## COUNTABLE PARACOMPACTNESS IN LINEARLY ORDERED SPACES

## B. J. BALL

A Hausdorff space X is said to be *paracompact* [2] provided that if W is a collection of open sets covering X, there exists a collection W' of open sets covering X such that (1) each element of W' is a subset of an element of W and (2) each point of X belongs to an open set which intersects only a finite number of elements of W'; X is said to be *countably paracompact* [3] provided that if W is a *countable* collection of open sets covering X, there exists a collection W' satisfying the above conditions. It is known that not every normal Hausdorff space is paracompact [2], but the question whether every such space is countably paracompact is as yet unsolved (cf. [3]). Since every linearly ordered space<sup>1</sup> is a normal Hausdorff space (cf. [1, p. 39]) but not necessarily paracompact [2], it seems natural to inquire whether every linearly ordered space must be countably paracompact. The purpose of the present note is to show that this is the case.

DEFINITIONS. 1. A collection G of subsets of a space X is said to be locally finite provided every point of X belongs to an open set X which intersects at most a finite number of the elements of G. 2. If G and H are collections of sets, then H is said to be a refinement of G provided each element of H is a subset of some element of G. 3. A collection G of sets is said to be coherent provided G is not the sum of two collections  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  such that no element of  $G_1$  intersects an element of  $G_2$ . 4. If p belongs to some element of the collection G of sets, then the star of p with respect to G is the sum of the elements of G which contain p.

Suppose X is a linearly ordered space and W is a countable collection of open sets covering X. For each point p of X, let  $M_p$  denote the set of all points x of X such that there is a finite, coherent collection  $H_x$  of open intervals of X such that  $H_x$  is a refinement of W and covers the set whose elements are p and x. For each point p of X, let  $K_p$  denote the collection of all open intervals k of X such that k is a subset of  $M_p$  and of some element of W. It is easily seen that for each p in X,  $M_p$  is both open and closed and if  $q \in M_p$ , then  $M_q = M_p$ .

Presented to the Society, June 20, 1953, under the title A note on paracompactness; received by the editors May 14, 1953 and, in revised form, July 18, 1953.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> By a *linearly ordered space* is mean a simply ordered set with its intrinsic topology; i.e., the topology in which "neighborhood of x" means "open interval containing x."

Hence if for each point p of X, there is a locally finite collection  $G_p$  of open sets which is a refinement of  $K_p$  and covers  $M_p$ , then there is a locally finite collection G of open sets which is a refinement of W and covers X. The existence of such a collection  $G_p$  for each p in X is a consequence of the following two theorems.

THEOREM 1. If  $p \in X$  and  $R = \{x \in M_p | p \leq x\}$ , then either (1) R is covered by a finite subcollection of  $K_p$  or (2) there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of points of R such that, for each n,  $x_n < x_{n+1}$  and if  $x \in R$ , then, for some n,  $x < x_n$ .

PROOF. Let  $G_0$  denote the star of p with respect to  $K_p$ . If there is a point of R which is preceded<sup>2</sup> by every point of  $G_0$ , then there is a point  $a_1$  of R which is preceded by every point of  $G_0$  and which belongs to an element of  $K_p$  which intersects  $G_0$ . Let  $G_1$  denote the star of  $a_1$  with respect to  $K_p$ . If there is a point of R which is preceded by every point of  $G_1$ , then there is a point  $a_2$  of R which is preceded by every point of  $G_1$  and which belongs to an element of  $K_p$  which intersects  $G_1$ . It follows by induction that there exist (possibly finite) sequences  $G_0, G_1, G_2, \cdots$  and  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \cdots (a_0 = p)$  such that no point of R is preceded by every point of  $\sum G_i$  and for each n, (1)  $a_n$  is a point of R, (2)  $G_n$  is the star of  $a_n$  with respect to  $K_p$ , and (3)  $a_{n+1}$  is preceded by every point of  $G_n$  and belongs to an element of  $K_p$  which intersects  $G_n$ . Let  $\alpha$  denote the sequence  $G_0, G_1, G_2, \cdots$ .

Suppose k is an element of  $K_p$  which intersects  $R \cdot \sum G_i$ . Let j denote the smallest integer n such that k intersects  $G_n$ . Suppose  $G_j$ is the last term of  $\alpha$ . Then  $G_j$  contains  $\{x \in M_p | a_j \leq x\}$ . If j=0, then, since k intersects R, k either contains  $a_0$  or is a subset of R; in either case k is a subset of  $G_0$ . Suppose  $k \neq 0$ . If k contains a point x such that  $a_j \leq x$ , then k is a subset of  $G_j$ . If each point of k precedes  $a_j$ , then, since there is an element of  $K_p$  which contains  $a_j$  and intersects  $G_{j-1}$ and k does not intersect  $G_{j-1}$ , k is a subset of  $G_j$ . Suppose  $G_j$  is not the last term of  $\alpha$ . Then if k is not a subset of  $G_{j+1}$  and hence, since k intersects  $G_j$ , k contains  $a_{j+1}$ . But this implies that k is a subset of  $G_{j+1}$ . Thus every element of  $K_p$  which intersects  $R \cdot \sum G_i$  is a subset of  $\sum G_i$ .

If  $\alpha$  is infinite, for each n, let  $x_n = a_n$ ; it is easily seen that  $\{x_n\}$  satisfies condition (2) of the conclusion of this theorem. Suppose  $\alpha$  is finite. Let  $G_j$  be the last term of  $\alpha$  and let  $D = \{x \in M_p | a_j < x\}$ . Since D is an open subset of  $M_p$ , if D is a subset of any element of W, condition (1) is satisfied. Suppose D is not a subset of any element

<sup>\*</sup> If x < y, then x is said to precede y.

of W. Let  $w_1, w_2, w_3, \cdots$  denote the elements of W which contain  $a_j$ . For each n, let  $T_n$  denote the sum of all elements of  $K_p$  which contain  $a_j$  and lie in  $w_n$ . For each n, there is a point of  $M_p$  which is preceded by every point of  $T_n$  (otherwise D would be a subset of  $w_n$ ) and hence there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of points of R such that  $x_1$  is preceded by every point of  $T_1$  and for each  $n, x_{n+1}$  is preceded by each of the points  $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n$  and by every point of  $T_n$ . Suppose x is a point of R. If x belongs to  $G_j$ , it belongs to an element k of  $K_p$  which contains  $a_j$ . Since  $k \in K_p$ , for some n, k is a subset of  $w_n$  and hence of  $T_n$ . Consequently  $x < x_{n+1}$ . If x does not belong to  $G_j$ , then  $x < a_j$  and hence  $x < x_1$ . Hence condition (2) is fulfilled.

THEOREM 2. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1, there is a locally finite collection U of open sets which is a refinement of  $K_p$  and covers R.

**PROOF.** If condition (1) of the conclusion of Theorem 1 is satisfied, there is such a collection U. Suppose Condition (1) is not satisfied and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of points of R satisfying condition (2). For each n, let  $H_n$  denote a finite, coherent collection of elements of  $K_p$  which covers the set whose elements are p and  $x_{n+1}$ . Let  $H'_1 = H_1$ and for each n greater than 1, let  $H'_n$  denote a finite collection of open intervals such that (1)  $H'_n$  is a refinement of  $H_n$  which covers the closed interval  $x_n x_{n+1}$  and (2) no element of  $H'_n$  intersects the closed interval  $px_{n-1}$ . Let  $U = \sum H'_i$ . Then U is a locally finite collection of open sets which is a refinement of  $K_n$  and covers R.

It can be shown by a similar argument that if  $L = \{x \in M_p | x \leq p\}$ , then there is a locally finite collection V of open sets which is a refinement of  $K_p$  and covers L. The collection U+V is a locally finite collection of open sets which is a refinement of  $K_p$  and covers  $M_p$ . It follows that X is countably paracompact.

## References

1. G. Birkhoff, *Lattice theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 25, rev. ed., New York, 1948.

2. J. Dieudonné, Une generalization des espaces compacts, J. Math. Pures Appl. vol. 23 (1944) pp. 65-76.

3. C. H. Dowker, On countably paracompact spaces, Canadian Journal of Mathematics vol. 3 (1951) pp. 175-186.

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA