

## REMARKS ON MINIMAL IDENTITIES FOR ALGEBRAS

A. S. AMITSUR AND J. LEVITZKI

1. **Introduction.** The purpose of the present note is to supplement in some points the results obtained by the authors in a previous communication. Let  $A_n$  denote the total matrix algebra of order  $n^2$  over a field  $F$ . In [1]<sup>1</sup> we have determined the totality of minimal identities satisfied by  $A_n$ , in all cases where  $n > 2$  or  $F \neq P_2$ , where  $P_2$  denotes the prime field of characteristic 2. In all these cases each minimal polynomial is (but for a numerical factor) either a standard polynomial of degree  $2n$  or a sum of such standard polynomials. This is not so if  $n \leq 2$  and  $F = P_2$ .

In [1, Theorem 6] we have shown that in these two exceptional cases nonlinear minimal polynomials do exist. In §2 of the present note we determine *the totality* of the minimal identities in these exceptional cases.

In [1] it was shown that all *linear* minimal polynomials of a simple or a semi-simple algebra are again the standard polynomials and their linear combinations. In §3 we prove that in general *all minimal* polynomials of a semi-simple algebra are linear, hence all results on minimal polynomials for total matrix algebras, which we have obtained in [1], may be extended to simple and semi-simple algebras.

For an algebra  $A$  with a radical  $B$  we have found in [1] an identity whose degree depends on the index of  $B$  and the orders of the simple constituents of the difference algebra  $A - B$ . This yields an upper bound and a lower bound for the degree of a minimal identity in the non-semi-simple case. In §4 of the present note we show by examples that these estimates are in a way the best possible ones.

2. **The minimal polynomials in the exceptional cases.** We first dispose of the case  $n = 1$  and  $F = P_2$ , that is,  $A_1 = P_2$ . The only nonlinear minimal polynomial depending on one indeterminate  $x$  is the polynomial  $x^2 + x$ . The only minimal polynomial depending on two indeterminates  $x_1, x_2$  and linear in each of these indeterminates is by [1, Theorems 1, 7] the standard polynomial  $S(x_1, x_2) = x_1x_2 - x_2x_1$ .

For an arbitrary set of indeterminates  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ), denote by  $M_1$  the module over  $P_2$  defined by the set of all minimal polynomials of  $A_1$ , depending on  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ . It is readily seen that a basis of  $M_1$  is constituted by the following polynomials,

---

Received by the editors January 11, 1950.

<sup>1</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of the paper.

$$x_i^2 + x_i, \quad S(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}),$$

where  $i=1, 2, \dots, k$  and  $(j_1, j_2)$  ranges over all combinations of two letters out of  $k$ . The dimensionality of this module is, therefore,  $k + C_{k,2}$ .

Consider now the algebra  $A_2$  over the field  $F=P_2$ , and let  $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  be a minimal polynomial of  $A_2$  (hence of degree 4) so that each monomial of  $f$  has a degree  $\geq 1$  in each of the  $x_i$ , that is,  $k \leq 4$ . It is sufficient to determine all minimal polynomials satisfying this condition, since by [1, Lemma 7] every minimal polynomial may be represented as a sum of minimal polynomials of this type.

The elements of  $A_2$  over  $P_2$  satisfy one of the following 4 equations:

$$y^2 = 0, \quad y^2 = y, \quad y^2 = 1, \quad y^2 = y + 1$$

and each of these equations is satisfied by some elements of  $A_2$ . This implies that no identity of the form:  $x^4 + \beta_1 x^3 + \beta_2 x^2 + \beta_3 x + \beta_4 = 0$  ( $\beta_i \in P_2$ ) is satisfied by  $A_2$ , and hence  $k \geq 2$ . If further  $k=4$ , that is,  $f$  is linear in each of the indeterminates, by [1, Theorem 2] we know that  $f$  is the standard polynomial  $S(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ . Thus it remains to determine all minimal polynomials  $f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  with  $k=2, 3$ .

Consider first the case  $k=3$ . In this case we may write  $f$  in the form

$$(1) \quad f = f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + f_3$$

where each of the monomials of  $f_0$  with a nonzero coefficient is of degree 1 in each  $x_j$  (that is,  $f_0$  is either zero or of degree 3) while for  $i \geq 1$ , each monomial of  $f_i$  with a nonzero coefficient has degree 2 in  $x_i$  and degree 1 in  $x_k$ ,  $k \neq i$ . This implies that at least one of the  $f_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , is not equal to 0 and we may assume that  $f_1 \neq 0$ . Hence (1) is a special case of formula (30) in [1] and we may apply the results obtained in [1]. Thus we have according to formula (34) of [1]:

$$f = f_0 + \alpha_1 p_1 + \alpha_2 p_2 + \alpha_3 p_3, \quad \alpha_1 \neq 0,$$

where  $p_i$  is the sum of all 12 monomials having degree 2 in  $x_i$  and degree 1 in  $x_k$ ,  $k \neq i$ .

First apply the substitution  $x_1 = e_{12}$ ,  $x_2 = e_{22}$ ,  $x_3 = e_{21}$ . The only monomial linear in each  $x_i$  which yields under this substitution the unit  $e_{11}$  is  $x_1 x_2 x_3$ . It is readily verified that  $p_i(e_{12}, e_{22}, e_{21}) = 0$ ,  $i=1, 3$ , and  $p_2(e_{12}, e_{22}, e_{21}) = e_{11}$ . This implies that  $\alpha_2$  is also the coefficient of the monomial  $x_1 x_2 x_3$  of  $f_0$ . A permutation of  $x_1$  and  $x_3$  in the last substitution shows that  $\alpha_2$  is also the coefficient of the monomial  $x_3 x_2 x_1$ . Similar results may be obtained for  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_3$ , hence

$$f = \alpha_1(x_2x_1x_3 + x_3x_1x_2 + p_1) + \alpha_2(x_1x_2x_3 + x_3x_2x_1 + p_2) + \alpha_3(x_1x_3x_2 + x_2x_3x_1 + p_3).$$

Now apply the substitution:  $x_1 = e_{11}, x_2 = e_{12}, x_3 = e_{22}$ . The only monomials of  $f$  which yield  $e_{12}$  are  $x_1^2x_2x_3, x_1x_2x_3,$  and  $x_1x_2x_3^2$ , hence  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 0$ . Since each  $\alpha_i$  is either 0 or 1, and  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ , it follows that either  $\alpha_2 = 0, \alpha_3 = 1,$  or  $\alpha_2 = 1, \alpha_3 = 0$ . Denote by  $(i, j, k)$  any permutation of the three indices 1, 2, 3 and put

$$(2) \quad G_i = x_jx_ix_k + x_kx_ix_j + p_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3;$$

then  $f$  is either  $G_1 + G_2$  or  $G_1 + G_3$ . Consider the three polynomials

$$H_1 = G_2 + G_3, \quad H_2 = G_1 + G_3, \quad H_3 = G_1 + G_2.$$

Each polynomial  $H_i$  is of degree 1 in  $x_i$  and of degree 2 in  $x_k, k \neq i$ . Since the underlying field is of characteristic 2 it follows that  $H_1 + H_2 + H_3 = 0$ . The polynomials  $H_i$  may be transformed into each other by changing the roles of the indeterminates, and thus it follows that  $f$  must be one of the three polynomials  $H_1, H_2, H_3$ .

Since it was shown in [1, Theorem 6] that the identity

$$Q(x, y) = xy^3 + yxy^2 + y^2xy + y^3x + xy^2 + y^2x = 0$$

is satisfied by  $A_2$  over  $P_2$ , and it is readily seen that

$$Q(x_1, x_2 + x_3) - Q(x_1, x_2) - Q(x_1, x_3) = H_1(x_1, x_2, x_3),$$

we conclude that the identity  $H_1 = 0$  and hence, also,  $H_2 = 0$  and  $H_3 = 0$  are indeed satisfied by the algebra  $A_2$  over  $P_2$ .

Thus we have:

**THEOREM 1a.** *The polynomial  $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , where each term is of degree  $\geq 1$  in each  $x_i$ , is a minimal polynomial of  $A_2$  over  $P_2$  if and only if  $f$  is one of the polynomials  $H_1, H_2, H_3$ .*

We now turn to the case where the minimal polynomial  $f(y_1, y_2)$  depends on 2 indeterminates. The polynomial  $f$  has monomials with degree  $\geq 2$  in one of the  $y$ 's, say in  $y_2$ . The polynomial  $F_1(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  defined by

$$F_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) = f(x_1, x_2 + x_3) - f(x_1, x_2) - f(x_1, x_3)$$

is again a minimal polynomial of  $A_2$ . It is evident that  $F_1$  is symmetric in  $x_2$  and  $x_3$ , and each term of  $F_1$  is of degree  $\geq 1$  in each of the  $x$ 's. Hence it follows by the preceding theorem that  $F_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv H_1(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ . We have already seen that

$$Q_1(x_1, x_2 + x_3) - Q_1(x_1, x_2) - Q_1(x_1, x_3) = H_1(x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

where  $Q_1(y_1, y_2) = y_1y_2^3 + y_2y_1y_2^2 + y_2^2y_1y_2 + y_2^3y_1 + y_1y_2^2 + y_2^2y_1$ . Hence, by putting  $f_1(y_1, y_2) = f(y_1, y_2) - Q_1(y_1, y_2)$ , it follows that  $f_1(x_1, x_2 + x_3) - f_1(x_1, x_2) - f_1(x_1, x_3) \equiv 0$  identically in  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ . This implies that either  $f_1(y_1, y_2) \equiv 0$  or  $f_1(y_1, y_2)$  is linear in  $y_2$ . In the former case we obtain  $f(y_1, y_2) \equiv Q_1(y_1, y_2)$ , while in case  $f_1(y_1, y_2) \not\equiv 0$  we know that  $f_1(y_1, y_2)$  is again a minimal polynomial of  $A_2$  over  $P_2$  such that each term of  $f_1$  is of degree  $\geq 1$  in  $y_1$  and in  $y_2$ . Since  $f_1$  is linear in  $y_2$ , it must be of degree  $\geq 2$  in  $y_1$ . Hence, in a similar manner we show that the polynomial  $F_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) = f_1(x_1 + x_2, x_3) - f_1(x_1, x_3) - f_1(x_2, x_3)$  is equal to  $H_3$ . Since the polynomial

$$Q_2(y_1, y_2) = y_1^3y_2 + y_1^2y_2y_1 + y_1y_2y_1^2 + y_2y_1^3 + y_1^2y_2 + y_2y_1^2$$

also satisfies  $Q_2(x_1 + x_2, x_3) - Q_2(x_1, x_3) - Q_2(x_2, x_3) = H_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , it follows similarly that either the polynomial  $f_1(y_1, y_2) - Q_2(y_1, y_2) = f_2(y_1, y_2)$  is zero, or  $f_2$  must be linear in  $y_1$ . The latter possibility leads to a contradiction, since in this case  $f_2$  must be linear in  $y_2$  also, which implies that the general degree of  $f_2$  is less than 4, which is impossible, since  $f_2$  is a minimal polynomial of  $A_2$ . This implies that  $f(y_1, y_2) = Q_1(y_1, y_2) + Q_2(y_1, y_2)$ . It has already been shown that the identities  $Q_1(y_1, y_2) = 0, Q_2(y_1, y_2) = 0$  hold in  $A_2$  over  $P_2$ . Hence, also the identity  $Q_1 + Q_2 = 0$  holds in  $A_2$  over  $P_2$  and we have:

**THEOREM 1b.** *A polynomial  $f(y_1, y_2)$ , such that each term of  $f$  is of degree not less than 1 in  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ , is a minimal polynomial of  $A_2$  over  $P_2$  if and only if  $f = Q_1$ , or  $f = Q_2$ , or  $f = Q_1 + Q_2$ .*

By summarizing above results we get:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  be a minimal polynomial of  $A_2$  over  $P_2$ , such that each monomial of  $f$  is of a degree  $\geq 1$  in each  $x_i$ , then  $2 \leq k \leq 4$ , and:*

- (1) *If  $k = 4$  then  $f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = S(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ .*
- (2) *If  $k = 3$  then  $f$  is one of the polynomials  $H_1, H_2, H_3$ .*
- (3) *If  $k = 2$  then  $f$  is one of the polynomials  $Q_1, Q_2, Q_1 + Q_2$ .*

Since by [1, Lemma 7] it follows that every minimal polynomial may be represented as a sum of polynomials of the type mentioned in the preceding theorem, we have:

**THEOREM 2.** *The module  $M_2$  defined by the minimal polynomials of  $A_2$  over  $P_2$ , depending on the indeterminates  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, k \geq 4$ , has the dimensionality  $C_{k,4} + 2C_{k,3} + 2C_{k,2}$ . As a basis for  $M_2$  we may choose the polynomials:*

$$S(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}, x_{i_4}), H_1(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}), H_2(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}), Q_1(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}), Q_2(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2})$$

where  $(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)$  is an arbitrary combination of 4 letters out of  $k$ .

For  $k=3$  we have the basis

$$H_1(x_1, x_2, x_3), \quad H_2(x_1, x_2, x_3), \quad Q_1(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}), \quad Q(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2})$$

where  $(i_1, i_2)$  is an arbitrary combination of 2 letters out of 3, and in this case the dimensionality of  $M_2$  is 8.

For  $k=2$ , the dimensionality of  $M_2$  is 2 and we have the basis

$$Q_1(x_1, x_2), \quad Q_2(x_1, x_2).$$

For later reference we need the following remark.

REMARK. If in  $H_1$  (resp.  $Q_1$ ) one ignores the order of the factors, one obtains  $H_1 = 4x_1x_2x_3 + 12x_1x_2^2x_3 + 12x_1x_2x_3^2$  (resp.  $Q_1 = 2x_1x_2^2 + 4x_1x_2^3$ ).

**3. Simple and semi-simple algebras.** We shall need the following generalization of Kaplansky's Lemma 3 [2].

LEMMA. If an algebra  $A$  over  $F$  satisfies an identity  $f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0$  which is homogeneous in each  $x_i$  and of degree not greater than 2 in each  $x_i$ , then the given identity is satisfied also by the direct product  $A \times G$ , where  $G$  is a field containing  $F$ .

PROOF. We prove the lemma by induction on the number of the indeterminates  $x_i$  whose degree in  $f$  is 2.

By Lemma 3 in [2] our lemma holds when  $f$  is linear in each  $x_i$ . Suppose now that  $f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  is of degree 2 in  $x_i$  where  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and consider the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} & g_i(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, u, v, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_k) \\ (3) \quad & = f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, u + v, x_{i+1}, \dots) - f(\dots, x_{i-1}, u, x_{i+1}, \dots) \\ & \quad - f(\dots, x_{i-1}, v, x_{i+1}, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

This polynomial is apparently homogeneous in each of its indeterminates, and the number of indeterminates whose degree in  $g_i$  is 2 is less than that of  $f$ . Since the identity  $g_i = 0$  holds in  $A$ , we may assume (by induction) that the identity  $g_i = 0$  holds also in  $A \times G$ . By (3) we have, for any sequence of  $k+1$  elements  $b_1, b_2, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_k$  belonging to  $A \times G$ , the relation

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b_1 + b_2, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_k) \\ & = f(\dots, b_1, \dots) + f(\dots, b_2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Since relation (4) evidently holds also in case  $f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  is linear in  $x_i$ , we may assume its validity for each  $x_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Now each element  $a \in A \times G$  has the form  $a = \sum \gamma_j a_j$  where  $a_j \in A$  and  $\gamma_j \in G$ . Hence in view of (4) it remains only to show that  $f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0$

for  $x_i = \delta_i b_i$ ,  $b_i \in A$ ,  $\delta_i \in G$ . This is evident, since  $f(\delta_1 b_1, \dots, \delta_k b_k) = \delta_1^{\nu_1} \dots \delta_k^{\nu_k} f(b_1, \dots, b_k) = 0$  where  $\nu_i$  is the degree of  $x_i$  in  $f$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.

With the aid of the preceding lemma we now extend Theorems 4 and 5 of [1] to the general case of simple algebras by proving the following theorem:

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $A$  be a simple algebra of order  $n^2$  over its centre, and suppose that  $A$  is neither  $P_2$  nor is  $A$  the total matrix algebra  $A_2$  over  $P_2$ . Then  $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$  is a minimal identity of  $A$  if and only if  $m \geq 2n$  and*

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{(i)} \alpha_{(i)} S(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{2n}})$$

where the sum ranges over all  $C_{m, 2n}$  combinations (i) of  $2n$  letters out of  $m$  letters, and the  $\alpha_{(i)}$  are in the underlying field.

**PROOF.** Since  $A$  is a normal simple algebra over its centre  $C$ , there exists a field  $G$  containing  $C$ , for which  $A \times G$  over  $C$  is the total matrix algebra  $A_n$  over  $G$ . The algebra  $A \times G$  is apparently neither the algebra  $A_2$  over  $P_2$  nor is  $A \times G$  the field  $P_2$  since  $A$  is not one of these exceptional cases. We assert first that the minimal polynomials of  $A$  are linear in all their indeterminates. Indeed suppose that  $A$  possesses nonlinear minimal polynomials. Then by Lemmas 5 and 6 of [1] we may assume that there exists a minimal polynomial  $f(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  of  $A$  which is homogeneous and of degree not greater than 2 in each of the  $x$ 's and of degree 2 in some of them. By the preceding lemma it follows that the identity  $f=0$  is satisfied also by the algebra  $A \times G$ . But since  $A \times G$  is a total matrix algebra and  $A \times G$  is not one of the exceptional cases, this contradicts Theorem 5 of [1]. This implies that every minimal identity  $f=0$  satisfied by  $A$  is a linear identity. By Theorem 4 of [1] it follows, therefore, that  $m \geq 2n$ , and

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{(i)} \alpha_{(i)} S(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{2n}})$$

where  $\alpha_{(i)} \in G$ . One readily verifies that  $\alpha_{(i)} \in F$ , since  $f$  is a polynomial in  $F$ .

By [1, Theorem 7] we know that the converse is true also, that is, the identities of the type  $\sum_{(i)} \alpha_{(i)} S(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{2n}}) = 0$  are satisfied by the algebra  $A$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

Consider now a semi-simple algebra  $A$  over  $F$ , and suppose that  $A$  is a direct sum of the simple algebras  $A', A'', \dots, A^{(k)}$ . Denote by  $n_i^2$  the order of  $A^{(i)}$  over its centre, and put  $n^2 = \max(n_1^2, \dots, n_k^2)$ .

It is readily verified<sup>2</sup> that an identity  $f=0$  is satisfied by  $A$  if and only if it is satisfied by every constituent  $A^{(i)}$ .

Consider first the following exceptional cases:

(I) All algebras  $A^{(i)}$  are isomorphic with  $P_2$ . This implies  $n=1$  and  $F=P_2$ . Hence,  $f=0$  is a minimal identity of  $A$  if and only if  $f=0$  is a minimal identity of  $P_2$ , and these identities were determined in the previous section.

(II) Some algebras  $A^{(i)}$  are the algebras  $A_2$  over  $P_2$ , and the remaining algebras  $A^{(i)}$  (if any) are commutative fields of characteristic 2. This implies  $F=P_2$ ,  $n=2$ .

By the remark at the end of the previous section it follows that all minimal identities of  $A_2$  over  $P_2$  are satisfied also by commutative fields of characteristic 2. This implies that in case (II) the module of the minimal polynomials of the algebra  $A$  is the same as the module of the minimal polynomials of the algebra  $A_2$  over  $P_2$ , and a base for the latter module was given in Theorem 2.

Now we turn to the general case, that is, either  $n>2$  or  $F\neq P_2$ , or in case  $n=2$  and  $F=P_2$ , some algebra  $A^{(i)}$  is a total matrix algebra of degree 2 over a field  $\neq P_2$ . For such algebras we prove the validity of Theorem 3, that is:

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $A$  be a semi-simple algebra not of the types (I) or (II), then  $f(x_1, \dots, x_m)=0$  is a minimal identity of  $A$  if and only if  $m \geq 2n$ , and*

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{(i)} \alpha_{(i)} S(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{2n}})$$

where the sum ranges over all  $C_{m, 2n}$  combinations (i) of  $2n$  letters out of  $m$  letters, and  $\alpha_{(i)}$  are in  $F$ .

**PROOF.** We have already seen that  $A$  satisfies an identity  $f=0$  if and only if  $f=0$  is satisfied by every constituent  $A^{(i)}$ . The minimal identities of the algebra  $A^{(i)}$  of order  $n_i^2 = n^2$  over its centre are satisfied also by the algebra  $A^{(i)}$  for which  $n_i \leq n_j = n$  if either  $n>2$  or  $F\neq P_2$ , and when  $n=2$  and  $F=P_2$ , the minimal identities of the algebra  $A^{(i)}$  of order 4 over its centre  $C$  such that  $C \supset P_2$  are also satisfied by the other constituents  $A^{(i)}$ . This implies that  $f$  is a minimal polynomial of  $A$  if and only if  $f$  is a minimal polynomial of that particular algebra  $A^{(i)}$ . Hence our theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.

**4. Algebras with radical.** Let  $r$  be the index of the radical  $N$  of

<sup>2</sup> See also [1, §4].

the algebra  $B$  over  $F$ , and let  $n^2 = \max(n_1^2, \dots, n_t^2)$  where  $n_i^2$  are the orders of the simple constituents of the difference algebra  $B - N$ . We prove:

**THEOREM 5.** *Denote by  $m$  the degree of the minimal polynomial of  $B$ . Then:*

- (1)  $2n \leq m \leq 2nr$ ;
- (2) *There exist algebras  $B$  over  $F$  with the index  $r$  for which  $m = 2n$ , as well as algebras for which  $m = 2nr$ .*

**PROOF.** By [1, Theorem 9] we know that  $B$  has identities of degree  $2nr$ . This implies that  $m \leq 2nr$ . Since an identity satisfied by  $B$  is satisfied also by  $B - N$ , it follows that  $m \geq 2n$ , that is,  $2n \leq m \leq 2nr$ .

Now let  $B_1 = A_n + N$  denote a direct sum of a total matrix algebra  $A_n$  over  $F$  and a nilpotent algebra  $N$  of index  $t \leq 2n$ . The identity  $S(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = 0$  is satisfied by both  $A_n$  and  $N$  and hence also by  $B_1$ . This implies that the minimum degree  $m_1$  of  $B_1$  is at most  $2n$ . On the other hand  $m_1 \geq 2n$ . It follows, therefore, that  $m_1 = 2n$ .

Finally consider the algebra  $B_2$ , defined as the algebra of all matrices  $(a_{ik})$  of order  $r$  over  $F$  where  $a_{ik} = 0$  for  $i > k$ , that is, the ring of all matrices of order  $r$  with zeros beneath the diagonal. The radical  $N_2$  of this algebra is the set of all matrices  $(a_{ik})$  where  $a_{ik} = 0$  when  $i \geq k$ , that is,  $N_2$  is the set of all matrices of  $B_2$  with zeros in the diagonal, and its index is therefore equal to  $r$ . The semi-simple algebra  $B_2 - N_2$  is a direct sum of  $r$  commutative fields, that is,  $n = 1$ . The minimum degree  $m_2$  of  $B_2$  is therefore subject to the inequality  $2 \leq m_2 \leq 2r$ . If  $B_2$  has a polynomial identity of degree  $m_2 < 2r$ , then evidently it possesses also an identity of degree  $2r - 1$ . Hence, by [2, Lemma 2], it follows that  $B_2$  possesses also an identity  $f(x_1, \dots, x_{2r-1}) = 0$  of degree  $2r - 1$ , where  $f$  is homogeneous and linear in all the indeterminates  $x_i$ . We may assume that the coefficient  $\alpha$  of the monomial  $x_1 x_2 \dots x_{2r-1}$  of  $f$  is not zero.

Now substitute  $x_{2i-1} = e_{ii}$ ,  $x_{2i} = e_{i,i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r - 1$ ,  $x_{2r-1} = e_{rr}$ . The only monomial of  $f$  which yields under this substitution a non-zero element is  $x_1 \dots x_{2r-1}$ , hence  $f = \alpha e_{11} \neq 0$  which is a contradiction. This implies that  $m_2 \geq 2r$ . Hence  $m_2 = 2r$ , which completes the proof of the theorem.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. S. Amitsur and J. Levitzki, *Minimal identities for algebras*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society vol. 1 (1950) pp. 449-463.
2. I. Kaplansky, *Rings and a polynomial identity*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 54 (1948) pp. 575-580.

JERUSALEM UNIVERSITY