

## A REMARK ON A THEOREM OF MARSHALL HALL

M. F. SMILEY

Marshall Hall [2]<sup>1</sup> proved that the identity

$$(1) \quad x(yz - zy)^2 = (yz - zy)^2x$$

characterizes quaternion algebras among associative but not commutative division rings. Our remark is that (1) characterizes Cayley-Dickson algebras among alternative but not associative division rings. This follows from Hall's proof and a result of A. A. Albert [1]. A theorem of R. D. Schafer [4, Theorem 4] permits us to conclude that (1) and Hall's Theorem L [2] (as universal) ensure that the coordinate ring of a projective plane is uniquely defined irrespective of the coordinate system.

It is easy to verify (1) in a Cayley-Dickson algebra. We should like to sketch a proof of the converse, which is independent of Albert's result in [1]. Let  $A$  be an alternative division ring and let  $F$  be the set of all elements  $c \in A$  which satisfy  $cx = xc$  for every  $x \in A$ . Then  $F$  is a field<sup>2</sup> and, when 3 is nonzero in  $A$ ,  $F$  is the center of  $A$  [3; 5, Lemma 9]. If  $A$  satisfies (1), the proof of Hall's Lemma 1 [2, p. 262] yields  $x^2 = t(x)x - n(x)$  for every  $x \in A$  not in  $F$ , where  $t(x), n(x) \in F$ . Define  $t(c) = 2c, n(c) = c^2$  for  $c \in F$ .

When 3 is zero in  $A$  and  $A$  satisfies (1),  $F$  is still the center of  $A$  as we shall now show.<sup>3</sup> Since 2 is a nonzero in  $A$ , for each  $x \in A$  we have  $(x+c)^2 \in F$  for some  $c \in F$ . If, then,  $c_1, c_2$  are in  $F$ , we have  $(c_1, c_2, (x+c)^2) = 0$ . By use of some identities of Zorn [6, (1.6) and (1.8); 5, (1)] we find that  $(c_1, c_2, (x+c)^2) = 2(x+c)(c_1, c_2, x+c) = 2(x+c) \cdot (c_1, c_2, x)$ . We infer that  $(c_1, c_2, x) = 0$  for every  $c_1, c_2$  in  $F$  and every  $x$  in  $A$ . Now let  $c \in F$  and  $x, y \in A$ . As before,  $(x+c')^2 \in F$  for some  $c' \in F$  and

$$0 = (c, (x+c')^2, y) = 2(x+c')(c, x+c', y) = 2(x+c')(c, x, y),$$

so that  $(c, x, y) = 0$  as desired.

To complete the argument, we first prove (i)  $t(x+y) = t(x) + t(y)$ ,

Presented to the Society, February 26, 1949; received by the editors January 11, 1949 and, in revised form, February 14, 1949.

<sup>1</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the references cited at the end of the paper.

<sup>2</sup> To verify that  $c, c' \in F$  yields  $cc' \in F$  even if  $3 = 0$  in  $F$ , we may use the equations  $(x, c, c') = (c', x, c) = (c, c', x)$ , where  $(x, y, z) = x(yz) - (xy)z$  is the *associator* of  $x, y$ , and  $z$ .

<sup>3</sup> We are indebted to the referee for his observation that our original proof was incomplete in this case and also for simplifying our amended version.

(ii)  $t(cx) = ct(x)$ , and (iii)  $n(xy) = n(x)n(y)$  for every  $x, y \in A$  and every  $c \in F$ , and then we apply the arguments of R. D. Schafer [4]. We indicate the proof of (i) and (iii) when  $xy \neq yx$ . We compute  $(x+y)^2 = x^2 + xy + yx + y^2 = t(x+y)(x+y) - n(x+y)$ , and we obtain (i) by taking the commutator with  $x$  and then (iii) by multiplying by  $xy$  on the left.

*Addendum* (October 25, 1949). When  $1+1=0$  in  $A$ , we cannot obtain our result from Albert's Theorem 1 of [7] which employs  $1+1 \neq 0$ . In this case we must complete the proof of (i)–(iii) by using Lemma 2 of [2, p. 262] and some straightforward but rather lengthy calculations. In fact, we may regard our proof as establishing Albert's Theorem 1 even when  $1+1=0$ . First redefine *quadratic algebra* by deleting the coefficient 2 in  $f(\xi, x)$ . Then assume that  $A$  is quadratic and alternative and observe that  $A$  is a division algebra. Unless  $A$  is commutative and hence a field over  $F$ , one may use the arguments of Hall [2, pp. 262–263] to see that the center of  $A$  is  $F$ . For, if  $xy \neq yx$ , then  $Q = F[1, x, y, xy]$  is a quaternion algebra over  $F$ , and the requirement that  $c \in A$  commute and associate with the elements of  $Q$  implies that  $c \in Q$  and hence that  $c \in F$ , since the center of  $Q$  is  $F$ . We are now in a position to apply the arguments of the present note.

## REFERENCES

1. A. A. Albert, *Absolute valued algebraic rings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 54 (1948) p. 1050.
2. Marshall Hall, *Projective planes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 54 (1943) pp. 229–277.
3. N. Jacobson, *Structure theory of simple rings without finiteness assumptions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 57 (1945) pp. 228–245.
4. R. D. Schafer, *Alternative algebras over an arbitrary field*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 49 (1943) pp. 549–555.
5. M. F. Smiley, *The radical of an alternative ring*, Ann. of Math. (2) vol. 49 (1948) pp. 702–709.
6. Max Zorn, *Alternative rings and related questions I: Existence of the radical*, Ann. of Math. (2) vol. 42 (1941) pp. 676–686.
7. A. A. Albert, *Absolute-valued algebraic algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 55 (1949) pp. 763–768.

STATE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA