CLASSIFICATION OF 2-REFLECTIVE HYPERBOLIC LATTICES OF RANK 4

E. B. VINBERG

Abstract. A hyperbolic lattice is said to be 2-reflective if its automorphism group contains a subgroup of finite index generated by 2-reflections. We determine all 2-reflective hyperbolic lattices of rank 4. (For all other values of the rank, this was done by V. V. Nikulin.)

In this paper we classify 2-reflective hyperbolic lattices of rank 4 (for definitions, see below). The author obtained this classification in 1981. While it was mentioned in [7], the proofs were only published as a preprint [14] in 1998. The classification of 2-reflective hyperbolic lattices is a pivotal part of the classification of algebraic K3-surfaces with finite automorphism groups [5, 6].

A quadratic lattice is a free abelian group endowed with a non-degenerate integral symmetric bilinear form, called the scalar product. It is said to be Euclidean if the scalar product is positive definite and hyperbolic if it has signature \((n, 1)\).

A quadratic lattice \(L\) can be viewed as a lattice in a (pseudo-)Euclidean vector space \(V = L \otimes \mathbb{R}\). The automorphism group \(O(L)\) of \(L\) then becomes a lattice (i.e., a discrete subgroup of finite co-volume) in the (pseudo-)orthogonal group \(O(V)\).

In the hyperbolic case, one of the two connected components of the hyperboloid

\[
(x, x) = -1
\]

can be viewed as a model of \(n\)-dimensional Lobachevsky space \(L^n\) such that the group of motions of \(L^n\) is the subgroup \(O'(V)\) of index 2 in \(O(V)\) consisting of transformations preserving each connected component of the hyperboloid \((0.1)\). In this model, the planes of \(L^n\) are non-empty intersections of the hyperboloid \(L^n\) with subspaces of \(V\). The points at infinity in \(L^n\) correspond to the isotropic one-dimensional subspaces of \(V\). The group

\[O'(L) = O(L) \cap O'(V)\]

is a discrete group of motions of \(L^n\) with finite co-volume.

A primitive vector \(e\) of the quadratic lattice \(L\) is called a root or, more precisely, a \(k\)-root if \((e, e) = k > 0\) and

\[
2(e, x) \in k\mathbb{Z} \quad \forall x \in L.
\]

Any root \(e\) gives rise to an orthogonal reflection

\[R_e : x \mapsto x - \frac{2(e, x)}{(e, e)} e,\]

which preserves \(L\), i.e., belongs to \(O(L)\). In the hyperbolic case, \(R_e\) gives rise to a reflection in the hyperplane

\[H_e = \{ x \in L^n : (e, x) = 0 \}\]
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of the space $L^n$. The reflection defined by a $k$-root is called a \textit{k-reflection}. Clearly, a root is determined by the corresponding reflection up to sign.

We are especially interested in 2-reflections. Notice that any vector $e \in L$ with $(e, e) = 2$ automatically satisfies (0.2), i.e., it is a root.

Let $O_r(L)$ (respectively, $O_r^{(2)}(L)$) denote the (normal) subgroup of $O(L)$ generated by all reflections (respectively, all 2-reflections) contained in $O(L)$. A hyperbolic lattice $L$ is said to be \textit{reflective} (respectively, \textit{2-reflective}) if $O_r(L)$ (respectively, $O_r^{(2)}(L)$) is of finite index in $O(L)$.

If $L'$ is a sublattice of $L$, then $O_r^{(2)}(L') \subset O_r^{(2)}(L)$, and $O(L')$ and $O(L)$ are commensurable. Thus if $L'$ is 2-reflective, then $L$ is also 2-reflective.

A quadratic lattice $L$ is said to be \textit{even} if $(x, x) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for any $x \in L$; otherwise it is said to be \textit{odd}. Any odd lattice $L$ contains a unique even sublattice $L^{\text{even}}$ of index 2, namely,

$$L^{\text{even}} = \{x \in L : (x, x) \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}.$$ 

Since $O(L) \subset O(L^{\text{even}})$ and any 2-root of $L^{\text{even}}$ is a 2-root of $L$, we have

$$O_r^{(2)}(L) = O_r^{(2)}(L^{\text{even}}).$$

It follows that an odd hyperbolic lattice $L$ is 2-reflective if and only if $L^{\text{even}}$ is 2-reflective. This allows us to consider only even lattices.

Let $L$ be a hyperbolic lattice. Then $O_r(L)$ and $O_r^{(2)}(L)$ are discrete subgroups generated by reflections of $L^n$. Let $P$ and $P^{(2)}$ denote their fundamental polyhedra (in general, infinite). The lattice $L$ is reflective (respectively, 2-reflective) if and only if $\text{vol} P < \infty$ (respectively, $\text{vol} P^{(2)} < \infty$) or, equivalently, if $P$ (respectively, $P^{(2)}$) is finite [10]. There is an algorithm allowing for any given lattice $L$ to recursively find all the faces of $P$ or $P^{(2)}$ and to determine if there are only finitely many of them [10] [12] [2].

V. V. Nikulin [5, 6] classified 2-reflective hyperbolic lattices of rank $\neq 4$. On the other hand, R. Scharlau and C. Walhorn [8] gave a list of all maximal groups of the form $O_r(L)$, where $L$ is a reflective isotropic hyperbolic lattice of rank 4.

To state our result, we need some notation.

$[C]$ – a quadratic lattice whose scalar product is given, in some basis, by a (symmetric) matrix $C$,

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

– the standard 2-dimensional hyperbolic lattice,

$A_n$ – the (Euclidean) root lattice of type $A_n$,

$L \oplus M$ – the orthogonal direct sum of lattices $L$ and $M$,

$[k]L$ – the quadratic lattice obtained from $L$ by multiplying all scalar products by $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

\textbf{Theorem 1.} 1) Any isotropic 2-reflective even hyperbolic lattice of rank 4 is isomorphic to one of the following 12 lattices:

\begin{align*}
[k]U \oplus [2] \oplus [2], & k = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\
[k]U \oplus A_2, & k = 1, 2, 3, 6, \\
\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \oplus A_2, & \\
[-4] \oplus [4] \oplus A_2, & \\
[-4] \oplus A_3, &
\end{align*}
2) Any anisotropic 2-reflective even hyperbolic lattice of rank 4 is isomorphic to one of the following two lattices:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{bmatrix}
\text{,}
\begin{bmatrix}
-12 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

All of the above lattices are indeed 2-reflective and not isomorphic to each other. For more detail see below. We only remark here that the last two lattices are the even sublattices of index 2 in the 2-reflective odd lattices

\[-7 \oplus [1] \oplus [1] \oplus [1], \quad [15] \oplus [1] \oplus [1] \oplus [1],
\]
respectively.
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1. THE KEY IDEAS

A vertex of a 3-dimensional convex polyhedron is said to be simple if it belongs to exactly three faces.

A convex polyhedron of finite volume in $L^3$ is just a convex hull of finitely many ordinary points and points at infinity.

Let $P$ be a Coxeter polyhedron of finite volume in $L^3$. Then any ordinary vertex of $P$ is simple whereas any vertex at infinity is either simple or belongs to four faces, of which any adjacent ones are perpendicular and any non-adjacent ones are parallel.

Let $v, e$ and $f$ denote the number of vertices, edges and faces of $P$, respectively. By the Euler equation,

\[v - e + f = 2.\]

Denote by $v_3$ and $v_4$ the numbers of simple and non-simple vertices of $P$ and, for any face $F$, by $v_3(F)$ and $v_4(F)$ the numbers of simple and non-simple vertices of $P$ belonging to $F$. Obviously,

\[v = v_3 + v_4,\]
\[2e = 3v_3 + 4v_4.\]

It follows from (1.1)–(1.3) that

\[f - \frac{1}{2}v_3 - v_4 = 2,
\]
whence

\[f > \frac{1}{2}v_3 + v_4 = \sum_F \left( \frac{1}{6}v_3(F) + \frac{1}{4}v_4(F) \right).
\]

Therefore, there is a face $F$ such that

\[\frac{1}{6}v_3(F) + \frac{1}{4}v_4(F) < 1.
\]

Clearly, $F$ cannot have more than five sides. In fact, taking account of all the possibilities, we have

**Proposition 1.** Any Coxeter polyhedron of finite volume in $L^3$ has either a 3-face, or a 4-face with at most three non-simple vertices, or else a 5-face with at most one non-simple vertex.
We apply this to the fundamental polyhedron $P^{(2)}$ of $O^{(2)}_L$, where $L$ is a 2-reflective hyperbolic lattice of rank 4.

Suppose a face $F$ of $P^{(2)}$ is one of the types listed in the proposition and let $F_1, \ldots, F_k$ ($k = 3, 4, 5$) be the adjacent faces. Let $u_1, \ldots, u_k, u$ be the 2-roots corresponding to $F_1, \ldots, F_k, F$, respectively. We shall see that there are only a few possibilities for the Gram matrix

$$G = G(u_1, \ldots, u_k, u)$$

of the vectors $u_1, \ldots, u_k, u$ and, therefore, for the lattice $L'$ generated by them.

Clearly, $L'$ is of finite index in $L$, so $L$ is between $L'$ and the dual lattice $(L')^*$. We also have

$$[(L')^* : L'] = |d(L')|, \quad [L : L']^2 | d(L'),$$

where $d(L')$ is the discriminant of $L$. Thus, for a given lattice $L'$ there are only finitely many (in fact, few) possibilities for $L$.

In such a way we shall obtain a finite (in fact, relatively short) list of lattices that may be 2-reflective.

2. INVARIANTS OF A QUADRATIC LATTICE

In this section we recall some results related to the classification of indefinite quadratic lattices. For further details and proofs, see [3] and [4].

Let $A$ be a principal ideal ring. A quadratic $A$-module is a free $A$-module of finite rank endowed with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear $A$-valued form, called the scalar product. In particular, a quadratic $\mathbb{Z}$-module is called a quadratic lattice.

The discriminant $d(L)$ of a quadratic $A$-module $L$ is the determinant of the Gram matrix of a basis of $L$. It is defined up to multiplication by an element of the group $(A^*)^2$ (where $A^*$ stands for the group of units of $A$) and can be viewed as an element of the semigroup $A/(A^*)^2$. A quadratic $A$-module $L$ is said to be unimodular, if $d(A) \in A^*$. In the case when $2 \notin A^*$, a quadratic $A$-module $L$ is said to be even if $(x, x) \in 2A$ for any $x \in L$, and odd otherwise.

We extend to quadratic modules the notation $[C], U, A_n, L \oplus M, [k]L$ introduced above for quadratic lattices.

Since $(\mathbb{Z}^*)^2 = \{1\}$, the discriminant $d(L)$ of a quadratic lattice $L$ is just an integer. The invariant factors of the Gram matrix of a basis of $L$ are called the invariant factors of $L$. Their product equals $|d(L)|$. They can be viewed as the invariant factors of $L$ as a subgroup (of finite index) in the group

$$L^* = \{x \in L \otimes \mathbb{Q} : (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \ \forall y \in L\},$$

which is a free abelian group of the same rank.

Any quadratic lattice $L$ gives rise to a quadratic real vector space $L_\infty = L \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and, for any prime $p$, a quadratic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module $L_p = L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$, where $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is the ring of $p$-adic integers. The signature of $L_\infty$ is called the signature of $L$. Clearly, if quadratic lattices $L$ and $M$ are isomorphic, they have the same signature and $L_p \simeq M_p$ for any prime $p$. The converse is also true under the following assumptions:

(I) $L$ is indefinite;

(II) for any prime $p$ there are two invariant factors of $L$ divisible by the same power of $p$.

The structure of quadratic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules can be described as follows. Any such module $L$ admits a Jordan decomposition

$$L = L^{(0)} \oplus [p]L^{(1)} \oplus [p^2]L^{(2)} \oplus \cdots,$$
where $L^{(0)}, L^{(1)}, L^{(2)}, \ldots$ are unimodular quadratic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules. Those unimodular modules are determined by $L$ uniquely up to isomorphism, unless $p \neq 2$. When $p = 2$ the rank and the parity of each such module is uniquely determined by $L$.

Following [4], define the sign $s(L)$ of a unimodular quadratic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module $L$ as follows. For $p \neq 2$, set $s(L) = 1$ if $d(L) \in (\mathbb{Z}_p)^2$, and $s(L) = -1$ otherwise. For $p = 2$, set $s(L) = 1$ if $d(L) \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, and $s(L) = -1$ otherwise.

For $p \neq 2$ any unimodular quadratic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module is isomorphic to

$$[1] \oplus \cdots \oplus [1] \oplus [u] \quad (u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*)$$

and is, therefore, uniquely determined by its rank and parity.

To any quadratic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module $L$ ($p \neq 2$) we assign the symbol, which is a formal product of the numbers $p^k$ for which $L^{(k)} \neq 0$, with exponents $\text{rk } L^{(k)}$ and with overbars in the cases when $s(L^{(k)}) = -1$. For example, the symbol of

$$L = [1] \oplus [1] \oplus [3](1 \oplus [1] \oplus [-1]) \oplus [27]$$

is $1^{2}2^{3}3^{1}27^{1}$.

It follows from the above that for $p \neq 2$ two quadratic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules are isomorphic if and only if their symbols are the same.

When $p = 2$ the situation is more complicated. Following the approach in [4], one can define uniquely and consistently the oddity $o(L)$ of a unimodular quadratic $\mathbb{Z}_2$-module $L$ as a residue modulo 8, subject to the following conditions:

- $o([u]) \equiv u \pmod{8}$ when $u \in \mathbb{Z}_2^*$;
- $o(L) = 0$ if $L$ is even;
- $o(L \oplus M) = o(L) + o(M)$.

Any even unimodular quadratic $\mathbb{Z}_2$-module is isomorphic to

$$U \oplus \cdots \oplus U \oplus K,$$

where $K = U$ or $A_2$, and is, therefore, uniquely determined by its rank (which is always even) and sign.

Any odd unimodular quadratic $\mathbb{Z}_2$-module $L$ decomposes into a direct sum of an even quadratic $\mathbb{Z}_2$-module and one or two (depending on the parity of $\text{rk } L$) quadratic $\mathbb{Z}_2$-modules of rank 1. It is uniquely determined by its rank, sign and oddity. Clearly

$$o(L) \equiv \text{rk } L \pmod{2}.$$

To any quadratic $\mathbb{Z}_2$-module $L$ and a Jordan decomposition

$$(2.1) \quad L = L^{(0)} \oplus [2]L^{(1)} \oplus [4]L^{(2)} \oplus \cdots$$

we assign the pre-symbol, which is a formal product of the numbers $2^k$ for which $L^{(k)} \neq 0$, with exponents $\text{rk } L^{(k)}$, with overbars when $s(L^{(k)}) = -1$, and with subscripts $o(L^{(k)})$ when $L^{(k)}$ is odd. For example, the pre-symbol of

$$L = A_2 \oplus [4](U \oplus [3]) \oplus [-8]$$

is $1^{2}4^{1}8^{-1}$. 

In general, the pre-symbol depends on the choice of a Jordan decomposition of $L$. To explain the situation, we want to use notation introduced in [4].

A compartment is a maximal sequence of consecutive (non-trivial) odd modules $L^{(k)}$, $L^{(k+1)}, \ldots, L^{(i)}$ in the decomposition (2.1). The oddity of a compartment is the sum of the oddities of all of its elements. The symbol of $L$ with respect to the decomposition (2.1) is obtained by putting in parentheses the factors of each compartment and replacing their subscripts by a subscript of the whole compartment, which equals its oddity. (We do not parenthesize the compartments consisting of a single element.) For instance, in the above example the symbol is $1^{2}4^{1}8^{-1}2$. 
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A pair of consecutive factors of a symbol is said to be flexible if:

- they correspond to modules $L^{(k)}$, $L^{(k+1)}$, at least one of which is odd; or
- they correspond to odd modules $L^{(k-1)}$, $L^{(k+1)}$ (with $L^{(k)} = 0$).

For example, in the previous symbol $\bar{l}^2(\bar{4}^38^1)_2$ the second pair is flexible, whereas the first one is not.

An elementary transformation of a symbol is the change of signs of the factors of a flexible pair, combined with adding 4 to the oddities of all (i.e., one or two) compartments containing them. Two symbols are equivalent if they can be obtained from each other by applying several elementary operations. For example,

\begin{align*}
\bar{l}^2(\bar{4}^38^1)_2 & \sim 1^2(4^38^1)_{-2}, \\
\bar{l}^2_24^1_18^2T^6 & \sim 1^2_2\bar{4}^3_18^2T^6 \sim 1^2_24^3_18^2T^6 \sim 1^2_24^3_1\bar{8}^2T^6.
\end{align*}

Two quadratic $\mathbb{Z}_2$-modules are isomorphic if and only if their symbols are equivalent.

Now let $L$ be a quadratic lattice and $L_p = L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ for any prime $p$. Then $\text{rk} L_p^{(k)}$ equals the number of invariant factors of $L$ exactly divisible by $p^k$. Now, if $d(L) = p^m u$, $(p, u) = 1$, then for $p \neq 2$

\[
\prod_k s(L_p^{(k)}) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } u \text{ is a quadratic residue modulo } p, \\
-1 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Similarly,

\[
\prod_k s(L_2^{(k)}) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } u \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}, \\
-1 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

In particular, if $p \neq 2$ does not divide $d(L)$, then $L_p$ is uniquely determined by $\text{rk} L$ and $d(L)$. Similarly, if $d(L)$ is odd and $L$ is even, then $L_2$ is uniquely determined by $\text{rk} L$ and $d(L)$.

The symbol of $L_p$ is called the $p$-symbol of $L$.

In summary, we can say that under conditions (I) and (II) two even quadratic lattices $L$ and $M$ of the same signature are isomorphic if and only if:

- $d(L) = d(M)$;
- for any odd prime divisor $p$ of $d(L)$ the $p$-symbols of $L$ and $M$ are equal;
- if $d(L)$ is even, then the 2-symbols of $L$ and $M$ are equivalent.

In conclusion we mention the following useful isomorphism:

\begin{equation}
(2.2) \quad U \oplus [1] \simeq [-1] \oplus [1] \oplus [1].
\end{equation}

3. Triangular faces with three non-simple vertices

Let $F$ be a triangular face of $P^{(2)}$, all of whose vertices are non-simple, and let $u_1, u_2, u_3, u$ be defined as in Section II. Then $u_1, u_2, u_3$ are orthogonal to $u$ and the Gram matrix $G = G(u_1, u_2, u_3, u)$ is of the form

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -2 & -2 & 0 \\
-2 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\
-2 & -2 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

After some simplifications we have:

\[
L' \simeq [4]U \oplus [2] \oplus [2],
\]
so that the invariant factors of $L'$ are 2, 2, 4, 4. Therefore, $[L : L'] \leq 8$. Finding all even extensions of index 2 of $L'$, then all their even extensions of index 2 and so on, and taking account of the isomorphism (2.2), we have the following possibilities for $L$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>$L$</th>
<th>Invariant factors</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$U \oplus [2] \oplus [2]$</td>
<td>1, 1, 2, 2</td>
<td>$1^2 2^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We shall continue this list in the subsequent sections. Let us denote the lattice No. $k$ from the list by $L(k)$.

4. TRIANGULAR FACEDS WITH TWO NON-SIMPLE VERTICES

Let $F$ be a triangular face of $P^{(2)}$ with two non-simple vertices. Then $u_1, u_2, u_3$ are also orthogonal to $u$ and the matrix $G$ has one of the following forms:

$$G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 & -1 & 0 \\ -2 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}. $$

In the former case

$L' \simeq [3] U \oplus [2] \oplus [2]$, with invariant factors 1, 1, 6, 6. The lattice $L'$ has no even extensions of index 2, and all of its extensions of index 3 are isomorphic to $L(3)$. Thus, we get nothing new, except for $L'$ itself.

In the latter case $L' \simeq L(2)$, so we get nothing new.

Thus, we add only one lattice to our list:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>$L$</th>
<th>Invariant factors</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$[3] U \oplus [2] \oplus [2]$</td>
<td>1, 1, 6, 6</td>
<td>$1^2 2^2, 1^3 3^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. TRIANGULAR FACEDS WITH ONE NON-SIMPLE VERTEX

Let $F$ be a triangular face of $P^{(2)}$ with one non-simple vertex. Then at least two of the vectors $u_1, u_2, u_3$ are orthogonal to $u$ and the matrix $G$ has one of the following forms:

$$G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 & -1 & 0 \\ -2 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 & -1 & 0 \\ -2 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}. $$

In the first case, replacing $u_1$ by $u_1 + 2u_2 + 2u_3 + u_4$, we obtain

$L' \simeq [-4] \oplus A_3$, with invariant factors 1, 1, 4, 4.

In the second case $L'$ has invariant factors 1, 1, 2, 8. It also contains a primitive sublattice of type $A_2$. Since $A_2$ is unimodular over $\mathbb{Z}_2$, we have the following isomorphism over $\mathbb{Z}_2$:

$L'_2 \simeq A_2 \oplus [2] \oplus [-24]$. 
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Therefore, the symbol of $L'_2$ is $\bar{1}^22^1\bar{8}^1_{-3} \sim 1^22^1\bar{8}^1_1$ and therefore

$$L' \cong U \oplus [2] \oplus [8].$$

In both of the preceding cases $L'$ admits only one even extension of index 2. It is generated by $\frac{1}{2}(u_1 + u_2)$ and is isomorphic to $L(3)$.

In the two remaining cases $L'$ itself is isomorphic to $L(3)$.

Thus, we add two lattices to the list:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>$L$</th>
<th>Invariant factors</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$[-4] \oplus A_3$</td>
<td>1, 1, 4, 4</td>
<td>$1^14^2_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$U \oplus [2] \oplus [8]$</td>
<td>1, 1, 2, 8</td>
<td>$1^22^1\bar{8}^1_1$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Triangular faces without non-simple vertices

Let $F$ be a triangular face of $P^{(2)}$ all of whose vertices are simple. Then all scalar products of vectors $u_1, u_2, u_3, u$ are either $-1$ or 0. The Coxeter diagram $\Sigma$ for the vectors $u_1, u_2, u_3, u$ and their Gram matrix can only have one of the following forms:

$$\Sigma_1$$

$$\Sigma_2$$

$$\Sigma_3$$

$$G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$G_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In each of those cases $\Sigma$ defines a Coxeter polyhedron of finite volume, which must coincide with $P^{(2)}$ [12]. Therefore, $L'$ and all its extensions are 2-reflective.

It is easy to see that the lattices determined by the matrices $G_1, G_2, G_3$ are isomorphic to $[k]U \oplus A_2$, where $k = 3, 2, 1$ respectively. All the even extensions of the first two of them are isomorphic to the third one, whereas the last one does not admit any extensions.

Thus, we add three new lattices to our list (and we already know that they are 2-reflective):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>$L$</th>
<th>Invariant factors</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$[3]U \oplus A_2$</td>
<td>1, 3, 3, 3</td>
<td>$1^13^3_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$[2]U \oplus A_2$</td>
<td>1, 1, 2, 6</td>
<td>$1^22^2, 1^33^1_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$U \oplus A_2$</td>
<td>1, 1, 1, 3</td>
<td>$1^33^1_3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. Quadrilateral faces with three non-simple vertices

Let $F$ be a 4-face of $P^{(2)}$ with three non-simple vertices and suppose $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u$ are defined as in Section II. Then $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4$ are orthogonal to $u$ and the Gram matrix $G = G(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u)$ is of the form

$$G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -2 & -x & -\varepsilon & 0 \\
-2 & 2 & -y & 0 \\
-x & -2 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\
-\varepsilon & -y & -2 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\varepsilon = 0$ or 1, and $x$ and $y$ are some integers $\geq 3$.

Since $\text{rk} \ G = 4$, we have $\det G = 2(x+2)(y+2)[(x-2)(y-2) - 4(\varepsilon + 2)] = 0$, whence the following possibilities for $\varepsilon, x, y$ (up to permutation of $x$ and $y$):

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc}
\varepsilon & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
x & 3 & 4 & 5 & 3 \\
y & 14 & 8 & 6 & 10
\end{array}$$

It is easy to see that in all the cases, except the last one, $L' \simeq L(3)$; in the last case $L' \simeq L(2)$. Thus, we obtain nothing new.

8. Quadrilateral faces with two opposite non-simple vertices

Let $F$ be a 4-face of $P^{(2)}$ with two opposite non-simple vertices. Then $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4$ are orthogonal to $u$ and the matrix $G$ is of the form

$$G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -2 & -x & -\varepsilon & 0 \\
-2 & 2 & -\eta & -y & 0 \\
-x & -\eta & 2 & -2 & 0 \\
-\varepsilon & -y & -2 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\varepsilon, \eta = 0$ or 1, and $x$ and $y$ are some integers $\geq 3$.

We have

$$\det G = 2\left[(x+2)(y+2) - (2 - \varepsilon)(2 - \eta)[(x-2)(y-2) - (\varepsilon + 2)(\eta + 2)]\right] = 0,$$

whence the following possibilities for $\varepsilon, \eta, x, y$ (up to permutation of $\varepsilon$ and $\eta$, and of $x$ and $y$):

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc}
\varepsilon & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
\eta & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
x & 3 & 5 & 3 & 4 \\
y & 11 & 5 & 8 & 5
\end{array}$$

In the third and fourth cases $L' \simeq L(3)$.

In all other cases $u_2 + u_4 = k(u_1 + u_3)$ ($k = 1, 2, 3$), so that $u_1, u_2, u_3, u$ is a basis of $L'$.

In the first case the invariant factors of $L'$ are $1, 1, 2, 32$. Replacing $u_1$ by $u_1 + u_2$ and $u_3$ by $-u_3$, we have

$$L' \simeq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \lfloor 2 \rfloor.$$

The lattice $L'$ has a unique extension of index 2. It is generated by $\frac{1}{2}(u_1 + u_2)$ and is isomorphic to $L(6)$. 
In the second case
\[ L' \simeq [-24] \oplus A_2 \oplus [2], \]
with invariant factors 1, 1, 6, 24. The lattice \( L' \) has two extensions of index 3, each isomorphic to \( L(6) \), and one extension of index 2, which is isomorphic to \( [-6] \oplus A_2 \oplus [2] \simeq L(4) \).

In the fifth case
\[ L' \simeq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \oplus [2] \oplus [2], \]
with invariant factors 1, 1, 2, 18. The lattice \( L' \) has no even extensions of index 2 and has only one extension of index 3, which is isomorphic to \( L(3) \).

In the sixth case
The lattice \( L' \) has one even extension of index 2, which is isomorphic to \( L(2) \).

Thus, we add four new lattices to our list:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>( L )</th>
<th>Invariant factors</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>\begin{bmatrix} 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 4 \ 0 &amp; 2 &amp; 1 \ 4 &amp; 1 &amp; 2 \end{bmatrix} \oplus [2]</td>
<td>1, 1, 2, 32</td>
<td>( 1^2 2^1 3^2 32^{-3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>[-24] \oplus A_2 \oplus [2]</td>
<td>1, 1, 6, 24</td>
<td>( 1^2 2^1 3^1, 2^2 3^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>\begin{bmatrix} 0 &amp; 3 \ 3 &amp; 2 \end{bmatrix} \oplus [2] \oplus [2]</td>
<td>1, 1, 2, 18</td>
<td>( 2^2 2^1, 3^3 9^1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>[-8] \oplus [2] \oplus [2] \oplus [2]</td>
<td>2, 2, 2, 8</td>
<td>( 2^1 3^3 8^1 1 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Quadrilateral faces with two adjacent non-simple vertices

Let \( F \) be a 4-face of \( P^{(2)} \) with two adjacent non-simple vertices. Then at least three of the vectors \( u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \) are orthogonal to \( u \) and the matrix \( G \) is of the form
\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -2 & -x & -\varepsilon & 0 \\
-2 & 2 & -2 & -y & 0 \\
-x & -2 & 2 & -\eta & 0 \\
-\varepsilon & -y & -\eta & 2 & -\theta \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\theta & 2
\end{pmatrix},
\]
where \( \varepsilon, \eta, \theta = 0 \) or 1, \( x \geq 3, y \geq 2 \) and if \( \theta = 0 \), then \( y \geq 3 \).

We have
\[
\det G = 2(x + 2)(x - 2)y^2 - (4 - \theta)(x + 2) - 4(\varepsilon + \eta)y - 4\varepsilon\eta = 0,
\]
whence
\[
(x - 2)y^2 - (4 - \theta)(x + 2) - 4(\varepsilon + \eta)y - 4\varepsilon\eta = 0.
\]

Let \( \theta = 0 \). Set \( (x - 2)(y - 2) = k \) and rewrite equation (9.1) as
\[
[k - 4(\varepsilon + \eta)]y = 2[2(4 + \varepsilon\eta) - k].
\]
Since \( y > 0 \), we have that \( k \) is strictly between \( 4(\varepsilon + \eta) \) and \( 2(4 + \varepsilon\eta) \). For each pair of values of \( \varepsilon, \eta \) only a few values of \( k \) satisfy this condition. Analyzing those possibilities, one can easily show that in this case equation (9.1) has no solutions.

Now let \( \theta = 1 \). Set \( (x - 2)(y - \sqrt{3}) = k \) and rewrite equation (9.1) as
\[
[k - 4(\varepsilon + \eta)]y = 12 + 4\varepsilon\eta - k\sqrt{3}.
\]
We see that $k$ is strictly between $4(\varepsilon + \eta)$ and $\frac{12 + 4\eta}{\sqrt{3}}$. Analyzing all the possibilities one can show that in this case equation (9.1) has the following solutions:

\[
\begin{array}{c|ccccc}
\varepsilon & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\eta & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
x & 34 & 22 & 14 & 6 & 4 \\
y & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\
\end{array}
\]

In the first case

\[L' \simeq U \oplus [2] \oplus [18].\]

The lattice $L'$ has no even extensions of index 2 and has one extension of index 3, which is isomorphic to $L(3)$.

In the second case $L' \simeq L(3)$.

In the third case $L' \simeq L(6)$.

In the fourth case $L' \simeq L(3)$.

In the fifth case $L' \simeq L(4)$.

Thus we add only one new lattice to our list:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>$L$</th>
<th>Invariant factors</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>$U \oplus [2] \oplus [18]$</td>
<td>1, 1, 2, 18</td>
<td>$1^{2}2^{2}, 1^{3}9^{1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. Quadrilateral and pentagonal faces with at most one non-simple vertex

Let $F$ be a 4- or 5-face of $P^{(2)}$ with at most one non-simple vertex, and let $F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4$ be adjacent faces. (If $F$ is a 5-face, we omit one of the adjacent faces.)

The Gram matrix $G = G(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u)$ is of the form

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -\varepsilon_{12} & -x & -z & -\varepsilon_1 \\
-\varepsilon_{12} & 2 & -\varepsilon_{23} & -y & -\varepsilon_2 \\
-x & -\varepsilon_{23} & 2 & -\varepsilon_{34} & -\varepsilon_3 \\
-z & -y & -\varepsilon_{34} & 2 & -\varepsilon_4 \\
-\varepsilon_1 & -\varepsilon_2 & -\varepsilon_3 & -\varepsilon_4 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where

(10.1) \[\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad 1,\]

(10.2) \[\varepsilon_{12}, \varepsilon_{23}, \varepsilon_{34} = 0, 1 \quad \text{or} \quad 2,\]

\[x, y \geq 2, \quad z \geq 0.\]

Because of our assumption about non-simple vertices, at most one of the $\varepsilon_{ij}$ equals 2, and

(10.4) \[\text{if} \quad \varepsilon_{ij} = 2, \quad \text{then} \quad \varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_j = 0.\]

We may assume that $x$ and $y$ do not equal 2 simultaneously, since otherwise $F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4$ would have a common vertex at infinity and $P^{(2)}$ would have triangular faces. We may also assume that $x \geq y$.

If $F$ is a 4-face and $\varepsilon_{12} = \varepsilon_{23} = \varepsilon_{34} = z = 0$, then again $F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4$ have a common vertex at infinity [1] and $P^{(2)}$ is a quadrilateral pyramid. As we do not need to consider this possibility, we may assume that $z \geq 1$. (This automatically holds if $F$ is a 5-face.)

If $F$ is a 5-face, then we can choose the omitted face such that $z$ will have the smallest possible value and, in particular, $z \leq x, y$. (This automatically holds if $F$ is a 4-face.)
As a result, we have the following restrictions on \( x, y, z \):
\[
(10.5) \quad x \geq y \geq z, \quad x \geq 3, \quad y \geq 2, \quad z \geq 1.
\]
Moreover, since \( F_2, F_4 \) and \( F \) have no common vertex at infinity,
\[
(10.6) \quad y = 2 \quad \text{implies} \quad \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_4 > 0.
\]
Furthermore, if \( y = 2 \) and \( \epsilon_{23} = \epsilon_{34} = 0 \), then \( F_2, F_4 \) and \( F_3 \) have a common vertex at infinity and hence \( F_3 \) is triangular. Therefore, we may assume that
\[
(10.7) \quad y = 2 \quad \text{implies} \quad \epsilon_{23} + \epsilon_{34} > 0.
\]
We now consider the condition \( \det G = 0 \). We have
\[
\frac{1}{2} \det G = x^2 y^2 - xy z (2 \epsilon_{23} + \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3) \\
+ x^2 (\epsilon_2 \epsilon_4 y + \epsilon_2^2 + \epsilon_4^2 - 4) + y^2 (\epsilon_1 \epsilon_3 x + \epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_3^2 - 4) + z^2 (\epsilon_2^2 + \epsilon_3^2 + \epsilon_{23}^2 + \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \epsilon_{23} - 4) \\
- xy (\epsilon_1 \epsilon_4 \epsilon_{23} + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_{34} + \epsilon_3 \epsilon_4 \epsilon_{12} + 2 \epsilon_{12} \epsilon_{34}) \\
- x z (\epsilon_2 \epsilon_4 \epsilon_{23} + 2 \epsilon_3 \epsilon_4 + (4 - \epsilon_4^2) \epsilon_{34}) - y z (\epsilon_1 \epsilon_3 \epsilon_{23} + 2 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 + (4 - \epsilon_3^2) \epsilon_{12}) \\
- x ((4 - \epsilon_4^2) \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_{23} + 2 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_{34} + 2 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \epsilon_{12} + 2 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_4 \epsilon_{34} + 2 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_4 \epsilon_{12} + \epsilon_3 \epsilon_4 \epsilon_{12}) \\
- y ((4 - \epsilon_4^2) \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_{23} + 2 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_{34} + 2 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \epsilon_{12} + 2 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_4 \epsilon_{34} + 2 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_4 \epsilon_{12} + \epsilon_3 \epsilon_4 \epsilon_{12}) \\
+ 16 - 4 (\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2 + \epsilon_3^2 + \epsilon_4^2) + (\epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_2 \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_3 \epsilon_4) - 4 (\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_{12} + \epsilon_3 \epsilon_4 \epsilon_{34} + \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \epsilon_{23}) \\
- \epsilon_1 \epsilon_4 \epsilon_{23} \epsilon_{34} + (\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3 \epsilon_{12})^2 + (\epsilon_2 \epsilon_4 - \epsilon_4 \epsilon_{34})^2 \\
+ \epsilon_1^2 \epsilon_3^2 + \epsilon_1^2 \epsilon_4^2 + \epsilon_2^2 \epsilon_3^2 + \epsilon_3 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \epsilon_{23} + \epsilon_3 \epsilon_4 \epsilon_{34} + \epsilon_2 \epsilon_4 \epsilon_{12}^2.
\]
Let \( y = 2 \) (and \( z = 1 \) or \( 2 \)). Then \( \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_4 > 0 \). If \( \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_4 = 1 \), then one can show that
\[
\frac{1}{2} \det G \geq 4x^2 - 45x - 101,
\]
whence \( x \leq 13 \). If \( \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_4 = 1 \), then one can show that
\[
\frac{1}{2} \det G \geq x^2 - 33x - 69,
\]
whence \( x \leq 34 \).

Suppose now that \( y \geq 3 \). Then
\[
\frac{1}{2} \det G \geq x^2 y^2 - 4 x y y - 4 x^2 - 4 y^2 - 4 z^2 - 6 x y - 8 x z - 8 y z \\
+ \epsilon_2 \epsilon_4 (x^2 y - x \epsilon_{12} \epsilon_{34} - 4 y - 2 \epsilon_{12} \epsilon_{12}) + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_3 (x y^2 - 4 x - y \epsilon_{12} \epsilon_{34} - 2 \epsilon_{34}) \\
+ \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \epsilon z (x - \epsilon_{12} \epsilon_{23}) + \epsilon_3 \epsilon_4 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \epsilon_3 \epsilon_4 (y - \epsilon_4 \epsilon_2) \\
- x (4 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_{23} + 2 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_{23} + 2 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \epsilon_{12} + 2 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_4 \epsilon_{34}) \\
- y (4 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_{34} + 2 \epsilon_3 \epsilon_4 \epsilon_{23} + 2 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \epsilon_{34} + 2 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_4 \epsilon_{12}) \\
- z (4 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_4 + 2 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_{23} \epsilon_{34} + \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \epsilon_{12} \epsilon_{34}) \\
+ 16 + (y^2 - 4) \epsilon_1 + (x^2 + z^2 - 4) \epsilon_1 + (y^2 + z^2 - 4) \epsilon_3 + (x^2 - 4) \epsilon_4 \\
- 4 \epsilon_2^2 + (4 - \epsilon_4^2) \epsilon_{23} + 4 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \epsilon_{23} - 4 \epsilon_3 \epsilon_4 \epsilon_{34} + 4 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \epsilon_{23} - \epsilon_1 \epsilon_4 \epsilon_{12} \epsilon_{34}.
\]
All the expressions in parentheses are non-negative, so
\[
\frac{1}{2} \det G \geq x^2y^2 - 4xyz - 4x^2 - 4z^2 - 6xy - 8xz - 8yz - 10x - 10y - 8z
\]
\[
+ 16 + 5 \varepsilon_1 + 6 \varepsilon_2 + 6 \varepsilon_3 + 5 \varepsilon_4 - 4 \varepsilon_{12} - 3 \varepsilon_{23} - 4 \varepsilon_{34}
\]
\[
- 4 \varepsilon_{12} \varepsilon_{12} - 4 \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{3} - 4 \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{2} - 6 \varepsilon_{4} \varepsilon_{4} \varepsilon_{4}
\]
\[
\geq x^2y^2 - 4xyz - 4x^2 - 4z^2 - 6xy - 8xz - 8yz - 10x - 10y - 8z - 7
\]
\[
\geq x^2y^2 - 4xyz - 4x^2 - 16y^2 - 14xy - 10x - 18y - 7.
\]

Therefore,
\[
x^2(y^2 - 4) - 2x(2y^2 + 7y + 5) - (16y^2 + 18y + 7) \leq 0.
\]

Assigning different values to \( y \), one can get from this upper bounds for \( x \). Taking account of the case \( y = 2 \) considered above, we have a table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( y )</th>
<th>( x )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are no admissible values for \( x \) when \( y \geq 9 \).

This leaves less than 40,000 possibilities for \( G \). The determinants of all those matrices were calculated using a computer program written by A.V. Alekseevsky. As a result, all matrices \( G \) satisfying conditions (10.7) with \( \det G = 0 \) were found. Some of them differ only in the numbering of the faces \( F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4 \). In view of this, there are only 75 essentially different matrices. They are listed below. In each case when the corresponding lattice \( L' \) is not isomorphic to any of the lattices already on the list, we assign a number to it and indicate an explicit form of it in a suitable basis, along with its invariant factors and symbols.

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -3 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & -2 & -1 \\
-3 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\( L' = L(15) \simeq U \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 10 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \)

Invariant factors: 1, 1, 1, 19
Symbols: \( 1^{3} \overline{19} \)

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -5 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & -2 & -1 & -1 \\
-5 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & -2 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\( L' = L(16) \simeq U \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \)

Invariant factors: 1, 1, 1, 7
Symbols: \( 1^{3} 7 \)

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -5 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & -2 & -1 \\
-5 & -1 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
-1 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\( L' = L(17) \simeq U \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 14 \end{pmatrix} \)

Invariant factors: 1, 1, 1, 27
Symbols: \( 1^{3} \overline{17} \)

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -6 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\
-6 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & -2 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\( L' = L(18) \simeq U \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 4 \end{pmatrix} \)

Invariant factors: 1, 1, 2, 4
Symbols: \( 1^{2}(2^{1}4^{1})_{2} \)

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -6 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -2 & -1 \\
-6 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & -2 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\( L' = L(19) \simeq [-18] \oplus A_{3} \)

Invariant factors: 1, 1, 4, 8
Symbols: \( 1^{2} \overline{41} \overline{81} \)
$G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -7 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & -2 & -1 \\
-7 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & -2 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}$  \hspace{1cm} \begin{align*}
L' & \simeq L(9) \\
L' & = L(20) \simeq U \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 6 \end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}$

$G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -9 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & -2 & -1 \\
-9 & 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & -2 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix}$  \hspace{1cm} \begin{align*}
Invariant factors: & 1, 1, 1, 11 \\
Symbols: & 1^{333}
\end{align*}$

$G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -10 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\
-10 & 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & -2 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}$  \hspace{1cm} \begin{align*}
L' & = L(21) \simeq U \oplus [2] \oplus [6] \\
Invariant factors: & 1, 1, 2, 6 \\
Symbols: & 1^{2} 4^{2}, 1^{333}
\end{align*}$

$G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -16 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & -2 & 0 \\
-16 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & -2 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}$  \hspace{1cm} \begin{align*}
L' & \simeq L(9) \\
L' & = L(22) \simeq [4] U \oplus A_2 \\
Invariant factors: & 1, 1, 4, 12 \\
Symbols: & 1^{2} 4^{2}, 1^{333}
\end{align*}$

$G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -17 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -2 & 0 \\
-17 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & -2 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}$  \hspace{1cm} \begin{align*}
L' & \simeq L(15) \\
L' & \simeq L(17) \\
L' & = L(23) \simeq U \oplus [4] \oplus [6] \\
Invariant factors: & 1, 1, 2, 12 \\
Symbols: & 1^{2}(2^{14})^{4}
\end{align*}$

$G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -3 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & -3 & 0 \\
-3 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & -3 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix}$  \hspace{1cm} \begin{align*}
L' & \simeq L(24) \approx \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \\
Invariant factors: & 1, 1, 2, 14 \\
Symbols: & 1^{2} 2^{2}, 1^{333}
\end{align*}$
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\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -3 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & -3 & -1 \\
-3 & -1 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
-1 & -3 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[L' = L(25) \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus A_2\]

Invariant factors: 1, 1, 3, 9
Symbols: \(1^2\bar{3}3^1\)

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -3 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & -3 & -1 \\
-3 & -1 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
-1 & -3 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[L' = L(26) \simeq [-4] \oplus [4] \oplus A_2\]

Invariant factors: 1, 1, 4, 12
Symbols: \(1^24_0^2, 1^33^1\)

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -4 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -3 & -1 \\
-4 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & -3 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[L' = L(27) \simeq \begin{pmatrix} -12 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}\]

Invariant factors: 1, 1, 2, 30
Symbols: \(1^22^2, 1^33^1, 1^35^1\)

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -4 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & -3 & -1 \\
-4 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & -3 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[L' \simeq L(9)\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -4 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & -3 & -1 \\
-4 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & -3 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[L' \simeq L(9)\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -4 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & -3 & -1 \\
-4 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & -3 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[L' \simeq L(9)\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -5 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -3 & 0 \\
-5 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & -3 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[L' = L(28) \simeq U \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 1 \\ 1 & 6 \end{pmatrix}\]

Invariant factors: 1, 1, 1, 35
Symbols: \(1^35^1, 1^37^1\)

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -6 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -3 & 0 \\
-6 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & -3 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[L' = L(29) \simeq U \oplus [4] \oplus [10]\]

Invariant factors: 1, 1, 2, 20
Symbols: \(1^2(2^14^1)_{-2}, 1^35^3\)

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -6 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -3 & 0 \\
-6 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & -3 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[L' \simeq L(26)\]
\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -4 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -4 & 0 \\
-4 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & -4 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[L' = L(30) \simeq [-12] \oplus [2] \oplus A_2 \]

Invariant factors: 1, 1, 6, 12
Symbols: \(\mathbb{1}^2(2^{14})_{-2}, 1^2 2^2\)

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -4 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -4 & 0 \\
-4 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & -4 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[L' = L(31) \simeq U \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 3 \\ 3 & 14 \end{bmatrix} \]

Invariant factors: 1, 1, 1, 75
Symbols: \(1^3 3^1, 1^3 2^1\)

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -4 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -4 & -1 \\
-4 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & -4 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[L' = L(32) \simeq [6] U \oplus A_2 \]

Invariant factors: 1, 3, 6, 6
Symbols: \(1^3 2^2, 1^3 3^3\)

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -9 & -2 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & 0 & -2 & -1 \\
-9 & 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-2 & -2 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[L' \simeq L(9) \]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -12 & -2 & -1 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & -2 & 0 \\
-2 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[L' \simeq L(16) \]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -13 & -2 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -2 & -1 \\
-13 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-2 & -2 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[L' \simeq L(9) \]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -14 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & -2 & -1 \\
-2 & -2 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[L' \simeq L(6) \]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -22 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & -2 & -1 \\
-22 & -1 & 2 & -2 & 2 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[L' \simeq L(3) \]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -22 & -2 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -2 & -1 \\
-22 & -1 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
-2 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[L' \simeq L(21) \]
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\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -3 & -2 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -2 & -1 \\
-34 & -1 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\
-2 & -2 & -2 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix} \quad L' \simeq L(14)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -3 & -2 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -2 & -1 \\
-34 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-2 & -2 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -4 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & -3 & -1 \\
-4 & 0 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\
-2 & -3 & -2 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix} \quad L' \simeq L(4)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -5 & -2 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & 0 & -3 & -1 \\
-5 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
-2 & -3 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix} \quad L' \simeq L(9)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -5 & -2 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & 0 & -3 & -1 \\
-5 & 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-2 & -3 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix} \quad L' \simeq L(8)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -6 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & -2 & -3 & 0 \\
-6 & -2 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
-2 & -3 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix} \quad L' \simeq L(12)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -6 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & -3 & -1 \\
-6 & -1 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\
-2 & -3 & -2 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix} \quad L' \simeq L(3)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -7 & -2 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -3 & -1 \\
-7 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-2 & -3 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix} \quad L' \simeq L(9)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -8 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & -2 & -3 & 0 \\
-8 & -2 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
-2 & -3 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix} \quad L' \simeq L(3)
\]
\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -8 & -2 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -3 & -1 \\
-8 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-2 & -3 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix} \quad L' \simeq L(9)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -11 & -2 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -2 & -3 & 0 \\
-11 & -2 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
-2 & -3 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix} \quad L' \simeq L(10)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -4 & -2 & 0 \\
-4 & -2 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
-2 & -4 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix} \quad L' \simeq L(13)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -4 & -2 & -1 \\
-5 & -2 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
-2 & -4 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix} \quad L' \simeq L(10)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -5 & -2 & 0 \\
-5 & -2 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
-2 & -4 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix} \quad L' \simeq L(3)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -5 & -2 & -1 \\
-5 & -2 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
-2 & -4 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix} \quad L' \simeq L(3)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -5 & -2 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -4 & -1 \\
-5 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
-2 & -4 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix} \quad L' \simeq L(9)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -5 & -2 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -4 & -1 \\
-5 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-2 & -4 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix} \quad L' \simeq L(9)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -5 & -2 & 0 \\
-5 & -2 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
-2 & -5 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix} \quad L' \simeq L(11)
\]
\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -5 & -2 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -2 & -5 & 0 \\
-5 & -2 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
-2 & -5 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
L' = L(33) \cong [-36] \oplus A_3
\]
Invariant factors: 1, 1, 4, 36
Symbols: $\overline{1}2\overline{4}2\overline{4}3\overline{9}1$

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -6 & -3 & -1 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & -3 & -1 \\
-6 & 0 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\
-3 & -3 & -2 & 2 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
L' \cong L(3)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -6 & -3 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & 0 & -3 & -1 \\
-6 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
-3 & -3 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
L' \cong L(9)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -6 & -3 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & 0 & -3 & -1 \\
-6 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
-3 & -3 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
L' \cong L(25)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -7 & -3 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -3 & 0 \\
-7 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
-3 & -3 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
L' \cong L(23)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -7 & -3 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -3 & 0 \\
-7 & -1 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
-3 & -3 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
L' \cong L(24)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -7 & -3 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -3 & 0 \\
-7 & -1 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
-3 & -3 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
L' \cong L(25)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & -9 & -3 & -1 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & -3 & -1 \\
-9 & -1 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\
-3 & -3 & -2 & 2 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
L' = L(34) \cong [-16] \oplus A_3
\]
Invariant factors: 1, 4, 16
Symbols: $\overline{1}2\overline{4}16\overline{1}$

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -10 & -3 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -3 & -1 \\
-10 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-3 & -3 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
L' \cong L(8)
\]
\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -4 & -3 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & 0 & -4 & 0 \\
-4 & 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-3 & -4 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
L' \simeq L(9)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -6 & -3 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -4 & 0 \\
-6 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-3 & -4 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
L' \simeq L(9)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -6 & -3 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -4 & -1 \\
-6 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
-3 & -4 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
L' \simeq L(3)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -6 & -3 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -4 & -1 \\
-6 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
-3 & -4 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
L' \simeq L(8)
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -6 & -3 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & -4 & -1 \\
-6 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
-3 & -4 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
L' \simeq L(9)
\]
As the result, we have 21 new lattices $L(15)$–$L(35)$. Below we describe all their even extensions of prime indices.

Each of the lattices $L(19), L(26), L(33), L(34)$ has one even extension of index 2, which is isomorphic to $L(18), L(8), L(14), L(5)$, respectively. The lattice $L(22)$ has three even extensions of index 2, two of which are isomorphic to $L(8)$ and one to $L(21)$. The lattice $L(32)$ has two even extensions of index 2, which are isomorphic to $L(7)$.

Each of the lattices $L(17), L(25), L(33)$ has one extension of index 3, which is isomorphic to $L(9), L(9), L(5)$, respectively. The lattice $L(32)$ has four extensions of index 3, which are isomorphic to $L(8)$.

Each of the lattices $L(31), L(35)$ has one extension of index 5, which is isomorphic to $L(9), L(3)$, respectively.

Thus, there are no new lattices among these extensions, so all 2-reflective lattices of signature $(3, 1)$ are among the lattices $L(1)$–$L(35)$.
11. Non-2-reflectivity of some isotropic lattices

To show that some of the lattices \(L(1) - L(35)\) are not 2-reflective, we apply a method going back to [11]. Notice that this method only applies to isotropic lattices.

A Euclidean lattice \(M\) is said to be 2-reflective if its 2-roots span the space \(M \otimes \mathbb{R}\).

Let \(L\) be a hyperbolic lattice of rank \(n + 1\) and \(v \in L\) a primitive isotropic vector. Then

\[ L_v = (\mathbb{Z}v)^\perp / \mathbb{Z}v. \]

**Lemma 1.** If \(L\) is 2-reflective, then \(L_v\) is also 2-reflective.

**Proof.** Suppose \(L\) is 2-reflective and let \(q\) be the point at infinity in the Lobachevsky space \(L^n\) determined by \(v\). Since \(v \in L\), we have that \(q\) is a vertex of a fundamental polyhedron \(P(2)\) of the group \(O(2)L\) (see, for example, [11]). The 2-roots of \(L\) corresponding to the walls of \(P(2)\) passing through \(q\) are orthogonal to \(v\). The images of these roots in the Euclidean space \((\mathbb{R}v)^\perp / \mathbb{R}v\) are 2-roots of \(L_v\). Since the intersection of \(P(2)\) with the horosphere centered at \(q\) is compact, these roots of \(L_v\) span the space

\[ (\mathbb{R}v)^\perp / \mathbb{R}v = L_v \otimes \mathbb{R}. \]

\(\square\)

Notice that there are only two 2-reflective Euclidean lattices of rank 2: \([2] \oplus [2]\) and \(A_2\).

This immediately implies that the lattices \(L(6), L(14), L(15), L(16), L(17), L(18), L(20), L(21), L(23), L(28), L(29), L(31), L(35)\) are not 2-reflective. Therefore their sublattices \(L(10), L(11), L(19), L(22), L(33)\) are not 2-reflective either.

Comparing the symbols one has

\[ L(12) \simeq U \oplus \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 10 \end{array} \right]. \]

This shows that \(L(12)\) is not 2-reflective.

Finally, the lattice \(L(34)\) has the Gram matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-16 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

in some basis \(\{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3\}\). The vector

\[ v = v_0 + 2(v_1 - v_3) \]

is isotropic and

\[ (\mathbb{Z}v)^\perp = \langle v, v_2, v_1 + v_3 \rangle. \]

Therefore,

\[ (\mathbb{Z}v)^\perp / \mathbb{Z}v \simeq \langle v_2, v_1 + v_3 \rangle = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 4 \end{array} \right]. \]

This shows that \(L(34)\) is not 2-reflective.

12. The remaining lattices

In order to determine if a hyperbolic lattice \(L\) is 2-reflective, it is useful to consider all reflections in \(O(L)\). In the notation of the introduction we have the following.

**Lemma 2.** \(O_r(L) = O_r(2)L \rtimes \Delta\), where \(\Delta\) is the subgroup of \(O_r(L)\) generated by the reflections in the walls of \(P\) which are not 2-reflections.
Proof. Decompose the set $S$ of all reflections in the walls of $P$ into two parts: the set $S_2$ of all 2-reflections and the set $S'$ of all other reflections in $S$. Since any reflection in $O_r(L)$ is conjugate to some reflection in $S$, the group $O_r^{(2)}(L)$ is the smallest normal subgroup of $O_r(L)$ containing $S_2$.

Notice that the exponent of the Coxeter relation between any $s \in S_2$ and $s' \in S'$ is even, since otherwise $s$ and $s'$ would be conjugate, which is impossible. Therefore, the defining relations for the factor group $O_r(L)/O_r^{(2)}(L)$ are exactly the same as those for $\Delta$. This means that $\Delta$ is isomorphic to $O_r(L)/O_r^{(2)}(L)$. The assertion of the lemma now follows. (Cf. [9, Lemma 1].) □

Thus, $L$ is 2-reflective if and only if it is reflective and the group $\Delta$ is finite.

Usually it is easier to find $P$ than $P^{(2)}$. To determine if $\Delta$ is finite is not difficult since the classification of finite Coxeter groups is well known.

Using this method we now investigate the remaining lattices $L(1)$–$L(27)$, $L(13)$, $L(30)$, $L(32)$. It turns out that in all the cases $L$ is reflective. To determine $P$, we shall use the algorithm described in [10, 12].

The following remark is useful for our calculations: $L$ can contain $k$-roots only for $k \in \{2, 4, 8\}$. Their coordinates are determined by the conditions

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 4uv + \frac{k}{2}, \quad 2x_1 \equiv 2x_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{k}{2}}.$$ 

Taking $(1, 0, 0, 0)$ as the base point of the algorithm, we find the first four simple roots:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>u</th>
<th>v</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$k$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Their Coxeter diagram defines a tetrahedron with one vertex at infinity. Therefore, the lattice is reflective and $P$ coincides with this tetrahedron.

The group $\Delta$ generated by reflections in the walls of $P$ which are not 2-reflections (the corresponding vertices of the Coxeter diagram are represented by black circles) is a finite Coxeter group of type $B_3$. Therefore, $L(1)$ is 2-reflective.

Since the lattices $L(2)$ and $L(3)$ contain $L(1)$, they are also 2-reflective. Similarly, the scalar square in $L(4)$ is given by the quadratic form

$$2(-3uv + x_1^2 + x_2^2).$$

The coordinates of the roots are determined by the conditions

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 3uv + \frac{k}{2}, \quad 3u \equiv 3v \equiv 2x_1 \equiv 2x_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{k}{2}},$$
where $k \in \{2, 4, 6, 12\}$. The first five simple roots are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>$u$</th>
<th>$v$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$k$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Their Coxeter diagram

defines a triangular prism with one vertex at infinity, so the lattice is reflective and $P$ coincides with this prism. The group $\Delta$ is a finite Coxeter group of type $3A_1$. Therefore, the lattice $L(4)$ is 2-reflective.

Consider the lattice $L(32)$. It is convenient to realize it as a sublattice defined by the equation

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0$$

in the lattice of rank 5 with scalar square

$$−12uv + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2.$$

The coordinates of the roots are defined by the conditions

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 12uv + k, \quad x_1 \equiv x_2 \equiv x_3 (\text{mod } \frac{k}{2}),$$

where $k \in \{2, 4, 6, 12\}$. The first four simple roots are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>$u$</th>
<th>$v$</th>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$k$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Their Coxeter diagram

defines a tetrahedron with one vertex at infinity, so the lattice is reflective, and $P$ coincides with this tetrahedron. (In particular, we see that there are no 4-roots.) The group $\Delta$ is a finite Coxeter group of type $B_3$. Therefore, the lattice $L(32)$ is 2-reflective.

Similarly, the lattice $L(25)$ can be realized as the sublattice defined by equation (12.1) in the lattice of rank 5 with scalar square

$$−6x_0u + 2x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2.$$

The coordinates of the roots are defined by the conditions

$$2x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 6x_0u + k,$$

$$x_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{k}{2}}, \quad x_1 \equiv x_2 \equiv x_3 \pmod{\frac{k}{2}},$$

where $k \in \{2, 6\}$. The first four simple roots are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>$u$</th>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$k$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Their Coxeter diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & 1 & 3 & 4
\end{array}
\]

defines a tetrahedron with two vertices at infinity, so the lattice is reflective and \( P \) coincides with this tetrahedron. The group \( \Delta \) is a finite Coxeter group of type \( A_2 \). Therefore, the lattice \( L(25) \) is 2-reflective.

The lattice \( L(26) \) is realized as the sublattice defined by equation (12.1) in the lattice of rank 5 with scalar square

\[-4x_0^2 + 4y^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2.\]

The coordinates of the roots are defined by the conditions

\[4y^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 4x_0^2 + k,\]

\[4x_0 \equiv 4y \equiv 0 \pmod{k/2}, \quad x_1 \equiv x_2 \equiv x_3 \pmod{k/2},\]

where \( k \in \{2, 4, 6\} \). Taking \((1, 0, 0, 0, 0)\) as the base point of the algorithm, we find the first five simple roots:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>(x_0)</th>
<th>(y)</th>
<th>(x_1)</th>
<th>(x_2)</th>
<th>(x_3)</th>
<th>(k)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Their Coxeter diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 5 & 3 & 2 \\
\end{array}
\]

defines a triangular prism with one vertex at infinity, so the lattice is reflective and \( P \) coincides with this prism. The group \( \Delta \) is a finite Coxeter group of type \( 3A_1 \). Therefore, the lattice \( L(26) \) is 2-reflective.

Similarly, the lattice \( L(30) \) is realized as the sublattice defined by equation (12.1) in the lattice of rank 5 with scalar square

\[-12x_0^2 + 2y^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2.\]

The coordinates of the roots are defined by the conditions

\[2y^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 12x_0^2 + k,\]

\[2y \equiv 0 \pmod{k/2}, \quad x_1 \equiv x_2 \equiv x_3 \pmod{k/2},\]

where \( k \in \{2, 4, 6, 12\} \). The first six simple roots are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>(x_0)</th>
<th>(y)</th>
<th>(x_1)</th>
<th>(x_2)</th>
<th>(x_3)</th>
<th>(k)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Their Coxeter diagram

```
 4  2  3  6
 5  1
```

defines a bounded polyhedron (a tetrahedron with two truncated vertices), so the lattice is reflective. However, the group $\Delta$ is infinite. Therefore, the lattice $L(30)$ is not 2-reflective.

The lattice $L(5)$ is realized as the sublattice defined by the equation

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 0$$

in the lattice of rank 5 with scalar square

$$-4x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2.$$ 

The coordinates of the roots are defined by the conditions

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = 4x_0^2 + k, \quad x_1 \equiv x_2 \equiv x_3 \equiv x_4 \pmod{\frac{k}{2}},$$

where $k \in \{2, 4, 8\}$. The first four simple roots are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$k$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Their Coxeter diagram

```
 1  2  3  4
```

defines a tetrahedron with one vertex at infinity. The group $\Delta$ is a finite Coxeter group of type $B_2$. Therefore, the lattice $L(5)$ is 2-reflective.

The scalar square in $L(13)$ is of the form

$$2(-4x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2).$$

The coordinates of the roots are defined by the conditions

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 4x_0^2 + \frac{k}{2}, \quad 2x_1 \equiv 2x_2 \equiv 2x_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{k}{2}},$$

where $k \in \{2, 4, 8, 16\}$. The first four simple roots are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$k$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Their Coxeter diagram

```
 1  2  3  4
```

defines a tetrahedron with one vertex at infinity. The group $\Delta$ is a finite Coxeter group of type $B_3$. Therefore, the lattice $L(13)$ is 2-reflective.
To investigate the lattice \( L(24) \), we remark that it has an odd extension \( \tilde{L}(24) \) of index 2 (with discriminant \(-7\)), so

\[
L(24) = \tilde{L}(24)^{\text{even}}.
\]

Since the 7-symbols of the lattices \( \tilde{L}(24) \) and \( L(24) \) must be the same, we have

\[
\tilde{L}(24) \simeq [-7] \oplus [1] \oplus [1] \oplus [1].
\]

The scalar square in \( \tilde{L}(24) \) is given by the quadratic form

\[-7x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2,
\]

and the sublattice \( L(24) \) is defined by the congruence

\[
(12.2) \quad x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.
\]

The coordinates of the roots of \( L(24) \) are defined by the conditions

\[
x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 7x_0^2 + k, \quad x_0 \equiv x_1 \equiv x_2 \equiv x_3 \pmod{2},
\]

where \( k \in \{2, 4\} \). The first four simple roots are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>( x_0 )</th>
<th>( x_1 )</th>
<th>( x_2 )</th>
<th>( x_3 )</th>
<th>( k )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Their Coxeter diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 2 \\
4 & 3
\end{array}
\]

defines a tetrahedron, so the lattice is reflective and \( P \) coincides with this tetrahedron. The group \( \Delta \) is a finite Coxeter group of type \( A_2 \). Therefore, the lattice \( L(24) \) is 2-reflective.

Similarly,

\[
L(27) = \tilde{L}(27)^{\text{even}},
\]

where

\[
\tilde{L}(27) \simeq [-15] \oplus [1] \oplus [1] \oplus [1].
\]

The scalar square in \( \tilde{L}(27) \) is given by the quadratic form

\[-15x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2,
\]

and the sublattice \( L(27) \) is defined by the congruence

\[
(12.2) \quad x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.
\]

The coordinates of the roots of \( L(27) \) are defined by the conditions

\[
x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 15x_0^2 + k, \quad 15x_0 \equiv x_1 \equiv x_2 \equiv x_3 \pmod{2},
\]

where \( k \in \{2, 4, 10, 12\} \). The first five simple roots are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>( x_0 )</th>
<th>( x_1 )</th>
<th>( x_2 )</th>
<th>( x_3 )</th>
<th>( k )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Their Coxeter diagram defines a triangular prism, so the lattice is reflective and $P$ coincides with this prism. The group $\Delta$ is a finite Coxeter group of type $G_2 + A_1$. Therefore, the lattice $L(27)$ is 2-reflective.

**REFERENCES**


Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Moscow State University, Moscow 119992, GSP-2, Russia

E-mail address: vinberg@ebv.pvt.msu.su

Translated by ALEX MARTSINKOVSKY