

## GENERALIZATION OF A THEOREM OF OSGOOD TO THE CASE OF CONTINUOUS APPROXIMATION<sup>1</sup>

A. M. OSTROWSKI

In the historical development of the theory of convergence the following theorem of Osgood<sup>2</sup> has played a very important role: *Suppose that the functions  $f_\nu(x)$  are continuous in the interval  $(a, b)$  and with  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$  converge to a limit  $f(x)$  which is also continuous in  $(a, b)$ ; then to any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a subinterval  $(a', b')$  of  $(a, b)$  and an integer  $n_0$  such that*

$$|f_\nu(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$$

*is valid in  $(a', b')$  for all  $\nu > n_0$ .*

Although since 1897 a great number of results in this direction have been found, Osgood's theorem has not been as yet completely superseded and provides sometimes a useful means in dealing with convergent sequences. In what follows I prove an analogous theorem for the case of *continuous* convergence.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $f(t, x)$  be a continuous function of the point  $(t, x)$  for  $a < x < b$  and  $t \geq T$  and suppose that we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t, x) = f(x)$$

*where  $f(x)$  is also continuous in  $(a, b)$ ; then for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a subinterval  $J$  of  $(a, b)$  and a number  $T_0$  such that we have for  $x \in J$ ,  $t \geq T_0$ :*

$$(1) \quad |f(t, x) - f(x)| < \epsilon \quad (t \geq T_0, x \in J).$$

The proof of our theorem follows easily from the following

**LEMMA.** *If  $f(t, x)$  is a continuous function of the point  $(t, x)$  for  $t \geq T$  and  $a'' < x < b''$ , where  $T$  is a positive integer, then a sequence of positive numbers  $t$ , tending to  $\infty$  can be found such that to each  $t \geq T$  there corresponds a  $t$ , tending to  $\infty$  with  $t$ , for which we have*

$$|f(t, x) - f(t, x)| \leq 1/t.$$

**PROOF OF THE LEMMA.** Since  $f(t, x)$  is *uniformly* continuous in any

Received by the editors July 1, 1949.

<sup>1</sup> The preparation of this paper was sponsored by the Office of Naval Research.

<sup>2</sup> W. F. Osgood, *Non-uniform convergence and the integration of series term by term*, Amer. J. Math. vol. 19 (1897) p. 161, the "Fundamental Theorem."

rectangle  $a'' \leq x \leq b''$ ,  $n \leq t \leq n+1$ , for  $n \geq T$ , there corresponds to any integer  $n \geq T$  a positive integer  $N_n$  such that we have

$$(2) \quad |f(t, x) - f(t_0, x)| \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$$

if  $t$  and  $t_0$  lie between  $n$  and  $n+1$  and  $|t-t_0| \leq 1/N_n$ ,  $a'' \leq x \leq b''$ . We subdivide all intervals  $\langle n, n+1 \rangle$  with  $n \geq T$  in  $N_n$  equal parts and denote the division points from  $t_1 = T$  on by  $t_1, t_2, \dots$ . Then for each  $t \geq T$  there exists a  $t_v$  from the interval between  $[t]$  and  $[t]+1$  such that  $t_v \leq t < t_{v+1}$  and therefore by (2) for all  $x$  in  $\langle a'', b'' \rangle$

$$|f(t, x) - f(t_v, x)| \leq \frac{1}{[t_v] + 1} \leq \frac{1}{t}.$$

Our lemma is proved.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Without loss of generality  $T$  in the theorem can be supposed a positive integer. Apply the lemma to a closed interval  $\langle a'', b'' \rangle$  contained in  $(a, b)$  and form for this closed interval and the function  $f(t, x)$  the sequence  $t_v$  of the lemma. In applying Osgood's theorem to the sequence of functions  $f(t_v, x)$ , to a given  $\epsilon > 0$  we find a subinterval  $J$  of  $\langle a'', b'' \rangle$  and an integer  $n_0$  such that

$$(3) \quad |f(t_v, x) - f(x)| \leq \epsilon/2 \quad (v \geq n_0, x \in J).$$

Take then  $T_0$  such that  $1/T_0 < \epsilon/2$  and such that for each  $t \geq T_0$ , a  $t_v$  corresponding to  $t$  by the lemma has an index  $\geq n_0$ . Then we have

$$(4) \quad |f(t, x) - f(t_v, x)| \leq 1/t \leq 1/T_0 < \epsilon/2$$

for all  $x$  from  $\langle a'', b'' \rangle$  and the assertion (1) follows from the inequalities (3) and (4). Our theorem is proved.

Both the theorem and the lemma proved remain valid if  $t$  goes to  $\infty$  through a set  $M$  which has a closed intersection with any finite interval. The necessary modifications in the proof are obvious. The case of a finite limiting point for  $t$  is reduced to the case treated above by a linear transformation.