

**A REMARK ON M. M. DAY'S CHARACTERIZATION OF  
INNER-PRODUCT SPACES AND A CONJECTURE  
OF L. M. BLUMENTHAL<sup>1</sup>**

I. J. SCHOENBERG

1. A space of elements  $a, b, \dots$ , with a distance function  $ab$  is said to be *semimetric* provided  $ab = ba > 0$  if  $a \neq b$ , and  $aa = 0$ . A real-linear space of elements  $f, g, \dots$  is said to be *semi-normed* provided a function  $\|f\|$  is defined in  $S$  having the usual properties of a norm with the exception of the inequality  $\|f+g\| \leq \|f\| + \|g\|$ , which is not assumed. Evidently  $\|f-g\|$  is a semimetric in the sense of the first definition.

A semimetric space is called *ptolemaic* provided that among the distances between any four points  $a, b, c, d$  Ptolemy's inequality

$$(1) \quad ab \cdot cd + ad \cdot bc \geq ac \cdot bd$$

always holds. It is known that a real inner-product space is ptolemaic.<sup>2</sup> Recently L. M. Blumenthal has orally raised the question as to the validity of the converse proposition in the following sense: Let the real normed space  $S$  be ptolemaic; does it follow that its norm springs from an inner product? His conjecture in the affirmative is verified in a somewhat more general setting by the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $S$  be a real semi-normed space which is ptolemaic. Then  $\|f\|$  is a norm which springs from an inner product, i.e.,  $S$  is a real inner-product space.*

2. This theorem is closely related to the characterizations of inner-product spaces among normed linear spaces. It was shown by Jordan and von Neumann [2] that a normed linear space  $S$  is an inner-product space if and only if we have the identity

$$(2) \quad \|f-g\|^2 + \|f+g\|^2 = 2\|f\|^2 + 2\|g\|^2 \quad (f, g \in S).$$

M. M. Day has shown (Theorem 2.1 [1]) that  $S$  is an inner-product space if we require only that (2) holds for  $f$  and  $g$  on the unit sphere. In other words, he has shown that (2) may be replaced by the condition

---

Received by the editors March 24, 1952.

<sup>1</sup> This work was performed on a National Bureau of Standards contract with the University of California at Los Angeles and was sponsored (in part) by the Office of Scientific Research, USAF.

<sup>2</sup> See [3, p. 716], in the list of references at the end of this note.

$$(3) \quad \|f - g\|^2 + \|f + g\|^2 = 4, \quad (\|f\| = 1, \|g\| = 1).$$

I wish to point out now that Day's condition (3) may be weakened still further as stated by the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *The real normed space  $S$  is an inner-product space if it has the property that*

$$(4) \quad \|f - g\|^2 + \|f + g\|^2 \geq 4, \quad (\|f\| = 1, \|g\| = 1).$$

**PROOF.**<sup>3</sup> As in all characterizations of inner-product spaces, it suffices to assume that  $S$  is 2-dimensional, and hence is a Minkowskian plane with a gauge curve

$$\Gamma: \|f\| = 1$$

which is convex and has the origin 0 as center. The problem now amounts to showing that  $\Gamma$  is an ellipse. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two points on  $\Gamma$  ( $f \neq \pm g$ ) and let us see what the inequality (4) means in geometrical terms. Consider the parallelogram of vertices  $f, g, -f, -g$ . Draw the two diameters of  $\Gamma$  that are parallel to the sides joining  $f$  to  $g$  and  $f$  to  $-g$ , and denote their euclidean half-lengths by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively. Let  $(x, y)$  be the oblique coordinates of the point  $f$  in the system formed by these diameters. We now find that

$$\|f - g\| = 2|x|/\alpha, \quad \|f + g\| = 2|y|/\beta,$$

which allow us to rewrite (4) as

$$(5) \quad \frac{x^2}{\alpha^2} + \frac{y^2}{\beta^2} \geq 1.$$

The condition (4) amounts therefore to the following geometric property of the curve  $\Gamma$ : *If  $AA'$  and  $BB'$  are any two distinct diameters of  $\Gamma$  and  $MM'$  and  $NN'$  are its diameters parallel to  $AB$  and  $AB'$ , respectively, then none of the points  $A, B, A', B'$  are ever inside the ellipse having  $MM'$  and  $NN'$  as conjugate diameters.*

Let us assume now that by some means we have found an ellipse  $E$  with center 0, enjoying the following properties: (i) No point of  $\Gamma$  is inside  $E$ , (ii)  $E$  and  $\Gamma$  have the distinct pairs of opposite points  $A, A', B, B'$  in common. We claim now that  $E$  and  $\Gamma$  must coincide. Indeed, draw the diameters  $MM'$  and  $NN'$  of  $\Gamma$  as above. Then  $E$  must

<sup>3</sup> Our proof of Theorem 2 is implicitly contained in Day's elegant proof of the sufficiency of (3). His proof actually establishes the sufficiency of the weaker condition (3')  $\|f - g\|^2 + \|f + g\|^2 \leq 4$  ( $\|f\| = 1, \|g\| = 1$ ). In dealing with (4) we apply Day's procedure "from the inside out," as Day himself does in another connection (See [1, p. 328, proof of Theorem 4.2]).

pass through their end points  $M, M', N, N'$ , otherwise the ellipse  $E_1$  of conjugate diameters  $MM'$ , and  $NN'$ , which evidently contains  $E$ , would contain the four points  $A, B, A', B'$  inside, which contradicts the property of  $\Gamma$  derived from (4). The process may now be repeated with any of the two pairs like  $M, M', A, A'$ , leading to four new and distinct pairs of points of  $E$  which are common with  $\Gamma$ . We reach in this way common points of  $E$  and  $\Gamma$  which are evidently dense on  $E$  and the identity between  $E$  and  $\Gamma$  follows.

There still remains to show how to obtain an ellipse  $E$  with the properties (i), (ii) used above. Let  $E$  be an ellipse<sup>4</sup> of center 0, inscribed in  $\Gamma$ , and having the maximal area among all such ellipses. We claim that  $E$  enjoys the properties (i), (ii). Indeed, let us assume this not to be the case; rather let  $\Gamma$  and  $E$  have only the points  $A$  and  $A'$  in common. An affine transformation shows that we lose no generality by assuming  $E$  to be the circle  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ ,  $A = (1, 0)$ ,  $A' = (-1, 0)$ . Consider now the one-parameter family of ellipses  $x^2/a^2 + y^2/b^2 = 1$  passing through the four fixed points  $(\pm 1/2^{1/2}, \pm 1/2^{1/2})$ . Among them the circle  $E$  has least area. If  $a$  is less than 1 and sufficiently close to 1, it is clear that the corresponding ellipse is wholly inside  $\Gamma$ , which contradicts the maximal area property of the circle  $E$ .

3. We are now able to prove Theorem 1 in a few lines. Let us first show that the *semi-norm*  $\|f\|$  is a *norm*, i.e., satisfies

$$(6) \quad \|f + g\| \leq \|f\| + \|g\|.$$

Applying Ptolemy's inequality (1) to the points

$$a = 0, \quad b = f, \quad c = (f + g)/2, \quad d = g \quad (f \neq g),$$

we find that

$$\|f\| \cdot \left\| \frac{f - g}{2} \right\| + \|g\| \cdot \left\| \frac{f - g}{2} \right\| \geq \left\| \frac{f + g}{2} \right\| \cdot \|f - g\|$$

and dividing this inequality by  $\|f - g\|/2$  we find that

$$\|f\| + \|g\| \geq \left\| \frac{f + g}{2} \right\| \cdot 2 = \|f + g\|$$

which proves (6). Thus  $S$  is a real normed space.

Applying again Ptolemy's inequality (1) to the points

$$a = f, \quad b = g, \quad c = -f, \quad d = -g,$$

<sup>4</sup> Its existence is clear; its unicity is irrelevant for our purpose.

we obtain

$$(7) \quad \|f - g\|^2 + \|f + g\|^2 \geq 4\|f\| \cdot \|g\| \quad (f, g \in S).^5$$

This plainly implies (4) and now  $S$  is an inner-product space by Theorem 2.

4. The ptolemaic inequality (1) was introduced in [3] in order to formulate a result of Menger in the following improved form: *A simple metric arc  $\gamma$  is congruent to a segment if and only if (α)  $\gamma$  has vanishing Menger curvature in all its points, (β) Ptolemy's inequality holds throughout  $\gamma$ .*

In view of this result, Theorem 1 now suggests the following question: *Let  $\gamma$  be a simple arc in a linear normed space  $S$  with the property that  $\gamma$  has vanishing Menger curvature in all its points. For which spaces  $S$ , other than inner-product spaces, is it true that  $\gamma$  is congruent to a segment?*

That the answer is not unconditionally affirmative is shown by the following counter-example due to L. M. Blumenthal: Let  $S$  be the 2-dimensional space of points  $f = (x, y)$  with the norm  $\|f\| = |x| + |y|$ . Let the arc  $\gamma$  be the polygonal line of successive vertices  $(0, 1)$ ,  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(1, 0)$ ,  $(1, 1)$ .  $\gamma$  is seen to be "locally straight," hence of vanishing curvature in all its points. However, the distance between its end points is equal to 1, which is different from the sum 3 of the lengths of its three component segments. The arc  $\gamma$  is therefore not congruent to a segment.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. M. Day, *Some characterizations of inner-product spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 62 (1947) pp. 320-337.
2. P. Jordan and J. von Neumann, *On inner products in linear metric spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) vol. 36 (1935) pp. 719-723.
3. I. J. Schoenberg, *On metric arcs of vanishing Menger curvature*, Ann. of Math. (2) vol. 41 (1940) pp. 715-726.

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA AND  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES

---

<sup>5</sup> It is interesting to notice the equivalence of the conditions (2) and (7). Clearly (2) implies (7) formally; that (7) implies (2) is just being shown.