

2. R. Godement, *Les fonctions de type positif et la theorie des groupes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 63 (1948) pp. 1-84.
3. P. R. Halmos, *Measure theory*, New York, 1950.
4. R. J. Koch, Tulane University Dissertation, 1953.
5. L. H. Loomis, *An introduction to abstract harmonic analysis*, New York, 1953.
6. K. Numakura, *On bicomact semigroups*, Mathematical Journal of Okayama University vol. 1 (1952) pp. 98-100.
7. J. E. L. Peck, Yale University Dissertation, 1950.
8. A. D. Wallace, *A note on mobs*, Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciencias vol. 24 (1952) pp. 329-334.

LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY

ON THE SPECTRA OF COMMUTATORS¹

C. R. PUTNAM

1. The following theorems will be proved:

(*) *Let A and B denote bounded operators in a Hilbert space and suppose that the commutator*

$$(1) \quad C = AB - BA$$

satisfies the commutation relation

$$(2) \quad AC = CA.$$

Then there exists a sequence of bounded operators C_1, C_2, \dots such that

$$(3) \quad \|C - C_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{sp}(C_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(**) *If, in addition to the assumption (2) of (*), the relation*

$$(4) \quad BC = CB$$

also holds, then the assertion (3) can be improved to

$$(5) \quad \text{sp}(C) \text{ consists of } 0 \text{ alone.}$$

For the terminology see, e.g., [3, pp. 9-10]. It is understood that $\text{sp}(D)$ denotes the set of points in the spectrum of an operator D . Thus, the relation $\text{sp}(C_n) \rightarrow 0$ means that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a number N_ϵ such that the spectrum of C_n is contained in the disk $|\lambda| < \epsilon$ whenever $n > N_\epsilon$.

As a consequence of (**) one obtains the

COROLLARY. *If A is a bounded operator in a Hilbert space which com-*

Received by the editors March 23, 1954.

¹ This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation research grant NSF-G481.

commutes with $AA^* - A^*A$, then necessarily $AA^* - A^*A = 0$, so that A is normal.

In order to prove the corollary one need only note that $C = AA^* - A^*A$ is Hermitian, so that $AC = CA$ implies $A^*C = CA^*$. Thus, if $B = A^*$, relations (2) and (4) hold. Consequently, by (**), the spectrum of the Hermitian operator C consists of 0 alone, and hence $C = 0$.

It is known that if A is bounded and commutes with both AA^* and A^*A , then A must be normal [1, p. 727]; the corollary thus represents a certain refinement of this result.

Relation (2) is known to imply that $\lambda = 0$ is in the spectrum of C and, in fact, that $Cf_n \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, holds for some sequence of elements f_n satisfying $\|f_n\| = 1$; Halmos [2, pp. 192-193]. Furthermore, if A and B denote finite matrices satisfying (1) and (2), then the assertion (3) of (*) clearly implies the statement (5). In addition, it is known that if A and B are bounded (not necessarily finite) and if A is normal, then (2) implies even $C = 0$; [4, p. 359].

Whether condition (2) alone is sufficient to guarantee (5) whenever A and B are bounded is not known; a conjecture of Kaplansky cited by Halmos [2, p. 192] would imply that this is indeed the case.

2. Proof of (*). The conditions (1) and (2) imply $A^n B - B A^n = n A^{n-1} C$ for every positive integer n ; cf. [5] and [2, p. 192]. Since C of (1) is unchanged (and (2) remains valid) if A is replaced by $A + \alpha I$, where α is any complex number, it can be supposed that A is nonsingular; cf. [7]. Consequently,

$$(6) \quad C - C_n = n^{-1} A B, \quad \text{where } C_n = -A^{-n} (n^{-1} A B) A^n.$$

It is clear that $\|n^{-1} A B\| \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$ and that $\text{sp}(C_n) = \text{sp}(-n^{-1} A B)$. Hence (3) holds and the proof of (*) is complete.

3. Proof of ().** Put $M = n^{-1} A B$ and $N = C_n = -A^{-n} (n^{-1} A B) A^n$, so that (6) becomes $C = M + N$. Then the assumptions (2) and (4) imply $MN = NM$ and hence

$$(7) \quad C^m = \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} M^{m-k} N^k,$$

for an arbitrary positive integer m . Since $M^{m-k} = n^{k-m} (A B)^{m-k}$ and $N^k = (-n)^{-k} A^{-n} (A B)^k A^n$, it is clear from (7) that

$$(8) \quad \|C^m\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} \|M^{m-k}\| \|N^k\| \leq a_n (2c/n)^m,$$

where $a_n = \|A^{-n}\| \|A^n\|$ and $c = \|A B\|$. Choose $n > 2c$ and keep n fixed.

It then follows from (8) that

$$(9) \quad \|C^m\| \rightarrow 0, \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

By taking conjugates in (1), (2), and (4), one can show by a similar argument that

$$(10) \quad \|C^{*m}\| \rightarrow 0, \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

In order to prove (5), suppose, if possible, the contrary; that is, suppose $\lambda \neq 0$ is in the spectrum of C . Since each of the relations (2) and (4) remain valid if, in (1), A is replaced by αA for any complex number α , it can clearly be supposed that $\lambda = 1$ occurs in the spectrum of C . In view of the Toeplitz criterion (cf., e.g., [6, p. 138]), either $(C-I)(C-I)^*$ or $(C-I)^*(C-I)$ is *not* positive definite. Thus, there exists a sequence of elements f_n such that $\|f_n\| = 1$ and either $Cf_n - f_n \rightarrow 0$ or $C^*f_n - f_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In either case, in view of (9) and (10), one obtains a contradiction and the proof of (***) is now complete.

REFERENCES

1. A. Brown, *On a class of operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 4 (1953) pp. 723-728.
2. P. R. Halmos, *Commutators of operators*, Amer. J. Math. vol. 76 (1954) pp. 191-198.
3. B. v. Sz. Nagy, *Spektraldarstellung linearer Transformationen des Hilbertschen Raumes*, Ann Arbor, 1947.
4. C. R. Putnam, *On normal operators in Hilbert space*, Amer. J. Math. vol. 73 (1951) pp. 357-362.
5. H. Wielandt, *Ueber die Unbeschränktheit der Operatoren der Quantenmechanik*, Math. Ann. vol. 121 (1949) p. 21.
6. A. Wintner, *Spektraltheorie der unendlichen Matrizen*, Leipzig, 1929.
7. ———, *The unboundedness of quantum-mechanical matrices*, The Physical Review vol. 71 (1947) pp. 738-739.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY