CERTAIN PROPERTIES OF FUNCTIONS HARMONIC WITHIN A SPHERE

ERNEST P. MILES, JR.

1. Introduction. Let $S$ be the sphere of radius $a$ about the origin $O$ of the rectangular coordinate system $(x, y, z)$. Let $V$ be the interior of $S$. Let $U = U(x, y, z) = U(r, \theta, \phi)$ be the function, harmonic in $V$, given by the Poisson integral of $u(\theta, \phi)$ over $S$,

$$U(P) = \frac{a^2 - r^2}{4\pi a} \int \int_{S} \frac{u(Q)}{|PQ|^3} dS_Q$$

where $u(\theta, \phi) \in L$ on $S$. When the relation (1.1) holds between $U$ and $u$, we write $U = p(u)$.

The following Theorem I extends to three dimensions results obtained by Douglas for two dimensions (see [2, pp. 307–311]).

**Theorem I.** Let $u(\theta) \in L$ on $S$ and $u$ be the function, harmonic in $V$, such that $U = p(u)$. Let $P_n(\cos \theta)$ and $P_n^m(\cos \theta)$ be respectively the Legendre polynomial of order $n$, and the associated Legendre function of the first kind. Denote the Laplace expansion of $u$ on $S$ by

$$u(\theta, \phi) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \left[ A_n P_n(\cos \theta) + \sum_{m=1}^{n} (A_{n,m} \cos m\phi + B_{n,m} \sin m\phi) P_n^m(\cos \theta) \right].$$

Then, if any one of the three numbers

$$A[U] = \int \int \int_{V} |VU(P)|^3 dV_P,$$
$$B[u] = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \int_{S} dS_M \int \int_{S} \frac{|u(M) - u(N)|^2}{|MN|^3} dS_N,$$
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\[ C[u] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\pi a}{2n+1} \left[ 2A_n^2 + \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{(n+m)!}{(n-m)!} \left( A_{n,m}^2 + B_{n,m}^2 \right) \right], \]

is finite, the other two are finite and all three are equal.

It has been shown by Bray and Evans \[1, pp. 179-180\] that, if \( U(P) \) is harmonic in \( V \), and if \( A[U] < +\infty \), then there exists \( u(\theta, \phi) \in L \) on \( S \) such that \( U = \rho(u) \). The following theorem is an immediate corollary of Theorem I and this result of Bray and Evans.

**Theorem II.** Let \( U(P) \) be harmonic in \( V \). Then \( A[U] \) is finite if, and only if, there exists a function \( u(\theta, \phi) \) belonging to \( L \) on \( S \) such that \( U = \rho(u) \) and \( B[u] \) is finite.

The final result of this paper is Theorem III which establishes an inequality similar to one developed by J. Hadamard \[3, pp. 135-138\] for the analogous two-dimensional case.

**Theorem III.** (a) Let \( u(P) \in L \) on \( S \). Let \( W(P) \in C' \) in \( V \), and suppose that \( W \rightarrow u, r \rightarrow \alpha^- \), on almost all radii of \( S \). Then, \( A[U] \leq A[W] \) where \( U = \rho(u) \).

(b) Further, the inequality holds, unless \( A[U] = +\infty \), or \( U \) is identical with \( W \).

2. **Lemma for Theorem I.** The proof of Theorem I depends on the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** For \( M \) on \( S \), and \( P \) in \( V \), put

\[ F(P, M) = \frac{a^2 - r^2}{[PM]^3} \quad (r = [OP]). \]

Let \( G(P, M) \) denote the radial derivative at \( P \) of \( F(P, M) \), regarded as a function of \( P \):

\[ (2.1) \quad G(P, M) = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} F(P, M) = \frac{-2r}{[PM]^3} - \frac{3(a^2 - r^2)}{[PM]^4} \cos(\angle OPM). \]

Denote by \( S_r \) the sphere of radius \( r \) about \( o \). Put

\[ (2.2) \quad H(r, M, N) = \int \int_{S_r} F(P, M)G(P, N)dS_P. \]

Then there exists a constant \( K \), such that

\[ (2.3) \quad [MN]^4 |H(r, M, N)| \leq K \]

for \( M, N \) on \( S \), \( 0 < r < a \). Further, if \( M, N \) are on \( S \), \( M \neq N \), then
\[(2.4) \quad \lim_{r \to a^{-}} H(r, M, N) = -\frac{8\pi a^2}{[MN]^3}.
\]

**Proof.** For \( P \) in \( V \), \([OP]=r\), and \( M, N \) on \( S \), we have
\[(2.5) \quad F(P, M) = \frac{1}{a^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k + 1)P_k(\cos \angle MOP) \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^k,
\]
\[(2.6) \quad G(P, N) = \frac{1}{a^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(2k + 1)P_k(\cos \angle NOP) \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{k-1}.
\]

For \( r \) held fast, \( 0<r<a \), and \( M, N \) fixed on \( S \), these series are uniformly convergent for \( P \) on \( S_r \). Making use of the formulas,
\[
\int_{S_r} P_j(\cos \angle MOP)P_k(\cos \angle NOP)dS_P = \begin{cases} 0 & (j \neq k), \\ \frac{4\pi r^2}{2k + 1}P_k(\cos \angle MON) & (j = k), \end{cases}
\]
we obtain for \( 0<r<a \),
\[(2.7) \quad H(r, M, N) = \frac{4\pi r^2}{a^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(2k + 1)P_k(\cos \angle MON) \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{2k}.
\]

Let \( \rho = r^2/a \); let \( Q \) be the point of intersection of \([OM]\) and \( S \). From (2.7) and (2.6), we get
\[(2.8) \quad H(r, M, N) = 4\pi \frac{\rho}{a} (a\rho)^{1/2}G(Q, N).
\]

Hence, by (2.1),
\[(2.9) \quad H(r, M, N) = -4\pi \frac{\rho}{a} (a\rho)^{1/2} \left[ \frac{2}{[QN]^2} + \frac{3(a^2 - \rho^2)}{[QN]^4} \cos \angle OQN \right]
\]
from which (2.4) follows. Further, from the equality
\[ [QN]^2 = (a - \rho)^2 + \frac{\rho}{a} [MN]^2
\]
we obtain, for \( 0<r<a \), \([MN] \leq (a/\rho)^{1/2}[QN] \), \( a - \rho \leq [QN] \). These inequalities with (2.9) give
\[
|H(r, M, N) \cdot [MN]^3| \leq 4\pi \frac{\rho}{a} (a\rho)^{1/2} \frac{8a}{[QN]^5} [MN]^3 \leq 32\pi a^2.
\]
3. Proof of Theorem I.

(A) We assume first that

\[(3.1) \quad B[u] < \infty.\]

We prove, under this hypothesis, that

\[(3.2) \quad A[U] = B[u].\]

Under (3.1), for almost all points \(M\) on \(S\), the integral

\[(3.3) \quad \iiint_S \frac{[u(M) - u(N)]^2}{[MN]^3} dS_N\]

exists as a finite number. Selecting any point \(M\) for which (3.3) is finite, we get

\[
\iint_S u^2(N) dS_N \leq 16a^3 \iint_S \frac{[u(M) - u(N)]^2}{[MN]^3} dS_N + 8\pi a^2 u^2(M) < \infty.
\]

Thus \(u \in L^2\) on \(S\).

Now let \(w_1(P), w_2(P) \in L\) on \(S\). Let \(W_1(P) = \varphi(w_1), W_2(P) = \varphi(w_2)\). By Green's theorem, if \(V_r\) is the interior of the sphere \(S_r, 0 < r < a\),

\[(3.4) \quad \iiint_{V_r} \nabla W_1 \cdot \nabla W_2 dV = \iint_{S_r} W_1(P) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} W_2(P) dS_P.
\]

Thus

\[
\iint_{V_r} \nabla W_1 \cdot \nabla W_2 dV
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{16\pi^2 a^2} \iint_{S_r} dS_P \iint_S F(P, M) w_1(M) dS_M \iint_S G(P, N) w_2(N) dS_N,
\]

which by (2.2) and Fubini's theorem can be written

\[(3.5) \quad \iint_{V_r} \nabla W_1 \cdot \nabla W_2 dV
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{16\pi^2 a^2} \iint_{S} dS_M \iint_S w_1(M) w_2(N) H(r, M, N) dS_N.
\]

Applying (3.5) in the three cases:

(a) \(w_1 = u^2\),

(b) \(w_1 = 1\),

(c) \(w_1 = u\),

\(w_2 = 1\),

\(w_2 = u^2\),

\(w_2 = u\).
we obtain
\[ 0 = \frac{1}{32\pi^2a^2} \int \int_{S} dS_{M} \int \int_{S} u^2(M)H(r, M, N)dS_{N}, \]
\[ 0 = \frac{1}{32\pi^2a^2} \int \int_{S} dS_{M} \int \int_{S} u^2(N)H(r, M, N)dS_{N}, \]
\[ \int \int_{V, r} |\nabla U|^2dV = \frac{1}{32\pi^2a^2} \int \int_{S} dS_{M} \int \int_{S} 2u(M)u(N)H(r, M, N)dS_{N}. \]

From these three equalities, we get
\[ (3.6) \ A_r[U] = -\frac{1}{32\pi^2a^2} \int \int_{S} dS_{M} \int \int_{S} [u(M) - u(N)]^2H(r, M, N)dS_{N}, \]
where \( A_r[U] = \int \int_{V, r} |\nabla U|^2dV. \)

From (3.6), (3.1), Lemma 1, and Lebesgue's theorem, we get
\[ (3.7) \ \lim_{r \to a^-} A_r[U] = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \int_{S} dS_{M} \int \int_{S} \left[\frac{u(M) - u(N)]^2}{[MN]^3}\right] dS_{N}. \]

Since \( \lim_{r \to a^-} A_r[U] = A[U], \) the truth of (3.2) follows.

(B) We now assume
\[ (3.8) \ A[U] < + \infty. \]

We prove that (3.8) implies (3.1). The function \( U(P) \) is harmonic in \( V. \) Hence, if \( r \) is held fast, \( 0 < r < a, \) it follows that \( U(M') - U(N') \) is bounded by a constant multiple of \([M'N']\) for \( M', N' \) on \( S_r. \) Thus
\[ (3.9) \ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \int_{S_r} dS_{M'} \int \int_{S_r} \frac{[U(M') - U(N')]^2}{[M'N']^3} dS_{N'} < + \infty. \]

Accordingly, by what we proved in (A),
\[ \int \int_{V, r} |\nabla U(P)|^2dV \]
\[ = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \int_{S_r} dS_{M'} \int \int_{S_r} \frac{[U(M') - U(N')]^2}{[M'N']^3} dS_{N'}, \]
which can be written
\[ (3.10) \ A_r[U] = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{r}{a} \int \int_{S} \int \int_{S} \frac{[U(M') - U(N')]^2}{[MN]^3} dS_{M}dS_{N}, \]
where \( M', N' \) are the intersections of \([OM],[ON],\) with \( S_r. \) We have
$U(M') \rightarrow u(M), r \rightarrow a^-$ for almost all points $M$ of $S$. Thus, by Fatou's lemma, we get

$$B[u] = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_S \int_S dS_M \int_S \int_S \lim_{r \to a^-} \left\{ \frac{r}{a} \left[ \frac{U(M') - U(N')}{[MN]^3} \right] \right\} dS_N$$

(3.11)

$$\leq \lim_{r \to a^-} A_r[U] = A[U] < +\infty,$$

which is (3.1).

(C) To complete the proof of Theorem I it suffices to show that

$$A[U] = C[u]$$

(3.12)

for $A[U], C[u]$ finite or $+\infty$.

We have, for $P(r, \theta, \phi)$ in $V$,

$$U(P) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ A_n P_n(\cos \theta) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_{n,m} \cos m\phi + B_{n,m} \sin m\phi) P_n^{m}(\cos \theta) \right] \left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^n.$$  

(3.13)

The series (3.13) for $U(P)$ and the series for $\partial U/\partial r$ obtained by termwise differentiation of (3.13) are uniformly convergent series of spherical harmonics for $r$ held fast, $0<r<a$. By substituting these series for $U$ and $\partial U/\partial r$ in (3.4), by using the orthogonality properties of spherical harmonics, and by using the formulas for computing the coefficients of the Laplace series, we get

$$A_r[U] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2an\pi}{2n+1} \left[ 2A_n^2 + \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{(n+m)!}{(n-m)!} (A_{n,m}^2 + B_{n,m}^2) \right] \left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^{2n+1}.$$  

(3.14)

Since $\lim_{r \to a^-} A_r[U] = A[U]$, the proof of (3.12) for $A[U], C[u]$ finite or $+\infty$ follows from (3.14) and Abel's lemma. This completes the proof of Theorem I.

4. Lemma for Theorem III. We base the proof of Theorem III on the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** Let $W \in C''$ in a domain containing $S+V$. Then

$$B[W] = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_S \int_S dS_M \int_S \int_S \frac{[W(M) - W(N)]^2}{[MN]^3} dS_N \leq A[W].$$

(4.1)
**Proof.** Let \( U = \phi(W) \). We can write \( U = U_1 + U_2 \), where \( U_1 \) and \( U_2 \) is a potential of simple [double] distribution of class \( C'' \) on \( S \) (see [4, p. 241]). It follows that \( U_1 \) and \( U_2 \) are continuously differentiable on \( S + V \) (see [4, pp. 160–172]). Hence, in particular, \( U \) has bounded first partial derivatives in \( V \). We have, for \( 0 < b < a \),

\[
A_b[W] = A_b(W - U) + A_b[U] + 2 \int \int_{V_b} \nabla U \cdot \nabla (W - U) dV
\]

(4.2)

\[
= A_b[W - U] + A_b[U] + 2 \int \int_{S_b} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} (W - U) dS,
\]

by Green's theorem. Hence

\[
A_b[U] \leq A_b[W] + 2 \left| \int \int_{S_b} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} (W - U) dS \right| \leq A[W] + 2 \left| \int \int_{S_b} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} (W - U) dS \right|.
\]

(4.3)

We know that \( W - U \) is continuous on \( V + S \), and vanishes on \( S \), and \( U \) has bounded first partial derivatives in \( V \). Hence,

\[
\int \int_{S_b} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} (W - U) dS \to 0 \quad (b \to a^-).
\]

Further, \( A_b[U] \to A[U] \), \( b \to a^- \). It follows from (4.3) that

(4.4) \[ A[U] \leq A[W]. \]

By Theorem I, we have

(4.5) \[ A[U] = B[W], \]

since \( U = \phi(W) \). The lemma follows from (4.4) and (4.5).

5. **Proof of Theorem III.** (a) Suppose \( 0 < b < a \). For \( n > 3^{1/2}/(a - b) \), put

\[
W_n(x, y, z) = n^x \int_{z}^{x+1/3} dx \int_{y}^{y+1/3} dy \int_{z}^{z+1/3} W(x, y, z) dz.
\]

Then \( W_n \) is of class \( C'' \) in a domain containing \( S_b + V_b \). Hence, by Lemma 2,

(5.1) \[ B_b(W_n) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \int_{S_b} \int \int_{S_b} \frac{[W_n(M) - W_n(N)]^2}{[MN]^3} dS_N \leq A_b[W_n]. \]
Now $W_n(M) \to W(M)$, $n \to \infty$, on $S_b$; and the first partial derivatives of $W_n$ tend uniformly to those of $W$ in $V_b$. Hence,

\[ (5.2) \quad B_b[W] \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} B_b[W_n], \]

by Fatou's lemma, and

\[ (5.3) \quad A_b[W] = \liminf_{n \to \infty} A_b[W_n]. \]

Thus,

\[ (5.4) \quad B_b[W] \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} B_b[W_n] \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} A_b[W_n] \leq A_b[W], \]

by (5.1), (5.2), and (5.3). It follows that

\[ (5.5) \quad B_b[W] \leq A_b[W], \]

since $A_b[W] \leq A_b[W]$.

Now $B_b[W]$ may be written

\[ (5.6) \quad B_b[W] = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_S \int_S dS_M \int_S \frac{W(M_\omega) - W(N_\omega)^2}{[MN]^3} dS_N, \]

where $M_\omega$ and $N_\omega$ are the intersections of $[OM]$ and $[ON]$ with $S_b$. But $W(M_\omega) \to u(M)$, $b \to b^-$ for almost all points $M$ of $S$. Thus, by Fatou's lemma, and (5.5), we get

\[ (5.7) \quad B[u] \leq A[W]. \]

By Theorem I, (5.7) implies $A[U] \leq A[W]$ which completes the proof of part (a).

(b) Assume $A[U] < +\infty$, and $U \neq W$. Then we have $0 < A[(U - W)/2]$. Put $W_1 = (U + W)/2$. Then $W_1$ satisfies the condition imposed on $W$ in (a). Hence, by (a), $A[U] \leq A[W_1]$. Thus,


\[ = A[W], \]

or $A[U] < A[W]$. This completes the proof of Theorem III.
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NON-MEASURABLE SETS AND THE EQUATION
\[ f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) \]

ISRAEL HALPERIN

1. A set of \( S \) real numbers which has inner measure \( m_*(S) \) different from its outer measure \( m^*(S) \) is non-measurable. An extreme form, which we shall call saturated non-measurability, occurs when \( m_*(S) = 0 \) but \( m^*(SM) = m(M) \) for every measurable set \( M \), \( m(M) \) denoting the measure of \( M \). This is equivalent to: both \( S \) and its complement have zero inner measure.

More generally, if a fixed set \( B \) of positive measure is under consideration, a subset \( S \) of \( B \) will be called \( s \)-non-mble. if both \( S \) and its complement relative to \( B \) have zero inner measure. This implies \( m_*(S) = 0 \), \( m^*(S) = m(B) \) but is implied by these conditions only if \( m(B) \) is finite.

Our object, in part, is to show that if \( B \) is either the set of all real numbers or any half-open finite interval, then for every infinite cardinal \( k \leq C \) (the power of the continuum), \( B \) can be partitioned into \( k \) disjoint subsets which are \( s \)-non-mble. and are mutually congruent under translation (modulo the length of \( B \) in the case that \( B \) is a finite interval). Sierpinski and Lusin\(^1\) have partitioned \( B \) into continuum many disjoint \( s \)-non-mble. subsets but they are not constructed to be congruent under translation. Other well known constructions do partition \( B \) into a countable infinity of mutually congruent non-measurable subsets, but the subsets are not constructed to be saturated non-measurable.\(^2\)

---

\(^2\) See Hahn and Rosenthal, Set functions, University of New Mexico Press, 1948, pp. 102-104. The construction of §8.3.3 on p. 102 (as will be shown below) does give an \( s \)-non-mble. set but this is not proved there.