ON THE DISCRIMINANT OF ARBITRARY ALGEBRAIC NUMBER FIELDS
J. M. CALLOWAY

One of the important applications of Minkowski’s Lemma on linear forms is the proof of the fact that the discriminant \( d \) of every algebraic number field of degree \( n \geq 2 \) is divisible by at least one prime number.

A beautiful identity of C. L. Siegel gave this result immediately for totally real fields.\(^1\) In Siegel’s paper he notes that analogous developments are valid for the general case. In this paper we shall obtain a generalization of Siegel’s identity which yields the

**Theorem.** The absolute value of the discriminant \( d \) of an arbitrary algebraic number field \( K \) of degree \( n \geq 2 \) is always greater than \((\pi/3)^{2r_2}\) \((n = r_1 + 2r_2)\).

Let \( K \) be an arbitrary algebraic number field of degree \( n \geq 1 \). Its discriminant, \( d \), is a rational integer, \(|d| \geq 1\). If \( n = r_1 + 2r_2 \), we denote the \( n \) conjugates of any number \( \mu \in K \) by \( \mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)}, \ldots, \mu^{(n)} \) where \( \mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)}, \ldots, \mu^{(r_1)} \) are always real and \( \mu^{(r_1+r)} \) is the complex conjugate of \( \mu^{(r_1+r+1)}, v = 1, 2, \ldots, r_2 \). If \( \omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_n \) form a basis for \( K \), then the reciprocal matrix of \((\omega_k^{(l)}) \) \((k = 1, 2, \ldots, n; l = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\), we shall designate by \((\Omega_l^{(k)})\). It is known that \( \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \ldots, \Omega_n \) is then a basis for the fractional ideal \( 1/b \subset K \). The integral ideal \( b \) is called the different or Fundamental Ideal and has norm equal to the absolute value of the discriminant \( d \) of \( K \).

In all that follows, whenever we encounter a product \( \prod t_i \), or a sum \( \sum t_i \), we understand the quantities \( \lambda, \mu, \xi, \eta, \theta, x, y, z \) to have superscripts \( \lambda^{(l)}, \mu^{(l)}, \xi^{(l)}, \eta^{(l)}, \theta^{(l)}, x^{(l)}, y^{(l)}, z^{(l)} \).

Our theorem about the discriminant, \( d \), now follows from the identity

\[
(1) \quad |d^{1/2}| = \left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)^{r_1} + (2\pi)^{r_2} \sum_{t_i} \prod_{l=1}^{r_1} \left( \frac{\sin \pi \lambda}{\pi \lambda} \right)^2 \prod_{l=r_1+1}^{r_1+r_2} G(2\pi | \lambda |),
\]

where \( \lambda \) runs through all the elements of the ideal \( 1/b \) except 0, and
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\[ G(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m x^{2m}}{(2m + 3)m!(m + 2)!}. \]

It will be shown that \( G(2\pi|\lambda|) > 0 \) for all \( \lambda \).

When \( n = r_1 + 2r_2 \), \( r_2 \neq 0 \), \( d \) is obviously greater than 1. If \( r_2 = 0 \), then (1) becomes Siegel's formula

(1a) \[ |d^{1/2}| = 1 + \sum_{(1/b)|\lambda} \prod_{l=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\sin \frac{\pi\lambda}{\pi}}{\pi\lambda} \right)^2. \]

If \( n = 1 \), this merely says that \( d = 1 \), but if \( n > 1 \), then there exists \( \lambda \in 1/b \) not a rational integer and the sum in (1a) is not equal to 0. The result follows.

It may be remarked that the fact that the right-hand side of (1a) converges is rather remarkable. In most fields \( \sum_{(1/b)|\lambda} \prod_{l=1}^{n} (1/\pi\lambda)^2 \) diverges, since there are in general infinitely many units in an algebraic number field. The convergence of this sum and the others appearing in (1) and (2) will be shown in the course of the paper.

(1) is a specialization of the identity

\[ \sum_{a|\mu,|\mu|<x} \prod_{l=1}^{n} e^{2\pi i \mu \theta(x - |\mu|)} \]

(2) \[ = \frac{2n\pi^2}{N\lambda d^{1/2}} \sum_{(1/b)|\lambda} \prod_{l=1}^{r_1} k! \left( \sum_{r_1} \frac{(-1)^r x^{k-2r+1}}{(k-2r+1)! \{2\pi(\theta-\lambda)\}^{2r}} \right) \]

\[ + \frac{(-1)^{(k+1)/2}}{2\pi(\theta-\lambda)} \sin \left\{ 2\pi(\theta-\lambda)x + \delta \frac{\pi}{2} \right\} \]

\[ \prod_{l=r_1+1}^{r_1+r_2} \int_{0}^{x} (x - r)^{2k} J_0(4\pi \theta - \lambda | r) dr, \]

where the ideal \( a \subset K; x^{(l)}, l = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \) are positive real numbers with \( x^{(l)} = x^{(r_1+r_2)} + r_2 \); \( \theta^{(l)}, l = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \) are \( n \) numbers such that \( \theta^{(l)}, \theta^{(2)}, \ldots, \theta^{(r_1)} \) are real and \( \theta^{(r_1+r_2)} = \bar{\theta}^{(r_1+r_2+r_3)}, \)

\( \nu = 1, 2, \ldots, r_2; \) and \( \theta^{(l)} \) have been so chosen that \( \theta^{(l)} - \lambda^{(l)} \neq 0 \) for \( \lambda \in 1/a \); \( k \) is a positive integer; \( |\mu| < x \) means that we take only those \( \mu \in a \) for which the \( n \) differences \( x^{(l)} - |\mu^{(l)}| > 0 \), \( l = 1, 2, \ldots, n; \)

\( \delta = 0 \) for \( k \) even and \( \delta = 1 \) for \( k \) odd.

The case when \( \theta^{(l)} - \lambda^{(l)} = 0 \) is considered separately below and in this case a different expression must be taken for the \( C_\lambda \) in (7).

An interesting result is then obtained by setting \( K = R(1); n = 1; \)

\( a = (1); \theta^{(1)} = 0; \) and restricting \( x \) so that \( 0 < x \leq Na = 1 \). (This result follows easily from (9) below.)
\[ x^k = \frac{2x^{k+1}}{k+1} + 4 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{r=1}^{(k+1)/2} \frac{(-1)^{r-1}k!x^{k-2r+1}}{(k-2r+1)!(2\pi l)^{2r}} \right) \]

\[ + \frac{(-1)^{(k+1)/2}k!}{(2\pi l)^{k+1}} \sin \left( 2\pi l x + \delta \frac{\pi}{2} \right), \quad 0 < x \leq 1. \]

The equation (3) is equivalent to the well known Fourier expansion for the \((k+1)\)st Bernoulli Polynomial

\[ \frac{1}{(k+1)!} (x+B)^{k+1} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{m-1} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 \sin 2\pi lx}{(2\pi l)^{2m+1}} = P_{2m+1}(x), & k = 2m, \\ (-1)^{m-1} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 \cos 2\pi lx}{(2\pi l)^{2m+2}} = P_{2m+2}(x), & k = 2m+1, \end{cases} \]

where \((x+B)^{k+1}\) is interpreted symbolically.

The identity (1) may be obtained in either of two ways. One is a direct analogue of C. L. Siegel's method for totally real fields. This does not give the more general identity (2) but upon specialization does yield (1), and consequently, the result about the discriminant. The other method which follows yields (2) directly.

We derive (2) by expanding a certain function \(f(t) = f(t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n)\) in an \(n\)-fold Fourier series.

Let \( (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n) \) be a basis for the ideal \(a \subseteq K\).

Let \( t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n \) be \( n \) real variables.

Let \( x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \cdots, x^{(n)} \) be \( n \) fixed positive real numbers with \( x^{(r_1+r_2)} = x^{(r_1+r_2+r_3)}, \nu = 1, 2, \cdots, r_2 \).

Let \( \theta^{(1)}, \theta^{(2)}, \cdots, \theta^{(n)} \) be \( n \) numbers such that \( \theta^{(1)}, \theta^{(2)}, \cdots, \theta^{(r_1)} \) are real and \( \theta^{(r_1+r_2)} = \bar{\theta}^{(r_1+r_2+r_3)}, \nu = 1, 2, \cdots, r_2 \).

We define \( \xi^{(l)} = t_1\alpha_1^{(l)} + t_2\alpha_2^{(l)} + \cdots + t_n\alpha_n^{(l)}; l = 1, 2, \cdots, n \).

For every \( \mu \in \mathbb{A} \), we set \( \mu^{(l)} = m_1\alpha_1^{(l)} + m_2\alpha_2^{(l)} + \cdots + m_n\alpha_n^{(l)}; l = 1, 2, \cdots, n \).

The function \(f(t) = f(t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n)\) is then defined as the finite sum

\[ f(t) = \sum_{a | \mu, |\mu + \xi| < x} \prod_{l=1}^{n} (x - |\mu + \xi|)^k \exp (2\pi i(\mu + \xi)\theta) \]

where \( |\mu + \xi| < x \) means that the sum extends over only those elements for which \( x^{(l)} - |\mu^{(l)} + \xi^{(l)}| > 0, l = 1, 2, \cdots, n; k \) being a positive integer. Hereafter all summations over \( \mu \) will be understood to be subject to this restriction.

The function \(f(t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n)\) is obviously periodic in \( t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n \) with period 1. Further \(f(t)\) has derivatives of every order at all points of \( t_1 \cdots t_n \) space except possibly at the lattice points and points
where \(|\mu^{(l)} + \xi^{(l)}| = 0\) for some \(l\), and even at these points as a function of each separate variable, left- and right-hand derivatives exist and are bounded. Consequently in the fundamental hypercube \((-1/2 \leq t_1 \leq 1/2, \ldots, -1/2 \leq t_n \leq 1/2\), \(f(t)\) is of bounded variation and has bounded partial derivatives of the first order. By a theorem of Tonelli,\(^3\) the \(n\)-fold Fourier series associated with \(f(t)\) converges to the function in the sense of Stolz and Pringsheim.\(^4\) That is, if we define the partial sum \(s_{a_1 \ldots a_n}(t_1, \ldots, t_n)\) to be

\[
\sum_{q_1 = -a_1}^{a_1} \cdots \sum_{q_n = -a_n}^{a_n} C_{q_1 \ldots q_n} \exp \left(2\pi i (q_1 t_1 + \cdots + q_n t_n)\right),
\]

where the \(C_{q_1 \ldots q_n}\) are coefficients of the \(n\)-fold Fourier series, we say that the series converges to the sum \(S(t_1, \ldots, t_n)\) if given \(\epsilon > 0\), it is always possible to determine \(N > 0\), such that for any choice of \(a_1, \ldots, a_n\) such that each \(a_i > N\), \(|S(t_1, \ldots, t_n) - \sum_{a_1 \ldots a_n(t_1, \ldots, t_n)}| < \epsilon\). From now on we always understand that the summation is to be made in this way. With this understanding, we write

\[
f(t) = \sum_{q_1} \cdots \sum_{q_n} C_{q_1 \ldots q_n} \exp \left(2\pi i (q_1 t_1 + \cdots + q_n t_n)\right).
\]

Since \((\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)\) is a basis for the ideal \(\alpha \subseteq K\), then the reciprocal matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A^{(l)} \\
A^{(k)}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

of the matrix \((\alpha_i^{(k)})\) gives a basis \(A_1, \ldots, A_n\) for the ideal \(1/\alpha\).

\[\lambda = q_1 A_1 + \cdots + q_n A_n\]

runs through all the elements of \(1/\alpha\) if \(q_1, \ldots, q_n\) run through all rational integers. Moreover

\[q_1 t_1 + \cdots + q_n t_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} q_k \sum_{l=1}^{n} \xi^{(l)} A_k^{(l)} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \xi^{(l)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} q_k A_k^{(l)} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \xi^{(l)} \lambda^{(l)}.\]

If we now write \(C_\lambda\) for \(C_{q_1 \ldots q_n}\), (4) becomes

\[
f(t) = \sum_{(1/\alpha)} C_\lambda \exp \left(2\pi i \sum_{l=1}^{n} \xi^\lambda\right)
\]

where


\[ C_{\lambda} = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \cdots \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} f(t) \exp \left( -2\pi i \sum_{l=1}^{n} \xi_{l} \lambda \right) dt_{1} dt_{2} \cdots dt_{n} \]

\[ = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \cdots \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \sum_{a|\mu} \prod_{l=1}^{n} (x - |\mu + \xi|)^{k} \exp \left( 2\pi i (\mu + \xi)(\theta - \lambda) \right) dt_{1} dt_{2} \cdots dt_{n}. \]

Although we use the notation \( C_{\lambda} \) and sum over all the elements of the ideal \( 1/ab \), the sum is always meant to be taken with respect to \( q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n} \) in the manner previously indicated. We shall be able to remove this restriction on the method of summation at the end of the argument.

Since \( (1/b)|\mu \lambda, \sum_{l=1}^{m} \mu_{l} \lambda \) is a rational integer. Therefore if we replace \( \exp \left( 2\pi i \sum_{l=1}^{m} \lambda \xi_{l} \right) \) by \( \exp \left( 2\pi i \sum_{l=1}^{m} \lambda(\mu + \xi) \right) \) above, the value of the expression is unchanged.

\[ C_{\lambda} = \sum_{a|\mu} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \cdots \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \prod_{l=1}^{n} (x - |\mu + \xi|)^{k} \exp \left( 2\pi i (\mu + \xi)(\theta - \lambda) \right) dt_{1} dt_{2} \cdots dt_{n}. \]

Let \( \eta^{(l)} = \mu^{(l)} + \xi^{(l)} = (m_{1} + t_{1})\alpha_{1}^{(l)} + \cdots + (m_{n} + t_{n})\alpha_{n}^{(l)} = u_{1}\alpha_{1}^{(l)} + \cdots + u_{n}\alpha_{n}^{(l)}. \)

\[ C_{\lambda} = \sum_{m_{1}} \cdots \sum_{m_{n}} \int_{m_{i}+1/2}^{m_{i}-1/2} \cdots \int_{m_{i}+1/2}^{m_{i}-1/2} \prod_{l=1}^{n} (x - |\eta|)^{k} \exp \left( 2\pi i \eta(\theta - \lambda) \right) du_{1} \cdots du_{n} \]

\[ = \int_{|\eta| < z} \prod_{l=1}^{n} (x - |\eta|)^{k} \exp \left( 2\pi i \eta(\theta - \lambda) \right) du_{1} \cdots du_{n}. \]

We now make a change of variables, remembering that because of the numbering of the conjugates of elements of \( K \) we may set

\[ \eta^{(l)} = y^{(l)}, \quad l = 1, 2, \ldots, r_{1}; \]

\[ \eta^{(l)} = y^{(l)} + iz^{(l)}, \quad l = r_{1} + 1, \ldots, r_{1} + r_{2}; \]

\[ \eta^{(l+r_{2})} = y^{(l)} - iz^{(l)}, \quad l = r_{1} + 1, \ldots, r_{1} + r_{2}. \]

\[ \left| \frac{\partial(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n})}{\partial(y^{(l)}, y^{(l+1)}, \ldots, y^{(r_{1}+r_{2})}, z^{(r_{1}+1)}, \ldots, z^{(r_{1}+r_{2})})} \right| = \frac{2r_{2}}{Na^{1/2}}. \]
\[ C_\lambda = \frac{2^{r_2}}{Na \mid d^{1/2}} \prod_{l=1}^{r_1} \int_{-z}^{z} (x - y)^k \exp (2\pi i y(\theta - \lambda)) \, dy \]

\[ \cdot \prod_{l=r_1+1}^{r_1+r_2} \int \int (y^2 + z^2)^{1/2} \exp (4\pi i (uy - vz)) \, dydz \]

where \( \theta - \lambda = u + iv \).

\[ C_\lambda = \frac{2^{r_2}}{Na \mid d^{1/2}} \prod_{l=1}^{r_1} 2 \int_{0}^{z} (x - y)^k \cos 2\pi y(\theta - \lambda) \, dy \]

\[ \cdot \prod_{l=r_1+1}^{r_1+r_2} \int_{0}^{z} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (x - r)^{2k} \exp (4\pi i (u \cos \theta - v \sin \theta) r) \, rdrd\theta. \]

If \( \theta - \lambda \neq 0 \), then

\[ C_\lambda = \frac{2^{n} \pi^{r_2}}{Na \mid d^{1/2}} \prod_{l=1}^{r_1} \left( \sum_{r=1}^{[(k+1)/2]} \frac{(-1)^{r-1}k!x^{k-2r+1}}{(k - 2r + 1)! \{2\pi(\theta - \lambda)\}^{2r}} \right) \]

\[ + \frac{(-1)^{[(k+1)/2]}k!}{2\pi(\theta - \lambda)} \sin \left\{ \frac{2\pi(\theta - \lambda)x + \delta \frac{\pi}{2}}{2} \right\} \]

\[ \cdot \prod_{l=r_1+1}^{r_1+r_2} \int_{0}^{z} r(x - r)^{2k} J_0(4\pi \mid \theta - \lambda \mid r) \, dr, \]

where, as before, \( \delta = 0 \) for \( k \) even and \( \delta = 1 \) for \( k \) odd. \( J_0 \) is the Bessel function of order zero.

If we now substitute (7) in (5) we have the identity

\[ f(t) = \frac{2^{n} \pi^{r_2}}{Na \mid d^{1/2}} \sum_{(1/ab) \mid \lambda} \exp \left( 2\pi i \sum_{l=1}^{n} \xi_l \right) \]

\[ \cdot \prod_{l=1}^{r_1} \left( \sum_{r=1}^{[(k+1)/2]} \frac{(-1)^{r-1}k!x^{k-2r+1}}{(k - 2r + 1)! \{2\pi(\theta - \lambda)\}^{2r}} \right) \]

\[ + \frac{(-1)^{[(k+1)/2]}k!}{2\pi(\theta - \lambda)} \sin \left\{ \frac{2\pi(\theta - \lambda)x + \delta \frac{\pi}{2}}{2} \right\} \]

\[ \cdot \prod_{l=r_1+1}^{r_1+r_2} \int_{0}^{z} r(x - r)^{2k} J_0(4\pi \mid \theta - \lambda \mid r) \, dr, \quad \theta - \lambda \neq 0. \]

If \( \theta - \lambda = 0 \) in (6), then

\[ C_\lambda = \frac{2^{n} \pi^{r_2}}{Na \mid d^{1/2}} \prod_{l=1}^{r_1} \frac{x^{k+1}}{k + 1} \prod_{l=r_1+1}^{r_1+r_2} \frac{x^{2k+2}}{(2k + 1)(2k + 2)}. \]

Therefore if we understand the above value for \( C_\lambda \) when \( \theta - \lambda = 0 \), (8)
will be good for any $\theta^{(l)}$, $l=1, 2, \cdots, n$.

With this understanding, take $t_1=t_2=\cdots=t_n=0$. Then $\xi^{(l)}=0$, $l=1, 2, \cdots, n$, and (8) becomes (2).

If in addition we now let $\theta^{(l)}=0$, $l=1, 2, \cdots, n$, we have

$$\sum_{a|\mu} \prod_{l=1}^{n} (x - |\mu|)^k$$

$$= \frac{2^n \pi^{r^2}}{Na |d^{1/2}|} \left\{ \left( \frac{\pi}{3} \right)^{r^2} \prod_{l=1}^{n} x^{k+1} \right\}$$

$$+ \sum' \prod_{l=1}^{r_1} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^{(k+1)/2} \frac{(-1)^{-1} k! x^{k-2\nu+1}}{(k-2\nu+1)! (2\pi \lambda)^{2\nu}} \right)$$

$$+ \sum' \prod_{l=1}^{r_1} \int_0^x r(x - r)^{2k} J_0(4\pi |\lambda| r) dr,$$

where $\sum'$ means $\lambda$ runs through all the elements of the ideal $1/ab$ except 0. For $k=1$, (9) becomes

$$\sum_{a|\mu} \prod_{l=1}^{n} (x - |\mu|) = \frac{1}{Na |d^{1/2}|} \left\{ \left( \frac{\pi}{3} \right)^{r^2} \prod_{l=1}^{n} x^2 \right\}$$

$$+ \sum' \prod_{l=1}^{r_1} \left( \frac{\sin \pi \lambda x}{\pi \lambda} \right)^2$$

$$\cdot \prod_{l=r_1+1}^{r_1+r^2} 4\pi \int_0^x r(x - r)^{2k} J_0(4\pi |\lambda| r) dr.$$
The discriminant of algebraic number fields 489

\[ \int_0^x J_2(z)dz = \int_0^x J_0(z)dz - 2J_1(x). \]

The minima for this function of \( x \) will occur at every second zero of \( J_2(z) \). We designate these by \( j_{2,2m} \) or simply \( j \).

\[ \int_0^x J_0(z)dz = \frac{\pi x}{2} \left\{ J_0(x)H_0'(x) + J_1(x)H_0(x) \right\}, \]

where \( H_\nu(x) \) is the Struve function of order \( \nu \).

Now because of the interlacing of the zeroes of \( J_\nu \) and \( J_{\nu+1} \), the zeroes \( j_{2,2m} \) are contained between \( j_{1,2m} \) and \( j_{1,2m+1} \). However in these intervals \( J_1(x) \) is positive.

Also since \( J_0(z) + J_2(z) = \frac{2}{z}J_1(z) \), at a zero of \( J_2 \), \( J_0 \) and \( J_1 \) have the same sign. Consequently at \( j \), \( J_0(j) = \frac{2}{j}J_1(j) > 0 \).

The minimum is

\[ \int_0^x J_2(z)dz = \int_0^j J_2(z)dz \]

\[ = \frac{\pi j}{2} \left\{ J_0(j)H_0'(j) + \frac{j}{2}J_0(j)H_0(j) \right\} - jJ_0(j) \]

\[ = \frac{\pi j}{2} J_0(j) \left\{ H_0'(j) + \frac{j}{2}H_0(j) - \frac{2}{\pi} \right\} . \]

Using well known properties of the Struve functions,\(^6\) this can be written

\[ \text{Minimum} \int_0^x J_2(z)dz = \frac{\pi j^2}{2} J_0(j) \left\{ \frac{j}{3\pi} - \frac{H_0(j)}{2} \right\} . \]

Since \( J_0(j) > 0 \), \( \int_0^j J_2(z)dz > 0 \) if we can show that \( (j/3\pi) - (H_2(j)/2) > 0 \). By using the asymptotic formulas for \( H_\nu(z) \),\(^6\) it can be shown that \( (j/3\pi) - (H_2(j)/2) > 0 \), \( j > 6 \). Therefore

\[ \text{Minimum} \int_0^x J_2(z)dz = \frac{\pi j^2}{2} J_0(j) \left\{ \frac{j}{3\pi} - \frac{H_2(j)}{2} \right\} > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad j > 6. \]

But \( j_{2,2} \) is approximately 8.4172441.

Thus we have shown that the absolute value of the discriminant of an arbitrary algebraic number field of degree \( n > 1 \) is greater than \( (\pi/3)^{2n} \).
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