

PSEUDO LOCALLY COMPACT SPACES¹

ANNETTE SINCLAIR

1. **Introduction.** When metrics are defined in the usual ways for either the set of all functions analytic on a closed region \bar{R} or the set of all functions analytic in an open region R , the spaces so determined have properties resembling local compactness.

For the set of functions analytic on a closed region \bar{R} , that is analytic at each point of \bar{R} , a metric $d(f, g)$ is usually defined as $\max_{z \in \bar{R}} |(f(z) - g(z))|$. Then any infinite subset of a closed bounded neighborhood \bar{N}_a contains a sequence $\{f_n(z)\}$ which converges uniformly on any closed subset of R [3, p. 140; 4, p. 169]² and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(z) = f(z)$ is analytic at interior points of R . But the convergence need not be uniform on \bar{R} and $f(z)$ is not necessarily analytic on the closed region \bar{R} . Hence, f need not belong to \bar{N}_a and the space is not locally compact.

A metric for the set \mathcal{O} of functions analytic in an open region R is sometimes defined in the following manner [3, p. 139]. Let $\{R_i\}$ be a monotone increasing sequence of R -covering sets and define

$$\rho(f, g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \right) \frac{d_n(f, g)}{1 + d_n(f, g)},$$

where

$$d_n(f, g) = \max_{z \in \bar{R}_n} |f(z) - g(z)|.$$

Let \mathcal{O}' denote the topology induced in \mathcal{O} by the metric ρ and designate the topological space thus defined by $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}')$. Now, a sequence $\{f_n\}$ in $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}')$ converges if and only if $\{f_n(z)\}$ converges uniformly on every compact set $K \subset R$ [3, p. 139]. The space $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}')$ is complete [3, p. 139]. It also has a property which is close to local compactness [3, p. 142], since any infinite uniformly bounded set of functions $\{f_\alpha(z)\}$ contains a sequence $\{f_n(z)\}$ which converges uniformly on any closed subset of R and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(z)$ is analytic in R . However, the

Presented to the Society, December 27, 1954; received by the editors January 27, 1955 and, in revised form, July 12, 1955 and April 25, 1956.

¹ The author wishes to thank Professor P. W. Ketchum of the University of Illinois and Dr. C. Masaitis of the University of Tennessee for reading most of this paper.

² Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of the paper.

sets whose compactness is established are not the closures of neighborhoods by the \mathcal{R}' -topology.

In this paper \mathcal{R}' -pseudo local compactness is defined. The space $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})$ is shown to be \mathcal{R}' -pseudo locally compact, where \mathcal{R} designates the set of functions analytic in an open region R , \mathcal{R} is the topology induced by the metric $d(f, g) = \sup_{z \in R} |f(z) - g(z)|$, and \mathcal{R}' is the topology defined in the preceding paragraph.

2. \mathcal{R}' -pseudo local compactness defined. Suppose A is a point set for which two topologies \mathfrak{U} and \mathfrak{U}' are defined. Thus, topological spaces (A, \mathfrak{U}) and (A, \mathfrak{U}') are determined. If for every point $p \in A$ and an arbitrary \mathfrak{U}' -neighborhood $N_p(A, \mathfrak{U}')$ of p there exists an \mathfrak{U} -neighborhood $N_p(A, \mathfrak{U}) \subset N_p(A, \mathfrak{U}')$, then \mathfrak{U} is said to be *stronger than the \mathfrak{U}' -topology* ($\mathfrak{U} \supset \mathfrak{U}'$) or \mathfrak{U}' *weaker than the \mathfrak{U} -topology* ($\mathfrak{U}' \subset \mathfrak{U}$) [2, p. 16]. We note that if the \mathfrak{U} -topology is stronger a limit point by the \mathfrak{U} -topology is necessarily a limit point by the \mathfrak{U}' -topology.

In this paper, a topological space T is understood to be *compact* if and only if every infinite subset of T has at least one limit point in T . The following well known theorem is stated for reference.

THEOREM A. *If a point set A is compact by a topology \mathfrak{U} , it is compact by any weaker \mathfrak{U}' -topology [2, p. 16].*

The closure in the space (A, \mathfrak{U}) by the \mathfrak{U} -topology of a point set $E \subset A$ will be denoted by $\overline{E}(A, \mathfrak{U})$, or in cases where the topology and space are evident simply by \overline{E} .

A topological space (R, \mathcal{R}) will be said to be \mathcal{R}' -pseudo locally compact, or *pseudo locally compact by the \mathcal{R}' -topology*, if any neighborhood by the \mathcal{R} -topology of an arbitrary point p of R contains some neighborhood $N_p(R, \mathcal{R})$ by the \mathcal{R} -topology, such that the point set $\overline{N}_p(R, \mathcal{R})$ is compact by the \mathcal{R}' -topology.

We note that if a space (R, \mathcal{R}) is locally compact then (R, \mathcal{R}) is \mathcal{R} -pseudo locally compact and hence \mathcal{R}' -pseudo locally compact by any weaker \mathcal{R}' -topology. (See Theorem A.) However, a space (R, \mathcal{R}) may be \mathcal{R}' -pseudo locally compact but not locally compact by a stronger \mathcal{R} -topology. (See paragraph 4 and the example in the next paragraph.) If there are two metric topologies \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' by which (R, \mathcal{R}) is pseudo locally compact and if $\mathcal{R}'' \supset \mathcal{R}'$, then \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' are locally equivalent with respect to (R, \mathcal{R}) . (See Corollary, Theorem 2.)

We consider an example of an \mathcal{R}' -pseudo locally compact space.³ Let R be the complex plane. For the \mathcal{R} -topology define a neighbor-

³ This example was suggested by Professor R. H. Bing of the University of Wisconsin.

hood of a point p as a circular disc with p as center except that all points, other than p itself, having rational coordinates are omitted. Let \mathcal{R}' be the topology in which a neighborhood of p is a circular disc with p as center. The space (R, \mathcal{R}) is \mathcal{R}' -pseudo locally compact, but is not locally compact.

3. General theorems on \mathcal{R}' -pseudo locally compact spaces. In the following theorems it is to be understood that the \mathcal{R} or \mathcal{R}' -topology for a subset of R is the relative topology.

We obtain a sufficient condition that a subset of an \mathcal{R}' -pseudo locally compact space be \mathcal{R}' -pseudo locally compact.

THEOREM 1. *Let R be a point set with a topology \mathcal{R} assigned, also a metric topology $\mathcal{R}' \subset \mathcal{R}$.⁴ Suppose that (R, \mathcal{R}) is \mathcal{R}' -pseudo locally compact. Let R^* be any subset of R . Then (R^*, \mathcal{R}) is \mathcal{R}' -pseudo locally compact if (R^*, \mathcal{R}') is complete by the \mathcal{R}' -topology.*

PROOF. If $N_q(R^*, \mathcal{R})$ is any neighborhood of an arbitrary point q of R^* , $N_q(R^*, \mathcal{R}) = N_q(R, \mathcal{R}) \cap R^*$. By the hypothesis that (R, \mathcal{R}) is \mathcal{R}' -pseudo locally compact, $N_q(R, \mathcal{R})$ contains a neighborhood $N'_q(R, \mathcal{R})$ such that $\overline{N}'_q(R, \mathcal{R})$ is compact by the \mathcal{R}' -topology. We note that $N'_q(R, \mathcal{R}) \cap R^*$ is a neighborhood $N'_q(R^*, \mathcal{R})$ of q in R^* .

We show that $\overline{N}'_q(R^*, \mathcal{R})$ is compact by the \mathcal{R}' -topology. Let $\{p_r\}$ be any infinite subset of $\overline{N}'_q(R^*, \mathcal{R})$. Then $\{p_r\} \subset \overline{N}'_q(R, \mathcal{R})$ and hence by the \mathcal{R}' -topology has a limit point $p \in \overline{N}'_q(R, \mathcal{R})$. There is a sequence $\{p_{r_i}\} \subset \{p_r\}$ which converges to p by the \mathcal{R}' -topology. Since (R^*, \mathcal{R}') is complete, $p \in R^*$. Thus, we obtain that $p \in \overline{N}'_q(R, \mathcal{R}) \cap R^*$, which is just $\overline{N}'_q(R^*, \mathcal{R})$.

This completes the proof that an arbitrary neighborhood $N_q(R^*, \mathcal{R})$ of q contains a neighborhood $N'_q(R^*, \mathcal{R})$ such that $\overline{N}'_q(R^*, \mathcal{R})$ is compact by the \mathcal{R}' -topology, that is, that (R^*, \mathcal{R}) is \mathcal{R}' -pseudo locally compact.

The following theorem is used in the proof of Theorem 2.

THEOREM B. *For topologies $\mathcal{R}_\mu \subset \mathcal{R}_\nu$ on a space R , if R is compact by \mathcal{R}_ν and a T_2 -space by \mathcal{R}_μ , then \mathcal{R}_μ is equivalent to \mathcal{R}_ν (if \mathcal{R}_ν is metric)⁵ [2, p. 28].*

Let \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{R}' , and \mathcal{R}'' be topologies defined for a point set R . Then \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' are said to be *locally equivalent with respect to (R, \mathcal{R})* provided the following condition is satisfied: Every neighborhood by

⁴ Because of differences in usage of the terms *weaker* and *stronger topologies* the reader is reminded of the definitions in ¶2.

⁵ With the additional requirement that the topology is metric our definition of compactness is equivalent to that used by Nakano.

the \mathcal{R} -topology of an arbitrary point p contains a neighborhood $N_p(R, \mathcal{R})$ such that the relative \mathcal{R}' , and \mathcal{R}'' -topologies for the set $S = \overline{N}_p(R, \mathcal{R})$ (where the closure is by the \mathcal{R} -topology) are equivalent.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose (R, \mathcal{R}) is \mathcal{R}' -pseudo locally compact. Any metric topology $\mathcal{R}'' \supset \mathcal{R}'$ such that (R, \mathcal{R}) is \mathcal{R}'' -pseudo locally compact is locally equivalent to \mathcal{R}' if \mathcal{R}' is T_2 ; any T_2 -topology $\mathcal{R}'' \subset \mathcal{R}'$ is locally equivalent to \mathcal{R}' if \mathcal{R}' is metric.*

PROOF. We first consider the case $\mathcal{R}'' \supset \mathcal{R}'$. Since (R, \mathcal{R}) is \mathcal{R}'' -pseudo locally compact, any neighborhood by the \mathcal{R} -topology of a point p contains a neighborhood $N_p(R, \mathcal{R})$ such that the point set $S = \overline{N}_p(R, \mathcal{R})$ is compact by the \mathcal{R}'' -topology. That is, the space (S, \mathcal{R}'') is compact. The hypothesis that (R, \mathcal{R}) is T_2 implies that the subspace (S, \mathcal{R}') is T_2 by the relative \mathcal{R}' -topology. Now Theorem B implies that the relative \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' topologies for S are equivalent. We have shown that any neighborhood of an arbitrary point p contains a neighborhood $N_p(R, \mathcal{R})$ such that the relative \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' topologies for the set $S = \overline{N}_p(R, \mathcal{R})$ are equivalent. That is, \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' are locally equivalent with respect to (R, \mathcal{R}) .

Next we consider the case $\mathcal{R}'' \subset \mathcal{R}'$, where \mathcal{R}'' is T_2 . Any neighborhood of an arbitrary point p contains a neighborhood $N_p(R, \mathcal{R})$ such that $S = \overline{N}_p(R, \mathcal{R})$ is compact by the metric \mathcal{R}' -topology. The hypothesis that (R, \mathcal{R}'') is T_2 implies that the subspace (S, \mathcal{R}'') is T_2 . Now Theorem B implies that the relative \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' topologies for S are equivalent. This completes the proof that any neighborhood contains a neighborhood $N_p(R, \mathcal{R})$ such that the relative \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' topologies for the set $S = \overline{N}_p(R, \mathcal{R})$ are equivalent, that is, that \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' are locally equivalent with respect to (R, \mathcal{R}) .

COROLLARY. *If there are two metric topologies \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' , one stronger than the other, by which (R, \mathcal{R}) is pseudo-locally compact, then \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' are locally equivalent with respect to (R, \mathcal{R}) .*

4. \mathcal{R}' -pseudo locally compact spaces in analytic function theory.

Let R be an open region of the complex plane. Then a sequence of sets $\{R_j\}$ which satisfy the following conditions will be called a *monotone increasing sequence of R -covering sets*: (1) $R_n \subset R$; (2) \overline{R}_n is interior to R_{n+1} ; (3) $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} R_j = R$.

Suppose that R is an open region of the complex plane and that $\{R_j\}$ is a particular monotone increasing sequence of R -covering sets. Let \mathcal{S} denote a set of functions which are meromorphic in R .

For each pair of functions f and g of \mathcal{S} let I_{fg} denote the subset of R on each point of which $f(z)$ or $g(z)$ has a pole. Then define a dis-

tance function $d(f, g)$ as $\sup_{z \in (R - I_f)} |f(z) - g(z)|$ for those $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$ for which this is finite. Now let \mathcal{R} denote the topology so induced in \mathcal{S} . We note that if $d(f, g)$ is defined, the poles of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ in R necessarily coincide and have the same principal parts. Hence, any neighborhood, also any closed neighborhood, of f by the \mathcal{R} -topology contains only functions meromorphic in R which have poles in R identical with those of $f(z)$. Thus, if $g \in N_f(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R})$, $f(z) - g(z)$ is analytic in R .

A different topology \mathcal{R}' is induced by the metric function

$$\rho(f, g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \right) \frac{d_n(f, g)}{1 + d_n(f, g)},$$

where $d_n(f, g) = \sup_{z \in (R_n - I_f)} |f(z) - g(z)|$ [3, p. 139]. Now

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \right) \frac{d_n(f, g)}{1 + d_n(f, g)} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \right) \frac{d(f, g)}{1 + d_n(f, g)} \leq d(f, g);$$

that is, $\rho(f, g) \leq d(f, g)$. (If $d_n(f, g)$ is undefined, $d_n(f, g)/(1 + d_n(f, g))$ is to be taken as "1" in

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \right) \frac{d_n(f, g)}{1 + d_n(f, g)}.)$$

LEMMA. *The \mathcal{R} -topology is stronger than the \mathcal{R}' -topology.*

PROOF. Corresponding to any point f of \mathcal{S} and to any $\epsilon > 0$, there is defined an ϵ -neighborhood $N_f^{(\epsilon)}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R}')$ by the \mathcal{R}' -topology and also an ϵ -neighborhood $N_f^{(\epsilon)}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R})$ by the \mathcal{R} -topology. We show that $N_f^{(\epsilon)}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R}) \subset N_f^{(\epsilon)}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R}')$. If $g \in N_f^{(\epsilon)}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R})$, $d(f, g) < \epsilon$. Since $\rho(f, g) \leq d(f, g)$, $\rho(f, g) < \epsilon$ and so $g \in N_f^{(\epsilon)}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R}')$.

The following theorem is similar to one stated by Thron [3, p. 139] for analytic functions. We shall say that a sequence $\{f_n(z)\}$ of functions meromorphic on \bar{R}_k converges uniformly to $f(z)$ on \bar{R}_k , if, corresponding to any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists N such that $n > N$ implies $|f(z) - f_n(z)| < \epsilon$ on $(\bar{R}_k - I_{f, f_n})$.

THEOREM C. *Let \mathcal{S} be any infinite set of functions which are meromorphic in an open region R . A sequence $\{f_n\}$ in $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R}')$ converges to f in $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R}')$ if and only if $\{f_n(z)\}$ converges uniformly to $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ on every \bar{R}_k .*

PROOF. Suppose $\{f_n\}$ converges to f in $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R}')$, that is, that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n, f)$, or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^j} \right) \frac{d_j(f_n, f)}{1 + d_j(f_n, f)} = 0,$$

where $f \in \mathcal{S}$. It is sufficient to show that this implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_k(f_n, f) = 0$ for every k .

We first show that, for arbitrary k ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_k(f_n, f)}{1 + d_k(f_n, f)} = 0.$$

If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_k(f_n, f)}{1 + d_k(f_n, f)} \neq 0,$$

then for some $\eta > 0$ and every N there is $r > N$ such that

$$\frac{d_k(f_r, f)}{1 + d_k(f_r, f)} > \eta.$$

Then

$$\rho(f_r, f) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^j} \right) \frac{d_j(f_r, f)}{1 + d_j(f_r, f)} > \frac{\eta}{2^k}.$$

Thus, for any N , there exists $r > N$ such that $\rho(f_r, f) > \eta/2^k$. This contradicts the hypothesis that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n, f) = 0$. We conclude that, for arbitrary k ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_k(f_n, f)}{1 + d_k(f_n, f)} = 0,$$

and hence that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_k(f_n, f) = 0$, that is, that $\{f_n(z)\}$ converges to $f(z)$ uniformly on each \bar{R}_k .

To prove the converse we note that, if $\{f_n(z)\}$ converges uniformly to $f(z)$ on every \bar{R}_k , then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d_k(f_n, f)/(1 + d_k(f_n, f))) = 0$. There exists $N(k)$ so that $n > N(k)$ implies that

$$\frac{d_k(f_n, f)}{1 + d_k(f_n, f)} < \frac{\epsilon}{2^k}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(f_n, f) &< \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{2^j} \right) \frac{\epsilon}{2^k} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \\ &= \frac{2^k - 1}{2^k} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2^k} \right) + \frac{1}{2^k} \end{aligned} \quad \text{for all } n > N(k).$$

Thus, corresponding to any $\eta > 0$, k can be chosen so that when

$n > N(k)$, $\rho(f_n, f) < \eta$. Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n, f) = 0$, or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$ in (S, \mathcal{R}') .

THEOREM 3. *Let \mathcal{R} be the set of all functions meromorphic in an open region R . Then $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})$ is \mathcal{R}' -pseudo locally compact.*

PROOF. Let f be any point of R ; that is, let $f(z)$ be any function which is meromorphic in R . An ϵ -neighborhood of f by the \mathcal{R} -topology is defined by

$$N_f(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}) = \{g(z) \mid (1) g(z) \in \mathcal{R} \text{ and } (2) d(f, g) < \epsilon\}.$$

Then

$$\bar{N}_f(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}) = \{g(z) \mid (1) g(z) \in \mathcal{R} \text{ and } (2) d(f, g) \leq \epsilon\}.$$

It is sufficient to show that any infinite subset $\{g_\alpha\}$ in $\bar{N}_f(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})$ has a limit point by the \mathcal{R}' -topology. We note that each function $g_\alpha(z)$ necessarily has poles coinciding with those of $f(z)$ in R with the same principal parts as those of $f(z)$. Hence, $f(z) - g_\alpha(z)$ is analytic everywhere in R . We define $h_\alpha(z) = f(z) - g_\alpha(z)$ when $z \in R$. Since $|h_\alpha(z)| \leq \epsilon$ when $z \in R$, $\{h_\alpha(z)\}$ is uniformly bounded on R . We have shown that $\{h_\alpha(z)\}$ is a set of functions analytic and uniformly bounded on R . Therefore, Ascoli's Theorem [4, p. 169; 3, p. 140] implies the existence of a sequence $\{h_{\alpha_n}(z)\}$ which is a subset of $\{h_\alpha(z)\}$ and which converges at each point of R , uniformly on each \bar{R}_n . By a well known theorem [4, p. 98] $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{\alpha_n}(z) = h(z)$ is analytic in R . Moreover, since $|h_{\alpha_n}(z)| = |f(z) - g_{\alpha_n}(z)| \leq \epsilon$, $|h(z)| \leq \epsilon$.

Define $g(z) = f(z) - h(z)$. We note that, in R , $h(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{\alpha_n}(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(z) - g_{\alpha_n}(z)) = f(z) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_{\alpha_n}(z)$, where the convergence holds everywhere in R (except at the points of I_{fg}) and uniformly on every \bar{R}_n . That is, $h(z) = f(z) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_{\alpha_n}(z)$. Then, since $h(z) = f(z) - g(z)$, we conclude that $g(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_{\alpha_n}(z)$; hence, Theorem C implies that $\{g_{\alpha_n}\}$ converges to g in \mathcal{R} by the \mathcal{R}' -topology. As was noted in the preceding paragraph, $|h(z)| \leq \epsilon$; that is, $|f(z) - g(z)| \leq \epsilon$. Then, since $g(z)$ is meromorphic in R and, therefore, belongs to \mathcal{R} , $g \in \bar{N}_f(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})$.

Thus, if f is any point of \mathcal{R} and $N_f(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})$ is any neighborhood of f by the \mathcal{R} -topology, $N_f(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})$ contains a neighborhood—namely, $N_f(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})$ itself—such that any infinite subset $\{g_\alpha\}$ in $\bar{N}_f(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})$ contains a sequence $\{g_{\alpha_n}\}$ which converges by the \mathcal{R}' -topology to a point $g \in \bar{N}_f(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})$. This completes the proof that (R, \mathcal{R}) is \mathcal{R}' -pseudo locally compact.

COROLLARY. *Any metric topology \mathcal{R}'' , either stronger or weaker than \mathcal{R}' , by which $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})$ is pseudo locally compact is locally equivalent to \mathcal{R}' .*

PROOF. This follows directly from the corollary of Theorem 2.

In the following theorems, unless indicated otherwise, it is to be understood that R is an open region, \mathfrak{R} is the set of all functions meromorphic in R , and that topologies \mathfrak{R} and \mathfrak{R}' are defined as before.

THEOREM 4. *Let \mathfrak{R}^* be a set of functions meromorphic in R . Then $(\mathfrak{R}^*, \mathfrak{R})$ is \mathfrak{R}' -pseudo locally compact if $(\mathfrak{R}^*, \mathfrak{R}')$ is complete.*

PROOF. We note that $\mathfrak{R}^* \subset \mathfrak{R}$. By Theorem 3 $(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})$ is \mathfrak{R}' -pseudo locally compact and by hypothesis $(\mathfrak{R}^*, \mathfrak{R}')$ is complete. Now Theorem 1 implies that $(\mathfrak{R}^*, \mathfrak{R})$ is \mathfrak{R}' -pseudo locally compact.

THEOREM 5. *The subspace $(\mathfrak{R}^{(A)}, \mathfrak{R})$ of $(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})$ whose points are those functions analytic everywhere in R is \mathfrak{R}' -pseudo locally compact.*

PROOF. According to a theorem stated by Thron [3, p. 139], $(\mathfrak{R}^{(A)}, \mathfrak{R}')$ is complete. The required result follows from Theorem 4.

We next investigate the connection between a normal family of meromorphic functions and a set of meromorphic functions \mathfrak{R} such that $(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})$ is \mathfrak{R}' -pseudo locally compact. Of course, a normal family \mathfrak{R} of functions meromorphic in a region R can be extended to a compact normal family by adding to \mathfrak{R} all of its limit functions [1, p. 183]. In the next theorem we suppose that \mathfrak{R} is an infinite set of functions meromorphic in a region R and show that if $(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})$ is \mathfrak{R}' -pseudo locally compact then certain subsets of \mathfrak{R} form normal families of functions.

THEOREM 6. *If $(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})$ is \mathfrak{R}' -pseudo locally compact, then every neighborhood by the \mathfrak{R} -topology of an arbitrary point q of \mathfrak{R} contains a neighborhood $N_q(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})$ such that the set of functions $\overline{N}_q(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})$ is a normal family of functions.*

PROOF. Choose $N_q(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})$ so that $\overline{N}_q(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})$ is compact by the \mathfrak{R}' -topology. Then every sequence $\{f_n\} \subset \overline{N}_q(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})$ has (by the \mathfrak{R}' -topology) a limit point $f \in \overline{N}_q(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})$. There exists a subsequence $\{f_{n_i}\}$ which converges to f by the \mathfrak{R}' -topology; that is, $\{f_{n_i}(z)\}$ converges to $f(z)$ everywhere in R and uniformly on every closed subset of R .

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. C. Carathéodory, *Theory of functions of a complex variable*, vol. 1, Chelsea Publishing Co., 1954.
2. H. Nakano, *Topology and linear topological spaces*, Maruzen Co., Ltd., 1951.
3. W. J. Thron, *The theory of functions of a complex variable*, Wiley, 1953.
4. E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of functions*, 2d ed., Oxford University Press, 1939.