho}_{E, p}$ is surjective if and only if it is irreducible. Now, Zywina (35], Prop. 6.1) gives a criterion to determine whether $\bar{\rho}_{E, p}$ is surjective or not for any non-CM elliptic curve and any prime $p \leq 11$. Using such a criterion, one immediately checks surjectivity of $\bar{\rho}_{E_{i}(u), p}$ for $p=2,3$, and 5 . As a consequence, we obtain the following general result.

Proposition 1. The representations $\bar{\rho}_{E(u), p}$ are surjective for all primes $p$.
Summing up all the above information, we obtain the following nice result.
Corollary 2. Let $E=E_{1}(u)$ or $E_{2}(u)$, with $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ satisfying $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ and such that $L(E, 1) \neq 0$. If $E$ has good ordinary reduction at $p \geq 3$, then the $p$-part of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture holds for E.

Remark. Let us recall that a prime $p$ is good for an elliptic curve $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, if $p$ does not divide $N_{E} ; p$ is good ordinary for $E$, if it is good and $a_{p}=p+1-N_{p}(E)$ is not divisible by $p$ (here $N_{p}(E)$ denotes the number of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-points of the reduction $\left.E_{p}\right)$. Here are explicit conditions for small primes $p$ to satisfy the good ordinary condition in case $E=E_{i}(u)$ (we assume $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ ):
(i) $p=3$, additional condition $u \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$;
(ii) $p=5$, no additional condition on $u$;
(iii) $p=7$, additional condition $u \not \equiv 0(\bmod 7)$;
(iv) $p=11$, additional condition $u \not \equiv 0,4,7(\bmod 11)$.

Remark. One can use explicit descent algorithms to compute $\boldsymbol{\omega}\left(E_{i}(u)\right)[m]$ for $m=2,4$ or 8 . If $Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)[2]$ is trivial, then $Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)$ has odd order. If $Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)[2]=Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)[4]$, say, then $\operatorname{ord}_{2}\left|Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)\right|=\operatorname{ord}_{2}\left|Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)[2]\right|$. Similarly, one can use explicit descent algorithms to compute Ш $\left(E_{i}(u)\right)[m]$ for $m=3$ or 9. Again, if $Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)$ [3] is trivial, then $Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)$ has order not divisible by 3 (here we not require that 3 is good ordinary). If $Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)[3]=Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)[9]$, then $\operatorname{ord}_{3}\left|Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)\right|=\operatorname{ord}_{3}\left|Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)[3]\right|$.

The theses [20] and [31] explore both theoretical and computational methods to compute the orders of Tate-Shafarevich groups.

Remark. (i) Among 456702 values of $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4),|u| \leq 10^{7}$ satisfying (*), there are 379898 values of $|u|$ such that $E(u)$ has good ordinary reduction at any prime dividing the analytic order $|Ш(E(u))|$. The groups $Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)[2]$ are both trivial (by 2-descent), hence by Corollary 2 the values $|Ш(E(u))|$ are the algebraic orders of Ш.
(ii) Among 2056445 values of $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4),|u| \leq 10^{7}$ satisfying ( ${ }^{* *}$ ) and such that $L(E(u), 1) \neq 0$, there are 1148683 values of $|u|$ such that $\left|Ш\left(E_{2}(u)\right)\right|$ is odd and $E(u)$ has good ordinary reduction at any prime dividing the analytic order $\left|Ш\left(E_{2}(u)\right)\right|$. Again, by Corollary 2 all these values are the algebraic orders of Ш.

The numerical data are done under the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture. In particular, the experimental study in sections $4,5,6$, and 7 concern the analytic orders of the Tate-Shafarevich groups.

## 4. Frequency of orders of Ш

Our calculations strongly suggest that for any positive integer $k$ there are infinitely many integers $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ satisfying condition $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$, such that $E(u)$ has rank zero and $|Ш(E(u))|=k^{2}$. Below (at the end of this section) we will state a more precise conjecture.

Let $f(i, X)$ denote the number of integers $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4),|u| \leq X$, satisfying $\left(^{* *}\right)$ and such that $L(E(u), 1) \neq 0,\left|Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)\right|=1$. Let $g(X)$ denote the number of integers $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4),|u| \leq X$, satisfying $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ and such that $L(E(u), 1)=0$. We obtain the graphs in Figure 1 (compare [7, [8, where similar observations are made for the families of quadratic twists of several elliptic curves).

Consider the set consisting of 10000 values of integers $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4),|u| \geq 10^{8}$, satisfying $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$. Let $f(i)$ denote the number of such $u$ 's satisfying $L\left(E_{i}(u), 1\right) \neq 0$ and $\left|Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)\right|=1$, and let $g$ denote the number of such $u$ 's satisfying $L\left(E_{i}(u), 1\right)=$ 0 . Then $f(1)=118, f(2)=845, g=482$, hence $f(1) / g \approx 0,2448$, and $f(2) / g \approx$ 1,7531.


Figure 1. Graphs of the functions $f(i, X) / g(X), i=1,2$.

Delaunay and Watkins expect ([14], Heuristics 1.1):

$$
\sharp\left\{d \leq X: \epsilon\left(E_{d}\right)=1, \operatorname{rank}\left(E_{d}\right) \geq 2\right\} \sim c_{E} X^{3 / 4}(\log X)^{b_{E}+\frac{3}{8}}, \quad \text { as } \quad X \rightarrow \infty,
$$

where $c_{E}>0$, and there are four different possibilities for $b_{E}$, largely dependent on the rational 2-torsion structure of $E$. Watkins [33], and Park-Poonen-Voight-Wood [22] have conjectured that

$$
\sharp\{E: \operatorname{ht}(E) \leq X, \epsilon(E)=1, \operatorname{rank}(E) \geq 2\} \sim c X^{19 / 24}(\log X)^{3 / 8},
$$

where $E$ runs over all elliptic curves defined over the rationals, and $\operatorname{ht}(E)$ denotes the height of $E$.

We expect a similar asymptotic formula for the family $E(u)$. Let $H(X):=$ $\frac{X^{19 / 24}(\log X)^{3 / 8}}{g(X)}$, and $G_{i}(X):=\frac{X^{3 / 4}(\log X)^{i}}{g(X)}, i=0,1 / 2$ or 1 . We obtain the graphs in Figure 2 (partially) confirming our expectation.

Now let $f_{k}(i, X)$ denote the number of integers $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4),|u| \leq X$, satisfying $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ and such that $L(E(u), 1) \neq 0,\left|Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)\right|=k^{2}$. Let $F_{k}(i, X):=\frac{f(i, X)}{f_{k}(i, X)}$. We obtain the graphs in Figures 3 and 4 of the functions $F_{k}(i, X)$ for $i=1,2$ and $k=2,3,4,5,6,7$.

The above calculations suggest the following.
Conjecture 4. For any positive integer $k$ there are constants $c_{k, i}>0, \alpha_{k, i}$, and $\beta_{k, i}$ such that

$$
f_{k}(i, X) \sim c_{k, i} X^{\alpha_{k, i}}(\log X)^{\beta_{k, i}}, \quad \text { as } \quad X \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Conjectures 8 in [7] and 2 in [8] suggest similar asymptotics for the family of quadratic twists of any elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.


Figure 2. Graph of the function $H(X)$.


Figure 3. Graphs of the functions $F_{k}(1, X), k=2, \ldots, 7$.

Consider the set consisting of 10000 values of integers $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4),|u| \geq 10^{8}$, satisfying $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$. Let $f_{k}(i)$ denote the number of such $u$ 's satisfying $L\left(E_{i}(u), 1\right) \neq 0$ and $\left|Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)\right|=k^{2}$. Let $F_{k}(i):=\frac{f_{1}(i)}{f_{k}(i)}$. We obtain

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
F_{2}(1) \approx 0.2256, & F_{3}(1) \approx 0.8251, & F_{4}(1) \approx 0.1779 \\
F_{5}(1) \approx 1.0825, & F_{6}(1) \approx 0.2494, & F_{7}(1) \approx 1.1919 \\
F_{2}(2) \approx 1.1901, & F_{3}(2) \approx 1.0682, & F_{4}(2) \approx 1.5590 \\
F_{5}(2) \approx 1.4955, & F_{6}(2) \approx 1.9031, & F_{7}(2) \approx 1.8449
\end{array}
$$



Figure 4. Graphs of the functions $F_{k}(2, X), k=2, \ldots, 7$.

## 5. Cohen-Lenstra heuristics for the order of Ш

Delaunay [12] has considered Cohen-Lenstra heuristics for the order of TateShafarevich group. He predicts, among others, that in the rank zero case, the probability that $|Ш(E)|$ of a given elliptic curve $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is divisible by a prime $p$ should be $f_{0}(p):=1-\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(1-p^{1-2 j}\right)=\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{3}}+\cdots$. Hence, $f_{0}(2) \approx 0.580577$, $f_{0}(3) \approx 0.360995, f_{0}(5) \approx 0.206660, f_{0}(7) \approx 0.145408, f_{0}(11) \approx 0.092$, and so on.

Let $F(X)$ (resp. $G(X))$ denote the number of integers $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4),|u| \leq$ $X$, satisfying $\left({ }^{*}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\left(^{* *}\right)\right)$ and such that $L(E(u), 1) \neq 0$. Let $F_{p}(X)$ (resp. $G_{p}(X)$ if $\left.p \geq 3\right)$ denote the number of integers $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4),|u| \leq X$, satisfying $\left(^{*}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.{ }^{(* *)}\right)$, such that $L(E(u), 1) \neq 0$ and $|Ш(E(u))|$ is divisible by $p$. Let $G_{2}(i, X)$ denote the number of integers $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4),|u| \leq X$, satisfying $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$, such that $L(E(u), 1) \neq 0$ and $\left|Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)\right|$ is divisible by 2 . Let $f_{p}(X):=\frac{F_{p}(X)}{F(X)}$, $g_{p}(X):=\frac{G_{p}(X)}{G(X)}$, and $g_{2}(i, X):=\frac{G_{2}(i, X)}{G(X)}$. We obtain the following table, extending the calculations given by Stein-Watkins [32] and Delaunay-Wuthrich [15]:

| $X$ | $f_{3}(X)$ | $f_{5}(X)$ | $f_{7}(X)$ | $f_{11}(X)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \cdot 10^{6}$ | 0.358355 | 0.189909 | 0.123182 | 0.061527 |
| $4 \cdot 10^{6}$ | 0.362001 | 0.192343 | 0.126864 | 0.066945 |
| $6 \cdot 10^{6}$ | 0.363294 | 0.194413 | 0.129213 | 0.069780 |
| $8 \cdot 10^{6}$ | 0.364051 | 0.196239 | 0.130556 | 0.071144 |
| $10^{7}$ | 0.365067 | 0.197048 | 0.131812 | 0.072358 |

The numerical values of $f_{3}(X)$ exceed the expected value $f_{0}(3)$. In general, the values $f_{k}(X)$ may tend to some constants depending on the various congruential values of $u$ (compare [32]).


Figure 5. Graphs of the functions $f(T)$ and $g_{i}(T), i=1,2$.

It seems that it would be better to consider $u$ 's satisfying $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$, but here the convergence is very slow. Here are the results:

| $X$ | $g_{2}(1, X)$ | $g_{2}(2, X)$ | $g_{3}(X)$ | $g_{5}(X)$ | $g_{7}(X)$ | $g_{11}(X)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \cdot 10^{6}$ | 0.746231 | 0.313111 | 0.295592 | 0.127626 | 0.072959 | 0.030979 |
| $4 \cdot 10^{6}$ | 0.761104 | 0.326554 | 0.303529 | 0.134259 | 0.078513 | 0.034796 |
| $6 \cdot 10^{6}$ | 0.768805 | 0.333854 | 0.307670 | 0.138168 | 0.081543 | 0.036884 |
| $8 \cdot 10^{6}$ | 0.774040 | 0.338854 | 0.310603 | 0.140959 | 0.083638 | 0.038350 |
| $10^{7}$ | 0.777917 | 0.342322 | 0.312758 | 0.143060 | 0.085332 | 0.039481 |

Note that the value $\left(g_{2}\left(1,10^{7}\right)+g_{2}\left(2,10^{7}\right)\right) / 2 \approx 0.56012$ is not so far from the expected one.

We have computed the orders of 9518 pairs of Tate-Shafarevich groups $\left(Ш\left(E_{1}(u)\right)\right.$, $\left.Ш\left(E_{1}(u)\right)\right)$ for $|u| \geq 10^{8}, u \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, satisfying $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$, and such that $L(E(u), 1) \neq$ 0 . We obtained the following table:

| $p$ | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency of $p\left\|\left\|Ш\left(E_{1}(u)\right)\right\|\right.$ | 0.826329 | 0.332213 | 0.167262 | 0.111053 | 0.058100 |
| Frequency of $p\left\|\left\|Ш\left(E_{2}(u)\right)\right\|\right.$ | 0.393045 | 0.332213 | 0.167262 | 0.111053 | 0.058100 |

## 6. Asymptotic formulae

6.1. The rank zero case. Let $M^{*}(T):=\frac{1}{T^{*}} \sum|Ш(E(u))|$, where the sum is over integers $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4),|u| \leq T$, satisfying $\left(^{*}\right)$ and $L(E(u), 1) \neq 0$, and $T^{*}$ denotes the number of terms in the sum. Similarly, let $N_{i}^{* *}(T):=\frac{1}{T_{i}^{* *}} \sum\left|Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)\right|$, where $i=1,2$, and the sum is over integers $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4),|u| \leq T$, satisfying $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ and $L(E(u), 1) \neq 0$, and $T_{i}^{* *}$ denotes the number of terms in the sum. Let $f(T):=$ $\frac{M^{*}(T)}{T^{1 / 2}}$, and $g_{i}(T):=\frac{N_{i}^{* *}(T)}{T^{1 / 2}}$. We obtain Figure 5


Figure 6. Graph of the function $u(X)$.

Note similarity with the predictions by Delaunay [11 for the case of quadratic twists of a given elliptic curve (and numerical evidence in [7], [8]).
6.2. The rank one case. Let $T(X):=\frac{2}{X^{*}} \sum \frac{L^{\prime}\left(E_{1}(u), 1\right)}{\Omega_{E_{1}(u)}}$, where the sum is over integers $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4),|u| \leq X$, such that $u^{2}+64=p_{1} \cdots p_{k}$ is a product of even number of different primes, and $X^{*}$ denotes the number of terms in the sum. Let $u(X):=\frac{T(X)}{X^{1 / 2} \log (X)}$. Then, using PARI/GP for computations of $L^{\prime}\left(E_{1}(u), 1\right)$, we obtain Figure 6 .

Hence, assuming the exact Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for the rank one families $E_{i}(u), i=1,2$, where $u^{2}+64=p_{1} \cdots p_{k}$ is a product of an even number of different primes, we expect the asymptotic formulae

$$
\frac{1}{X^{*}} \sum\left|Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)\right| R\left(E_{i}(u)\right) \sim c_{i} X^{1 / 2} \log X, \quad \text { as } \quad X \rightarrow \infty
$$

where we sum over $|u| \leq X, u \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, such that $u^{2}+64=p_{1} \cdots p_{k}$ is a product of an even number of different primes (compare [7, section 7.2).

Remark. Delaunay and Roblot [13] investigated regulators of elliptic curves with rank one in some families of quadratic twists of a fixed elliptic curve, and formulated some conjectures on the average size of these regulators. Delaunay asked us to do similar calculations for our family $E_{i}(u)$. We hope to consider such investigations in the future.

## 7. Distributions of $L(E(u), 1)$ and $|Ш(E(u))|$

7.1. Distribution of $L(E(u), 1)$. It is a classical result (due to Selberg) that the values of $\log \left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t\right)\right|$ follow a normal distribution.

Let $E$ be any elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ denote the set of all fundamental discriminants $d$ with $\left(d, 2 N_{E}\right)=1$ and $\epsilon_{E}(d)=\epsilon_{E} \chi_{d}\left(-N_{E}\right)=1$, where $\epsilon_{E}$ is the root number of $E$ and $\chi_{d}=(d / \cdot)$. Keating and Snaith [18] have conjectured that, for


Figure 7. Histogram of values $\left(\log L(E(u), 1)+\frac{1}{2} \log \log |u|\right)$ $/ \sqrt{\log \log |u|}$ for $|u| \leq B: u \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ satisfying $\left(^{* *}\right)$, and such that $L(E, 1) \neq 0$.
$d \in \mathcal{E}$, the quantity $\log L\left(E_{d}, 1\right)$ has a normal distribution with mean $-\frac{1}{2} \log \log |d|$ and variance $\log \log |d|$; see [6], 7], [8] for numerical data towards this conjecture.

Below we consider the family of Neumann-Setzer type elliptic curves. Our data suggest that the values $\log L(E(u), 1)$ also follow an approximate normal distribution. Let $B=10^{7}, W=\left\{|u| \leq B: u \equiv 1(\bmod 4)\right.$ and satisfies $\left.\left({ }^{* *}\right)\right\}$ and $I_{x}=[x, x+0.1)$ for $x \in\{-10,-9.9,-9.8, \ldots, 10\}$. We create a histogram with bins $I_{x}$ from the data $\left\{\left(\log L(E(u), 1)+\frac{1}{2} \log \log |u|\right) / \sqrt{\log \log |u|}:|u| \in W\right\}$. We picture this histogram in Figure 7
7.2. Distribution of $|Ш(E(u))|$. It is an interesting question to find results (or at least a conjecture) on distribution of the order of the Tate-Shafarevich group for rank zero Neumann-Setzer type elliptic curves $E_{1}(u)$ and $E_{2}(u)$. It turns out that the values of $\log \left(\left|Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)\right| / \sqrt{|u|}\right)$ are the natural ones to consider (compare Conjecture 1 in [24, and numerical experiments in [7], [8]). Below we create histograms from the data $\left\{\left(\log \left(\left|Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)\right| / \sqrt{|u|}\right)-\mu_{i} \log \log |u|\right) / \sqrt{\sigma_{i}^{2} \log \log |u|}:|u| \in W\right\}$, where $\mu_{1}=-\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}-\log 2, \sigma_{1}^{2}=1$, and $\sigma_{2}^{2}=1+(\log 2)^{2}$ (here we use Lemma 1(iii) above, and Lemma 4 in [24]). Our data suggest that the values $\log \left(\left|Ш\left(E_{i}(u)\right)\right| / \sqrt{|u|}\right)$ also follow an approximate normal distribution. We picture these histograms in Figures 8 and 9
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Figure 8. Histogram of values $\left(\log \left(\left|Ш\left(E_{1}(u)\right)\right| / \sqrt{|u|}\right)+\right.$ $\left.\frac{1}{2} \log \log |u|\right) / \sqrt{\log \log |u|}$ for $|u| \leq B: u \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ satisfying $\left(^{* *}\right)$, and such that $L(E, 1) \neq 0$.


Figure 9. Histogram of values $\left(\log \left(\left|Ш\left(E_{2}(u)\right)\right| / \sqrt{|u|}\right)+\right.$ $\left.\left(\frac{1}{2}+\log 2\right) \log \log |u|\right) / \sqrt{\left(1+(\log 2)^{2}\right) \log \log |u|}$ for $|u| \leq B: u \equiv$ $1(\bmod 4)$ satisfying $(* *)$, and such that $L(E, 1) \neq 0$.

Our experimental data were obtained using the the PARI/GP software [23]. The computations were carried out in 2015 and 2016 on the HPC cluster HAL9000 and desktop computers Core(TM) 2 Quad Q8300 4GB/8GB. All machines are located at the Department of Mathematics and Physics of Szczecin University.
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