

Under these circumstances, it is clear that F is a blanket with domain

$$\sum_{f \in \mathfrak{R}} A_f.$$

We state the following theorem, the proof being omitted since it is somewhat lengthy, although straightforward.

7.2. THEOREM. *If F is the union of such a countable family of blankets \mathfrak{R} that*

- (i) *$f \in \mathfrak{R}$ implies f is ϕ -pseudo-strong;*
 - (ii) *the domains of the members of \mathfrak{R} are disjointed;*
- then F is ϕ -pseudo-strong.*

This theorem insures that the blanket F enjoys the same differentiability properties as the members of \mathfrak{R} themselves.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS

ON THE SHEFFER A-TYPE OF POLYNOMIALS GENERATED BY $\phi(t)f(xt)$

WILLIAM N. HUFF AND EARL D. RAINVILLE

1. Introduction. Huff [1] treated polynomial sets $\{y_n(x)\}$ defined by a generating function,

$$(1) \quad \phi(t)f(xt) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_n(x)t^n,$$

in which

$$(2) \quad \phi(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_n t^n}{n!}; \quad f(xt) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n (xt)^n}{n!},$$

with the restriction that neither b_0 nor any of the a_n can be zero. He proved, among other things, a theorem (his 2.1) which states a necessary and sufficient condition that the $\{y_n(x)\}$ above be of Sheffer A-type k . For definitions of A-type and a basic treatment of the important Sheffer classifications, see [2].

Starting with Huff's theorem, we shall obtain for $\{y_n(x)\}$ to be of

Presented to the Society, November 23, 1951; received by the editors July 30, 1951.

Sheffer A-type k , a necessary and sufficient condition in the desirable form of a simple restriction upon the generating function in the definition of the polynomials.

2. **Form of the generating function.** Using the factorial function $(\alpha)_n$, so common in hypergeometric notation, we can restate Huff's theorem as follows:

THEOREM 1. *A set of polynomials $\{y_n(x)\}$ defined by (1) and (2) above is of Sheffer A-type k if, and only if, constants $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$, with $\gamma_k \neq 0$, exist such that, if q 's are defined by*

$$(3) \quad a_s q_0 q_1 \cdots q_{s-1} = 1; \quad s = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

then $q_0 = \gamma_0$, and

$$(4) \quad q_s = \sum_{i=0}^k (-s)_i (-1)^i \gamma_i; \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Starting with Theorem 1 we shall prove the result:

THEOREM 2. *A necessary and sufficient condition that the set of polynomials $\{y_n(x)\}$, defined by (1) and (2) above, be of Sheffer A-type k is that the $f(xt)$ in (1) and (2) be a hypergeometric function with k denominator parameters and no numerator parameters, namely,*

$$f(xt) = {}_0F_k(-; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k; \sigma xt),$$

in which σ is a nonzero constant.

PROOF. First, assume that the set $\{y_n(x)\}$ is of Sheffer A-type k . Let the sequence of numbers $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$, with $\gamma_k \neq 0$, be that whose existence is guaranteed by Theorem 1. Define the numbers $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k$ by the identity in x ,

$$(5) \quad \gamma_k \prod_{j=1}^k (\beta_j + x) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^k (-x)_i (-1)^i \gamma_i.$$

The q_s of Theorem 1 is given by (4) which, in view of (5), can be written

$$(6) \quad q_s = \gamma_k \prod_{j=1}^k (\beta_j + s); \quad s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

From (6) we obtain by multiplication

$$(7) \quad \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} q_s = \gamma_k^n \prod_{j=1}^k (\beta_j)_n.$$

But, by (3),

$$a_n = \left[\prod_{s=0}^{n-1} q_s \right]^{-1},$$

so that

$$(8) \quad a_n = \gamma_k^{-n} \left[\prod_{j=1}^k (\beta_j)_n \right]^{-1}.$$

From (8) and (2) it follows at once that

$$(9) \quad f(xt) = {}_0F_k(-; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k; xt/\gamma_k).$$

Next suppose that the set of polynomials $\{y_n(x)\}$ is defined by a generating function of the stipulated kind,

$$(10) \quad \phi(t)f(xt) = \phi(t) {}_0F_k(-; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k; \sigma xt) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_n(x)t^n,$$

in which $\phi(t)$ is analytic and not zero at $t=0$. We need to show that the γ 's of Theorem 1 exist.

The q 's may be defined successively by (3) above; that is,

$$q_s = a_s/a_{s+1} = \sigma^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^k (\beta_j + s),$$

in terms of the given β 's. The γ 's are now defined by $\gamma_0 = q_0$ and

$$(11) \quad q_s = \sum_{i=0}^k (-s)_i (-1)^i \gamma_i; \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Indeed, the explicit solution of equations (11) is

$$(12) \quad \gamma_i = \sum_{s=0}^i \frac{(-1)^{i-s} q_s}{s!(i-s)!}; \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k.$$

Equation (12) may be neatly expressed in symbolic notation by

$$(13) \quad i! \gamma_i \doteq (q-1)^i.$$

That the γ_i of (12) satisfy (11) can be shown by direct substitution with rearrangement of finite series, here omitted.

The polynomials defined by (10) are given explicitly by

$$(14) \quad y_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{b_{n-i} \sigma^i x^i}{(n-i)! i! (\beta_1)_i (\beta_2)_i \dots (\beta_k)_i},$$

with b 's given by (2). In the definition [2] of the Sheffer A-type of a

set of polynomials, a dominant role is played by a differential operator J , defined in Sheffer's paper. The J for our $y_n(x)$ is the finite operator

$$(15) \quad J = \sum_{i=0}^k \gamma_i x^i D^{i+1},$$

in which $D = d/dx$ and γ_i are those of equation (12). For the J of (15), $Jx^n = nq_{n-1}x^{n-1}$, from which it follows that $Jy_n(x) = y_{n-1}(x)$.

It will be useful in certain investigations now under way to have noted here that, if $\phi(t)$ is itself a hypergeometric function with p numerator parameters and r denominator parameters,

$$(16) \quad \phi(t) = {}_pF_r(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p; \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_r; \rho t),$$

then the $y_n(x)$ are hypergeometric polynomials with $(r+1)$ numerator parameters and $(p+k)$ denominator parameters, namely,

$$(17) \quad y_n(x) = \psi_n F \left[\begin{matrix} -n, 1 - \epsilon_1 - n, \dots, 1 - \epsilon_r - n; \\ 1 - \alpha_1 - n, \dots, 1 - \alpha_p - n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k \end{matrix} \right. \left. \begin{matrix} (-1)^{p+r+1} \sigma x / \rho \end{matrix} \right],$$

with

$$\psi_n = \frac{(\alpha_1)_n (\alpha_2)_n \dots (\alpha_p)_n \rho^n}{n! (\epsilon_1)_n \dots (\epsilon_r)_n}.$$

Equation (17) follows readily from (14) and the relation

$$(\alpha)_{n-i} = (-1)^i (\alpha)_n / (1 - \alpha - n)_i.$$

REFERENCES

1. William N. Huff, *The type of the polynomials generated by $f(xt)\phi(t)$* , Duke Math. J. vol. 14 (1947) pp. 1091-1104.
2. I. M. Sheffer, *Some properties of polynomials of type zero*, Duke Math. J. vol. 5 (1939) pp. 590-622.

UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA AND
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN