f(x) = \text{const on } [0, 1], A_f=1. \text{ So here } A_f \geq L_f; \text{ i.e., in conjunction with 3.1, } A_f = L_f. \text{ (It is also easy to show directly that } L_f = 1). \text{ In conclusion, I would like to express my thanks to Dr. Peter Lax, who introduced me to this subject, and with whom I have had many helpful discussions.}
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\textbf{FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS IN THE THEORY OF DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING—VII. A PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION FOR THE FREDHOLM RESOLVENT}

RICHARD BELLMAN

1. \textbf{Introduction.} Let \( K(x, y) \) be a symmetric kernel over the square \( 0 \leq x, y \leq T \), continuous in both variables in this region, and possessing the additional property that \( \int_0^T \int_0^T K(x, y)u(x)u(y)dx dy + \int_0^T u^2(x)dx \) is positive definite. Then the Fredholm integral equation

(1) \quad u(x) + v(x) + \int_a^T K(x, y)u(y)dy = 0, \quad 0 \leq a \leq T,

has a unique solution for any function \( v(x) \) continuous for \( a \leq x \leq T \). This solution may be represented in the form

(2) \quad u(x) = -v(x) + \int_a^T Q(x, y, a)v(y)dy.

Let us call the kernel \( Q(x, y, a) \) the Fredholm resolvent.

The purpose of this note is to show that \( Q(x, y, a) \) satisfies the
Riccati-type partial differential equation

\[ \frac{\partial Q}{\partial a}(x, y, a) = Q(a, x, a)Q(a, y, a), \]

It seems likely that this relation will be of service in connection with the computational solution of linear integral equations, but we shall not discuss this here.

To derive the result, we shall employ the functional equation technique of the theory of dynamic programming, cf. \[1; 3\].

2. A quadratic functional. Consider the quadratic functional

\[
J(u) = \int_a^T u^2(x)dx + 2 \int_a^T u(x)v(x)dx
\]
\[+ \int_a^T \int_a^T K(x, y)u(x)u(y)dxdy,\]

\[0 \leq a \leq T,\]

where \(K(x, y)\) and \(v(x)\) satisfy the conditions described in the first section. The minimum of \(J(u)\) over all functions \(u(x)\) which are continuous in \(a \leq x \leq T\) exists and is attained by the solution of the Fredholm integral equation

\[
u(x) + v(x) + \int_a^T K(x, y)u(y)dy = 0.\]

Utilizing the representation for \(u(x)\) given in (1.2), we have

\[
\text{Min } J(u) = \int_a^T \left( u(x) + v(x) + \int_a^T K(x, y)u(y)dy \right)u(x)dx
\]
\[+ \int_a^T u(x)v(x)dx
\]
\[= - \int_a^T v^2(x)dx + \int_a^T \int_a^T Q(x, y, a)v(x)v(y)dxdy.\]

Let us call this new functional, which depends upon \(v(x)\) and \(a, f(v(x), a)\). Thus

\[
f(v(x), a) = \text{Min } J(u).
\]

3. Functional equations. Using the fact that \(f(v(x), a)\) is defined as a
minimum of a functional, let us employ the functional equation tech-
nique of dynamic programming to derive a functional equation for
\( f(v(x), a) \). This technique, as applied to integral equations, was
sketched in [3].

Let us write, for \( a < a + s < T \),

\[
J(u) = \int_a^{a+s} u^2(x) \, dx + 2 \int_a^{a+s} u(x)v(x) \, dx \\
+ 2 \int_a^{a+s} \int_a^T K(x, y)u(x)u(y) \, dxdy \\
+ \int_a^T u^2(x) \, dx + 2 \int_a^T u(x)v(x) \, dx \\
+ \int_a^T \int_a^T K(x, y)u(x)u(y) \, dxdy
\]

(1)

Let \( u(x) \) be the extremal function, which we know to be continuous
as a function of \( x \) in \( a \leq x \leq T \), as a function of \( a \) for \( 0 \leq a \leq T \), and as
a function of \( v(x) \). For small \( s \), we may write, using the mean value
theorem,

\[
J(u) = s \left[ u^2(a) + 2u(a)v(a) \right] + \int_a^T K(a, y)u(y) \, dy \\
+ \int_a^T u^2(x) \, dx + 2 \int_a^T u(x)v(x) \, dx \\
+ \int_a^T \int_a^T K(x, y)u(x)u(y) \, dxdy + o(s),
\]

where the remainder term is uniform for \( |v(x)| \leq m_1, 0 \leq x \leq T, \)
\( 0 \leq a \leq T \).

Let us rewrite this

\[
J(u) = s \left[ u^2(a) + 2u(a)v(a) \right] + \int_a^T u^2(x) \, dx \\
+ 2 \int_a^T u(x) \left[ v(x) + su(a)K(a, x) \right] \, dx \\
+ \int_a^T \int_a^T K(x, y)u(x)u(y) \, dxdy + o(s).
\]

(3)
Employing the principle of optimality, we see that for \( u(x) \), the extremal function, we must have

\[
J(u) = s[u^2(a) + 2u(a)v(a)] + f(u(x) + su(a)k(a, x), a + s) + o(s).
\]

Hence

\[
f(v, a) = \min_u J(u) = \min_u [s[u^2(a) + 2u(a)v(a)] + f(u(x) + su(a)K(a, x), a + s)] + o(s).
\]

Since \( f(v, a) \) is clearly a differentiable function of \( v(x) \) and \( a \), as we see upon referring to (3.3), we may obtain an integro-differential equation for \( f \) upon letting \( s \to +0 \).

Let us define

\[
L(w(x), a) = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{f(v(x) + sw(x), a) - f(v(x), a)}{s}.
\]

Then the limiting form of (5) is

\[
0 = \min_{u(a)} \left[ u^2(a) + 2u(a)v(a) + u(a)L(K(a, x), a) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial a} \right].
\]

The minimum is attained at

\[
u(a) = -v(a) - L(K(a, x), a)/2,
\]

yielding the relation

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial a} = (v(a) + L(K(a, x), a)/2)^2.
\]

4. The form of \( L(w(x), a) \). Let us now compute \( L(w(x), a) \). We have

\[
f(v(x) + sw(x), a) = -\int_a^T v^2(x)dx - 2s \int_a^T v(x)w(x)dx
\]

\[
+ \int_a^T \int_a^T Q(x, y, a)v(x)v(y)dxdy
\]

\[
+ 2s \int_a^T \int_a^T Q(x, y, a)v(x)w(x)dxdy + o(s).
\]

Hence

\[
L(w(x), a) = -2 \int_a^T v(x)w(x)dx + 2 \int_a^T \int_a^T Q(x, y, a)v(x)w(y)dxdy.
\]
Thus

\[ L(K(a, x), a) \]

\[ = -2 \int_a^T v(x)K(a, x)dx + 2 \int_a^T \int_a^T Q(x, y, a)v(x)K(a, y)dxdy. \]

5. The functional equation for \( Q(x, y, a) \). The equation of (3.9) then takes the form

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial a} = + v^2(a) \]

\[ + v(a) \left[-2 \int_a^T v(x)K(a, x)dx \right. \]

\[ + 2 \int_a^T \int_a^T Q(x, y, a)v(x)K(a, y)dxdy \]

\[ + \frac{1}{4} \left[-2 \int_a^T v(x)K(a, x)dx \right. \]

\[ + 2 \int_a^T \int_a^T Q(x, y, a)v(x)K(a, y)dxdy \right]^2 \]

\[ = + v^2(a) + 2v(a) \left[ \int_a^T v(x) \left\{-K(a, x) \right. \right. \]

\[ + \int_a^T Q(x, y, a)K(a, y)dy \right\} dx \]

\[ + \frac{1}{4} \int_a^T \int_a^T v(x_1)v(x_2) \left[-2K(a, x_1) \right. \]

\[ + 2 \int_a^T Q(x_1, y_1, a)K(a, y_1)dy_1 \]

\[ \cdot \left[-2K(a, x_2) + 2 \int_a^T Q(x_2, y_2, a)K(a, y_2)dy_2 \right] dx_1dx_2. \]

On the other hand, using the expression for \( f(v, a) \) given in (2.3), we have, carrying through the required differentiation

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial a} = + v^2(a) - 2v(a) \int_a^T Q(a, y, a)v(y)dy \]

\[ + \int_a^T \int_a^T \frac{\partial Q(x, y, a)}{\partial a} v(x)v(y)dxdy. \]
Equating coefficients, we obtain the two relations

\[(3)\quad Q(a, y, a) = K(a, y) - \int_a^T Q(y, z, a)K(a, z)\,dz,\]

and

\[(4)\quad \frac{\partial Q(a, y, a)}{\partial a} = \left[ -K(a, x) + \int_a^T Q(x, z_1, a)K(a, z_1)\,dz_1 \right] \cdot \left[ -K(a, y) + \int_a^T Q(y, z_2, a)K(a, z_2)\,dz_2 \right],\]

for \(a \leq x, y \leq T\).

Note that (3) is readily derived, ab initio, by straightforward substitution of (1.2) in (1.1).

Combining (3) and (4), we obtain the result stated in (1.3). This completes the proof.